https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Enhanced Terrestrial Runoff during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 on the North Carolina Coastal Plain, USA

Christopher M. Lowery[1], Jean M. Self-Trail[2], Craig D. Barrie[3]

[1]University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, Austin, TX, USA
[2]United States Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA
[3]GeoMark, LTD, Houston, TX, USA

Correspondence to: Chris Lowery cmlowery@utexas.edu

Abstract

A global increase in the strength of the hydrologic cycle drove an increase in flux of terrigenous sediments into the ocean during the Cenomanian-Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 (OAE2) and was an important mechanism driving nutrient enrichment and thus organic carbon burial. This global change is primarily known from isotopic records, but global average data don't tell us anything about changes at any particular location; such reconstructions of local terrigenous flux can help us understand the role of regional shifts in precipitation in driving these global trends. The North Atlantic basin was one of the epicenters of enhanced organic carbon burial during OAE2, and so constraining terrigenous flux is particularly important in this region; however, few local records exist. Here, we present two new OAE2 records from the Atlantic Coastal Plain of North Carolina, USA, recognized with calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy and organic carbon isotopes. We use carbon/nitrogen ratios to constrain the relative contribution of marine and terrestrial organic matter; in both cores we find elevated contribution from vascular plants beginning just before OAE2 and continuing through the event, indicating a locally strengthened hydrologic cycle. Terrigenous flux decreased during the brief change in carbon isotope values known as the Plenus carbon isotope excursion, and then increase and remain elevated through the latter part of OAE2. TOC values reveal relatively low organic carbon burial in the inner shelf, in contrast to black shales known from the open ocean. Organic carbon content on the shelf appears to increase in the offshore direction, highlighting the need for cores from the middle and outer shelf.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

1 Introduction

The Cretaceous was characterized by intermittent periods of enhanced organic carbon burial linked to widespread black shale deposition and anoxia, termed Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs; Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976; Jenkyns 2010). Although OAEs were originally defined by the widespread occurrence of black shales (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976) they were soon found to be associated with positive carbon isotope excursions driven by the excess global burial of organic carbon and representing a perturbation of the global carbon cycle (Scholle and Arthur, 1980; Arthur et al., 1987; Jenkyns, 2010; Owens et al., 2017). OAEs eventually became linked with the emplacement of large igneous provinces (Tarduno et al., 1991; Whitechurch et al., 1992; Leckie et al., 2002; Snow et al., 2005; Turgeon and Creaser, 2008; Monteiro et al., 2012; McAnena et al., 2013), suggesting a causal mechanism for enhanced organic carbon burial. In the case of the Cenomanian-Turonian OAE2, the emplacement of the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (e.g., Snow et al.,2005) is associated with significant warming (e.g., Friedrich et al., 2012) and resulted in a strengthening of the hydrological cycle and an increase in the flux of nutrients to the oceans (Blätter et al., 2011; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013).

Carbon isotopes reveal global changes in organic carbon burial rates but don't tell us anything about where that organic matter was buried. This is important because local organic matter enrichment can vary significantly in both timing (e.g., Tsikos et al., 2004) and magnitude (e.g., Owens et al., 2018) during an OAE. Similarly, the calcium isotope proxy used by Blätter et al. (2011) and the lithium isotope proxy used by Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2013) to determine changes in global terrigenous flux to the oceans don't tell us anything about local patterns of terrigenous runoff. Presumably, like organic carbon burial, the hydrologic cycle did not increase uniformly, but instead some regions experienced a greater change than others. Unfortunately, few local records of changes in the hydrologic cycle during OAE2 have been documented. Van Helmond et al. (2014) used palynological and biomarker data from the Bass River core (Ocean Drilling Program Site 174X) on the coastal plain of New Jersey, USA, to document local warming associated with enhanced contribution of terrestrial organic matter during OAE2. While this result clearly indicates a stronger hydrologic cycle during OAE2, it only represents a single locality. Similar work from Wunstorf, Germany, in the Lower Saxony Basin, reveals a clear association between terrigenous flux (measured by palynology and biomarker data) and black shale development, but this association isn't limited to OAE2, with additional intervals of elevated terrigenous input and black shale deposition continuing after the end of the carbon isotope excursion (van Helmond et al., 2015). In the Western Interior Seaway of North America, increases in kaolinite (a clay mineral formed in wet, humid environments) during OAE2 may be the result of wetter conditions, but these trends may also be caused by shifting sediment source areas (Leckie et al., 1998). Overall, these existing records paint an incomplete picture.

To fully understand these trends, therefore, it is essential to develop similar datasets from additional localities. Such work will allow a more geographically complete understanding of changes in precipitation during OAE2 and thus provide a window into the mechanisms which drove hydroclimate during the hottest part of the Cretaceous greenhouse. Here, we present two new OAE2 sections from cores drilled by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) on the Coastal Plain of North Carolina, on the Atlantic margin of North America (Figure 1). We use organic carbon isotopes and calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy to identify the OAE2 interval and organic carbon/nitrogen (C/N) ratios to detect changes in terrigenous flux. These cores are only the second and third OAE2 intervals described on the Atlantic Coastal Plain after the Bass River core (Bowman and Bralower, 2005; van Helmond et al., 2014) and thus also provide important context of the response of the inner shelf to OAE2, filling in an important gap in an important region (e.g., Owens et al., 2018) during this well-studied time interval.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021



__Figure 1.__ Map of southeastern North America showing approximate late Cenomanian shoreline (land = grey) and the location of the cores discussed in this study. Shoreline position after Slattery et al. (2015) and Snedden et al. (2015).

2 Geologic Setting

Cenomanian and Turonian sediments of the Atlantic Coastal Plain of the United States (Figure 1) are part of a sequence of strata that accumulated since the rifting of the Atlantic began in the Early Jurassic. However, study of the marine units of these sediments is difficult due to the absence of outcrops of this age and environment on the Coastal Plain (Sohl and Owens, 1991). Thus, their study is restricted to the limited number of cores and/or cuttings available and regional interpretations are often based on geophysical data obtained from water wells and scattered oil and gas test wells.

To the south, initial subsurface work in Florida and Georgia followed the nomenclature of the Gulf Coastal Plain. Sediments from Georgia were variously attributed to the Cenomanian Woodbine

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Formation, the Cenomanian/Turonian Eagle Ford Formation, and the Cenomanian/Turonian Tuscaloosa Formation (Applin and Applin, 1944; Richards, 1945). Applin and Applin (1947) later introduced the name Atkinson Formation, with three unnamed members (upper, middle, and lower) for marine rocks in the subsurface of southern Alabama, southern Georgia, and northern Florida. They correlated the lower member of the Atkinson to nonmarine sands and shales of the Coastal Plain of Georgia, which they considered to be Cenomanian in age, and the middle member of the Atkinson to the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale, which they considered to be Cenomanian/Turonian in age (Applin and Applin, 1967).

Early work in South Carolina by Cooke (1936), Dorf (1952), and Heron (1958) considered outcrops of the Middendorf Formation to be Cenomanian in age, based largely on stratigraphic position and on long-ranging pollen and/or mollusks. Similarly, outcrops of the largely non-marine Cape Fear Formation in North Carolina were attributed to the Cenomanian (Stephenson, 1912; Cooke, 1936). Outcrops thought to be Turonian in age from both states were largely assigned to the Black Creek Formation.

A shift in thinking regarding stratigraphic nomenclature was spurred by examination of sediments from the Clubhouse Crossroads #1 core by Hazel et al. (1977) who found clear evidence of true Cenomanian/Turonian marine rocks that indicated outcrops in the region must be different ages. Calcareous nannofossils and foraminifera of Cenomanian and Turonian age were identified (Hazel et al., 1977; Hattner and Wise, 1980; Valentine, 1984) and correlated with cuttings from the Fripp Island well (Valentine, 1984) to provide better understanding of the subsurface geology of the region. In North Carolina, Zarra (1989) reinterpreted the work of Spangler (1950) using both foraminifera and sequence stratigraphic concepts, positively identifying Cenomanian and Turonian sediments from the Esso #1 core and from cuttings of the Mobile #1, Mobile #2, Mobile #3, and Marshall Collins #1 test wells. He used sedimentary and well log analysis to identify marginal marine and inner shelf facies in the lower/middle Cenomanian and middle Turonian, with a highstand in the upper Cenomanian. These cores all contained a diverse assemblage of planktic foraminifera, including species belonging to *Rotalipora, Praeglobotruncana, Dicarinella, Whiteinella,* and *Guembelitria* (Zarra et al., 1989).

This reevaluation ultimately resulted in the formal designation of the Cenomanian/Turonian Clubhouse Formation (Gohn, 1992) in the Clubhouse Crossroads core. At the type locality, the Clubhouse Formation consists of gray to gray-green, fine- to medium- grained micaceous sands with flaser to lenticular bedding and common bioturbation. Sequence stratigraphic analysis suggests that deposition occurred in a shelf environment proximal to the shoreline and that these sediments represent latest Cenomanian/earliest Turonian sea level rise prior to the early Turonian highstand event (Aleman Gonzalez et al., 2020). The subsurface extent of this formation has now been documented across much of South and North Carolina (Weems et al. 2007; Weems et al., 2019; Aleman Gonzalez et al., 2020).

To the north, published documentation of marine Cenomanian/Turonian sediments from the mid-Atlantic region appears to be limited to the E.G. Taylor No. 1-G well on the eastern shore of Virginia. Valentine (1984) reports the presence of *Rotalipora greenhornensis,* which went extinct in the latest Cenomanian, from one sample at 1520 ft.

Cenomanian/Turonian sediments of the northeast Atlantic Coastal Plain consist of the subsurface Bass River Formation and its correlative updip equivalent, the Raritan Formation in Maryland, New Jersey, and Delaware. The Bass River Formation is herein considered to be correlative with the Clubhouse Formation of the southeastern Atlantic Coastal Plain. The Bass River Formation was first described by Petters (1976) from the TC16 well in Bass River Township, New Jersey. It was named as the fully marine equivalent of the Raritan Formation and is differentiated by its common shell material and deeper water depositional environment (Miller et al., 1998). The Bass River Formation has variously been assigned a late Cenomanian to early Turonian age in a variety of cores and wells based on foraminifera (Petters, 1976, 1977; Miller et al., 1998; Sikora and Olsson, 1991), calcareous nannofossils (Valentine, 1984; Miller et al., 1998; Self-Trail and Bybell, 1995), and ostracodes (Gohn, 1995). Miller

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

et al., (2004) document that the Bass River Formation was deposited predominantly in inner neritic to middle neritic paleodepths.

3 Methods

3.1 Study Sites

The Hope Plantation core (BE-110-2004) was drilled by the USGS in April to May, 2004 in Bertie County, North Carolina, on the property of Hope Plantation (36.0323°N; 78.0192°W) (Figure 1). The hole was drilled as a stratigraphic test for Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifers, and was continuously cored to a total depth of 333.6 m (1094.5 ft) below land surface. A suite of wireline logs, including natural gamma ray and resistivity logs, were collected at the completion of drilling. Preliminary work placed the marine Cenomanian/Turonian boundary interval between approximately 182.8-228.6 m (600-750 ft). A summary of the general stratigraphy, downhole logging, and core images can be found in Weems et al. (2007).

The Smith Elementary School core (CR-675) was drilled by the USGS in February and March, 2006 in Craven County, NC, on the grounds of the nominate school (35.2511°N; 77.2903°W) (Figure 1). It was continuously cored to a total depth of 323.1 m (1094.5 ft) . Difficulties with the wireline tools and borehole stability limited the collection of geophysical logs, and only a partial natural gamma ray log exists for the Clubhouse Formation for this core. There the marine interval that spans the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary is between 288.3-323.1 m (945.9-1060.0 ft). Both cores are stored at the North Carolina Geological Survey Coastal Plain core storage facility in Raleigh, NC, where we sampled them in May, 2019.

3.2 Calcareous Nannofossils

One hundred ten samples from Hope Plantation and 84 samples from Smith Elementary School were examined for calcareous nannofossil content. Samples were taken from the central portion of broken core in order to avoid contamination from drilling fluid. Smear slides were prepared using the standard techniques of Bown and Young (1998) in samples with low total organic carbon (TOC); samples with increased TOC were prepared using the techniques of Shamrock et al. (2015) and Shamrock and Self-Trail (2016). Coverslips were affixed using Norland Optical Adhesive 61. Calcareous nannofossils were examined using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 transmitted light microscope at 1250x magnification under crossed polarized light. Light microscope images were taken using a Powershot G4 camera with a Zeiss phototube adaptor. Specimens were identified to the species level and correlated to the zonation schemes of Sissinghi (1977) and Burnett (1988), as modified by Corbett et al. (2014) for shelf settings.

3.3 Foraminifera

Ninety samples were prepared for examination of planktic and benthic foraminifera. Approximately 15 grams of material were soaked in a mixture of peroxide and borax for at least 24 hours, washed over a 63 µm sieve, dried overnight in an oven, and then examined for microfossils using a Zeiss Discovery V8 light microscope.

3.4 TOC, C/N, and $\delta^{13}C$

Core samples were analyzed for both their organic carbon isotope signature ($\delta^{13}C$ VPDB) and elemental composition (%C and %N). To remove inorganic carbon content all of the material to be analyzed was initially washed with hydrochloric (HCl) acid. There is was no anticipated inorganic nitrogen content in the samples. All of the samples were analyzed on an elementar vario ISOTOPE select cube elemental analyzer (EA) connected to a VisION isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). The EA system follows dumas combustion and both generates and separates the gasses used for elemental composition determination and then releases the gas to the IRMS for isotopic determination. The elemental results were calibrated against a known sulfanilamide standard and the precision of the results is +/-0.1% or better. The carbon isotope results were calibrated against four known reference standards which cover the range of isotopic signatures expected in organic material (-15‰ to -35‰). All of the

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

isotopic results are reported in per mil (‰) relative to VPDB and the precision of the results is +/-0.1‰ or better.

4 Results

4.1 Lithology

Qualitative core descriptions are summarized below and in Figures 2 and 3. Broad paleoenvironmental interpretations are based on lithology, paleontology, and stratigraphic relationships. Benthic foraminifera, which are powerful tools to determine paleoenvironment in marginal marine settings (e.g., Tibert and Leckie, 2004), are unfortunately absent here due to poor preservation (see section 4.2 below). In both cores we recognize two informal members of the Clubhouse Formation: a marine lower member characterized by bivalves, calcareous nannoplankton, finer grained sediments, thinner beds, and sedimentary features common to inner neritic environments; and a less marine upper member characterized by coarser grainsize, thicker beds, and woody plant debris instead of calcareous marine fossils, indicating deposition in a delta front or distributary environment.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions



193 ***Figure 2.*** *Stratigraphic column for Hope Plantation Core with CC and UC calcareous nannoplankton biozones, natural gamma ray and resistivity logs, and representative core images. C = clay; Slt = silt; SS = sand; G = gravel.*

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

The Clubhouse Formation in the Hope Plantation Core (Figure 2) was penetrated between 174.3 m and 220.2 m below the surface. It is underlain by the floodplain paleosols of the Aptian Potomac Group (Thornberg, 2008) and is overlain by undifferentiated sands and muds questionably assigned to the Cape Fear Formation (Weems et al., 2007). The Clubhouse Formation is primarily composed of clayey and silty sands punctuated by a few discrete skeletal limestones. The whole unit coarsens upward from clayey sands (from the base of the formation to about 210.0 m) to silty sands (from about 210.0 m to about 201.2 m) to cleaner sands (from about 201.2 to the top of the formation). This upper change corresponds with a clear change in gamma ray log response that characterizes most of the informal non-marine member. The informal marine member extends from the base of the unit to the highest common occurrence of bivalves and calcareous nannoplankton, around 196.9 m. Glauconite occurs from the base of the unit up to about 211.2 m. Four decimeter-scale skeletal limestones composed of broken bivalves occur roughly evenly spaced through this informal member. Widely scattered woody debris is found between 210.6 m and 206.0 m. Clear bioturbation is rare but is evident between 203.6 and 201.2 m, just below the shift in lithology from silty sand to cleaner sand. Bivalves occur throughout the informal marine member in varying abundance from the base of the core. The non-informal marine member of the Clubhouse Formation is characterized by massive sand interbedded with variably thick beds of massive silty clay, an increasing abundance of woody debris above 189.0 m, and the occurrence of cm-scale mud balls above 185.6 m. A single thin bed containing bivalves occurs at 196.9 m. Given the more terrestrial features, cleaner sands, and thin clay interbeds of the upper informal member of the Clubhouse Formation we suggest that these sediments were deposited in a marginal marine environment such as a distributary mouth bar or interdistributary bay system in the upper part of the Clubhouse Formation.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access
EGU

215



Figure 3. *Stratigraphic column for the Smith Elementary School core, with CC and UC calcareous nannoplankton biozones, natural gamma ray log, and representative core images.*

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

In the Smith Elementary core (Figure 3), the Clubhouse Formation occurs between 288.5 m and 322.7 m. Its basal contact with underlying gneiss is marked by a fault, with an angular contact (~45° to vertical in the core) and slickensides (Weems et al., 2007). This fault is overlain by ~15 cm thick interval of dolomitic sand. The lithology of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith Elementary core is overall more fine-grained than that of the Hope Plantation, with a lower fining-upwards interval, muds and limestones in the middle, and then coarsening upward to the unconformable upper contact with the Santonian marginal marine Collins Creek Formation. The informal marine member of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith Elementary core (322.7-~305.0 m) contains a more varied lithology than that of the Hope Plantation core. The basal interval is a 2.6 m thick package of massive coarsening upward and then fining upward clayey to silty glauconite-bearing sandstone separated by a thin silty claystone above a ~35 cm core gap. Coring gaps of this scale are more common in the Smith Elementary core and are associated with the contacts between sand and clay intervals. A single burrow occurs in the upper sandstone bed, and glauconite decreases upsection. The next section is composed of bioturbated clay and silty clay, with two ~30 cm thick silty sandstones with abundant burrows and rare bivalves. The upper silty claystone contains thin clay lenses. This claystone is overlain by an interval of interbedded silty- to clayey sandstone, skeletal limestones composed of broken bivalve debris, including one which has been dolomitized, and a ~ 80 cm thick bioturbated silty claystone containing glauconite and bivalve shells. The overlying interval is a 5.2 m thick silty claystone with planar bedding, phosphate nodules, pyrite, and bivalve shells. The lower 3.4 m of this claystone is laminated with no visible bioturbation. Overall this interval represents a fining upward sequence from sand to sandy silt to silty clay; the sandy clay contains thin discrete beds of coarser material, include shell hash, possibly indicating deposition above storm wave base before deepening to uniform silty clay representing deposition on the shelf below storm wave base at the top of the informal marine member.

The informal non-marine member of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith Elementary Core (~305.0-288.5 m) is composed of meter-scale beds of silty to well-sorted sandstone generally becoming

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

coarser up section, interbedded with centimeter scale beds of claystone. Some beds contain woody debris and pyrite. A single bivalve occurs near the very base of the unit, and a few discrete burrows are observed between 294 and 292 m. Flaser bedding occurs in a clay bed at 291.7 m. The overall coarse-grained nature of these beds, and the alternating terrestrial and marine indicators lead us to interpret this interval as being marginal marine, perhaps representing distributary mouth bars. The overlying contact with the Collins Creek Formation is marked by a clear unconformity.

4.2 Biostratigraphy

Calcareous nannofossil assemblages are prevalent in the Hope Plantation core (Figure 4), with abundances ranging from rare to common and preservation from good to poor; the top of the Clubhouse Formation is barren (196.8-185.5 m) (Self-Trail et al., 2021). The basal Clubhouse is placed in the late Cenomanian Zone UC4a-b of Burnett (1988) and Zone CC10a of Sissinghi (1977) based on the presence *of Lithraphidites acutus*, whose highest occurrence (HO) at 214.0 m marks the top of Zone UC4b. The absence of *Cretarhabdus loriei*, whose HO marks the top of UC4a, could be due to environmental conditions, and thus sediments in this interval are lumped together into a combined zone (UC4a-b). A condensed (or truncated) interval from the top of *L. acutus* to the HO of *Helenea chiastia* at 212.9 m is placed in Zone UC5 (undifferentiated) and is latest Cenomanian in age. It is unclear from nannoplankton data alone whether the HO of *H. chiastia* is the true extinction of this taxon (and thus this level marks the latest Cenomanian) or if this absence of this species above the level is the result of poor preservation and/or ecological exclusion from the inner shelf as increased terrigenous flux made the waters less welcoming to marine nannoplankton. We favor the latter explanation, because the sample immediately above the highest *H. chiastia* is barren, and marks the beginning of an interval characterized by poor preservation and occasional barren samples. This interval, from 212.1-197.0 m, is placed in zones UC5-UC6a and CC10a-CC10b based on the absence of both *H. chiastia* and *Eprolithus moratus*, whose lowest occurrence (LO) defines the base of Zone UC6b. The Cenomanian/Turonian boundary is placed at 200.3 m based on carbon isotope data (see section 4.3.1, below).

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021



Figure 4. Ranges of key calcareous nannoplankton species in the Hope Plantation Core. Dashed lines indicate sporadic occurrence.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021



Figure 5. *Ranges of key calcareous nannoplankton species in the Smith Elementary School core. Dashed lines indicate sporadic occurrences.*

Calcareous microfossils are only sporadically present in the Smith Elementary School sediments (Self-Trail et al., 2021) (Figure 5). Even though the presence of glauconite, burrowing, fish debris and scattered shell fragments indicates deposition in a marine environment, intervals barren of calcareous nannoplankton are common and extensive, from 322.5-317.3 m and 309.9-290.4 m (Figure 5). The presence of *Cylindralithus biarcus* at 316.4 m, the HO of *L. acutus* at 310.9 m, and the HOs of *H. chiastia* and *C. loriei* at 310.2 m place this interval in the late Cenomanian calcareous nannofossil Zone UC4a-

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access

b/Zone CC10a. The rare occurrence of poorly preserved calcareous nannofossils at 305.9 m suggest continued placement in the Cenomanian or Turonian, but no diagnostic species were recovered, and thus the Cenomanian/Turonian must once again be placed using carbon isotopes at 305.4 m (see section 4.3.1, below). An unconformity at the top of the Clubhouse Formation (288.4 m) corresponds to a change from a barren interval below to a Santonian assemblage of calcareous nannofossils above.

All samples examined for planktic and benthic foraminifera were entirely barren of whole specimens. A few contained very rare fragments of both planktic and benthic foraminifera, indicating that foraminifera were present in these sections but that they were subsequently dissolved, either in situ or in the 17 years since the cores were drilled. This may be in part due to the relatively organic-rich nature of the sediments and to the presence of pyrite, both of which have been found to result in dissolution of calcareous microfossils in cored sediments of the Atlantic Coastal Plain (Self-Trail and Seefelt, 2005; Seefelt et al., 2015). However, the well-documented occurrence of planktic and benthic foraminifera in more distal coastal plain cores (e.g., Valentine, 1982, 1984; Zarra, 1989; Gohn, 1992) bodes well for future micropaleontological studies in this region.

4.3 Geochemistry

4.3.1 Carbon Isotopes

Organic carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) data (Figure 6) in each core show clear positive excursions associated with OAE2 in the marine interval of the Clubhouse Formation. Both isotope records display a ~2‰ positive shift with the classic A-B-C structure of OAE2, with an initial excursion (A), a brief recovery followed by a second peak (B) and a longer plateau with a small peak (C) first described by Pratt and Threlkeld (1984) in the US Western Interior Seaway. The Hope Plantation core, which is characterized by coarser grains and a more proximal environment, has a more expanded OAE2 interval (~ 17.4 m) compared to the somewhat more distal Smith Elementary Core (~ 10.4 m). The termination of the

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access

OAE2 carbon isotope excursion roughly corresponds with the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary (e.g., Kennedy et al., 2005) and has been used to define that level in our cores.

4.3.2 Total Organic Carbon

Total organic carbon data (Figure 6) reveals relatively low enrichment in organic carbon in the Hope Plantation core, generally <1 weight percent (wt%) TOC except for a few discrete peaks associated with woody debris. Average values are slightly higher during OAE2 (~0.6 wt%) compared to background levels in the overlying interval (~0.4 wt%) but just barely. Values are slightly higher overall in the Smith Elementary School core, particularly during OAE2, where the upper part of the event averages about 1.0 wt% TOC.



https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions

Figure 6. *Geochemical data from the Hope Plantation (left) and Smith Elementary School (right) cores plotted against stratigraphic columns for each. Grey shaded area represents the OAE2 interval in each core. Letters A-B-C labels on carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) curve correspond to named points of the OAE carbon isotope excursion. TOC = total organic carbon; C/N = carbon/nitrogen ratio. Arrows indicate brief reduction in C/N ratio coincident with the Plenus isotope excursion ("B" on the $\delta^{13}C$ plot) and broad increase in values during the main part of the $\delta^{13}C$ excursion. Note slight change in depth scale between the two cores, as the studied interval in Smith Elementary is 10 ft (3.1 m) thicker than Hope Plantation.*

4.3.3 Organic Carbon/Nitrogen Ratios

The ratio of total organic carbon to total nitrogen is a common proxy for the relative contributions of algae and land plants to sedimentary organic matter (e.g., Meyers, 1994, 1997, 2003). Due to differences in their composition (e.g., the abundance of cellulose in land plants) vascular plants tend to have C/N ratios of 20 or greater, while algae have C/N ratios of 4-10 (Meyers, 1994). Changes in C/N ratio in marine settings therefore reflect changes in the relative contribution of terrigenous organic matter to offshore areas. This can be used to reconstruct changes in the hydrologic cycle, with increased C/N ratios indicating a higher flux of terrestrial organic matter due to enhanced weathering (Meyers, 2003). Sediments with low TOC (<0.3 wt%) can cause problems for C/N interpretations because in such settings the proportion of inorganic nitrogen can be high enough to artificially depress the data, suggesting more marine organic matter than is really there (Meyers et al., 1997); our data is consistently above 0.5 wt% TOC so this is not a concern (see section 4.3.2, above).

C/N ratios in both cores are elevated during OAE2, indicating enhanced contribution of terrestrial organic matter driven by a strengthened hydrologic cycle (Figure 6). An increase in C/N precedes the onset of OAE2 by at least a meter in both cores, and the shape of the C/N ratio curves are similar. Values are slightly elevated prior to and through the start of the event and then decline coincident with the brief recovery in carbon isotope values (the B part of the carbon isotope excursion). C/N values then recover along with carbon isotope values, and become increasingly elevated during the latter phase of OAE2, coincident with the long plateau of the carbon isotope excursion. In both cores there is some obvious

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

variability in the C/N ratios, particularly during the interval of the highest values later in the event. Background values in both cores return to pre-excursion levels following the termination of OAE2, although single samples contain occasionally elevated values. This probably reflects contributions of discrete bits of woody plant debris, which occur sporadically through the upper (non-marine) interval of the Clubhouse Formation.

5 Discussion

5.1 Enhanced Hydrologic Cycle During OAE2

Our data indicate a strengthened hydrologic cycle in southeastern North America preceding the start of OAE2 and continuing through the event, in agreement from the data from van Helmond et al. (2014) some 500 km to the north. Palynological data from New Jersey agree with our bulk geochemical data in showing highest terrigenous flux during the latter part of the OAE2 isotope excursion. The pre-event increase in terrigenous flux is an interesting parallel to records of pre-event global oxygen drawdown based on thallium isotopes (Ostrander et al., 2017), suggesting a link between weathering flux and deoxygenation, likely via enhanced delivery of nutrients to the oceans. Additionally, a drop in C/N ratio in both of our core records during the carbon isotope minimum referred to as the Plenus carbon isotope excursion (O'Connor et al., 2019) indicate relatively drier conditions at this time, a phenomenon also observed in New Jersey coincident with a decrease in temperatures (van Helmond et al., 2014). The Plenus Cold Event was originally interpreted as a global cooling event (hence the name, e.g., Gale and Christensen, 1996) caused by CO_2 drawdown resulting from high rates of organic carbon burial at the onset of OAE2 (Erbacher et al., 2005; Jarvis et al., 2011; Hasegawa et al., 2013; Gale, 2019). However, more detailed comparisons of temperature and carbon isotope records from a wide range of sites has demonstrated that the timing and magnitude of cooling varies significantly by location (O'Connor et al., 2019). Our results agree with those of van Helmond et al. (2014) that the carbon drawdown associated with the Plenus interval resulted in a weaker hydrologic cycle and reduced terrigenous flux into the oceans, at least along the east coast of North America.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions

5.2 OAE2 on the eastern North American shelf

The Smith Elementary School and Hope Plantation cores represent the second and third records of OAE2 on the US Atlantic Coastal Plain. As such, they provide important insight into a surprisingly understudied region. In the modern ocean, about 85% of organic carbon burial occurs along continental margins (e.g., Burdige, 2007). A survey of all known OAE2 localities with a complete carbon isotope excursion and TOC data by Owens et al. (2018) found that there is a significant amount of "missing" organic carbon when reconstructed organic carbon burial is compared to "expected" carbon burial based on carbon isotope data. This was based on 170 sites which, with some extrapolation, represent just 13% of total Cenomanian-Turonian ocean area, which meant that similar values had to be assumed for the rest of the seafloor (Owens et al., 2018). OAE2 is perhaps the best studied event of the Cretaceous, but these results suggest a clear need for additional sites to better constrain paleoceanographic and paleoenvironmental changes during this event. By adding additional OAE2 sites on the Atlantic Coastal Plain our results help to constrain the contribution of these areas to global carbon burial.

Van Helmond et al. (2014) point out that TOC is lower in the Bass River core than other OAE2 sections in the North Atlantic region, but our results indicate that Bass River is about average for inner continental shelf deposits (Figure 7). Average TOC during OAE2 at Bass River is 1.1 wt% (van Helmond, 2014); this is slightly higher than Smith Elementary (0.83 wt%) and Hope Plantation (0.63 wt%) and slightly lower than the next closest published shelf site to the southwest, the Sun Spinks core in Mississippi (1.4 wt %, Lowery et al., 2017). Sequence stratigraphic analysis of Cenomanian/Turonian sediments of the Clubhouse and Bass River formations show that these sediments represent maximum sea level rise across the boundary on the Atlantic Coastal Plain (Aleman Gonzalez et al., 2020; Miller et al., 2004). The location of the Hope Plantation core (lowest TOC values) higher on the inner paleoshelf relative to Smith Elementary School and Bass River (higher TOC values) suggests that TOC wt% on the shelf during OAE2 was, at least in part, a function of paleodepth. To be sure, these are certainly lower than values found offshore in the open ocean or along upwelling margins in the eastern North Atlantic.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

For example, Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 603, on the lower continental rise directly offshore of North Carolina, has an average TOC of 5.4 wt % during OAE2 (Kuypers et al., 2004), while the upwelling-prone region at Tarfaya, Morocco has an average TOC of 8.0 wt% (Kolonic et al., 2005).



Figure 7. *Comparison of measured wt% TOC for the duration of OAE2 for the Sun Spinks core in Mississippi, Bass River core in New Jersey, and the Smith Elementary School and Hope Plantation cores in North Carolina. Age model based on the thickness of the OAE carbon isotope excursion and the orbitally-tuned duration of OAE2 at the Global Stratotype Section and Point in Pueblo, CO (Sageman et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2012) of 540 kyr, assuming a constant sedimentation rate.*

Sedimentation rate also plays an important role in organic carbon accumulation. While we don't have dry bulk density measurements from these cores to calculate mass accumulation rates, we can approximate using reasonable values for organic-rich silicilastic rocks (2.4 g/cm^2, following Owens et al., 2018). We can determine the average sedimentation rate during the event using the observed thickness of the OAE2 carbon isotope excursion in each core and the orbitally-tuned duration of OAE2 at the Global Stratotype Section and Point in Pueblo, CO (Sageman et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2012) of 540 kyr. A constant sedimentation rate on the shelf during OAE2 is almost certainly an oversimplification but it is sufficient for our purpose of comparing general trends between these cores. Using these values we find organic carbon mass accumulation rates (OC MAR) during OAE2 average 0.05 g/cm^2/kyr at Hope

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Plantation, 0.04 g/cm^2/kyr at Smith Elementary School, 0.06 g/cm^2/kyr at Bass River, and 0.11 g/cm^2/kyr at Spinks. For comparison, the same method indicates OC MAR rates of 0.29 g/cm^2/kyr at DSDP Site 603 and 2.84 g/cm^2/kyr at Tarfaya (Owens et al., 2018). Owens et al. (2018) found an average OC MAR on shelf sites during OAE2 of 0.11 g/cm^2/kyr, which means the inner shelf sites on the east coast of North America are below the global average during this event.

These data suggest a relationship with depth on the shelf and TOC deposition during OAE2. If we arrange the sites by depth (Figure 7) we see the lowest average TOC values at Hope Plantation (0.63 wt%), the most proximal site; values are slightly higher at Smith Elementary (0.83 wt%) which appears to represent an outer estuary or inner shelf environment, and higher still at Bass River (1.1 wt%) which was inner to middle neritic (Miller et al., 2004). Estimates of organic carbon mass accumulation rates suggest all three of these inner shelf sites are very similar, ranging from 0.4-0.6 g/cm^2 kyr. Average TOC is even higher in the Spinks Core (1.4 wt%, or 0.11 g/cm^2 kyr), which represents inner to middle neritic depths during the latter part of OAE2 (Lowery et al., 2017). This suggests the possibility of even higher values on more distal parts of the shelf, and highlights the need for a true depth transect (as opposed to four cores from three states) to better understand that variability and better constrain organic carbon burial in this important environment during OAEs.

6 Conclusions

Calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy shows that positive carbon isotope excursions in two cores on the Atlantic Coastal Plain in North Carolina are associated with the Cenomanian-Turonian OAE2. C/N ratios in both cores indicate an increase in the proportion of land plants delivered to these offshore sites during, indicating a strengthened hydrologic cycle causing increased terrigenous flux beginning slightly before OAE2 and continuing through the whole event. This agrees with palynology-based observations from the Bass River core ~500 km north (van Helmond et al., 2014). We therefore conclude that these changes reflect increased precipitation and weathering across eastern North America during OAE2, feeding nutrients onto the shelf and into the North Atlantic, and likely contributing to the widespread black shale deposition in the deep basin. These cores are the second and third records of OAE2, to our knowledge, on the coastal plain of eastern North America and, combined with the first (Bowman and Bralower, 2005; van Helmond et al., 2014), show relatively low average TOC values (~0.6 - 1.1 wt%) on the inner shelf during this event, while suggesting a trend of increasing values with depth, highlighting the need for more cores in this region from middle and outer shelf depths.

Data Availability Statement

Total organic carbon, total nitrogen, organic carbon isotope, geophysical and calcareous nannofossil occurrence data are published (Self-Trail et al., 2021) and available for download as a USGS Data Release at https://doi.org/10.5066/P9V0U1NF.

Author Contribution

CL and JS conceived of the study and sampled the cores. JS sat the wells in 2004 and 2005 and helped describe the cores. CB conducted bulk organic carbon/nitrogen and organic carbon isotope measurements. JS conducted calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy. CL supervised foraminifer analysis. CL prepared the manuscript with contributions from JS and CB.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the drillers and personnel of the USGS for taking these cores, the staff of the North Carolina Geological Survey for maintaining the cores and making them accessible for sampling, to Lara Yagodzinski for her help sampling the cores, to Kate Gilbreath for her help preparing samples for micropaleontological analysis, and to Ellen Seefelt for her assistance preparing samples for nannofossil analysis and shipping material. Core box photographs courtesy USGS and NCGS. We acknowledge the people, up to 200 at a time, who were held as slaves at Hope Plantation between 1748 and 1865. Any use

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

References

Aleman Gonzalez, W.B., Self-Trail, J.M., Harris, W.B., Moore, J.P., and Farrell, K.M. (2020). Depositional sequence stratigraphy of Turonian to Santonian sediments, Cape Fear arch, North Carolina Coastal Plain, USA. *Stratigraphy*, 17 (4), 293-314.

Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R. (1944). Regional subsurface stratigraphy and structure of Florida and southern Georgia. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 28* (12), 1673-1753.

Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R. (1947). Regional subsurface stratigraphy, structure, and correlation of middle and early Upper Cretaceous rocks in Alabama, Georgia, and north Florida. *U.S. Geological Survey Oil and Gas Investigations Chart, OC- 26*, 3 sheets.

Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R., (1967). The Gulf Series in the subsurface in northern Florida and southern Georgia. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 524-G*, 40 pp.

Arthur, M. A., Schlanger, S. T., & Jenkyns, H. C. (1987). The Cenomanian-Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event, II. Palaeoceanographic controls on organic-matter production and preservation. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, *26*(1), 401-420.

Blättler, C. L., Jenkyns, H. C., Reynard, L. M., & Henderson, G. M. (2011). Significant increases in global weathering during Oceanic Anoxic Events 1a and 2 indicated by calcium isotopes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *309*(1-2), 77-88.

Bown, P.R., and Young, J.R., 1998. Techniques. In: Bown, P.R., Ed., *Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy*, 16-28. London: Kluwer Academic.

Burdige, D. J. (2007). Preservation of organic matter in marine sediments: controls, mechanisms, and an imbalance in sediment organic carbon budgets?. *Chemical reviews*, *107*(2), 467-485.

Burnett, J.A., 1998. Upper Cretaceous. In: Bown, P.R., Ed., *Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy*, 132-199.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Bowman, A. R., & Bralower, T. J. (2005). Paleoceanographic significance of high-resolution carbon isotope records across the Cenomanian–Turonian boundary in the Western Interior and New Jersey coastal plain, USA. *Marine Geology*, *217*(3-4), 305-321.

Cooke, C.W. (1936). Geology of the Coastal Plain of South Carolina. *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 867*, 196pp.

Corbett, M.J., Watkins, D.K., and Pospichal, J.J., 2014. A quantitative analysis of calcareous nannofossil bioevents of the Late Cretaceous (Late Cenomanian-Coniacian) Western Interior Seaway and their reliability in established zonation schemes. *Marine Micropaleontology,* 109: 30-45.

Dorf, E., (1952). Critical analysis of Cretaceous stratigraphy and paleobotany of Atlantic Coastal Plain. *AAPG Bulletin, 36* (11), 2161-2184.

Erbacher, J., Friedrich, O., Wilson, P. A., Birch, H., & Mutterlose, J. (2005). Stable organic carbon isotope stratigraphy across Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 of Demerara Rise, western tropical Atlantic. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *6*(6).

Friedrich, O., Norris, R. D., & Erbacher, J. (2012). Evolution of middle to Late Cretaceous oceans—a 55 my record of Earth's temperature and carbon cycle. *Geology*, *40*(2), 107-110.

Gale, A. S., and Christensen, W. K., (1996). Occurrence of the belemnite Actinocamax plenus in the Cenomanian of SE France and its significance. In *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark*, *43*(1), 68-77.

Gale, A. (2019). Correlation, age and significance of Turonian Chalk hardgrounds in southern England and northern France: The roles of tectonics, eustasy, erosion and condensation. *Cretaceous Research*, *103*, 104164.

Gohn, G. S. (1992). Revised nomenclature, definitions, and correlations for the Cretaceous formations in USGS-Clubhouse Crossroads# 1, Dorchester County, South Carolina. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1518*, 39 pp.

Gohn, G.S. 1995. Ostracode biostratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous marine sediments in the New Jersey Coastal Plain. In: Baker, J.E.B. (Ed.), Contributions to the paleontology of New Jersey. Geological Association of New Jersey Annual Field Conference, 12th Annual Meeting, Wayne, NJ, Oct. 27-28, 1995, v. 12, p. 87-101.Gale

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Hasegawa, T., Crampton, J. S., Schiøler, P., Field, B., Fukushi, K., & Kakizaki, Y. (2013). Carbon isotope stratigraphy and depositional oxia through Cenomanian/Turonian boundary sequences (Upper Cretaceous) in New Zealand. *Cretaceous research*, *40*, 61-80.

Hattner, J.G., and Wise, S.W., Jr., (1980). Upper Cretaceous calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of South Carolina. *South Carolina Geology, 24*(2), 41-117.

Hazel, J.E., Bybell, L.M., Christopher, R.A., Frederiksen, N.O., May, F.E., McLean, D.M., Poore, R.Z., Smith, C.C., Sohl, N.F., Valentine, P.C., and Witmer, R.J., (1977). Biostratigraphy of the deep corehole (Clubhouse Crossroads corehole 1) near Charleston, South Carolina, in Rankin, D.W., (Ed.), Studies related to the Charleston, South Carolina earthquake of 1886—A preliminary report. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1028*, p. 71-89.

Heron, S.D., Jr. (1958). History of terminology and correlations of the basal Cretaceous formations of the Carolinas. *South Carolina Division of Geology Bulletin 2*, p. 77-88.

Jarvis, I., Lignum, J. S., Gröcke, D. R., Jenkyns, H. C., & Pearce, M. A. (2011). Black shale deposition, atmospheric CO2 drawdown, and cooling during the Cenomanian-Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event. *Paleoceanography*, *26*(3).

Jenkyns, H. C. (2010). Geochemistry of oceanic anoxic events. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *11*(3).

Kennedy, W. J., Walaszczyk, I., & Cobban, W. A. (2005). The global boundary stratotype section and point for the base of the Turonian stage of the Cretaceous: Pueblo, Colorado, USA. Episodes*,* 28(2), 93-104.

Kolonic, S., Wagner, T., Forster, A., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Walsworth-Bell, B., Erba, E., Turgeon, S., Brumsack, H.J., Chellai, E.H., Tsikos, H., & Kuhnt, W. (2005). Black shale deposition on the northwest African Shelf during the Cenomanian/Turonian oceanic anoxic event: Climate coupling and global organic carbon burial. *Paleoceanography*, *20*(1).

Kuypers, M. M., Lourens, L. J., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Pancost, R. D., Nijenhuis, I. A., & Damsté, J. S. S. (2004). Orbital forcing of organic carbon burial in the proto-North Atlantic during oceanic anoxic event 2. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *228*(3-4), 465-482.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past Discussions

Leckie, R. M., Yuretich, R. F., West, O. L., Finkelstein, D., & Schmidt, M. (1998). Paleoceanography of the southwestern Western Interior Sea during the time of the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary (Late Cretaceous).

Leckie, R. M., Bralower, T. J., & Cashman, R. (2002). Oceanic anoxic events and plankton evolution: Biotic response to tectonic forcing during the mid-Cretaceous. *Paleoceanography*, *17*(3), 13-1.

Lowery, C. M., Cunningham, R., Barrie, C. D., Bralower, T., & Snedden, J. W. (2017). The northern Gulf of Mexico during OAE2 and the relationship between water depth and black shale development. *Paleoceanography*, *32*(12), 1316-1335.

McAnena, A., Flögel, S., Hofmann, P. Herrle, J.O., Griesand, A., Pross, J., Talbot, H.M., Rethemeyer, J., Wallmann, K., & Wagner, T., 2013. Atlantic cooling associated with a marine biotic crisis during the mid-Cretaceous period. *Nature Geoscience* 6, no. 7 (2013): 558-561.

Meyers, P. A. (1994). Preservation of elemental and isotopic source identification of sedimentary organic matter. *Chemical Geology* 114, 289-302.

Meyers, P. A. (1997). Organic geochemical proxies of paleoceanographic, paleolimnologic, and paleoclimatic processes. *Organic geochemistry*, *27*(5-6), 213-250.

Meyers, P. A. (2003). Applications of organic geochemistry to paleolimnological reconstructions: a summary of examples from the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Organic geochemistry*, *34*(2), 261-289.

Meyers, S. R., Siewert, S. E., Singer, B. S., Sageman, B. B., Condon, D. J., Obradovich, J. D., Jicha, B.R., & Sawyer, D. A. (2012). Intercalibration of radioisotopic and astrochronologic time scales for the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary interval, Western Interior Basin, USA. *Geology*, *40*(1), 7-10.

Miller, K.G., Sugarman, P.J., Browning, J.V., et al., 1998. Bass River site. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Initial Reports*, v. 174AX, p. 1-39.

Miller, K.G., Sugarman, P.J., Browning, J.V., Kominz, M.A., Olsson, R.K., Feigenson, M.D., and Hernandez, J.C., 2004. Upper Cretaceous sequences and sea-level history, New Jersey Coastal Plain. *GSA Bulletin*, 116: 368-393.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Monteiro, F. M., Pancost, R. D., Ridgwell, A., & Donnadieu, Y. (2012). Nutrients as the dominant control on the spread of anoxia and euxinia across the Cenomanian-Turonian oceanic anoxic event (OAE2): Model-data comparison. *Paleoceanography*, *27*(4).

O'Connor, L. K., Jenkyns, H. C., Robinson, S. A., Remmelzwaal, S. R., Batenburg, S. J., Parkinson, I. J., & Gale, A. S. (2020). A Re-evaluation of the Plenus Cold Event, and the Links Between CO2, Temperature, and Seawater Chemistry During OAE 2. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, *35*(4), e2019PA003631.

Ostrander, C. M., Owens, J. D., & Nielsen, S. G. (2017). Constraining the rate of oceanic deoxygenation leading up to a Cretaceous Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE-2:~ 94 Ma). *Science advances*, *3*(8), e1701020.

Owens, J. D., Lyons, T. W., Hardisty, D. S., Lowery, C. M., Lu, Z., Lee, B., & Jenkyns, H. C. (2017). Patterns of local and global redox variability during the Cenomanian–Turonian Boundary Event (Oceanic Anoxic Event 2) recorded in carbonates and shales from central Italy. *Sedimentology*, *64*(1), 168-185.

Owens, J. D., Lyons, T. W., & Lowery, C. M. (2018). Quantifying the missing sink for global organic carbon burial during a Cretaceous oceanic anoxic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *499*, 83-94.

Petters, S.W., 1976. Upper Cretaceous subsurface stratigraphy of Atlantic Coastal Plain of New Jersey. *AAPG Bulletin*, v. 60, n. 1, p. 87-107.

Petters, S.W., 1977. Upper Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera from the subsurface of the Atlantic Coastal Plain of New Jersey. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 7: 165-187.

Pogge Von Strandmann, P. A., Jenkyns, H. C., & Woodfine, R. G. (2013). Lithium isotope evidence for enhanced weathering during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2. *Nature Geoscience*, *6*(8), 668-672.Prahl et al., 1980

Pratt, L. M., & Threlkeld, C. N. (1984). Stratigraphic significance of 13C/12C ratios in mid-Cretaceous rocks of the Western Interior, USA.

Richards, H.G. (1945). Subsurface stratigraphy of Atlantic Coastal Plain between New Jersey and Georgia. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 29* (7), 885-955.

Sageman, B. B., Meyers, S. R., & Arthur, M. A. (2006). Orbital time scale and new C-isotope record for Cenomanian-Turonian boundary stratotype. *Geology*, *34*(2), 125-128.

Schlanger, S. O., & Jenkyns, H. C. (1976). Cretaceous oceanic anoxic events: causes and consequences. *Geologie en mijnbouw*, *55*(3-4).

Scholle, P. A., & Arthur, M. A. (1980). Carbon isotope fluctuations in Cretaceous pelagic limestones: potential stratigraphic and petroleum exploration tool. *AAPG Bulletin*, *64*(1), 67-87.

Seefelt, E.L., Self-Trail, J.M., and Schultz, A.P., 2015. Comparison of three preservation techniques for slowing dissolution of calcareous nannofossils in organic-rich sediments. *Micropaleontology*, v. 61, n. 3, p. 149-164.

Self-Trail, J.M., and Bybell, L.M., 1995. Cretaceous and Paleogene calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of New Jersey. In: Baker, J.E.B. (Ed.), Contributions to the paleontology of New Jersey. Geological Association of New Jersey Annual Field Conference, 12th Annual Meeting, Wayne, NJ, Oct. 27-28, 1995, v. 12, p. 102-139.

Self-Trail, J.M., and Seefelt, E.L., 2005. Rapid dissolution of calcareous nannofossils: a case study from freshly cored sediments of the south-eastern Atlantic Coastal Plain. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 27, n. 20, p. 149-157.

Self-Trail, J.M., Barrie, C., and Lowery, C.M., 2021. USGS Data Release.

Shamrock, J.L., Munoz, E.J., and Carter, J.H., 2015. An improved sample preparation technique for calcareous nannofossils in organic-rich mudstones. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 35, n. 2, 101-110.

Shamrock, J.L., and Self-Trail, J.M., 2016. Quantification of a pretreatment procedure for organic-rich calcareous nannofossil samples. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 36, n. 1, 65-75.

Sikora, P.J., and Olsson, R.K., 1991. A paleoslope model of late Albian to early Turonian foraminifera of the western Atlantic margin and North Atlantic basin. Marine Micropaleontology, v. 18, p. 25-72.

Sissinghi, W., 1977. Biostratigraphy of Cretaceous calcareous nano;lankton. *Geologie en Mijnbouw*, 56: 37-65.

Slattery, J. S., Cobban, W. A., McKinney, K. C., Harries, P. J., & Sandness, A. L. (2015). Early Cretaceous to Paleocene paleogeography of the Western Interior Seaway: the interaction of eustasy and tectonism. *Wyoming Geological Association Guidebook*, *2015*, 22-60.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Climate of the Past
Discussions

Snedden, J. W., Virdell, J., Whiteaker, T. L., & Ganey-Curry, P. (2015). A basin-scale perspective on Cenomanian-Turonian (Cretaceous) depositional systems, greater Gulf of Mexico (USA). *Interpretation*, *4*(1), SC1-SC22.

Snow, L. J., Duncan, R. A., & Bralower, T. J. (2005). Trace element abundances in the Rock Canyon Anticline, Pueblo, Colorado, marine sedimentary section and their relationship to Caribbean plateau construction and oxygen anoxic event 2. *Paleoceanography*, *20*(3).

Spangler, W. B. (1950). Subsurface geology of Atlantic coastal plain of North Carolina. *AAPG Bulletin*, *34*(1), 100-132.

Sohl, N. F., & Owens, J. P. (1991). Cretaceous stratigraphy of the Carolina coastal plain.*The geology of the Carolinas*, 191-220.

Stephenson, L.W., 1912. The Cretaceous formations. In: Clark, W.B., Miller, B.L., Stephenson, L.W., Johnson, B.L., and Parker, H.N., Eds. The Coastal Plain of North Carolina. *North Carolina Geologic and Economic Survey*, 3: 73-171.

Tarduno, J. A., Sliter, W. V., Kroenke, L., Leckie, M., Mayer, H., Mahoney, J. J., Musgrave, R., Sotery, M., & Winterer, E. L. (1991). Rapid formation of Ontong Java Plateau by Aptian mantle plume volcanism. *Science*, *254*(5030), 399-403.

Thornburg, J., 2008. "Temporal variations in the paleopedology and paleoclimatology of the Early Cretaceous Potomac Group, Hope Plantation core, Bertie County, North Carolina". Unpublished Masters Thesis, Temple University, Pennsylvania.

Tibert, N. E., & Leckie, R. M. (2004). High-resolution estuarine sea level cycles from the Late Cretaceous: Amplitude constraints using agglutinated foraminifera. *The Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, *34*(2), 130-143.

Tsikos, H., Jenkyns, H. C., Walsworth-Bell, B., Petrizzo, M. R., Forster, A., Kolonic, S., ... & Damsté, J. S. (2004). Carbon-isotope stratigraphy recorded by the Cenomanian–Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event: correlation and implications based on three key localities. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *161*(4), 711-719.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-25
Preprint. Discussion started: 15 March 2021

Turgeon, S. C., & Creaser, R. A. (2008). Cretaceous oceanic anoxic event 2 triggered by a massive magmatic episode. *Nature*, *454*(7202), 323-326.

Valentine, P. C. (1982). *Upper Cretaceous subsurface stratigraphy and structure of coastal Georgia and South Carolina* (No. 1222). U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1222, 33 p.

Valentine, P.C., (1984). Turonian (Eaglefordian) stratigraphy of the Atlantic Coastal Plain and Texas. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1315*, 21pp.

van Helmond, N. A., Sluijs, A., Reichart, G. J., Damsté, J. S. S., Slomp, C. P., & Brinkhuis, H. (2014). A perturbed hydrological cycle during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2. *Geology*, *42*(2), 123-126.\

van Helmond, N. A., Sluijs, A., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Reichart, G. J., Voigt, S., Erbacher, J., ... & Brinkhuis, H. (2015). Freshwater discharge controlled deposition of Cenomanian–Turonian black shales on the NW European epicontinental shelf (Wunstorf, northern Germany). *Climate of the Past*, *11*, 495-508.

Weems, R. E., Seefelt, E. L., Wrege, B. M., Self-Trail, J. M., Prowell, D. C., Durand, C., Cobbs, E.F., & McKinney, K. C. (2007). Preliminary physical stratigraphy and geophysical data of the USGS Hope Plantation Core (BE-110), Bertie County, North Carolina. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report*, *1251*, 1-163.

Weems, R. E., Self-Trail, J. M., and Edwards, L. E., 2019. Cross Section of the North Carolina Coastal Plain from Enfield through Cape Hatteras. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report,* 2019-1145.

Whitechurch, H., Montigny, R., Sevigny, J., Storey, M., & Salters, V. (1992). K-Ar and 40Ar-39Ar ages of central Kerguelen Plateau basalts. In *Proc. Ocean Drill. Program Sci. Results* (Vol. 120, pp. 71-77).

Woolf, K. S. (2012). Regional character of the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation depositional systems and trends in reservoir quality. PhD Thesis.

Zarra, L. (1989). *Sequence stratigraphy and foraminiferal biostratigraphy for selected wells in the Albemarle Embayment, North Carolina*. North Carolina Geological Survey Open File Report 89-5. 52 p.