https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

Bipolar volcanic synchronization of abrupt climate change in Greenland and Antarctic ice cores during the last glacial period

Anders Svensson[1], Dorthe Dahl-Jensen[1], Jørgen Peder Steffensen[1], Thomas Blunier[1], Sune O. Rasmussen[1], Bo M. Vinther[1], Paul Vallelonga[1], Emilie Capron[1], Vasileios Gkinis[1], Eliza Cook[1], Helle Astrid Kjær[1], Raimund Muscheler[2], Sepp Kipfstuhl[1,3], Frank Wilhelms[3], Thomas F. Stocker[4], Hubertus Fischer[4], Florian Adolphi[2,4], Tobias Erhardt[4], Michael Sigl[4], Amaelle Landais[5], Frédéric Parrenin[6], Christo Buizert[7], Joseph R. McConnell[8], Mirko Severi[9], Robert Mulvaney[10] and Matthias Bigler[4]

[1]Physics of Ice, Climate and Earth, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
[2]Quaternary Sciences, Department of Geology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
[3]Stiftung Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany
[4]Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute & Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
[5]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, IPSL, UMR 8212, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ-UPS, Gif sur Yvette, France
[6]Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, IGE, Grenoble, France
[7]College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States
[8]Desert Research Institute, Nevada System of Higher Education, Reno, NV, United States
[9]Department of Chemistry 'Ugo Schiff', University of Florence, Florence, Italy
[10]British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Abstract

The last glacial period is characterized by a number of abrupt climate events that have been identified in both Greenland and Antarctic ice cores. The mechanisms governing this climate variability remain a puzzle that requires a precise synchronization of ice cores from the two Hemispheres to be resolved. Previously, Greenland and Antarctic ice cores have been synchronized primarily via their common records of gas concentrations or isotopes from the trapped air and via cosmogenic isotopes measured on the ice. In this work, we apply ice-core volcanic proxies and annual layer counting to identify large volcanic eruptions that have left a signature in both Greenland and Antarctica. Generally, no tephra is associated with those eruptions in the ice cores, so the source of the eruptions cannot be identified. Instead, we identify and match sequences of volcanic eruptions with bipolar distribution of sulfate, i.e. unique patterns of volcanic events separated by the same number of years at the two poles. Using this approach, we pinpoint 80 large bipolar volcanic eruptions throughout the second half of the last glacial period (12-60 ka before present). This improved ice-core synchronization is applied to determine the bipolar phasing of abrupt climate change events at decadal-scale precision. During abrupt transitions, we find more coherent Antarctic water isotopic signals (δ^{18}O and deuterium excess) than was obtained from previous gas-based synchronizations, providing additional support for our volcanic framework. On average, the Antarctic bipolar seesaw climate response lags the midpoint of Greenland abrupt δ^{18}O transitions by 122 ± 24 years. The time difference between Antarctic signals in deuterium excess and δ^{18}O, which is less sensitive to synchronization errors, suggests an Antarctic δ^{18}O lag of 152 ± 37 years. These estimates are shorter than the 200 years suggested by earlier gas-based synchronizations. As before, we find variations in the

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

timing and duration between the response at different sites and for different events suggesting an interaction of oceanic and atmospheric teleconnection patterns as well as internal climate variability.

1. Introduction

Greenland and Antarctic ice cores provide high-resolution records of abrupt climate events occurring throughout the last glacial period (11.7-115 ka BP). In Greenland ice cores, Dansgaard-Oeschger (DO) events describe a series of characteristic climate events (Dansgaard et al., 1993; North Greenland Ice Core Project members, 2004) that involve warming transitions of up to 16.5 degrees (Kindler et al., 2014) occurring within decades (Erhardt et al., 2019). Each DO event consists of a relatively mild climatic period, referred to as a Greenland Interstadial (GI) that is followed by a cold climatic period, known as a Greenland Stadial (GS). The duration of GIs and GSs range from centuries to millennia. Detailed investigation of the stratigraphy of the 25 major DO events originally identified has revealed that some of the events are composed of several separate warming and cooling events, leading to a total of 31-33 abrupt warming events during the last glacial period depending on the definition employed (Rasmussen et al., 2014). Whereas the onset of a GI event is abrupt and occurs in less than a century, the cooling transitions from GI to GS are more gradual and typically occur over several centuries. DO events are believed to originate in the North Atlantic, but have a global climatic impact that is documented in a wide range of paleoclimate archives across the Northern Hemisphere (Voelker and workshop participants, 2002). In Antarctic ice cores, the corresponding Antarctic Isotopic Maxima (AIM) are characteristic warm events that are more gradual and of smaller amplitude than the Greenland events (EPICA community members, 2006). The AIMs are believed to be related to the DO events through the so-called bipolar seesaw mechanism (Bender et al., 1994; Stocker and Johnsen, 2003), but the detailed mechanism is a matter of debate (Landais et al., 2015; Pedro et al., 2018). Knowledge of the exact phasing of climate in the two Hemispheres is crucial for deciphering the driving mechanism of the abrupt climate variability of the last glacial period and the climatic teleconnection patterns that connect the two hemispheres.

Three different techniques have been applied to progressively improve the synchronization of Greenland and Antarctic ice cores: globally well-mixed atmospheric gases, in particular the methane concentration (Blunier et al., 1998; Buizert et al., 2015; Lemieux-Dudon et al., 2010) and the isotopic compositions of O_2, $\delta^{18}O_{atm}$ (Bender et al., 1994; Capron et al., 2010), cosmogenic isotopes such as ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl (Raisbeck et al., 2017; Steinhilber et al., 2012), and identification of large volcanic eruptions with bipolar sulfate deposition (Sigl et al., 2013; Svensson et al., 2013). A strength of the bipolar methane matching approach is that atmospheric methane concentrations change almost in phase with abrupt Greenland climate change allowing for those events to be synchronized in ice cores. A weakness of the bipolar gas matching approach is the dependency on a precise determination of the so-called Δage that refers to the offset in age between the ice and the air enclosed in an ice core at a given depth (Blunier et al., 2007; Schwander and Stauffer, 1984). Modeling past Δage requires assumptions about past accumulation and temperature variations, introducing substantial age uncertainties associated with the synchronization.

Cosmogenic isotope production rates are modulated by the Earth's magnetic field and by solar variability, and they therefore carry a global signal that is shared by Greenland and Antarctic cosmogenic ice core records. Bipolar ice core synchronization using cosmogenic isotopes has mostly been done in the Holocene (Mekhaldi et al., 2015; Sigl et al., 2015; Steinhilber et al., 2012) and around the geomagnetic Laschamps event that occurred some 41 ka ago (Raisbeck et al., 2017; Raisbeck et al., 2007). Furthermore, the ice core cosmogenic signal enables

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

the comparison with ^{14}C records of other archives, such as dendrochronologies (Adolphi and Muscheler, 2016; Sigl et al., 2016) and stalagmites (Adolphi et al., 2018). Weaknesses of this technique include the sparsity of significant events, climatic influences on radionuclide transport and deposition masking the cosmogenic signal, and the very costly and time-consuming analyses that limit the possibility of obtaining continuous high-resolution records. Furthermore, archive noise in the ice core records hampers unambiguous peak detection and synchronization.

This study focuses on volcanic bipolar synchronization of ice cores in the second half of the last glacial period (12-60 ka BP). The volcanic record of the last glacial period in Greenland ice cores includes more than a hundred confirmed Icelandic and high-latitude eruptions that have left predominantly cryptotephra (invisible to the naked eye) deposits in the ice (Abbott and Davies, 2012; Bourne et al., 2015) (Cook et al, in prep 2020); and presumably many more Icelandic eruptions that have not been identified as such. In addition to those, a large number of more distant eruptions have left an acidity signature in the ice cores but no tephra (Zielinski et al., 1997). In fact, during the last glacial only tephra from mid and high latitude eruptions have been identified in Greenland, whereas, to date, there is no evidence of tropical, low latitude or even continental European tephra in Greenland. Whether the lower latitude tephras never make it to Greenland or whether they are too small to be identified by conventional optical microscopy techniques and thus masked by more abundant, similar-sized background dust of continental origin is an open question.

In Antarctica, there are many visible tephra layers of Antarctic origin as well as a large number of acidity spikes associated with more distant eruptions (Narcisi et al., 2017; Severi et al., 2007). It has been proposed that tephra of tropical origin is present in the WAIS Divide ice core, but the evidence is solely based on dust size distributions and not on geochemical fingerprinting (Koffman et al., 2013). A pioneering study has suggested to have identified a bipolar tephra at around A.D. 1257 (Palais et al., 1992) and there is recent support for that conclusion suggesting that the source is the Indonesian Samalas volcano (Lavigne et al., 2013).

Although the ice-core records lack bipolar tephra layers they do hold evidence of volcanic eruptions that are powerful enough to leave sulfuric acid in the stratosphere from where it may be distributed to both Greenland and Antarctica. More than 80 such events have been identified for the last 2500 years (Sigl et al., 2015). For the earlier part of the Holocene, which has been less intensely studied, some 75 bipolar events have been found (Veres et al., 2013), and from around the time of the Indonesian Toba eruption occurring in Sumatra some 74 ka ago, a handful of bipolar volcanic events have been identified (Svensson et al., 2013). Recently, there has been progress in identifying stratospheric volcanic peaks in ice cores based on their sulfur isotopic fingerprints (Burke et al., 2019; Gautier et al., 2019). In this work, we expand the bipolar volcanic matching approach systematically throughout the 12-60 ka time interval.

2. Methods

The approach taken to synchronize Greenland and Antarctic ice cores is to identify large volcanic eruptions with a bipolar acidity or sulfur/sulfate signature. Because such events generally do not leave tephra in ice cores from both polar regions, individual eruptions cannot be matched geochemically between the two hemispheres, as there is no way to verify that they have the same source. What can be matched up, however, are sequences of eruptions that show the same relative timing in both Greenland and Antarctica. To determine the time interval between eruptions, and thereby the relative timing of events, annual layer counting is carried out over the volcanic sequence in both Greenland and Antarctic ice cores. When identical volcanic peak patterns are identified

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

in north and south, it is seen as a strong indication for a bipolar link. There is, however, always a risk of making an incorrect link, because an assumed volcanic sequence could consist of regional (non-bipolar) eruptions with coincidental similar temporal spacing.

The peak heights of the recorded eruption intensities in a bipolar volcanic ice-core sequence cannot be expected to be similar at the two poles, because the strength of the recorded signal depends on the geographical location of the eruption, the atmospheric circulation at the time of the eruption, and the variability in deposition of acids at the ice coring sites (Gautier et al., 2016). Furthermore, the annual layer counting comes with an uncertainty that adds to the possibility of making an incorrect bipolar match (Rasmussen et al., 2006). On the other hand, the bipolar timing of Greenland and Antarctic ice core records is already well constrained by existing gas- and ^{10}Be-based bipolar synchronizations. At the onset of each GI, the uncertainty in the bipolar methane matching between the Greenland NGRIP and the Antarctic WDC ice cores is around a century (Buizert et al., 2015), which constrains the time windows for matching of bipolar volcanic sequences. Similarly, the cosmogenic isotope link around the time of the Laschamps event firmly constrains the volcanic matching in that time period (Raisbeck et al., 2017). Therefore, the risk of making false bipolar volcanic matches is strongly reduced by the existing bipolar synchronization.

We perform annual layer counting in sections of the Greenland NGRIP (North Greenland Ice Core Project members, 2004) and the Antarctic EPICA (European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica) Dronning Maud Land (EDML) (EPICA community members, 2006) ice cores using high-resolution records of chemical impurities (Bigler, 2004; Ruth et al., 2008), dust (Ruth et al., 2003; Wegner et al., 2015), and visual grey-scale intensity (Faria et al., 2018; Svensson et al., 2005). The approach is the same as that applied for the glacial section of the Greenland Ice core Chronology 2005 (GICC05) (Andersen et al., 2006; Svensson et al., 2008). For this study, most sections of the NGRIP ice core have been recounted, and the Greenland time scale has been slightly modified as the bipolar matching allows for obtaining an improved precision from annual counting in both NGRIP and EDML. The EDML ice core has not previously been layer-counted in the glacial period, but for the investigated time interval the annual layer thicknesses are comparable to those of NGRIP (Veres et al., 2013) and layer counting can be done in a similar way. The two-core layer counting is not continuous, but is focused on periods of abrupt climate variability or high volcanic activity. In order to allow for comparison to published records ages in all tables and figures have been converted to GICC05 ages using the year 2000 CE as datum (referred to as 'b2k').

In order to obtain a robust identification of volcanic sequences in the ice cores, all available acidity records from the Greenland GRIP (Wolff et al., 1997), GISP2 (Mayewski et al., 1997), NGRIP (Bigler, 2004), and NEEM (Schüpbach et al., 2018) ice cores have been included. For Antarctica, records from the EDML ice core from the Atlantic sector (EPICA community members, 2006), the EDC core from the East Antarctic plateau (EPICA community members, 2004), and the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide (WDC) (Fudge et al., 2013; Sigl et al., 2016) ice core are used. Records of sulfur, sulfate, chloride, and Electrical Conductivity Measurements (ECM) of the ice (Hammer et al., 1980), Dielectric Profiling (DEP) (Moore et al., 1989; Wilhelms et al., 1998) and the liquid conductivity of melt water are also employed as good indicators of volcanic signals in ice cores. With the inclusion of those records, the ability of distinguishing large global volcanic events from more regional eruptions is improved, in particular for Antarctica.

The Greenland ice cores used here previously have been synchronized by volcanic events (Rasmussen et al., 2013; Seierstad et al., 2014). Likewise, the Antarctic ice cores have been linked internally by volcanic matching

(Buizert et al., 2018; Ruth et al., 2007). In addition to the published volcanic match points made for Antarctica, some 25 additional Antarctic match points have been identified in the present study to strengthen the synchronization in the neighborhood of Greenland abrupt climate change events. The non-bipolar or 'local' volcanic matching applied here is in agreement with the published synchronizations for Greenland and Antarctica, respectively.

To investigate the bipolar climate signal, we employ high-resolution stable water isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$) from the GRIP (Johnsen et al., 2001), GISP2 (Stuiver and Grootes, 2000), NGRIP (Gkinis et al., 2014), and NEEM (Vinther et al., in prep, 2020) ice cores, as well as $\delta^{18}O$ and deuterium excess from the EDML (EPICA community members, 2006), EDC (EPICA community members, 2004) and WDC (Buizert et al., 2018) ice cores. The sources of the employed datasets are listed in Table S1.

3. Results

The bipolar volcanic match points identified in the 12-60 ka interval are shown in Fig. 1 and listed in Table 1. Of the 85 bipolar match points listed, five are previously published cosmogenic match points associated with the Laschamps geomagnetic excursion occurring in the 40.5-42.0 ka interval (Raisbeck et al., 2017). For the interval 16.5-24.5 ka, roughly corresponding to the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), the ice cores are notoriously difficult to match up, and no bipolar match points are reported. We note that most of the identified bipolar match points fall within Greenland interstadial periods and rather few are located in stadials. The main reasons for this are the elevated dust concentrations in the colder periods that mutes the ice conductivity signal as well as the elevated sulfuric background signal of colder periods that obscures the volcanic signal of the ice (Seierstad et al., 2014). Besides this, precise annual layer counting is also more difficult in the colder periods where accumulation is lower (Andersen et al., 2006).

All of the bipolar volcanic match points are identified in the Greenland NGRIP and the Antarctic EDML ice cores, and most of them are also identified in the Antarctic WDC and EDC ice cores. About half of the bipolar match points are also identified in the Greenland GRIP, GISP2, and NEEM ice cores, but the lower resolution of available sulfate and conductivity records for those cores is often insufficient for precise identification of weaker volcanic events. The Greenland ice cores are however internally synchronized throughout the last glacial period (Rasmussen et al., 2013; Seierstad et al., 2014) by northern hemispheric eruptions, typically Icelandic in origin, that leave a stronger fingerprint in Greenland. Therefore, for most of the bipolar match points all of the Greenland ice cores are precisely matched by interpolation between Greenland match points.

The bipolar match points are unevenly distributed over the 12-60 ka interval, but bipolar volcanic events have been identified within a range of 500 years of all major onsets and terminations of Greenland interstadials (GIs) with the exception of GI-2 (Fig. 1). All of the Greenland abrupt climate-change events in that period (except for GI-2) are thus synchronized with the Antarctic climate at high precision. The derived depths and ages for the onsets and terminations of GI events are shown in Table 2. Based on the 5% average counting uncertainty during the last glacial period (Svensson et al., 2008), the relative uncertainty of the bipolar linking related to the GI events is taken as 10% of the distance to the nearest bipolar match point (Table 2). On average, this relative uncertainty is less than 15 years and reaches a maximum of 50 years. The definition of GI onsets and terminations applied in this study are the midpoints of the NGRIP isotopic transitions as identified in Buizert et al. (2015), except for the onset of GI-1 (the Bølling-Allerød) which is taken from Steffensen et al. (2008).

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

In the following sections, we provide examples of the bipolar synchronization from selected time intervals. In the supplementary material detailed figures are provided for all the DO events (Fig. S1-S14).

3.1 The termination of GI-1 / Onset of the Younger Dryas

The onset of the Younger Dryas (GS-1) is synchronized between the two hemispheres by four large acidity spikes clustered around 13 ka and spanning 110 years (Fig. 2). The two outermost spikes are most significant, but all four spikes are present in all investigated cores. All four volcanic eruptions are interpreted as bipolar and they are therefore most likely associated with low-latitude eruptions. This is in conflict with the hypothesis that one of them should be related to the German Laacher See eruption (Baldini et al., 2018) that is believed to have a primarily Northern Hemispheric fingerprint (Graf and Timmreck, 2001). A tephra layer in the NGRIP ice core occurring close to the oldest of the four spikes has previously been tentatively associated with a Hekla eruption (Mortensen et al., 2005), but with the clear bipolar signature there is likely a temporal overlap between this Icelandic and an additional lower-latitude eruption. We notice that the eruption associated with the very significant North Atlantic Vedde Ash layer (Lane et al., 2012; Mortensen et al., 2005) located at 12.17 ka in the Greenland ice cores (Rasmussen et al., 2006) potentially has left a weak acidic signal in Antarctica.

Our bipolar volcanic linking allows for synchronizing the climate signal of the investigated cores (Figure 3). The four Greenland cores show quite variable climate patterns for the termination of GI-1, making it difficult to define the duration of the transition, but they all have the most significant drop in isotopic values in the interval constrained by the two bipolar events at 12.75 ka and 12.92 ka, respectively. The Antarctic cores show a fairly constant isotope level in the 12.75 – 13.25 ka interval followed by a rise in isotopic values starting around 12.75 ka.

It has been proposed that the Younger Dryas period/GS-1 was initiated by a cosmic impact for which there is indirect and debated evidence in a large number of sites in the NH surrounding the North Atlantic region (Kennett et al., 2015). A very significant Platinum (Pt) spike has been identified in the GISP2 ice core (Petaev et al., 2013) and at several North American sites (Moore et al., 2017) that potentially originate from the same impact event. The Pt spike occurs about 45 years after the volcanic quadruplet, i.e. after the Greenland cooling has initiated but before it has reached its minimum (Fig. S1B). The hypothesis of the YD initiation by a cosmic impact is debated (Holliday et al., 2020), and it recently took an exciting twist with the discovery of the (undated) Hiawatha impact crater in NW Greenland (Kjær et al., 2018). Based on ice radar profiles and other evidence, the crater, which is located 378 km from the NEEM drill site, has been suggested to be the origin of the YD impact event. From an ice core point of view, however, we would expect the ice core stratigraphy to be significantly affected by such a dramatic event occurring in Greenland. Yet, we do not find any signs of disturbances of the ice core stratigraphy at the time of the GISP2 Pt spike. The annual layers are well preserved in all Greenland cores, and there is no abnormal layer thickness nor any elevated concentrations of dust or other impurities. Our study thus gives no support for the Hiawatha crater to have formed close to the onset of YD/GS-1; nor for the onset of the YD to have been triggered by this impact.

3.2 The onset of Greenland Interstadial 1 (GI-1) / Bølling-Allerød

The very steep Greenland onset of the GI-1 / Bølling-Allerød period is preceded by a 1.8 ky-long period of strong global volcanic activity (Fig. S2A). The bipolar phasing is well constrained by several significant eruptions leading up to the onset, and the bipolar volcanic matching pattern is easily recognized. In agreement with Steffensen et

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

al. (2008), we note that NGRIP appears to be the Greenland ice core with the steepest $\delta^{18}O$ transition at the GI-1 onset (Fig. S2B). The Antarctic ice cores are all peaking close to 200 years after the Greenland mid-transition in agreement with the methane matching of NGRIP and WDC (Buizert et al., 2015). The very strong volcanic double spike in NGRIP close to 15.68 ka is associated with tephra from the explosive caldera-forming Towada-H eruption (Bourne et al., 2016) located in present-day Japan close to 40°N. This eruption appears to have no significant Antarctic imprint.

3.3 Greenland Stadial 3 (GS-3)

In the late GS-3, at 24.67 ka, there is a characteristic volcanic triplet spike that constitutes a strong bipolar link (Fig. S3A). The three spikes are separated by 20±1 and 10±1 years, respectively, making the match point unique. At 25.46 ka (b2k GICC05 age) we hypothesize to record in Greenland traces from the Oruanui eruption from the Taupo volcano in present-day New Zealand in the Greenland record. Tephra of this eruption has been previously identified and dated to 25.37 ka (b2k WD2014 age) in the WDC ice core (Dunbar et al., 2017). There appears to be a major Greenland acidity spike associated with this eruption despite its latitude being close to 40°S. Unfortunately, there are no adjacent bipolar eruptions within several hundreds of years making the bipolar Oruanui link somewhat uncertain. The nearest pair of bipolar eruptions is found at 25.76 and 25.94 ka, respectively, leaving enough room in the layer counting uncertainty for the Greenland acidity spike to potentially be a 'false match' offset by up to 30 years from the Antarctic Oruanui spike. The link needs to be investigated by the bipolar sulfur isotopes method to rule out a coeval local source for the NGRIP event (Burke et al., 2019). Besides the potential bipolar link, the Oruanui eruption is also relevant for comparing Greenland and Antarctic ice core time scales (Sigl et al., 2016) and it constitutes an important comparison point for ^{14}C ages and ice core chronologies (Muscheler et al., In review, February 2020).

3.4 The onset of Greenland Interstadial 8 (GI-8)

The very prominent onset of GI-8 is associated with four significant bipolar eruptions within a 400 yr period (Fig. 2). The eruption occurring at 38.13 ka, close to a century after the onset, shows a very significant signal in all acidity proxies of all investigated ice cores, and it appears to be one of the largest eruptions of the last glacial period. We thus see it as a potential candidate for the H1 horizon identified in Antarctica by radio-echo sounding (Winter et al., 2019). Other prominent bipolar events are situated at 37.97, 38.23, and 38.37 ka, respectively. The 38.23 ka event occurs right at the initiation of the GI-8 onset.

In the climate records across the GI-8 onset, the Greenland records behave quite similarly, whereas the Antarctic records show rather distinct patterns (Fig. 3). EDC expresses a prominent warming coinciding with the Greenland warming; WDC also shows a warming although much less significant. Both EDC and WDC exhibit a cooling trend initiating some decades after the Greenland onset. In contrast, EDML shows a century-long cooling period starting right at the Greenland onset. Being rather noisy, it is hard to separate signal from noise in the Antarctic records based on just one warming event. However, the stacking exercise across several warming events discussed below reveals that the pattern expressed by the Antarctic records at the GI-8 onset is an archetypical expression of an Antarctic response to a major GI onset.

3.5 Greenland interstadials 9 and 10 (GI-9 and GI-10)

For the period 40-43 ka that covers GI-9 and GI-10, the bipolar matching is very well constrained by 16 bipolar match points (Fig. S8A), five of which are independent cosmogenic match points related to the Laschamps geomagnetic excursion (Raisbeck et al., 2017). The volcanic match presented here is in agreement within uncertainties with the cosmogenic matching, and it replaces the existing bipolar volcanic matching in this region (Svensson et al., 2013) that has been shifted by some 30 years.

The onsets of GI-9 and GI-10 provide examples of less prominent GI-events where the Antarctic isotopic response pattern is different from that of the larger events, such as GI-8 and GI-12 (Fig. S8B). For the GI-9 onset, it is practically impossible to distinguish a bipolar seesaw response in the adjacent periods in the Antarctic climate records. For the GI-10 onset, EDC has a small spike and EDML has a dip, but none of them stand out from the background. WDC appears to enter GI-10 without any climatic response. For the smaller/weaker GI events the response pattern in Antarctica is likewise smaller, making it harder to identify it within the isotopic background variability (Fig. 1).

4. Bipolar phasing of abrupt climate change

The characteristics of the climate record of the individual GI onsets may vary from event to event, from ice core to ice core and from proxy to proxy both in Greenland and in Antarctica. In Greenland, the main pattern associated with a GI onset is similar for all deep ice cores, but transition durations and the relative phasing of individual parameters, such as water isotopes and impurity concentrations, vary between events and to a lesser degree between cores (Erhardt et al., 2019; Rasmussen et al., 2014; Steffensen et al., 2008). In Antarctica, the investigated cores are located further apart, they are exposed to the climatic influence from different ocean basins, and they do in general show greater variability in relation to the Greenland GI onsets than is the case for the more closely located Greenland coring sites. Millennial-scale climate variability in Antarctica furthermore has a profoundly lower signal-to-noise ratio than that in Greenland, contributing to the difficulty of interpreting individual events.

Keeping in mind this variability among individual GI events, we find it useful to extract a general pattern across the GI onsets and terminations by aligning the individual events and stacking (or compositing) them, as it has been done using bipolar methane synchronization (Buizert et al., 2015; Buizert et al., 2018). The stacking provides us with an overall phasing relation that may be helpful in unravelling the governing mechanisms of the abrupt climate change, for example by comparison to model experiments that typically do not capture the details of individual events (Buizert et al., 2018). We stress that the associated underlying assumption of the stacking approach, that the complete temporal progression of all events is the result of the same underlying process, may not be fully justified.

In Fig. 4, we show the δ^{18}O records of NGRIP together with five Antarctic ice cores stacked across all of the GI onsets and terminations in the 12-60 ka interval (except for GI-2), centered at the Greenland transition midpoint as defined in Table 2. Besides the EDML, EDC and WDC ice cores applied for the bipolar synchronization in this study, we expand the geographical coverage by including the Antarctic Dome Fuji (DF)(Kawamura et al., 2007) and Talos Dome (TAL)(Stenni et al., 2010) records applying the existing Antarctic volcanic synchronization (Buizert et al., 2018; Fujita et al., 2015; Severi et al., 2012). Fig. 5 shows the stacking of the five Antarctic ice cores from Fig. 4, thus a stack of 21 events in five Antarctic ice cores totaling 105 events. We note that the Greenland onsets are aligned according to the midpoint of the warming transition (set to t=0), implying that the initiation of the Greenland event occurs earlier than the alignment point. A recent study suggests that the abrupt NGRIP δ^{18}O and Calcium (Ca) transition onsets on average precede the δ^{18}O transition midpoint by 25±7 and 33±15

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

years, respectively (Erhardt et al., 2019); in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 this places the Greenland δ^{18}O and Ca event onsets at t = -25 and t = -33 years, respectively.

For Antarctica, the stacked EDC, WDC and EDML records show distinct δ^{18}O patterns similar to those identified for the onset of GI-8 (Fig. 3). EDC, WDC and TAL show a peak of accelerated warming, the onset of which is synchronous with Greenland warming and that lasts for close to a century. DF likewise shows an accelerated warming, albeit somewhat later than the aforementioned cores. This direct Antarctic warming response to the Greenland warming is likely to be associated with fast atmospheric changes on a global scale (Markle et al., 2017). In particular, it has been proposed that a northward shift in the SH westerlies in response to NH warming (Lee et al., 2011; Pedro et al., 2018) may drive a warming anomaly in most of the Antarctic continent through enhanced zonal heat transport in the atmosphere (Buizert et al., 2018; Marshall and Thompson, 2016). Another process that is likely to contribute to the alignment of the water isotope records at the GI onsets is the local cycle of sublimation-condensation in summer on the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets that is currently under investigation (Kopec et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2019).

EDML, however, shows an immediate cooling response that is distinct among the cores investigated (Fig. 4 and Fig. S15), perhaps reflecting regional effects such as wind-driven changes to the Weddell Sea stratification, gyre circulation, sea-ice extent or polynya activity.

Based on the volcanic bipolar synchronization, the general Antarctic response time (Fig. 5) to a Greenland warming event is shorter than that obtained from bipolar methane linking (Buizert et al., 2015). Instead of the 200 year lag found in the methane-based synchronization, we find an average response time of 122 ± 24 years (2σ uncertainty) using the same fitting routine as used in Buizert et al. (2015) (see discussion of uncertainty estimates below). This difference is mostly due to uncertainty in the WDC Δage calculation; the new synchronization suggests that the glacial Δage was too small by around 70 years on average (Fig. S16).

Besides δ^{18}O, we also stack records of Antarctic deuterium excess using the logarithmic definition (d_{ln}) introduced by (Uemura et al., 2012). Previous work has found d_{ln} to abruptly increase (decrease) in synchrony with the onset (termination) of GIs at multiple Antarctic sites (Buizert et al., 2018; Markle et al., 2017; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2010), which has been attributed to shifts of the Southern Hemisphere (SH) subpolar jet and westerly winds (e.g. Schmidt et al. (2007)). Stacks using a methane-based synchronization show a d_{ln} transition that takes ~220 years, followed by a broad peak (Fig. 5); in contrast, our volcanic synchronization suggests a shorter transition (152 ± 37 years) and a much sharper d_{ln} transition. Any chronological errors in the bipolar synchronization will misalign the events being composited, thereby broadening the climatic features in the stacked record. The fact that our volcanic synchronization yields sharper features is thus indirect evidence that it is more accurate than existing gas-based synchronizations. The duration of the d_{ln} transition we observe in our stack is still an upper bound on its true duration, given that our event alignment includes uncertainties due to annual layer counting to the nearest volcanic tie point, as well as potentially incorrectly identified volcanic tie points. It was suggested that the gradual d_{ln} trends before and after the transition follow the gradual source-water sea-surface-temperature trends of the SH via the bipolar seesaw (Markle et al., 2017).

Our volcanic bipolar synchronization also shifts the onset of the d_{ln} transition towards older ages, placing it at t = -30 ± 29 years (2σ uncertainty) relative to the Greenland δ^{18}O transition midpoint. Such an early onset may seem surprising, but is actually in very good agreement with other Greenland proxies that suggest that low-latitude changes precede the Greenland $\delta^{18}O$ signals. In particular, changes in Greenland dust / Ca concentrations appear to lead the Greenland $\delta^{18}O$ by a decade at the onset of the transitions (Erhardt et al., 2019), which has been attributed to early changes in the ITCZ position and atmospheric circulation (Steffensen et al., 2008). Meridional shifts in the SH eddy-driven jet and westerlies are suggested to be dynamically linked to the ITCZ position (Ceppi et al., 2013). The onset of the Greenland Ca transition (presumably reflecting NH atmospheric circulation shifts) precedes the Greenland $\delta^{18}O$ transition midpoint (which we set as t=0) by 33 ± 15 years on average (Erhardt et al., 2019), in good agreement with the 30 ± 29 years we find for the onset of SH atmospheric circulation changes.

The Antarctic d_{ln} and $\delta^{18}O$ signals (Fig. 5) are recorded in the same physical ice core, and therefore the uncertainty in their relative phasing is small. Errors in the bipolar synchronization will blur the abruptness of their transitions, but should not alter their relative phasing; by contrast, the phasing relative to Greenland proxies is very sensitive to bipolar synchronization errors. The 152 ± 37 year duration between the onset of the d_{ln} response, and the breakpoint in the $\delta^{18}O$ curve therefore represents a robust estimate of the climatic lag of the mean Antarctic temperature response behind the first atmospheric manifestation of the GI event in the southern hemisphere high latitudes.

At the terminations of GI events, the stacked NGRIP $\delta^{18}O$ shows a less prominent but still sharp transition over a ~100 year interval (Fig. 4). For $\delta^{18}O$, all of the stacked Antarctic cores reach a minimum in the interval 100-150 year following the Greenland termination with the strongest response seen for TAL. For d_{ln}, EDC and DF show a significant response related to the Greenland terminations, whereas the other Antarctic cores express a less coherent signal. Note that EDML has its coldest temperatures near t=0, suggesting again a fast response at this site of opposite sign as in the DO warming case.

4.1 Uncertainty estimate of stacked records

To estimate the uncertainty in the change-point analysis of the stacked records (Fig. 5) we use a Monte Carlo scheme with 1,000 iterations (Buizert et al., 2015). In each iteration, the alignment of the individual events is shifted randomly prior to the stacking, and the change-point is identified in the new stack using an automated algorithm. The applied time shifts are randomly drawn from normal distribution with widths corresponding to the following event-specific uncertainties; (1) the uncertainty in the NGRIP event midpoint detection (Buizert et al., 2015); (2) the uncertainty in the Antarctic layer count from the bipolar eruption to the event (Table 2a); (3) the uncertainty in the Antarctic volcanic synchronization (Buizert et al., 2018). In each iteration, the user-specified parameters of the fitting algorithm (such as the time interval used in the fitting) are likewise perturbed randomly. The stated 2σ uncertainty values therefore reflect uncertainty in the bipolar synchronization, stacking procedure, and change-point detection.

The Antarctic delay times and uncertainties identified for $\delta^{18}O$ and d_{ln}, respectively, are valid for the stacked (averaged) transitions (Fig. 5), but are not representative for the variation among individual transitions. When performing an event-by-event fitting of the Antarctic 5-core average we find a much greater range of delay times. For the $\delta^{18}O$ change point, the mean and standard deviation of the individual-event timings is t =138 ± 89 years; for the d_{ln} it is -6 ± 78 years and 116 ± 80 years for transition beginning and end, respectively. This larger variability reflects both differences in timing between individual events, as well as the much smaller signal-to-noise ratio when fitting individual events.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

5. Conclusions and outlook

Overall, our new bipolar volcanic synchronization confirms the centennial-scale delay of the mean Antarctic bipolar seesaw temperature response behind abrupt Greenland DO variability (Buizert et al., 2015); however, the improved age control offered by volcanic synchronization significantly reduces the estimated duration of this delay compared to previous work based on CH_4 synchronization. Our reduced estimates are more in line with, but still larger than, results from climate model simulations (Pedro et al., 2018; Vettoretti and Peltier, 2015), that typically give an oceanic response on multi-decadal timescales.

WAIS Divide Project Members (2015) interpreted the 208 ± 96 year delay they observed as characteristic of an oceanic teleconnection. The reduced delay timescale we infer here (122 ± 24 years) is still consistent with the original interpretation. However, the new observations urge some caution. Despite our best efforts, the new bipolar volcanic framework likely contains some incorrect matches, and as the bipolar synchronization continues to be refined, the inferred Antarctic delay may be reduced further. The divergent climate response at various sites (Fig. 4, Fig. S15 and Buizert et al. (2015)), as well as the relatively gradual transition in d_{ln} over ~145 years (suspiciously similar to the updated timescale Antarctic temperature delay presented here) perhaps suggest a more complex interplay of atmospheric and oceanic processes that is currently very poorly understood (see e.g. Kostov et al. (2017)). We suggest future work along two parallel lines of inquiry.

First, further refinement and confirmation of our bipolar synchronization is called for. Analysis of sulfur mass-independent isotopic fractionation (Burke et al., 2019) is needed for the proposed bipolar volcanic events. For low-latitude eruptions to show up in both the Arctic and Antarctic almost certainly requires injection of materials into the stratosphere, which is reflected in $\Delta^{33}S$. High-resolution records of ^{10}Be can further refine bipolar matching (Adolphi et al., 2018) in critical intervals where the volcanic record is ambiguous. Second, climate modeling studies are needed to better understand the interaction between atmospheric and oceanic changes during the D-O cycle. In particular the anomalous response of the EDML site during both Heinrich (Landais et al., 2015) and Dansgaard-Oeschger (Buizert et al., 2018) abrupt climate change calls for detailed investigation.

The volcanic bipolar synchronization has a wide range of potential applications that go beyond the objectives of this paper. Those include the development of consistent bipolar ice core time scales, constraining ice-core delta-gas ages, investigation of impacts of volcanism on abrupt climate change, quantification of the last glacial global volcanic eruption record, and the discussion of solar variability through synchronization of cosmogenic isotopes. Furthermore, the precise bipolar synchronization should allow for an improved understanding of the mechanisms governing the glacial climate through comparison to model studies and non-ice core records.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to obtaining the applied datasets. AS prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. CB prepared Figures 4 and 5.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 820970 (TiPES) and from the Villum Investigator Project IceFlow (No. 16572). Buizert gratefully acknowledges financial support from the US National Science Foundation (ANT- 1643394). Rasmussen and Capron gratefully acknowledges support from the Carlsberg Foundation to the ChronoClimate project. Adolphi was supported by the Swedish Research Coucil (Vetenskapsrådet DNr. 2016-00218). Muscheler was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant DNR2013-8421). Sigl acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement 820047). Stocker and Fischer acknowledge the long-term support of ice core research at the University of Bern by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 200020_172745, 200020_172506). US NSF grants 0839093 and 1142166 to McConnell supported measurements in the WAIS Divide core. The NEEM ice coring project was directed and organized by the Center of Ice and Climate at the Niels Bohr Institute and US NSF, Office of Polar Programs. It is supported by funding agencies and institutions in Belgium (FNRS-CFB and FWO), Canada (NRCan/GSC), China (CAS), Denmark (FIST), France (IPEV, CNRS/INSU, CEA and ANR), Germany (AWI), Iceland (RannIs), Japan (NIPR), Korea (KOPRI), The Netherlands (NWO/ALW), Sweden (VR), Switzerland (SNF), United Kingdom (NERC) and the USA (US NSF, Office of Polar Programs).

TABLE CAPTIONS:

Table 1: Bipolar match points

Depths and ages of bipolar volcanic and cosmogenic match points. GICC05 and WD2014 (Sigl et al., 2016) ages are provided with reference to year 2000 CE. Fields are empty when no match point has been identified. Five match points around GI-10 (BeA – BeE) are based cosmogenic bipolar matching (Raisbeck et al., 2017), all other match points are volcanic match points of this study. All EDC depths are on the EDC99 depth scale.

Table 2a + 2b: Bipolar onsets and terminations

Depths of the Greenland interstadial onsets (a) and terminations (b) in the NGRIP, NEEM, GRIP, GISP2, EDML, EDC, and WDC ice cores based on volcanic matching. The NGRIP onsets are defined as the mid-points of the $\delta^{18}O$ transitions and are identical to those applied in Buizert et al. (2015) except for the onset of GI-1. The Greenland match points are from Seierstad et al. (2014), Antarctic match points are from Buizert et al. (2018), and the bipolar match points are from Table 1. Corresponding GICC05 ages are provided with reference to year 2000 CE. 'Distance' refers to the temporal distance to the nearest bipolar match point in Table 1 with negative values signifying the match point occurring before the onset/termination. The relative uncertainty of the bipolar matching is stated as 10% of the 'distance' assuming a 5% maximum counting error of the annual layer counting in both Greenland and Antarctica. 'YD-PB' refers to the Younger Dryas – Preboreal transition (the onset of the Holocene) and 'BA-YD' refers to the Bølling-Allerød – Younger Dryas transition (the onset of GS-1). All EDC depths are on the EDC99 depth scale.

FIGURE CAPTIONS:

Figure 1:

Greenland (NGRIP) and Antarctic (EDML, WDC, and EDC) climate records ($\delta^{18}O$) throughout the 10-60 ka time period based on volcanic matching. Ages are on the GICC05 time scale relative to the year 2000 CE ('b2k'). Grey vertical lines show the position of bipolar volcanic match points identified in this study (Table 1) together with

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

five match points based on cosmogenic isotopes around 41 ka (Raisbeck et al., 2017). Blue-shaded intervals indicate the Greenland Interstadial (GI) periods according to the definition of Rasmussen et al. (2014). The bipolar synchronization for the 16.5-24.5 ka interval is tentative as there are no bipolar match points in that interval.

Figure 2:

Bipolar volcanic synchronization of the investigated ice cores across the transition from GI-1 / Bølling-Allerød (BA) to GS-1 / Younger Dryas (YD) (left panel) and the onset of GI-8 (right panel). Grey vertical lines are bipolar volcanic match points (Table 1). The records have different units, some are uncalibrated and peak heights are not comparable on an absolute scale, which is the reason why no scales are provided.

Figure 3:

Synchronized climate records of the investigated ice cores across the GS-1 onset (left panel) and the GI-8 onset (right panel) applying the volcanic synchronization shown in Fig. 2. The acidity records in the bottom of the figure are for reference and are also shown in Fig. 2. All other records are $\delta^{18}O$ in ‰ (see Fig. 1 for scales). Grey vertical lines are bipolar volcanic match points (Table 1).

Figure 4:

Stacks of isotopic records across GI onsets (left) and terminations (right) for the events listed in Table 2a and 2b, respectively, applying the bipolar volcanic synchronization. The time '*t*=0' refers to the midpoint of the NGRIP onset for each GI-event as defined in Table 2. **Top**: Stack of NGRIP $\delta^{18}O$ (blue; left axis) and WDC CH_4 (green; right axis). **Center**: Stack of Antarctic $\delta^{18}O$ at the indicated locations and the average curve (mean). **Bottom**: Stack of Antarctic d_{ln} at the indicated locations and the mean. The figure is modified from Buizert et al. (2018), Extended data Fig. 3. See Fig. S15 for Antarctic core site locations.

Figure 5:

Top: Stack of Greenland NGRIP isotopes and CH_4 for the onsets of GI events listed in Table 2a. **Center:** Antarctic 5-core mean $\delta^{18}O$ (stack of 5 x 21 warming events). Black curve is the same as 'mean' in Fig. 4 center; grey curve is applying the bipolar methane synchronization of Buizert et al. (2015). **Bottom:** Antarctic 5-core mean deuterium excess (d_{ln}) . Black curve is the same as 'mean' in Fig. 4 bottom; grey curve is applying the bipolar methane synchronization of Buizert et al. (2015). Orange curves are fitting functions using the change-point analysis applied in Buizert et al. (2015). The stated 2σ uncertainty estimates are obtained from a Monte Carlo sampling (see main text). When the same uncertainty estimate is made for the GI terminations (Fig. 4) the $\delta^{18}O$ timing is at 101 ± 29 years, the d_{ln} transition onset is at -59 ± 58 years, the d_{ln} transition end is at 95 ± 34 years; the duration between the d_{ln} onset and the $\delta^{18}O$ change-point is 160 ± 65 years. The earlier d_{ln} onset for the GI termination is probably reflecting that the GI terminations are generally more gradual than the GI onsets.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

REFERENCES:

Abbott, P. M. and Davies, S. M.: Volcanism and the Greenland ice-cores: the tephra record, Earth-Sci. Rev., 115, 173-191, 2012.

Adolphi, F. and Muscheler, R.: Synchronizing the Greenland ice core and radiocarbon timescales over the Holocene - Bayesian wiggle- matching of cosmogenic radionuclide records, Clim. Past., 12, 15-30, 2016.

Adolphi, F., et al.: Connecting the Greenland ice-core and U/Th timescales via cosmogenic radionuclides: testing the synchroneity of Dansgaard-Oeschger events, Clim. Past., 14, 1755-1781, 2018.

Andersen, K. K., et al.: The Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005, 15-42 ka. Part 1: constructing the time scale, Quaternary Science Reviews, 25, 3246-3257, 2006.

Baldini, J. U. L., Brown, R. J., and Mawdsley, N.: Evaluating the link between the sulfur-rich Laacher See volcanic eruption and the Younger Dryas climate anomaly, Clim. Past., 14, 969-990, 2018.

Bender, M., Sowers, T., Dickson, M.-L., Orchardo, J., Grootes, P., Mayewski, P. A., and Meese, D. A.: Climate correlations between Greenland and Antarctica during the last 100,000 years, Nature, 372, 663-666, 1994.

Bigler, M.: Hochauflösende Spurenstoffmessungen an polaren Eisbohrkernen: Glazio-chemische und klimatische Prozessstudien, 2004.Ph.D. dissertation, Physics Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland, 2004.

Blunier, T., et al.: Asynchrony of Antarctic and Greenland climate change during the last glacial period, Nature, 394, 739-743, 1998.

Blunier, T., Spahni, R., Barnola, J.-M., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., and Schwander, J.: Synchronization of ice core records via atmospheric gases, Clim. Past., 3, 325-330, 2007.

Bourne, A. J., Abbott, P. M., Albert, P. G., Cook, E., Pearce, N. J. G., Ponomareva, V., Svensson, A., and Davies, S. M.: Underestimated risks of recurrent long-range ash dispersal from northern Pacific Arc volcanoes, Scientific Reports, 6, 2016.

Bourne, A. J., Cook, E., Abbott, P. M., Seierstad, I. K., Steffensen, J. P., Svensson, A., Fischer, H., Schupbach, S., and Davies, S. M.: A tephra lattice for Greenland and a reconstruction of volcanic events spanning 25-45 ka b2k, Quaternary Science Reviews, 118, 122-141, 2015.

Buizert, C., et al.: Precise interpolar phasing of abrupt climate change during the last ice age, Nature, 520, 661-U169, 2015.

Buizert, C., et al.: Abrupt ice-age shifts in southern westerly winds and Antarctic climate forced from the north, Nature, 563, 681-685, 2018.

Burke, A., Moore, K. A., Sigl, M., Nita, D. C., McConnell, J. R., and Adkins, J. F.: Stratospheric eruptions from tropical and extra-tropical volcanoes constrained using high-resolution sulfur isotopes in ice cores, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 521, 113-119, 2019.

Capron, E., et al.: Synchronising EDML and NorthGRIP ice cores using delta O-18 of atmospheric oxygen (delta O-18(atm)) and CH4 measurements over MIS5 (80-123 kyr), Quaternary Science Reviews, 29, 222-234, 2010.

Ceppi, P., Hwang, Y. T., Liu, X., Frierson, D. M. W., and Hartmann, D. L.: The relationship between the ITCZ and the Southern Hemispheric eddy-driven jet, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 118, 5136-5146, 2013.

Dansgaard, W., et al.: Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record, Nature, 364, 218-220, 1993.

Dunbar, N. W., Iverson, N. A., Van Eaton, A. R., Sigl, M., Alloway, B. V., Kurbatov, A. V., Mastin, L. G., McConnell, J. R., and Wilson, C. J. N.: New Zealand supereruption provides time marker for the Last Glacial Maximum in Antarctica, Scientific Reports, 7, 2017.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

EPICA community members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, Nature, 429, 623-628, 2004.
EPICA community members: One-to-one coupling of glacial climate variability in Greeland and Antartctica, Nature, 444, 195-198, 2006.
Erhardt, T., Capron, E., Rasmussen, S. O., Schupbach, S., Bigler, M., Adolphi, F., and Fischer, H.: Decadal-scale progression of the onset of Dansgaard-Oeschger warming events, Clim. Past., 15, 811-825, 2019.
Faria, S. H., Kipfstuhl, S., Lambrecht, A., Faria, S. H., Kipfstuhl, S., and Lambrecht, A.: EPICA-DML Deep Ice Core: A Visual Record. In: Epica-Dml Deep Ice Core: A Visual Record, Frontiers in Earth Sciences, 2018.
Fudge, T. J., et al.: Onset of deglacial warming in West Antarctica driven by local orbital forcing, Nature, 500, 440-444, 2013.
Fujita, S., Parrenin, F., Severi, M., Motoyama, H., and Wolff, E. W.: Volcanic synchronization of Dome Fuji and Dome C Antarctic deep ice cores over the past 216 kyr, Clim. Past., 11, 1395-1416, 2015.
Gautier, E., Savarino, J., Erbland, J., Lanciki, A., and Possenti, P.: Variability of sulfate signal in ice core records based on five replicate cores, Clim. Past., 12, 103-113, 2016.
Gautier, E., et al.: 2600-years of stratospheric volcanism through sulfate isotopes, Nature Communications, 10, 2019.
Gkinis, V., Simonsen, S. B., Buchardt, S. L., White, J. W. C., and Vinther, B. M.: Water isotope diffusion rates from the NorthGRIP ice core for the last 16,000 years - Glaciological and paleoclimatic implications, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 405, 132-141, 2014.
Graf, H. F. and Timmreck, C.: A general climate model simulation of the aerosol radiative effects of the Laacher See eruption (10,900 B.C.), Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 106, 14747-14756, 2001.
Hammer, C. U., Clausen, H. B., and Dansgaard, W.: Greenland ice sheet evidence of post-glacial volcanism and its climatic impact, Nature, 288, 230-235, 1980.
Holliday, V. T., Bartlein, P. J., Scott, A. C., and Marlon, J. R.: Extraordinary Biomass-Burning Episode and Impact Winter Triggered by the Younger Dryas Cosmic Impact ~12,800 Years Ago, Parts 1 and 2: A Discussion, The Journal of Geology, 128, 69-94, 2020.
Johnsen, S. J., DahlJensen, D., Gundestrup, N., Steffensen, J. P., Clausen, H. B., Miller, H., Masson-Delmotte, V., Sveinbjornsdottir, A. E., and White, J.: Oxygen isotope and palaeotemperature records from six Greenland ice-core stations: Camp Century, Dye-3, GRIP, GISP2, Renland and NorthGRIP, J. Quat. Sci., 16, 299-307, 2001.
Kawamura, K., et al.: Northern Hemisphere forcing of climatic cycles in Antarctica over the past 360,000 years, Nature, 448, 912-U914, 2007.
Kennett, J. P., et al.: Bayesian chronological analyses consistent with synchronous age of 12,835-12,735 Cal B.P. for Younger Dryas boundary on four continents, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 112, E4344-E4353, 2015.
Kindler, P., Guillevic, M., Baumgartner, M., Schwander, J., Landais, A., and Leuenberger, M.: Temperature reconstruction from 10 to 120 kyr b2k from the NGRIP ice core, Clim. Past., 10, 887-902, 2014.
Kjær, K. H., et al.: A large impact crater beneath Hiawatha Glacier in northwest Greenland, Science Advances, 4, 2018.
Koffman, B. G., Kreutz, K. J., Kurbatov, A. V., and Dunbar, N. W.: Impact of known local and tropical volcanic eruptions of the past millennium on the WAIS Divide microparticle record, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 4712-4716, 2013.
Kopec, B. G., Feng, X., Posmentier, E. S., and Sonder, L. J.: Seasonal Deuterium Excess Variations of Precipitation at Summit, Greenland, and their Climatological Significance, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 72-91, 2019.
Kostov, Y., Marshall, J., Hausmann, U., Armour, K. C., Ferreira, D., and Holland, M. M.: Fast and slow responses of Southern Ocean sea surface temperature to SAM in coupled climate models, Climate Dynamics, 48, 1595-1609, 2017.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions

Landais, A., et al.: A review of the bipolar see-saw from synchronized and high resolution ice core water stable isotope records from Greenland and East Antarctica, Quaternary Science Reviews, 114, 18-32, 2015.

Lane, C. S., Blockley, S. P. E., Mangerud, J., Smith, V. C., Lohne, Ø., Tomlinson, E. L., Matthews, I. P., and Lotter, A. F.: Was the 12.1ka Icelandic Vedde Ash one of a kind?, Quaternary Science Reviews, 33, 87-99, 2012.

Lavigne, F., et al.: Source of the great A.D. 1257 mystery eruption unveiled, Samalas volcano, Rinjani Volcanic Complex, Indonesia, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 110, 16742-16747, 2013.

Lee, S. Y., Chiang, J. C. H., Matsumoto, K., and Tokos, K. S.: Southern Ocean wind response to North Atlantic cooling and the rise in atmospheric CO2: Modeling perspective and paleoceanographic implications, Paleoceanography, 26, 2011.

Lemieux-Dudon, B., Blayo, E., Petit, J. R., Waelbroeck, C., Svensson, A., Ritz, C., Barnola, J. M., Narcisi, B. M., and Parrenin, F.: Consistent dating for Antarctic and Greenland ice cores, Quaternary Science Reviews, 29, 8-20, 2010.

Markle, B. R., et al.: Global atmospheric teleconnections during Dansgaard-Oeschger events, Nature Geoscience, 10, 36-40, 2017.

Marshall, G. J. and Thompson, D. W. J.: The signatures of large-scale patterns of atmospheric variability in Antarctic surface temperatures, Journal of Geophysical Research, 121, 3276-3289, 2016.

Masson-Delmotte, V., et al.: Abrupt change of antarctic moisture origin at the end of termination II, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 107, 12091-12094, 2010.

Mayewski, P. A., Meeker, L. D., Twickler, M. S., Whitlow, S., Yang, Q. Z., Lyons, W. B., and Prentice, M.: Major features and forcing of high-latitude northern hemisphere atmospheric circulation using a 110,000-year-long glaciochemical series, Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 102, 26345-26366, 1997.

Mekhaldi, F., et al.: Multiradionuclide evidence for the solar origin of the cosmic-ray events of 774/5 and 993/4, Nature Communications, 6, 2015.

Moore, C. R., et al.: Widespread platinum anomaly documented at the Younger Dryas onset in North American sedimentary sequences, Scientific Reports, 7, 2017.

Moore, J. C., Mulvaney, R., and Paren, J. G.: Dielectric stratigraphy of ice: A new technique for determining total ionic concentrations in polar ice cores, Geophysical Research Letters, 16, 1177-1180, 1989.

Mortensen, A. K., Bigler, M., Grönvold, K., Steffensen, J. P., and Johnsen, S. J.: Volcanic ash layers from the Last Glacial Termination in the NGRIP ice core, J. Quat. Sci., 20, 209-219, 2005.

Muscheler, R., Adolphi, F., Heaton, T. J., Ramsey, C. B., Svensson, A., Plicht, J. v. d., and Reimer, P. J.: Testing and improving the IntCal20 calibration curve with independent records, Radiocarbon, In review, February 2020. In review, February 2020.

Narcisi, B., Petit, J. R., and Langone, A.: Last glacial tephra layers in the Talos Dome ice core (peripheral East Antarctic Plateau), with implications for chronostratigraphic correlations and regional volcanic history, Quaternary Science Reviews, 165, 111-126, 2017.

North Greenland Ice Core Project members: High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period, Nature, 431, 147-151, 2004.

Palais, J. M., Germani, M. S., and Zielinski, G. A.: Inter-hemispheric transport of volcanic ash from a 1259 AD volcanic eruption to the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 801-804, 1992.

Pang, H., et al.: Influence of Summer Sublimation on δD, δ18O, and δ17O in Precipitation, East Antarctica, and Implications for Climate Reconstruction From Ice Cores, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 7339-7358, 2019.

Pedro, J. B., Jochum, M., Buizert, C., He, F., Barker, S., and Rasmussen, S. O.: Beyond the bipolar seesaw: Toward a process understanding of interhemispheric coupling, Quaternary Science Reviews, 192, 27-46, 2018.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

Petaev, M. I., Huang, S., Jacobsen, S. B., and Zindler, A.: Large Pt anomaly in the Greenland ice core points to a cataclysm at the onset of Younger Dryas, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 110, 12917-12920, 2013.

Raisbeck, G. M., et al.: An improved north-south synchronization of ice core records around the 41 kyr Be-10 peak, Clim. Past., 13, 217-229, 2017.

Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Jouzel, J., and Stocker, T. F.: Direct north-south synchronization of abrupt climate change records in ice cores using beryllium 10, Clim. Past., 3, 541–547, 2007.

Rasmussen, S. O., et al.: A first chronology for the north greenland eemian ice drilling (NEEM) ice core, Clim. Past., 9, 2713-2730, 2013.

Rasmussen, S. O., et al.: A new Greenland ice core chronology for the last glacial termination, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, D06102, doi:06110.01029/02005JD006079, 2006.

Rasmussen, S. O., et al.: A stratigraphic framework for abrupt climatic changes during the Last Glacial period based on three synchronized Greenland ice-core records: Refining and extending the INTIMATE event stratigraphy, Quaternary Science Reviews, 106, 14-28, 2014.

Ruth, U., et al.: Proxies and measurement techniques for mineral dust in antarctic ice cores, Environmental Science and Technology, 42, 5675-5681, 2008.

Ruth, U., et al.: "EDML1": a chronology for the EPICA deep ice core from Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, over the last 150 000 years, Clim. Past., 3, 475-484, 2007.

Ruth, U., Wagenbach, D., Steffensen, J. P., and Bigler, M.: Continuous record of microparticle concentration and size distribution in the central Greenland NGRIP ice core during the last glacial period, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 4098, doi:4010.1029/2002JD002376, 2003.

Schmidt, G. A., LeGrande, A. N., and Hoffmann, G.: Water isotope expressions of intrinsic and forced variability in a coupled ocean-atmosphere model, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 112, 2007.

Schwander, J. and Stauffer, B.: Age difference between polar ice and the air trapped in its bubbles, Nature, 311, 45-47, 1984.

Schüpbach, S., et al.: Greenland records of aerosol source and atmospheric lifetime changes from the Eemian to the Holocene, Nature Communications, 9, 2018.

Seierstad, I. K., et al.: Consistently dated records from the Greenland GRIP, GISP2 and NGRIP ice cores for the past 104 ka reveal regional millennial-scale delta O-18 gradients with possible Heinrich event imprint, Quaternary Science Reviews, 106, 29-46, 2014.

Severi, M., et al.: Synchronisation of the EDML and EDC ice cores for the last 52 kyr by volcanic signature matching, Clim. Past., 3, 367-374, 2007.

Severi, M., Udisti, R., Becagli, S., Stenni, B., and Traversi, R.: Volcanic synchronisation of the EPICA-DC and TALDICE ice cores for the last 42 kyr BP, Clim. Past., 8, 509-517, 2012.

Sigl, M., et al.: The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology - Part 2: Annual-layer counting (0-31 ka BP), Clim. Past., 12, 769-786, 2016.

Sigl, M., et al.: A new bipolar ice core record of volcanism from WAIS Divide and NEEM and implications for climate forcing of the last 2000 years, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 118, 1151-1169, 2013.

Sigl, M., et al.: Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years, Nature, 523, 543-+, 2015.

Steffensen, J. P., et al.: High-resolution Greenland ice core data show abrupt climate change happens in few years, Science, 321, 680-684, 2008.

Steinhilber, F., et al.: 9,400 years of cosmic radiation and solar activity from ice cores and tree rings, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 109, 5967-5971, 2012.

Stenni, B., et al.: Expression of the bipolar see-saw in Antarctic climate records during the last deglaciation, Nature Geoscience, 4, 46-49, 2010.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

Stocker, T. F. and Johnsen, S. J.: A minimum thermodynamic model for the bipolar seesaw, Paleoceanography, 18, 1087, doi:1010.1029/2003PA000920, 2003.

Stuiver, M. and Grootes, P. M.: GISP2 oxygen isotope ratios, Quaternary Research, 53, 277-284, 2000.

Svensson, A., et al.: A 60 000 year Greenland stratigraphic ice core chronology, Clim. Past., 4, 47-57, 2008.

Svensson, A., et al.: Direct linking of Greenland and Antarctic ice cores at the Toba eruption (74 ka BP), Clim. Past., 9, 749-766, 2013.

Svensson, A., Nielsen, S. W., Kipfstuhl, S., Johnsen, S. J., Steffensen, J. P., Bigler, M., Ruth, U., and Röthlisberger, R.: Visual stratigraphy of the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NorthGRIP) ice core during the last glacial period, Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, D02108, doi:02110.01029/02004JD005134, 2005.

Uemura, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Jouzel, J., Landais, A., Motoyama, H., and Stenni, B.: Ranges of moisture-source temperature estimated from Antarctic ice cores stable isotope records over glacial-interglacial cycles, Clim. Past., 8, 1109-1125, 2012.

Veres, D., et al.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): An optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Clim. Past., 9, 1733-1748, 2013.

Vettoretti, G. and Peltier, W. R.: Interhemispheric air temperature phase relationships in the nonlinear Dansgaard-Oeschger oscillation, Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 1180-1189, 2015.

Voelker, A. H. L. and workshop participants: Global distribution of centennial-scale records for Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3: A database, Quaternary Science Reviews, 21, 1185-1212, 2002.

Wegner, A., Fischer, H., Delmonte, B., Petit, J. R., Erhardt, T., Ruth, U., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., and Miller, H.: The role of seasonality of mineral dust concentration and size on glacial/interglacial dust changes in the EPICA Dronning Maud Land ice core, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 120, 2015.

Wilhelms, F., Kipfstuhl, J., Miller, H., Heinloth, K., and Firestone, J.: Precise dielectric profiling of ice cores: A new devise with improved guarding and its theory, J. Glaciol., 44, 171-174, 1998.

Winter, A., Steinhage, D., Creyts, T. T., Kleiner, T., and Eisen, O.: Age stratigraphy in the East Antarctic Ice Sheet inferred from radio-echo sounding horizons, Earth System Science Data, 11, 1069-1081, 2019.

Wolff, E. W., Moore, J. C., Clausen, H. B., and Hammer, C. U.: Climatic implications of background acidity and other chemistry derived from electrical studies of the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core, Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 26325-26332, 1997.

Zielinski, G. A., Mayewski, P. A., Meeker, D., Grönvold, K., Germani, M. S., Whitlow, S., Twickler, M. S., and Taylor, K.: Volcanic aerosol records and tephrachronology of the Summit, Greenland, ice cores, Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 26625-26640, 1997.

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Table 1.

Period	NGRIP depth(m)	NEEM depth(m)	GRIP depth(m)	GISP2 depth(m)	EDML depth(m)	EDC depth(m)	WDC depth(m)	GICC05 age(b2k)	WD2014 age(b2k)	Comments
GS-1	1506.11	1429.08	1639.53	1692.25	700.18	370.09	2012.50	12170	12113	Vedde ash
	1508.05	1430.56	1641.68	1694.24	703.40	371.94	2019.07	12241	12186	
	1522.20	1441.43	1657.52	1708.64	725.83	384.13	2068.41	12755	12740	
GI-1	1527.31	1445.01	1663.33	1713.92	731.46	387.28	2082.95	12917	12897	Onset YD 4 spikes
	1529.05	1446.09	1665.26	1715.71	732.63	388.03	2086.53	12961	12933	Onset YD 4 spikes
	1530.49	1447.06	1667.00	1717.29	733.68	388.71	2089.55	12995	12965	Onset YD 4 spikes
	1531.78	1447.94	1668.48	1718.67	734.77	389.36	2092.72	13028	12998	Onset YD 4 spikes
	1538.35	1452.02	1676.25	1725.74	741.24	393.01	2111.25	13212	13185	
	1555.52	1462.37	1696.15	1744.25	754.71	400.28	2145.41	13620	13559	
	1595.04	1484.04	1742.28	1787.32	784.90	417.01	2219.81	14500	14404	
GS-2.1	1605.00	1489.47	1753.75	1798.17	793.21	421.88	2240.15	14705	14632	
	1606.52	1490.35	1755.46	1799.77	795.52	423.06	2245.21	14761	14688	
	1611.97	1493.30	1761.44	1805.39	803.86	427.11	2263.20	14966	14899	
	1619.54	1497.56	1769.48	1812.80	817.26	433.78	2290.21	15296	15234	
	1625.57		1775.82	1818.88	826.00	438.10	2308.70	15559	15466	
	1645.85		1796.72	1838.60	859.70	454.00	2370.00	16469	16455	
LGM										
GS-3	1814.92	1610.35	1973.03	2006.76	1051.82	553.36	2634.35	24669	24589	Triplet
	1827.21	1617.11			1069.72	561.38	2660.24	25460	25366	
	1831.58	1619.60		2022.74	1076.94	564.43	2670.09	25759	25671	
	1834.25	1621.00			1080.83	566.21	2675.70	25940	25847	
GI-3/GS-4	1869.52	1641.23	2025.8	2057.05	1123.98	586.28	2742.86	27797	27833	
	1880.00	1647.55	2035.3	2066.17	1138.00	593.49	2768.10	28454	28534	
GS-5.1	1892.34				1146.80	598.87	2784.36	28942	29028	
	1902.84		2056.0	2086.38	1160.15	607.05	2806.62	29678	29721	
	1903.45	1661.59			1160.95			29722		
	1910.97	1665.98		2092.92	1170.18	613.20	2822.20	30244	30255	
GI-5.2/GS-5.2	1939.54	1683.32	2087.8	2117.06	1199.60	632.28	2863.15	32032	N/A	
	1949.59	1688.83	2096.9	2125.72	1206.06	636.42	2872.32	32433	N/A	
GS-6	1962.24	1696.24			1220.12	644.78	2890.77	33221	N/A	
	1963.75			2137.48	1222.02	645.86	2893.14	33328	N/A	Doublet
GS-7	1982.33				1239.19	656.37	2915.12	34227	N/A	
GI-7/GS-7	1989.90	1712.05	2131.40	2160.07	1248.00	661.85	2928.33	34718	N/A	
	2000.22	1717.88	2140.49	2169.35	1254.95	666.34	2939.57	35145	N/A	
GS-8/GI-7	2010.98	1724.05	2150.00	2179.13	1262.29	671.08	2951.03	35556	N/A	

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

GI-8	2038.07	1740.25	2172.15	2202.73	1291.80	689.12	2987.25	37113	N/A	
	2042.28	1742.65	2175.90	2206.65	1295.11	691.15	2991.13	37277	N/A	
	2061.99	1754.19	2193.33	2224.84	1307.60	699.08	3006.32	37965	N/A	
	2067.36	1757.30	2197.99	2229.71	1311.08	701.26	3010.47	38133	N/A	
GS-9	2070.24		2200.51	2232.37	1313.08	702.59	3012.89	38232	N/A	
	2072.48	1760.39		2234.14	1316.04	704.16	3016.48	38366	N/A	
	2094.13	1774.31			1349.53	723.19	3052.10	39869	N/A	
	2094.88	1774.76		2252.11	1350.56	723.84	3053.26	39915	N/A	
	2100.03	1777.73	2223.93	2256.31	1354.57	726.31	3057.95	40183	N/A	
GS-10	**2106.01**				**1362.28**	**730.95**		40563	N/A	**BeA**
	2109.62				**1366.56**	**733.85**		40794	N/A	**BeB**
	2113.22				**1370.57**	**736.27**		41002	N/A	**BeC**
	2116.18	1786.94	2236.82	2269.63	1372.72	737.50	3079.07	41144	N/A	
	2121.86	1790.01	2241.56	2274.58	1376.92		3083.95	41379	N/A	
GS-11	**2129.82**				**1386.61**	**745.98**		41858	N/A	**BeD**
	2130.21				1387.31	746.42	3095.68	41887	N/A	
	2132.21	1796.08			1390.02	748.08	3098.61	42037	N/A	
	2132.64				**1390.49**	**748.47**		42067	N/A	**BeE**
	2133.28		2250.55		1391.45	748.96	3100.16	42111	N/A	
	2135.13	1797.95	2252.05	2285.24	1393.78	750.33	3102.68	42250	N/A	
	2142.36	1801.80	2257.93	2291.16	1400.14	754.37	3109.97	42658	N/A	
	2151.80	1807.00	2265.98	2299.22	1408.04	759.53	3118.82	43104	N/A	
GS-12	2157.20	1809.94	2270.52	2303.70	1411.97	762.24	3123.40	43327	N/A	
GI-12	2173.90	1819.87	2283.64	2317.11	1431.27	775.02	3144.96	44507	N/A	
	2182.77	1824.74	2291.05	2324.64	1439.07	780.58	3154.24	45050	N/A	
	2191.97	**1829.66**	**2298.74**	**2332.68**	**1446.26**	**785.97**	**3162.93**	45555	N/A	
	2201.55	1834.82	2306.90	2341.17	1452.62	790.58	3170.99	46002	N/A	
	2204.14	1836.23	2309.13	2343.48	1454.36	791.88	3173.19	46116	N/A	
	2217.64	1843.68	2320.51	2355.20	1462.99	798.50	3184.42	46683	N/A	
	2224.62	1848.15		2360.97	1468.91	802.87	3192.00	47023	N/A	
GS-13	2227.22		2328.03	2362.94	1472.47	805.18	3196.10	47214	N/A	
	2242.20		2339.03	2374.00	1494.11	819.25	3218.78	48441	N/A	
	2252.88	1863.15	2347.35	2382.30	1503.60	826.00	3228.32	49065	N/A	
GS-14	2257.55	1865.65	2351.03	2386.05	1507.33	828.55	3231.90	49319	N/A	
GI-14	2277.65	1876.39	2366.64	2401.80	1526.13	841.62	3249.64	50586	N/A	
	2302.75	1889.52	2386.63	2421.67	1547.79	856.76	3271.39	52031	N/A	
	2307.61	1892.00	2390.60	2425.62	1551.53	859.49	3274.77	52302	N/A	
	2326.10	1901.77	2405.45	2440.00	1568.29	871.41	3289.90	53259	N/A	
	2344.59	1911.42	2420.10	2454.19	1583.34	882.27	3306.60	54178	N/A	
GS-15.1	2347.73				1587.05	884.80	3309.90	54390	N/A	
GS-15.2	2355.40				1596.69	891.34	3317.95	55005	N/A	

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

	2359.45	1919.82	2431.00	2464.26	1602.80	895.60	3322.15	55383	N/A	NAAZ II
GI-16.1	2382.65		2447.88	2480.28	1628.50	914.18	3338.62	57051	N/A	
	2385.25	1933.39	2449.79	2482.04	1630.90		3340.35	57222	N/A	
	2389.49		2453.11	2485.05	1635.13	919.15	3343.00	57499	N/A	
GI-16.2	2400.69	1941.27	2461.59	2493.25	1645.95	927.11	3348.89	58182	N/A	
	2403.59				1648.91	929.20	3350.32	58355	N/A	
	2416.26				1662.73	939.54	3357.90	59180	N/A	
GI-17.2	2417.90				1665.24	941.30	3359.30	59317	N/A	
GS-18	2421.72			2507.702	1670.14	944.59	3361.90	59545	N/A	
	2422.82				1672.31	946.10	3362.95	59647	N/A	

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Table 2a.

	Greenland interstadial onset depth (m)							GICC05 age	Distance	Uncertainty
GI-event	NGRIP	NEEM	GRIP	GISP2	EDML	EDC	WDC	(yr b2k)	(yr)	(yr)
YD-PB	1490.89	1418.75	1622.08	1675.61	676.46	356.32	1962.70	11669	-20	2
1	1604.64	1489.26	1753.34	1797.78	792.70	421.58	2238.95	14693	-13	1
3	1869.00	1640.94	2025.32	2056.57	1123.54	586.08	2742.16	27776	-20	2
4	1891.27	1654.11	2045.81	2076.33	1145.97	598.37	2782.83	28888	-51	5
5.1	1919.48	1671.51	2070.21	2099.96	1179.08	619.07	2835.50	30781	498	50
5.2	1951.66	1690.04	2098.82	2127.52	1207.26	637.16	2874.01	32500	68	7
6	1974.48	1703.17	2118.52	2147.03	1229.98	650.73	2903.17	33737	409	41
7	2009.62	1723.26	2148.80	2177.90	1260.99	670.24	2949.01	35487	-71	7
8	2069.88	1758.82	2200.19	2232.03	1312.67	702.32	3012.40	38215	-20	2
9	2099.50	1777.43	2223.52	2255.87	1354.20	726.08	3057.51	40154	-24	2
10	2123.98	1791.21	2243.22	2276.30	1378.51	741.14	3085.75	41457	83	8
11	2157.58	1810.16	2270.82	2304.00	1412.29	762.45	3123.76	43346	18	2
12	2221.96	1846.28	2323.98	2358.79	1465.79	800.57	3188.00	46843	-177	18
13	2256.73	1865.21	2350.38	2385.39	1506.61	828.05	3231.20	49271	-48	5
14	2345.39	1911.86	2420.68	2454.73	1583.95	882.69	3307.14	54213	36	4
15.1	2355.17	1917.40	2427.86	2461.37	1596.44	891.17	3317.75	54990	-15	2
15.2	2366.15	1923.24	2435.93	2469.02	1609.21	900.36	3326.36	55787	406	41
16.1	2398.71	1940.25	2460.18	2491.91	1643.43	925.25	3347.52	58037	-152	15
16.2	2402.25	1942.05	2462.74	2494.33	1647.22	928.01	3349.52	58258	-103	10
17.1	2414.82	1948.37	2472.05	2503.02	1660.55	937.91	3356.71	59067	-125	12
17.2	2420.35	1951.08	2476.15	2506.86	1667.53	942.84	3360.52	59435	-132	13

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Table 2b.

	Greenland interstadial termination depth (m)							GICC05 age	Distance	Uncertainty
GI-event	NGRIP	NEEM	GRIP	GISP2	EDML	EDC	WDC	(yr b2k)	(yr)	(yr)
BA-YD	1524.21	1442.83	1659.79	1710.70	728.33	385.53	2074.86	12826	70	7
3	1861.91	1636.91	2018.50	2050.17	1118.41	583.69	2733.94	27548	-247	25
4	1882.59	1649.04	2037.69	2068.53	1140.75	595.17	2773.18	28599	144	14
5.1	1916.45	1669.74	2067.61	2097.44	1176.51	617.40	2831.89	30621	354	35
5.2	1939.71	1683.41	2087.98	2117.21	1199.75	632.37	2863.36	32042	9	1
6	1964.52	1697.55	2109.93	2138.17	1223.04	646.48	2894.41	33374	47	5
7	1990.58	1712.44	2132.00	2160.68	1248.60	662.24	2929.30	34753	36	4
8	2027.43	1733.94	2163.25	2193.23	1282.58	683.27	2976.19	36620	-504	50
9	2095.51	1775.13	2220.41	2252.62	1351.26	724.27	3054.08	39955	40	4
10	2112.53	1784.96	2233.80	2266.44	1369.94	735.89	3075.84	40968	-39	4
11	2135.66	1798.19	2252.48	2285.68	1394.25	750.63	3103.22	42281	31	3
12	2171.17	1818.25	2281.50	2314.92	1429.09	773.57	3142.52	44358	-144	14
13	2242.85	1857.83	2339.54	2374.51	1494.80	819.77	3219.51	48490	48	5
14	2261.49	1867.76	2354.09	2389.14	1511.69	831.58	3236.02	49602	282	28
15.1	2353.66	1916.55	2426.76	2460.35	1595.02	890.21	3316.61	54901	-101	10
15.2	2359.92	1920.06	2431.35	2464.59	1603.35	896.01	3322.51	55419	35	4
16.1	2375.88	1928.41	2442.94	2475.66	1621.92	908.87	3333.90	56605	-444	44
16.2	2400.56	1941.21	2461.50	2493.16	1645.82	927.02	3348.82	58174	-8	1
17.1	2406.52	1944.20	2465.91	2497.28	1652.67	932.01	3352.41	58594	243	24
17.2	2417.77	1949.85	2474.23	2505.06	1665.07	941.18	3359.21	59307	-9	1

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

724 **Figure 1:**



725

726

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

727 **Figure 2:**



728
729

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions
Open Access

Figure 3:



https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-41
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 April 2020

Climate of the Past
Discussions

733 **Figure 4:**



734

735 **Figure 5:**



736

737