An age scale for new climate records from Sherman Island, West Antarctica
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Abstract. Few ice cores from the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Sea sectors of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) extend back in time further than a few hundred years. The WAIS is believed to be susceptible to collapse as a result of anthropogenic climate change and may have at least partially collapsed in the past. Understanding the stability of the WAIS during warm periods such as the LIG and Holocene is important. As part of the WACSWAIN project, the British Antarctic Survey’s (BAS) Rapid Access Isotope Drill (RAID) was deployed in 2020 on Sherman Island in the Abbott Ice Shelf. We drilled a 323 m deep borehole, with discrete samples of ice chippings collected covering the entire depth range of the drilled ice. The samples were analysed for stable water isotope composition and major ion content at BAS from 2020-2022. Using annual layer counting of chemical records, volcanic horizon identification and modelling, an age scale for the record of 1724 discrete samples is presented. The Sherman Island ice record extends back to greater than 1150 years before present, providing the oldest, continuous, ice-derived palaeoclimate records for the coastal Amundsen-Bellingshausen Sea sectors to date. We demonstrate the potential for recovery of a complete Holocene climate record from Sherman Island in the future, and confidence in the ability of RAID samples to contain sufficiently resolved records for meaningful climatic interpretation.

1 Introduction

The West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) is believed to be vulnerable to collapse due to anthropogenic warming, with the potential to contribute several metres to global sea level (Mercer, 1978; Oppenheimer, 1998; Edwards et al., 2019; Bamber et al., 2019; Lowry et al., 2021). Much of the WAIS is grounded in marine basins lying up to 2000 m below sea level, making it highly susceptible to mass loss as a result of marine ice sheet instability (MISI) induced by ocean warming (Joughin and Alley, 2011; Shepherd et al., 2004). The recent thinning of WAIS and Southern Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves has resulted in accelerated flow of their respective glaciers into the Bellingshausen and Amundsen Sea embayment and their grounding lines have subsequently retreated over the last few decades (Konrad et al., 2018; Paolo et al., 2015; Wouters et al., 2015). Current loss of ice volume from the WAIS is dominated by loss from the Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers (PIG and TG respectively) (Pattyn and Morlighem, 2020). Significant retreat of WAIS glaciers is underway and is identified as one of the major “tipping points” in the climate system, which could bring about irreversible WAIS collapse (Lenton et al., 2008). WAIS
loss has implications for other parts of the climate system, such as Antarctic sea ice coverage, albedo and freshening of the Southern Ocean, highlighting the importance of research in this area (Bronselaer et al., 2018; Wunderling et al., 2020).

The WAIS is believed to have at least partially collapsed during past warm periods, including the Last Interglacial (LIG) (DeConto and Pollard, 2016). Investigating the behaviour of the WAIS during these times provides insights into ice sheet stability which can be used to understand and predict current and future change. The WACSWAIN project (WArm Climate Stability of the West Antarctic ice sheet in the last INterglacial) aims to use ice core records to investigate the WAIS during the LIG. An ice core on Skytrain Ice Rise (Figure 1) was successfully drilled to the bed at 651 m, results from which are now being published (Mulvaney et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2022). Drilling was carried out at a second site, on Sherman Island, located in the Abbott Ice Shelf in between continental Antarctica and Thurston Island, close to TG and PIG (Figure 1 and Table 1). Sherman Island was chosen to provide a constraint on the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Sea sectors of the WAIS. Drilling using the British Antarctic Survey’s (BAS) Rapid Access Isotope Drill (RAID) was completed in early 2020 (Mulvaney et al., 2021). The RAID was chosen due to the high risk of Sherman Island not containing ice from the LIG. It has been demonstrated the drilling and sampling techniques necessary for RAID ice do not result in significant mixing or attenuation of stable water isotopic or chemical signals in the ice, and an identified chemical contamination problem does not impact climatic interpretation, particularly on longer time-scales (Rowell et al., 2022). Drilling reached a depth of 323 m, out of a total ice sheet depth of approximately 428 m, at which point the drill became stuck in the ice and the drilling campaign ended (Mulvaney et al., 2021). Not reaching the bedrock is expected to significantly reduce the total possible length of the climate records obtained, due to the exponential increase of age with depth.

There is great value in assessing the natural climate variability of the last few centuries, in order to set current and future warming and ice sheet behaviour into recent context. Crucial to this task is assigning a reliable age scale to the ice, and this paper addresses that for the new RAID core from Sherman Island.

### Table 1. Information about the Sherman Island RAID site and drilling campaign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude (°)</th>
<th>Longitude (°)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Ice thickness (m)</th>
<th>Depth drilled (m)</th>
<th>No. samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-72.67</td>
<td>-99.71</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>323.23</td>
<td>1724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Drilling and measurements

A detailed description of the Sherman Island Rapid Access Isotope Drill (RAID) field campaign can be found in Mulvaney et al. (2021). The BAS RAID (Rix et al., 2019) drilled to a depth of 323 m in five drilling days, collecting discrete samples of ice chippings as described in Rowell et al. (2022) and Mulvaney et al. (2021).

A detailed description of the RAID lab measurements can be found in Rowell et al. (2022). In summary, stable water isotope and chemistry data were collected from 1724 samples of ice chips at a resolution of 6 to 29 cm (average 19 cm). Some chemical data had to be discarded because of contamination from the drill, but as described in Rowell et al. (2022), the remaining dataset
Figure 1. Map of West Antarctica indicating the location of the WACSWAIN drilling sites. Map generated using QGIS with the Quantarctica mapping environment (Matsuoka et al., 2021). Black lines show elevation at 1000 m interval contour lines from CryoSat-2. The markers show Sherman Island (the focus of this paper) and Skytrain Ice Rise (for reference).

appears robust and suitable for looking at annual layers and at trends in the concentration of chemical ions and the stable water isotope composition.

3 SI:RAID age scale development

The Sherman Island RAID age scale was produced using three methods. Annual layer counting of stable water isotopic and chemical species was carried out on the basis that the stable water isotopic composition and concentration of certain ions vary seasonally, enabling the visualisation and counting of peaks and troughs corresponding to one annual cycle, or layer, in the ice (e.g., Sigg and Neftel (1988); Sigl et al. (2016); Winstrup et al. (2019)). The layers can simply be counted from one year to the next, giving fixed depths for the summer and/or winter of the shallower, more recent years in the ice sheet. Identification of large, well-dated volcanic events in the sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) record, supplemented with sulfur (S) isotope analysis to differentiate between background and volcanic samples, was conducted to date ice beyond the depth where annual layer counting was possible. Two ice thinning models were used to give an initial estimate of the age scale, assess confidence in the first two dating methods and provide an age estimation for the deepest ice. The three steps are described in further detail below.
3.0.1 Annual layer counting

Annual layer counting (Figures 2 and 3) was performed on stable water isotope ratio and chemical data plotted on a depth scale. The MATLAB programme “Matchmaker” was used for plotting multiple records together from the SI-RAID data and a nearby 20 m long SI ice core (SI:Core) and identifying corresponding peaks and troughs, placing markers and adjusting the age scale accordingly (Rasmussen et al., 2013; Tetzner et al., 2022, 2021). The species used consistently throughout annual layer counting were: deuterium (δ²H), methanesulfonic acid (MSA⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻) and sodium (Na⁺). These species have highest values during the austral summer (December to February) because δ²H responds primarily to temperature and SO₄²⁻ and MSA⁻ are related to marine bio-productivity, both of which peak in the summer (Turner et al., 1995). The relative contribution of Na⁺ seasonally to the ice appears to be inconsistent at Sherman Island, with some years seeing summer peaks corresponding to clear summer signals in the δ²H record and other years showing winter peaks. This variability could be representative of a site such as Sherman Island, which is close to open water sea salt contributions in the summer and then closely surrounded by salt-producing sea ice in the winter, with potential contributions from nearby Pine Island and Amundsen Sea polynyas. The contribution of Na⁺ to the ice core site could therefore be dependent on wind direction and circulation patterns rather than seasonality. Counting was therefore supplemented with the SO₄²⁻/Na⁺ ratio in places where the seasonality of Na⁺ was unclear.

Annual age markers from the SI:Core were used to add summer-to-summer reference points in the top 20 m of the RAID data to aid the layer counting. The SI-RAID data show similar absolute concentrations to the SI:Core, as well as closely matching annual variability throughout much of the 20 m, but with less well-defined peaks because of the lower depth resolution (Figure 2). Using the spacing of the annual layers in the top 20 m, with the SI:Core as a guide, counting was continued to 70 m using the same technique as for the top 20 m but without the assistance of the SI:Core data. It is possible that annual layer counting could have been continued below 70 m. However, the regular variations, which arguably are still seasonal variations, are difficult to distinguish from variability caused by other factors. In particular, seasonal variability in δ²H becomes limited below this depth.

The regular peaks and troughs that are indicative of seasonal variations are formed from typically less than four data points below 70 m meaning that annual layer counting below this point cannot be considered robust.

3.0.2 Modelling ice thinning and a priori estimate of depth/age

Using the age scale for the top 70 m from annual layer counting, it was possible to estimate the age of deeper samples. This estimate was done in combination with an ice thinning model. Details about the model can be found in Martín et al. (2015), but we summarize here the main equations for convenience. The model neglects horizontal advection and calculates age A at a given depth d and time t as a function of the vertical velocity w as,

\[
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(d,t) - w(d,t) \frac{\partial A}{\partial d}(d,t) = 1 \quad 0 \leq d \leq H, \quad -t_0 \leq t \leq 0
\]

\[
A(d,t_0) = A_0,
\]

\[
A(0,t) = 0,
\]

(1)
Figure 2. Annual layer counting of the top 20 m of the Sherman Island data. Counts are performed using both SI:RAID and SI:Core data. Grey age markers are placed on the assigned summer peak, with dashed lines corresponding to RAID data and solid lines corresponding to Core data. δ²H data in ‰, all other data in μg L⁻¹. Yellow lines represent "uncertain" years in both core and RAID and are not included in either age scale.

where $H$ is the ice thickness, and $A_0$ is the initial depth/age, a time $t_0$ before the present $t = 0$.

We further assume that in the vertical velocity we can separate the time- and depth-dependency, that there is no basal melt or variation of ice thickness with time. The vertical velocity can be then written as,

$$w(d, t) = -a(t) \frac{\rho_i}{\rho(d)} \eta(d),$$

where $\rho$ is the density, that is assumed uniform in time, $\rho_i$ is the density of ice, $a$ is the time-dependent accumulation, and $\eta(d)$ is a function of depth, often referred as shape function, that varies between 0 at the bed and 1 at the surface. For the shape function we use two extreme approximations. For one extreme, we use Lliboutry (1979),

$$\eta(d) = 1 - \frac{p+2}{p+1} \left( \frac{d}{H} \right) + \frac{1}{p+1} \left( \frac{d}{H} \right)^{p+2},$$

where $p$ is the parameter of the shape function.
Figure 3. Annual layer counting from 20 to 70 m of the Sherman Island data. Counting is continued from 20 m with just the RAID data. Grey age markers are placed on the assigned summer peak. $\delta^2$H data in ‰, all other data in μg L$^{-1}$. Y-axis ranges of panels adjusted for appropriate range of concentrations in the depth range of data shown in the panel.
where \( p \) is a parameter. The Lliboutry approximation reproduces well flow dominated by shear (Martín and Gudmundsson, 2012) and we use it to simulate ice thinning at the flanks of the ice flow divide. That is, more than a few thicknesses away from the ice flow divide that is often located near the ridge perpendicular to ice flow. On the other extreme, to represent ice flow divide conditions, we use the numerical output at the divide from the full field model of Martín and Gudmundsson (2012).

For our \textit{a priori} depth/age model we assume that the accumulation is proportional to that of EPICA Dome C (Bazin et al., 2012) using the present values of accumulation at Sherman from annual layer counting. Our hypothesis is that the flow conditions can be bounded between divide flow and flank flow with \( p = 3 \). The results for the depth/age estimation from both extremes are in Figure 5.

### 3.0.3 Volcanic horizon identification

It became clear during our analysis that the depth/age model for flank-flow conditions is consistently better fitting our depth/date markers. We use the flank-flow depth/age model as \textit{a prior} to guide us in the dating of the deeper samples.

The records of chemical species were closely inspected for signatures of volcanic events as explained below. Based on the \textit{a priori} depth/age model and annual layer thickness in the top 70 m, it was clear that with a 19 cm average sample resolution, samples would continue to be annual, or greater, resolution until at least 250 m depth. Assuming the imprint of volcanic events is recorded in the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentration at Sherman Island, this sample age resolution is adequate to resolve individual volcanic events.

Large volcanic eruptions emit sulfurous gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO\(_2\)) and hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S) into the atmosphere, which are oxidised and precipitated onto the ice sheets in the form of \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), leaving a peak in the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentration of the ice layer (Delmas et al., 1985). Matching volcanic peaks in the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) record of ice cores with known volcanic events provides an age constraint at certain depth intervals (e.g., Udisti et al. (2004); Parrenin et al. (2012); Severi et al. (2012)). The Sherman Island drill site is only 440 m above sea level and located very close to open ocean. The \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) record is therefore dominated by marine biogenic and sea salt sources, making volcanic peaks more difficult to identify. The total \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentration was split into sea-salt (ss) and non-sea-salt (nss) components to aid the identification of volcanic peaks, according to Equations 4 to 8.

\[
\text{nssCa}^{2+} = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] - [\text{ssNa}^+] \cdot R_m \tag{4}
\]

\[
[\text{ssNa}^+] = [\text{Na}^+] - [\text{nssCa}^{2+}] \cdot R_t \tag{5}
\]

\[
[\text{nssSO}_4^{2-}] = [\text{SO}_4^{2-}] - R_s \cdot [\text{ssNa}^+] \tag{6}
\]

with
$R_m = (Na^+/Ca^{2+})_{ss}$

and

$R_t = (Na^+/Ca^{2+})_{nss}$,

where $R_m$ and $R_t$ are the Ca$^{2+}$:Na$^{+}$ sea-salt and continental ion mass ratios respectively and $R_s$ is the sea salt ion mass ratio for SO$_{4}^{2-}$. Values of 1.78, 0.038 and 0.25 were used for $R_m$, $R_t$ and $R_s$, respectively (Röthlisberger et al., 2002; Bigler et al., 2006). As described in Rowell et al. (2022), to make best use of the Ca$^{2+}$ data while avoiding contaminated or missing data, the Ca$^{2+}$ data points for the first sample in each drop of the drill (which is generally contaminated) were replaced with the mean of the rest of the drop. This enables use of the Ca$^{2+}$ record to obtain ssNa$^+$ and subsequently nssSO$_{4}^{2-}$ apportionments.

The concentrations of MSA, SO$_{4}^{2-}$ and nssSO$_{4}^{2-}$ were plotted together (Figure 4), to identify potential volcanic peaks in the SO$_{4}^{2-}$ record. MSA is used to corroborate the nssSO$_{4}^{2-}$ record, by providing a reference record of purely marine biogenic origin, to assist in the identification of nssSO$_{4}^{2-}$ peaks that are not related to fluctuations in marine inputs (Saigne and Legrand, 1987). Several eruptions known to leave a signal in the SO$_{4}^{2-}$ records of multiple ice cores from across Antarctica were targeted in particular. Specifically these events were: Tambora in 1815, an unidentified event from 1809, Kuwae in 1458 and the sequence of eruptions that occurred between 1230 – 1285, including Samalas in 1259 (Sigl et al., 2014). Multiple viable peaks, including some not related to these targeted events, were identified in approximately the expected depth regions for these events.

Sulfur (S) has four stable isotopes: $^{32}$S, $^{33}$S, $^{34}$S, $^{36}$S, which have natural relative abundances of 95.02%, 0.75%, 4.21% and 0.02%, respectively. Volcanic emissions have isotopically-light S compositions (i.e., relatively depleted in the $^{34}$S isotope) compared to other dominant inputs of SO$_{4}^{2-}$ from marine biogenic emissions or sea salt (Rees et al., 1978; Nielsen et al., 1991; Patris et al., 2000; Crick et al., 2021). As such, the $^{34}$S composition (reported relative to Vienna-Canyon Diablo Troilite in ‰, Equation 9) can be used to determine peaks in SO$_{4}^{2-}$ that have a volcanic source. The relative difference between the $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{33}$S values and the ratio expected from equilibrium fractionation is expressed using $\Delta^{33}$S notation (Equation 10, in ‰). Values of $\Delta^{33}$S that are outside analytical error of zero show mass-independent fractionation (Farquhar et al., 2001). Mass-independent fractionation of S isotopes occurs when sulfur dioxide gases are photo-oxidised to SO$_{4}^{2-}$ by short-wave UV radiation (Savarino et al., 2003). This process only occurs above the ozone layer meaning non-zero $\Delta^{33}$S values indicate volcanic eruptions where the eruptive plume reached the stratosphere (Gautier et al., 2019; Burke et al., 2019). Due to the relatively high background concentration of SO$_{4}^{2-}$ in the SI:RAID samples, S isotope analysis was used to identify SO$_{4}^{2-}$ peaks that have a volcanic source, which increases the confidence in identifying specific volcanic events in the SO$_{4}^{2-}$ data.

$$\delta^xS = \left(\frac{\delta^xS}{\delta^{32}S}\right)_{\text{sample}} \left(\frac{\delta^{32}S}{\delta^{32}S}\right)_{\text{standard}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad \text{(9)}$$

where $x$ is either $^{34}$S or $^{33}$S.
Figure 4. Identification of potential volcanic markers. The vertical lines show candidate peaks in the SO$_4^{2-}$ record that were analysed for S isotope compositions. Grey lines show the depths of samples that had background, non-volcanic S isotope compositions, yellow lines show the depth of samples that had low $\delta^{34}$S values, indicative of volcanically-derived SO$_4^{2-}$ and dashed lines show volcanic peaks of stratospheric origin, defined by non-zero $\Delta^{33}$S values. Panels A, B, C and D show the discrete depth ranges in which the identified volcanic markers appear. Arrows and numbers show the year of the identified event (CE).
\[ \Delta^{33}S = \delta^{33}S - ((\delta^{34}S + 1)^{0.515} - 1) \times 1000 \] (10)

A total of 76 samples from Sherman Island were analysed for their S isotope composition, using the method described in (Hoffmann et al., 2022), and 12 individual volcanic eruptions were identified (Figure 4). All S isotope data for the identified eruptions are provided in Table A1 and all individual sample S isotope data in the Supplement S1. Through a combination of comparison to the modelled age (Figure 5, Panel B), assessing the relative depth-age difference between eruptions and cross-checking against previously identified volcanic eruptions in Antarctic ice cores, the eruptions were dated. The $SO_4^{2-}$ peaks are believed to correspond to the eruption of Tambora in 1815, an eruption of unknown origin in 1809, Kuwae in 1485, five eruptions of the thirteenth century (including Samalas) sequence (1285, 1276, 1270, 1259 and 1230), eruptions in 1623, 1672, 1372 and an eruption of unknown origin in 1172 (Sigl et al., 2014). Several eruptions were defined by multiple samples and show the evolution of $\delta^{34}S$ and $\Delta^{33}S$ values during an eruptive event. Samples that had non-volcanic S isotope values ($\delta^{34}S$ ranging from 15.95 to 20.33 ‰; mean = 18.17, n = 56) were also measured between every volcanic event to allow for precise depth assignment and ensure that multiple eruptions were defined by separate peaks. The 12 identified eruptions were all used for the final the age/depth interpolation as described below.

### 3.0.4 Model optimisation and final depth/age interpolation

The deepest fixed age marker attributable to a known volcanic event is at 290 m depth (year 1172 CE). To date the remaining 33 m of ice samples and remaining ice below the drilled depth, we use an depth/age model that assimilates age markers and optimises past accumulation and ice flow parameters.

The depth/age model is the result of an optimisation. We use a model that is identical to the one described in Section 3.0.2 but we find the values of accumulation variation $a(t)$ that provide a best fit between the model and the age markers. For simplicity we assume that the accumulation rate history, $a(t)$, is a piece-wise linear function and that $2 < p < 3$. Under this assumptions we optimise the model using the Simplex method from Lagarias et al. (1998) as coded in the `fminsearch` function of Matlab.

The result of the depth/age model is shown, alongside the original model, in Figure 5 (Panel B). Due to the good agreement between the optimised model and the majority of the volcanic age markers, the age for the deepest sample at 323 m was interpolated directly from the optimised depth/age model.

The age model can not be taken directly as the age scale for the full record, due to it not accurately capturing the volcanic tie points at 1815 and 1809. The model calculates these depths as 17 years younger (more recent). This is likely due to the actual accumulation history at the site being more variable than in the model, with a greater increase in accumulation between the 1458 eruption and the annual layer count end than is accounted for in the model. Two interpolation methods were carried out between the annual counts, volcanic tie points and the age-model point for 323 m: a linear interpolation (for reference) and a Locally Estimated Scatter-Plot Smoothing (LOESS) method using multiple smoothing spans. The age scale computed using LOESS interpolation with span 0.05 fitted all known tie markers and was therefore chosen as the final age scale for the data.
Figure 5. A: Original model, divide flow (red) and flank flow (blue), with annual layer counts (yellow crosses). B: original model flank and divide flows (red and blue lines) optimised model (green), with annual layer counts (yellow crosses) and volcanic tie markers (brown triangles). The grey dotted line shows the bottom of the borehole and drilled ice.

4 Final age scale

4.1 Age scale and uncertainty

The depth/age profile, with uncertainty limits, of the SI:RAID data is shown in Figure 6. Depths and ages presented represent the bottom of each sample, unless specified otherwise; the full age scale dataset with top and bottom depths and ages can be found in Supplement S2. The 323 m record of ice samples reach an age of 1082 years before 1950, or the year 868 CE, ± 29 years. The age profile follows closely to idealised glacial flow, with small adjustments in accumulation necessary to satisfactorily intercept every known age marker, as discussed below. The maximum absolute uncertainty in the whole record is 29 years at 323 m, a percentage uncertainty of 2.5% of the total age at that point.

An uncertainty estimate was calculated for the annual counts, each volcanic tie point, the mid-points between each tie point, and finally for the model interpolation point, as described below.

The uncertainty associated with the annual layer counting was estimated using the SI:RAID and SI:Core annual counts together to assess the presence or absence of uncertain annual layers. Peaks present in some species in RAID data were which were not identified in the Core (or vice versa, shown by yellow bars in Figure 2), indicate uncertain years. There were two uncertain years out of 21 counted years for the top 21.8 m (when the SI:Core data ends), an uncertainty of 9.5 %. A 10 % uncertainty was therefore applied throughout the section that was annually counted in the RAID data (to 70 m).

For the volcanic tie points, we are confident about the identified volcanoes and their timing of eruption. Uncertainty at the tie point comes only from the depth interval of each eruption and its corresponding range of ages. Some of the identified
volcanic events are recorded in the SO$_4^{2-}$ record over multiple samples. When this was the case, the sample with the highest SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration was chosen as the year of the event. Based on interpolation of the age scale to the point of each volcanic event, the age interval of that sample and surrounding samples was calculated. This gives an uncertainty for each volcanic tie point.

Generally the tie points lie on a smooth line (Figure 6), suggesting that the offset of points between ties from the line is likely to be small. However, the offset of the 1815/1809 pair from the smooth line, of 17 years, at a point that is approximately 130 years from the nearest other tie points suggests that a conservative estimate of the uncertainty between ties could be as high as 13 % of the distance from a tie point. We therefore estimated the uncertainty between ties as 13 % of the distance from the nearest tie point, added to the average uncertainty at the two adjacent ties (2).

Figure 6. The final SI:RAID age scale (black line), shown with the respective age markers (blue crosses are annual layer counts, orange filled triangles are tie point volcanic events, purple circle is model interpolation) used in its development and grey shading indicating uncertainty.
Table 2. Estimates of age uncertainty for every tie point used in the SI:RAID age scale interpolation and the mid points between them (to nearest sample). Ages given in Year CE rounded to nearest year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tie point type</th>
<th>Sample(s)</th>
<th>Bottom Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sample Bottom Age (CE)</th>
<th>Total uncertainty (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual layer count bottom</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>69.70</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>99.87</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1815 Eruption</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>125.49</td>
<td>1815</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1809 Eruption</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>128.17</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>162.71</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1672 Eruption</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>190.37</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>197.22</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1624 Eruption</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>203.92</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>226.52</td>
<td>1541</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1458 Eruption</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>243.99</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>251.40</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1374 Eruption</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>259.20</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>267.52</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1286 Eruption</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>275.55</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>275.96</td>
<td>1282</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1276 Eruption</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>276.85</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>277.27</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1270 Eruption</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>277.75</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>278.56</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1259 Volcano</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>279.42</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>281.50</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1231 Eruption</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>1231</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid point</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>286.83</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172 Eruption</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>290.11</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1552 to 1726</td>
<td>290.31 to 323.3</td>
<td>868 to 1170</td>
<td>2.3 to 28.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the modelled and empirically derived age scale was calculated for every tie point based on the annual layer counting and volcanic horizon identification. Using these differences, the maximum percentage deviation from the modelled age was chosen and applied to the samples below the final tie point, to give an uncertainty for the bottom of the core. By selecting the maximum percentage deviation this allows a conservative estimate of the uncertainty, erring on the side of larger error.

The age scale uncertainty was interpolated to every sample using a linear interpolation of the error between the points shown in Table 2, up to the last tie point (volcanic at 1172 CE). For all samples below this depth, the maximum model uncertainty (8.8%) was applied as a percentage relative to the age difference from the final tie point (1172 CE), added to the uncertainty at that tie point. All uncertainty values are shown in Table 2.
4.2 Prediction of age near the bedrock

Predicting the age of the 105 m of ice below the bottom sample depth, where the drill was lost, is more uncertain. The model was used to estimate the age of the ice towards the bed at the RAID drilling site, however in the lowest 13 metres, model outputs are meaningless. This is because in our depth/age model we assume no basal melting and the solution to Equation 1 is that the age of ice at the bottom tends to infinity. Numerically this translates into a solution at the bottom that depends on the numerical details used as grid and time step size or initial conditions. Instead, we use as a conservative indication of the maximum expected age the age at 90% depth (385.2 m). The flank age of the non-optimised model predicts an age of 2677 ± 230 years before 1950 (Figure 7, range estimated from the span of ages resulting from accumulation rates of 0.68 to 0.76). We discuss the longest possible record attainable from the site in Section 5.4 below. Assuming no significant change in accumulation - the modelled (optimised) change in accumulation is only 4 % for the existing samples - it is likely that a record covering the majority of the Holocene is achievable from Sherman Island (darker lines in Figure 7). Our expectation is that the depth of older features, such as the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), if present, are likely on the order of a maximum of a few metres above the bed.

4.3 Seasonality of chemical species

The species used for annual layer counting were interpolated to monthly resolution for their entire records. Monthly mean anomaly concentrations, relative to annual means, were then calculated to investigate the seasonality of species with depth, using methods similar to Hoffmann et al. (2022) (Figure 8). All species show strong seasonality in the top 20 years, which were annually counted alongside SI:Core. SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} and δ\textsuperscript{18}O demonstrate this continued seasonality consistently to 70 m (annually counted). MSA\textsuperscript{-} also demonstrates a seasonal pattern throughout this period, but with a changed seasonality from a summer peak to a slight winter peak, in line with Na\textsuperscript{+}. This migration of the MSA\textsuperscript{-} peak has been well documented in ice cores (Osman et al., 2017), and in the SI:RAID samples begins at approximately 30 m, with inconsistent peaks for 15 m followed by consistent winter peaks by 46 m depth.

5 Discussion

5.1 Annual layer counting

The Sherman Island RAID samples present a relatively low resolution ice core record due to the sampling restraints to keep cargo minimal. The samples average 19 cm depth resolution, in age increments ranging from 0.04 to 2.38 years with an average of 0.7 years. This presents a challenge for dating compared to other ice cores; traditional ice cores can be sampled and measured at any chosen resolution, typically on the order of a few cm for continuous flow analyses in shallow to intermediate depth cores (e.g., Tetzner et al. (2021); Grieman et al. (2022)). Considering this limitation, the ice from Sherman Island has been dated relatively robustly from a combination of approaches widely used in ice core analysis, but adjusted to allow for the unique SI:RAID samples. For example, annual layer counting was only possible to a relatively shallow depth (70 m, 85
Figure 7. Ice thinning model predictions of ice age at 90% depth at RAID drilling location (blue lines) for divide (dark blue) and flank (light blue) flow regimes, and at the deepest point of Sherman Island (red lines) for divide (dark red) and flank (light red) flow regimes. The dashed black line shows the actual age scale of the SI:RAID samples. Grey shading shows upper and lower estimates based on a range of accumulation rate values supplied to the thinning model, which were in turn based on the best fitting calculated present accumulation from the optimisation model, run at 3 flow regimes, \( p = 2 \) to 4 (Equation 3).

In comparison, WAIS Divide and Skytrain ice cores are annually dated to 31.2 ka BP (2850 m) and 1942 years BP (184.14 m), respectively (Sigl et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2022). Annual layer counting was aided by comparison with a short but very proximal ice core, sampled on a higher resolution; the SI:Core was sampled at 5 cm resolution compared with the average of 18 cm for the corresponding depth range of SI:RAID. The existence of such a close ice core for comparison and to assist the dating strategy is not a common occurrence in deep ice core drilling. This proximity is valuable because two such closely located ice cores should not have age scales which significantly deviate from each other, due to experiencing similar accumulation histories.

5.2 Volcanic horizon identification

Volcanic synchronisation of ice core records and dating of individual ice cores using volcanic event identification using the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) data of cores is a standard ice core dating technique (e.g., Fujita et al. (2015); Narcisi et al. (2006); Palmer et al. (2001);
Figure 8. Seasonality of certain chemical species and stable water isotope composition. The x-axes shows month of the year (1 is January and 12 is December) and the y-axes show anomaly concentrations or composition of the species, relative to the annual mean. Line types are for shallow dated section (0 to 20 m) and annual layer counted section (20 to 70 m).

Severi et al. (2017, and others). In this case, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ peak identification was supplemented with S-isotope analysis, giving more confidence that: first, some of the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ peaks identified were of volcanic origin and second, that their isotopic characteristics matched those of the expected volcanic eruption being used to assign an age horizon for its respective depth. Being confident in the eruptions identified meant that the uncertainty of those age horizons was effectively zero, being equivalent only to the estimated age increment covered by the sample depth due to the necessarily low sampling resolution.

5.3 Modelling

Finally, the use of an ice thinning model that allows accumulation to vary in order to fit through empirical tie points resulted in a more realistic estimate of the age-depth relationship. This model also enabled the interpolation of an age estimate for the bottom-most sample, which would have been difficult to date in any other way, allowing an age scale for the full range of SI:RAID samples to be developed. The model also helped to calculate the age scale uncertainty. Further use of the model is discussed below.
5.4 Deepest ice: Is it possible to find a continuous record beyond the Holocene in Sherman Island?

Is it possible to find a continuous record beyond the Holocene in Sherman Island? To answer that question, we discuss here the influence of local ice flow on depth/age. Beyond the modelling details, the ice flow near a ridge can be characterised by the proximity to the divide of flow, the vertical plane where ice starts flowing towards the opposite flanks of the ridge that is often located near the ridge. This is a result of ice having a non-linear rheology (Raymond, 1983). Some distance from the divide, only a few ice thicknesses, flow is dominated by shear and it is well represented by the Lliboutry approximation with a parameter $p$ larger than 1. At the divide, however, the lower strain-rates near the bed and the non-linearity of ice translate into nearly stagnant ice. These local flow conditions have a strong influence on depth/age, as shown in Figure 5.

Intriguingly, as we mentioned earlier, it is clear that the depth/age at SI:RAID only fits the flanking flow model and, under no reasonable assumptions of past accumulation, the divide flow model is able to fit the observed age markers. However, if we were to find a site in Sherman Island at a divide of flow, our model estimates that, assuming identical accumulation history, the age-depth at 90% depth is $9427 \pm 500$ years before 1950 (Figure 7).

There is a hint from IceBridge data over the ridge near our drilling location (IRMCR1B_20181116_02_020) that such flow conditions could exist on the opposite side of the ridge from our drilling site. This is because the low-strain rates near the base at the divide flow manifest conspicuously as arches in the ice structure (Vaughan et al., 1999). The radargram, however, is not clear due to the strong slopes in the radar layers induced by the divide conditions and we recommend further geophysical survey.

Another potential drilling site on the island is near the summit, where ice is thicker. Near the summit, ice is approximately 80 m deeper than the SI:RAID site. Our model estimates that, assuming identical accumulation history, under divide flow conditions the age at 90% depth (459 m) is 10700 to 23650 years before 1950 and under flank flow $3200 \pm 200$ years before 1950 (Figure 7).

6 Conclusions

An age scale for the SI:RAID samples, which extends back to more than 1150 years before present day and is currently the longest ice core from the coastal West Antarctic and western Antarctic Peninsula regions, has been presented. The use of IC and S-isotope measurements on RAID-drilled ice to establish an age scale is presented here for the first time, in addition to stable water isotopic measurements. The SI:RAID data will make a valuable contribution to regional, continental and global compilation projects such as PAGES-2k (PAGES 2k Coordinators, 2017). There is a lack of a recent Antarctic Holocene ice core composite, and the 1000 years of climate data from Sherman Island could make a significant contribution to work such as this (Masson et al., 2000). If in the future a full scale drilling campaign on Sherman Island were to be carried out, a longer, full Holocene record for this region in West Antarctica could probably be obtained by drilling to bedrock at the deepest point of Sherman Island. Such a record would be critical in gaining insights into West Antarctic interglacial variability. The records contained in the existing SI data have the potential to constrain the last 1000 years of climate history in this important and vulnerable region of West Antarctica and represent a valuable addition to the ice core community.
Table A1. All S isotope data from the identified volcanic eruptions in the SI:RAID samples. Samples 683 and 1015 were analysed before a reliable \( \Delta^{33}\text{S} \) method had been developed and \( \Delta^{33}\text{S} \) data therefore does not exist for these samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>( \delta^{34}\text{SO}_4 ) (‰)</th>
<th>( \Delta^{33}\text{S} ) (‰)</th>
<th>Volcanic?</th>
<th>Stratospheric?</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>668</td>
<td>125.49</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>669</td>
<td>125.70</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>670</td>
<td>125.90</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>681</td>
<td>127.97</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682</td>
<td>128.17</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683</td>
<td>128.37</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014</td>
<td>190.37</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>190.50</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1087</td>
<td>203.92</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1088</td>
<td>204.11</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1301</td>
<td>243.99</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1383</td>
<td>259.20</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1471</td>
<td>275.55</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>1286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1478</td>
<td>276.85</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1483</td>
<td>277.75</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1484</td>
<td>277.95</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1491</td>
<td>279.22</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1492</td>
<td>279.42</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1512</td>
<td>283.13</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1513</td>
<td>283.33</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1551</td>
<td>290.11</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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