Lower oceanic $\delta^{13}C$ during the Last Interglacial compared to the Holocene
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Abstract.

The last time in Earth’s history when the high latitudes were warmer than during pre-industrial times was the last interglacial (LIG, 129–116 ka BP). Since the LIG is the most recent and best documented warm time period, it can provide insights into climate processes in a warmer world. However, some key features of the LIG are not well constrained, notably the oceanic circulation and the global carbon cycle. Here, we use a new database of LIG benthic $\delta^{13}C$ to investigate these two aspects.

We find that the oceanic mean $\delta^{13}C$ was $\sim 0.2$ ‰ lower during the LIG (here defined as 125–120 ka BP) when compared to the mid-Holocene (7–4 ka BP). As the LIG was slightly warmer than the Holocene, it is possible that terrestrial carbon was lower, which would have led to both a lower oceanic $\delta^{13}C$ and atmospheric $\delta^{13}CO_2$ as observed in paleo-records. However, given the multi-millennial timescale, the lower oceanic $\delta^{13}C$ most likely reflects a long-term imbalance between weathering and burial of carbon. The $\delta^{13}C$ distribution in the Atlantic Ocean suggests no significant difference in the latitudinal and depth extent of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) between the LIG and the mid-Holocene. Furthermore, the data suggests that the multi-millennial mean NADW transport was similar between these two time periods.

1 Introduction

The most recent and well documented warm time period, the last interglacial (LIG), began at the end of the penultimate deglaciation ($\sim 129$ thousand years before present, ka BP hereafter) and ended with the last glacial inception ($\sim 116$ ka BP) (Govin et al., 2015; Brewer et al., 2008; Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013). The LIG was globally somewhat warmer than pre-industrial (PI, $\sim 1850–1900$ (IPCC, 2013), Shackleton et al. (2020)); PI is estimated to be cooler by $\sim 0.7$ °C than the peak of the Holocene ($\sim 5$ ka BP) (Marcott et al., 2013). Though not an exact analogue for future warming, the LIG may still help shed light on future climates. In particular, we seek to constrain the mean LIG ocean circulation and estimate the global oceanic mean $\delta^{13}C$. 
1.1 Climate during the Last Interglacial

As greenhouse gas concentrations were comparable to the Holocene, the LIG was most likely relatively warm because of the high boreal summer insolation (Laskar et al., 2004). During the LIG, the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration was relatively stable around $\sim$280 p.p.m. (Bereiter et al., 2015; Lüthi et al., 2008), while during the Holocene CO$_2$ first decreased by about 5 p.p.m. starting at 11.7 ka BP before increasing by $\sim$28 p.p.m. until reaching a mean of 279 p.p.m. at $\sim$2 ka BP (Fig. 1a) (Eggleston et al., 2016b). Additionally, during the LIG and the Holocene, N$_2$O peaked at around $\sim$267 p.p.b (Spahni et al., 2005; Flückiger et al., 2002), while CH$_4$ reached $\sim$700 p.p.b (Petit et al., 1999) and $\sim$675 p.p.b respectively (Flückiger et al., 2002). Ice core data indicate strong polar warming in Greenland that was 8.5$\pm$2.5 °C higher during the peak of the LIG compared to PI (Landais et al., 2016). Similarly, EPICA DOME C record suggests that the highest Antarctic temperatures from the last 800 ka occurred during the LIG (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2010) (Fig. 1b). Global sea-level was 6–9 m higher at the LIG compared to PI (Dutton et al., 2015; Kopp et al., 2009), thus indicating significant ice-mass loss from both Antarctica and Greenland.

Strong polar warming is also supported by terrestrial and marine temperature reconstructions. On land, proxy records from mid to high latitudes indicate higher temperatures during the LIG compared to PI, particularly in North America (Anderson et al., 2014; Montero-Serrano et al., 2011; Axford et al., 2011). In Alaska and Northern Europe, summer temperatures were higher by about 1–2 °C (Kaspar et al., 2005), though some Northern European records indicate a smaller temperature increase of up to 1 °C (Plikk et al., 2019), and there is some inconsistency in the European temperature records (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2020). Sea surface temperature (SST) reconstructions also indicate higher temperatures at the LIG compared to PI (e.g. the Mediterranean record in Fig. 1c). A global analysis of SST records suggests that the surface ocean was 0.5$\pm$0.3 °C higher during the LIG compared to 1870–1889, with the largest increases occurring at high latitudes (Hoffman et al., 2017). Another global reconstruction estimates that the global mean SST was 0.7$\pm$0.6 °C higher during the LIG compared to peak Holocene temperatures (McKay et al., 2011). During boreal summer, temperatures in the Arctic were likely 4–5 °C higher with reduced Arctic summer sea ice extent (Stein et al., 2017). Temperatures of surface waters off Greenland were likely 3–5 °C higher during the early to mid-LIG compared to the warmest period of the Holocene (Ivala et al., 2012). North Atlantic summer SSTs were on average 1.1 °C higher than PI, while Southern Ocean austral summer SSTs are estimated to have been about 1.8 °C higher at 127 ka BP than PI (Capron et al., 2017).

Polar warming was also associated with significant changes in vegetation. Pollen records suggest a contraction of tundra and an expansion of boreal forests across the Arctic (CAPE, 2006), in Russia (Tarasov et al., 2005), and in North America (Muhs et al., 2001; de Vernal and Hillaire-Marcel, 2008; Govin et al., 2015). The few Saharan records suggest a green Sahara period during the LIG (Larrasoña et al., 2013; Drake et al., 2011), consistent with a stronger West African monsoon (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2020). Although these reconstructions indicate changes in vegetation distribution during the LIG, the total amount of carbon stored on land remains poorly constrained.

Recent numerical experiments of the LIG as part of the Paleomodel Intercomparison Project Phase 4 (PMIP4) simulate significant warming over Alaska and Siberia in boreal summer, with mean annual temperature anomalies of close to zero, which is in good agreement with the proxy record (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2020). Despite this and other recent data compilations...
Figure 1. LIG and Holocene timeseries of a) EPICA Dome C ice core $pCO_2,atm$ (Schneider et al., 2013; Eggleston et al., 2016a), b) EPICA Dome C ice core surface air temperatures determined from deuterium measurements (Jouzel and Masson-Delmotte, 2007), c) sea surface temperatures (SST) determined from alkenones and oxygen isotopes from the Mediterranean marine sediment core ODP161-977A (Martrat et al., 2004), and d) EPICA Dome C ice core $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ (Elsig et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2013). Grey vertical dotted lines indicate the commencement of the LIG and Holocene periods.
and modelling efforts (including Bakker et al. (2013)), to date there are many open questions remaining about the LIG. In particular, stronger constraints are needed on the extent of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, on ocean circulation and the global carbon cycle.

1.2 Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation during the Last Interglacial

It is important to constrain the state of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) at the LIG given its significant role in modulating climate. Seven coupled climate models integrated with transient 130–115 ka BP boundary conditions simulate different AMOC trends, with some models producing a strengthening of the AMOC while others compute a weakening during the LIG (Bakker et al., 2013). Paleoproxy records suggest equally strong and deep North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) during the LIG and the Holocene (e.g. Böhm et al., 2015; Lototskaya and Ganssen, 1999), with possible southward expansion of the Arctic front related to changes in the strength of the subpolar gyre (Mokeddem et al., 2014), and AMOC weakening during a few multi centennial-scale events between 127 and 115 ka BP (e.g. Galaasen et al., 2014b; Mokeddem et al., 2014; Tzedakis et al., 2018; Lehman et al., 2002; Helmens et al., 2015; Oppo et al., 2006; Rowe et al., 2019).

1.3 Oceanic δ13C and the carbon cycle

Stable carbon isotopes are a powerful tool for investigating ocean circulation (e.g. Curry and Oppo, 2005; Eide et al., 2017) and the global carbon cycle (e.g. Menviel et al., 2017; Peterson et al., 2014). Since the largest carbon isotope fractionation occurs during photosynthesis, organic matter is enriched in 12C (low δ13C), while atmospheric CO2 and surface water dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) become enriched in 13C (high δ13C). On land, the different photosynthetic pathways (which differentiate C3 and C4 plants) fractionate carbon differently, producing typical signatures of about -37 to -20 ‰ for C3 plants (Kohn, 2010) and around -13 ‰ for C4 plants (Basu et al., 2015), though these values vary with a number of factors including precipitation, atmospheric CO2 concentration and δ13C, light, nutrient availability, and plant species (Diefendorf et al., 2010; Farquhar et al., 1989; Schubert and Jahren, 2012; Cernusak et al., 2013; Leavitt, 1992; Diefendorf and Freimuth, 2017; Farquhar, 1983; Keller et al., 2017). In the ocean, phytoplankton using the C3 photosynthetic pathway are found to have fractionation during photosynthesis that depends on the concentration of dissolved CO2. Thus, atmospheric δ13CO2 during the LIG (Fig. 1d) is influenced by plant type, the cycling of organic carbon within the ocean, the total amount of carbon in vegetation and soils, temperature-dependent air-sea flux fractionation (Zhang et al., 1995; Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 1995), and, on longer time scales, by interactions with the lithosphere. Today, the mean surface DIC is thereby enriched by ~8.5 ‰ compared to the atmosphere due to fractionation during air-sea gas exchange (Schmittner et al., 2013; Menviel et al., 2015).

NADW is characterised by low nutrients and high δ13C as a result of a high nutrient and carbon utilisation by marine biota and fractionation during air-sea gas exchange in the northern North Atlantic. Along its path through the Atlantic basin interior, organic matter remineralisation lowers δ13C, reducing δ13C to ~0 ‰ by the time these water masses reach the Southern Ocean. Conversely, Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) has a high nutrient content and low δ13C.

The tight relationship between the water masses apparent oxygen utilisation, nutrient content and δ13C has revealed the potential of δ13C as a water mass ventilation tracer (Eide et al., 2017). The δ13C of benthic foraminifera shells, particularly
of the species *Cibicides wuellerstorfi*, has been found to reliably represent the $\delta^{13}C$ signature of DIC (Belanger et al., 1981; Zahn et al., 1986; Duplessy et al., 1984) and has therefore been used to better constrain the extent of different water masses. A $\delta^{13}C$ mass balance between the atmosphere, ocean and land has been previously used to constrain changes in terrestrial carbon between the Last Glacial Maximum (~20 ka BP) and Holocene. However, since on longer time scales the exchange of carbon with the lithosphere also influences the global mean $\delta^{13}C$, it cannot be applied to evaluate terrestrial carbon changes between the LIG and Holocene. It has been estimated that the amount of carbon both entering and exiting the lithosphere due to weathering and burial of organic carbon fluxes could be from 0.274 to 0.344 Gt C yr$^{-1}$ (Schneider et al., 2013), though these vary through time (Hoogakker et al., 2006). Over timescales greater than 10 ka, the influence of weathering and burial of carbon might therefore dominate the $\delta^{13}C$ signal (Jeltsch-Thömmes et al., 2019; Jeltsch-Thömmes and Joos, 2020).

Here, we present a new compilation of benthic $\delta^{13}C$ from *Cibicides wuellerstorfi* spanning the 130–118 ka BP time period. We use this data to compare the $\delta^{13}C$ signal of the LIG with that of the Holocene and to determine the difference in average ocean $\delta^{13}C$ between the two time periods. We then investigate the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) during the LIG with our new benthic $\delta^{13}C$ database. Finally, we qualitatively explore the role of the various processes affecting the $\delta^{13}C$ difference between the LIG and the Holocene.

2 Database and methods

2.1 Database

We present a new compilation of benthic $\delta^{13}C$ records covering the LIG (130–118 ka BP) and, for comparison, the mid-Holocene period (8–2 ka BP). Our database only includes measurements on *Cibicides wuellerstorfi* as no significant fractionation between the calcite shells and the surrounding DIC has been measured in this species (Belanger et al., 1981; Zahn et al., 1986; Duplessy et al., 1984).

Our compilation is predominantly based on Lisiecki and Stern (2016) (53 cores), but includes 14 cores described in Oliver et al. (2010), as well as a few other records (CH69-K09 (Labeyrie et al., 2017), MD03-2664 (Galaasen et al., 2014a), MD95-2042 (Govin, 2012), IODP 303-U1308 (Hodell et al., 2008), and ODP 1063 (Poirier and Billups, 2014)). The full core list and their respective locations is provided in the supplementary materials.

2.2 Age models

Due to the lack of absolute age markers, such as tephra layers, the LIG age models mostly rely on alignment strategies that tie each record to a well-dated reference record. The age model tie-points used in this study are taken from the original age model publications. The reference records used by Lisiecki and Stern (2016) consist of eight regional stacks (one for the intermediate and one for the deep ocean for each the North Atlantic, South Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans) of benthic $\delta^{18}O$ that were dated through alignment with other climatic archives such as ice-rafted debris records, synthetic ice core records and speleothems. The use of regional stacks, rather than a single global stack, improved stratigraphic alignment targets.
and provided more robust age models. The estimated uncertainty for this group of cores is ±2 ka. Please refer to Lisiecki and Stern (2016) for further details. Oliver et al. (2010) defined their age tie points assuming that sea level minima and benthic δ18O maxima are synchronous. The benthic δ18O records were aligned with each other and then tied to the Dome Fuji chronology (based on O2/N2) (Kawamura et al., 2007). Please refer to Shackleton et al. (2000) and Oliver et al. (2010) for an extensive method description. The estimated age model uncertainty on this group of cores is estimated to range from ±1 to ±2.5 ka.

The age models for the additional cores were determined using similar alignment techniques: SSTs were correlated to the NGRIP Greenland ice core for CH69-K09 and MD95-2042 (Govin et al., 2012). The age model for MD03-2664 was determined by correlating MD03-2664 δ18O with previously dated MD95-2042 δ18O (Galaasen et al., 2014b). IODP 303-U1308 δ18O and ODP 1063 δ18O were aligned to the LR04 stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).

The Holocene age models have been generally based on planktonic foraminifera radiocarbon dates (Waelbroeck et al., 2001; Stern and Lisiecki, 2014), which have been converted into calendar ages using IntCal13 and reservoir ages based on modern observations (Key et al., 2004), which are assumed to have remained fairly stable across the Holocene. The age uncertainty associated with these Holocene radiocarbon-based age models is generally less than ±0.5 ka. However, it is important to note that Holocene age models from Oliver et al. (2010) were derived using the same method as their LIG age models, leading to larger age uncertainties of about ±1 - ±2.5 ka for this set of Holocene records (14 cores).

The tie points were used to derive a full age-depth model assuming a constant sedimentation rate between tie-points (i.e. linear interpolation).

2.3 Spatial coverage

The spatial distribution of the database for the Holocene and the LIG is shown in Fig. 2. There is more data in the Atlantic Ocean (69 LIG, 113 Holocene) than in the Pacific (18 LIG, 19 Holocene) and Indian (4 LIG, 7 Holocene) Oceans. We used this database to determine 1) if there is a significant difference in the average ocean δ13C signal at the LIG compared to the Holocene, and 2) if ocean circulation patterns were comparable. Due to the sparsity of data in the Indian and Pacific Oceans, our investigation is primarily focused on the Atlantic. Additionally, the temporal uncertainties (~2 ka) do not permit an investigation of centennial-scale events, and therefore we restrict our analysis to mean LIG and Holocene conditions.

3 Results

The δ13C signal varies significantly regionally and with depth. The highest average δ13C values are associated with NADW and are generally found at intermediate depths (~1,500–3,000 m) in the North Atlantic, with organic matter remineralisation leading to a δ13C decrease along the NADW path. The lowest δ13C values are in the deep south Atlantic (>4,000 m) because the AABW end member is much lower than its NADW counterpart. Since the Indian and Pacific Oceans are mostly ventilated from southern-sourced water masses, δ13C generally decreases northward in these two basins.

Since the number of cores is not consistent across the two time periods, and given the high regional variability observed in δ13C, it is not possible to simply average all available data to determine the global mean δ13C. Furthermore, the spatial
Figure 2. Global distribution of benthic foraminifera $\delta^{13}C$ covering the Holocene (8–2 ka BP) (a) and LIG (130–118 ka BP) (b). Symbol size indicates the number of values per core, colour indicates average $\delta^{13}C$, and the triangle direction indicates the proxy depth (upward-pointing triangle: between 1,000 and 2,500 m depth, downward-pointing triangle: deeper than 2,500 m). Four specific regions are outlined: eastern equatorial Pacific (black, grey), equatorial Atlantic (yellow, green), southeast Atlantic (cyan, blue), and northeast Atlantic (magenta, red). Panel c: box plots for each region showing data below 2,500 m (box colours correspond to the region outline colours). Orange vertical lines show the median. The whiskers indicate the lower and upper fences of the data, and the clear circles are outliers.
heterogeneity of the data density adds to the complexity of the problem. To address these points, we first analyse differences between the LIG and Holocene records for pre-defined small regions with high data density. We then calculate regional volume-weighted $\delta^{13}C$ means for larger regions from which we estimate the global LIG-Holocene anomaly.

### 3.1 Regional reconstruction of $\delta^{13}C$

We define regions with high densities of cores to reconstruct regional mean $\delta^{13}C$ (Fig. 2). These regions need to be small enough to assume reasonably small spatial variability in the $\delta^{13}C$ signal and yet still have enough data to establish a reliable statistical difference between the two time periods.

Based on these requirements, four regions are selected: the northeast Atlantic, the equatorial Atlantic, a region off the Namibian Coast (southeast Atlantic), and a region around the Galapagos Islands (eastern equatorial Pacific). The boundaries of each region are defined in Table 1, with values of records deeper than 2,500 m represented in the box plots of Fig. 2c. The statistical characteristics shown in all of the box plots are consistently lower at the LIG compared to the Holocene ranging from 0.1 to 0.3 ‰ lower, which suggests that independent of regional differences, the LIG exhibits lower $\delta^{13}C$ values than the Holocene (Fig. 2). However, these box plots include all the data from 130–118 ka BP and 8–2 ka BP, and might therefore capture more than the mean LIG and Holocene states, i.e. they might include parts of the deglaciations or the beginning of the glacial inception for the LIG.

Most $\delta^{13}C$ time series display a significant increase in benthic $\delta^{13}C$ between 130 and 127 ka BP (Fig. 3). Given the uncertainties in the age models, this increase could be associated with the penultimate deglaciation (Oliver et al., 2010; Menviel et al., 2019). To avoid the relatively low $\delta^{13}C$ values which could be associated with the penultimate deglaciation and glacial inception, we focus our analysis on the period 125–120 ka BP (Fig. 3a). We round the data to the nearest 1 ka, find an average per 1 ka, and refer to this as a time slice. We consider qualitatively the influence of changes in the average depth in which the proxies were recorded, as indicated by the direction of the black triangles in Fig. 3. To make a meaningful comparison with the Holocene, we also restrict the Holocene data to a relatively stable $\delta^{13}C$ period, spanning from 7 to 4 ka BP for the Holocene–the mid-Holocene. The number of data points per 1 ka time slice deteriorates on either side of these two periods.

The average $\delta^{13}C$ anomaly between the LIG and mid-Holocene stable periods as defined above is consistent across the different regions despite their geographic separation, suggesting a significantly lower $\delta^{13}C$ during the LIG than the mid-

### Table 1. Regional summary of $\delta^{13}C$ below 2,500 m depth for the LIG (125–120 ka BP) and mid-Holocene (7–4 ka BP) using a single value per core for each time slice. Shown are the means ($\delta^{13}C$, ‰), standard deviations ($\sigma$, ‰), and counts (N) for both time periods, along with the time period regional anomalies ($\Delta\delta^{13}C$, ‰) and p-values from two-sample t-tests between the two time periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Holocene</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIG</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIG</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</td>
<td>$\sigma$ (‰)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</td>
<td>$\sigma$ (‰)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Atlantic</td>
<td>41°–18° N</td>
<td>15°–32° E</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Atlantic</td>
<td>5°–1° S</td>
<td>5°–18° E</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Atlantic</td>
<td>28°–1° S</td>
<td>15°–4° W</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern equatorial Pacific</td>
<td>3°–6° S</td>
<td>82°–98° E</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Benthic foraminifera $\delta^{13}$C (left y-axis, ‰) during the LIG (left) and Holocene (right) for four defined regions; northeast Atlantic (a), equatorial Atlantic (b), southeast Atlantic (c), and eastern equatorial Pacific (d). Data is presented in discrete time slices spanning 1 ka, only cores deeper than 2,500 m are shown. Circular, coloured points connected by lines show each average $\delta^{13}$C value per core per time slice. Black symbols represent $\delta^{13}$C averages per slice. Each slice has a corresponding averaged depth (right y-axis, m), with 1 standard deviation on either side shown in the bars. Slices with an average depth within ±300 m of the mean core depth of all slices are represented with a square point. Slices with an average depth shallower than the 300 m less than the mean are shown with an upward triangle, and deeper than 300 m more than the mean are shown with a downward triangle. Shading shows 1 standard deviation on either side of the mean for slices where more than 1 point exists.
Table 2. Regional breakdown of $\delta^{13}$C data during the mid-Holocene (7–4 ka BP) and LIG (125–120 ka BP) averaged across the 1 ka time slices. For each region: the average number of data points (labelled as ‘Points’) and cores per time slice (labelled as ‘Cores’), the average standard deviation of $\delta^{13}$C per time slices (‰), the mean depth (m) across time slices, and the standard deviation of depth (m) between time slices ($\sigma_{\text{depth}}$). NEA: northeast Atlantic, NWA: northwest Atlantic, SA: south Atlantic, SEA: southeast Atlantic, SWA: southwest Atlantic, I: Indian, NP: north Pacific, SP: south Pacific.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Holocene $\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\delta^{13}C}$ (%)</th>
<th>Mean depth (m)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{depth}}$ (m)</th>
<th>LIG $\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\delta^{13}C}$ (%)</th>
<th>Mean depth (m)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{depth}}$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEA</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2851</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2791</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3667</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3723</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>4031</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4616</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3126</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3203</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3584</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2409</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2383</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2833</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holocene, with differences ranging from 0.13 ‰ in the south-east Atlantic to 0.19 ‰ in the equatorial Atlantic (Table 1). The statistical significance between the two time periods is established using a two-tailed t-test on data that has one value per core and spans the entire time slices (125–120 ka BP and 7–4 ka BP). The t-test shows that there is a statistically significant difference everywhere except in the southeast Atlantic, with confidence intervals varying from 0.14 in the Equatorial Atlantic to 0.06 in the Equatorial Pacific. When using a single tail t-test instead, the difference becomes significant in the southeast Atlantic, giving a new p-value of 0.002. Figure 3 suggests that depth variations between time slices likely explain the variability in this region, making it difficult to establish a difference between the two time periods. However, the mean depth in each region between the LIG and the mid-Holocene are similar (with a maximum difference of 135 m).

Within this stable period during the LIG, a small $\delta^{13}$C increase can be observed in the northeast Atlantic between 125 and 120 ka BP (Fig. 3a). Over that period, a linear regression fitted to the mean of the points suggests an increase in $\delta^{13}$C of 0.02 ‰ ka$^{-1}$, with a p-value of 0.001 and an R$^2$ of 0.93, suggesting that while the trend is small, it is statistically significant.

3.2 Volume-weighted regional $\delta^{13}$C

The second approach we use to further constrain the LIG-Holocene $\delta^{13}$C anomaly is to estimate the volume-weighted regional $\delta^{13}$C. We define our regional boundaries based on the regions described in Peterson et al. (2014), however we only include the regions where there is enough data to justify an analysis. For all the data in each of these regions, we calculate a mean value by taking the direct averages of all data below 1,000 m depth. We divide the ocean basins into eight regions (Table 2, shown in Fig. A1 (Peterson et al., 2014)) and calculate the volume-weighted averages $\delta^{13}$C for each of these regions. Since the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans have more data than the Indian Ocean, there is greater confidence in the $\delta^{13}$C estimates for these regions. These regional averages are then used to calculate a global volume-weighted $\delta^{13}$C.

Results for the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans are given in Fig. 4, and show a mean LIG-Holocene anomaly of -0.22 ‰ and -0.24 ‰ respectively, slightly higher than the range of estimates for the four regions selected in Sect. 3.1. The slightly higher offset estimated in the Atlantic compared to our previous regional analysis (Sect. 3.1) could be due to the $\delta^{13}$C records in cores.
located in the southwest Atlantic, which were not included in our regional analysis. There are only 4 cores available in this region during the Holocene, and 1 during the LIG. The estimated LIG-Holocene anomaly is relatively high at -0.4 ‰. However, the relatively large LIG to Holocene anomaly estimate of -0.4 ‰ could be due to the deeper location of the LIG core compared to the mean of the Holocene cores (881 m, Table 2). There is less confidence in the estimate of the Pacific volume-weighted mean since the proxy data is sparse, and the majority are from the eastern equatorial Pacific (4 LIG, 11 Holocene) as shown in Fig. 2.

Similar to the trend highlighted in the northeast Atlantic (Fig. 3a), there is a small positive trend in the average Atlantic δ¹³C from 125 ka BP, reaching a maximum value at 121 ka BP (Fig. 4). The average core depth over this time period does not suggest that a change in the mean depth could explain this variation. Fitting a linear regression over this period indicates an increase in δ¹³C of 0.03 ‰ ka⁻¹ in the Atlantic, with a p-value of 0.03 and an R² of 0.72, similar to the trend seen in the northeast Atlantic (Fig. 3a). This ~0.15 ‰ Atlantic Ocean δ¹³C increase is also concurrent with the ~0.3 ‰ atmospheric δ¹³CO₂ increase. For the Pacific, there is a ~0.18 ‰ increase in δ¹³C between 7 and 5 ka BP, which could be associated with the early Holocene terrestrial regrowth (Menviel and Joos, 2012).

For the Indian Ocean, we only include two cores, as these are the only ones spanning both the LIG and mid-Holocene. An LIG anomaly of -0.13 ‰ in the Indian Ocean compared to the mid-Holocene is therefore associated with higher uncertainties. The whole ocean mean LIG δ¹³C anomaly is -0.21 ‰, but it is associated with higher uncertainties than each region anomaly.

Both the regional analysis of our new database and our volume-weighted estimate indicate that the global mean δ¹³C was about 0.2 ‰ lower during the LIG than during the mid-Holocene. There are three possible explanations for this difference. Firstly, an AMOC change might influence the global estimate due to overrepresentation of the Atlantic Ocean in the data. Since in total only 22 points originate from the Pacific and Indian basins compared to 69 in the Atlantic during the LIG, and a change in AMOC would significantly impact Atlantic benthic δ¹³C (Menviel et al., 2015), an assessment of possible mean LIG AMOC change would provide additional confidence in a global mean ocean δ¹³C change. Secondly, the global estimate might be influenced by lower LIG than mid-Holocene stores of organic carbon in either the land biosphere or dissolved organic matter. Thirdly, changes in sedimentary and lithospheric carbon both in terms of quantity and mean δ¹³C value can impact the global mean δ¹³C (Jeltsch-Thömmes and Joos, 2020; Schneider et al., 2013). These three possibilities are explored below.

### 3.3 Reconstruction of the LIG Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation

In this section, we analyse the spatial δ¹³C distribution in the Atlantic Ocean to assess potential changes in the penetration depth and southward expansion of NADW during the LIG, defined here as 125–120 ka BP, with respect to the mid-Holocene. A change in NADW might influence our estimate of the mean δ¹³C, given that most of the available data is localised in the Atlantic Ocean.

We use simple statistical regression models to reconstruct NADW and AABW separately with a quadratic-with-depth and linear-with-latitude equation following the method of Bengtson et al. (2019). For consistency, the regression algorithm only includes records from cores that span both the LIG and mid-Holocene and uses a weighted least squares approach, where the
Figure 4. Comparison of volume-weighted $\delta^{13}C$ for the Atlantic (red) and Pacific (blue) for the LIG and mid-Holocene, calculated using the regions from Peterson et al. (2014). Solid coloured lines indicate the mean volume-weighted $\delta^{13}C$, and the shading indicates the volume-weighted sum of square deviations from the mean. The horizontal bars indicate the mean of the stable period determined from the regional analysis as defined in Sect. 3.1 (LIG: 125–120 ka BP, mid-Holocene: 7–4 ka BP), with the $\Delta \delta^{13}C$ indicating the mean anomaly between these two average.
weighting equals the number of samples per core. The modelled region is defined between 40° S and 60° N as this is the region where we can expect to find both the NADW and AABW $\delta^{13}$C signals.

The results are shown in Fig. 5. We test the robustness of our statistical model using the jackknifing technique. We systematically exclude each individual core from the database one at a time, fit the parameters using this modified database, and compare the model prediction against the core which was excluded. This produces small variations in the average mean response of the statistical models (the standard deviations were 0.04 ‰ and 0.03 ‰ for the LIG and mid-Holocene, respectively).

We calculate end-member values based on proxies located near the water mass sources. These are taken as 0.79 ‰ and 1.02 ‰ for NADW for the LIG and mid-Holocene, respectively, and -0.09 ‰ and 0.22 ‰ for AABW for the LIG and mid-Holocene, respectively. The end-member values are calculated as the average of cores shallower than 3,000 m but deeper than 1,000 m and located between 50° N and 70° N for NADW. The NADW end-member cores have an average depth of 2043 m and a standard deviation of 478 m. For the AABW end-member, the only eligible core is ODP1089, which is at $\sim$41° S and 4621 m.

**Figure 5.** Reconstructed Atlantic $\delta^{13}$C (‰) meridional section during the LIG and mid-Holocene. The circular points represent the proxy data, showing the average $\delta^{13}$C with colour and the number of points per core with size. The stars represent the proxy data which make up the end-members. Background shading shows the reconstructed $\delta^{13}$C.
The mean volume-weighted $\delta^{13}C$ for the Atlantic Ocean between 40° S and 60° N based on this interpolation is 0.53 ‰ for the LIG and 0.69 ‰ for the mid-Holocene (Fig. 5). This suggests a 0.16 ‰ lower Atlantic $\delta^{13}C$ at the LIG than the mid-Holocene. Our statistical reconstruction points to a very similar NADW depth (~2,600 m) for both time periods (Fig. 5). The NADW depth is defined here as the depth of maximum $\delta^{13}C$ in the North Atlantic.

We also investigate the meridional gradient in $\delta^{13}C$ in the Atlantic Ocean to determine whether the NADW southward penetration, transport and remineralisation rates were significantly different during the LIG compared to the mid-Holocene. We only consider cores that are located between depths of 1,000 and 3,000 m in order to stay within the main pathway of NADW (Fig. 6a). Though there is significant scatter, in accordance with our previous findings, a moving average through the Holocene and the LIG data shows that LIG $\delta^{13}C$ is typically lower than the mid-Holocene counterparts. However, the slopes of the meridional $\delta^{13}C$ statistical model gradients are not very different for the LIG (0.0050 ‰ °latitude$^{-1}$) and the mid-Holocene (0.0045 ‰ °latitude$^{-1}$) (Fig. 6a), suggesting a similar southward penetration of NADW.

![Figure 6](https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-73)
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\(\delta^{13}C\) between the two time periods is most likely due to change in end-member values, while the mean Atlantic oceanic circulation was likely similar.

Based on our analysis, there appears to be no significant difference in the mean time-averaged AMOC between the LIG and the mid-Holocene. Negative LIG-Holocene anomalies are found for each of the smaller regions selected (northeast Atlantic, equatorial Atlantic, southeast Atlantic, and eastern equatorial Pacific), with statistical significance seen in all regions except the southeast Atlantic, where depth variations between time slices likely explain the increased variability in this region. Additionally, our volume-weighted mean \(\delta^{13}C\) estimates display similar anomalies in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (-0.22 ‰ and -0.24 ‰ respectively).

4 Discussion

One of the goals of our study is to assess the mean change in oceanic \(\delta^{13}C\) between the LIG and the Holocene. Given the uncertainties in the chronologies and to avoid taking into account data that would pertain to deglaciations, we chose the periods 125 to 120 ka BP for the LIG and 7 to 4 ka BP for the mid-Holocene. Using a similar geographical distribution of data points for both periods, we find that the oceanic \(\delta^{13}C\) was \(-0.2 \, \text{‰}\) lower during the LIG than the mid-Holocene.

Our analysis of the \(\delta^{13}C\) signal suggests consistent LIG-Holocene \(\delta^{13}C\) anomalies in different regions of the Atlantic basins, as well as in the Pacific and Indian Oceans, even if there are significant uncertainties with the later due to fewer available records. The \(\delta^{13}C\) distribution in the Atlantic Ocean suggests that there was no significant mean change in the southward penetration or depth of NADW during the LIG compared to the mid-Holocene. However, because of the relatively large time slices that were used in our analysis (1 ka) and with a typical age model uncertainty of \(\pm 2 \, \text{ka}\), our analysis suggests that there is no difference in the mean oceanic circulation between the periods 125–120 ka BP and 7–4 ka BP without being able to resolve potential centennial-scale oceanic circulation changes (e.g. Tzedakis et al., 2018).

The overall \(-0.2 \, \text{‰}\) lower oceanic \(\delta^{13}C\) could potentially be due to a change in end-member values (Fig. 6). As fractionation during air-sea gas exchange is temperature dependent, globally higher SSTs at the LIG could lead to a lower oceanic \(\delta^{13}C\). However, this would also lead to a higher atmospheric \(\delta^{13}CO_2\) at the LIG, which is inconsistent with Antarctic ice core measurements (Schneider et al., 2013). Nutrient utilisation decrease in the North Atlantic could also decrease surface ocean \(\delta^{13}C\) and thus the \(\delta^{13}C\) end-members. However, because the vast amount of organic carbon is remineralised and therefore remains as DIC (Sarmiento et al., 2002), nutrient utilisation impact on the end-members should not have influenced the average oceanic mean \(\delta^{13}C\), unlike the process of air-sea gas exchange. Currently, there is still a lack of constraints on nutrient utilisation in these end-member regions during the LIG compared to the Holocene.

Since both the atmospheric and oceanic \(\delta^{13}C\) were lower at the LIG than during the Holocene, this could indicate a significant release of low \(\delta^{13}C\) terrestrial carbon into the system. While changes in the terrestrial biosphere are not well constrained during the LIG, the proxies do indicate that there was possibly a greener Sahara (Larrasoaña et al., 2013) and a greater forest coverage at high latitudes (CAPE, 2006; Tarasov et al., 2005; Muhs et al., 2001; de Vernal and Hillaire-Marcel, 2008; Govin et al., 2015), which should have increased the carbon stored in the terrestrial vegetation. This does not account for other factors though, such
as the intensity of fires, which can have a significant impact on the terrestrial carbon storage (Bowman et al., 2009). A lower terrestrial carbon reservoir could also be explained by a decrease in soil carbon, including changes in carbon stored in peatlands and permafrost. While, to our knowledge, no comprehensive proxy-based reconstruction of soil carbon during the LIG exists, one modelling study found that the increase in carbon storage during the LIG was almost offset by an increase in heterotrophic respiration caused by higher temperatures at high latitudes (Schurgers et al., 2006), which gives credence to a lower soil carbon reservoir between the LIG and the mid-Holocene.

Furthermore, it is estimated that ~550 Gt C are stored in high northern latitude peats today (Yu et al., 2010), with a mean δ¹³C value of ~−28 ‰ (Dioumaeva et al., 2002; Novák et al., 1999). Any variation in peat accumulation rates could therefore significantly impact the total terrestrial carbon storage. Though it is associated with large uncertainties, a modelling study indicated higher accumulation of carbon in peat during the LIG than during the Holocene (Kleinen et al., 2012). Recent estimates suggest that ~1,500 Gt C are stored in permafrost, with about 1,000 Gt C in the active layer (Schuur et al., 2015). Even though quantitative estimates are lacking, there is some evidence in Siberia and Alaska for thawing permafrost during the LIG due to warmer conditions, potentially leading to a carbon release (e.g. Reyes et al., 2010; Stapel et al., 2018). Currently, both our understanding of the carbon stored in permafrost in the past and the potential evolution of permafrost in the future is limited (Turetsky et al., 2020). Further research to constrain this aspect of the climate system is needed.

While there is possibility of carbon stored in vegetation and soils contributing to the δ¹³C anomaly that we have observed, we are unable to verify this through the use of a mass balance, since the atmosphere–biosphere–ocean system cannot be assumed to be closed (Jeltsch-Thömmes and Joos, 2020).

At the LIG, both atmospheric δ¹³CO₂ and oceanic δ¹³C were lower than during the mid-Holocene, with atmosphere and ocean anomalies being 0.3 ‰ (Schneider et al., 2013) and 0.2 ‰ respectively. Such multi-millenial differences in the isotopic signal of both the atmosphere and ocean were most likely due to a long-term imbalance between weathering and sedimentation of carbon (Jeltsch-Thömmes and Joos, 2020).

5 Conclusions

We present a new compilation of benthic δ¹³C from 130 to 115 ka BP covering the LIG. Over this time period, benthic δ¹³C generally display a maximum value at ~121 ka BP (±2 ka), in phase with the maximum atmospheric δ¹³CO₂ (LIG value of ~6.5 ‰ at ~120 ka BP). As there are significant chronological uncertainties associated with LIG records, we identify a relatively stable period ranging between 125 and 120 ka BP. We compare this LIG benthic δ¹³C data to a similar database covering the mid-Holocene (7-4 ka BP). We find that during these specific time periods, LIG oceanic δ¹³C was about 0.2 ‰ lower than during the mid-Holocene. This anomaly is consistent across different regions in the Atlantic Ocean. Even though there are less records available, benthic δ¹³C data from the Pacific Ocean also support an anomaly of about 0.2 ‰.

An analysis of δ¹³C gradients across the Atlantic Ocean suggests that there were no significant changes in mean, long-term ocean circulation across the two intervals. While reduced high northern latitude peat and permafrost caused by higher temperatures at the LIG than during the mid-Holocene (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2020) could also lead to a lower atmospheric and
oceanic $\delta^{13}$C, the most likely explanation for the lower LIG oceanic $\delta^{13}$C is a long term imbalance in the weathering and burial of carbon. Additional studies are required to further constrain the LIG carbon balance.
Appendix A: Regional Boundaries

Figure A1. Global distribution of benthic foraminifera $\delta^{13}C$ (‰) during the Holocene (a) and LIG (b) showing the regional boundaries used to calculate the global volume-weighted mean $\delta^{13}C$. Dotted black lines indicate the regional boundaries. Symbol size indicates the number of values per core, colour indicates average $\delta^{13}C$, and the triangle direction indicates the proxy depth (upward-pointing triangle: shallower than 2,500 m, downward-pointing triangle: deeper than 2,500 m).
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