

Author Response to Review Submitted on 11 Jun 2021 by Anonymous Referee #2

Reviewer Comments:

The authors have done a nice job of addressing the suggested revisions from my initial review, including a better consideration of uncertainty and error reporting, substantially revised figures, and a more thorough discussion of implications. I think with some minor technical corrections (e.g., typos) and updates (e.g., precision reporting; $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}} = -9.5$ per mil, not -9.47 per mil), this work is acceptable for publication.

Author Response:

Thank you to the reviewers for their feedback which greatly improved the initial version of our manuscript. Following this final reviewer's comment, we have changed our reported precision for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{mw}}$ reconstructions in the text/tables and proofread for typos.