Please add figure reference to this paragraph

This item has been corrected

Please check the age. To me this is closer to 48ka.

This item has been corrected

This part is mostly describing results.

This item has been corrected

This section lacks relation with your own data. You are discussing magnetic susceptibility without informing the reader how this is related to your work.

This item has been corrected

This section almost exclusively describes results

This item has been corrected

Please add figure reference here. It is very difficult to have too many figure references. It is easy, however, to not have enough.

This item has been corrected

This is very descriptive section of your results and the end of a paragraph. It would be much better to first ensure that you every observation (result) that is important to you, is described in the results section. In the discussion you would then briefly (one or two sentences) indicate which observation you intend to discuss (please do not forget to add figure or table references where needed). This would be followed by a discussion of that aspect.

This item has been corrected

Would it be useful to say something about the wider implications of this close relationship? Similar signal across large regions.

This item has been corrected

This bit is a general introduction to the usefulness of element ratios in loess deposits as indicators for climate. As it is said here it does not relate to your data.

This item has been corrected

As another example, this would be a good start to this paragraph. The Chen work could then be used to start interpretation of your results, in conjunction with the Bloemendal work.

This item has been corrected

This paragraph is good example of what is missing. You do start well by outlining a piece of your results. This is followed by stating your interpretation, without really justifying it. Is there

for example a process described in the Gallet work that helps explaining this pattern. Have other people used such data in a similar way?

This item has been corrected