Evaluating the utility of qualitative personal diaries in precipitation reconstruction in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries.

Alice Harvey-Fishenden¹, Neil Macdonald¹

⁵ ¹Geography and Planning, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZT, UK Correspondence to: Alice Harvey-Fishenden (aohf@liverpool.ac.uk)

Evaluating the utility of qualitative personal diaries in precipitation reconstruction in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries.

Abstract. To date few studies have reconstructed weather from personal diaries (also known as private diaries). In this paper, we consider different methods of indexing daily weather information, specifically precipitation, from eighteenth and nineteenth century personal diaries. We examine whether there is a significant correlation between indexed weather information and local

- 15 instrumental records for the period, thereby assessing the potential of discursive materials in reconstructing precipitation series. We demonstrate the potential for the use of diaries that record weather incidentally rather than as the primary purpose, and the value and utility of diaries covering short periods when used alongside nearby contemporary diaries. We show that using multiple overlapping personal diaries can help to produce a more objective record of the weather, overcoming some of the challenges of working with qualitative data. This paper demonstrates indices derived from such qualitative sources can create
- 20 valuable records of precipitation. There is the potential to repeat the methodology described here using earlier material, or material from further away from extant instrumental records, thereby addressing spatial and temporal gaps in current knowledge globally.

1 Introduction

- 25 There has been an increased recognition in recent years of the value of long-instrumental series spanning several centuries (Brönnimann et al., 2019; Dobrovolný et al., 2010; Todd et al., 2015), as they can provide valuable information on both climate variability (Murphy et al., 2018) and sensitivities in early records and long-term reconstructions (Murphy et al., 2020). Long series also provide value through increased robustness in back casting in climate model testing (Talento et al., 2019), extreme event contextualisation (Todd et al., 2015; Wetter et al., 2014) and for examining social and cultural changes and modifications
- 30 (Pfister et al., 2010). This increased recognition has coincided with public science projects that have seen extensive archival materials transcribed and reanalysed through both national and international programmes and initiatives (e.g. ACRE) (Allan et al., 2016; Brohan et al., 2009).

Whilst the focus has been on identifying instrumental datasets, considerable information is stored within qualitative archival source materials (Strauss and Orlove, 2003). These, however, can be more challenging to analyse using citizen science
approaches. Such descriptive materials incorporate valuable information detailing not just the weather but also human interactions with the weather and wider environment, documenting social, cultural and economic responses to past extremes. In addition, they may also offer insights and information on activities undertaken during mundane intervening phases that either exacerbate or mitigate the hazards and risks presented to communities during extremes.

There have been many studies globally of weather diaries, where the diary is predominantly if not solely concerned with the 40 weather (for example Brázdil et al., 2019a; Domínguez-Castro et al., 2015; Druckenbrod et al., 2003; Gergis et al. 2012; Mikami, 2008; Sanderson, 2018; Walsh et al., 1999). An early example is the weather diary kept in 1337-1344 by William Merle in Oxford, England (Lawrence, 1972). Other diaries document the weather alongside related information, such as tides (Woodworth, 2006). To date few studies have reconstructed weather from personal diaries, where the weather is not the focus of the document, but rather noted as an aside (often daily). Where personal diaries have been considered authors have often

- 45 chosen to simply extract and present this weather data as it appears in the diary (Adamson, 2015; Schove and Reynolds, 1973). However, there are some exceptions to this. For example, Chen et al. (2020) analysed the weather from a fourteenth century private diary from Jiangsu Province, China; whilst Kelso & Vogel (2007) and Nash & Grab (2010) use diaries and correspondence from South Africa to examine rainfall and drought. The depth and quality of descriptive materials have long been recognised within the historiographical and geographical disciplines (Oliver, 1958), but have to some extent been shunned
- 50 within the physical sciences, though they have received increased recognition in recent years (Sangster et al., 2018). The sciences often present a preference for 'instrumental' information, because of concerns relating to quality, replicability and comparability of content within discursive materials; as Adamson (2015) notes, weather recording in personal diaries can lack rigour, be sporadic and be affected by the identity, personality and beliefs of the writer. However, the potential of qualitative materials is considerable, and have been shown to offer good correlations, comparable to adjacent instrumental series
- 55 (Macdonald et al., 2010) and have previously been used for filling gaps in instrumental rainfall data. The potential of such

sources is considerable, presenting opportunities to extend further back in time and cover areas poorly represented by instrumental information.

The series presented in this paper correspond to time periods when two diarists are writing from similar locations, and therefore offers the opportunity to test whether having multiple diarists recording simultaneously can between them produce a more

- 60 objective record of the weather. Using multiple overlapping personal diaries may help to counteract potential biases within personal diaries and therefore provide a more reliable weather record. This differs from previous studies, which have often focused on either a single diary (Lawrence, 1972; Sanderson, 2018) or used multiple diaries for the purpose of extending the temporal timeframe (Walsh et al., 1999). We consider different methods of indexing daily weather, examine whether there is a significant correlation between indexed weather information and local instrumental records for the period, thereby assessing
- 65 the potential of discursive materials in reconstructing weather series.

2. Qualitative Historical Materials

70

Over seventy years of daily qualitative weather data between 1770 and 1865 for the UK were identified from diaries, letters and similar sources at the Staffordshire Record Office, with a wide spatial and temporal extent (details of archival sources used in this reconstruction can be found at the beginning of the reference list). The longest near continuous (daily) series in the materials collected were for Scotland 1790-1794, London 1794-1795 and 1797-1801, and Trentham in Staffordshire mid-

1816-1865 (Figure 1). The majority of the daily weather data were derived from personal diaries. These do not formally record daily weather, but rather describe daily life, incidentally referring to the weather (particularly precipitation).

The data analysed consists of 27,794 records (20,657 for Trentham, and 2,379 using three different indexing methods for London). The transcription of the materials was untaken by a small group of volunteers working alongside the authors at the

75 Staffordshire Record Office, with careful quality control. The classification and analysis of the index series was untaken by a single individual (AH-F). Previous studies that have applied indices have often used two or more researchers to provide some assessment of accuracy of classification (e.g. Nash et al., 2016); however it would be impractical to have two (or more) researchers look at this volume of material. In considering daily qualitative data the effect of any occasional errors in classification are minimal when aggregated to monthly timeframes.



80

Figure 1. Location of rainfall records used within this study in Staffordshire and London (instrumental rainfall series marked with a triangle, locations of large numbers of non-instrumental records marked with a large circle, small numbers with a small circle). Background map data from Digimap, ©Crown copyright and database rights 2019 Ordnance Survey (100025252))

- For the London series, diaries belonging to the author Elizabeth Hervey (1749-1820) provided many of the daily weather records. Hervey owned a house at Acton, in modern Greater London (Figure 1), and rented a house in Central London, but travelled extensively and many of her diaries relate to her travels, in the UK and throughout Western Europe. She records the weather daily alongside a detailed account of her day, her health, and descriptions of the places she visits. A daily entry can run over several pages and the weather is often referenced multiple times during the day, interspersed with other information.
- 90 The detailed weather descriptions recorded by Hervey are particularly noteworthy as there are few comparable female weather recorders in the late eighteenth century. Notable exceptions are Margaret Mackenzie's temperature series (1780-1805) from

Delvine, Scotland (Wheeler, 1994) and Constantia Orlebar's weather book (1786-1808) from Ecton, Northamptonshire (Manley, 1955)). The diaries of Richard Wilkes Unett also contribute to the London series.

For the Staffordshire (Trentham) series the major sources were from the Marquis of Stafford's Trentham Estate in 95 Staffordshire. The majority of the information came from monthly farm reports which include daily weather descriptions (predominantly concerning precipitation) from 1816 onwards and from a memoranda book belonging to the Agent for the Trentham Estate, William Lewis. In addition to sections on the weather, the farm reports contain details from different employees on the estate, such as the gamekeeper, which help to contextualise the weather information. Daily entries in Lewis's memoranda book are relatively short (several to a page) but recollect the weather and its impact on Lewis's activities, and

100 those of the wider estate. Letters between Lewis and his superior, James Loch were also consulted, as were diaries and letters from the wider North Staffordshire area for comparison, however these offered a less complete coverage.

The Scotland series will not be analysed here simply because of the geographical distance from the other two series. It consists mainly of diary entries made by Richard Wilkes Unett (1765-1815), but offers future interesting opportunities for further analysis alongside the records of Margret MacKenzie of Delvine, Perthshire (Wheeler, 1994). Unett travelled extensively with

- 105 the military, with records according to his current posting. Although originally from Staffordshire, much of his diary material records life in Scotland or London. He kept a daily journal recording brief notes about his daily activities, the weather, his health and the state of his garden. Interestingly, a small section of journal with weather reports was kept by his father Thomas Unett also survives, but only for June of 1774 (SRO D3610/4). Unett's diary entries are generally short and factual, with information relating to the weather easy to identify and extract.
- 110 Two sections of collected data were selected for further analysis: London (1797-1801) and North Staffordshire (1816-1865). These series contain overlapping accounts from different sources and permit an assessment of how many weather records are needed per month for the greatest reliability. The shorter series for London was used to test different types of indices and the Trentham series was used to evaluate how well the most successful index system from the London tests could be used over a longer period.

115 3. Indices and Instrumental Rainfall Data

No single index system is universally used when converting qualitative weather information into quantitative data, although often a 5 or 7-point scale, such as that used by Nash et al. (2016) is utilised. Indices are generally not used for daily weather data, although Brázdil et al. (2019b) calculated monthly days of rainfall for a set of weather diaries and converted these to a 7-degree index using a regular distribution of ranked monthly totals (assigning the highest and lowest 8.3% of values an index

120 value of 3 or -3, and assigning a further 16.6% of values to each of intermediate index classes, as suggested by Pfister (1992)). Since this type of index relies on the concept of 'normal', they can be difficult to apply to daily weather data, particularly when working with subjective personal accounts. Within this study overlapping daily weather data from different sources are studied, so for a given year there may be >700 statements. The index therefore needs to be easy to apply, quantify daily rainfall, and be able to be averaged for months with greater/fewer records. The system applied by Macdonald et al. (2010) uses an index

- 125 from 0 (no rain, hot/drought) to 5 (very wet, storm), this approach was selected for testing (index A). Each record in the London series was given a value from 0-5 following this method. For example, the 6th May 1797, described by Hervey as 'Horrid weather, almost perpetual hail and heavy hail storms' (D6584/C/74) was assigned a value of 5, while the 11th April 1799 'it has been showery all day' (D6584/C/85) was assigned a value of 3. The 9th July 1801 described by Richard Wilkes Unett as 'A very hot summers day' (D3610/12/3) was assigned a value of 0. Additionally, an index based on days of rainfall per month
- 130 was considered, as used by several previous studies (for example Ayre et al., 2015; Brázdil et al., 2019b; Lee and MacKenzie, 2010). Two versions of this index are tested; one that assigned each day a value of 0 for no rain, very light rain or fog or a value of 1 for any considerable rainfall (index B) and a second which that introduced nuance, aiming to capture the heaviness of the rainfall (index C). Index C assigns a value of 0 to no precipitation, 0.25 to very light rain or heavy fog, 0.5 to showers or light rain and 1 to heavy rain. For example, using index B, the 6th May 1797 and the 11th April 1799 would be assigned a
- 135 value of 1, and the 9th July 1801 a value of 0. These indices were then reassessed using the more nuanced index C, to better quantify the rain where possible. Using index C for the three events detailed above, 6th May 1797 is assigned a value of 1, 11th April 1799 a value of 0.5 and 9th July 1801 a value of 0. This gave three classification systems for consideration with the weather records from London, index A (after Macdonald et al., 2010); index B (based on days of rainfall) and index C (based on days of rainfall with consideration of heaviness of rainfall). There was insufficient data for London (only 56 months) to test
- 140 the impact of converting into a 7-degree classification following the methodology of Brázdil et al. (2019b).

It is important to verify the data, through comparison of indices and instrumental rainfall wherever possible (Brázdil et al., 2018). Fortunately, analysed and homogenised precipitation series covering the period of the diaries are available from sites with ~50km of the London diary locations.

For London the nearest instrumental rainfall data covering this period comes from Kew Gardens (Todd et al., 2013). The 145 greatest number (692) of the London records are from Elizabeth Hervey's home at Acton, (~2km (all distances from Kew)). There are also 540 records from an unspecified location in London (although in most cases this is likely to central London, (~15km), 533 from Woolwich (~30 km), 51 from Strawberry Hill (~5km), and a further 13 from various places around the Greater London area (Croydon, Plumstead, Shooters Hill, Turnham Green, Twickenham; Figure 1). The area is relatively flat, and the weather recorded in the diaries of Elizabeth Hervey at Aston and Richard Wilkes Unett at Woolwich are generally

- 150 very similar. For example, on the 10th March 1798 at Woolwich Richard Wilkes Unett wrote that it was 'A fine day. About 8 in the evening it began raining.' (SRO D3610/12/3), while at Acton Elizabeth Hervey wrote 'A beautiful morn[ing]... It rained violently this even[ing].' (SRO D6584/C/79). There are, however, occasions when Elizabeth Hervey compares the weather at her home in central London with the weather at Acton and identifies differences in rainfall. For example, on the 31st May 1798 when she writes 'A morn[ing] that threatens rain... Tho' much rain fell here to day and yesterday, there was scarcely any
- 155 at Acton, so that my Hay has not suffered at all' (SRO D6584/C/81). As most of the non-instrumental rainfall data for London

comes from close to Kew Gardens, it is expected that there will be a high degree of correlation between the indices and recorded rainfall.

For the Trentham series, the nearest instrumental weather station is Chatsworth House in Derbyshire (Harvey-Fishenden et al., 2019) ~50km away, however Chatsworth is located in the Peak District (Figure 1), so the Trentham data was also compared

- 160 to rainfall from Manchester (~55km) and Liverpool (~70km) (both Macdonald, unpublished). It is likely that the correlation between rainfall at Trentham and instrumental weather stations will not be as strong as the rainfall at Kew and London. This mainly reflects the distance and topography between recorder and instrumental station, though there may be seasonal variations reflecting precipitation generating mechanisms. There is a good correlation between rainfall for the period 1816-1865 at Chatsworth and Manchester (r = 0.655, p = <0.001), Chatsworth and Liverpool (r = 0.637, p = <0.001) and a strong correlation
- 165 between Manchester and Liverpool (r = 0.875, p = <0.001).

The role of snowfall is challenging to quantify and assess (Manley, 1958a), however long snowfall records are currently receiving renewed interest (Spencer et al., 2014), particularly within early records and long series (Murphy et al., 2020). In London a long snowfall series reconstructed by (Manley, 1958b, 1969) from 1668-1960 exists for consideration, with the series currently being updated and reanalysed.

170 4. Testing Correlation with Instrumental Rainfall Data for London

For 36 of the 61 months of the London series both Elizabeth Hervey and Richard Wilkes Unett were in London and keeping their diaries. During the period 1796-1801 (Figure 2), there are four months with no records (July and August 1797, September and October 1798), three months had less than 16 days weather recorded (September 1799, October 1801 and December 1801) and a further nine months had less than 28 days recorded (December 1796, April 1797, June 1797, September 1797, October

175 1797, November 1798, August 1799, July 1801 and September 1801). The period analysed has a total of 2379 daily weather descriptions, each of which was given an index score using the three different index classification systems.

Initially any month with at least 16 days of weather data was included. Subsequently, the process was repeated with only months including at least 28 days, enabling an analysis of the results sensitivity to record density. 16 days was initially selected as it represented >50% record for any given month and potentially accounts for the absence of records where the diarist may

180 not have recorded the weather as it was considered unremarkable relative to the previous day(s). 28 days was selected as the maximum number of days that could be required, without systematically excluding February from the analysis.



Figure 2. Number of days with weather recorded in London from the two diarists Elizabeth Hervey and Richard Wilkes Unett (Dec 1796-1801)

- 185 As different numbers of days are recorded per month within the diaries, these were standardised to aid comparison. The sum monthly index value was calculated (the total of the daily index values for the month added together), and then divided by the number of documented days within the month. Subsequently, this was multiplied by the total number days in that calendar month. For example, using index classification A for the month of December 1796, the sum 0-5 index score for each day was calculated (49), with 22 days of records that month from a possible 31, so 49 was divided by 22, and then multiplied by 31 to
- 190 get a scaled monthly value of 69. The monthly values for each of the three indices being assessed and for both data threshold levels (16 and 28 days) was plotted (Figure 3a-f). All correlations were significant (p = <0.001) using Pearson's product-moment correlation co-efficient (r). Index C with 28 days or more of data per month produced the best result (Figure 3f). Correlations between descriptive account were better than some of those between instrumental weather stations (e.g. Manchester and Chatsworth). The strong relationships identified reflects the close proximity of Elizabeth Hervey's house to</p>
- 195 the Kew instrumental series, increasing confidence in the approach. Each of the classifications assessed produced good correlations, which were comparable or stronger than those found by similar studies. Linderholm and Molin (2005), for example, analysed the relationship between summer weather reconstructed from a Swedish diary and tree rings (R = 0.59), while Zhang et al. (2013) considered 20 years of overlapping qualitative information with instrumental data (R = 0.67). The strongest correlation identified (with both 16 and 28 days) was using index C, therefore this approach was selected for assessing
- 200 on the longer dataset at Trentham.



Figure 3: Statistical analysis of the three classification approaches A-C (green) using 16 (a,c,e) and 28 (b,d,f) day records respectively compared to instrumental rainfall at Kew (blue)

5. Analysis of the Trentham Records for North Staffordshire

205 There is considerably more data covering a longer timeframe for Trentham in North Staffordshire (nearly fifty years from August 1816 to December 1865), compared to the London weather information analysed (Figure 3). Overall, there were 20,657 weather records for Trentham for this period. Each of these records was assigned a value from 0-1, following index C.

The threshold for inclusion in the analysis at Trentham was set at 20 days per month. Whilst two levels were assessed in London, with more days (28) presenting a stronger correlation with the instrumental precipitation series, selection of the higher

threshold at Trentham would leave several gaps and 45 months missing. Therefore, the threshold was lowered to 20 days. This pragmatic reduction in threshold resulted in greater temporal coverage, with the results from London suggesting the impact on correlation would be relatively limited (Figure 3e compared to 3f). Only 20 months contained insufficient records to meet the revised lower threshold. All records for 1862 are missing, as are September 1818, September 1832 and July 1858. February 1831 (which only had 18 days), August 1831 (9 days), October 1831 (11 days), October 1833 (11 days) and November 1833 (18 days) were also excluded from the analysis (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Number of records of daily weather per month at Trentham (August 1816-1865)

The resulting index were compared to the instrumental precipitation series from Chatsworth, Manchester and Liverpool (CML). All three showed significant correlation (p = <0.001), with Chatsworth the weakest (r = 0.579), Manchester (r = 0.664) and Liverpool (r = 0.667) stronger (Figure 5: 1a, 2a and 3a respectively). There is an even stronger correlation between the average of all three instrumental stations and the index generated at Trentham (r = 0.706, p = <0.001); a potential explanation may be that averaging has a smoothing effect on the data, reducing/removing localised extremes. While notable wet and dry periods in the instrumental precipitation series correspond well with the Trentham index (as demonstrated in Figure 6), there are discrepancies in the distribution of data. There are much greater extremes of heavy rainfall seen in the instrumental

225 precipitation from Chatsworth, Manchester and Liverpool (Figure 5: 1b, 2b and 3b respectively). Extremes are hard to capture from descriptions in archive documents, because of different people's perceptions of heavy or light rain, and the potential for observers to misdescribe the weather if they have spent key periods of the day inside, or if the heaviest rainfall happened over night.



230

Figure 5. 1a) correlation between Chatsworth rainfall and the Trentham index series (r= 0.579; p= <0.001); 2a) Manchester rainfall and Trentham index series (r= 0.664; p= <0.001); 3a) Liverpool rainfall and Trentham index series (r= 0.667; p= <0.001). 1-3b) Comparison of the Trentham rainfall index (blue) alongside instrumental rainfall from Chatsworth (red), Manchester (orange) and Liverpool (green) respectively.



235

Figure 6. Wet (blue) and dry (red) months August 1816-December 1865, with distribution (note data for 1862 at Trentham is missing)

An assessment of the methodology applied by Brázdil et al. (2019b) using the ranking of the months by days of rainfall, and giving them values from -3 to 3 was undertaken, with 8.3% of the months receiving the most extreme index values (-3 and 3),

and all other indices (-2 to 2) being applied 16.6% of the months. However, this was determined to be undesirable as it resulted

in a loss of detail and slight reduction in the correlation with the instrumental series from Manchester (r=0.65, p=<0.001).

6. Extreme Weather: Indices as Recorders of Snow, Rain and Droughts

Extremes present challenges even within instrumental series, whether it is defining the absence, excess or form of precipitation, with considerable effort still being made to improve and reliably identify extremes in instrumental rainfall (Archer and Fowler, 2018; Miller et al., 2013) and snow measurement (Kay, 2016). These same challenges exist within descriptive accounts of the weather, whilst general wat and dry phases are reliably contured, extremes, or at least the extremenees of rainfall can be difficult.

245 weather, whilst general wet and dry phases are reliably captured, extremes, or at least the extremeness of rainfall can be difficult to capture.

6.1 The problem with snow

There has long been an awareness of the challenge of under-catch in early instrumental records. In 1891, George James Symonds (founder or the British Rainfall Organisation) spoke about the history of rain gauges in an address to the Royal
Meteorological Society (Symons, 1891). He noted that prior to the introduction of Snowdon pattern rain gauges in 1864, there was a large under-catch of snow due to absence of a protective rim on the rain gauge. The instrumental data examined here (Kew Gardens 1796-1801 and CML 1816-1865) was collected prior to the introduction of these gauges. Recent work has challenged widely reported long term trends in precipitation for England in Wales, by showing that much of the trend towards wetter winters and drier summer can be explained by under-catch in the early record (Murphy et al., 2020). It is important, therefore, to consider the contribution of snow to historic data. To this end, an assessment of snow days and months with snowfall at Trentham was undertaken (Figure 7a). References to snow can be found in these records in the months between October and May. Most years had at least some snow, with the greatest snowfall in January, with an average of 1.9 days, closely followed by March with 1.8 days, and then February with 1.6 days. All other months have less than a day of snowfall on average; December (0.9), April (0.8), November (0.5), May (0.2) and October (0.1). Most snow in the records is in winter
(December-February), with an average of 4.43 days per winter, followed by the Spring (March-May; 2.76 days) and autumn

260 (December-February), with an average of 4.43 days per winter, followed by the Spring (March-May; 2.76 days) and autumn (September-November 0.66 days). Visual examination of the Trentham index against recorded rainfall at Chatsworth, Liverpool and Manchester showed that months with snowfall tended to have less rainfall in the instrumental record than was suggested by the index.

Separating out months with and without snow gives a stronger correlation to the Manchester precipitation series for the months

- without snow (r=0.71), and a similar correlation for months with snow (r=0.63) (Figure 7b and 7c respectively). The indices are systematically indicating slightly more precipitation than is recorded by the rain gauges. There are four possible reasons for this systematic difference between the records:
 - i. The potential of under-catch in the instrumental record (e.g. (Murphy et al., 2020).

ii. It might reflect over estimation of precipitation in the Trentham series due to overreporting of snow. Snow is a highly
 visible weather phenomenon, and therefore might be over reported (Spencer et al., 2014). There is also the complication of whether, when a record says 'snow', it is referring to new snowfall, or snow lying on the ground.

iii. It may reflect over estimation of precipitation resulting from the way snow has been translated into any particular index. Snow has been treated in the same way as rain in the index classification system, but it is possible that it should have been treated more like showers than substantial rainfall.

275 iv. The potential for greater variability in snowfall between two sites compared to rainfall, reflecting local topographic and climatic conditions, with a higher propensity for snowfall at Trentham (Barrow and Hulme, 2014; Mayes, 2000).

It is likely that a combination of these factors may account for the differences in the two series. The index for the 138 months with two or less days of snow had a better correlation with the rainfall at Manchester (r= 0.70) compared to the 58 months with more than two days of snow (r= 0.51). When plotted (Figure 7a), many of the outliers come from months with moderate amounts of snowfall (i.e. 2.5-4 days of snow). This suggests that over reporting of snow (perhaps the reporting of snow

280 amounts of snowfall (i.e. 2.5-4 days of snow). This suggests that over reporting of snow (perhaps the reporting of snow remaining on the ground, rather than fresh snow) may be the cause of the lower correlations for the months with more snow.

Snow can have severe impacts on farming in the UK (Jones et al., 2012). An example of this can be found in this material from Trentham in the winter of 1819-20; with snow lying on the ground between the 30 December 1819 and the 29th January 1820, with a thaw beginning on the 22nd January causing flooding (SRO D593/K/3/2/2, SRO D593/L/6/2/2 and SRO D593/K/3/2/1).

285 On the 3rd January 1820, William Lewis recorded that the average depth of the snow was 22 inches (0.56m) (D593/L/6/2/2). Lewis's letters highlight some of the impacts of this extended period of cold weather. On the 17th January he wrote:

"The Storm continues and no appearance of any alteration. The Snow has been ever on the ground which causes both Sheep and Cattle to be fed out of doors with every morsel they consume" (letter sent by William Lewis to James Loch (SRO D593/K/3/2/2).

290 While towards the end of the episode he records that:

"[T] he severe weather has completely put all out door work at a stand for some weeks which is the cause of the present distress [amongst the parishioners] a moderate thaw has now taken place which I trust will continue" (letter from William Lewis to James Loch, 23rd Jan 1820 SRO D593/K/3/2/2).

The long-lying snow led to underemployment (Lewis notes that he will take on more labourers for ditching and draining when the weather allows), and higher costs in cattle farming. While creating an index allows weather to be contextualised and compared with instrumental records, the original qualitative weather records tell of impacts and add details absent from the index alone (e.g. impacts of an event).



Figure 7. a) Days of snow per month at Trentham (note data for 1862 is missing) and precipitation index for months with (b), and months without (c) snow, plotted against Manchester rainfall. For the months with snow r=0.63, for months without snow r=0.71 (for all correlations p=<0.001).

6.2 Heavy rainfall

While an index may be good at representing dry weather and moderate rainfall events, it appears to be weaker at capturing heavy and extreme rainfall. This is an inherent problem of descriptive records, which often lack clear distinctions between moderate to heavy and then extreme rainfall. When a writer describes 'rain' or a 'shower' there is no way of knowing how

305 moderate to heavy and then extreme rainfall. When a writer describes 'rain' or a 'shower', there is no way of knowing how much rain fell, or where the threshold between 'shower', 'rain' and 'heavy rain' is. When a lot of rain falls in a short period of time, the index will generally underestimate precipitation, since there is a maximum value which can be given for a day (in this case 1). For this reason, studies with similar data have thought it inadvisable to attempt conversion into quantitative values (for example Lee and MacKenzie, 2010). The difficulties in accurately estimating extremes and the problems with trying to

- 310 convert qualitative information into quantitative values is demonstrated by Figure 8, where a conversion from index to rainfall (millimetres) has been attempted. There are various ways to do this; for example, Zhang et al (2012) used monthly precipitation days to reconstructed the seasonal precipitation for Beijing (1860-1897), by using regression models relating the precipitation and precipitation days based on modern data. In this case, however, since there is nearby contemporary instrumental rainfall data available, a linear regression is applied, derived from the average of the CML stations rainfall and the Trentham index
- 315 series. Compared to the instrumental data from CML stations the minimum monthly value of the rainfall series created from the Trentham index is higher (14.48mm, compared to between 0mm and 3.54mm) and the maximum value much lower (123.78mm, compared to between 190.95-282.35mm) with the standard deviation also lower (21.26mm, compared to between 31.43-35.05mm).

Unlike the issues around snow where there are possibly multiple contributing factors resulting in differences between the index series and recorded instrumental rainfall, it is apparent here that the main issue with extremes of rainfall is around the lack of range in the index series, particularly when it comes to heavy and extreme rainfall. It might, however, be possible to address these deficiencies at representing extreme rainfall by adjusting the monthly index values. For example, they might be improved by increasing the values for months with recorded floods, or other severe impacts. This would be similar to approaches undertaken by Brázdil et al. (2019b) where after applying an index based on ranking of days of rain per month, the values were adjusted to account for months with particularly heavy or light rainfall.

However, taking for example July 1828, this method might not always improve results. In July 1828, 282.35mm rainfall fell at Chatsworth, 251.42mm at Manchester and 190.95mm at Liverpool, whereas Trentham only experience 11.75 days of rainfall (which using this method of conversion gives 94.07mm). It seems likely, therefore, that this is a substantial underestimation of the rainfall caused by above average rainfall on multiple days. There are six 'very wet' days mentioned in the diary

330 descriptions, but no severe impacts of wet weather that might indicate a need for this month to be adjusted. The only impact mentioned is a delay in 'getting in the hay' (SRO D593/L/2/2b), which could be caused by even mild wet weather. For the current data, it is hard to see how any methodology based on impacts would flag this month as one meriting adjustment.



Figure 8. Rainfall (in mm) at Chatsworth (red), Manchester (yellow) and Liverpool (green), and an estimate of the rainfall (in mm) at Trentham (blue) based on the index conversion.

From the perspective of quantifying and comparing rainfall, therefore, conversion to millimetres using this approach may create a dataset or limited utility and may also be unnecessary. Further analysis, however, may explore a more probabilistic fitting approach based on a predefined distribution, possibly from local contemporary instrumental records, to better define upper and lower bounds, although this is beyond the scope of this paper. To better quantify and compare rainfall across instrumental and non-instrumental records, it would be interesting to compare the number of days of rain per month within the instrumental record with the days in these diaries, and to investigate the amounts of rain per day in the instrumental record. Unfortunately, most of the surviving instrumental records for this period only contain monthly totals, and do not include daily totals nor records of the number of days of precipitation.

340

345 6.3 Droughts

There are several droughts identified elsewhere in the literature that occurred during the period covered by the records from London and Trentham. In London, there is a drought period identified by Todd et al. (2013) between 1801 and 1808. The most severe drought episode begins in September 1802 at Kew however it is preceded by conditions fluctuating between normal and rainfall deficit. This period of dry weather preceding drought onset is evident in the qualitative records from London. On

- 350 the 20th July 1800 Elizabeth Hervey reports that 'ground is sadly parched' (SRO D6584/C/93) and on the 25th July 1800, she writes that there is 'Still burning weather the leaves fall as in winter' (SRO D6584/C/93). The leaves falling from the trees is corroborated by Richard Wilkes Unett, who on the 24th September 1800 wrote 'Owing to the very dry weather in July, most kind of trees lost their leaves the same as in October' (D3610/12/3 p139). Notably low rainfall in the Kew series of 7.9mm in February 1800 and 0mm in July 1800 is reflected in the indices for London, although the low value in February 1797 of 5.6mm
- 355 is not captured particularly well within the indices.

In terms of the Trentham record, droughts are recorded at Chatsworth in 1821, 1826-8, 1835-6, 1844-5 and 1847-8, all of which are relatively minor droughts, within the context of the long drought series available (1760-2015; Harvey-Fishenden et al., 2019). The farm and wood-ranger reports accompanying the weather information recorded at Trentham mention drought in Spring 1817, writing that the 'trees planted this spring are suffering much from the extreme drought, except the Mountain

360 Ash planted in Trentham coppice which having a cool soil, and being shaded by the larger oaks are looking very well' (D593/L/6/2/2). The CML series all show low rainfall in January 1817, March 1817 and April 1817, while the Trentham index show dry weather in January and April 1817 and a wet March. This reflects the challenge of truly capturing a 'drought' accurately following precipitation, particularly using historical descriptive accounts. A short phase of rainfall may offer some respite, but may not formally terminate a drought event as defined and classified using drought indices. The dry weather may no longer have an agricultural or water resource impact but may still technically be a meteorological drought.

Neither the 1821 drought nor the 1826-8 drought are reported as severe weather events in the archival records, with no adverse drought impacts reported at Trentham. The dry weather in 1821 seems to have led to abundant crops and fine weather, whereas in 1826-8, diary entries are focused on the day to day running of the farm and fail to identify any negative impacts of the weather. There are two other droughts within this record; 1835-1836 at Chatsworth, lasting 16 months, with a peak severity of

-1.0 (using the Standardised Precipitation Index) in August 1835, and 1844-1845 at Chatsworth, lasting 15 months with a peak severity of -1.5 in March 1845. Once again, although dry, there is no comment on the weather or its impact in these records from Trentham.

6.4 Other extreme weather

One of the strengths of multi-source qualitative recording is that sometimes the overlap between different archival sources can

375 tell you more about the impacts of extreme weather than any one document alone. For example, a letter sent 26th June 1824, from William Lewis to James Loch about the impacts of a storm, reads:

"In my last I forgot to name to you that we had a severe Thunder storm on Monday last and the lightening (sic) killed six Deer under a Tree close by the reservoirs & the Day following a cow at Corn Croft be-longing to one of Mr Lord's labourers" (D593K/3/2/6).

- 380 Accordingly, in the monthly report for June 1824 at the Trentham estate, we see an entry for the previous Monday, the 21st June reads 'Thunder and Rain'. In the accompanying Park Keepers report for the same month, it notes that 1 buck and 5 does were killed by lightning (although does not give a date) (SRO D593/L/6/2/2). William Lewis's own memoranda book for the same date, however, merely notes 'a very dull morning' (SRO D593/L/2/2b). The different sources tell complementary stories; the letter identifies the event that killed the deer, but not the date, the monthly report gives the date for the storms, but does not
- 385 state which storm killed the deer. William Lewis's memoranda book does not even mention the event at all. The notes on the weather kept by William Lewis appear to have generally been made quite early in the morning, and he appears to sometimes revisit the previous day to update it with later changes in the weather, but sometimes, as in this case, fails to do so.

Descriptive accounts can also provide valuable insights relating to weather events that are poorly recorded in early-instrumental records, such as tornadoes, mists, fog, haars and lightning storms, with documentary sources offering a valuable tool in creating long reconstructions (e.g. Camuffo et al., 2000).

7. 'The Year without a Summer' (1816) in Staffordshire

390

395

In April 1815, Mount Tambora in Indonesia erupted, with impacts around the world (Pfister and White, 2018a). 1816 has been described as the 'year without a summer' by several authors (Stothers, 1984; Veale and Endfield, 2016; White et al., 2018), with the impacts of the Tambora eruption on weather extensively recorded across Europe. The diaries of Elizabeth Hervey offer considerable potential for examining its impact from a different perspective, that of a female traveller, as she travels around Europe during the summer 1816.

It is unfortunate that the Trentham record misses much of this 'summer', beginning in August 1816, as it fails to capture the potential impacts of the Tambora eruption on the day-to-day running of the farm. However, the fact that the farm reports and memoranda book start part-way through 1816 may reflect a desire to record farm experiences based on an unusual year at that

- 400 point. June saw some of the worst impacts across Europe, with anomalously cold and wet weather (Luterbacher and Pfister, 2015). There have been several attempts to use diaries from this period to examine the effects of the Tambora eruption in the UK. Lee and MacKenzie (2010), for example, used a farmer's diary from near Manchester (about 50km north of Trentham), which recorded wind direction, barometric pressure and observations of weather and other phenomena (including red skies), to examine the impact of the Tambora eruption. Veale and Endfield (2016) describe the general pattern of the weather
- 405 nationally (UK) around this time; in August much hay was spoilt by rain, September was cold and frosty, there were floods in October, while November was wet and very cold (Veale and Endfield, 2016). These conditions led to food shortages and following on from this 1817 is described as having the fourth successive cold and sunless spring, and also being dry (Veale

and Endfield, 2016). There was a heatwave in June, with July, August and September wet. The Trentham records primarily document rainfall, and there are no descriptions of non-weather phenomena, such as red skies identified in other sources. The

- 410 records from Trentham, however, do support some of the overall narrative put forward by Veale and Endfield with August to December 1816 all relatively wet months at Trentham. This, however, differs from the instrumental records from Manchester and Liverpool, with August quite dry (although it is wet at Chatsworth), and September and November are either dry or average at instrumental stations. In October (1816) the Trentham farm reports state that 'grain of all descriptions much injured by the inclemency of the weather', however other crops such as beans and turnips seem to have given normal yields (D593/L/6/2/2).
- 415 The weather patterns identified by Veale and Endfield (2016) for 1817 are replicated in the Trentham records. Generally, the weather records from Trentham seem to fit well with the patterns described by Veale and Endfield for the years following the Tambora eruption, although these years are not statistically different from preceding years.

8. Confidence in Documentary Source Reconstructions

- The quantity and quality of information contained within the sources impact the confidence in any derived index classification. 420 A particular issue with diaries is that, when incomplete (not-daily), they tend to only report more notable events and, in the UK and northern Europe, are biased towards snow and rainfall. Globally, biases towards recording extremes are not uncommon, but vary regionally. Nash (1996) noted a preference to documenting droughts in the Kalahari, whilst Endfield and O'Hara (1997) note a similar pattern towards droughts and water scarcity in Central Mexico. Table 1 shows the entries for the first half of December 1807 from the diary of Thomas Birds (in Eyam in Derbyshire, SRO D1229/4/6/7) and James Caldwell
- 425 (~45 km away at Talke, in North Staffordshire). These demonstrate that James Caldwell is only recording particularly extreme days, and does not report on 'fine' days, and if we were to use these sources together to produce an index score for December 1807, this month might look rather colder and snowier than it was. While the London data demonstrates the benefits of having diarists recording simultaneously when producing indices, the source material must record both extreme and normal days.

Much of the collected data from Trentham, from William Lewis's memoranda and the farm reports, does not include impacts,
which limits its utility when considering extreme weather, however they do record day-to-day activities such as harvest or ploughing, which may be delayed due to inclement or inappropriate weather.

While there is insufficient information in the documentary records to produce a temperature index, the Trentham farm reports include temperature measurements, taken at 8am and 8pm from 1821 onwards, while both Elizabeth Hervey and Richard Wilkes Unett had access to a thermometer and occasionally reported temperatures, particularly extremes. There is one day

(14th July 1800) in the London series where they both report the temperature. Richard Wilkes Unett writes 'Very close & warm. The glass today in a room where there are three doors was at 75° most of the day' from Woolwich (D3610/12/3 p127), while from Acton Lodge, Elizabeth Hervey writes 'Hottest day we have yet had thermometer 75 in the shade.' (D6584/C/93).

Unfortunately, neither of these diarists consistently record the temperature, and because they both lived relatively transient lifestyles, the readings they give are not always from the same thermometer.

440

Date	Thomas Birds (Eyam)	James Caldwell (Talke)
1 Dec 1807	A fine winters day	
2 Dec 1807	A plashy day & some rain	
3 Dec 1807	A very fine frosty morn	
4 Dec 1807	A most tempestuous wet day	
5 Dec 1807	Showry day	
6 Dec 1807	Snowy day	Snow.
7 Dec 1807	A severe frost	Severe frost.
8 Dec 1807	A most tempestuous snowy day	
9 Dec 1807	A fine day	
10 Dec 1807	A fine day	
11 Dec 1807	A partial thaw at home	At night great fog & Snow.
12 Dec 1807	A fine day continued thawing a little	
13 Dec 1807	A fine day	
14 Dec 1807	A very fine day	

Table 1. Diary entries for Thomas Birds and James Caldwell December 1807

Different types of sources record weather differently, influencing how the resulting data can be used. Diaries often use weather as a starting point for an account of the day, as do some letters. Mundane weather acts as a space filler, a neutral topic. If a diary records the weather every day, or a letter is not primarily about the weather, a full range of different types of weather are likely to be recorded. If, however, it is a diary that only records the weather occasionally it is likely to record more unusual weather, for example heavy rain, snow or frost (Table 1). Likewise, letters where the weather is one of the main topics, are likely to record extreme weather events. The other type of weather that appears in letters, is weather used to explain actions, or inaction, in estate correspondence. For example, William Lewis wrote to his superior, James Loch in 1824; 'I followed up the old proverb "make hay while the sun shines" the weather still remains favourable and dry and all have been busy with the

Turnips which are in a very forward state and very promising."

A further consideration is the purpose of the original diaries; most of the records are not intended to record the weather accurately for posterity, but are instead a record of someone's life and thoughts. A possible criticism of weather reconstructions from diaries is that they only record the weather during the daytime (Adamson, 2015). Whilst true, all the diaries used in this

455 project tend to comment on heavy rain or snow if it occurs overnight, so if the object is to record rainfall, this may not always be problematic.

Careful analysis of the Elizabeth Hervey diaries presents a contrasting perspective to comments by Adamson (2015) that diaries as a source of information in climate research provide 'highly personal documents...representing an unbiased account'. There is evidence in the diaries of Elizabeth Hervey of her reading aloud from her diaries for her friends and acquaintances, and of

460

480

the diaries being edited after her death by her son (with sections he considered uninteresting, or unsuitable being redacted or removed). Although sometimes described as 'private' diaries, these sources are not necessarily private, but rather personal accounts which were regularly shared and performed.

9. Conclusion

Work on diaries to date has often focussed on specific weather diaries continuously recorded for long periods (Pfister and
White, 2018b). This paper demonstrates the value and utility of personal diaries reflecting shorter periods, particularly when used alongside other nearby coeval diaries. In analysing over 27,500 records, providing a rich depiction of the weather in two regions of England during the periods 1797-1801 and 1816-1865, we demonstrate the considerable potential of personal diaries that recorded weather incidentally rather than as the primary purpose for reconstructing long weather series. The results demonstrate the potential of indices in weather reconstruction from qualitative sources. Having evaluated current approaches
employed in such studies, and based on our dataset of over 27,500 records, we advocate that future studies consider an approach

that deploys a nuanced classification depicting heaviness of the rainfall (i.e. assigning a value of 0 to no precipitation, 0.25 to very light rain or heavy fog, 0.5 to showers or light rain and 1 to heavy rain - our index C), as this provided the strongest correlations with existing nearby instrumental series. A pragmatic approach should be deployed to ensure that threshold selection (preferably between 16-28 days a month) results in the inclusion of an optimal number of months. If threshold selection is set to low or high then sub-optimum results may be achieved.

This paper demonstrates that for periods with overlap between documentary sources, indices can create valuable and reliable records of precipitation. We demonstrate that while indices can statistically represent the nature of the rainfall comparably to available precipitation series in this period (1770-1865) they failed to represent extremes well. Further work is required that considers how descriptive records may be represented in indices and the potential for statistical fitting approaches in defining the bounds of potential indices applied. In addition, alternative high-resolution indices could be created, such as consecutive dry days, which may be more useful than monthly data for investigating specific impacts such as drought (Pfister et al., 2020).

Personal diaries can provide greater spatial and temporal coverage than instrumental records currently offer, permitting the extension of existing weather and climate knowledge to areas where no, or limited, instrumental information exists. It is likely that diaries with the potential to be used in a similar way can be found in almost all regions of the world, with the potential to

485 extend back much further than is often possible with instrumental series, as demonstrated by Chen et al. (2020) in considering the weather from fourteenth century China. Even where instrumental records do exist, diaries can provide greater temporal resolution, overcoming challenges where only monthly or annual instrumental totals are available, as such it may enable the scaling of monthly or annual instrumental totals to the (sub-)daily. Descriptive accounts such as diaries can provide significant extra detail, enabling us to understand and contextualise the impacts of past extreme weather events, enabling us to contest

490 and contextualise claims of uniqueness, unparalleled magnitude or severity, within recent instrumental records. The qualitative nature of diaries means we can also consider the adaptive responses undertaken by individuals and communities to events, and the nature of actions undertaken during periods between extremes that may exacerbate risk.

Data Availability

The original archival materials used in this study, as detailed in the list of archive material, are available at the Staffordshire 495 Record Office. The data used to create graphs is available from the corresponding author.

Author Contributions

AHF prepared the manuscript, extracted data from the archives and ran a volunteer project extracting data from the archives, produced the indices, undertook the analysis and made the figures. NM secured the funding, provided instrumental precipitation data and contributed to the manuscript.

500 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Some of the data used in this paper was collected by a group of volunteers at the Staffordshire Record Office as part of a Collaborative Doctoral Award Studentship. The authors would like to thank the project volunteers and the staff at the

505 Staffordshire Record Office for their support and assistance. We would like to thank the reviewers Prof. David Nash and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful, insightful and supportive comments on the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Arts and Humanities Research Council under Grant AH/N005147/1

510 **References**

Archival Sources:

SRO D593/K/3/2/1 Trentham Estate Agent William Lewis's Out Letters to James Loch, 1819

SRO D593/K/3/2/2 Trentham Estate Agent William Lewis's Out Letters to James Loch, 1820

SRO D593/L/2/2b Trentham Estate Agent William Lewis's memoranda book,1816-37. Used in construction of Trentham

515 indices series.

SRO D593/L/6/2/2 Monthly general repots and farm, time and pay reports for the Trentham Estate, 1816-1826. Used in construction of Trentham indices series.

SRO D592/L/6/14-46 Monthly general repots and farm, time and pay reports for the Trentham Estate, 1832-1865. Used in construction of Trentham indices series.

520 SRO D1229/4/6/7 Thomas Birds' Journal for 1807

SRO D3610/12/2-3 Journals of Richard Wilkes Unett for 1792-7 and 1798-1803. Used in the construction of the London indices series.

SRO D3610/4 Journal of Thomas Unett for 1774

SRO D6584/C/72-86, D6584/C/89-100 Elizabeth Hervey's journals. Used in the construction of the London indices series.

525 Diaries of James Caldwell; transcribed material published online (<u>https://jjhc.info/caldwelljames1770-1808</u> last accessed 17/4/2020)

Published material:

Adamson, G. C. D.: Private diaries as information sources in climate research, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 6(6), 599–611, doi:10.1002/wcc.365, 2015.

530 Allan, R., Endfield, G., Damodaran, V., Adamson, G., Hannaford, M., Carroll, F., Macdonald, N., Groom, N., Jones, J., Williamson, F., Hendy, E., Holper, P., Arroyo-Mora, J. P. P., Hughes, L., Bickers, R. and Bliuc, A.-M. A. M.: Toward integrated historical climate research: The example of Atmospheric Circulation Reconstructions over the Earth, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 7(2), 164–174, doi:10.1002/wcc.379, 2016.

Archer, D. R. and Fowler, H. J.: Characterising flash flood response to intense rainfall and impacts using historical information and gauged data in Britain, Journal of Flood Risk Management, 11, S121–S133, doi:10.1111/jfr3.12187, 2018.

Ayre, M., Nicholls, J., Ward, C. and Wheeler, D.: Ships' logbooks from the Arctic in the pre-instrumental period, Geoscience Data Journal, 2(2), 53–62, doi:10.1002/gdj3.27, 2015.

Barrow, Elaine. and Hulme, Mike.: Climates of the British Isles, Taylor and Francis., 2014.

Brázdil, Chromá, Řehoř, Zahradníček, Dolák, Řezníčková and Dobrovolný: Potential of Documentary Evidence to Study
Fatalities of Hydrological and Meteorological Events in the Czech Republic, Water, 11(10), 2014, doi:10.3390/w11102014, 2019a.

Brázdil, R., Kiss, A., Luterbacher, J., Nash, D. J. and Řezníčková, L.: Documentary data and the study of past droughts: a global state of the art, Climate of the Past, 14(12), 1915–1960, doi:10.5194/cp-14-1915-2018, 2018.

Brázdil, R., Valášek, H., Chromá, K., Dolák, L., Řeznícková, L., Bělínová, M., Valík, A. and Zahradníček, P.: The climate in
south-east Moravia, Czech Republic, 1803-1830, based on daily weather records kept by the Reverend Šimon Hausner, Climate of the Past, 15(4), 1205–1222, doi:10.5194/cp-15-1205-2019, 2019b.

Brohan, P., Allan, R., Freeman, J. E., Waple, A. M., Wheeler, D., Wilkinson, C., Woodruff, S., Brohan, P., Allan, R., Freeman, J. E., Waple, A. M., Wheeler, D., Wilkinson, C. and Woodruff, S.: Marine Observations of Old Weather, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 90(2), 219–230, doi:10.1175/2008BAMS2522.1, 2009.

550 Brönnimann, S., Allan, R., Ashcroft, L., Baer, S., Barriendos, M., Brázdil, R., Brugnara, Y., Brunet, M., Brunetti, M., Chimani, B., Cornes, R., Domínguez-Castro, F., Filipiak, J., Founda, D., Herrera, R. G., Gergis, J., Grab, S., Hannak, L., Huhtamaa, H., Jacobsen, K. S., Jones, P., Jourdain, S., Kiss, A., Lin, K. E., Lorrey, A., Lundstad, E., Luterbacher, J., Mauelshagen, F., Maugeri, M., Maughan, N., Moberg, A., Neukom, R., Nicholson, S., Noone, S., Nordli, Ø., Ólafsdóttir, K. B., Pearce, P. R., Pfister, L., Pribyl, K., Przybylak, R., Pudmenzky, C., Rasol, D., Reichenbach, D., Řezníčková, L., Rodrigo, F. S., Rohr, C., Skrynyk, O., Slonosky, V., Thorne, P., Valente, M. A., Vaquero, J. M., Westcottt, N. E., Williamson, F. and Wyszyński, Przemys.: Unlocking pre-1850 instrumental meteorological records a global inventory, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 100(12), ES389–ES413, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0040.1, 2019.

 Camuffo, D., Cocheo, C. and Enzi, S.: Seasonality of instability phenomena (hailstorms and thunderstorms) in Padova, Northern Itlay, from archive and instrumental sources since AD 1300, The Holocene 10(5), 635-642, doi:10.1191/095968300666845195, 2000

Chen, S., Su, Y., Fang, X., and He, J.: Climate records in ancient Chinese diaries and their application in historical climate reconstruction – a case study of Yunshan Diary, Clim. Past, 16, 1873–1887, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-1873-2020, 2020.

565 Dobrovolný, P., Moberg, A., Brázdil, R., Pfister, C., Glaser, R., Wilson, R., van Engelen, A., Limanówka, D., Kiss, A., Halíčková, M., Macková, J., Riemann, D., Luterbacher, J. and Böhm, R.: Monthly, seasonal and annual temperature reconstructions for Central Europe derived from documentary evidence and instrumental records since AD 1500, Climatic Change, 101, 69–107, doi:10.1007/s10584-009-9724-x, 2010.

Domínguez-Castro, F., García-Herrera, R. and Vaquero, J. M.: An early weather diary from Iberia (Lisbon, 1631-1632), 570 Weather, 70(1), 20–24, doi:10.1002/wea.2319, 2015.

Druckenbrod, D. L., Mann, M. E., Stahle, D. W., Cleveland, M. K., Therrell, M. D. and Shugart, H. H.: Late-eighteenthcentury precipitation reconstructions from James Madison's Montpelier plantation, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84(1), 57–71, doi:10.1175/BAMS-84-1-57, 2003.

Endfield G.H. and O'Hara S.L. Conflicts over water in 'the little drought age' in central Mexico, Environment and History, 3:255-272, 1997.

Gergis, J., Karoly, D. J., and Allan, R. J.: A climate reconstruction of Sydney Cove, New South Wales, using weather journal and documentary data, 1788–1791, Aust. Meteorol. Ocean., 58, 83–98, https://doi.org/10.22499/2.5802.001, 2012.

Harvey-Fishenden, A., Macdonald, N. & Bowen, J.P.: Dry weather fears of Britain's early 'industrial' canal network. Reg Environ Change 19, 2325–2337. doi:10.1007/s10113-019-01524-5, 2019.

580 Jones, C. A., Davies, S. J. and Macdonald, N.: Examining the social consequences of extreme weather: the outcomes of the 1946/1947 winter in upland Wales, UK, Climatic change, 113(1), 35–53, doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0413-9, 2012.

Kay, A. L.: A review of snow in Britain: The historical picture and future projections, Progress in Physical Geography, 40(5), 676–698, doi:10.1177/0309133316650617, 2016.

Kelso, C., Vogel, C. The climate of Namaqualand in the nineteenth century. *Climatic Change* 83, 357–380, doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9264-1, 2007.

Lawrence, E. N.: The Earliest Known Journal of the Weather, Weather, 27(12), 494–501, doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696.1972.tb04255.x, 1972.

Lee, D. S. and MacKenzie, A. R.: Trans-hemispheric effects of large volcanic eruptions as recorded by an early 19th century diary, International Journal of Climatology, 30(14), 2217–2228, doi:10.1002/joc.2034, 2010.

590 Linderholm, H. and Molin, T.: Early nineteenth century drought in east central Sweden inferred from dendrochronological and historical archives, Climate Research, 29(1), 63–72, doi:10.3354/cr029063, 2005.

Luterbacher, J. and Pfister, C.: The year without a summer. Nature Geosci., 8, 246-248, doi:10.1038/ngeo2404, 2015

Macdonald, N., Jones, C. A., Davies, S. J. and Charnell-White, C.: Historical weather accounts from Wales: An assessment of their potential for reconstructing climate, Weather, 65(3), 72–81, doi:10.1002/wea.418, 2010.

595 Manley, G.: Constantia Orlebar's weather book, 1786–1808, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 81(350), 622–625, doi:10.1002/qj.49708135017, 1955.

Manley, G.: On the frequency of snowfall in metropolitan England, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 84(359), 70–72, doi:10.1002/qj.49708435910, 1958a.

Manley, G.: Studies on the Frequency of Snowfall in England 1668-1956 and the Relation with Glacier Behaviour, in Assemblee Generale De Toronto/ General Assembly of Toronto, 1957, vol. 46, pp. 40–45., 1958b.

Manley, G.: Snowfall in Britain over the past 300 years, Weather, 24(11), 428–437, doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696.1969.tb03117.x, 1969.

Mayes, Julian.: Changing regional climatic gradients in the United Kingdom, The Geographical Journal, 166(2), 125–138, doi:10.1111/j.1475-4959.2000.tb00013.x, 2000.

605 Mikami, T.: Climatic variations in Japan reconstructed from historical documents. Weather, 63: 190-193. doi:10.1002/wea.281, 2008

Miller, J. D., Kjeldsen, T. R., Hannaford, J. and Morris, D. G.: A hydrological assessment of the November 2009 floods in Cumbria, UK, Hydrology Research, 44(1), 180–197, doi:10.2166/nh.2012.076, 2013.

Murphy, C., Broderick, C., Burt, T. P., Curley, M., Duffy, C., Hall, J., Harrigan, S., Matthews, T. K. R., Macdonald, N.,
McCarthy, G., McCarthy, M. P., Mullan, D., Noone, S., Osborn, T. J., Ryan, C., Sweeney, J., Thorne, P., Walsh, S. and Wilby,
R. L.: A 305-year continuous monthly rainfall series for the island of Ireland (1711-2016), Climate of the Past, 14(3), doi:10.5194/cp-14-413-2018, 2018.

Murphy, C., Wilby, R. L., Matthews, T. K. R., Thorne, P., Broderick, C., Fealy, R., Hall, J., Harrigan, S., Jones, P., McCarthy, G., MacDonald, N., Noone, S. and Ryan, C.: Multi-century trends to wetter winters and drier summers in the England and

Wales precipitation series explained by observational and sampling bias in early records, International Journal of Climatology, 40(1), 610–619, doi:10.1002/joc.6208, 2020.

Nash, D.J. On the dry valleys of the Kalahari: documentary evidence of environmental change in central southern Africa Geographical Journal 162 15, 1996.

Nash, D.J. and Grab. S.W. "A sky of brass and burning winds": documentary evidence of rainfall variability in the Kingdom of Lesotho, Southern Africa, 1824 – 1900' Climatic Change 101, 617-653, doi:10.1007/s10584-009-9707-y, 2010

Nash, D. J., Pribyl, K., Klein, J., Neukom, R., Endfield, G. H., Adamson, G. C. D. and Kniveton, D. R.: Seasonal rainfall variability in southeast Africa during the nineteenth century reconstructed from documentary sources, Climatic Change, 134(4), 605–619, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1550-8, 2016.Oliver, J.: The use of weather diaries in the study of historic climates, Weather, 13(8), 251–256, doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696.1958.tb02385.x, 1958.

625 Pfister, C.: Monthly temperature and precipitation in central Europe 1525–1979: quantifying documentary evidence on weather and its effects, in: Climate Since A.D. 1500, edited by: Bradley, R. S. and Jones, P. D., Routledge, London, New York, 118– 142, 1992.

Pfister, C., Garnier, E., Alcoforado, M.-J., Wheeler, D., Luterbacher, J., Nunes, M. F. and Taborda, J. P.: The meteorological framework and the cultural memory of three severe winter-storms in early eighteenth-century Europe, Climatic Change, 101(1–2), 281–310, doi:10.1007/s10584-009-9784-y, 2010.

Pfister, C. and White, S.: A year without a summer, 1816. The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History, Palgrave Macmillan. pp. 551-561, 2018a

635 Pfister, C. and White, S.: Evidence from the archives of societies: Personal documentary sources. The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History, Palgrave Macmillan. pp. 49-65. 2018b

Pfister, L., Brönniman, S., Schwander, M., Isotta, F.A., Horton, P. and Rohr, C.: Statistical reconstructions of daily precipitation and temperature fields in Switzerland back to 1864. Climate of the Past, 16(2), 663-678, doi:10.5194/cp-16-663-2020. 2020

Sanderson, M. G.: Daily weather in Dublin 1716-1734: the diary of Isaac Butler, Weather, 73(6), 179–182, doi:10.1002/wea.3029, 2018.

Sangster, H., Jones, C. and Macdonald, N.: The co-evolution of historical source materials in the geophysical, hydrological
 and meteorological sciences: Learning from the past and moving forward, Progress in Physical Geography, 42(1), 61–82, doi:10.1177/0309133317744738, 2018.

Schove, D. J. and Reynolds, D.: Weather in Scotland, 1659–1660: The diary of Andrew Hay, Annals of Science, 30(2), 165–177, doi:10.1080/00033797300200091, 1973.

Spencer, M., Essery, R., Chambers, L. and Hogg, S.: The Historical Snow Survey of Great Britain: Digitised Data for Scotland, Scottish Geographical Journal, 130(4), 252–265, doi:10.1080/14702541.2014.900184, 2014.

Strauss, S. and Orlove, B. S.: Weather, climate, culture, Berg, Oxford., 2003.

630

640

Stothers, R.B.: The Great Tambora Eruption in 1815 and its aftermath. Science, 224, 1191-1198, doi:10.1126/science.224.4654.1191.1984

55 Symons, G. J.: A contribution to the history of rain gauges, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 17(79), 127–142, doi:10.1002/qj.4970177901, 1891.

Talento, S., Schneider, L., Werner, J. and Luterbacher, J.: Millennium-length precipitation reconstruction over south-eastern Asia: a pseudo-proxy approach, Earth Syst. Dynam, 10, 347–364, doi:10.5194/esd-10-347-2019, 2019.

Todd, B., Macdonald, N. and Chiverrell, R. C.: Revision and extension of the composite Carlisle rainfall record, northwest 660 England: 1757–2012, International Journal of Climatology, 35(12), 3593–3607, 2015.

Veale, L. and Endfield, G.H.: Situating 1816, the 'year without a summer' in the UK, Geographical Journal, 182(4), 318-330. doi:10.1111/geoj.12191. 2016.

Walsh, R. P. D., Glaser, R. and Militzer, S.: The climate of Madras during the eighteenth century, International Journal of Climatology, 19(9), 1025–1047, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199907)19:9<1025::AID-JOC402>3.0.CO;2-F, 1999.

- 665 Wetter, O., Pfister, C., Werner, J. P., Zorita, E., Wagner, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Herget, J., Grünewald, U., Luterbacher, J., Alcoforado, M.-J., Barriendos, M., Bieber, U., Brázdil, R., Burmeister, K. H., Camenisch, C., Contino, A., Dobrovolný, P., Glaser, R., Himmelsbach, I., Kiss, A., Kotyza, O., Labbé, T., Limanówka, D., Litzenburger, L., Nordl, Ø., Pribyl, K., Retsö, D., Riemann, D., Rohr, C., Siegfried, W., Söderberg, J. and Spring, J.-L.: The year-long unprecedented European heat and drought of 1540 – a worst case, Climatic Change, 125(3–4), 349–363, doi:10.1007/s10584-014-1184-2, 2014.
- 670 Wheeler, D.: The weather diary of Margaret Mackenzie of Delvine (Perthshire): 1780-1805, Scottish Geographical Magazine, 110(3), 177–182, doi:10.1080/00369229418736926, 1994.

White, S., Pfister, C. and Mauelshagen, F.: The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History, Palgrave Macmillan, doi:10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5 2018

675 Woodworth, P. L.: The meteorological data of William Hutchinson and a Liverpool air pressure time series spanning 1768– 1999, International Journal of Climatology, 26(12), 1713–1726, doi:10.1002/joc.1335, 2006.

Zhang, X.Z., Ge, Q.S., Fang, X,Q., Zheng, J.Y., and Fei, J.: Precipitation variations in Beijing during 1860-1897 AD revealed by daily weather records from the Weng Tong-He Diary. International Journal of Climatology, 33(3), 568-576, doi:10.1002/joc.3448, 2013.

680