

1 **Equilibrium line altitudes of alpine glaciers suggest Last Glacial**
2 **Maximum summers in Alaska were not more than 2 – 5° C colder**
3 **than the pre-Industrial**

4
5 Caleb K. Walcott¹, Jason P. Briner¹, Joseph P. Tulenko^{1,2}, Stuart M. Evans^{3,4}

6
7 ¹Department of Geology, University at Buffalo, 126 Cooke Hall, Buffalo, NY, 14260 USA

8 ²Berkeley Geochronology Center, Shires Hall, 2455 Ridge Rd, Berkeley, CA 94709 USA

9 ³Department of Geography, University at Buffalo, 105 Wilkeson Quad, Buffalo, NY 14261 USA

10 ⁴RENEW Institute, University at Buffalo, 112 Cooke Hall, Buffalo, NY 14260 USA

11

12 *Correspondence to:* Caleb K. Walcott, ckwalcot@buffalo.edu

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Abstract

2 The lack of continental ice sheets in Alaska during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; 26 – 19 ka)
3 has long been attributed to extensive aridity in the western Arctic. More recently, climate model
4 outputs, a few isolated paleoclimate studies, and global paleoclimate synthesis products show mild
5 summer temperature depressions in Alaska compared to much of the high northern latitudes. This
6 suggests the importance of limited summer temperature depressions in controlling the relatively
7 limited glacier growth during the LGM. To explore this further, we present a new statewide map
8 of LGM alpine glacier equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs), LGM Δ ELAs (LGM ELA anomalies
9 relative to the Little Ice Age [LIA]), and Δ ELA-based estimates of temperature depressions across
10 Alaska to assess paleoclimate conditions. We reconstructed paleoglacier surfaces in ArcGIS to
11 calculate ELAs using an accumulation area ratio (AAR) of 0.58 and an area-altitude balance ratio
12 (AABR) of 1.56. We calculated LGM ELAs ($n = 480$) in glaciated massifs in the state, excluding
13 areas in southern Alaska that were covered by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet. The data show a trend of
14 increasing ELAs from the southwest to the northeast during both the LGM and the LIA indicating
15 a consistent southern Bering Sea and northernmost Pacific Ocean precipitation source. Our LGM-
16 LIA Δ ELAs from the Alaska Range, supported with limited LGM-LIA Δ ELAs from the Brooks
17 Range and the Kigluaik Mountains, average to -355 ± 176 m. This value is much greater than the
18 global LGM average of ca. -1000 m. Using a range of atmospheric lapse rates, LGM-LIA Δ ELAs
19 in Alaska translate to summer cooling of $< 2 - 5$ °C. Our results are consistent with a growing
20 number of local climate proxy reconstructions and global data assimilation syntheses that indicate
21 mild summer temperature across Beringia during the LGM. Limited LGM summer temperature
22 depressions could be explained by the influence of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets on atmospheric
23 circulation.

Deleted: ¶

1 **1 Introduction**

2 Unlike much of northern North American and western Eurasia, Alaska remained largely free of
3 continental ice sheets throughout the late Pleistocene. Most alpine glaciers and ice sheets across
4 North America reached their late Pleistocene maxima during Marine Isotope Stage 2 (MIS;
5 generally known as the Last Glacial Maximum [LGM]; 26 – 19 ka). However, it has been
6 recognized for decades that ice masses in Alaska reached their greatest extents earlier in the last
7 glacial cycle, with comparatively limited glaciation during MIS 2. Early studies hypothesized
8 these maxima occurred during MIS 4 or 6, before absolute age chronologies dated these to MIS 4
9 (Briner et al., 2001, 2005; Coulter et al., 1965; Kaufman et al., 2011; Péwé, 1975, 1953; Tulenko
10 et al., 2018). The relative lack of glaciation in Alaska suggests drier conditions and/or milder
11 temperatures during the LGM compared to other parts of the high latitude Northern Hemisphere
12 (i.e., Arctic Canada, western Eurasia, and Greenland). Indeed, researchers have long attributed the
13 lack of ice sheets to widespread aridity across Alaska (e.g., Capps, 1932; Hamilton, 1994). Other
14 studies also hypothesized that mild temperatures (in addition to arid conditions) led to limited ice
15 sheet development across Alaska (Briner and Kaufman, 2008; Péwé, 1975). Alaskan lacustrine
16 paleoclimate proxy studies (e.g., Abbott et al., 2010; Bartlein et al., 2011; Finkenbinder et al.,
17 2014; Finkenbinder et al., 2015; Daniels et al., 2021; King et al., 2022; Kurek et al., 2009; Viau et
18 al., 2008) and blending of proxy-based sea-surface temperatures with a global climate model (e.g.,
19 Osman et al., 2021; Tierney et al., 2020a) suggest that Alaska was comparatively warm and dry
20 during the LGM. Paleoclimate models support mild temperatures in Alaska during the LGM but
21 disagree on whether Alaska was slightly warmer or slightly colder than the pre-industrial period
22 (Kageyama et al., 2021; Löffverström and Liakka, 2016; Löffverström et al., 2014; Otto-Bliesner et
23 al., 2006).

1 Disagreement between proxy, data assimilation, and model results highlight their
2 respective strengths and weaknesses. Lacustrine proxy data generally offer more ground truth data
3 at a fine resolution, but such studies are time- and labor-intensive and are confined to relatively
4 small geographic areas. Data assimilation products ~~can~~ provide broad spatial coverage, but thus
5 far have used relatively ~~geographically limited~~ terrestrial datasets or more ubiquitous marine
6 records and projected reconstructed temperatures onto land (Annan et al., 2021; Osman et al.,
7 2021; Tierney et al., 2020a). Climate models similarly achieve good spatial coverage but lack
8 widespread tie points, useful for evaluating the veracity of certain models. Despite progress in both
9 data assimilation and climate model development, the limited availability of terrestrial records
10 highlights a need to provide ground-truth paleoclimate data across large geographic areas –
11 especially in Alaska – where studies suggest surprisingly mild conditions, compared to adjacent
12 areas of North America.

Deleted: are able to

Deleted: geographically-limited

13 There are limited paleoclimate proxy datasets in Alaska that extend back to the LGM.
14 However, we can assess paleoclimate conditions during the LGM across much of the state by
15 reconstructing equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs) of former glaciers that have been widely mapped
16 and in places, dated. Additionally, glaciers in Alaska were at climatic equilibrium during the Little
17 Ice Age (LIA; ~19th century) before the industrial period and deposited moraines marking their
18 extents, thus serving as a useful pre-industrial climate reference (Barclay et al., 2009; Molnia,
19 2008; Solomina et al., 2015). Comparing LGM and LIA ELAs allows us to assess relative
20 differences in climate between the two time periods (e.g., Federici et al., 2008).

21 Here, we present ELA reconstructions for ~~480~~ alpine glaciers in Alaska to test the
22 hypothesis that minor temperature depressions – in addition to aridity – explain limited glaciation
23 in Alaska during the LGM. We used the Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 ([Kaufman et al., 2011](#)) and

1 high-resolution digital elevation models (DEMs) to map LGM extents, the GlaRe GIS tool to
2 synthesize paleoglacier surfaces, and a GIS ELA calculation tool to evaluate LGM climate
3 (Pellitero et al., 2015, 2016). We used similar methods to reconstruct LIA ELAs (and consider this
4 the pre-industrial period) and then calculated Δ ELAs (LGM_ELA-LIA_ELA) and temperature
5 depressions from the Alaska Range, with more limited data from across the state. We find that
6 distance from a northern Pacific moisture source exercised a strong control on ELAs across Alaska
7 during both the LGM and the LIA and our ELA-based paleotemperature reconstructions agree
8 with recent model and paleoclimate data synthesis products showing relatively low LGM
9 temperature depressions in Alaska.

Deleted: (Kaufman et al., 2011;

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

1 **2 Background**

2 Alpine glaciers are robust indicators of climate as their extent is primarily controlled by summer
3 temperatures and annual precipitation (Benn and Lehmkuhl, 2000; Ohmura et al., 1992; Ohmura
4 and Boettcher, 2018; Kurowski, 1891; Roe et al., 2017; Rupper and Roe, 2008; Sutherland, 1984;
5 Walcott, 2022;). Numerous studies have compared ELAs of reconstructed LGM glaciers
6 worldwide to ELAs of extant glaciers (e.g., Kłapyta et al., 2021), ELAs of reconstructed LIA
7 glaciers (Federici et al., 2008), or hypothetical ELAs in the atmosphere (Ono et al., 2005) and used
8 atmospheric lapse rates to estimate LGM temperature depressions. Additionally, several numerical
9 models of alpine paleoglaciers have been developed that quantify paleo-temperature and -
10 precipitation conditions (e.g., Leonard et al., 2017; Plummer and Phillips, 2003). However,
11 modeling individual alpine glaciers is often time-consuming and computer-intensive and therefore
12 better suited for smaller geographic areas. ELA reconstructions, on the other hand, are relatively
13 labor efficient and more easily applied to a large region (e.g., Brooks et al., 2022; Rea et al., 2020).
14 Of course, both methods rely on immense amounts of chronology and field mapping required to
15 designate accurate LGM alpine glacier limits.

16 Glaciation across Alaska during the LGM was largely restricted to dozens of isolated
17 massifs and mountain ranges across the state, rather than large continental ice sheets seen
18 elsewhere in the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 1). Much of the Brooks Range was covered by
19 extensive, interconnected valley glacier systems; poorly constrained “ice-sheds” in the high
20 icefield areas preclude ELA reconstructions using traditional methods (Hamilton and Porter, 1975;
21 Kaufman et al., 2011). However, numerous valleys outside of the central ice mass in the Brooks
22 Range hosted well-defined cirque and valley glaciers during the LGM. While much of the south-
23 central and southeastern Alaska Range was covered by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet – hampering ELA

1 reconstructions there – there were well-defined and often-extensive glaciers present in the
2 outward-facing (north and west) valleys. The Ahklun Mountains were smothered by an ice cap,
3 though several portions of the outlying mountains hosted isolated valley glaciers. Outside of these
4 areas, alpine glaciers were present during the LGM within smaller massifs across much of the state
5 from the Yukon-Tanana Uplands to the Seward Peninsula (Coulter et al., 1965; Kaufman et al.,
6 2011; Péwé, 1975).

7 Péwé (1975) created a statewide compilation of LGM ELAs using the cirque floor
8 elevation method, where the ELA is assumed to be the elevation of the floor of a cirque. This map
9 revealed a clear west to east rise in ELAs across Alaska, which was later reinforced by subsequent
10 studies from selected areas. In western Alaska, ELAs ranged from ~350 – 600 m asl (Fig. 1;
11 Balascio et al., 2005a; Briner and Kaufman, 2000; Kaufman and Hopkins, 1986). In central
12 Alaska, LGM ELAs were higher, with values of 1530 ± 20 m asl on the Denali massif (Dortch et
13 al., 2010). In eastern Alaska, LGM ELAs reached 1860 m asl (Balascio et al., 2005a). The LGM
14 ELA gradient across Alaska outside of past Cordilleran Ice Sheet influence is hypothesized to have
15 been due to a precipitation gradient similar to today, with higher precipitation in western Alaska
16 and lower precipitation in the eastern part of the state, and the southern Bering Sea and the
17 northernmost Pacific as the dominant moisture sources (Kienholz et al., 2015; Péwé, 1975).

18 However, there are two potential issues with these studies. First, the cirque floor elevation
19 method of ELA calculation used by Péwé (1975) has since been suggested to represent a
20 Quaternary average ELA rather than a LGM ELA, as these cirques are eroded across multiple
21 glaciations and are therefore different than a LGM ELA (e.g., Mitchell and Humphries, 2015;
22 Porter, 1989). Second, subsequent studies used a variety of ELA calculation methods, making the
23 comparison of ELA results from region to region somewhat uncertain. Thus, statewide ELA

Deleted: the

1 calculations using updated, congruent methods would improve knowledge of LGM ELA trends
2 across Alaska.

3 Reconstructed LGM Δ ELAs (LGM ELA-contemporary ELA; see below) from these
4 previous studies range from approximately -200 m to -700 m in the Brooks and Alaska ranges,
5 the Kigluaik and Ahklun mountains, and on Indian Mountain (Balascio et al., 2005a; Briner and
6 Kaufman, 2000; Hamilton and Porter, 1975; Kaufman and Hopkins, 1986; Manley et al., 1997;
7 Péwé, 1975). While these data all consistently highlight a key point – ELA lowering in Alaska
8 during the LGM was less than the average global ca. -1000 m ELA depression – there are some
9 features of previously published studies that we can now build on to create a more congruent
10 dataset (Broecker and Denton, 1990; Nesje, 2014). First, past studies used different contemporary
11 time periods to represent modern glacier ELAs (from different times in the 20th century) as
12 reference points even as Alaskan glaciers were rapidly retreating (Zemp et al., 2019). Second, it is
13 unlikely these modern glaciers were in equilibrium with climate due to this rapid retreat (Molnia,
14 2008). Third, different methods of ELA calculations of modern and paleoglaciers make direct
15 comparisons more difficult. Fourth, the values from Péwé (1975) likely represent Quaternary
16 average ELAs rather than LGM ELAs. These discrepancies open the door for a comprehensive
17 study to standardize LGM ELA and LGM Δ ELA reconstructions across Alaska.

18 We reconstruct paleoglacier surfaces for 480 independent LGM valley paleoglaciers, and
19 do not include ice caps or large ice fields, such as the those that covered the Ahklun Mountains
20 and the Brooks Range. To delineate the extent of LGM glaciers, we rely on decades of field
21 mapping and chronology summarized in the Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas (Kaufman et al., 2011).
22 Indeed, there are clear distinctions both in the field and from remote sensing data between LGM
23 and pre-LGM deposits. We are therefore confident in LGM glacier outlines across Alaska for

Deleted:

1 purposes of ELA reconstruction. While these glaciers may have reached their MIS 2 maxima
 2 asynchronously, available cosmogenic nuclide age constraints from moraine boulders
 3 (Supplement Fig. 2; Briner et al., 2005; Dortch et al., 2010; Matmon et al., 2010; Pendleton et al.,
 4 2015; Tulenko et al., 2018; Valentino et al., 2021; Young et al., 2009) and radiocarbon constraints
 5 (e.g., Child et al., 1995; Kaufman et al., 2003; Kaufman et al., 2012; Manley et al., 2001; Werner
 6 et al., 1993) indicate that this occurred within the timing of the LGM, further yielding credence to
 7 previous mapping.

8 Present-day and LIA glaciation in Alaska beyond areas once influenced by the Cordilleran
 9 Ice Sheet are limited (Millan et al., 2022; Molnia, 2008). These include glaciers in the Ahklun
 10 Mountains, the central Brooks Range, the northern and western Alaska Range, and a lone glacier
 11 in the Kigluaik Mountains. During the LIA (dated to the 19th century in Alaska; Barclay et al.,
 12 2009), Alaskan glaciers deposited well-defined moraine systems down valley of extant glacier
 13 systems that remain relatively unvegetated and sharp crested (Evison et al., 1996; Kathan, 2006;
 14 Molnia et al., 2008; Reinthaler and Paul, 2023; Sikorski et al., 2009). Thus, we can calculate
 15 Δ ELAs (~~LGM ELA – LIA ELA~~) in valleys where simple LGM glaciers were independent from
 16 large ice caps or ice sheets, and within which there is clear geomorphic evidence of LIA glaciers.
 17 This precludes us from reconstructing Δ ELAs, however, in valleys with LGM glaciers but lacking
 18 LIA glaciers, or in valleys where there is evidence of LIA advances, but the valley was covered
 19 by a large ice cap during the LGM, which we did not reconstruct (i.e., much of the central Brooks
 20 Range and Ahklun Mountains). Following these criteria results in few Δ ELA calculations outside
 21 of the Alaska Range.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Deleted: ELA_LIA – ELA_LGM

Deleted: n

Deleted: cap or ice sheet

Deleted: ¶
 ¶

1 **3 Methods**

2 **3.1 Datasets**

3 We employed numerous datasets to calculate LGM and LIA ELAs and Δ ELAs. First, we used the
4 Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 to guide our mapping of LGM ice extents
5 (<http://akatlas.geology.buffalo.edu/>; date of last access: 7/18/23; Kaufman et al., 2011). In parts of
6 the Brooks Range with limited Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 coverage, we relied on previous
7 mapping by Balascio et al. (2005a). We used 1/3 arc-second resolution digital elevation model
8 (DEM) data from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) National Map
9 (<https://apps.nationalmap.gov/>; date of last access: 7/18/23). We used false color LANDSAT 8
10 imagery downloaded from the USGS Earth Explorer for LIA moraine mapping
11 (<https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>; date of last access: 7/18/23). Finally, we used modern ice
12 thicknesses from Millan et al. (2022).

13

14 **3.2 Paleoglacier reconstruction**

15 We used the ArcGIS toolbox, GlaRe, in ArcMap 10.8 to recreate 480 LGM and 56 LIA glacier
16 surfaces (Pellitero et al., 2016). We focused on independent valley glaciers for their simple
17 geometry and relatively simple relationship between their size and climate (e.g., Oerlemans, 2005).
18 We exclude ice caps and ice fields, such as those that covered the Brooks Range and the Ahklun
19 Mountains, as GlaRe is not suited for such large and complex features, especially given
20 inconsistent moraine preservation and a dearth of evidence on ice cap extent in some areas of the
21 Brooks Range and Ahklun Mountains (Kaufman et al., 2011). Additionally, a lack of published
22 data on paleo ice divides, ice thicknesses, and bed topography for these ice caps, hinders our ability
23 to accurately reconstruct their surfaces, and thus their ELAs. The GlaRe toolbox requires a terrain

1 model of the paleoglacier bed, an outline of paleoglacier extent, glacier flowlines, and a user-
2 defined basal shear stress. In valleys with extant glaciers, we created terrain models of paleoglacier
3 beds by simply subtracting modern ice thickness maps from the DEMs (Millan et al., 2022). The
4 Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 provides (Kaufman et al., 2011) shapefiles of glacier extents from
5 different glaciations (i.e., MIS 2, MIS 4, and earlier) that are dated using available chronology,
6 while Balascio et al. (2005a) provide paleoglacier information solely from the LGM. We used
7 shapefiles of LGM paleoglaciers from the Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 (Kaufman et al., 2011)
8 and glacier center coordinates from Balascio et al. (2005a) in the Brooks Range to roughly identify
9 the extent of LGM paleoglaciers (Fig. 3; Kaufman et al., 2011). We then undertook more detailed
10 mapping of LGM paleoglaciers based off these previously published extents (more detail was often
11 necessary than that included in the Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas) using well-established practices
12 including identifying terminal and lateral moraine crests, trimlines, and cirque headwalls, to create
13 higher resolution shapefiles of LGM glacier extents (Fig. 3; e.g., Chandler et al., 2018). For large
14 valley glaciers, we used watershed analyses in ArcMap to determine glacier multiple flowlines;
15 for small cirque glaciers, we drew lines from the moraine directly to cirque headwall for simplicity.
16 We calculated ice thickness every 25 m along these flowlines using GlaRe and a standard basal
17 shear stress value of 100 kPa across all flow lines to ensure uniformity (Benn and Hulton, 2010;
18 Pellitero et al., 2016). Using GlaRe, we reconstructed LGM glacier surfaces, using our ‘ice-
19 corrected’ bed DEMs where appropriate, paleoglacier extent, and flowline ice thickness data as
20 inputs.

21 We repeated these steps for valleys with well-defined LIA glacier outlines where we
22 reconstructed independent LGM glaciers (i.e., not connected to ice caps in the Ahklun Mountains
23 and Brooks Range) to allow for valley-scale LGM-to LIA-comparisons. Little Ice Age moraines

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: more high-resolution

1 in Alaska are defined by sharp, well-defined, vegetation-free crests (Molnia et al., 2008; Sikorski
2 et al., 2009). In these locations, we used LANDSAT8 false color imagery to guide LIA moraine
3 mapping by creating vegetation cover maps, which we used in tandem with our DEM data to
4 identify LIA moraine crests (Fig. 4; Chandler et al., 2018; Reinthaler and Paul 2023). Based on
5 Levy et al. (2004) and Sikorski et al. (2009), and our experience mapping former glaciers in
6 Alaska, we have confidence in identifying the LIA moraine. In rare locations, pre-LIA moraines
7 (Late Holocene moraines) may appear as fresh as an LIA moraine, but in these cases the pre-LIA
8 moraine crest is nested adjacent to LIA crests and would not result in a significantly different ELA
9 if mistakenly outlined.

10
11 **3.3 Paleoglacier ELA and Δ ELA calculation**

12 There are many methods available to calculate paleoglacier ELAs (Pellitero et al., 2015). We chose
13 two of the most widely used methods: the accumulation area ratio (AAR) and area-altitude balance
14 ratio (AABR). The AAR simply is a ratio between the accumulation and ablation areas of a glacier;
15 we employed a standard global ratio of 0.58 (Oien et al., 2021; Pellitero et al., 2015). For the
16 AABR, a climatically controlled mass-balance ratio is applied to glaciers in addition to the areas
17 of the accumulation and ablation zones. The ELA calculated using the AABR is the altitude at
18 which negative and positive mass balances are equal. We employ a ratio of 1.56, which a recent
19 study has found to best represent glaciers worldwide, where there are no better available regional
20 AABR values – this is true for Alaska today and during the LGM and LIA , when the balance
21 gradients are unknown (Oien et al., 2021). We calculated ELAs using LGM and LIA glacier
22 surfaces as inputs to an ELA calculation toolbox in ArcMap (Pellitero et al., 2015). We applied
23 standard, previously published errors of 65.5 and 66.5 m for our AABR- and AAR-calculated

Deleted: ¶
→

1 ELAs, respectively, as provided by Oien et al. (2021). To calculate Δ ELAs, we simply subtracted
 2 LIA ELAs from LGM ELAs on a valley-by-valley basis for valley systems that hosted glaciers
 3 during both periods; errors for these are 131 for AABR and 133 m for AAR to account for the
 4 maximum possible errors in Δ ELA. In some instances, these errors lead to positive Δ ELAs, but
 5 because LGM glaciers were more extensive than LIA glaciers, the positive Δ ELAs indicated by
 6 the error are implausible. Thus, in these instances, we assume the maximum possible Δ ELA is 0
 7 m).

Deleted: outlined

Deleted: 2

Deleted: LGM ELAs from

8 We created trend surfaces for LGM AAR and AABR ELAs across Alaska using the global
 9 polynomial tool in ArcMap with polynomial orders from one to four. We also calculated root mean
 10 square and X^2 statistics to help determine which polynomial trend surface best described regional
 11 ELA patterns. We excluded southern and southeastern Alaska from our reconstructed surfaces
 12 where we did not generate ELA data (Fig. 4)

Deleted: 2

14 3.4 Calculating LGM temperature depressions

15 We applied a range of plausible atmospheric lapse rates to our LGM-LIA Δ ELAs to calculate LGM
 16 temperature depressions relative to the LIA following Eq. 1:

$$17 \text{Temperature depression} = \Delta\text{ELA} \times \text{lapse rate} \quad (1)$$

18 where temperature depression is in °C (and is negative), Δ ELA is in kilometers, and lapse rate is
 19 in °C/km. We used the maximum and minimum reported modern-day Alaskan lapse rates of 4.2
 20 and 6.3 °C/km to calculate temperature depressions (Haugen et al., 1971; Verbyla and Kurkowski,
 21 2019). We consider our calculated temperature depressions as maximum depressions because all
 22 available evidence suggests Alaska was drier during the LGM than today and the pre-Industrial.
 23 (Bartlein et al., 2011; Dorfman et al., 2015; Finkenbinder et al., 2014; Finkenbinder et al., 2015;
 24

Deleted: We consider these maximum temperature depressions a...s

Deleted: s

1 King et al., 2022; Löffverström and Liakka, 2016; Löffverström et al., 2014; Muhs et al., 2003;
 2 Tierney et al., 2020a, b; Viau et al., 2008). LGM lapse rates are unlikely to have been lower than
 3 modern lapse rates because drier air produces smaller magnitude lapse rates, because the lapse rate
 4 of an air mass increases as it loses its moisture through condensation. Therefore, we also calculated
 5 minimum temperature depressions using the dry adiabatic lapse rate of 9.8 °C/km. The dry
 6 adiabatic lapse rate provides a maximum lapse rate for the atmosphere on anything but the shortest
 7 timescales (i.e., hours); therefore applying the dry adiabatic lapse rate to our ΔELAs provides a
 8 lower limit to our plausible LGM temperature depressions (Kaser and Osmaston, 2002).

Deleted: . This is

Deleted: condensatio

Deleted: n; therefore, starting with drier air will result in lapse rates that begin to approach the dry adiabatic lapse rate.

Deleted: applying the dry adiabatic lapse rate to our ΔELAs provides a lower limit to our plausible LGM temperature depressions (Kaser and Osmaston, 2002).

Deleted: ¶

10 4 Results

11 4.1 Last Glacial Maximum paleoglacier ELAs

12 Last Glacial Maximum paleoglacier ELAs calculated with AAR ranged from 293 ± 66.5 to 1745
 13 ± 66.5 m asl, while those calculated with AABR were between 306 ± 65.5 and 1742 ± 66.5 m asl
 14 (Fig. 4A). While the AAR and AABR vary slightly for the same paleoglaciers, these differences
 15 are small (12.5 ± 18 m; 1 σ error reported throughout the manuscript. We report AAR ELAs unless
 16 noted, as these calculations do not rely on knowledge of past mass balance gradients (which likely
 17 varied across Alaska during the LGM), as required for AABR.

Deleted: 2

18 The LGM ELAs values were lowest in the southwestern part of Alaska and highest in
 19 northeastern Alaska. In the Ahklun Mountains and surrounding massifs, ELAs were between 293
 20 ± 66.5 and 754 ± 66.5 m asl. Equilibrium line altitudes were also low on the Seward Peninsula
 21 (between 370 ± 66.5 and 910 ± 66.5 m asl) and in the western Brooks Range and its sub-ranges
 22 (472 ± 66.5 – 1028 ± 66.5 m asl). To the east, ELAs increased across the scattered massifs of the
 23 interior, reaching 858 ± 66.5 to 1271 ± 66.5 m asl. Across the Alaska Range, LGM ELAs increased

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5", Don't keep with next

1 from 929 ± 66.5 m asl in the west to 1589 ± 66.5 m asl in the east. In the Yukon-Tanana Uplands,
 2 in eastern Alaska, ELAs were similar, between 1133 ± 66.5 and 1518 ± 66.5 m asl. Finally, we
 3 report the highest ELAs in the northeastern Brooks Range, where they reached 1745 ± 66.5 m asl.

Deleted: ¶
 ¶

5 4.2 Alaska LGM ELA trend surface

6 Our calculated LGM trend surface shows increasing ELAs from west to east (Fig. 4B); p-tests
 7 applied to the data demonstrate a statistically significant correlation between longitude and LGM
 8 ELAs ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5). However, we do not find significant correlation between latitude and
 9 LGM ELAs (Fig. S1)

Deleted: s.

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 3

10

11 4.3 LIA ELAs

12 We mapped 22 LIA glacier systems in the Alaska Range. We supplemented these data in valleys
 13 outside the Alaska Range that hosted both a simple LGM glacier and at least one LIA glacier.
 14 There were two valleys that met these criteria: one in the Kigluaik Mountains and one in the
 15 northeastern Brooks Range. Twelve of these 22 valley glacier systems in the Alaska Range hosted
 16 multiple LIA glaciers for every LGM glacier. For these systems, we report the mean of all LIA
 17 ELAs; these ranged from 1406 ± 66.5 to 1946 ± 66.5 m asl. We calculated an ELA of 1950 ± 66.5
 18 m asl for a LIA glacier on the western side of Mt. Osborn in the Kigluaik Mountains (Seward
 19 Peninsula). On the north slopes of Mt. Hubley in the Romanzof Mountains in the northeastern
 20 Brooks Range, we calculated an average LIA ELA of 1857 ± 66.5 m asl. These LIA ELAs exhibit
 21 a similar trend to the LGM ELAs, with a statistically significant relationship between longitude
 22 and LIA ELA ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5).

Deleted: 4

Deleted: ¶

23

1 4.4 LGM Δ ELAs and summer temperature depressions

2 Last Glacial Maximum to Little Ice Age Δ ELAs in the Alaska Range were between -42 ± 133 m
3 and -712 ± 133 m, with a median of -379 m and a mean of -355 ± 180 m (Fig. 4). The Δ ELA for
4 our Brooks Range site was -243 ± 133 m and was -236 ± 133 m in the Kigluaik Mountains.
5 Median Δ ELAs across our study areas were -335 m, with a mean Δ ELA of -345 ± 177 m. We see
6 no statistical relationship between longitude and Δ ELA, though this may only reflect the Alaska
7 Range due to limited data elsewhere in the state (Fig. S3).

8 Summer temperature depressions ($n = 25$) calculated with the lowest modern lapse rate
9 estimate ranged between -0.2 ± 1.0 (positive temperature anomalies are implausible based on our
10 methods as Δ ELAs do not exceed 0 m) to -3.0 ± 0.6 °C (median: -1.4 °C; mean: -1.4 ± 0.8 °C)
11 across Alaska. These ($n = 25$) calculated with the highest modern lapse rate estimate range from -
12 0.3 ± 1.4 to -4.5 ± 0.8 °C (median: -2.1 °C; mean: -2.2 °C ± 1.1 °C). Temperature depressions ($n =$
13 25) calculated with the dry adiabatic lapse rate range between -0.4 ± 2.2 and -7.0 ± 1.3 °C (median:
14 -3.3 °C; mean: -3.4 ± 1.8 °C) .

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Deleted: ¶



1 **5 Discussion**

2 **5.1 Comparisons with Previous Last Glacial Maximum Equilibrium Line Altitude** 3 **Reconstructions**

4 Our calculated ELAs are generally consistent with those from previous studies. Our ELAs
5 from across the Brooks Range broadly agree with those reported by Balascio et al. (2005a). Their
6 study also reported a maximum Brooks Range ELA of 1860 m asl in the Romanzof Mountains,
7 where we too calculated a range (and statewide) maximum ELA of 1745 ± 66.5 m asl. On the
8 Seward Peninsula, our ELAs are slightly higher than those previously reported (Kaufman and
9 Hopkins, 1986). In the York Mountains, Kaufman and Hopkins (1986) calculated a single LGM
10 ELA of 370 m asl – we present an average LGM ELA here of 477 ± 85 m asl ($n = 5$). In the
11 Kigluaik Mountains, Kaufman and Hopkins (1986) reported LGM ELAs averaging to 470 m asl
12 ($n = 2$), falling just outside 1σ of our mean LGM ELA for the Kigluaik Mountains of 585 ± 91 m
13 ($n = 64$). However, their previously estimated average LGM ELA for the Bendeleben and Darby
14 mountains of 630 m asl matches well with our mean LGM ELA of 657 ± 86 m asl. These slight
15 discrepancies in ELAs between the data is likely attributable to differences in ELA calculation;
16 Kaufman and Hopkins (1986) used the toe-to-headwall area ratio method of ELA calculation using
17 topographic maps, which since been superseded by more robust ELA calculation techniques
18 (Nesje, 2014).

19 Two previous studies in parts of the Ahklun Mountains report LGM ELAs of 390 ± 100 m
20 asl and 540 ± 140 m asl, both overlapping with our mean LGM ELA of 472 ± 117 m asl (Briner
21 and Kaufman, 2000; Manley et al., 1997). Dortch et al. (2010) computed an average LGM ELA
22 from the Peters and Muldrow glaciers near Denali in the central Alaska Range of 1530 ± 20 m asl,
23 which is a few hundred meters higher than our average ELA from the central Alaska Range of

1 1267 ± 145 m asl; this difference is likely attributable to different choices in AAR and AABR
2 ratios, and not correcting for modern ice thickness in glacier surface reconstruction.

3 Though we did not calculate ELAs for the ice caps and ice fields over the Brooks Range
4 and the Ahklun Mountains, it is unlikely that their ELAs would vary much from the surrounding
5 independent valley glaciers. As the ELA of glaciers, including ice caps, are largely controlled by
6 summer temperatures and annual precipitation, it holds that ice masses from similar locations
7 should have similar ELAs. The ELA of large ice caps can vary across large geographic areas (e.g.,
8 Burgess and Sharp, 2004). Thus, we would expect the ELA of the Brooks Range ice cap, which
9 stretched for ~1000 km from west to east to vary similarly to the ELAs of independent valley
10 glaciers on the western and eastern edges of the range, and not significantly influence our findings.

11 Our LIA ELAs are comparable to the limited published ELA data from Alaska. In several
12 instances, others calculated LIA ELAs from valleys that were smothered by ice caps or ice sheets
13 during the LGM, and thus we cannot make direct spatial (i.e., valley to valley) comparisons with
14 our data (e.g., Daigle and Kaufman, 2009; Levy et al., 2004; Sikorski et al., 2009; Wiles et al.,
15 1995). However, a study of LIA ELAs in the northeastern Brooks Range reported an average of
16 1977 ± 102 m asl (calculated with AAR of 0.58), within error of our average LIA ELA from the
17 same sub-range of 1857 ± 47 m asl (Sikorski et al., 2009).

18 We find that our average LGM-LIA ΔELA across all study sites of -355 ± 180 m, and our
19 LGM-LIA ΔELAs for individual mountain ranges generally match previously published ΔELAs
20 (LGM-contemporary) from across the state that ranged between -200 and -700 m (Balascio et al.,
21 2005a; Briner and Kaufman, 2000; Dortch et al., 2010; Hamilton and Porter, 1975; Kaufman and
22 Hopkins, 1986; Mann and Peteet, 1994; Péwé, 1975). Additionally, previously published LIA ELA
23 reconstructions using similar AAR values from the Ahklun Mountains and central Brooks Range

1 allow us to estimate range/sub-range average LGM-LIA Δ ELAs when combined with our
2 range/sub-range average LGM ELAs (Levy et al., 2004; Sikorski et al., 2009). These yield average
3 -LIA Δ ELAs of -372 ± 117 m for the Ahklun Mountains and -249 ± 157 m for the central Brooks
4 Range (errors from average LGM and LIA ELAs are summed). We note that these data do not
5 reflect valley-scale changes in ELA, but rather range-wide shifts. Thus, we do not calculate
6 temperature depressions from these, but provide them for Δ ELA comparison purposes.
7 Nevertheless, these previous data all fall within the range of our average statewide LGM-LIA
8 Δ ELA, and none approach the global average modern to LGM Δ ELA of -1000 m. Though these
9 previous studies that reported LGM Δ ELAs (Balascio et al., 2005a; Briner and Kaufman, 2000;
10 Dortch et al., 2010; Hamilton and Porter, 1975; Kaufman and Hopkins, 1986; Mann and Peteet,
11 1994; Péwé, 1975) exclusively used the contemporary modern ELA, as a reference point for
12 calculating Δ ELAs and these glaciers may have been in states of disequilibrium, these still provide
13 useful maximum LGM-LIA Δ ELA constraints, as LIA ELAs would have been some amount lower
14 than those of modern glaciers, given that LIA moraines are found outside the extents of extant
15 glaciers. Indeed, the most recent studies indicate that maximum LIA lowering was between 22 and
16 83 m relative to modern across Alaska (Barclay et al., 2009; Daigle and Kaufman, 2009; Levy et
17 al., 2004; Mckay and Kaufman, 2009; Sikorski et al., 2009). Even when accounting for these LIA
18 ELA depressions, our calculated LGM depressions do not approach the canonical global modern-
19 LGM Δ ELA of -1000 m.

20 We suggest the comparatively minor LGM-LIA Δ ELAs in Alaska relative to the global
21 average can be attributed to both increased aridity and relatively small summertime temperature
22 depressions. As an example, the tropical Andes both hosted alpine glaciers and experienced
23 conditions more arid today during the LGM. Unlike Alaska, LGM-LIA Δ ELAs here were near, or

Deleted: s

1 greater than, the global LGM-modern Δ ELA (Rodbell, 1992; Stansell et al., 2007). Assuming no
2 change in temperature from modern, drier LGM conditions would suggest that LGM glaciers in
3 the tropical Andes should have been smaller than today. However, these LGM glaciers were more
4 extensive than modern due to high temperature depressions at high altitudes that allowed the
5 glaciers to grow, such that their LGM-modern Δ ELAs were near the global average (e.g., Rodbell,
6 1992; Stansell et al., 2007). Conversely, in Alaska, where the climate was also drier during the
7 LGM than the LIA, low LGM-LIA Δ ELAs are likely attributable to relatively low LGM
8 temperature depressions. Last Glacial Maximum glaciers in Alaska were larger than the LIA,
9 indicating some amount of temperature depression, but unlike in the tropical Andes, this must have
10 not been high enough to depress LGM ELAs by the global average of \sim 1000 m. Indeed, LGM
11 paleoclimate records of aridity and summer temperature from Alaska suggest conditions conducive
12 to this: decreased annual precipitation and summers only slightly cooler than the LIA and much
13 warmer than most of the high latitude regions of the Northern Hemisphere.

14 **5.2 ELA trends across Alaska**

15 The gradient of LGM ELAs rising eastward agrees well with the previous statewide ELA
16 reconstruction of Péwé (1975). Balascio et al. (2005a) also found a similar gradient in the Brooks
17 Range, showing a clear rise in LGM ELAs from the west to the east. Studies from the Ahklun
18 Mountains also reported a similar eastward rise in ELAs (Briner and Kaufman, 2000; Manley et
19 al., 1997). The correlation between longitude and LIA ELA suggests that a similar gradient was
20 present during both the LIA and LGM, with mountain ranges receiving less precipitation with
21 increasing distance from the most probable moisture sources for our study areas in Alaska – the
22 southern Bering Sea and northernmost Pacific Ocean. Precipitation from the Arctic Ocean was
23

Deleted: ¶

1 blocked by perennial sea ice during both the LGM and the LIA and moisture moving northward
2 from the Gulf of Alaska was influenced by the rain shadow created by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet
3 and/or the southern flanks of Alaska Range, effectively eliminating other potential sources of
4 precipitation to our study sites (Balascio et al., 2005a; Briner and Kaufman, 2008; Kienholz et al.,
5 2015; Molnia, 2008; Péwé, 1975). Interestingly, these gradients persisted during periods when the
6 Bering Strait was both open and closed, suggesting that prevailing moisture sources were not
7 greatly impacted by the emergence of the Bering Land Bridge during the LGM. What remains
8 unclear is if, and how, this LGM gradient would change with the inclusion of ELAs from south-
9 central and southeastern Alaska. We might expect LGM ELAs to be lower here due to the
10 proximity to the Pacific; however, the area was covered by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet until well
11 after the LGM, and thus, we are unable to calculate ELAs here (Hamilton, 1994; Péwé, 1975;
12 Walcott et al., 2022; Lesnek et al., 2020; Lesnek et al., 2018),

Deleted: ¶

13

14 **5.3 Records of LGM paleoclimate**

15 For nearly a century, researchers have attributed the relatively limited LGM glaciation in Alaska
16 to increased aridity and relatively warm temperatures, noting that Alaska was dissimilar to areas
17 farther south that were completely covered by the Cordilleran and Laurentide ice sheets (Capps,
18 1932; Flint, 1943). This aridity has since been confirmed by numerous studies. A pollen record
19 synthesis indicates Alaska received up to 125 mm less precipitation per year than modern at 25 ka
20 and continued to receive reduced precipitation until 20 ka (Viau et al., 2008). Bartlein et al. (2011)
21 synthesized pollen data and suggested LGM annual precipitation was ~50 to 200 mm/yr lower
22 than at present. More recent pollen studies (not included in these syntheses) confirm this, with
23 records from lakes in the Brooks Range and the Yukon-Tanana Uplands both indicating increased

1 aridity in Alaska during the LGM (Finkenhinder et al., 2014; Abbott et al., 2010). Additionally,
2 geochemical analyses of sediment from Burial Lake near the Brooks Range show high magnetic
3 concentrations and a dearth of organic matter during the LGM, suggesting a dry, windy
4 environment with increased amounts of aeolian material deposited (Dorfman et al., 2015;
5 Finkenhinder et al., 2015). Investigation of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values from chironomids in the same
6 sediments corroborate this, indicating a dry environment during the LGM (King et al., 2022)
7 Finally, a lack of loess records across Alaska dating to the LGM is attributed to a dearth of
8 vegetation to support loess deposition in turn caused by increased aridity statewide (Muhs et al.,
9 2003).

10 A data assimilation product created with a collection of sea surface temperature data and
11 an isotope-enabled climate model show annual precipitation differences of ca. -300 mm/yr during
12 the LGM relative to the pre-industrial period, corroborating paleoclimate records of aridity
13 (Tierney et al., 2020a, b). Climate model results corroborate this, showing a range of pre-industrial
14 to LGM annual precipitation deficits between -150 and -600 mm/yr (Kageyama et al., 2021;
15 Löffverström et al., 2014; Löffverström and Liakka, 2016). These proxy, data assimilation, and
16 modeling studies justify our use of modern lapse rates and the dry adiabatic lapse rate to calculate
17 a range of plausible LGM summertime temperature depressions. Because Alaska was drier than
18 today during the LGM, the LGM environmental lapse rate is unlikely to have been smaller than
19 the modern lapse rates, nor would it exceed the dry adiabatic lapse rate for any significant period
20 of time (i.e., maximum of hours; Kaser and Osmaston, 2002).

Deleted: be

21 Last Glacial Maximum summer temperature records are sparse, yet those created through
22 paleoclimate proxies, data assimilation, and models all suggest that summertime temperatures in
23 Alaska were just a few degrees colder during the LGM than the LIA or modern. Syntheses of

1 pollen records show mean summertime temperatures between -2 and -5 °C colder than today
2 during the LGM. (Viau et al., 2008; Bartlein et al., 2011). Similarly, chironomid-inferred summer
3 temperature records from lakes in western Alaska yield LGM temperature reconstructions ca. -3.5
4 °C below modern (Kurek et al., 2009). This is substantiated further by a leaf wax hydrogen isotope
5 temperature reconstruction from the central Brooks Range that indicates the LGM summers ca. -3
6 °C cooler than the LIA (Daniels et al., 2021). Though these records represent small, isolated
7 geographic areas, their agreement substantiates only modest summer LGM temperature depression
8 across Alaska.

Deleted: modern

9 Our relatively small summer temperature depressions are also corroborated by recent data
10 assimilation studies. Tierney et al. (2020a) shows summer temperature depressions across our
11 study area of ca. -3.6 °C during the LGM, relative to the pre-industrial period (recalculated to
12 match our study area; i.e., excluding southern Alaska). Despite this study leaning heavily on
13 marine proxy data, their estimated LGM summer temperature depression for Alaska falls within
14 our range of maximum summer temperature depressions of 3.4 ± 1.8 °C.

15 Climate model results from a few studies vary, with some showing Alaska during the LGM
16 being a few degrees warmer than the pre-industrial (e.g., Otto-Bliesner et al., 2006), and others
17 showing small LIA-LGM summer temperature depressions of -1 to -4 °C (Löfverström et al., 2014;
18 Löfverström and Liakka, 2016; Kageyama et al., 2021). These models indicate a clear pattern;
19 Beringia was relatively warmer than other high latitude northern areas, particularly the North
20 Atlantic, but perhaps even in northern Canada. Our data confirm this overall pattern of relatively
21 warm summers in Beringia and highlight the veracity of models that simulate mild temperature
22 depressions across Alaska.

Deleted: LGM-LIA

1 Our paleo-temperature data provide evidence of relatively mild LGM climate in Alaska,
2 confirming climate model output, and expanding information from the few sites with paleoclimate
3 proxy data extending into the LGM. One may wish to consider whether the moraines from which
4 we calculate LGM ELA values are all the same age. Although many LGM terminal moraines in
5 the state remain undated, we compile available cosmogenic nuclide age constraints from moraine
6 boulders (Supplement Fig. 2), which indicate that there is some variability in moraine age, but the
7 dated moraines fall within the broad timing of the LGM. Furthermore, we suggest it likely that the
8 spread in moraine ages relates to small-scale oscillations of LGM glaciers during the LGM period
9 (and which one in each valley happened to become the outermost; Anderson et al., 2014) instead
10 of significant spatio-temporal differences in LGM climate across the state. Thus, while we
11 acknowledge that LGM moraine age may differ across the study area, we feel our ELA data still
12 capture the LGM climate state.

13 Average summer global temperatures were ca. -6 ± 2.4 °C lower during the LGM and were
14 even lower in other parts of the high northern latitudes including much of northern North America
15 and the North Atlantic (Osman et al., 2021; Tierney et al., 2020a). Our range of Δ ELA-derived
16 LGM minimum summer temperature depression for Alaska of -3.4 ± 1.8 °C, is similar to this global
17 average, but higher than some temperature depressions in the northern high latitudes (Tierney et
18 al., 2020a). Similarly, a paleoclimate assimilation from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
19 Change shows annual temperature depressions in parts of the Arctic similar to the global average
20 suggesting that Arctic cooling was not zonally homogenous during the LGM – both annually and
21 in the summer (Forster et al., 2021).

22

23

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: all of

1 5.4 Why was Alaska relatively dry and warm during the LGM?

2 Alaska was drier during the LGM than today, yet comparable LGM and LIA ELA gradients – at
 3 least across the Alaska Range – suggest similar moisture sources and highlight the importance of
 4 temperature as a control on glacier extent. The arid conditions in Alaska during the LGM have
 5 long been attributed to global eustatic sea level fall and the resultant emergence of the Bering Land
 6 Bridge, which has often been cited as a reason for relatively high, LGM-modern Δ ELAs in Alaska
 7 (Hopkins, 1982; Briner and Kaufman, 2008; Balascio et al., 2005a; Briner and Kaufman, 2000;
 8 Balascio et al., 2005b; Brigham-Grette, 2001; Elias et al., 1996). However, the similarity between
 9 LGM and LIA ELA gradients (i.e., with and without the presence of the Bering Land Bridge)
 10 suggests that the Bering Land Bridge did not play a major role in modulating precipitation during
 11 the LGM.

12 Syntheses of North Pacific sediment core records indicate lower sea surface temperatures
 13 during the end of the LGM (~20 – 19 ka), suggesting low moisture availability (Praetorius et al.,
 14 2018; Praetorius et al., 2020; Davis et al., 2020; Caissie et al., 2010). Much of the Bering Sea,
 15 North Pacific, and Arctic Ocean was covered by perennial sea ice during the LGM, further
 16 inhibiting moisture availability and precipitation in Alaska, and thus leading to higher, LGM-LIA
 17 Δ ELAs there compared to the lower latitudes (Caissie et al., 2010; Pelto et al., 2018; Polyak et al.,
 18 2010; Polyak et al., 2013; Sancetta et al., 1984). However, while the southern Bering Sea and
 19 northernmost Pacific was largely free of sea ice during the LIA, the Arctic Ocean was still covered
 20 by perennial sea ice. This led to similar precipitation gradients as the LGM, with the southern
 21 Bering Sea and northernmost Pacific as the primary sources of moisture.

22 Relatively low summer temperature depressions in Alaska are also likely responsible for
 23 the limited ELA lowering in Alaska during the LGM. While a complete and satisfying mechanism

Deleted: suggest the

Deleted: low

Deleted: lower

1 for relatively warm summer temperatures in Alaska remains elusive, a growing number of
2 modeling studies indicate the possibility that disruptions to global atmospheric circulation caused
3 by large LGM ice sheets may help explain this phenomenon. In short, persistent anticyclonic
4 circulation over the large North American ice sheets has two mechanistic impacts on atmospheric
5 circulation and the regional radiation budget over Alaska in model simulations: (i) jet stream
6 circulation becomes more meridional, and warm, southerly surface air is persistently advected into
7 Alaska (e.g., Roe and Lindzen, 2001; Löffverström et al., 2014), and (ii) atmospheric subsidence
8 driven by anticyclonic circulation inhibits local cloud formation increasing shortwave radiation in
9 Alaska (e.g., Löffverström and Liakka, 2016; Löffverström et al., 2015). While model results are
10 encouraging, the first mechanism is predominantly a wintertime phenomenon, and cloud dynamics
11 are often sources of biases in models (e.g., Bony and Dufresne, 2005), so further analyses are likely
12 required to test the hypothesis that large North American ice sheets modulated summer
13 temperatures in Alaska during the LGM. Additionally, Tulenko et al. (2020) investigated LGM
14 glacier changes throughout North America and lent additional support for the limited extent of
15 glaciers in Alaska during the LGM being related to ice-sheet-influenced circulation. Collectively,
16 atmospheric circulation patterns, in combination with AMOC-influenced temperature depression
17 in the North Atlantic sector are a reasonable explanation for LGM temperature patterns around the
18 high northern latitudes (Tulenko et al., 2020).

19 Because alpine glaciers are likely more sensitive to summer temperatures rather than
20 annual temperatures, we suggest that the limited extents of alpine glaciers in Alaska and their
21 correspondingly low LGM-LIA Δ ELAs were primarily due to relatively warm summers ~~and~~
22 influenced by reduced annual precipitation (Rupper and Roe, 2008; Tulenko et al., 2020). We posit
23 that the gradient of LGM ELAs seen across the state is largely controlled by precipitation. The

Deleted: and also

1 lack of a western (Bering Land Bridge instead of the Bering Sea) or northern (sea ice cover over
2 Chukchi Sea) moisture source, causes higher ELAs with increasing distance from the only
3 available moisture source – the southern Bering Sea and northernmost Pacific. This gradient is
4 especially pronounced in Alaska due LGM aridity; though temperature is the main driver of these
5 LGM ELAs, any precipitation would have undoubtedly played a key role in the growth of any
6 glaciers.

Deleted: ¶

8 6 Conclusions

- 9 • Minimum LGM-LIA Δ ELA-based summer temperature reconstructions of -3.4 ± 1.8 °C
10 confirm recent marine proxy-based paleoclimate data assimilation studies that indicate
11 Alaska experienced similar LGM temperature depressions to the global average. This
12 contrasts with much of the high latitude areas of the Northern Hemisphere, where
13 temperature depressions were much lower. These data agree with proxy and model studies
14 that show slightly cooler summer LGM conditions in Alaska. They also highlight that
15 Alaska experienced relatively small summer LGM temperature depressions to other
16 northern high latitude areas, suggesting that Arctic summertime cooling was not
17 latitudinally congruent.
- 18 • LGM and LIA ELA reconstructions demonstrate similar gradients and statistically
19 significant relationships between longitude and climate, indicating the influence of
20 precipitation on glacier extent. The similarity of the gradient also suggests a similar
21 moisture source during both the LGM and LIA and the lack of influence from the Bering
22 Land Bridge.

- 1 • Future work should focus on modeling of LGM glaciers in Alaska to supplement ELA-
2 based paleoclimate records, calculating hypothetical modern or LIA ELAs to calculate
3 Δ ELAs and temperature depressions statewide, and deriving ELAs and Δ ELAs across the
4 rest of Beringia (i.e., eastern Siberia) to assess paleoclimate conditions more broadly.

Deleted: ¶
¶

7 **Author Contributions**

8 JPB, JPT, and CKW designed the study. JPB acquired funding. CKW conducted all GIS work and
9 initial analysis. All coauthors contributed to discussion and further data analysis. CKW wrote the
10 first draft of the manuscript; all coauthors provided edits and comments on subsequent drafts.

12 **Competing Interests**

13 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

15 **Acknowledgements**

16 We thank the National Science Foundation for funding this project under grant #1853705. We
17 would also like to thank Andriano Ribolini, Darrell Kaufman, and ~~three~~ anonymous reviewers for
18 constructive comments that helped improve the manuscript.

Deleted: wo

Deleted: ¶

20 **Data availability**

21 ELA data and glacier extents generated in this study are included in the supplement.

Deleted: ¶
¶
¶

1 References

- 2 Abbott, M. B., Edwards, M. E., and Finney, B. P.: A 40,000-yr record of environmental change
3 from Burial Lake in Northwest Alaska, *Quaternary Research*, 74, 156-165,
4 doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2010.03.007, 2010.
- 5 Balascio, N. L., Kaufman, D. S., and Manley, W. F.: Equilibrium-line altitudes during the Last
6 Glacial Maximum across the Brooks Range, Alaska, *Journal of Quaternary Science:
7 Published for the Quaternary Research Association*, 20, 821-838,
8 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.980>, 2005a.
- 9 Balascio, N. L., Kaufman, D. S., Briner, J. P., and Manley, W. F.: Late Pleistocene glacial
10 geology of the Okpilak-Kongakut rivers region, northeastern Brooks Range, Alaska,
11 Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 37, 416-424, 10.1657/1523-
12 0430(2005)037[0416:LPGGOT]2.0.CO;2, 2005b.
- 13 Barclay, D. J., Wiles, G. C., and Calkin, P. E.: Holocene glacier fluctuations in Alaska,
14 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28, 2034-2048,
15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.01.016>, 2009.
- 16 Bartlein, P. J., Harrison, S. P., Brewer, S., Connor, S., Davis, B. A. S., Gajewski, K., Guiot, J.,
17 Harrison-Prentice, T. I., Henderson, A., and Peyron, O.: Pollen-based continental climate
18 reconstructions at 6 and 21 ka: a global synthesis, *Climate Dynamics*, 37, 775-802,
19 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0904-1>, 2011.
- 20 Benn, D. I. and Hulton, N. R. J.: An Excel™ spreadsheet program for reconstructing the surface
21 profile of former mountain glaciers and ice caps, *Computers & Geosciences*, 36, 605-610,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2009.09.016>, 2009.
- 23 Benn, D. I. and Lehmkuhl, F.: Mass balance and equilibrium-line altitudes of glaciers in high-
24 mountain environments, *Quaternary International*, 65, 15-29,
25 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182\(99\)00034-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(99)00034-8), 2000.
- 26 Bony, S. and Dufresne, J.-L.: Marine boundary layer clouds at the heart of tropical cloud
27 feedback uncertainties in climate models, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32,
28 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023851>, 2005.
- 29 Brigham-Grette, J.: New perspectives on Beringian Quaternary paleogeography, stratigraphy,
30 and glacial history, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 20, 15-24,
31 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(00\)00134-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00134-7), 2001.
- 32 Briner, J. P. and Kaufman, D. S.: Late Pleistocene glaciation of the southwestern Ahklun
33 mountains, Alaska, *Quaternary Research*, 53, 13-22, doi:10.1006/qres.1999.2088, 2000.
- 34 Briner, J. P. and Kaufman, D. S.: Late Pleistocene mountain glaciation in Alaska: key
35 chronologies, *Journal of Quaternary Science: Published for the Quaternary Research
36 Association*, 23, 659-670, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1196>, 2008.
- 37 Briner, J. P., Kaufman, D. S., Manley, W. F., Finkel, R. C., and Caffee, M. W.: Cosmogenic
38 exposure dating of late Pleistocene moraine stabilization in Alaska, *Geological Society of
39 America Bulletin*, 117, 1108-1120, 10.1130/B25649.1, 2005.
- 40 Briner, J. P., Swanson, T. W., and Caffee, M.: Late Pleistocene Cosmogenic ³⁶Cl Glacial
41 Chronology of the Southwestern Ahklun Mountains, Alaska, *Quaternary Research*, 56,
42 148-154, 10.1006/qres.2001.2255, 2001.
- 43 Broecker, W. S. and Denton, G. H.: The role of ocean-atmosphere reorganizations in glacial
44 cycles, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 9, 305-341, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-
45 3791\(90\)90026-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(90)90026-7), 1990.

- 1 Brooks, J. P., Larocca, L. J., and Axford, Y. L.: Little Ice Age climate in southernmost
 2 Greenland inferred from quantitative geospatial analyses of alpine glacier
 3 reconstructions, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 293, 107701,
 4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2022.107701>, 2022.
- 5 **Burgess, D. O. and Sharp, M. J.: Recent Changes in Areal Extent of the Devon Ice Cap,
 6 Nunavut, Canada, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 36, 261-271, 10.1657/1523-
 7 0430(2004)036[0261:RCIAEO]2.0.CO;2, 2004.**
- 8 Caissie, B. E., Brigham-Grette, J., Lawrence, K. T., Herbert, T. D., and Cook, M. S.: Last Glacial
 9 Maximum to Holocene sea surface conditions at Umnak Plateau, Bering Sea, as inferred
 10 from diatom, alkenone, and stable isotope records, *Paleoceanography*, 25,
 11 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001671>, 2010.
- 12 Capps, S. R.: Glaciation in Alaska, 2330-7102, <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp170A>, 1932.
- 13 **Carrivick, J. L., Smith, M. W., Sutherland, J. L., and Grimes, M.: Cooling glaciers in a warming
 14 climate since the Little Ice Age at Qaanaaq, northwest Kalaallit Nunaat (Greenland),
 15 Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 48, 2446-2462,
 16 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5638>, 2023.**
- 17 Chandler, B. M. P., Lovell, H., Boston, C. M., Lukas, S., Barr, I. D., Benediktsson, Í. Ö., Benn,
 18 D. I., Clark, C. D., Darvill, C. M., Evans, D. J. A., Ewertowski, M. W., Loibl, D.,
 19 Margold, M., Otto, J.-C., Roberts, D. H., Stokes, C. R., Storrar, R. D., and Stroeven, A.
 20 P.: Glacial geomorphological mapping: A review of approaches and frameworks for best
 21 practice, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 185, 806-846,
 22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.07.015>, 2018.
- 23 Child, J. C.: A late Wisconsinan lacustrine record of environmental change in the Wonder Lake
 24 area, Denali National Park and Preserve, AK, University of Massachusetts, 1995.
- 25 Coulter, H. W., Hopkins, D. M., Karlstrom, T. N. V., Pewe, T. L., Wahrhaftig, C., and Williams,
 26 J. R.: Map showing extent of glaciations in Alaska, Report 415,
 27 <https://doi.org/10.3133/i415>, 1965.
- 28 Daigle, T. A. and Kaufman, D. S.: Holocene climate inferred from glacier extent, lake sediment
 29 and tree rings at Goat Lake, Kenai Mountains, Alaska, USA, *Journal of Quaternary
 30 Science: Published for the Quaternary Research Association*, 24, 33-45,
 31 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1166>, 2009.
- 32 Daniels, W. C., Russell, J. M., Morrill, C., Longo, W. M., Giblin, A. E., Holland-Stergar, P.,
 33 Welker, J. M., Wen, X., Hu, A., and Huang, Y.: Lacustrine leaf wax hydrogen isotopes
 34 indicate strong regional climate feedbacks in Beringia since the last ice age, *Quaternary
 35 Science Reviews*, 269, 107130, 2021.
- 36 Davis, C. V., Myhre, S. E., Deutsch, C., Caissie, B., Praetorius, S., Borreggine, M., and Thunell,
 37 R.: Sea surface temperature across the Subarctic North Pacific and marginal seas through
 38 the past 20,000 years: A paleoceanographic synthesis, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 246,
 39 106519, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106519>, 2020.
- 40 Dorfman, J. M., Stoner, J. S., Finkenbinder, M. S., Abbott, M. B., Xuan, C., and St-Onge, G.: A
 41 37,000-year environmental magnetic record of aeolian dust deposition from Burial Lake,
 42 Arctic Alaska, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 128, 81-97,
 43 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.08.018>, 2015.
- 44 Dortch, J. M., Owen, L. A., Caffee, M. W., and Brease, P.: Late Quaternary glaciation and
 45 equilibrium line altitude variations of the McKinley River region, central Alaska Range,
 46 *Boreas*, 39, 233-246, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2009.00121.x>, 2010.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5"

- 1 Dortch, J. M., Owen, L. A., Caffee, M. W., Li, D., and Lowell, T. V.: Beryllium-10 surface
2 exposure dating of glacial successions in the Central Alaska Range, *Journal of*
3 *Quaternary Science*, 25, 1259-1269, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1406>, 2010.
- 4 Elias, S. A., Short, S. K., Nelson, C. H., and Birks, H. H.: Life and times of the Bering land
5 bridge, *Nature*, 382, 60-63, <https://doi.org/10.1038/382060a0> 1996.
- 6 Evison, L. H., Calkin, P. E., and Ellis, J. M.: Late-Holocene glaciation and twentieth- century
7 retreat, northeastern Brooks Range, Alaska, *The Holocene*, 6, 17-24,
8 [10.1177/095968369600600103](https://doi.org/10.1177/095968369600600103), 1996.
- 9 Federici, P. R., Granger, D. E., Pappalardo, M., Ribolini, A., Spagnolo, M., and Cyr, A. J.:
10 Exposure age dating and Equilibrium Line Altitude reconstruction of an Egesen moraine
11 in the Maritime Alps, Italy, *Boreas*, 37, 245-253, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502->
12 [3885.2007.00018.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2007.00018.x), 2008.
- 13 Finkenbinder, M. S., Abbott, M. B., Finney, B. P., Stoner, J. S., and Dorfman, J. M.: A multi-
14 proxy reconstruction of environmental change spanning the last 37,000 years from Burial
15 Lake, Arctic Alaska, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 126, 227-241,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.08.031>, 2015.
- 17 Finkenbinder, M. S., Abbott, M. B., Edwards, M. E., Langdon, C. T., Steinman, B. A., and
18 Finney, B. P.: A 31,000 year record of paleoenvironmental and lake-level change from
19 Harding Lake, Alaska, USA, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 87, 98-113,
20 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.01.005>, 2014.
- 21 Flint, R. F.: Growth of North American Ice Sheet During the Wisconsin Age, *GSA Bulletin*, 54,
22 325-362, [10.1130/GSAB-54-325](https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-54-325), 1943.
- 23 Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D. J.,
24 Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M. D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: The Earth's
25 Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks and Climate Sensitivity, in: *Climate Change 2021 –*
26 *The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment*
27 *Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte,
28 V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y.,
29 Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R.,
30 Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University
31 Press, Cambridge, 923-1054, DOI: [10.1017/9781009157896.009](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.009), 2021.
- 32 Hamilton, T. D.: Late Cenozoic glaciation of Alaska, [10.1130/DNAG-GNA-G1.813](https://doi.org/10.1130/DNAG-GNA-G1.813), 1994.
- 33 Hamilton, T. D. and Porter, S. C.: Itkillik Glaciation in the Brooks Range, Northern Alaska,
34 *Quaternary Research*, 5, 471-497, [10.1016/0033-5894\(75\)90012-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(75)90012-5), 1975.
- 35 Haugen, R. K., Lynch, M. J., and Roberts, T. C.: Summer Temperatures in Interior Alaska,
36 Research report (Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (U.S.)), Corps of
37 Engineers, U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, 1971.
- 38 Hopkins, D. M.: Aspects of the paleogeography of Beringia during the late Pleistocene,
39 *Paleoecology of Beringia*, 3-28, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-355860-2.50008-9>,
40 1982.
- 41 Kageyama, M., Harrison, S. P., Kapsch, M. L., Lofverstrom, M., Lora, J. M., Mikolajewicz, U.,
42 Sherriff-Tadano, S., Vadsaria, T., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bouttes, N., Chandan, D., Gregoire, L.
43 J., Ivanovic, R. F., Izumi, K., LeGrande, A. N., Lhardy, F., Lohmann, G., Morozova, P.
44 A., Ohgaito, R., Paul, A., Peltier, W. R., Poulsen, C. J., Quiquet, A., Roche, D. M., Shi,
45 X., Tierney, J. E., Valdes, P. J., Volodin, E., and Zhu, J.: The PMIP4 Last Glacial

- 1 Maximum experiments: preliminary results and comparison with the PMIP3 simulations,
2 *Clim. Past*, 17, 1065-1089, 10.5194/cp-17-1065-2021, 2021.
- 3 Kaser, G. and Osmaston, H.: Tropical glaciers, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- 4 Kathan, K.: Late Holocene climate fluctuations at Cascade lake, northeastern Ahklun Mountains,
5 southwestern Alaska, Northern Arizona University, 2006.
- 6 Kaufman, D. S. and Hopkins, D. M.: Glacial history of the Seward Peninsula, 1986.
- 7 Kaufman, D. S., Jensen, B. J. L., Reyes, A. V., Schiff, C. J., Froese, D. G., and Pearce, N. J. G.:
8 Late Quaternary tephrostratigraphy, Ahklun Mountains, SW Alaska, *Journal of*
9 *Quaternary Science*, 27, 344-359, 2012.
- 10 Kaufman, D. S., Sheng Hu, F., Briner, J. P., Werner, A., Finney, B. P., and Gregory-Eaves, I.:
11 A ~33,000 year record of environmental change from Arolik Lake, Ahklun Mountains,
12 Alaska, USA, *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 30, 343-361, 2003.
- 13 Kaufman, D. S., Young, N. E., Briner, J. P., and Manley, W. F.: Alaska palaeo-glacier atlas
14 (version 2), in: *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, Elsevier, 427-445,
15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53447-7.00033-7>, 2011.
- 16 Kienholz, C., Herreid, S., Rich, J. L., Arendt, A. A., Hock, R., and Burgess, E. W.: Derivation
17 and analysis of a complete modern-date glacier inventory for Alaska and northwest
18 Canada, *Journal of Glaciology*, 61, 403-420, 10.3189/2015JoG14J230, 2015.
- 19 King, A. L., Anderson, L., Abbott, M., Edwards, M., Finkenbinder, M. S., Finney, B., and
20 Wooller, M. J.: A stable isotope record of late Quaternary hydrologic change in the
21 northwestern Brooks Range, Alaska (eastern Beringia), *Journal of Quaternary Science*,
22 37, 928-943, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3368>, 2022.
- 23 Kłapyta, P., Mîndrescu, M., and Zasadni, J.: Geomorphological record and equilibrium line
24 altitude of glaciers during the last glacial maximum in the Rodna Mountains (eastern
25 Carpathians), *Quaternary Research*, 100, 1-20, 10.1017/qua.2020.90, 2021.
- 26 Kurek, J., Cwynar, L. C., Ager, T. A., Abbott, M. B., and Edwards, M. E.: Late Quaternary
27 paleoclimate of western Alaska inferred from fossil chironomids and its relation to
28 vegetation histories, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28, 799-811,
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.12.001>, 2009.
- 30 Kurowski, L.: Die Höhe der Schneegrenze mit Besonderer Berücksichtigung der Finsteraarhorn-
31 Gruppe, *Pencks Geographische Abhandlungen* 5, 1891.
- 32 Leonard, E. M., Laabs, B. J. C., Plummer, M. A., Kroner, R. K., Brugger, K. A., Spiess, V. M.,
33 Refsnider, K. A., Xia, Y., and Caffee, M. W.: Late Pleistocene glaciation and
34 deglaciation in the Crestone Peaks area, Colorado Sangre de Cristo Mountains, USA –
35 chronology and paleoclimate, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 158, 127-144,
36 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.11.024>, 2017.
- 37 Lesnek, A. J., Briner, J. P., Baichtal, J. F., and Lyles, A. S.: New constraints on the last
38 deglaciation of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in coastal Southeast Alaska, *Quaternary*
39 *Research*, 96, 140-160, doi:10.1017/qua.2020.32, 2020.
- 40 Lesnek, A. J., Briner, J. P., Lindqvist, C., Baichtal, J. F., and Heaton, T. H.: Deglaciation of the
41 Pacific coastal corridor directly preceded the human colonization of the Americas,
42 *Science Advances*, 4, eaar5040, 10.1126/sciadv.aar5040 %J Science Advances, 2018.
- 43 Levy, L. B., Kaufman, D. S., and Werner, A.: Holocene glacier fluctuations, Waskey Lake,
44 northeastern Ahklun mountains, southwestern Alaska, *The Holocene*, 14, 185-193,
45 <https://doi.org/10.1191/0959683604hl675rp>, 2004.
- 46 Löffverström, M. and Liakka, J.: On the limited ice intrusion in Alaska at the LGM, *Geophysical*

- 1 Research Letters, 43, 11-030, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071012>, 2016.
- 2 Löffverström, M., Liakka, J., and Kleman, J.: The North American Cordillera—An Impediment
3 to Growing the Continent-Wide Laurentide Ice Sheet, *Journal of Climate*, 28, 9433-9450,
4 <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0044.1>, 2015.
- 5 Löffverström, M., Caballero, R., Nilsson, J., and Kleman, J.: Evolution of the large-scale
6 atmospheric circulation in response to changing ice sheets over the last glacial cycle,
7 *Climate of the Past*, 10, 1453-1471, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-1453-2014>, 2014.
- 8 Manley, W., Kaufman, D., and Briner, J.: GIS determination of late Wisconsin equilibrium line
9 altitudes in the Ahklun Mountains of southwestern Alaska, *Geological Society of
10 America Abstracts with Programs*, A33, 1997.
- 11 Manley, W. F., Kaufman, D. S., and Briner, J. P.: Pleistocene glacial history of the southern
12 Ahklun Mountains, southwestern Alaska: Soil-development, morphometric, and
13 radiocarbon constraints, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 20, 353-370,
14 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(00\)00111-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00111-6), 2001.
- 15 Mann, D. H. and Peteet, D. M.: Extent and Timing of the Last Glacial Maximum in
16 Southwestern Alaska, *Quaternary Research*, 42, 136-148,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.1994.1063>, 1994.
- 18 Matmon, A., Briner, J. P., Carver, G., Bierman, P., and Finkel, R. C.: Moraine chronosequence
19 of the Donnelly Dome region, Alaska, *Quaternary Research*, 74, 63-72,
20 [10.1016/j.yqres.2010.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2010.04.007), 2010.
- 21 McKay, N. P. and Kaufman, D. S.: Holocene climate and glacier variability at Hallet and
22 Greyling Lakes, Chugach Mountains, south-central Alaska, *Journal of Paleolimnology*,
23 41, 143-159, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-008-9260-0>, 2009.
- 24 Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., and Morlighem, M.: Ice velocity and thickness of the
25 world's glaciers, *Nature Geoscience*, 15, 124-129, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00885-z>, 2022.
- 26 Miller, G. H., Alley, R. B., Brigham-Grette, J., Fitzpatrick, J. J., Polyak, L., Serreze, M. C., and
27 White, J. W. C.: Arctic amplification: can the past constrain the future?, *Quaternary
28 Science Reviews*, 29, 1779-1790, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.02.008>, 2010.
- 29 Mitchell, S. G. and Humphries, E. E.: Glacial cirques and the relationship between equilibrium
30 line altitudes and mountain range height, *Geology*, 43, 35-38, [10.1130/G36180.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/G36180.1), 2015.
- 31 Molnia, B. F.: *Glaciers of North America - Glaciers of Alaska*, Report 1386K,
32 [10.3133/pp1386K](https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1386K), 2008.
- 33 Muhs, D. R., Ager, T. A., Arthur Bettis, E., McGeehin, J., Been, J. M., Begét, J. E., Pavich, M.
34 J., Stafford, T. W., and Stevens, D. A. S. P.: Stratigraphy and palaeoclimatic significance
35 of Late Quaternary loess–palaeosol sequences of the Last Interglacial–Glacial cycle in
36 central Alaska, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 22, 1947-1986,
37 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(03\)00167-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(03)00167-7), 2003.
- 38 Nesje, A.: Reconstructing Paleo ELAs on Glaciated Landscapes, in: Reference Module in Earth
39 Systems and Environmental Sciences, Elsevier, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09425-2>, 2014.
- 40 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09425-2>, 2014.
- 41 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09425-2>, 2014.
- 42 [Oerlemans, J.: Extracting a Climate Signal from 169 Glacier Records, *Science*, 308, 675-677,
43 \[doi:10.1126/science.1107046\]\(https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1107046\), 2005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09425-2)
- 44 Ohmura, A. and Boettcher, M.: Climate on the equilibrium line altitudes of glaciers: theoretical
45 background behind Ahlmann's P/T diagram, *Journal of Glaciology*, 64, 489-505,
46 <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.41>, 2018.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5"

- 1 Ohmura, A., Kasser, P., and Funk, M.: Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers, *Journal of*
 2 *Glaciology*, 38, 397-411, doi:10.3189/S0022143000002276, 1992.
- 3 Oien, R. P., Rea, B. R., Spagnolo, M., Barr, I. D., and Bingham, R. G.: Testing the area–altitude
 4 balance ratio (AABR) and accumulation–area ratio (AAR) methods of calculating glacier
 5 equilibrium-line altitudes, *Journal of Glaciology*, 1-12, doi:10.1017/jog.2021.100, 2021.
- 6 Ono, Y., Aoki, T., Hasegawa, H., and Dali, L.: Mountain glaciation in Japan and Taiwan at the
 7 global Last Glacial Maximum, *Quaternary international*, 138, 79-92,
 8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2005.02.007>, 2005.
- 9 Osman, M. B., Tierney, J. E., Zhu, J., Tardif, R., Hakim, G. J., King, J., and Poulsen, C. J.:
 10 Globally resolved surface temperatures since the Last Glacial Maximum, *Nature*, 599,
 11 239-244, 10.1038/s41586-021-03984-4, 2021.
- 12 Otto-Bliessner, B. L., Brady, E. C., Clauzet, G., Tomas, R., Levis, S., and Kothavala, Z.: Last
 13 glacial maximum and Holocene climate in CCSM3, *Journal of Climate*, 19, 2526-2544,
 14 <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3748.1> 2006.
- 15 Pellitero, R., Rea, B. R., Spagnolo, M., Bakke, J., Hughes, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Lukas, S., and
 16 Ribolini, A.: A GIS tool for automatic calculation of glacier equilibrium-line altitudes,
 17 *Computers & Geosciences*, 82, 55-62, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2015.05.005>, 2015.
- 18 Pellitero, R., Rea, B. R., Spagnolo, M., Bakke, J., Ivy-Ochs, S., Frew, C. R., Hughes, P.,
 19 Ribolini, A., Lukas, S., and Renssen, H.: GlaRe, a GIS tool to reconstruct the 3D surface
 20 of palaeoglaciers, *Computers & Geosciences*, 94, 77-85,
 21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2016.06.008>, 2016.
- 22 Pelto, B. M., Caissie, B. E., Petsch, S. T., and Brigham-Grette, J.: Oceanographic and Climatic
 23 Change in the Bering Sea, Last Glacial Maximum to Holocene, *Paleoceanography and*
 24 *Paleoclimatology*, 33, 93-111, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017PA003265>, 2018.
- 25 Pendleton, S. L., Ceperley, E. G., Briner, J. P., Kaufman, D. S., and Zimmerman, S.: Rapid and
 26 early deglaciation in the central Brooks Range, Arctic Alaska, *Geology*, 43, 419-422,
 27 10.1130/G36430.1, 2015.
- 28 Péwé, T. L.: Multiple glaciation in Alaska: a progress report, US Department of the Interior,
 29 Geological Survey, 1953.
- 30 Péwé, T. L.: Quaternary geology of Alaska, Report 835, 10.3133/pp835, 1975.
- 31 Plummer, M. A. and Phillips, F. M.: A 2-D numerical model of snow/ice energy balance and ice
 32 flow for paleoclimatic interpretation of glacial geomorphic features, *Quaternary Science*
 33 *Reviews*, 22, 1389-1406, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(03\)00081-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(03)00081-7), 2003.
- 34 Polyak, L., Best, K. M., Crawford, K. A., Council, E. A., and St-Onge, G.: Quaternary history of
 35 sea ice in the western Arctic Ocean based on foraminifera, *Quaternary Science Reviews*,
 36 79, 145-156, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.12.018>, 2013.
- 37 Polyak, L., Alley, R. B., Andrews, J. T., Brigham-Grette, J., Cronin, T. M., Darby, D. A., Dyke,
 38 A. S., Fitzpatrick, J. J., Funder, S., Holland, M., Jennings, A. E., Miller, G. H., O'Regan,
 39 M., Savelle, J., Serreze, M., St. John, K., White, J. W. C., and Wolff, E.: History of sea
 40 ice in the Arctic, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 29, 1757-1778,
 41 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.02.010>, 2010.
- 42 Porter, S. C.: Some Geological Implications of Average Quaternary Glacial Conditions,
 43 *Quaternary Research*, 32, 245-261, 10.1016/0033-5894(89)90092-6, 1989.
- 44 Praetorius, S., Rugenstein, M., Persad, G., and Caldeira, K.: Global and Arctic climate sensitivity
 45 enhanced by changes in North Pacific heat flux, *Nature Communications*, 9, 3124,
 46 10.1038/s41467-018-05337-8, 2018.


- 1 Praetorius, S. K., Condrón, A., Mix, A. C., Walczak, M. H., McKay, J. L., and Du, J.: The role of
2 Northeast Pacific meltwater events in deglacial climate change, *Science Advances*, 6,
3 eaay2915, 10.1126/sciadv.aay2915, 2020.
- 4 Rea, B. R., Pellitero, R., Spagnolo, M., Hughes, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Renssen, H., Ribolini, A.,
5 Bakke, J., Lukas, S., and Braithwaite, R. J.: Atmospheric circulation over Europe during
6 the Younger Dryas, *Science Advances*, 6, eaba4844, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aba4844, 2020.
- 7 Reinthaler, J. and Paul, F.: Using a Web Map Service to map Little Ice Age glacier extents at
8 regional scales, *Annals of Glaciology*, 1-19, <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2023.39>, 2023.
- 9 Rodbell, D. T.: Late Pleistocene equilibrium-line reconstructions in the northern Peruvian Andes,
10 *Boreas*, 21, 43-52, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1992.tb00012.x>, 1992.
- 11 Roe, Gerard H., Baker, Marcia B., and Herla, F.: Centennial glacier retreat as categorical
12 evidence of regional climate change, *Nature Geoscience*, 10, 95-99, 10.1038/ngeo2863,
13 2017.
- 14 Roe, G. H. and Lindzen, R. S.: The Mutual Interaction between Continental-Scale Ice Sheets and
15 Atmospheric Stationary Waves, *Journal of Climate*, 14, 1450-1465,
16 [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2001\)014<1450:TMIBCS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1450:TMIBCS>2.0.CO;2), 2001.
- 17 Rupper, S. and Roe, G.: Glacier changes and regional climate: A mass and energy balance
18 approach, *Journal of Climate*, 21, 5384-5401, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2219.1>,
19 2008.
- 20 Sancetta, C., Heusser, L., Labeyrie, L., Naidu, A. S., and Robinson, S. W.: Wisconsin—
21 Holocene paleoenvironment of the Bering Sea: Evidence from diatoms, pollen, oxygen
22 isotopes and clay minerals, *Marine Geology*, 62, 55-68, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(84)90054-9)
23 [3227\(84\)90054-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(84)90054-9) 1984.
- 24 Sikorski, J. J., Kaufman, D. S., Manley, W. F., and Nolan, M.: Glacial-geologic evidence for
25 decreased precipitation during the Little Ice Age in the Brooks Range, Alaska, Arctic,
26 Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 41, 138-150, [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430-](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430-41.1.138)
27 [41.1.138](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430-41.1.138), 2009.
- 28 Solomina, O. N., Bradley, R. S., Hodgson, D. A., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jomelli, V., Mackintosh, A. N.,
29 Nesje, A., Owen, L. A., Wanner, H., Wiles, G. C., and Young, N. E.: Holocene glacier
30 fluctuations, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 111, 9-34,
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.11.018>, 2015.
- 32 Stansell, N. D., Polissar, P. J., and Abbott, M. B.: Last glacial maximum equilibrium-line altitude
33 and paleo-temperature reconstructions for the Cordillera de Mérida, Venezuelan Andes,
34 *Quaternary Research*, 67, 115-127, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2006.07.005, 2007.
- 35 Sutherland, D. G.: Modern glacier characteristics as a basis for inferring former climates with
36 particular reference to the Loch Lomond Stadial, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 3, 291-
37 309, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791\(84\)90010-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(84)90010-6), 1984.
- 38 Tierney, J. E., Zhu, J., King, J., Malevich, S. B., Hakim, G. J., and Poulsen, C. J.: Glacial cooling
39 and climate sensitivity revisited, *Nature*, 584, 569-573, 10.1038/s41586-020-2617-x,
40 2020a.
- 41 Tierney, J. E., Poulsen, C. J., Montañez, I. P., Bhattacharya, T., Feng, R., Ford, H. L., Hönisch,
42 B., Inglis, G. N., Petersen, S. V., and Sagoo, N.: Past climates inform our future, *Science*,
43 370, 10.1126/science.aay3701, 2020b.
- 44 Tulenko, J. P., Lofverstrom, M., and Briner, J. P.: Ice sheet influence on atmospheric circulation
45 explains the patterns of Pleistocene alpine glacier records in North America, *Earth and*
46 *Planetary Science Letters*, 534, 116115, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116115>, 2020.

- 1 Tulenko, J. P., Briner, J. P., Young, N. E., and Schaefer, J. M.: Beryllium-10 chronology of early
2 and late Wisconsinan moraines in the Revelation Mountains, Alaska: Insights into the
3 forcing of Wisconsinan glaciation in Beringia, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 197, 129-
4 141, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.08.009>, 2018.
- 5 Valentino, J. D., Owen, L. A., Spotila, J. A., Cesta, J. M., and Caffee, M. W.: Timing and extent
6 of Late Pleistocene glaciation in the Chugach Mountains, Alaska, *Quaternary Research*,
7 101, 205-224, [10.1017/qua.2020.106](https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.106), 2021.
- 8 Verbyla, D. and Kurkowski, T. A.: NDVI–Climate relationships in high-latitude mountains of
9 Alaska and Yukon Territory, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 51, 397-411,
10 [10.1080/15230430.2019.1650542](https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2019.1650542), 2019.
- 11 Viau, A. E., Gajewski, K., Sawada, M. C., and Bunbury, J.: Low-and high-frequency climate
12 variability in eastern Beringia during the past 25 000 years, *Canadian Journal of Earth
13 Sciences*, 45, 1435-1453, <https://doi.org/10.1139/E08-036>, 2008.
- 14 Walcott, C. K.: GIS reconstructions of former glaciers shed light on past climate, *Nature
15 Reviews Earth & Environment*, 3, 292-292, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00293-w>,
16 2022.
- 17 Walcott, C. K., Briner, J. P., Baichtal, J. F., Lesnek, A. J., and Licciardi, J. M.: Cosmogenic ages
18 indicate no MIS 2 refugia in the Alexander Archipelago, Alaska, *Geochronology*, 4, 191-
19 211, [10.5194/gchron-4-191-2022](https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-4-191-2022), 2022.
- 20 Werner, A., Wright, K., and Child, J.: Bluff stratigraphy along the McKinley River: a record of
21 late Wisconsin climatic change, *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*,
22 25, A224, 1993.
- 23 Wiles, G. C., Calkin, P. E., and Post, A.: Glacier fluctuations in the Kenai Fjords, Alaska, USA:
24 an evaluation of controls on iceberg-calving glaciers, *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 27,
25 234-245, [10.1080/00040851.1995.12003118](https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1995.12003118), 1995.
- 26 Young, N. E., Briner, J. P., and Kaufman, D. S.: Late Pleistocene and Holocene glaciation of the
27 Fish Lake valley, northeastern Alaska Range, Alaska, *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 24,
28 677-689, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1279>, 2009.
- 29 Zemp, M., Huss, M., Thibert, E., Eckert, N., McNabb, R., Huber, J., Barandun, M., Machguth,
30 H., Nussbaumer, S. U., Gärtner-Roer, I., Thomson, L., Paul, F., Maussion, F., Kutuzov,
31 S., and Cogley, J. G.: Global glacier mass changes and their contributions to sea-level
32 rise from 1961 to 2016, *Nature*, 568, 382-386, [10.1038/s41586-019-1071-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1071-0), 2019.
- 33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Deleted: ¶

1 **Figures**

2




3

4 **Figure 1.** Map of Alaska with LGM ice limits (light blue; <http://akatlas.geology.buffalo.edu/>;
 5 date of last access: 1/4/23; Kaufman et al., 2011). Glaciated massifs used in this study outlined in
 6 dark blue boxes. Previous studies highlighted with reported LGM-contemporary Δ ELAs in red:
 7 Brooks Range (Hamilton and Porter, 1975; Balascio et al., 2005), Seward Peninsula (Kaufman
 8 and Hopkins, 1986), Indian Mountain (P  w  , 1975), Denali (Dortch et al., 2010), SW Ahklun
 9 Mountains (Briner and Kaufman 2000), Alaska Peninsula (Mann and Petect, 1994).

10


11



1
2 **Figure 2.** Hillshade image (1/3rd arc second [~ 10 m] resolution DEM data from the USGS
3 national map) of the eastern slope of the Chuilnuk Mountains, Alaska, showing previously
4 mapped ice extents of the MIS 2 ice advance (red), MIS 4 advance (blue) from the Alaska
5 PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 (Kaufman et al., 2011), and updated, higher resolution mapping from this
6 study (yellow). Note that Kaufman et al. (2011) mapped ice limits at a 1:250,000 scale to capture
7 statewide ice limits, and thus their limits are at a lower resolution. We used the lower resolution
8 polygons from the Alaska PaleoGlacier Atlas v2 as guides to ensure we mapped the correct MIS
9 2 ice extents.


Deleted: ¶

10
11
12



1
2 **Figure 3.** Representative hillshade (A) and LANDSAT8 false color images (B) from the eastern
3 Alaska Range used for mapping Little Ice Age glacier extents. Note the sharp moraines in panel
4 A and the clear transition from dark red (heavily vegetated) to brown-red in panel B, both
5 indicators of Little Ice Age moraines.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12


Formatted: Font: Not Bold



1
 2 **Figure 4.** **A)** AAR LGM ELAs for all 480 reconstructed LGM glaciers plotted on a color gradient.
 3 Blue are glaciers with lower ELAs; red, higher ELAs. Areas of Cordilleran Ice Sheet influence are
 4 excluded from the map. **B)** Polynomial trend surface (3rd order) of LGM ELAs. Again, blue and
 5 red are low and high LGM ELAs, respectively.

Deleted: 2


6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24



1
2
3
4
5

Figure 5. AAR LGM (blue) and LIA (yellow) ELAs plotted against longitude with lines of best fit.

Deleted: 3



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Figure 6: LGM - LIA Δ ELAs and Δ ELA-derived minimum summer temperature depressions calculated with the dry adiabatic lapse rate. Blue dots show little LGM ELA lowering (higher temperature depressions), while red dots show large amounts of ELA lowering (lower temperature depressions). Areas of Cordilleran Ice Sheet influence are excluded from the map. Note that the lowest Δ ELA is ≥ -750 m.

Deleted: 4

Deleted: greatest

Deleted: <