

# Reconstructing atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> over the past century from bi-polar firn air records

John D. Patterson<sup>1</sup>, Murat Aydin<sup>1</sup>, Andrew M. Crotwell<sup>2,3</sup>, Gabrielle Pétron<sup>2,3</sup>, Jeffery P. Severinghaus<sup>4</sup>,  
5 Paul B. Krummel<sup>5</sup>, Ray L. Langenfelds<sup>5</sup>, Vasilii V. Petrenko<sup>6</sup>, and Eric S. Saltzman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth System Science and Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

<sup>2</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

<sup>3</sup>Global Monitoring Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO 80305, USA

<sup>4</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

10 <sup>5</sup>Climate Science Centre, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Environment, Aspendale, Victoria 3195, Australia

<sup>6</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

*Correspondence to:* John D. Patterson (jdpatter@uci.edu)

## Abstract

15 Historical atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels were reconstructed using firn air measurements from two sites in Greenland (NEEM and Summit) and two sites in Antarctica (South Pole and Megadunes). A joint reconstruction based on the two Antarctic sites yields H<sub>2</sub> levels monotonically increasing from about 330 ppb in 1900 to 550 ppb in the late 1990s, levelling off thereafter. These results are similar to individual reconstructions published previously (Patterson et al., 2020; 2021). Interpretation of the Greenland firn air measurements is complicated by challenges in modelling pore close-off induced enrichment at these  
20 sites. We used observations of neon enrichment at NEEM and Summit to tune the parameterization of pore close-off induced enrichment in our firn air model. The joint reconstruction from the Greenland data shows H<sub>2</sub> levels rising 30% between 1950 and the late 1980s, reaching a maximum of 530 ppb. After 1990, reconstructed atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels over Greenland are roughly constant, with a small decline of 3% over the next 25 years. The reconstruction shows good agreement with the available flask measurements of H<sub>2</sub> at high northern latitudes.

## 25 1 Introduction

Molecular hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) is the second-most abundant reduced gas in Earth's atmosphere after methane. Atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels are linked to Earth's radiative budget, air quality, and the atmospheric oxidative capacity (eg. Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2009; Novelli et al., 1999; Paulot et al., 2021). As H<sub>2</sub> becomes a more important component of the energy sector, anthropogenic emissions of H<sub>2</sub> are expected to rise substantially (Derwent et al., 2020; Prather, 2003; Wang et al., 2013a,  
30 2013b). Projecting the atmospheric response to increased anthropogenic emissions in a changing climate requires a comprehensive understanding of the biogeochemical cycle of H<sub>2</sub>. Studying past changes in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> can inform

predictions of the effects of future perturbations by providing insight into the relationship between atmospheric H<sub>2</sub>, human activities, and climate.

The globally averaged mixing ratio of H<sub>2</sub> in the present-day atmosphere is roughly 530 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppb; Pétron et al., 2023). Major sources of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> include photochemical production from the oxidation of methane and non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and direct emissions from fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning. Direct emission as a by-product of N<sub>2</sub> fixation is a minor source of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub>. The major sink of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> is consumption by soil microbes, accounting for about 70% of total losses and the remainder of the losses are to oxidation by the OH radical. Based on the atmospheric burden and estimates of the microbial and OH sinks, the lifetime of H<sub>2</sub> is estimated at 2 years (Novelli et al., 1999; Pieterse et al., 2011; 2013; Paulot et al., 2021).

Increasing atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> could influence the climate system in several ways. The reaction of H<sub>2</sub> with OH represents a loss for OH and therefore increases the atmospheric methane lifetime and associated radiative forcing. This reaction leads to the production of HO<sub>2</sub>, which can influence tropospheric ozone levels. In the stratosphere, the reaction of H<sub>2</sub> with OH leads to the production of water vapor. Increased stratospheric water vapor cools the stratosphere and warms the troposphere (Solomon et al., 2010). Paulot et al. (2021) estimated the effective radiative forcing of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> at 0.13 mW m<sup>-2</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> due to its effects on the methane lifetime and stratospheric water vapor content.

Systematic measurements of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels began in the late 1980s (Khalil & Rasmussen, 1990). The NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory (NOAA/GML), CSIRO GASLAB (CSIRO), and the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) started to monitor atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels at several sites around the world in the early 1990s (Novelli et al., 1999; Novelli, 2006; Prinn et al., 2019; Langenfelds et al., 2002; Pétron et al., 2023). Integration of records produced by the different groups has been complicated by calibration issues. Earlier use of different scales was addressed by implementation of the internationally accepted MPI09 scale established by the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry (MPI; Jordan & Steinberg, 2011). Measurements from CSIRO and AGAGE, have been transferred to the MPI09 scale. Additionally, NOAA/GML measurements from 2009 onward were recently revised to the MPI09 scale (Pétron et al., 2023). Broadly, the instrumental record shows northern hemispheric H<sub>2</sub> levels rising during the late 1980s to a maximum in 1990 and decreasing until 1993. There is no discernible trend in Northern Hemisphere H<sub>2</sub> levels from 1993-2010 (Figure 7). The Southern Hemisphere instrumental record shows H<sub>2</sub> levels rising until 1999, then plateauing for the next 10-15 years.

Longer-term changes in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels during the 20<sup>th</sup> century have been reconstructed using polar firn air from Antarctica (South Pole, Megadunes) and Greenland (NEEM; Petrenko et al., 2013; Patterson et al., 2020; 2021). Firn is the compacted snow layer that forms the upper 40 – 120 m of ice sheets and its interconnected porosity contains an atmospheric archive (referred to as “firn air”) that can extend up to ~100 years back in time. For a comprehensive introduction to firn and firn air we refer the interested reader to Buizert (2013). The Antarctic reconstructions show that H<sub>2</sub> levels increased by 60% over the 20<sup>th</sup> century, consistent with increasing anthropogenic emissions and photochemical production from methane (Patterson et al., 2020). The Greenland reconstruction shows an increase in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels during the 1960’s to a peak in the late 1980s or early 1990s, followed by a small decline from 1990-2009 (Petrenko et al.,

2013). This recent decline is inconsistent with northern hemisphere modern flask measurements that show roughly constant H<sub>2</sub> levels after the mid-1990s (Novelli, 2006; Prinn et al., 2019). Petrenko et al. (2013) noted that the firn air model used for that reconstruction did not include pore close-off fractionation, a process that enriches H<sub>2</sub> in the lock-in zone where vertical diffusion of trace gases effectively goes to zero. Patterson et al. (2021) suggested that the inferred peak could be an artifact of ignoring this process.

In this work, we reassess historical Northern Hemisphere atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels over the 20<sup>th</sup> century using firn air from NEEM and an additional Greenland site with previously unpublished H<sub>2</sub> measurements (Summit). Additionally, we reanalyze the Antarctic firn air data using a different inversion technique, described in Section 3.3. Reliable bipolar records are useful for constraining changes to H<sub>2</sub> cycling because the two hemispheres differ in their sensitivity to changes in the soil sink and anthropogenic emissions. Understanding past variability in the soil sink is particularly important because the response of the soil sink to future changes in climate is the largest source of uncertainty in projecting the radiative consequences of increasing anthropogenic H<sub>2</sub> emissions (Warwick et al., 2022).

## 2. H<sub>2</sub> measurements in Greenland firn air

### 2.1 Firn air sampling

The sampling methods used in the Summit and NEEM campaigns were similar to techniques from previous published firn air studies (e.g., Battle et al., 1996; Severinghaus et al., 2001; Severinghaus & Battle, 2006). Briefly, a borehole was drilled into the firn, pausing the drilling at each desired sampling depth. The borehole was sealed above each sampling depth with an inflatable rubber packer to prevent contamination from the modern atmosphere. Synflex 1300 (formerly known as “Decabon”) tubing for both waste air and sample air extends from below the packer to the surface. The waste air intake was positioned directly below the rubber packer. The waste air intake was separated from the sample air intake by a stainless-steel plate (baffle) with a diameter slightly less than the borehole. Air was pumped from the waste air intake 2-5x faster than from the sample air intake to ensure that no air that had been in contact with the rubber packer was sampled. Sampled air was dried using Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and stored in 2.5 L glass flasks. More detailed information regarding the sampling at each site may be found in the references listed in Table 1.

100 **Table 1-** Site characteristics and data references for the three Greenland firn air sampling campaigns.

Site	Lat/lon	Date	T (°C) <sup>a,c</sup>	Accum. <sup>b,c</sup>	Reference	Firn air model parameters <sup>d,e</sup>
NEEM	77°N, 51°W	7/2008	-29	21.6	Petrenko et al., 2013	Buizert et al., 2012
Summit	73°N, 38°W	5/2013	-31	23.4	Hmiel et al., 2020	C. Buizert, personal communication

<sup>a</sup>Mean annual surface temperature

<sup>b</sup>Average modern accumulation (cm ice equivalent per year)

<sup>c</sup>Temperature and accumulation for NEEM are from Buizert et al. (2012). Temperature and accumulation for Summit were supplied by Christo Buizert (Personal Communication).

105 <sup>d</sup>Modeling parameters include density profile, and the lock-in depth (Section 3.1).

<sup>e</sup>Partitioning between closed and open porosity is described in Section 3.2.

## 2.2 Firn air measurements and calibration

The Summit firn air measurements were made by NOAA/GML using a Helium pulsed discharge detector with a linear response (HePDD; Novelli et al., 2009). The Summit measurements have been formally revised from the NOAA96 to the MPI09 calibration scale using a long term matched flask intercomparison project, which began in 1992 (Pétron et al, 2023). Firn air samples from NEEM were analyzed for H<sub>2</sub> at CSIRO using gas chromatography coupled to a mercuric oxide reductive gas analyzer (HgO-RGA). These measurements were calibrated using standards between 340 and 1000 ppb. The CSIRO measurements have been formally revised from the CSIRO94 to the MPI09 calibration scale (Jordan & Steinberg, 2011). CSIRO estimates the analytical uncertainty to be  $\pm 0.2\%$ . However, there are other sources of uncertainty in the true H<sub>2</sub> content in the firn air. For example, tests conducted during the NEEM campaign demonstrated a small procedural blank (4-6 ppb). No such tests were conducted at Summit. To account for the possibility of such blanks, we assign an overall uncertainty of  $\pm 2\%$  to the data from NEEM and Summit. The Antarctic firn air measurements have been described previously (Patterson et al., 2020; 2021).

120 The firn air H<sub>2</sub> measurements from all sites were corrected for gravitational fractionation (equation 2; Section 3.1), and duplicate measurements at the same depth were averaged to generate the finalized depth profiles (Figure 4b-c; 5b-c; 6 b-c). Lock-in depths for the sites are given in Table 2. The H<sub>2</sub> depth profiles at the Greenland sites display strong gradients above lock-in that reflect the fast free air diffusivity of H<sub>2</sub> and intense seasonality of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> in the northern hemisphere. The H<sub>2</sub> gradients observed in the upper firn at NEEM is different than the gradient at Summit because NEEM was sampled in July while the other site was sampled in May. The depth profiles from NEEM and Summit both display sharp maxima of 530 and 560 ppb respectively in the lock-in zone. The NEEM measurements also show a sharp decrease to 490 ppb at the bottom of lock-in. At Summit, the decrease towards the bottom of lock-in is less dramatic than at NEEM because only one sample depth is deeper than the observed maximum. The qualitative differences in the depth profiles reflect changes in surface air H<sub>2</sub> levels over the period of the firn air sampling (2008-2013) and the different physical

130 characteristics of the sites. For example, the firn air at the bottom of the lock-in zone at Summit is younger than at NEEM  
because Summit was sampled several years later and has a thinner lock-in zone (Table 1).

**Table 2-** Summary of firn air H<sub>2</sub> measurements from NEEM and Summit

Site	Samples	Unique Depths	Lab	Detector	Lock-in depth (m)
NEEM	23	18	CSIRO	HgO-RGA	63
Summit	37	19	NOAA	HePDD	68.5

### 3. Firn air modelling and inversions

#### 135 3.1 Firn air model

The UCI\_2 firn air model is a 1-dimensional finite-difference advective-diffusive model that is used to simulate the evolution of trace gas levels in firn air. The UCI\_2 model has been used to successfully analyse H<sub>2</sub> levels in firn air at the two Antarctic sites, including the effects of pore close-off fractionation (see below; Patterson et al., 2020; 2021). The default model parameterizations do not adequately capture the effects of pore close-off fractionation at the Greenland sites, and  
140 additional tuning is required as described in section 3.2.

The UCI\_2 model is largely based on Severinghaus et al. (2010). The model domain is divided into an upper “diffusive zone” and lower “lock-in zone.” In the diffusive zone, vertical gas transport occurs via wind-driven mixing in the shallowest ~5 m and via molecular diffusion throughout. Diffusive mixing decreases with depth due to the increasing tortuosity of the firn. In the lock-in zone, vertical molecular diffusion ceases due to the presence of impermeable ice layers.  
145 Gas transport in the lock-in zone occurs primarily due to advection with a small non-fractionating mixing term. The model uses a forward Euler integration scheme and a time step of 324 s. There are two important differences between the Severinghaus et al. (2010) model and the UCI\_2 model: 1) thermal diffusion is neglected, as it is unimportant for H<sub>2</sub>, 2) our model parameterizes pore close-off fractionation differently than the Severinghaus model (Section 3.2). The UCI\_2 model tracks the air content and composition in both open pores and closed bubbles as a function of time and depth. The model  
150 code is written and executed in MATLAB R2022a (Mathworks Inc.).

The site-specific bulk density profile ( $\rho_{\text{firn}}$ ; kg m<sup>-3</sup>) is calculated from an empirical fit to density measurements of the firn core. Total porosity ( $s_{\text{total}}$ ; dimensionless) is estimated from the density profile:

$$s_{\text{total}} = 1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{firn}}}{\rho_{\text{ice}}} \quad (1)$$

155

Where  $\rho_{ice}$  (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) is the temperature dependent density of ice from Bader (1964). Accumulation rate, temperature, and the depth of the lock-in zone onset are site-specific parameters. Partitioning between closed and open porosity in the model is described in section 3.2.

160 Model grid spacing is 0.5 m in the diffusive zone. Gas transport is dominated by wind-driven mixing in the upper part of the diffusive zone, and by molecular diffusion in the lower part of the diffusive zone. To simulate non-fractionating wind-driven mixing, a depth-dependent eddy diffusivity term is added to the one-dimensional firm air transport equation (Schwander et al., 1993; Severinghaus et al., 2010; Trudinger et al., 1997). Additionally, we neglect the typical gravitational term, and instead empirically correct the firm air measurements using the  $\delta^{15}N$  of N<sub>2</sub> depth profile. The correction is calculated from the  $\delta^{15}N$  data for each borehole according to equation 2:

165

$$Corr_{grav} = \frac{\delta^{15}N}{1000} * \frac{\exp\left(g*z*\frac{\Delta m_g}{RT}\right)-1}{\exp\left(g*z*\frac{\Delta m_{15N}}{RT}\right)-1} \quad (2)$$

170 Where  $Corr_{grav}$  is the depth dependent fractional correction for the gas of interest,  $\delta^{15}N$  is the measured isotopic composition of N<sub>2</sub> (‰) each depth,  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration constant (9.8 m s<sup>-2</sup>),  $z$  is depth (m),  $R$  is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>),  $T$  is the annual average temperature at the site,  $\Delta m_g$  is the difference in molar mass (in kg) between the gas of interest and air, and  $\Delta m_{15N}$  is the difference in molar mass between <sup>28</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> (.001 kg). This correction neglects the thermal fractionation of  $\delta^{15}N$ . Thermal fractionation is only important in the upper ~20 m of the firm, and these shallow measurements are excluded from the reconstructions due to seasonality in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels (Severinghaus et al., 2001; Section 3.2).

175 Equation 3 governs the evolution of the concentration of the gas of interest in the open pores in the diffusive zone (Severinghaus et al., 2010):

$$s_o \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} * [s_o D_{mol}(z, T, P) + s_o D_{eddy}(z)] \right) - s_o w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \quad (3)$$

180 Where  $s_o$  is the open porosity,  $C$  is concentration of the gas of interest (mol m<sup>-3</sup>),  $D_{mol}$  is the gas, depth, temperature, and pressure dependent molecular diffusivity constant (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $D_{eddy}$  is the depth dependent eddy diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $w$  is the downward velocity of the firm column (m s<sup>-1</sup>).  $D_{mol}$  and  $D_{eddy}$  profiles for NEEM and Summit are tuned using a suite of measured gases with well constrained atmospheric histories including CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>, and SF<sub>6</sub>. The diffusivity profiles were validated by forcing the model with the established atmospheric histories for the previously mentioned trace gases and comparing the model output to the measurements (Figure S5). During the tuning process, the ratio between the effective molecular diffusivities of each trace gas at each depth is forced to maintain the ratio of their free air diffusivities (eg. at each depth  $D_{mol}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> is 1.4 times that of  $D_{mol}$  for CO<sub>2</sub>). After tuning  $D_{mol}$  is then scaled using the ratio of the free air diffusivity

of CO<sub>2</sub> to the diffusivity of H<sub>2</sub> or other trace gas of interest. Temperature and pressure dependent free air diffusivities are calculated using Fuller et al. (1966) as described by Reid et al. (1987; Ch. 11).

190 Model grid spacing in the lock-in zone is 1 annual layer. There is no molecular diffusivity in the lock-in zone and gas transport occurs via downward advection of annual layers once per year. Small values of non-fractionating “eddy diffusivity” are prescribed to account for dispersive mixing caused by barometric pressure fluctuations (Buizert and Severinghaus, 2016). Model parameterizations for vertical mixing in the lock-in zone are validated by forcing the model with best-estimate Greenland atmospheric histories for various trace gases with well constrained atmospheric histories  
195 (Buizert et al., 2012).

### 3.2 Pore close-off fractionation

In addition to wind-driven mixing, diffusion, and advection, pore close-off fractionation is parameterized in the firn air model. As closed pores (bubbles) are advected downward in the firn, internal pressure increases, creating a partial pressure gradient which drives a net diffusive flux of highly mobile trace gases out of the bubbles, through the ice lattice, and into the open pores. The open pores are therefore enriched in these gases. This phenomenon affects gases with kinetic diameters (KD) <3.6 Å such as Ne, and He due to their large diffusivity in ice (Severinghaus & Battle, 2006).  
200

Given its small molecular diameter (KD= 2.89 Å) pore close-off fractionation must affect H<sub>2</sub> in a similar manner to neon (Patterson et al., 2020; Petrenko et al., 2013, Severinghaus & Battle, 2006). Recent laboratory measurements indicate  
205 that the permeability of H<sub>2</sub> in ice is sufficiently fast to equalize the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> in the open porosity and closed bubbles (Patterson & Saltzman, 2021). Therefore, in the model, it is assumed that the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> is in equilibrium between the closed bubbles and open pores in each layer as described by equations 4-6:

$$P_n = (P_{bubble}x_{n(bubble)}s_c + P_{ambient}x_{n(firn)}s_o)/s_{total} \quad (4)$$

210

$$x_{n(bubble)_{eq}} = P_n/P_{bubble} \quad (5)$$

$$x_{n(firn)_{eq}} = P_n/P_{ambient} \quad (6)$$

215 Where  $P_n$  (Pa) is partial pressure of gas  $n$  (H<sub>2</sub> or Ne),  $x_n$  is mole fraction of gas  $n$ ,  $P_{bubble}$  (Pa) is the total bubble pressure,  $P_{ambient}$  (Pa) is the ambient pressure in the open pores, and  $s_c$  is closed porosity. The subscripts *bubble* and *firn* distinguish between the closed and open porosity. Equation 4 is executed at the end of each time step in each model grid cell. Then the mole fraction of gas  $n$  in the bubbles and firn air is updated to its equilibrium value using equations 5 and 6 before proceeding to the next timestep ( $x_{n(bubble)_{eq}}$ ,  $x_{n(firn)_{eq}}$ ). Note that the equilibrium parameterization of pore close-off

220 fractionation used here is different from the kinetic parameterization used by Severinghaus & Battle (2006) which was developed assuming a much smaller permeation constant for Ne. Pore close-off fractionation is only important in terms of the exchange of H<sub>2</sub> and Ne between closed and open pores. Permeation of these gases through the ice lattice vertically between model layers can be neglected on time scales relevant to firn air modelling (Patterson & Saltzman 2021).

225 Ne and H<sub>2</sub> have similar permeability in ice due to their similar molecular diameters (Patterson & Saltzman, 2021; Satoh et al., 1996). Atmospheric Ne is essentially constant, making it a useful tracer for assessing the effects of pore close-off fractionation. There is good model-measurement agreement for Ne enrichment at South Pole and Megadunes using porosity partitioning parameterizations from Severinghaus & Battle (2006) and Severinghaus et al. (2010) respectively (Patterson et al., 2020; 2021, Figure 1). However, the firn air model underestimates pore close-off induced Ne enrichment at the base of the firn at NEEM and Summit using previously published parameterizations for the partitioning between open  
 230 and closed porosity (eg., Schwander et al, 1989; Goujon et al., 2003; Mitchell et al., 2015; Figure 1). If a firn air model underestimates Ne enrichment, it is highly likely to also underestimate pore close-off enrichment for H<sub>2</sub>, resulting in a reconstruction that is biased high. The diffusive exchange between the closed bubbles and open pores affects the modeled H<sub>2</sub> age distributions. As a result, the H<sub>2</sub> measurements cannot be empirically corrected as they are for gravitational fractionation (equation 2; Figure S3), and pore close-off fractionation must be explicitly modeled.

235  $\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2$  at the base of the firn was measured as 179‰ at NEEM and 112‰ at Summit where  $\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2$  of a sample is defined based on the relative mixing ratios of <sup>22</sup>Ne and N<sub>2</sub>:

$$(\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2)_{\text{sample}} = [(\text{}^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2)_{\text{sample}}/(\text{}^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2)_{\text{atmosphere}} - 1] * 1000 \quad (7)$$

240 The model generates enrichments of only 112‰ at NEEM and 96‰ at Summit at the base of the firn using the Goujon et al, (2003; their equation 9) parameterization for porosity partitioning. The modeled enrichments are significantly lower than the measurements (Figure 1). The Goujon et al. (2003) parameterization requires a single site-specific value for total porosity at complete close-off ( $s_{co}$ ). The values we used for  $s_{co}$  are given in Table 3. Model results using the Schwander et al. (1998) and Mitchell et al. (2015) parameterizations are similarly biased.

245 At equilibrium, modeled enrichment of Ne and H<sub>2</sub> is controlled primarily by the ratio of the volume-weighted average pressure in the open and closed pores to the ambient pressure, adjusted for mixing. We define a new parameter ( $R$ ) to describe this ratio:

$$R = (P_{\text{bubble}}s_c/s_{\text{total}} + P_{\text{ambient}}s_o/s_{\text{total}})/P_{\text{ambient}} \quad (8)$$

250

When previously published parameterizations of partitioning between open and closed pores are implemented in the model,  $R$  begins to increase too deep in the firn to capture the shallower  $\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2$  measurements (Figure 1 and Figure 2). To improve the simulation of the observed Ne enrichment, we introduce a new model configuration, referred to as



255 “*Mitchell\_optimized*”. In this configuration, partitioning between closed and open porosity is based on Mitchell et al. (2015, their equation 6). The formulation requires two site-specific parameters: 1)  $\rho_{co}$  – the density of the firm at complete close-off and 2)  $\sigma_{co}$  – the uncertainty due to fine scale variability in firm density and variability in close-off density. These two parameters are optimized to minimize the model-measurement bias for neon enrichment at each site (Table 3; Figures 2a, 2c).

260 The *Mitchell\_optimized* parameters are significantly different from the recommendations of Mitchell et al. (2015). Furthermore, the resulting closed porosity profiles are qualitatively different from other measured and modeled closed porosity profiles and are probably not physically realistic (Figure 2). Bubble close-off takes place over a much broader depth range in the *Mitchell\_optimized* configuration, and complete close-off (i.e.  $s_c > 0.999s_{total}$ ) does not occur until depths of 111.0 m (NEEM) and 112.5 m (Summit). Firm air could only be sampled to a depth of 75.9 m (NEEM) and 80.1 m (Summit), suggesting that complete close-off is actually significantly shallower than in the *Mitchell\_optimized* configuration.

265 We also examined one additional model configuration, referred to as the “*Compression*” configuration, in which we force the closed porosity profile to have a more typical complete close-off depth. In this configuration, the closed porosity above some optimized critical depth ( $z_{crit}$ ) is parameterized as in Goujon et al. (2003; their equation 9) with an optimized  $s_{co}$  parameter. Below the critical depth, closed porosity increases linearly with depth, reaching complete close-off at the same depth as in the Goujon et al. (2003) base-case (79.2 m at NEEM and 81.5 m at Summit). A similar two-stage closed porosity parameterization was used in Severinghaus & Battle (2006). The resulting closed porosity profiles are more similar to previously published profiles and probably more realistic (Figure 2). However, even with an optimized  $z_{crit}$  and  $s_{co}$ , we found that  $R$  increases too rapidly with depth to capture the  $\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2$  at both the top and the bottom of the lock-in zone. Therefore, to generate the necessary  $R$  profile while maintaining a realistic closed porosity profile, some other physical process must be modified in the model in addition to the closed porosity profile. Possible candidates include the rate of pressurization of bubbles, the rate of densification, and the rate of bubble close-off. A detailed observation-based investigation of these processes at these sites would require field data that do not currently exist. Here, we use the rate of bubble pressurization as an additional tuning lever to examine the sensitivity of the model results to the specific physical parameterizations in the model.

280 The bubble pressurization scheme used in the UCI\_2 model assumes that closed bubbles compress at the same rate as the total porosity after Severinghaus & Battle (2006). Bubble trapping models that assume such iso-compression have been shown to overestimate total air content in bubbles at the Lock-in and Vostok sites in East Antarctica (Fourteau et al., 2019). In that work, better model-measurement agreement was achieved by reducing the compressibility of closed bubbles by 50%. To reduce the compressibility of closed bubbles in our *Compression* model configuration, we modified the calculation of the incremental new volume of air at ambient pressure ( $\Delta V_{b(i)}$ ) occluded in bubbles between layer ( $i-1$ ) and layer ( $i$ ) per unit volume of firm:

$$\Delta V_{b(i)} = \frac{2 \left\{ s_{c(i)} - s_{c(i-1)} \left[ (1 - k_{comp}) + k_{comp} \frac{s_{total(i)}}{s_{total(i-1)} + \frac{\rho_{firn(i)}}{\rho_{firn(i-1)}} - 1 \right] \right\}}{(1 - k_{comp}) + k_{comp} \frac{s_{total(i)}}{s_{total(i-1)} + 1}} \quad (9)$$

where  $k_{comp}$  is a dimensionless free parameter that represents the degree of compressibility of the bubbles. In the limit of  $k_{comp}=1$ , equation 9 reduces to equation A12 of Severinghaus & Battle (2006), and bubbles compress at the same rate as the open pores. In the limit of  $k_{comp}=0$ , the bubbles are completely incompressible. In the *Compression* configuration,  $k_{comp}$  is simultaneously optimized with  $z_{crit}$  and  $s_{co}$  to achieve agreement between modeled and measured Ne enrichment (Figure 1 and 2; Table 3).

Both alternative model configurations (*Mitchell\_optimized* and *Compression*) yield a greatly improved fit between modeled and measured Ne enrichment at NEEM and Summit. The alternative model configurations are considered empirical tuning methods to fit the Ne data and should not be used to draw conclusions about the underlying firn physics. Further investigation of bubble pressurization, firn layering, and bubble close-off using high resolution measurements of density, porosity, and air content, in conjunction with measurements of highly diffusive trace gases like Ne or He would be useful in constraining the underlying physics of pore close-off fractionation for future Greenland firn air work.

The *Mitchell\_optimized* and *Compression* configuration yield very similar reconstructions. As long as accurate neon enrichment is achieved, the reconstructions are insensitive to the specific parameterization of closed porosity (Section 4; Figures 3 and 5). This result is important given the uncertainty and *ad hoc* nature of our model tuning. For the sake of simplicity, we use the *Mitchell\_optimized* configuration as the default model for NEEM and Summit for the remainder of this work. Comparisons between the *Mitchell\_optimized* and *Compression* configurations are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 5. Additionally, we note that the choice of configuration has a negligible effect on the model result for the tuning gases (Figure S5 for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>).

**Table 3-** Parameters used for each model configuration described in Section 3.2 and plotted in Figures 1 and 2

Site	<i>Mitchell_optimized</i>		<i>Compression</i>			<i>Goujon et al., (2003)</i>
	$\rho_{co}$ (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$\sigma_{co}$ <sup>a</sup> (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$s_{co}$	$z_{crit}$ (m)	$k_{comp}$	$s_{co}$ 310
NEEM	841	25.2	0.115	63.5	0.78	0.097 <sup>b</sup>
Summit	842	22.4	0.110	71.6	0.76	0.102 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>  $\sigma_{co}$  combines the uncertainty associated with both layering and close-off density in Mitchell et al. (2015), equation 6 as the two parameters cannot be optimized independently


<sup>b</sup> from Buizert et al. (2012)

<sup>c</sup> calculated from site temperature using the formulation of Martinerie et al. (1994)

320

325 **Figure 1: Measured and modeled depth profiles of  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  in the firn air at South Pole (a), Megadunes (b), NEEM (c), and Summit (d). The partitioning between closed and open porosity in the firn air model is from Severinghaus & Battle (2006) and Severinghaus et al., (2010) for South Pole and Megadunes respectively as in Patterson et al., (2020; 2021). For NEEM and Summit, several model configurations with different porosity partitioning parameterizations are plotted: *Mitchell\_optimized* (green lines), *Compression* (dashed purple lines), and Goujon et al., (2003; dashed magenta lines). See text for description of each configuration.**


330



335

340

345 **Figure 2: Closed porosity fraction ( $s_c/s_{total}$ ) and  $R$  for NEEM (a and b) and Summit (c and d). Several model configurations with different porosity partitioning parameterizations are plotted: *Mitchell\_optimized* (green lines), *Compression* (dashed purple lines), and *Goujon et al., (2003)* (dashed magenta lines). See text for description of each parameterization.**



350

### 3.3 Inversion methods

The firm air measurements are inverted to recover an atmospheric history of  $H_2$  from each site. The inversion technique employs depth-dependent age distributions or “Green’s functions” ( $G$ ; Figure 3; Rommelaere et al., 1997). The firm air model is initialized with no  $H_2$  in the firm air column, then forced with a transient 1-year unit pulse of  $H_2$  at the surface. The model is integrated for 300 years, and the evolution of the pulse is tracked as a function of depth and time to produce the Green’s functions. The age distributions grow older and broader with depth (Figure S1). For most gases, the Green’s functions integrate to 1 at every depth. In the case of  $H_2$  and Ne, the sum of the Green’s functions in the lock-in zone is slightly greater than 1 due to pore close-off fractionation. Given an atmospheric history ( $H_{2(am)}$ ), modeled levels of  $H_2$  in the firm ( $H_{2(firm)}$ ) are calculated according to equation 10:

$$H_{2(firm)}(z) = \sum_{t=0}^{300} H_{2(atm)}(t) * G(z, t) \quad (10)$$

Using Green’s function allows for rapid iteration over many possible atmospheric histories without running the firm air model in forward mode. The inversion problem is under-constrained. Multiple atmospheric histories can provide good agreement with the measured depth profile, and additional constraints are required to yield a unique solution. In previous studies, the additional constraint has been implemented as a smoothing parameter (Patterson et al., 2020, 2021; Petrenko et al., 2013; Rommelaere et al., 1997). In this work, we rely instead on the assumption of autocorrelation and utilize the probabilistic modelling software package, Stan (mcstan.org). Stan has been used previously for firm air reconstructions by Aydin et al. (2020). As in that work, we use Stan to implement a Bayesian hierarchical model in which the atmospheric history is treated as an autocorrelated random variable:

$$\mathbf{m}_{atm}[t_i] \sim N(\mathbf{m}_{atm}[t_{i-1}], \alpha \mathbf{m}_{atm}[t_{i-1}]) \quad (11)$$

Where  $N$  is the normal distribution, and  $\mathbf{m}_{atm}$  is a vector of length  $i$  that contains the discrete atmospheric  $H_2$  dry air mole fraction history with a timestep of 1 year. The atmospheric mixing ratio at time  $t_i$  is normally distributed around the atmospheric mixing ratio at time  $t_{i-1}$  with a standard deviation of  $\alpha \mathbf{m}_{atm}[t_{i-1}]$ .  $\alpha$  is a positive scalar and is varied as a free parameter. The atmospheric history is related to the firm air measurements:

$$\mathbf{h}_{obs} \sim N(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m}_{atm}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \quad (12)$$

380


Where  $\mathbf{h}_{obs}$  is a vector of length  $n$ , which contains the measured  $H_2$  levels at each depth,  $\mathbf{G}$  is an  $n$  by  $i$  matrix where each row corresponds to the Green’s function for that unique depth (referred to as the “kernels” by Rommelaere et al. (1997)), and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is a vector of length  $n$ , which contains the analytical uncertainties of the firm air measurements. Stan samples the joint posterior probability distribution for the parameters,  $\mathbf{m}_{atm}$  and  $\alpha$  using a fast Hamiltonian Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm (Carpenter et al., 2017). Parameters are sampled from uniform prior distributions unless otherwise specified. Inversions are carried out using the MatlabStan 2.15.1.0 interface to cmdstan 2.27.0. The advantages of this method over other methods include: 1) No arbitrarily specified smoothing criteria is imposed (instead the atmospheric history is assumed to be autocorrelated), and 2) Stan allows us to easily explore sensitivity to assumptions about the data by encoding those assumptions as priors.

390

In Greenland,  $H_2$  levels in the upper firm layers are strongly influenced by seasonal variations, and firm air reconstructions cannot accurately reconstruct such high frequency variability. Therefore, measurements from the upper part of the firm were excluded from the reconstructions. A cut-off depth was determined by forcing the firm air model in forward mode with an atmospheric history that consists of constant annually averaged  $H_2$  levels with a realistic seasonal cycle

imposed. Depths where the H<sub>2</sub> concentration varied by more than 1% from the annual average were excluded from the reconstruction. The cut-off depths were 62 m and 66 m for NEEM and Summit. Unlike Greenland, Antarctic H<sub>2</sub> reconstructions are not sensitive to seasonality primarily because seasonal variability in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels over Antarctica is less than half that of seasonal variability over Greenland.

400 **Figure 3: Calculated H<sub>2</sub> age distributions (Green's Functions) for depths of 75.9 m at NEEM (a) and 80.1 m at Summit (b) using two different parameterizations for the partitioning of closed and open porosity with depth. The *Mitchell\_optimized* (green lines) and *Compression* (dashed purple lines) configurations produce very similar age distributions.**



#### 4. Atmospheric reconstructions

405 Atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> reconstructions were carried out for each Greenland site independently (Figure 4). The NEEM and Summit sites have different physical characteristics (mean annual temperature and accumulation rate) and were sampled at different times yielding different firn air age distributions (Figure 3). The model inversions yield generally good agreement between the modeled and measured depth profiles for NEEM (Figure 4b). Agreement is also good at Summit, except at the bottom of lock-in where the modeled depth profile increases monotonically with depth while the deepest measurement shows a slight decrease. The disagreement in trend at the bottom of the firn is due to a single firn air measurement that insufficiently constrains H<sub>2</sub> levels prior to 1975. A decreasing trend in the modeled depth profile is obtained by halving the uncertainty on the Summit firn measurements (Figure S2). This more tightly constrained reconstruction yields H<sub>2</sub> levels 15-  
410

20 ppb lower than the base-case Summit reconstruction prior to 1975 (Figure 4a). For the post-1975 time period during which we consider the reconstruction valid, the differences between the two reconstructions are negligible.

415         Firn air measurements from NEEM constrain atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels after 1950. The NEEM reconstruction shows atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> increasing from 1950-1990 at an average rate of 3.3 ppb y<sup>-1</sup> (400-530 ppb). After 1990, atmospheric levels decrease slowly at an average rate of 0.8 ppb y<sup>-1</sup> reaching 515 ppb in 2008. Summit firn air is younger, yielding an atmospheric history beginning in 1975. The Summit reconstruction shows atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> increasing from 500-528 ppb from 1975 to 1990, an average rate of 1.1 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>. After 1994 atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels are mostly stable until 2003. After 2003,  
420 atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> decrease slowly at a rate of 1.3 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>, reaching 515 ppb in 2013.


A “joint” reconstruction was carried out in which the most probable atmospheric history given the firn air data from both Greenland sites is calculated (Figure 5). Reconstructed atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels increase from 390 ppb in 1950 to 530 ppb in 1987 at an average rate of 3.8 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>. After 1987, atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels are stable near 530 ppb until 2002, when they begin to decrease slowly, reaching 515 ppb in 2013. There is good agreement between the modeled and measured depth  
425 profiles for the joint reconstruction, and the difference between the *Mitchell\_optimized* configuration and *Compression* configuration are negligible (Figure 5).

A joint reconstruction was also carried out for the two Antarctic sites (Figure 6). Because of unique site characteristics, the firn air at Megadunes is old, and the Antarctic H<sub>2</sub> levels may be reconstructed beginning in 1875 (Severinghaus et al., 2010). The reconstruction shows H<sub>2</sub> levels stable near 330 ppb from 1875-1900. After 1900,  
430 atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels increase at an average rate of 1.6 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>, reaching 440 ppb in 1970. After 1970, atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels increase more rapidly at a rate of 4.11 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>, reaching 550 ppb in 1997 and stabilizing thereafter. There is good agreement between the modeled and measured depth profiles at the Antarctic sites. The South Pole data suggest some additional fluctuations in the growth rate of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, particularly a reduced growth rate from 1940-1955 (Figure 6). These fluctuations are not present in the joint reconstruction due to the smoothing inherent in the inversion  
435 method. This is an inherent limitation of firn air reconstructions.

440

445

450 **Figure 4: H<sub>2</sub> atmospheric histories and firn air profiles reconstructed independently from firn air measurements at Greenland sites NEEM and Summit using the *Mitchell\_optimized* model configuration. a) NEEM (purple), Summit (orange), with  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty (shaded regions). Firn air measurements adjusted for pore close-off fractionation, are plotted against mean age (crosses and triangles). Black x's are the Synthetic Summit history from Pétron et al. (2023) and Langenfelds et al. (2002; Section 5). b) and c) measured and modeled depth profiles at NEEM and Summit, respectively. Squares with error bars are measurements used in the reconstruction, and circles are measurements excluded due to seasonality. Coloured lines and shading are the depth profiles modeled from the histories in (a) with the propagated  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty. The dashed black lines indicate the top of lock-in zone.**



455


460

465



**Figure 5: Joint reconstructions using firn air profiles at Greenland sites NEEM and Summit. a) joint reconstruction (green line and  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty shading) using the *Mitchell\_optimized* model configuration; dashed purple line-reconstruction result using the *Compression* configuration; other markers are as in Figure 4a; b) and c) modeled depth profiles at NEEM and Summit with symbols as in Figure 4.**


470



475

480

485 **Figure 6: Joint reconstruction using firn air profiles at the two Antarctic sites. a) blue line and shading-**  
**reconstruction result and associated  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty; black x's-  $H_2$  annual means from measurements made by**  
**NOAA/GML at Palmer, Syowa, South Pole, and Halley Stations, Antarctica and Cape Grim Observatory, Tasmania**  
**(Novelli et al., 1999; Novelli, 2006); black circles-  $H_2$  annual means from measurements made by CSIRO at Casey,**  
**South Pole, and Mawson Stations, Antarctica and Cape Grim and Macquarie Island, Tasmania (Langenfelds et al.,**  
490 **2002);  $H_2$  annual means from measurements made by Khalil & Rasmussen (1990) at Palmer Station, Antarctica, and**  
**Cape Grim, Tasmania, red diamonds and yellow stars- deepest 10 firn air measurements plotted against modeled**  
**mean age for South Pole and Megadunes respectively, the data have been adjusted for pore close-off fractionation; b)**  
**black markers- measured  $H_2$  depth profile at South Pole ; blue line and shading- modeled depth profile using the**  
**atmospheric history plotted in blue in (a) with the propagated  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty; the dashed black line indicates the top**  
**of lock-in zone; c) as in b) for Megadunes**



495

500

## 505 5. Comparison of firn air reconstructions with atmospheric flask measurements and previously published reconstructions

Petrenko et al. (2013) produced two atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> reconstructions from the NEEM firn air data. The reconstructions were generated using two different models: LGGE-GISPA and INSTAAR. Neither of those models include pore close-off fractionation, and Patterson et al. (2021) suggested that the maximum in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> inferred from the NEEM firn air could be an artifact of ignoring pore close-off fractionation. Petrenko et al (2013) used the matrix inversion method of Rommelaere et al. (1997), which requires a prescribed smoothing parameter to fully constrain the inversion. Those results were corrected for a calibration revision (see Supplement) and are compared to our joint reconstruction (Figure 8a). The LGGE-GISPA reconstruction shows a rapid rise in H<sub>2</sub> levels from 1960-1990, and a rapid decrease from 1990-2008. The INSTAAR reconstruction shows H<sub>2</sub> levels rising more gradually from 1960-1985 and decreasing gradually thereafter. The rate of increase of H<sub>2</sub> levels between 1960 and 1980 in our joint reconstruction is very similar to the rates of increase in the LGGE-GISPA reconstruction. However, in our joint reconstruction, the rate of increase slows after 1980, and H<sub>2</sub> levels plateau by 1990. Here, we compare our reconstruction to available atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> flask measurements from the high northern latitudes in order to assess the validity of our reconstructed plateau during the 1990s (Figure 7).

520 Direct comparisons between the firn reconstructions and atmospheric measurements at other sites are complicated by spatial variability in H<sub>2</sub> levels in the high northern latitudes. The best temporal coverage for flask air H<sub>2</sub> measurements is from the Barrow, Alaska and Alert, Nunavut sampling sites. Both sites sample the boundary layer and are influenced by their proximity to seasonally active soils. H<sub>2</sub> levels over Greenland are more representative of the free troposphere due to higher elevation and remoteness from active soils. To make this comparison, we utilize NOAA/GML measurements at Alert, Nunavut and Summit, Greenland from 2010-present (revised to the MPI09 calibration scale; Pétron et al., 2023) and CSIRO measurements from Alert from 1992 onwards (also on the MPI09 scale).

530 During the 12 years of NOAA/GML measurements at Summit and Alert, the offset between Summit and Alert varies from a minimum of 18.9 ppb to a maximum of 29.4 ppb with a mean offset of 25.4 ppb  $\pm$  3.0 ppb (1 $\sigma$ ) with Summit levels higher than Alert. We added this offset to the longer CSIRO Alert time series to generate a longer “synthetic Summit” flask history, assuming that the offset remains constant through time (Figures 4-5,7-8). The most conspicuous feature of the synthetic Summit history is a sharp peak in H<sub>2</sub> levels during 1998 which can be attributed to increased biomass burning emissions during the strong 1997-1998 ENSO event (Langenfelds et al., 2002; van der Werf et al., 2004). Firn air cannot resolve such high frequency variability due to diffusive smoothing, so that feature is absent from our reconstructions. Excepting the 1998 peak, synthetic Summit H<sub>2</sub> levels are largely constant between 525 and 515 from 1992-2013, in good agreement with our reconstructed 1990s plateau.


We also examined the atmospheric data for evidence of the H<sub>2</sub> maximum around 1990 indicated by the firn air reconstructions of Petrenko et al. (2013). If this peak had occurred in the atmosphere, we would expect similar trends at all

of the high latitude flask sites despite the differences in absolute H<sub>2</sub> levels. Here we examine flask measurements from Barrow, Alaska (Khalil & Rasmussen, 1990; NOAA/GML) and Alert, Canada (CSIRO; Figure 7). The measurements from NOAA/GML from 1992-2005 were corrected to the MPI09 calibration scale using the matched flask intercomparison project mentioned previously (Section 2.2). The Khalil & Rasmussen (1990) data are reported on an independent calibration scale which has not been intercompared to the MPI09 calibration scale. The Barrow data from Khalil & Rasmussen (1990) shows an increase in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> of 2.1 ppb y<sup>-1</sup> from 1985-1988 and the subsequent NOAA/GML data show a decrease in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> from 1989-1993, at a rate of 5.0 ppb y<sup>-1</sup>. The reason for the rapid decrease in observed H<sub>2</sub> levels in the NOAA/GML data is not clear. At that time, anthropogenic emissions of H<sub>2</sub> were likely decreasing but not rapidly enough to account for the observed decrease (Hoesly et al., 2018; Paulot et al., 2021). It is possible that the observed decrease is linked to NOAA's drifting calibration scale as discussed in Section 2.2. Together, the trends in these two data sets imply a maximum in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> in 1989. However, the strength of this conclusion is questionable, because the flask intercomparison project did not include data prior to 1992. Furthermore, the NOAA/GML Barrow data are subject to additional uncertainty due to the single point calibration of those measurements and the non-linearity of the HgO-RGA detector used by NOAA/GML at the time. Further paleo-studies are warranted to investigate the brief but dramatic decrease in the Barrow data from 1989-1993. From 1993-2010, there is no discernible trend in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> at either Barrow or Alert. Generally, the H<sub>2</sub> plateau from our joint reconstruction is more consistent with 1990s flask data than the sustained and dramatic decrease in the Petrenko et al. (2013) reconstructions.

Firm diffusion imposes smoothing on atmospheric histories and prevents the reconstruction of high frequency atmospheric variability. To test whether a brief, sharp decline in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> prior to a plateau, as suggested by the flask measurements, is compatible with the firm air measurements, we forced the firm air model with a synthetic atmospheric history. The synthetic history was identical to the joint reconstruction before 1980. After 1980, H<sub>2</sub> levels in the synthetic history increase linearly to 546 ppb in 1989. There is a 5 ppb y<sup>-1</sup> decrease from 1989-1993 (as observed in the Barrow flask measurements) and subsequently H<sub>2</sub> levels are the same in the synthetic history as in the joint reconstruction. The depth profiles generated by the model when forced with the synthetic history show reasonable agreement with the measured firm H<sub>2</sub> (Figure S4). Therefore, a short, rapid decline in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels around 1990 cannot be excluded as a possibility.

The results of the joint Antarctic reconstruction are compared to previously published reconstructions from those individual sites from (Patterson et al., 2020; 2021; Figure 8b). The differences between the joint reconstructions and the previously published reconstructions are relatively minor. The joint reconstruction shows a slower increase in H<sub>2</sub> levels prior to 1970 and a more rapid increase from 1970-1997 while the reconstructions of Patterson et al. (2020; 2021) show a more uniform rate of increase during the 20<sup>th</sup> century. This is likely due to the use of an arbitrary smoothing parameter in the earlier studies, while an autocorrelation function was used in the joint reconstruction. Additionally, there are small differences in the tuning of the firm air model compared to the earlier publications. The joint Antarctic reconstruction is in good agreement with available flask measurements from high Southern latitudes, which show H<sub>2</sub> levels rising throughout the 1990s and plateauing thereafter (Figure 8b).

575 **Figure 7: Comparison of the joint Greenland firn air reconstruction with high northern latitude flask measurements.**  
 580 **green line and shading- joint reconstruction as in Figure 5a; dashed red line- annual mean synthetic Summit H<sub>2</sub> history (Section 5; Pétron et al., 2023, Langenfelds et al., 2002); yellow line- annually-averaged flask measurements from Alert, Nunavut made by CSIRO (Langenfelds et al., 2002); dashed purple line- annually-averaged flask measurements from Barrow, Alaska made by Khalil & Rasmussen (1990); blue line- annually-averaged flask measurement from Barrow, Alaska made by NOAA/GML (Novelli et al., 1999; Novelli, 2006); Measurements from NOAA/GML were empirically corrected to the MPI09 calibration scale using a matched flask inter-comparison project between CSIRO and NOAA/GML. Note: measurements from Khalil & Rasmussen (1990) and NOAA/GML before 1993 are subject to additional calibration uncertainty as discussed in the text.**




585

590

595

Figure 8: Comparison of our joint reconstructions with previously published firn air reconstructions a) green line and shading, - joint Greenland reconstruction as in Figure 5a; purple lines- Reconstructions from Petrenko et al. (2013) using the LGGE-GISPA model (dotted line) and INSTAAR model (dashed line); black x's- annual mean synthetic Summit H<sub>2</sub> history (Section 5; Pétron et al., 2023, Langenfelds et al., 2002). b) Blue line and shading- joint reconstruction as in Figure 6a; dashed maroon line- South Pole firn air reconstruction from Patterson et al., 2020; dashed red line- Megadunes firn air reconstruction from Patterson et al., 2021; black x's- H<sub>2</sub> annual means from measurements made by NOAA/GML at Palmer, Syowa, South Pole, and Halley Stations, Antarctica and Cape Grim Observatory, Tasmania (Novelli et al., 1999; Novelli, 2006); black circles- H<sub>2</sub> annual means from measurements made by CSIRO at Casey, South Pole, and Mawson Stations, Antarctica and Cape Grim and Macquarie Island, Tasmania (Langenfelds et al., 2002); H<sub>2</sub> annual means from measurements made by Khalil & Rasmussen (1990) at Palmer Station, Antarctica, and Cape Grim, Tasmania



## 615 6. *In situ* production

Previous research has found evidence for *in situ* production of CO in the Arctic snowpack. It is important to consider the possibility of *in situ* H<sub>2</sub> production at the Greenland sites because H<sub>2</sub> and CO are co-produced by the photooxidation of carbonyl-containing precursors. CO has been shown to be produced photochemically from CH<sub>2</sub>O in surface snow (Hahn et al., 2001). At Devon Island, Canada CO levels in the firn increased steadily with depth in both the diffusive and lock-in zones, exhibiting clear evidence of *in situ* production (Clark et al. 2007). By contrast, NEEM and Summit firn air both exhibit CO maxima in the firn air column associated with a late 20<sup>th</sup> century atmospheric maximum, with CO levels decreasing with increasing depth (Petrenko et al., 2013). Petrenko et al. (2013) concluded *in situ* production at those sites was not sufficient to bias the atmospheric reconstruction. Those researchers used three lines of evidence to support their conclusion. First, the ice age at the depth of the observed lock-in zone CO peak is different at the two sites, so the peak cannot be attributed to a widely deposited layer of organic impurities. Second, the CO/CH<sub>4</sub> ratio at a given depth is in reasonable agreement at NEEM and Summit. CO and CH<sub>4</sub> have similar gas-phase diffusivities, so the mean age of the two

gases at a given depth is comparable. CH<sub>4</sub> is known to be well preserved in Greenland firn air. If *in situ* production differentially affected CO levels at the two sites, it would be expected that CO/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios would diverge. Third, forcing a firn air model with an atmospheric flask derived CO history yields good agreement with the measured depth profile down to the top of lock-in zone where the firn air is too old to be constrained by flask measurements.

Greenland ice cores do exhibit evidence of *in situ* CO production. Early discrete measurements on Eurocore ice showed low, steady preindustrial CO levels (Hahn and Raynaud, 1998; Hahn et al., 1996). By contrast, Fain et al. (2022) reported higher and more variable CO levels from several Greenland sites (including NEEM) using continuous flow and discrete methods. The elevated CO levels were apparently associated with localized organic impurities in the ice. The high variability in CO detected by the continuous flow method is not compatible with continuous production in the firn, where such signals should be smoothed by diffusion. It is therefore likely that the high frequency variability observed by Fain et al. (2022) originated below the lock-in zone. However, the ice core data do not preclude the possibility of some *in situ* production having occurred in the overlying firn.

The production of CO in Greenland firn air and ice is not well understood, and no physical mechanism has been proposed that can explain all of the observations. If the production is photochemical, one would expect it to be much faster in the firn than in ice, due to the much larger actinic flux at the surface. Until the mechanism is established, it is difficult to assess whether and to what extent *in situ* production might influence firn air H<sub>2</sub>. Depending on the depth and mechanism, production of H<sub>2</sub> in the firn column would cause a high bias during some or all of our atmospheric reconstructions. Further research on this issue is needed.

645

## 7. Summary and conclusions

In this study we use all available firn air measurements of H<sub>2</sub> at Greenland and Antarctic sites to reconstruct atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> levels over the past century. The joint Antarctic reconstruction covers the 1875-2003 period and is based on firn air measurements from South Pole and Megadunes (Patterson et al., 2020; 2021). That reconstruction shows good agreement with the atmospheric flask network since the late 1980s. The good fit between measured and simulated Ne enrichment obtained using the our firn air model gives us high confidence in the modelling of pore close-off fractionation at the Antarctic sites.

Reconstructing H<sub>2</sub> levels over Greenland is more challenging because of because of the additional tuning required to simulate the pore close-off induced enrichment of neon in the firn. To fit the neon firn data, we used one model configuration with an optimized but unrealistic closed porosity profile (*Mitchell\_optimized*) and one model configuration that uses a more realistic, optimized closed porosity profile in conjunction with an optimized rate of bubble pressurization (*Compression*). To our knowledge, the rate of bubble pressurization in Greenland firn has not been studied in detail. Both model configurations yield improved agreement between modeled and measured neon at the Greenland firn sites with negligible effects on the model results for less permeable trace gases like CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> (Figure 2; Figure S5). The results of our reconstructions are insensitive to the choice of model configuration.

660

The *Mitchell\_optimized* and *Compression* model configurations are empirical tuning methods and do not address uncertainty around the physics underlying the observed pore close-off induced enrichment in Greenland firn air. A path to increasing confidence in firn air reconstructions for H<sub>2</sub> is to obtain new observations of firn air chemistry with high resolution measurements of firn density, porosity, and bubble total air content. Absent additional measurements, we believe  
665 that the results presented in Figure 9 are the best estimate for the evolution (and uncertainty) of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> during the 20<sup>th</sup> century over Antarctica and Greenland. Ice core records at high accumulation sites should also be collected in order to constrain H<sub>2</sub> levels over the late pre-industrial and industrial eras. Such records will be important to better understand the global H<sub>2</sub> cycle, the impact of human activities on H<sub>2</sub> in the past, and the atmospheric response to increasing anthropogenic H<sub>2</sub> emissions in a warming climate.

670 One surprising result is that our reconstructions show higher H<sub>2</sub> levels over Greenland than over Antarctica prior to 1990. This implies a reversal in the sign of the interhemispheric difference during the late 20<sup>th</sup> century. It is believed that Northern hemispheric H<sub>2</sub> levels are lower than Southern hemisphere levels today due to strong uptake of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> by Northern hemisphere soils. The N/S interhemispheric difference in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> occurs in spite of the fact that direct anthropogenic emissions of H<sub>2</sub> occur predominantly in the northern hemisphere. The dominance of northern hemispheric soil  
675 sink is largely a function of the asymmetry in land area between the two hemispheres. While the soil sink may vary over time due to changes in ecosystems, land use, or climate, a dramatic change would be required in order to have caused a reversal of the interhemispheric difference. In this respect, the firn air reconstructions present a challenge to our understanding of the global atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> budget. The reversal in the sign of the reconstructed interhemispheric is sensitive to our assumption the *in situ* production does not affect H<sub>2</sub> in the NEEM and Summit firn, and the careful examination of  
680 this assumption is warranted.

685


690

695

700



**Figure 9: Best estimates for the atmospheric history of H<sub>2</sub> in Greenland and Antarctica over the past century. Green line- joint Greenland firn air reconstruction as in Figure 5a; Blue line- joint Antarctic firn air reconstruction as in Figure 6a; Shaded areas are  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainties.**



705

#### **Data and code availability**

The firn air model code and H<sub>2</sub> firn air depth profiles used in this research are available at the Dryad data repository (doi:10.5061/dryad.zkh1893gm).

710

#### **Author contributions**

J.D.P. carried out the model simulations and data analysis; M.A. contributed to data analysis; A.M.C., G.P., R.L.L., and P.B.K. made calibration corrections and contributed atmospheric flask measurements; J.P.S. collected the field samples, measured  $\delta^{22}\text{Ne}/\text{N}_2$ , and assisted with firn air modeling; V.V.P. collected field samples and contributed to firn air measurements, E.S.S. contributed to modeling, data analysis, and editing the manuscript; and J.D.P. wrote the manuscript.

715

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

720

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Christo Buizert for helpful discussions about firm air modelling. This manuscript benefited greatly from the reviews of Christo Buizert and Thomas Röckmann. This research was supported by the NSF (OPP-1907974 and OPP-2019719).

725

## References

- Aydin, M., Britten, G. L., Montzka, S. A., Buizert, C., Primeau, F., Petrenko, V., Battle, M. B., Nicewonger, M. R., Patterson, J., Hmiel, B., & Saltzman, E. S.: Anthropogenic Impacts on Atmospheric Carbonyl Sulfide Since the 19th Century Inferred From Polar Firm Air and Ice Core Measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 125(16), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033074>, 2020.
- 730
- Bader, H.: Density of Ice as a Function of Temperature and Stress. Special Report (U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory), 64, 1–6, 1964.
- Battle, M., Bender, M., Sowers, T., Tans, P. P., Butler, J. H., Elkins, J. W., Ellis, J. T., Conway, T., Zhang, N., Lang, P., & Clarke, A. D.: Atmospheric gas concentrations over the past century measured in air from firn at the South Pole. *Nature*, 383(6597), 231–235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/383231a0>, 1996.
- 735
- Buizert, C., & Severinghaus, J. P.: Dispersion in deep polar firn driven by synoptic-scale surface pressure variability. *Cryosphere*, 10(5), 2099–2111. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2099-2016>, 2016.
- Buizert, C.: Studies of firn air. In S. A. Elias & C. J. Mock (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science 2* (pp. 361–372). Elsevier, 2013.
- 740
- Buizert, C., Martinerie, P., Petrenko, V. v., Severinghaus, J. P., Trudinger, C. M., Witrant, E., Rosen, J. L., Orsi, A. J., Rubino, M., Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Hogan, C., Laube, J. C., Sturges, W. T., Levchenko, V. A., Smith, A. M., Levin, I., Conway, T. J., Dlugokencky, E. J., ... Blunier, T.: Gas transport in firn: Multiple-tracer characterisation and model intercomparison for NEEM, Northern Greenland. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(9), 4259–4277. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4259-2012>, 2012.
- 745
- Butler, J. H., Battle, M., Bender, M. L., Montzka, S. A., Clarke, A. D., Saltzman, E. S., Sucher, C. M., Severinghaus, J. P., & Elkins, J. W.: A record of atmospheric halocarbons during the twentieth century from polar firn air. *Nature*, 399(6738), 749–755. <https://doi.org/10.1038/21586>, 1999.
- Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M. D., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., Brubaker, M. A., Guo, J., Li, P., & Riddell, A.: Stan: A probabilistic programming language. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76(1). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v076.i01>, 2017.
- 750
- Clark, I. D., Henderson, L., Chappellaz, J., Fisher, D., Koerner, R., Worthy, D. E. J., Kotzer, T., Norman, A. L., & Barnola, J. M.: CO<sub>2</sub> isotopes as tracers of firn air diffusion and age in an Arctic ice cap with summer melting, Devon Island, Canada. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 112(1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007471>, 2007.
- 755
- Derwent, R. G., Stevenson, D. S., Utembe, S. R., Jenkin, M. E., Khan, A. H., & Shallcross, D. E.: Global modelling studies of hydrogen and its isotopomers using STOCHEM-CRI: Likely radiative forcing consequences of a future hydrogen economy. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 45(15), 9211–9221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.01.125>, 2020.

- 760 Dlugokencky, E., & Lan, X.: Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1968-2019. NOAA/ESRL. [ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace\\_gases/co2/](ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co2/), 2020a.
- Dlugokencky, E., & Lan, X.: Atmospheric Methane Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1983-2019. NOAA/ESRL. [ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace\\_gases/ch4/](ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/ch4/), 2020b.
- 765 Ehhalt, D. H., & Rohrer, F.: The tropospheric cycle of H<sub>2</sub>: A critical review. *Tellus, Series B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 61(3), 500–535. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2009.00416.x>, 2009.
- Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Francey, R. J., & Langenfelds, R. L.: Atmospheric methane between 1000 A.D. and present: Evidence of anthropogenic emissions and climatic variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(D13), 15979–15993, 1998.
- 770 Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Langenfelds, R. L., & Francey, R. J.: Natural and anthropogenic changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the last 1000 years from air in Antarctic ice and firn. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 101(D2), 4115–4128. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.44.27509>, 1996.
- Faïn, X., Rhodes, R. H., Place, P., Petrenko, V. V., Fourteau, K., Chellman, N., Crosier, E., McConnell, J. R., Brook, E. J., Blunier, T., Legrand, M., & Chappellaz, J.: Northern Hemisphere atmospheric history of carbon monoxide since preindustrial times reconstructed from multiple Greenland ice cores. *Climate of the Past*, 18(3), 631–647. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-18-631-2022>, 2022.
- 775 Fourteau, K., Martinerie, P., Faïn, X., Schaller, C. F., Tuckwell, R. J., Löwe, H., Arnaud, L., Magand, O., Thomas, E. R., Freitag, J., Mulvaney, R., Schneebeli, M., & Lipenkov, V. Y.: Multi-tracer study of gas trapping in an East Antarctic ice core. *Cryosphere*, 13(12), 3383–3403. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-3383-2019>, 2019.
- 780 Fuller, E. N., Schettler, P. D., & Giddings, J. C.: New method for prediction of binary gas-phase diffusion coefficients. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 58, 18–27, 1966.
- Goujon, C., Barnola, J. M., & Ritz, C.: Modelling the densification of polar firn including heat diffusion: Application to close-off characteristics and gas isotopic fractionation for Antarctica and Greenland sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres*, 108(24). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jd003319>, 2003.
- 785 Haan, D., Martinerie, P., & Raynaud, D.: Ice core data of atmospheric carbon monoxide over Antarctica and Greenland during the last 200 years. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23(17), 2235–2238. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL02137>, 1996.
- Haan, D., & Raynaud, D.: Ice core record of CO variations during the last two millennia: atmospheric implications and chemical interactions within the Greenland ice. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 50(3), 253. <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v50i3.16101>, 1998.
- 790 Haan, D., Zuo, Y., Gros, V., & Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M.: Photochemical Production of Carbon Monoxide in Snow. In *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 40, 2001.
- Hmiel, B., Petrenko, V. v., Dyonisius, M. N., Buizert, C., Smith, A. M., Place, P. F., Harth, C., Beaudette, R., Hua, Q., Yang, B., Vimont, I., Michel, S. E., Severinghaus, J. P., Etheridge, D., Bromley, T., Schmitt, J., Faïn, X., Weiss, R. F., & Dlugokencky, E.: Preindustrial 14CH<sub>4</sub> indicates greater anthropogenic fossil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. *Nature*, 578(7795), 409–412. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-1991-8>, 2020.
- 795

- 800 Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J. I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., & Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750-2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(1), 369–408. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018>, 2018.
- Jordan, A., & Steinberg, B.: Calibration of atmospheric hydrogen measurements. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 4(3), 509–521. <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-509-2011>, 2011.
- 805 Khalil, M. A. K., & Rasmussen, R. A.: Global increase of atmospheric molecular hydrogen. *Nature*, 347, 743–745. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797\(80\)90501-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(80)90501-9), 1990.
- Langenfelds, R. L., Francey, R. J., Pak, B. C., Steele, L. P., Lloyd, J., Trudinger, C. M., & Allison, C. E.: Interannual growth rate variations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and its δ<sup>13</sup>C, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO between 1992 and 1999 linked to biomass burning. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16(3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001gb001466>, 2002.
- 810 Martinerie, P., Raynaud, D., Etheridge, D. M., Barnola, J.-M., & Mazaudier, D.: Physical and climatic parameters which influence the air content in polar ice. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 112, 1992.
- Martinerie, P., Lipenkov, V. Y., Raynaud, D., Chappellaz, J., Barkov, N. I., & Lorius, C.: Air content paleo record in the Vostok ice core (Antarctica): a mixed record of climatic and glaciological parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99(D5). <https://doi.org/10.1029/93jd03223>, 1994.
- 815 Mitchell, L. E., Buizert, C., Brook, E. J., Breton, D. J., Fegyveresi, J., Baggenstos, D., Orsi, A., Severinghaus, J., Alley, R. B., Albert, M., Rhodes, R. H., McConnell, J. R., Sigl, M., Maselli, O., Gregory, S., & Ahn, J.: Observing and modeling the influence of layering on bubble trapping in polar firn. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 120(6), 2558–2574. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022766>, 2015.
- Novelli, P. C.: Atmospheric Hydrogen Mixing Ratios from the NOAA GMD Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1988-2005. NOAA/ESRL, 2006.
- 820 Novelli, P. C., Crotwell, A. M., & Hall, B. D.: Application of gas chromatography with a pulsed discharge helium ionization detector for measurements of molecular hydrogen in the atmosphere. *Environmental Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es803180g>, 2009.
- 825 Novelli, P. C., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Hurst, D. F., Myers, R., & Elkins, J. W.: Molecular hydrogen in the troposphere: Global distribution and budget. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(D23), 30427–30444, 1999
- Patterson, J. D., Aydin, M., Crotwell, A. M., Pétron, G., Severinghaus, J. P., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L. & Saltzman, E. S.: H<sub>2</sub> in Antarctic firn air: Atmospheric reconstructions and implications for anthropogenic emissions. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103335118>, 2021.
- 830 Patterson, J. D., Aydin, M., Crotwell, A. M., Petron, G., Severinghaus, J. P., & Saltzman, E. S.: Atmospheric History of H<sub>2</sub> Over the Past Century Reconstructed from South Pole Firn Air. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(14), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087787>, 2020.
- Patterson, J. D., & Saltzman, E. S.: Diffusivity and solubility of H<sub>2</sub> in ice Ih: Implications for the behavior of H<sub>2</sub> in polar ice. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 126, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033840>, 2021.

- 835 Paulot, F., Paynter, D., Naik, V., Malyshev, S., Menzel, R., & Horowitz, L. W.: Global modeling of hydrogen using GFDL-AM4.1: Sensitivity of soil removal and radiative forcing. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 46(24), 13446–13460. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.01.088>, 2021.
- 840 Petrenko, V. v., Martinerie, P., Novelli, P., Etheridge, D. M., Levin, I., Wang, Z., Blunier, T., Chappellaz, J., Kaiser, J., Lang, P., Steele, L. P., Hammer, S., Mak, J., Langenfelds, R. L., Schwander, J., Severinghaus, J. P., Witrant, E., Petron, G., Battle, M. O., ... White, J. W. C.: A 60 yr record of atmospheric carbon monoxide reconstructed from Greenland firn air. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13(15), 7567–7585. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7567-2013>, 2013.
- Pétron, G., Crotwell, A., Kitzis, M., Madronich, D., Mefford, T., Moglia, E., Mund, J., Neff, D., Thoning, K., & Wolter, S.: Atmospheric Hydrogen Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 2009-Present [Data set]. <https://doi.org/10.15138/WP0W-EZ08>, 2023.
- 845 Pieterse, G., Krol, M. C., Batenburg, A. M., M. Brenninkmeijer, C. A., Popa, M. E., O’Doherty, S., Grant, A., Steele, L. P., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Wang, H. J., Vermeulen, A. T., Schmidt, M., Yver, C., Jordan, A., Engel, A., Fisher, R. E., Lowry, D., Nisbet, E. G., ... Röckmann, T.: Reassessing the variability in atmospheric H<sub>2</sub> using the two-way nested TM5 model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118(9), 3764–3780. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50204>, 2013.
- 850 Pieterse, G., Krol, M. C., Batenburg, A. M., Steele, L. P., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., & Röckmann, T.: Global modelling of H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios and isotopic compositions with the TM5 model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(14), 7001–7026. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7001-2011>, 2011.
- Prather, M. J.: An environmental experiment with H<sub>2</sub>. *Science*, 302(5645), 581–582. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091060>, 2003.
- 855 Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Aduini, J., Arnold, T., Dewitt, H., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Gasore, J., Harth, C. M., Hermansen, O., Kim, J., Krummel, P. B., Li, S., Loh, Z. M., Lunder, C. R., Maione, M., Manning, A. J., Miller, B. R., Mitreveski, B., ... Zhou, L.: History of chemically and radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE). Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Oak Ridge, (United States).
- 860 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3334/CDIAC/atg.db1001>, 2019.
- Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M., Sherwood, T. K., & Poling, B. E.: *The properties of gases and liquids* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- Rommelaere, V., Arnaud, L., & Barnola, J.: Reconstructing recent atmospheric trace gas concentrations from polar firn and bubbly ice data by inverse methods. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(D25), 30069–30083, 1997.
- 865 Satoh, K., Uchida, T., Hondoh, T., & Mae, S: Diffusion coefficient and solubility measurements of noble gases in ice crystals. *Proc. NIPR Symp.Polar Meteorol, Glaciol.*, 10, 73–81, 1996.
- Schwander, J., Barnola, J. M., Andrie, C., Leuenberger, M., Ludin, A., Raynaud, D., & Stauffer, B.: The Age of the Air in the Firn and the Ice at Summit, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98, 2831–2838, 1993.
- Schwander, J., Oeschger, H., & Langway, C. C.: *The Environmental Record in Glaciers and Ice Sheets*. John Wiley, 1989.
- 870

- Severinghaus, J. P., Albert, M. R., Courville, Z. R., Fahnestock, M. A., Kawamura, K., Montzka, S. A., Mühle, J., Scambos, T. A., Shields, E., Shuman, C. A., Suwa, M., Tans, P., & Weiss, R. F.: Deep air convection in the firn at a zero-accumulation site, central Antarctica. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 293(3–4), 359–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.03.003>, 2010.
- 875 Severinghaus, J. P., & Battle, M. O.: Fractionation of gases in polar ice during bubble close-off: New constraints from firn air Ne, Kr and Xe observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.01.032>, 2006.
- Severinghaus, J. P., Grachev, A., & Battle, M.: Thermal fractionation of air in polar firn by seasonal temperature gradients. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 2(7), 2001.
- Solomon, S., Rosenlof, K. H., Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Davis, S. M., Sanford, T. J., & Plattner, G.K.: Contributions of Stratospheric Water Vapor to Decadal Changes in the Rate of Global Warming. *Science*, 327(5970), 1219–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1182488>, 2010.
- 880 Trudinger, C. M., Enting, I. G., Etheridge, D. M., Francey, R. J., Levchenko, V. A., Steele, L. P., Raynaud, D., & Arnaud, L.: Modeling air movement and bubble trapping in firn. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03382>, 1997.
- 885 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., James Collatz, G., Giglio, L., Kasibhatla, P. S., Arellano, A. F., Olsen, S. C., & Kasischke, E. S.: Continental-Scale Partitioning of Fire Emissions During the 1997 to 2001 El Niño/La Niña Period. *Science*, 303, 73–76, 2004.
- Wang, D., Jia, W., Olsen, S. C., Wuebbles, D. J., Dubey, M. K., Rockett, A. A., & Wuebbles, C. D. J.: Impact of a future H<sub>2</sub>-based road transportation sector on the composition and chemistry of the atmosphere – Part 1: Tropospheric composition and air quality. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13(2), 6117–6137. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6117-2013>, 2013a.
- 890 Wang, D., Jia, W., Olsen, S. C., Wuebbles, D. J., Dubey, M. K., Rockett, A. A., & Wuebbles, C. D. J.: Impact of a future H<sub>2</sub>-based road transportation sector on the composition and chemistry of the atmosphere – Part 2: Stratospheric ozone. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 6139–6150. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6139-2013>, 2013b.
- 895 Warwick, N., Griffiths, P., Keeble, J., Archibald, A., & Pyle, J.: Atmospheric implications of increased Hydrogen use. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/atmospheric-implications-of-increased-hydrogen-use>, 2022.