

1 **Enhanced Terrestrial Runoff during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 on the North**
2 **Carolina Coastal Plain, USA**

3 Christopher M. Lowery¹, Jean M. Self-Trail², Craig D. Barrie³

4 ¹University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, Austin, TX, USA

5 ²United States Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA

6 ³GeoMark Research, LTD, Houston, TX, USA

7 *Correspondence to:* Chris Lowery cmlowery@utexas.edu

8 **Abstract**

9 A global increase in the strength of the hydrologic cycle drove an increase in the flux of
10 terrigenous sediments into the ocean during the Cenomanian–Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 (OAE2)
11 and was an important mechanism driving nutrient enrichment and thus organic carbon burial. This global
12 change is primarily known from isotopic records, but global average data don't tell us anything about
13 changes at any particular location. Reconstructions of local terrigenous flux can help us understand the
14 role of regional shifts in precipitation in driving these global trends. The proto-North Atlantic basin was
15 one of the epicenters of enhanced organic carbon burial during OAE2, and so constraining terrigenous
16 flux is particularly important in this region; however, few local records exist. Here, we present two new
17 OAE2 records from the Atlantic Coastal Plain of North Carolina, USA, recognized with calcareous
18 nannoplankton biostratigraphy and organic carbon isotopes. We use carbon/nitrogen ratios to constrain
19 the relative contribution of marine and terrestrial organic matter; in both cores we find elevated
20 contribution from vascular plants beginning just before OAE2 and continuing through the event,
21 indicating a locally strengthened hydrologic cycle. Terrigenous flux decreased during the brief change in
22 carbon isotope values known as the Plenius carbon isotope excursion, and then increase and remain
23 elevated through the latter part of OAE2. TOC values reveal relatively low organic carbon burial in the
24 inner shelf, in contrast to black shales known from the open ocean. Organic carbon content on the shelf
25 appears to increase in the offshore direction, highlighting the need for cores from the middle and outer
26 shelf.

27 **1 Introduction**

28 The Cretaceous was characterized by intermittent periods of enhanced organic carbon burial
29 linked to widespread black shale deposition and anoxia, termed Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs;
30 Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976; Jenkyns 2010). Although OAEs were originally defined by the widespread
31 occurrence of black shales (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976) they were soon found to be associated with
32 positive carbon isotope excursions driven by the excess global burial of organic carbon and representing a
33 perturbation of the global carbon cycle (Scholle and Arthur, 1980; Arthur et al., 1987; Jenkyns, 2010;
34 Owens et al., 2017). OAEs eventually became linked with the emplacement of large igneous provinces
35 (Tarduno et al., 1991; Whitechurch et al., 1992; Leckie et al., 2002; Snow et al., 2005; Turgeon and
36 Creaser, 2008; Monteiro et al., 2012; McAnena et al., 2013), suggesting a causal mechanism for enhanced
37 organic carbon burial. In the case of the Cenomanian–Turonian OAE2 (~ 94 Ma), the emplacement of the
38 Caribbean Large Igneous Province (e.g., Snow et al., 2005) is associated with significant warming (e.g.,
39 Friedrich et al., 2012) and resulted in a strengthening of the hydrological cycle and an increase in the flux
40 of nutrients to the oceans (Blättler et al., 2011; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013).

41 Trends in the stable carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) of the global carbon pool reveal global changes
42 in organic carbon burial rates (e.g., Jenkyns, 2010) but don't tell us anything about where that organic
43 matter was buried. This is important because local organic matter enrichment can vary significantly in
44 both timing (e.g., Tsikos et al., 2004) and magnitude (e.g., Owens et al., 2018) during an OAE. Similarly,
45 the calcium isotope proxy used by Blättler et al. (2011) and the lithium isotope proxy used by Pogge von
46 Strandmann et al. (2013) to determine changes in global terrigenous flux to the oceans don't tell us
47 anything about local patterns of terrigenous runoff. Presumably, like organic carbon burial, the hydrologic
48 cycle did not increase uniformly, but instead some regions experienced a greater change than others.
49 Unfortunately, few local records of changes in the hydrologic cycle during OAE2 have been documented.
50 Van Helmond et al. (2014) used pollen assemblages, freshwater-tolerant marine dinoflagellates, and
51 biomarker data from the Bass River core (Ocean Drilling Program Site 174X) on the coastal plain of New

52 Jersey, USA, to document local warming associated with enhanced contribution of terrestrial organic
53 matter during OAE2. While this result clearly indicates a stronger hydrologic cycle during OAE2, it only
54 represents a single locality. Similar work from Wunstorf, Germany, in the Lower Saxony Basin, reveals a
55 clear association between terrigenous flux (measured by palynology and biomarker data) and black shale
56 development, but this association isn't limited to OAE2, with additional intervals of elevated terrigenous
57 input and black shale deposition continuing after the end of the carbon isotope excursion (van Helmond et
58 al., 2015). In the Western Interior Seaway of North America, increases in kaolinite (a clay mineral formed
59 in humid environments) during OAE2 may be the result of wetter conditions, but these trends may also be
60 caused by shifting sediment source areas (Leckie et al., 1998). Overall, these existing records paint an
61 incomplete picture.

62 To fully understand these trends, it is essential to develop similar datasets from additional
63 localities. Such work will allow a more geographically complete understanding of changes in
64 precipitation during OAE2 and thus provide a window into the mechanisms which drove hydroclimate
65 during the hottest part of the Cretaceous greenhouse. Here, we present two new OAE2 sections from
66 cores drilled by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) on the coastal plain of North Carolina, on
67 the Atlantic margin of North America (Figure 1). We use organic carbon isotopes and calcareous
68 nannoplankton biostratigraphy to identify the OAE2 interval and organic carbon/nitrogen (C/N) molar
69 ratios to detect changes in terrigenous flux. These cores are only the second and third OAE2 intervals
70 described on the Atlantic Coastal Plain after the Bass River core (Bowman and Bralower, 2005; van
71 Helmond et al., 2014) and thus also provide important context for the response of the inner shelf to
72 OAE2, filling in an important gap in an important region (e.g., Owens et al., 2018) during this well-
73 studied time interval.

74 **2 Geologic Setting**

75 Cenomanian and Turonian sediments of the Atlantic Coastal Plain of the United States (Figure 1)
76 are part of a sequence of strata that accumulated since the rifting of the Atlantic began in the Early

77 Jurassic. However, study of the marine units in these sediments is difficult due to the absence of outcrops
78 of this age and environment and their moderate to large burial depths in the Carolinas and Georgia (Sohl
79 and Owens, 1991). Thus, their study is restricted to the limited number of available cores and/or cuttings,
80 and their regional interpretations are often based on geophysical data obtained from water wells and
81 scattered oil and gas test wells.

82 To the south, initial subsurface work in Florida and Georgia followed the nomenclature of the
83 Gulf Coastal Plain. Sediments in Georgia were variously attributed to the Cenomanian Woodbine
84 Formation, the Cenomanian/Turonian Eagle Ford Formation, and the Cenomanian/Turonian Tuscaloosa
85 Formation (Applin and Applin, 1944; Richards, 1945). Applin and Applin (1947) later introduced the
86 name Atkinson Formation, with three unnamed members (upper, middle, and lower) for certain marine
87 and non-marine sediments in the subsurface of southern Alabama, southern Georgia, and northern
88 Florida. They correlated the lower member of the Atkinson to basal nonmarine sands and shales of the
89 coastal plain of Georgia, which they considered to be Cenomanian in age, and the middle member of the
90 Atkinson to the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale, which they considered to be Cenomanian/Turonian in age
91 (Applin and Applin, 1967).

92 Early work in South Carolina by Cooke (1936), Dorf (1952), and Heron (1958) considered
93 outcrops of the Middendorf Formation to be Cenomanian in age, based largely on stratigraphic position
94 and on long-ranging pollen and/or mollusks. Similarly, outcrops of the largely non-marine Cape Fear
95 Formation in North Carolina were attributed to the Cenomanian (Stephenson, 1912; Cooke, 1936).
96 Outcrops thought to be Turonian in age from both states were largely assigned to the Black Creek
97 Formation.

98 A shift in thinking regarding stratigraphic nomenclature was spurred by examination of sediments
99 from the Clubhouse Crossroads #1 core by Hazel et al. (1977), who found clear evidence of true
100 Cenomanian/Turonian marine sediments in the later-defined Clubhouse Formation near the base of the
101 downdip Coastal Plain section. Calcareous nannofossils and foraminifera of Cenomanian and Turonian

102 age were identified in the Clubhouse Formation (Hazel et al., 1977; Hattner and Wise, 1980; Valentine,
103 1984) and correlated with cuttings containing calcareous nannofossils from the Fripp Island, SC
104 deepwater well (Valentine, 1984). In North Carolina, Zarra (1989) reinterpreted the work of Spangler
105 (1950) using both foraminifera and sequence stratigraphic concepts, positively identifying Cenomanian
106 and Turonian sediments from the Esso #1 core and from cuttings of the Mobile #1, Mobile #2, Mobile #3,
107 and Marshall Collins #1 test wells. He used sediment and well log analysis to identify marginal marine
108 and inner shelf facies in the lower/middle Cenomanian and middle Turonian section, with a highstand in
109 the upper Cenomanian. These cores all contained a diverse assemblage of planktic foraminifera, including
110 species belonging to *Rotalipora*, *Praeglobotruncana*, *Dicarinella*, *Whiteinella*, and *Guembelitra* (Zarra,
111 1989).

112 This reevaluation ultimately resulted in the formal designation of the Cenomanian/Turonian
113 Clubhouse Formation (Gohn, 1992) in the Clubhouse Crossroads core. At the type locality, the Clubhouse
114 Formation consists of gray to gray-green, fine- to medium- grained, micaceous, muddy sands with flaser
115 to lenticular bedding and common bioturbation. Sequence stratigraphic analysis suggests that deposition
116 occurred in a shelf environment proximal to the shoreline and that these sediments represent latest
117 Cenomanian/earliest Turonian sea level rise prior to the early Turonian highstand event (Aleman
118 Gonzalez et al., 2020). The subsurface extent of this formation has now been documented across much of
119 South Carolina and North Carolina (Weems et al. 2007; Weems et al., 2019; Aleman Gonzalez et al.,
120 2020).

121 To the north, published documentation of marine Cenomanian/Turonian sediments from the mid-
122 Atlantic region appears to be limited to the E.G. Taylor No. 1-G well on the eastern shore of Virginia.
123 Valentine (1984) reports the presence of *Rotalipora greenhornensis*, which went extinct in the latest
124 Cenomanian, from one sample at 1520 ft.

125 Cenomanian/Turonian sediments of the northeast Atlantic Coastal Plain consist of the subsurface
126 Bass River Formation and its correlative updip equivalent, the Raritan Formation in Maryland, New

127 Jersey, and Delaware. The Bass River Formation is herein considered to be correlative with the
128 Clubhouse Formation of the southeastern Atlantic Coastal Plain. The Bass River Formation was first
129 described by Petters (1976) from the TC16 well in Bass River Township, New Jersey. It is considered to
130 be the fully marine equivalent of the Raritan Formation and is differentiated by its common shell material
131 and deeper water depositional environment (Miller et al., 1998). The Bass River Formation has variously
132 been assigned a late Cenomanian to early Turonian age in a variety of cores and wells based on
133 foraminifera (Petters, 1976, 1977; Miller et al., 1998; Sikora and Olsson, 1991), calcareous nannofossils
134 (Valentine, 1984; Miller et al., 1998; Self-Trail and Bybell, 1995), and ostracodes (Gohn, 1995). Miller
135 et al., (2004) document that the Bass River Formation was deposited predominantly in inner shelf to
136 middle shelf paleodepths.

137 **3 Methods**

138 **3.1 Study Sites**

139 The Hope Plantation core (BE-110-2004) was drilled by the USGS in April to May, 2004 in
140 Bertie County, North Carolina, on the property of Hope Plantation (36.0323°N; 78.0192°W) (Figure 1).
141 The hole was drilled as a stratigraphic test for Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifers, and was continuously cored
142 to a total depth of 333.6 m (1094.5 ft) below land surface. A suite of wireline logs, including natural
143 gamma ray and resistivity logs, were collected at the completion of drilling. Preliminary biostratigraphy
144 placed the marine Cenomanian/Turonian boundary interval between approximately 182.8-228.6 m (600-
145 750 ft). A summary of the general stratigraphy, downhole logging, and core images can be found in
146 Weems et al. (2007).

147 The Smith Elementary School core (CR-675) was drilled by the USGS in February and March,
148 2006 in Craven County, NC, on the grounds of the nominate school (35.2511°N; 77.2903°W) (Figure 1).
149 This hole was also drilled as a stratigraphic test for coastal plain aquifers, and was continuously cored to a
150 total depth of 323.1 m (1094.5 ft). Difficulties with the wireline tools and borehole stability limited the

151 collection of geophysical logs, and only a partial natural gamma ray log exists for the Clubhouse
152 Formation in this corehole. There, the marine interval that spans the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary is
153 between 288.3 and 323.1 m depth (945.9-1060.0 ft). Both cores are stored at the North Carolina
154 Geological Survey Coastal Plain core storage facility in Raleigh, NC, where we sampled them in May,
155 2019.

156 **3.2 Calcareous Nannofossils**

157 One hundred and ten samples from Hope Plantation and 84 samples from Smith Elementary
158 School were examined for calcareous nannofossil content. Samples were taken from the central portion of
159 broken core in order to avoid contamination from drilling fluid. Smear slides were prepared using the
160 standard techniques of Bown and Young (1998) in samples with low total organic carbon (TOC); samples
161 with increased TOC were prepared using the techniques of Shamrock et al. (2015) and Shamrock and
162 Self-Trail (2016). Coverslips were affixed using Norland Optical Adhesive 61. Calcareous nannofossils
163 were examined using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 transmitted light microscope at 1250x magnification under
164 crossed polarized light. Light microscope images were taken using a Powershot G4 camera with a Zeiss
165 phototube adaptor. Specimens were identified to the species level and correlated to the zonation schemes
166 of Sissinghi (1977) and Burnett (1998), as modified by Corbett et al. (2014) for shelf settings.

167 **3.3 Foraminifera**

168 Ninety samples were prepared for examination of planktic and benthic foraminifera.
169 Approximately 15 grams of material were soaked in a mixture of peroxide and borax for at least 24 hours,
170 washed over a 63 μm sieve, dried overnight in an oven, and then examined for microfossils using a Zeiss
171 Discovery V8 light microscope.

172 **3.4 TOC, C/N, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$**

173 Core samples were analyzed for both their elemental composition (%C and %N) and organic
174 carbon isotope signature ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ VPDB). To remove inorganic carbon content all of the material to be

175 analyzed was initially washed with 1M hydrochloric (HCl) acid. There was no anticipated inorganic
176 nitrogen content in the samples. All of the samples were analyzed on an elemental vario ISOTOPE select
177 cube elemental analyzer (EA) connected to a VisION isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). The EA
178 system follows dumas combustion and both generates and separates the gasses used for elemental
179 composition determination and then releases the gas to the IRMS for isotopic determination. Every fifth
180 sample was run in duplicate and a check standard was run in triplicate every twentieth sample to ensure
181 the accuracy of the results. The elemental results were calibrated against a known sulfanilamide standard
182 and the precision of the results is +/-0.1% or better, and variation of duplicate samples was within range
183 of this uncertainty. The carbon isotope results were calibrated against four known reference standards
184 which cover the range of isotopic signatures expected in organic material (-15‰ to -35‰), and duplicates
185 and check standards were run at the same interval as above. All of the isotopic results are reported in per
186 mil (‰) relative to VPDB and the precision of the results is +/-0.1‰ or better.

187 **4 Results**

188 **4.1 Lithology**

189 Qualitative core descriptions are summarized below and in Figures 2 and 3. Broad
190 paleoenvironmental interpretations are based on lithology, paleontology, and stratigraphic relationships.
191 Benthic foraminifera, which are powerful tools to determine paleoenvironment in marginal marine
192 settings (e.g., Tibert and Leckie, 2004), are unfortunately absent here due to poor preservation (see
193 section 4.2 below). In both cores we recognize two informal members of the Clubhouse Formation: a
194 marine lower member characterized by bivalves, calcareous nannoplankton, finer grained sediments,
195 thinner beds, and sedimentary features common to inner shelf environments; and a marginal marine upper
196 member characterized by coarser grainsize, thicker beds, and woody plant debris instead of calcareous
197 marine fossils, indicating deposition in a delta front or distributary environment.

198 The Clubhouse Formation in the Hope Plantation Core (Figure 2) was penetrated between 174.3
199 m and 220.2 m below the surface. It is underlain by the floodplain paleosols of the Albian Potomac Group
200 (Thornburg, 2008) and is overlain by undifferentiated sands and muds questionably assigned to the Cape
201 Fear Formation (Weems et al., 2007). The Clubhouse Formation is primarily composed of clayey and
202 silty sands punctuated by a few discrete skeletal limestones. The whole unit coarsens upward from clayey
203 sands (from the base of the formation to about 210.0 m) to silty sands (from about 210.0 m to about 201.2
204 m) to more pure sands (from about 201.2 m to the top of the formation, although natural gamma ray peaks
205 suggest the inclusion of some clay in parts of this interval). This upper change corresponds with a clear
206 change in gamma ray log response that characterizes most of the informal marginal marine upper
207 member.

208 The lower marine informal member extends from the base of the Clubhouse Formation to the
209 highest common occurrence of bivalves and calcareous nannoplankton, around 196.9 m. Glauconite
210 occurs from the base of the informal marine unit up to about 211.2 m. Four decimeter-scale skeletal
211 limestones composed of broken bivalves occur roughly evenly spaced through this informal member.
212 Widely scattered woody debris is found between 210.6 m and 206.0 m. Definite bioturbation is rare but is
213 evident between 203.6 and 201.2 m, just below the shift in lithology from silty sand to cleaner sand.
214 Bivalves occur throughout the informal marine member in varying abundance. The marginal marine
215 upper informal member of the Clubhouse Formation is characterized by massive sand interbedded with
216 variably thick beds of massive silty clay, an increasing abundance of woody debris above 189.0 m, and
217 the occurrence of cm-scale mud balls above 185.6 m. A single thin bed containing bivalves occurs at
218 196.9 m. Given the more terrestrial features, cleaner sands, and thin clay interbeds of the upper informal
219 member of the Clubhouse Formation we suggest that these sediments were deposited in a non-marine or
220 marginal marine environment such as a distributary mouth bar or interdistributary bay system in the upper
221 part of the Clubhouse Formation.

222 In the Smith Elementary core (Figure 3), the Clubhouse Formation occurs between 288.5 m and
223 322.7 m depth. Its basal contact with underlying gneiss is marked by a fault, with an angular contact
224 ($\sim 45^\circ$ to vertical in the core) and slickensides (Weems et al., 2007). This fault is overlain by ~ 15 cm thick
225 interval of dolomitic sand. The lithology of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith Elementary core is
226 overall more fine-grained than that of the Hope Plantation, with a lower fining-upwards interval, muds
227 and limestones in the middle, and a coarsening upward interval that extends to the unconformable upper
228 contact with the Santonian marginal marine Collins Creek Formation.

229 The lower marine informal member of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith Elementary core
230 (322.7~305.0 m) contains a more varied lithology than that of the Hope Plantation core. The basal
231 interval in this member is a 2.6 m thick package of massive, coarsening upward and then fining upward,
232 clayey to silty, glauconite-bearing sandstone separated by a thin silty claystone above a ~ 35 cm core gap.
233 Coring gaps of this scale are more common in the Smith Elementary core and are associated with the
234 contacts between sand and clay intervals. A single burrow occurs in the upper sandstone bed, and
235 glauconite decreases upsection. The overlying interval is composed of 2.8 m of bioturbated clay and silty
236 clay, with two ~ 30 cm thick silty sandstones with abundant burrows and rare bivalves. The upper silty
237 claystone contains thin clay lenses. This claystone is overlain by a 4.0 m thick interval of interbedded
238 silty- to clayey sandstone, skeletal limestones composed of broken bivalve debris, including one which
239 has been dolomitized, and a thick (~ 80 cm) bioturbated silty claystone containing glauconite and bivalve
240 shells. This in turn is overlain by a 5.2 m thick silty claystone with planar bedding, phosphate nodules,
241 pyrite, and bivalve shells. The lower 3.4 m of this claystone is laminated with no visible bioturbation.
242 Overall this interval represents a fining upward sequence from sand to sandy silt to silty clay; the sandy
243 clay contains thin discrete beds of coarser material, include shell hash, possibly indicating deposition
244 above storm wave base before deepening to uniform silty clay representing deposition on the shelf below
245 storm wave base at the top of the informal marine member.

246 The upper marginal marine informal member of the Clubhouse Formation in the Smith
247 Elementary core (~305.0-288.5 m) is composed of meter-scale beds of silty to well-sorted sandstone
248 which generally become coarser up section, interbedded with centimeter scale beds of claystone. Some
249 beds contain woody debris and pyrite. A single bivalve occurs near the very base of the member, and a
250 few discrete burrows are observed between 294 and 292 m. Flaser bedding occurs in a clay bed at 291.7
251 m. The overall coarse-grained nature of these beds, and the alternating terrestrial and marine indicators
252 lead us to interpret this interval as being marginal marine, perhaps representing distributary mouth bars.
253 The overlying contact with the Collins Creek Formation is marked by a readily observable unconformity.

254 **4.2 Biostratigraphy**

255 Calcareous nannofossil assemblages are prevalent in the Hope Plantation core (Figure 4), with
256 abundances ranging from rare to common and preservation from good to poor; the top of the Clubhouse
257 Formation is barren (196.8-185.5 m) (Self-Trail et al., 2021). The base of the Clubhouse Formation is
258 placed in the late Cenomanian Zone UC4a-b of Burnett (1998) and Zone CC10a of Sissinghi (1977)
259 based on the presence of *Lithraphidites acutus*, whose highest occurrence (HO) at 214.0 m marks the top
260 of Zone UC4b. The absence of *Cretarhabdus loriei*, whose HO marks the top of UC4a, could be due to
261 ecological exclusion from inshore environments, and thus sediments in this interval are lumped together
262 into a combined zone (UC4a-b). A condensed (or truncated) interval from the HO of *L. acutus* to the HO
263 of *Helenea chiastia* at 212.9 m is placed in Zone UC5 (undifferentiated) and is latest Cenomanian in age.
264 It is unclear from nannoplankton data alone whether the HO of *H. chiastia* is the true extinction of this
265 taxon (and thus this level marks the latest Cenomanian) or if this absence of this species above the level is
266 the result of poor preservation and/or ecological exclusion from the inner shelf as increased terrigenous
267 flux made the waters less welcoming to marine nannoplankton. We favor the latter explanation, because
268 the sample immediately above the highest *H. chiastia* is barren, and marks the beginning of an interval
269 characterized by poor preservation and locally barren samples. This interval, from 212.1-197.0 m, is
270 placed in zones UC5-UC6a and CC10a-CC10b based on the absence of both *H. chiastia* and *Eprolithus*

271 *moratus*, whose lowest occurrence (LO) defines the base of Zone UC6b. The Cenomanian/Turonian
272 boundary is placed at 200.3 m based on carbon isotope data (see section 4.3.1, below).

273 Calcareous microfossils are only sporadically present in the Smith Elementary School sediments
274 (Self-Trail et al., 2021) (Figure 5). Even though the presence of glauconite, burrowing, fish debris and
275 scattered shell fragments indicates deposition in a marine environment, intervals barren of calcareous
276 nannoplankton are common and extensive, from 322.5-317.3 m and 309.9-290.4 m (Figure 5). The
277 presence of *Cylindralithus biarcus* at 316.4 m, the HO of *L. acutus* at 310.9 m, and the HOs of *H. chiastia*
278 and *C. loriei* at 310.2 m place this interval in the late Cenomanian calcareous nannofossil Zone UC4a-
279 b/Zone CC10a. The rare occurrence of poorly preserved calcareous nannofossils at 305.9 m suggests
280 continued placement in the Cenomanian or Turonian, but no diagnostic species were recovered, and thus
281 the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary must once again be placed using carbon isotopes at 305.4 m (see
282 section 4.3.1, below). An unconformity at the top of the Clubhouse Formation (288.4 m) corresponds to a
283 change from a barren interval below to a Santonian assemblage of calcareous nannofossils above.

284 All samples examined for planktic and benthic foraminifera were barren of whole specimens.
285 This is unlikely to be the result of anoxia at the time of deposition, as this would not explain the lack of
286 planktic foraminifera which occupied a mixed layer habitat similar to the nannoplankton observed in the
287 same interval. A few samples contained very rare fragments of both planktic and benthic foraminifera,
288 indicating that foraminifera were present in these sections but that they were subsequently dissolved,
289 either in situ or in the 17 years since the cores were drilled. This may be due in part to the relatively
290 organic-rich nature of the sediments and to the presence of pyrite, both of which have been found to result
291 in dissolution of calcareous microfossils in cored sediments of the Atlantic Coastal Plain (Self-Trail and
292 Seefelt, 2005; Seefelt et al., 2015). However, the well-documented occurrence of planktic and benthic
293 foraminifera in more distal coastal plain cores (e.g., Valentine, 1982, 1984; Zarra, 1989; Gohn, 1992)
294 bodes well for future micropaleontological studies in this region.

295 **4.3 Geochemistry**

296 **4.3.1 Carbon Isotopes**

297 Organic carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) data (Figure 6) in each core show clear positive excursions
298 associated with OAE2 in the marine interval of the Clubhouse Formation. Both isotope records display a
299 $\sim 2\%$ positive shift with the classic A-B-C structure of OAE2, with an initial excursion (A), a brief
300 recovery followed by a second peak (B) and a longer plateau with a small peak (C) first described by Pratt
301 and Threlkeld (1984) in the US Western Interior Seaway. The Hope Plantation core, which is
302 characterized by coarser grains and a more proximal environment, has a more expanded OAE2 interval (\sim
303 17.4 m) compared to the somewhat more distal Smith Elementary Core (~ 10.4 m). We compare the
304 expanded Hope Plantation carbon isotope record to other representative North American OAE2 records
305 from the Western Interior Sea and the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic coastal plains in Figure 7. The
306 termination of the OAE2 carbon isotope excursion roughly corresponds with the Cenomanian–Turonian
307 boundary (e.g., Kennedy et al., 2005) and has been used to define that level in our cores.

308 **4.3.2 Total Organic Carbon**

309 Total organic carbon data (Figure 6) reveals relatively low enrichment in organic carbon in the
310 Hope Plantation core, generally <1 weight percent (wt%) TOC except for a few discrete peaks associated
311 with woody debris. Average values are slightly higher during OAE2 (~ 0.6 wt%) compared to background
312 levels in the overlying interval (~ 0.4 wt%) but just barely. Values are slightly higher overall in the Smith
313 Elementary School core, particularly during OAE2, where the upper part of the event averages about 1.0
314 wt% TOC.

315 **4.3.3 Organic Carbon/Nitrogen Ratios**

316 The ratio of total organic carbon to total nitrogen is a common proxy for the relative contributions
317 of algae and land plants to sedimentary organic matter (e.g., Meyers, 1994, 1997, 2003). Due to
318 differences in their composition (e.g., the abundance of cellulose in land plants) vascular plants tend to
319 have C/N ratios of 20 or greater, while algae have C/N ratios of 4-10 (Meyers, 1994). Changes in C/N

320 ratio in marine settings therefore reflect changes in the relative contribution of terrigenous organic matter
321 to offshore areas. C/N can thus be used to reconstruct changes in the hydrologic cycle, with increased C/N
322 ratios indicating a higher flux of terrestrial organic matter due to enhanced weathering (Meyers, 2003).
323 Sediments with low TOC (<0.3 wt%) can cause problems for C/N interpretations because in such settings
324 the proportion of inorganic nitrogen can be high enough to artificially depress the data, suggesting more
325 marine organic matter than is really there (Meyers, 1997); our data is consistently above 0.5 wt% TOC so
326 this is not a concern (see section 4.3.2, above).

327 C/N ratios in both cores are elevated during OAE2, indicating enhanced contribution of terrestrial
328 organic matter driven by a strengthened hydrologic cycle (Figure 6). In the Hope Plantation core, C/N
329 ratios increased from an average of 5.5 prior to OAE2 to 7.1 during the event, with higher values later in
330 the event, peaking around 14.4. Average values dropped back to 5.5 after OAE2, including occasional
331 peaks reflecting the inclusion of woody plant debris visible in the core. In the Smith Elementary School
332 core, C/N ratios increased from 8.4 before OAE2 to 9.53 during OAE2, with peak values (up to 16.0)
333 again occurring later in the event. Post-OAE2 C/N values at Smith Elementary are less noisy than those at
334 Hope Plantation, and average 7.4.

335 **5 Discussion**

336 **5.1 Enhanced Hydrologic Cycle During OAE2**

337 Our data indicate a strengthened hydrologic cycle in southeastern North America preceding the
338 start of OAE2 and continuing through the event, in agreement from the data from van Helmond et al.
339 (2014) some 500 km to the north. Palynological data from New Jersey agree with our bulk geochemical
340 data in showing highest terrigenous flux during the latter part of the OAE2 isotope excursion. The pre-
341 event increase in terrigenous flux is an interesting parallel to records of pre-event global oxygen
342 drawdown based on thallium isotopes (Ostrander et al., 2017), suggesting a link between weathering flux
343 and deoxygenation, likely via enhanced delivery of nutrients to the oceans. Additionally, a drop in C/N

344 ratio in both of our core records during the carbon isotope minimum referred to as the Plenus carbon
345 isotope excursion (O'Connor et al., 2020) indicate relatively drier conditions at this time, a phenomenon
346 also observed in New Jersey coincident with a decrease in temperatures (van Helmond et al., 2014). The
347 Plenus Cold Event was originally interpreted as a global cooling event (hence the name, e.g., Gale and
348 Christensen, 1996; Erbacher et al., 2005; Jarvis et al., 2011; Hasegawa et al., 2013; Gale, 2019).
349 However, more detailed comparisons of temperature and carbon isotope records from a wide range of
350 sites has demonstrated that the timing and magnitude of cooling varies significantly by location
351 (O'Connor et al., 2020). Our results agree with those of van Helmond et al. (2014) that the Plenus interval
352 resulted in a weaker hydrologic cycle and reduced terrigenous flux into the oceans, at least along the east
353 coast of North America.

354 **5.2 OAE2 on the eastern North American shelf**

355 The Smith Elementary School and Hope Plantation cores represent the second and third records
356 of OAE2 on the US Atlantic Coastal Plain. As such, they provide important insight into a surprisingly
357 understudied region. In the modern ocean, about 85% of organic carbon burial occurs along continental
358 margins (e.g., Burdige, 2007). A survey of all known OAE2 localities with a complete carbon isotope
359 excursion and TOC data by Owens et al. (2018) found that there is a significant amount of “missing”
360 organic carbon when reconstructed organic carbon burial is compared to “expected” carbon burial based
361 on carbon isotope data. This was based on 170 sites which, with some extrapolation, represent just 13%
362 of total Cenomanian–Turonian global ocean area, which meant that similar values had to be assumed for
363 the rest of the seafloor (Owens et al., 2018). OAE2 is perhaps the best studied event of the Cretaceous,
364 but these results suggest a clear need for additional sites to better constrain paleoceanographic and
365 paleoenvironmental changes during this event. By adding additional OAE2 sites on the Atlantic Coastal
366 Plain our results help to constrain the contribution of these areas to global carbon burial.

367 Van Helmond et al. (2014) point out that TOC is lower in the Bass River core than other OAE2
368 sections in the North Atlantic region, but our results indicate that Bass River is about average for inner

369 continental shelf deposits (Figure 8). Average TOC during OAE2 at Bass River is 1.1 wt% (van
370 Helmond, 2014); this is slightly higher than Smith Elementary (0.83 wt%) and Hope Plantation (0.63
371 wt%) and slightly lower than the next closest published shelf site to the southwest, the Sun Spinks core in
372 Mississippi (1.4 wt %, Lowery et al., 2017). Sequence stratigraphic analysis of Cenomanian/Turonian
373 sediments of the Clubhouse and Bass River Formations show that these sediments represent maximum
374 sea level rise across the boundary on the Atlantic Coastal Plain (Miller et al., 2004; Aleman Gonzalez et
375 al., 2020). The location of the Hope Plantation core (lowest TOC values) higher on the inner paleoshelf
376 relative to Smith Elementary School and Bass River (higher TOC values) suggests that TOC wt% on the
377 shelf during OAE2 was, at least in part, a function of paleodepth. To be sure, these TOC values are
378 certainly lower than values found offshore in the open ocean or along upwelling margins in the eastern
379 proto-North Atlantic. For example, Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 603, on the lower continental rise
380 directly offshore of North Carolina, has an average TOC of 5.4 wt % during OAE2 (Kuypers et al., 2004),
381 while the upwelling-prone region at Tarfaya, Morocco has an average TOC of 8.0 wt% (Kolonic et al.,
382 2005).

383 Sedimentation rate also plays an important role in organic carbon accumulation, both by diluting
384 organic carbon in expanded sections, so that TOC is not a reliable indicator, and increasing organic
385 carbon preservation potential in clay-rich areas (e.g., Berner, 1980). While we don't have dry bulk density
386 measurements from these cores to calculate mass accumulation rates, we can approximate using
387 reasonable values for organic-rich siliclastic rocks (2.4 g/cm^3 , following Owens et al., 2018). We can
388 determine the average sedimentation rate during the event using the observed thickness of the OAE2
389 carbon isotope excursion in each core and the orbitally-tuned duration of OAE2 at the Global Stratotype
390 Section and Point in Pueblo, CO (Sageman et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2012) of 540 kyr. A constant
391 sedimentation rate on the shelf during OAE2 is almost certainly an oversimplification but it is sufficient
392 for our purpose of comparing general trends between these cores. Using these values we find organic
393 carbon mass accumulation rates (OC MAR) during OAE2 average $0.05 \text{ g/cm}^2/\text{kyr}$ at Hope Plantation,

394 0.04 g/cm²/kyr at Smith Elementary School, 0.06 g/cm²/kyr at Bass River, and 0.11 g/cm²/kyr at Spinks.
395 For comparison, the same method indicates OC MAR rates of 0.29 g/cm²/kyr at DSDP Site 603 and 2.84
396 g/cm²/kyr at Tarfaya (Owens et al., 2018). Owens et al. (2018) found an average OC MAR on shelf sites
397 during OAE2 of 0.11 g/cm²/kyr, which means the inner shelf sites on the east coast of North America are
398 below the global average during this event.

399 These data suggest a relationship with depth on the shelf and TOC deposition during OAE2. If
400 we arrange the sites by depth (Figure 8) we see the lowest average TOC values at Hope Plantation (0.63
401 wt%), the most proximal site; values are slightly higher at Smith Elementary (0.83 wt%) which appears to
402 represent an outer estuary or inner shelf environment, and higher still at Bass River (1.1 wt%) which was
403 inner to middle shelf (Miller et al., 2004). Estimates of organic carbon mass accumulation rates suggest
404 all three of these inner shelf sites are very similar, ranging from 0.4-0.6 g/cm² kyr. Average TOC is even
405 higher in the Spinks Core (1.4 wt%, or 0.11 g/cm² kyr), which represents inner to middle shelf depths
406 during the latter part of OAE2 (Lowery et al., 2017). This suggests the possibility of even higher values
407 on more distal parts of the shelf, and highlights the need for a true depth transect (as opposed to four cores
408 from three states) to better understand that variability and better constrain organic carbon burial in this
409 important environment during OAEs.

410 **6 Conclusions**

411 Calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy shows that positive carbon isotope excursions in two
412 cores on the Atlantic Coastal Plain in North Carolina are associated with the Cenomanian–Turonian
413 OAE2. C/N ratios in both cores indicate an increase in the proportion of land plants delivered to these
414 offshore sites during, indicating a strengthened hydrologic cycle causing increased terrigenous flux
415 beginning slightly before OAE2 and continuing through the whole event. This agrees with palynology-
416 based observations from the Bass River core located ~500 km to the north (van Helmond et al., 2014).
417 We therefore conclude that these changes reflect increased precipitation and weathering across eastern
418 North America during OAE2, feeding nutrients onto the shelf and into the proto-North Atlantic, and

419 likely contributing to the widespread black shale deposition in the deep basin. These cores are the second
420 and third records of OAE2, to our knowledge, on the coastal plain of eastern North America and,
421 combined with the first (Bowman and Bralower, 2005; van Helmond et al., 2014), show relatively low
422 average TOC values (~0.6 - 1.1 wt%) on the inner shelf during this event, while suggesting a trend of
423 increasing values with depth, highlighting the need for more cores in this region from middle and outer
424 shelf depths.

425 **Data Availability Statement**

426 Total organic carbon, total nitrogen, organic carbon isotope, geophysical and calcareous
427 nannofossil occurrence data are published (Self-Trail et al., 2021) and available for download as a USGS
428 Data Release at <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9V0U1NF>.

429 **Author Contribution**

430 CL and JS conceived of the study and sampled the cores. JS sat the wells in 2004 and 2005 and helped
431 describe the cores. CB conducted bulk organic carbon/nitrogen and organic carbon isotope measurements.
432 JS conducted calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy. CL supervised foraminifer analysis. CL prepared
433 the manuscript with contributions from JS and CB.

434 **Competing Interests Statement**

435 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

436 **Acknowledgements**

437 We would like to gratefully acknowledge the editor, Appy Sluijs, and two anonymous reviewers whose
438 constructive feedback significantly improved this work. We are grateful to the drillers and personnel of
439 the USGS for taking these cores, to the staff of the North Carolina Geological Survey for maintaining the
440 cores and making them accessible for sampling, to Lara Yagodzinski for her help sampling the cores, to
441 Kate Gilbreath for her help preparing samples for micropaleontological analysis, and to Ellen Seefelt for

442 her assistance preparing samples for nannofossil analysis and for shipping material. Core box photographs
443 courtesy of USGS and NCGS. We acknowledge the people, up to 200 at a time, who were held as slaves
444 at Hope Plantation between 1748 and 1865. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive
445 purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

446 **Figure Captions**

447 **Figure 1.** Map of southeastern North America showing approximate late Cenomanian shoreline (land =
448 grey) and the location of the cores discussed in this study. Shoreline position after Slattery et al. (2015)
449 and Snedden et al. (2015).

450 **Figure 2.** Stratigraphic column for Hope Plantation Core with CC and UC calcareous nannoplankton
451 biozones, natural gamma ray and resistivity logs, and representative core images. C = clay; Slt = silt; SS =
452 sand; G = gravel.

453 **Figure 3.** Stratigraphic column for the Smith Elementary School core, with CC and UC calcareous
454 nannoplankton biozones, natural gamma ray log, and representative core images.

455 **Figure 4.** Ranges of key calcareous nannoplankton species in the Hope Plantation Core. Dashed lines
456 indicate sporadic occurrence.

457 **Figure 5.** Ranges of key calcareous nannoplankton species in the Smith Elementary School core. Dashed
458 lines indicate sporadic occurrences.

459 **Figure 6.** Geochemical data from the Hope Plantation (left) and Smith Elementary School (right) cores
460 plotted against stratigraphic columns for each. Grey shaded area represents the OAE2 interval in each
461 core. Letters A-B-C labels on carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) curve correspond to named points of the OAE carbon
462 isotope excursion (see Figure 7). TOC = total organic carbon; C/N = carbon/nitrogen ratio. Arrows
463 indicate brief reduction in C/N ratio coincident with the Plenus isotope excursion (“B” on the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ plot)
464 and broad increase in values during the main part of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursion. Note slight change in depth scale
465 between the two cores, as the studied interval in Smith Elementary is 10 ft (3.1 m) thicker than Hope
466 Plantation.

467 **Figure 7.** Comparison of North American carbon isotope curves from the Cenomanian-Turonian GSSP at
468 Rock Canyon in Pueblo, CO; Lozier Canyon in Terrell Co., TX, near the transition from the Western
469 Interior Seaway to the Gulf of Mexico; the Sun Spinks core in Pike Co., LA on the Gulf Coastal Plain, the
470 Hope Plantation Core from Bertie Co., NC on the Atlantic Coastal Plain, and Bass River core from Bass
471 River, NJ on the Atlantic Coastal Plain. The Rock Canyon record includes both bulk carbonate (grey line)
472 and organic carbon (black line) carbon isotopes; all other sites only show organic carbon isotopes. The
473 position of the A-B-C peaks (first identified by Pratt and Threlkeld, 1984) are traced between the cores.
474 Grey bar shows the extent of OAE2 in each core.

475 **Figure 8.** Comparison of measured wt% TOC for the duration of OAE2 for the Sun Spinks core in
476 Mississippi, Bass River core in New Jersey, and the Smith Elementary School and Hope Plantation cores
477 in North Carolina. Age model based on the thickness of the OAE carbon isotope excursion and the
478 orbitally-tuned duration of OAE2 at the Global Stratotype Section and Point in Pueblo, CO (Sageman et
479 al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2012) of 540 kyr, assuming a constant sedimentation rate.

480

481 **References**

- 482 Aleman Gonzalez, W.B., Self-Trail, J.M., Harris, W.B., Moore, J.P., and Farrell, K.M. (2020). Depositional
483 sequence stratigraphy of Turonian to Santonian sediments, Cape Fear arch, North Carolina Coastal Plain,
484 USA. *Stratigraphy*, 17 (4), 293-314.
- 485 Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R. (1944). Regional subsurface stratigraphy and structure of Florida and southern
486 Georgia. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 28 (12), 1673-1753.
- 487 Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R. (1947). Regional subsurface stratigraphy, structure, and correlation of middle and
488 early Upper Cretaceous rocks in Alabama, Georgia, and north Florida. *U.S. Geological Survey Oil and Gas*
489 *Investigations Chart, OC- 26*, 3 sheets.
- 490 Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R., (1967). The Gulf Series in the subsurface in northern Florida and southern Georgia.
491 *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 524-G*, 40 pp.

492 Arthur, M. A., Schlanger, S. T., & Jenkyns, H. C. (1987). The Cenomanian–Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event, II.
493 Palaeoceanographic controls on organic-matter production and preservation. *Geological Society, London,*
494 *Special Publications, 26(1)*, 401-420.

495 Berner, R.A. (1980). *Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 241 p.

496 Blättler, C. L., Jenkyns, H. C., Reynard, L. M., & Henderson, G. M. (2011). Significant increases in global
497 weathering during Oceanic Anoxic Events 1a and 2 indicated by calcium isotopes. *Earth and Planetary*
498 *Science Letters, 309(1-2)*, 77-88.

499 Bown, P.R., and Young, J.R., 1998. Techniques. In: Bown, P.R., Ed., *Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy*, 16-
500 28. London: Kluwer Academic.

501 Burdige, D. J. (2007). Preservation of organic matter in marine sediments: controls, mechanisms, and an imbalance
502 in sediment organic carbon budgets?. *Chemical reviews, 107(2)*, 467-485.

503 Burnett, J.A., 1998. Upper Cretaceous. In: Bown, P.R., Ed., *Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy*, 132-199.

504 Bowman, A. R., & Bralower, T. J. (2005). Paleoceanographic significance of high-resolution carbon isotope records
505 across the Cenomanian–Turonian boundary in the Western Interior and New Jersey coastal plain,
506 USA. *Marine Geology, 217(3-4)*, 305-321.

507 Caron, M., Dall’Agnolo, S., Accarie, H., Barrera, E., Kauffman, E. G., Amédro, F., & Robaszynski, F. (2006). High-
508 resolution stratigraphy of the Cenomanian–Turonian boundary interval at Pueblo (USA) and wadi Bahloul
509 (Tunisia): stable isotope and bio-events correlation. *Geobios, 39(2)*, 171-200.

510 Cooke, C.W. (1936). Geology of the Coastal Plain of South Carolina. *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 867*, 196pp.

511 Corbett, M.J., Watkins, D.K., and Pospichal, J.J., 2014. A quantitative analysis of calcareous nannofossil bioevents
512 of the Late Cretaceous (Late Cenomanian-Coniacian) Western Interior Seaway and their reliability in
513 established zonation schemes. *Marine Micropaleontology, 109*: 30-45.

514 Dorf, E., (1952). Critical analysis of Cretaceous stratigraphy and paleobotany of Atlantic Coastal Plain. *AAPG*
515 *Bulletin, 36 (11)*, 2161-2184.

516 Erbacher, J., Friedrich, O., Wilson, P. A., Birch, H., & Mutterlose, J. (2005). Stable organic carbon isotope
517 stratigraphy across Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 of Demerara Rise, western tropical Atlantic. *Geochemistry,*
518 *Geophysics, Geosystems, 6*(6).

519 Friedrich, O., Norris, R. D., & Erbacher, J. (2012). Evolution of middle to Late Cretaceous oceans—a 55 my record
520 of Earth's temperature and carbon cycle. *Geology, 40*(2), 107-110.

521 Gale, A. S., and Christensen, W. K., (1996). Occurrence of the belemnite *Actinocamax plenus* in the Cenomanian of
522 SE France and its significance. In *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark, 43*(1), 68-77.

523 Gale, A. (2019). Correlation, age and significance of Turonian Chalk hardgrounds in southern England and northern
524 France: The roles of tectonics, eustasy, erosion and condensation. *Cretaceous Research, 103*, 104164.

525 Gohn, G. S. (1992). Revised nomenclature, definitions, and correlations for the Cretaceous formations in USGS-
526 Clubhouse Crossroads# 1, Dorchester County, South Carolina. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*
527 *1518*, 39 pp.

528 Gohn, G.S. 1995. Ostracode biostratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous marine sediments in the New Jersey Coastal
529 Plain. In: Baker, J.E.B. (Ed.), Contributions to the paleontology of New Jersey. Geological Association of
530 New Jersey Annual Field Conference, 12th Annual Meeting, Wayne, NJ, Oct. 27-28, 1995, v. 12, p. 87-
531 101.Gale

532 Hasegawa, T., Crampton, J. S., Schiøler, P., Field, B., Fukushi, K., & Kakizaki, Y. (2013). Carbon isotope
533 stratigraphy and depositional oxia through Cenomanian/Turonian boundary sequences (Upper Cretaceous)
534 in New Zealand. *Cretaceous research, 40*, 61-80.

535 Hattner, J.G., and Wise, S.W., Jr., (1980). Upper Cretaceous calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of South
536 Carolina. *South Carolina Geology, 24*(2), 41-117.

537 Hazel, J.E., Bybell, L.M., Christopher, R.A., Frederiksen, N.O., May, F.E., McLean, D.M., Poore, R.Z., Smith,
538 C.C., Sohl, N.F., Valentine, P.C., and Witmer, R.J., (1977). Biostratigraphy of the deep corehole
539 (Clubhouse Crossroads corehole 1) near Charleston, South Carolina, in Rankin, D.W., (Ed.), Studies related

540 to the Charleston, South Carolina earthquake of 1886—A preliminary report. *U.S. Geological Survey*
541 *Professional Paper 1028*, p. 71-89.

542 Heron, S.D., Jr. (1958). History of terminology and correlations of the basal Cretaceous formations of the
543 Carolinas. *South Carolina Division of Geology Bulletin 2*, p. 77-88.

544 Jarvis, I., Lignum, J. S., Gröcke, D. R., Jenkyns, H. C., & Pearce, M. A. (2011). Black shale deposition, atmospheric
545 CO₂ drawdown, and cooling during the Cenomanian-Turonian Oceanic Anoxic
546 Event. *Paleoceanography*, 26(3).

547 Jenkyns, H. C. (2010). Geochemistry of oceanic anoxic events. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 11(3).

548 Kennedy, W. J., Walaszczyk, I., & Cobban, W. A. (2005). The global boundary stratotype section and point for the
549 base of the Turonian stage of the Cretaceous: Pueblo, Colorado, USA. *Episodes*, 28(2), 93-104.

550 Kolonic, S., Wagner, T., Forster, A., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Walsworth-Bell, B., Erba, E., Turgeon, S., Brumsack,
551 H.J., Chellai, E.H., Tsikos, H., & Kuhnt, W. (2005). Black shale deposition on the northwest African Shelf
552 during the Cenomanian/Turonian oceanic anoxic event: Climate coupling and global organic carbon
553 burial. *Paleoceanography*, 20(1).

554 Kuypers, M. M., Lourens, L. J., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Pancost, R. D., Nijenhuis, I. A., & Damsté, J. S. S. (2004).
555 Orbital forcing of organic carbon burial in the proto-North Atlantic during oceanic anoxic event 2. *Earth*
556 *and Planetary Science Letters*, 228(3-4), 465-482.

557 Leckie, R. M., Yuretich, R. F., West, O. L., Finkelstein, D., & Schmidt, M. (1998). Paleooceanography of the
558 southwestern Western Interior Sea during the time of the Cenomanian–Turonian boundary (Late
559 Cretaceous).

560 Leckie, R. M., Bralower, T. J., & Cashman, R. (2002). Oceanic anoxic events and plankton evolution: Biotic
561 response to tectonic forcing during the mid-Cretaceous. *Paleoceanography*, 17(3), 13-1.

562 Lowery, C. M., Corbett, M. J., Leckie, R. M., Watkins, D., Romero, A. M., & Pramudito, A. (2014). Foraminiferal
563 and nannofossil paleoecology and paleoceanography of the Cenomanian–Turonian Eagle Ford Shale of
564 southern Texas. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 413, 49-65.

565 Lowery, C. M., Cunningham, R., Barrie, C. D., Bralower, T., & Snedden, J. W. (2017). The northern Gulf of
566 Mexico during OAE2 and the relationship between water depth and black shale
567 development. *Paleoceanography*, 32(12), 1316-1335.

568 McAnena, A., Flögel, S., Hofmann, P. Herrle, J.O., Griesand, A., Pross, J., Talbot, H.M., Rethemeyer, J., Wallmann,
569 K., & Wagner, T., 2013. Atlantic cooling associated with a marine biotic crisis during the mid-Cretaceous
570 period. *Nature Geoscience* 6, no. 7 (2013): 558-561.

571 Meyers, P. A. (1994). Preservation of elemental and isotopic source identification of sedimentary organic matter.
572 *Chemical Geology* 114, 289-302.

573 Meyers, P. A. (1997). Organic geochemical proxies of paleoceanographic, paleolimnologic, and paleoclimatic
574 processes. *Organic geochemistry*, 27(5-6), 213-250.

575 Meyers, P. A. (2003). Applications of organic geochemistry to paleolimnological reconstructions: a summary of
576 examples from the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Organic geochemistry*, 34(2), 261-289.

577 Meyers, S. R., Siewert, S. E., Singer, B. S., Sageman, B. B., Condon, D. J., Obradovich, J. D., Jicha, B.R., &
578 Sawyer, D. A. (2012). Intercalibration of radioisotopic and astrochronologic time scales for the
579 Cenomanian–Turonian boundary interval, Western Interior Basin, USA. *Geology*, 40(1), 7-10.

580 Miller, K.G., Sugarman, P.J., Browning, J.V., et al., 1998. Bass River site. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling*
581 *Program, Initial Reports*, v. 174AX, p. 1-39.

582 Miller, K.G., Sugarman, P.J., Browning, J.V., Kominz, M.A., Olsson, R.K., Feigenson, M.D., and Hernandez, J.C.,
583 2004. Upper Cretaceous sequences and sea-level history, New Jersey Coastal Plain. *GSA Bulletin*, 116:
584 368-393.

585 Monteiro, F. M., Pancost, R. D., Ridgwell, A., & Donnadieu, Y. (2012). Nutrients as the dominant control on the
586 spread of anoxia and euxinia across the Cenomanian-Turonian oceanic anoxic event (OAE2): Model-data
587 comparison. *Paleoceanography*, 27(4).

588 O'Connor, L. K., Jenkyns, H. C., Robinson, S. A., Remmelzwaal, S. R., Batenburg, S. J., Parkinson, I. J., & Gale, A.
589 S. (2020). A Re-evaluation of the Plenus Cold Event, and the Links Between CO₂, Temperature, and
590 Seawater Chemistry During OAE 2. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 35(4), e2019PA003631.

591 Ostrander, C. M., Owens, J. D., & Nielsen, S. G. (2017). Constraining the rate of oceanic deoxygenation leading up
592 to a Cretaceous Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE-2:~ 94 Ma). *Science advances*, 3(8), e1701020.

593 Owens, J. D., Lyons, T. W., Hardisty, D. S., Lowery, C. M., Lu, Z., Lee, B., & Jenkyns, H. C. (2017). Patterns of
594 local and global redox variability during the Cenomanian–Turonian Boundary Event (Oceanic Anoxic
595 Event 2) recorded in carbonates and shales from central Italy. *Sedimentology*, 64(1), 168-185.

596 Owens, J. D., Lyons, T. W., & Lowery, C. M. (2018). Quantifying the missing sink for global organic carbon burial
597 during a Cretaceous oceanic anoxic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 499, 83-94.

598 Petters, S.W., 1976. Upper Cretaceous subsurface stratigraphy of Atlantic Coastal Plain of New Jersey. *AAPG*
599 *Bulletin*, v. 60, n. 1, p. 87-107.

600 Petters, S.W., 1977. Upper Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera from the subsurface of the Atlantic Coastal Plain of
601 New Jersey. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 7: 165-187.

602 Pogge Von Strandmann, P. A., Jenkyns, H. C., & Woodfine, R. G. (2013). Lithium isotope evidence for enhanced
603 weathering during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(8), 668-672. Prah et al., 1980

604 Pratt, L. M., & Threlkeld, C. N. (1984). Stratigraphic significance of ¹³C/¹²C ratios in mid-Cretaceous rocks of the
605 Western Interior, USA.

606 Richards, H.G. (1945). Subsurface stratigraphy of Atlantic Coastal Plain between New Jersey and Georgia.
607 *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 29 (7), 885-955.

608 Sageman, B. B., Meyers, S. R., & Arthur, M. A. (2006). Orbital time scale and new C-isotope record for
609 Cenomanian–Turonian boundary stratotype. *Geology*, 34(2), 125-128.

610 Schlanger, S. O., & Jenkyns, H. C. (1976). Cretaceous oceanic anoxic events: causes and consequences. *Geologie en*
611 *mijnbouw*, 55(3-4).

612 Scholle, P. A., & Arthur, M. A. (1980). Carbon isotope fluctuations in Cretaceous pelagic limestones: potential
613 stratigraphic and petroleum exploration tool. *AAPG Bulletin*, 64(1), 67-87.

614 Seefelt, E.L., Self-Trail, J.M., and Schultz, A.P., 2015. Comparison of three preservation techniques for slowing
615 dissolution of calcareous nannofossils in organic-rich sediments. *Micropaleontology*, v. 61, n. 3, p. 149-
616 164.

617 Self-Trail, J.M., and Bybell, L.M., 1995. Cretaceous and Paleogene calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of New
618 Jersey. In: Baker, J.E.B. (Ed.), Contributions to the paleontology of New Jersey. Geological Association
619 of New Jersey Annual Field Conference, 12th Annual Meeting, Wayne, NJ, Oct. 27-28, 1995, v. 12, p. 102-
620 139.

621 Self-Trail, J.M., and Seefelt, E.L., 2005. Rapid dissolution of calcareous nannofossils: a case study from freshly
622 cored sediments of the south-eastern Atlantic Coastal Plain. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 27, n.
623 20, p. 149-157.

624 Self-Trail, J.M., Barrie, C., and Lowery, C.M., 2021. Isotope, organic carbon, and biostratigraphic data for the Hope
625 Plantation (BE-110) and Smith Elementary School (CR-675) cores, North Carolina. USGS Data Release.
626 <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9V0U1NF>.

627 Shamrock, J.L., Munoz, E.J., and Carter, J.H., 2015. An improved sample preparation technique for calcareous
628 nannofossils in organic-rich mudstones. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 35, n. 2, 101-110.

629 Shamrock, J.L., and Self-Trail, J.M., 2016. Quantification of a pretreatment procedure for organic-rich calcareous
630 nannofossil samples. *Journal of Nannoplankton Research*, v. 36, n. 1, 65-75.

631 Sikora, P.J., and Olsson, R.K., 1991. A paleoslope model of late Albian to early Turonian foraminifera of the
632 western Atlantic margin and North Atlantic basin. *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 18, p. 25-72.

633 Sissinghi, W., 1977. Biostratigraphy of Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton. *Geologie en Mijnbouw*, 56: 37-65.

634 Slattery, J. S., Cobban, W. A., McKinney, K. C., Harries, P. J., & Sandness, A. L. (2015). Early Cretaceous to
635 Paleocene paleogeography of the Western Interior Seaway: the interaction of eustasy and
636 tectonism. *Wyoming Geological Association Guidebook*, 2015, 22-60.

637 Snedden, J. W., Virdell, J., Whiteaker, T. L., & Ganey-Curry, P. (2015). A basin-scale perspective on Cenomanian–
638 Turonian (Cretaceous) depositional systems, greater Gulf of Mexico (USA). *Interpretation*, 4(1), SC1-
639 SC22.

640 Snow, L. J., Duncan, R. A., & Bralower, T. J. (2005). Trace element abundances in the Rock Canyon Anticline,
641 Pueblo, Colorado, marine sedimentary section and their relationship to Caribbean plateau construction and
642 oxygen anoxic event 2. *Paleoceanography*, 20(3).

643 Spangler, W. B. (1950). Subsurface geology of Atlantic coastal plain of North Carolina. *AAPG Bulletin*, 34(1), 100-
644 132.

645 Sohl, N. F., & Owens, J. P. (1991). Cretaceous stratigraphy of the Carolina coastal plain. *The geology of the*
646 *Carolinas*, 191-220.

647 Stephenson, L.W., 1912. The Cretaceous formations. In: Clark, W.B., Miller, B.L., Stephenson, L.W., Johnson,
648 B.L., and Parker, H.N., Eds. The Coastal Plain of North Carolina. *North Carolina Geologic and Economic*
649 *Survey*, 3: 73-171.

650 Tarduno, J. A., Sliter, W. V., Kroenke, L., Leckie, M., Mayer, H., Mahoney, J. J., Musgrave, R., Sotery, M., &
651 Winterer, E. L. (1991). Rapid formation of Ontong Java Plateau by Aptian mantle plume
652 volcanism. *Science*, 254(5030), 399-403.

653 Thornburg, J., 2008. “Temporal variations in the paleopedology and paleoclimatology of the Early
654 Cretaceous Potomac Group, Hope Plantation core, Bertie County, North Carolina”. Unpublished
655 Masters Thesis, Temple University, Pennsylvania.

656 Tibert, N. E., & Leckie, R. M. (2004). High-resolution estuarine sea level cycles from the Late Cretaceous:
657 Amplitude constraints using agglutinated foraminifera. *The Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 34(2), 130-
658 143.

659 Tsikos, H., Jenkyns, H. C., Walsworth-Bell, B., Petrizzo, M. R., Forster, A., Kolonic, S., ... & Damsté, J. S. (2004).
660 Carbon-isotope stratigraphy recorded by the Cenomanian–Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event: correlation and
661 implications based on three key localities. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 161(4), 711-719.

662 Turgeon, S. C., & Creaser, R. A. (2008). Cretaceous oceanic anoxic event 2 triggered by a massive magmatic
663 episode. *Nature*, 454(7202), 323-326.

664 Valentine, P. C. (1982). *Upper Cretaceous subsurface stratigraphy and structure of coastal Georgia and South*
665 *Carolina*. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1222, 33 p.

666 Valentine, P.C., (1984). Turonian (Eaglefordian) stratigraphy of the Atlantic Coastal Plain and Texas. *U.S.*
667 *Geological Survey Professional Paper 1315*, 21pp.

668 van Helmond, N. A., Sluijs, A., Reichart, G. J., Damsté, J. S. S., Slomp, C. P., & Brinkhuis, H. (2014). A perturbed
669 hydrological cycle during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2. *Geology*, 42(2), 123-126.

670 van Helmond, N. A., Sluijs, A., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Reichart, G. J., Voigt, S., Erbacher, J., ... & Brinkhuis, H.
671 (2015). Freshwater discharge controlled deposition of Cenomanian–Turonian black shales on the NW
672 European epicontinental shelf (Wunstorf, northern Germany). *Climate of the Past*, 11, 495-508.

673 Weems, R. E., Seefelt, E. L., Wrege, B. M., Self-Trail, J. M., Prowell, D. C., Durand, C., Cobbs, E.F., & McKinney,
674 K. C. (2007). Preliminary physical stratigraphy and geophysical data of the USGS Hope Plantation Core
675 (BE-110), Bertie County, North Carolina. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report, 1251*, 1-163.

676 Weems, R. E., Self-Trail, J. M., and Edwards, L. E., 2019. Cross Section of the North Carolina Coastal Plain from
677 Enfield through Cape Hatteras. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report, 2019-1145*.

678 Whitechurch, H., Montigny, R., Sevigny, J., Storey, M., & Salters, V. (1992). K-Ar and ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar ages of central
679 Kerguelen Plateau basalts. In *Proc. Ocean Drill. Program Sci. Results* (Vol. 120, pp. 71-77).

680 Zarra, L. (1989). *Sequence stratigraphy and foraminiferal biostratigraphy for selected wells in the Albemarle*
681 *Embayment, North Carolina*. North Carolina Geological Survey Open File Report 89-5. 52 p.