

Résumé
06/04/2021 18:15:16

Ces documents présentent des différences.

Nouveau document :

[Dust_IPSL_MHCMIP6_rev_v1](#)

41 pages (365 KB)

06/04/2021 18:15:03

Utilisé pour afficher les résultats.

Ancien document :

[Dust_IPSL_MHCMIP6_vsoumise](#)

33 pages (284 KB)

06/04/2021 18:15:03


[Mise en route : premier changement à la page 1.](#)


Aucune page n'a été supprimée.

Conventions utilisées dans ce rapport

Une mise en surbrillance indique une modification.

~~Une suppression~~ indique un contenu supprimé.

 indique une modification au niveau des pages.

 indique un déplacement de pages.

Impact of dust in PMIP-CMIP6 mid-Holocene simulations with the IPSL model

Pascale Braconnot¹, Samuel Albani^{1,2}, Yves Balkanski¹, Anne Cozic¹, Masa Kageyama¹, Adriana Sima³, Olivier Marti¹, and Jean-Yves Peterschmitt¹

5

Correspondence to : Pascale Braconnot (Pascale.braconnot@lsce.ipsl.fr)

¹LSCE-IPSL, unité mixte CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Orme des Merisiers, bât. 714, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

²Department of Environmental and Earth Sciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

10 ³LMD/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, ENS, PSL Université, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, CNRS, Paris, France

Abstract.

We investigate the **climate** impact of reduced dust during mid-Holocene using simulations with the IPSL
15 **model**. We consider simulations where dust is either prescribed from an IPSL PI simulation or from
CESM simulations (Albani et al., 2015). In addition, we also consider an extreme **mid-Holocene** case
where dust is suppressed. We focus on the estimation of the dust radiative effects and the relative
responses of the African and Indian monsoon, showing how local dust forcing or orography affect
atmospheric temperature profiles, humidity and precipitation. **The simulated mid-Holocene climate is**
20 **statistically different in many regions compared to previous mid-Holocene simulations with the IPSL**
models. However, it translates to only minor improvements compared to paleoclimate reconstructions,
and the effect of dust has little impact on mid-Holocene model skill over large regions. Our analyses
confirm the peculiar role of dust radiative effect over bright surfaces such as African deserts compared to
other **regions, brought about by** the change of sign of the dust radiative effect at the top-of-atmosphere
25 for high surface albedo. We also highlight a strong dependence **of results** on the dust pattern. In
particular the relative dust forcing between West Africa and the **Middle East** impacts the relative climate

response between India and Africa, and between Africa, the western tropical Atlantic and the Atlantic meridional circulation. It also affects the feedback on the Atlantic Ocean thermohaline circulation. Dust patterns should thus be better constrained to fully understand the changes in the dust cycle and forcing during mid-Holocene, which also informs on the potential changes in key dust feedbacks in the future.

1 Introduction

The mid-Holocene climate is a long-standing focus in the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparisons project (Joussaume and Taylor, 1995; Kageyama et al., 2018). This climatic period is characterized by increased vegetation and moisture in now dry or semi-arid regions in Africa and Asia (COHMAP-Members, 1988; Prentice and Webb, 1998). Increased vegetation and water bodies are recognized as the footprints of increased inland advection of monsoon flow and monsoon rainfall (Joussaume et al., 1999). The large-scale increase of boreal summer precipitation, which is now well understood, results from the changes in seasonal insolation induced by the multi-millennial variations of Earth's orbital parameter. But, despite more than 20 years of active research, the PMIP3-CMIP5 generation of climate models did not properly represent the amount of precipitation changes in the Sahel-Sahara region (Harrison et al., 2015; Joussaume et al., 1999; Perez-Sanz et al., 2014). Several factors, involving model biases, vegetation, soil moisture or dust feedbacks, are key candidates to explain the mismatch between the model results and the paleoclimate reconstructions, and will be investigated in more depth during the 4th phase of PMIP (Kageyama et al., 2018).

Until recently, prognostic simulations for the dust cycle during the mid-Holocene with global Earth System models have only been carried out by a few modeling groups, with different approaches, only some of them in comparison with observations, yielding very different results (Albani and Mahowald, 2019; Hopcroft and Valdes, 2019; Liu et al., 2018; Pausata et al., 2016; Thompson et al., 2019). In general, the focus has been on the response of dust emissions from North Africa following variations in climate and surface conditions (e. g. Egerer et al., 2018). On the other hand, the data assimilation approach developed by Albani et al. (2015) is based on a global compilation of paleodust archives and

simulations with the CESM fully-coupled model to derive global reconstructions of the dust cycle, with the intent of focusing on the evaluation of dust impacts on climate (Albani and Mahowald, 2019).¹

Despite the different points of view, the results raised several questions on the dust-climate interactions and the way the response depends on the land surface conditions, with evidence that vegetation or lake cover play a role not only on dust emissions, but also on the radiative effect of dust and thereby on the atmospheric circulation and monsoon precipitation (Egerer et al., 2018; Messori et al., 2019; Pausata et al., 2016). They also raise questions on how the different representations of dust in climate models impacts the magnitude of the dust feedback on mid-Holocene precipitation (Hopcroft and Valdes, 2019).

An aspect still lacking full investigation is how the differences in dust patterns, considering the global distribution of dust beyond Africa, are involved in climate teleconnections far from dust source regions. Hence, there is a need to focus on the magnitude of regional responses, the dust transport and the global atmospheric distribution of dust. The answers to these questions can also help to better quantify the uncertainties related to the dust cycle (emission, transport, deposition) in the future. Indeed, the dust cycle will be affected by several factors such as wind gusts, soil moisture, vegetation cover, availability of erodible material, snow/ice cover, all of which may vary in the future due to both climate change and land use and land cover changes (Bullard et al., 2016; Evan et al., 2016; Ginoux et al., 2012; Mahowald, 2007; Stanelle et al., 2014). It requires improved understanding and evaluation of the relative impact of the different factors on climate in climate contexts different from the modern one.

Only a few modeling groups will be able to run mid-Holocene simulation with fully interactive climate-dust models as part of the 4th Phase of the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison project (Kageyama et al., 2018). Indeed, simulations that account for dust emission, atmospheric transport and deposition as a function of weather, vegetation and soil humidity conditions, and for three-dimensional interaction with radiation and clouds, are still very demanding. Most models only represent part of these processes. To allow for the participation of a large number of modelling groups, a simplified protocol has been proposed that accounts for the dust interaction with radiation and clouds, while prescribing the three-dimensional characteristics of atmospheric dust from previous mid-Holocene simulations with the NCAR model (Albani et al., 2015; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). Here, we test this protocol using the IPSL model with the aim to investigate:

- How large is the mid-Holocene dust forcing and how does it affect the African and Indian monsoon

- How the dust used as reference in different models when running mid mid-Holocene simulation affect the results. We address this here by comparing simulations using Albani's reference dust (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017), instead of the pre-industrial (PI) dust field simulated with the INCA-IPSL model (Lurton et al., 2020).

- Whether it is possible to identify a typical pattern associated with dust changes that could be evaluated using paleoclimate reconstruction of temperature and precipitation.

Dust fields and the mid-Holocene sensitivity experiments using the IPSL model are presented in section 2. Section 3 discusses the relative effect of insolation and dust on the model large scale hemispheric and land-sea contrasts, as well as the impact of dust on the tropical rain belt and the Afro-Asian monsoon.

2 Model and experiments

2.1 The IPSL model and the reference PMIP4-CMIP6 mid-Holocene simulation

We use version IPSLCM6A-LR of the Earth System Model (ESM) developed at Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL) (Boucher et al., 2020). This is the reference version for the suite of CMIP6 simulations from IPSL (Eyring et al., 2016). This model is an Earth system model that couples the atmosphere, ocean, land-surface and sea-ice components through the energetic and hydrological cycles, as well as through biogeochemical cycles. The model experimental set up for the mid-Holocene simulations is the same as for pre-industrial CMIP simulations (Lurton et al., 2020). The atmosphere and land surface are represented with a resolution of 2.5° in longitude, 1.125° in latitude and 79 vertical levels. The ocean has a resolution of 1° with a refined grid at the equator and at the North Pole and 75 vertical levels. In these simulations the carbon cycle is interactive in all components, except for the atmospheric composition for which is prescribed. The carbon fluxes between the different compartments need thus to adjust to the prescribed atmospheric values.

Compared to the previous reference version of the model (IPSLCM5A-LR, Dufresne et al., 2013), all model components and model resolution have been improved. For a complete model description, the

reader is referred to the different papers presenting the new model version, the way the different forcing factors were introduced in the model, and the model performances (Boucher et al., 2020; Lurton et al., 2020).

In this model version, natural and anthropogenic aerosols are fully interactive with the radiative code.

110 For computational efficiency, the model only accounts for two natural components of the aerosols, namely: dust and sea-salt. The size distribution of these two components is treated using a modal scheme that comprises one coarse insoluble mode to represent dust following Schulz et al. (1998), and three soluble modes for sea-salt: accumulation, coarse and super-coarse, as described in Guelle et al. (2001). The dust 3D atmospheric concentrations fields are prescribed with monthly resolution, based on
115 climatological values from simulations with the aerosols and chemistry INCA model for present-day conditions (Lurton et al., 2020).

The initial state for the mid-Holocene simulation is the year 1850 (January 1st) of the CMIP6 reference preindustrial simulation with the same model version (Boucher et al., 2020). The same initial state was used for one of the members of the IPSL CMIP6 historical simulation. The Earth's orbital parameters
120 and the atmospheric composition were switched to the values provided by Otto-Bliesner et al. (2017) for the mid-Holocene (Table 1). This mid-Holocene simulation follows exactly the PMIP4-CMIP6 protocol for mid-Holocene. A tiny difference with the estimation of the forcing provided in Otto-Bliesner et al. (2017) is due to the fact that the pre-industrial control simulation does not have Earth's parameter values for 1850, but the ones for circa 1987 (Lurton et al., 2020). The solar constant is set to 1361.2 Wm⁻².

125 The model was then run for 350 years, which is long enough to bring the climate to equilibrium for mid-Holocene conditions (Fig. 1, red curve). However, we note that the carbon cycle is not fully equilibrated and manifests a small drift in the deep ocean (not shown). Most surface variables adjust in about 100 years. Note that the model produces large decadal variations (Fig. 1), which imposes to characterize the mean annual cycle using at least 100 years. We chose 150 years in the following.

130 The last state of this simulation is used as initial state for the reference PMIP4-CMIP6 mid-Holocene simulation. This reference was run for 550 years, the last 50 years having high frequency outputs for the analyses of extremes or to provide the boundary conditions for future regional simulations. This

reference simulation is called CMIP6.PMIP.IPSL.IPSL-CM6A-LR.midHolocene.r1i1p1f1 in the ESGF database and MHREF in the text below (Table 1).

135 2.2 Pre-industrial and mid-Holocene dust fields for simulations with the IPSL model

The most striking feature of the Holocene dust cycle is the drastic reduction of dust emissions from today's major dust source, North Africa, compared to either late glacial, late Holocene or to present levels (e.g. deMenocal et al., 2000; McGee et al., 2013; Palchan and Torfstein, 2019). Dust emissions were probably also lower in the Middle East (e. g. Pourmand et al., 2004), while more scattered signals emerge from East Asia (e. g. Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003). Dust emissions in the Southern Hemisphere were higher during the mid-Holocene than in the late Holocene, but much lower compared to the glacial period (Lambert et al., 2008). These and many more observational constraints were compiled at the global level, also accounting for the particle size range and distributions, and served as the basis for "data assimilation" in combination with the CESM model (Albani et al., 2015), to provide the PMIP4 reference field for the mid-Holocene (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017).

Before being uplifted from source regions, dust particles consist of mineral aggregates of several hundred microns of diameter. Through bombardment, mineral aggregates are fractionated into smaller particles which diameters are generally between 1 and 50 μm that can be transported over large distances of hundreds to thousands of kilometers. Each aerosol model has its own representation of these mineral dust particles. Adapting the original "Albani/CESM" PMIP4 datasets (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017) for dust to the IPSL model required thus adapting the size distribution from a sectional representation to a modal one. Hence, the amount and horizontal spatial variability of the original "Albani/CESM" PMIP4 datasets are very similar to the actual prescribed datasets used in this work, although they are not identical. Figure 2 provides a comparison of the interpolated reference dust fields (Albani0k and Albani6k) to the observational dataset used to constrain the original PMIP4 reference dust fields (Albani et al., 2015). In particular, the ratio in model dust loads is compared to the ratio in dust deposition ($<10 \mu\text{m}$ diameter) from the observation. It is possible to see clearly the -/+/- pattern in dust loads over North Africa/West Asia/East Asia, associated to the dust load changes between mid-Holocene and preindustrial. It highlights that most of the changes agree with observed changes, except in East Asia or north America

160 where the degree of agreement is heterogeneous (Fig. 2). The CESM simulated dust fields were obtained using an “assimilation” process, so that the causes of Holocene variability cannot be inferred from the simulations themselves. For the middle East / central Asia region the observational constraints that were used are on two marine sediments records from the Arabian Sea (Pourmand et al., 2004), and the GISP2 ice core record from Greenland (Mayewski et al., 1997), based on information on dust provenance (Bory
165 et al., 2003). There is scarcity of relevant data and significant uncertainty for this region. Extensive evaluation of these original simulated dust fields against observations is available in Albani et al. (2016; 2015).

To obtain these files, we first had to transfer the information on the four bins provided in the 0.1-10 μm diameter range to the model size distribution of the target IPSL model (1 mode with Mass Median
170 Diameter (MMD) 2.5 μm and standard Deviation (SD) 2.0.). The dust mass associated to the size distribution in the original dataset was remapped to the IPSL model aerosol scheme, for each grid box in the 3D dust atmospheric concentration field. One implication of this procedure is that, compared to CESM simulations, the IPSL simulations have less dynamical variability of Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) and Dust Radiative Effect (DRE) as a function of changes in size distributions with size. This is
175 caused by the homogenization needed for the dust interactions with radiation in the IPSL model, due to the fixed optical properties of the single mode. In addition, the vertical discretization of the original model consists of 26 vertical layers whereas they are 79 layers for the IPSL model. Therefore, the 3D dust concentration fields are interpolated in the vertical accordingly. Monthly fields of dust load and concentrations provided for PMIP4 and computed using the CAM model (Albani et al., 2015) were thus
180 re-gridded from the resolution of CAM4 (horizontal 288x192 (1.25 deg. x 0.94 deg.) with 26 layers in the vertical) to the IPSLCM6-LR resolution of 144x143 (2.5 deg. x 1.28 deg.) and 79 vertical layers. When the mid-pressure of IPSLCM6 was delimited by 2 vertical levels from CAM4, the fields were interpolated between these two levels. If a mid-layer from IPSLCM6-LR was below (resp. above) the lowest (resp. highest) mid-layer of CAM4, we assigned to this layer the values of dust fields from the
185 lowest (resp. highest) CAM4 level.

The global dust load for the MHREF, Albani0k, and Albani6k simulations is 18.7, 14.6, and 12.8 Tg respectively (Table 2). For comparison, the original dust loads for “Albani/CESM” pre-industrial and

mid-Holocene are 20 and 18 Tg, respectively (Albani and Mahowald, 2019; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). Interestingly, the size distributions and optical properties of these Albani and Mahowald (2019) dust files interpolated on the IPSL model size distribution and spatial resolution are similar to the reference version of the IPSL model. Therefore, the dust load differences between IPSL model simulations reported in Table 2 are related to the 3D spatial distribution of dust (Fig. 3).

2.3 Dust sensitivity experiments

We performed 3 sensitivity experiments (Table 1), using the same model set up and initial state as the reference simulation MHREF. The first sensitivity experiment (referred to as NODUST below) allows us to study the extreme case in which no dust would be present at the mid-Holocene. This provides the largest change we can expect from the dust forcing. This simulation of the CMIP6 database is the mid-Holocene member r1i1p1f2 (Table 1). In this simulation the dust load and the 3D dust distribution are set to 0. All other aerosols are kept as in the mid-Holocene reference simulation. We then examine the effect of having mid-Holocene dust instead of preindustrial dust in the mid-Holocene simulations using Albani's mid-Holocene dust fields presented in section 2.2. However, the 3D-distribution of Albani's preindustrial dust field is different from the IPSL one. In particular MHREF has higher dust loads over North Africa, the Thar desert, and East Asia, whereas Albani0k and Albani6k have higher dust loads than MHREF in the middle-East and central Asia (Fig. 3). Therefore, the differences in the pre-industrial dust distribution need to be considered in the analyses because they also affect the Albani's mid-Holocene dust distribution (Fig. 3, Table 2). We thus performed two simulations. The Albani0k simulation (r1i1p1f3 in CMIP6 database, Table 1) tests the effect of replacing the IPSL dust fields by Albani's dust fields obtained for the preindustrial climate. The last simulation, Albani6k (r1i1p1f4, Table 1), is run with Albani's dust fields obtained for the mid-Holocene, and tests the effect of mid-Holocene dust when compared to Albani0k. We named the experiments NODUST, Albani0k and Albani6k, as this has the advantage to make a direct link with the corresponding dust files (Table 1). The NODUST and Albani0k sensitivity experiments were run only for 200 years, and Albani6k for 290 years (Table 1).

215 Since the dust forcing is small when globally averaged, these simulations equilibrate rapidly to the forcing induced by the replacement of a dust distribution by another and are quite stable (Fig. 1). Indeed, the globally averaged net (SW+LW) TOA Dust effective radiative perturbation is in the range -0.11 to -0.07 W m^{-2} , with larger negative radiative perturbation for pre-industrial dust as expected (Table 2). We call this measure effective perturbation (ERP) and not Effective Radiative Forcing (ERF) as in

220 Forster et al. (2016), because it is estimated by comparing each simulation with a simulation in which dust is suppressed (i.e. NODUST here) over the first 50 year of the simulation. It thus includes dust forcing and fast adjustment feedbacks. These radiative perturbations are small but slightly higher than in the original CESM1 simulations for which it is -0.06 and -0.03 W m^{-2} for PI and MH, respectively (Albani and Mahowald, 2019). The comparison of the sum of absolute values of dust ERP at each grid

225 point provides higher values by avoiding compensations between regions of negative and positive Dust ERP. It ranges between |0.56| and |0.80| W m^{-2} in the IPSL model against |0.27| and |0.23| W m^{-2} in CESM, indicating stronger geographical gradients in the IPSL model response (Table 2). This comparison indicates that the interpolation of Albani et al. (2015) dust file on the IPSL model leads to a slight reduction of dust load. It also highlights that differences between other factors such as radiative

230 effect are not necessarily consistent with the dust load. Differences between the simulations discussed here and the original estimates by Albani and Mahowald (2019) presented in Table 2 can be interpreted as due to other differences in the treatment of dust between the two models (size distributions, optical properties, vertical distributions) as well as to non-dust-related (radiative transfer, boundary layer, etc.) processes and parameterizations.

235 The mean annual cycle discussed for these estimates and below refers to averages over 150 years. To avoid any artificial differences due to possible long-term adjustment and to the large centennial variability seen for example for the 2m air temperature (Fig. 1b) or the NADW (Fig. 1h), the mean seasonal cycle for the reference simulation (MHREF), is computed over the same period. It corresponds to the period between year 2250 and 2400 in Figure 1 (i.e. year 50 to 200 of the mid-Holocene

240 simulations stored on ESGF). During this common period all simulations have a similar long-term variability (Fig. 1). In the following we use the longer MHREF simulation to estimate the uncertainties resulting from variability. We also do not correct the different variables from calendar effect when

considering monthly averages (Bartlein and Shafer, 2019; Joussaume and Braconnot, 1997) because the effect is small for the mid-Holocene and corrections from monthly values do not allow to keep the internal energy consistency between the variables, which is a critical aspect for this study. In order to avoid artificial calendar biases, we also consider January-February and July-August averages that correspond to peak seasons, whatever the period, to discuss seasonal differences.

3 Model adjustment and characteristics of the mid-Holocene climate

3.1 Adjustment to the mid-Holocene orbital and trace gases forcing

The dust experiments are designed to test the impact of dust on the mid-Holocene climate. We thus first discuss the differences between the mid-Holocene and the preindustrial. The mid-Holocene changes in obliquity and precession have an impact on the seasonal spatio-temporal distribution of insolation, but not on its global annual mean. The rapid adjustments seen on most variables plotted in Figure 1 between year 1850 and 1950 are thus primarily due to the model adjustment to the reduced trace gases (Brierley et al., 2020a; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). They correspond to a reduction (about 0.4 °C) in 2m annual mean temperature (Fig. 1b). The temperature decrease induced by changes in insolation and trace gases is amplified by a slight increase in land albedo, and an increase sea-ice thickness in the Southern Hemisphere (not shown). It is also compensated by a slight reduction in surface evaporation (Fig. 1c), which contributes to reduce the total precipitation amount (Fig. 1d). The reduced CO₂ and temperature lead to a global reduction of the total biomass (not shown) despite an increased carbon flux out of the atmosphere due to biomass productivity (Fig. 1g).

These annual mean changes are however small compared to the large seasonal variations induced by precession that enhance seasonality in the northern hemisphere and reduce it in the southern hemisphere. The model reproduces the well-known patterns of the simulated mid-Holocene climate (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5) (e. g. Braconnot et al., 2007). The reduced January February (JF) insolation induces a general cooling over land, maximum in the subtropics and at the sea-ice margin. It also induces a tropical ocean cooling (Fig. 4 a. and b.). These effects cause a strengthening of the Northern hemisphere winter monsoon,

leading to a drying over land and to wetter conditions over the ocean, in particular in the Indian ocean (Fig.4 a and b). They also produce a slight southward shift of the ITCZ in the Pacific and in the Atlantic (Fig. 5 a. and b.). During mid-Holocene late spring, the increased solar radiation in the northern hemisphere, when the tropical ocean is still colder than today, enhances the interhemispheric and the land sea contrasts, favoring the inland advection of moisture from the ocean onto the continent. Monsoon precipitations are enhanced and extend further north during July-August (JA), whereas precipitation is depleted over the ocean (Fig. 5 c. and d.).

Compared to the PMIP3-CMIP5 IPSL-CM5A-LR simulation (Kageyama et al., 2013a), the PMIP4-CMIP6 IPSL-CM6-LR simulation produces a larger seasonal response in the northern hemisphere. It is mainly the result of colder winter conditions, which are also characterized by the fact that there is a JF mean cooling in the Arctic, instead of the warming due to reduced sea-ice extent in the PMIP3-CMIP5 simulation (Figure 4 a. and b.). Part of this offset between the two simulations results from the changes in trace gases in PMIP4-CMIP6 that contribute to a global annual mean cooling of all PMIP4 simulations (Brierley et al., 2020a; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). The other part is attributed to regional differences between the two model versions as discussed in Boucher et al. (2020). Several important differences appear also in JF in Eurasia, over the GIN (Greenland, Iceland, Norwegian) seas and over the Arctic (Fig 4 a and b., and Fig. 5 a. and b.). The JA monsoon precipitation is more intense and in better agreement with data reconstructions from West Africa. It extends further to the northwest of India and Pakistan.

Fig. 6 summarizes that the differences in North America, Europe and West Africa between the two model versions (grey and red bars in Fig. 6) are significant and larger than the mean differences between 100-year periods arising from internal variability (red intervals in Fig. 6). The larger cooling in MHREF (MH PMIP4) compared to MH PMIP3 leads to a better agreement with Bartlein et al. (2011) reconstructions of the coldest month temperature over the 3 regions (Fig. 6a). There are little changes in the representation of the warmest month. Because of this, the agreement for the annual mean temperature is poor for MHREF, and even on the opposite sign compared to the reconstructions (Fig. 6a). Despite a change of sign in each of the region compared to MH PMIP3, the balance of the annual mean precipitation changes (MAP) between Western North America and Europe is still incorrect in MHREF. Also, the increase JA African monsoon precipitation (Fig. 5) and the improvement of model climatology

of PI and of the historical climate over west Africa (Boucher et al., 2020), are not sufficient to match the reconstructed precipitation over West Africa (Fig. 6b). This partly comes from the size of the regions that do not necessarily allow to detect the increased precipitation in Sahel-Sahara that are compensated by less intense precipitation further south. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the simulated changes is too small, even when considering the large error bars from the reconstruction. This rapid overview indicates that the MHREF differences with the previous IPSL mid-Holocene Reference simulations translates into little improvement in model skill when compared with paleoclimate reconstructions at the regional scale as is further discussed by Brierley et al (2020a) for all available PMIP4-CMIP6 simulations.

305

3.2 Major differences between the dust sensitivity experiments

Changes induced by dust are of much smaller magnitude than the ones caused by insolation and trace gases (Fig. 7). The largest global radiative imbalance induced by the dust forcing and fast feedback at the top of the atmosphere is found in NODUST, and reaches about 0.1 W.m^{-2} compared to MHREF (Fig. 1).

310 In other words, completely removing dust induces a positive Dust ERP and a slight global warming, enhances global evaporation and global precipitation, and increases sensible heat flux over land compared to the other simulations. The effect of dust on the global model adjustment is smaller than the decadal fluctuations found for most variables (Fig. 1). This justifies that we estimate the 150 year mean annual cycle for the exact same period in all simulations with respect to their identical initial state.

315 Suppressing dust causes a JF warming of up to 2°C in West Africa and over the Tibetan Plateau as more shortwave radiation reaches the surface (Fig. 7a). A slight cooling of about 1°C is also simulated in the North Atlantic and a warming at the margin of sea-ice in the southern hemisphere. These large-scale changes in temperature affect the large-scale hemispheric gradients and lead to increase/decrease in precipitation in the northern/southern flank of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) over the

320 Atlantic and East Pacific Oceans (Fig. 7d). During JA, surface warming is limited to the coastal regions in West Africa, the Tibetan Plateau and the Taklamakan and northern Chinese deserts (Fig. 7g). The African Monsoon precipitation is increased at the coast and reduced inland, resulting in a slight increase when averaged over the African monsoon region (Fig. 6b). Precipitation is increased in North India and

reduced over the tip of India (Fig. 7i). The largest precipitation differences with MHREF are found over the ocean where tropical Atlantic precipitation is increased and in the Pacific with a southward shift of the ITCZ.

Because of the much lower dust loads over North Africa in Albani0k compared to MHREF (Fig. 3b), the Albani0k–MHREF difference pattern (central column in Fig. 7) shares similarities with NODUST–MHREF over Africa, e.g. the JF surface warming over west Africa (in Fig. 7b and 7a), and precipitation changes in the tropical Atlantic, Africa and the Indian Ocean (Fig. 7e vs 7d). A slight warming in the North Atlantic is simulated in both seasons (Fig. 7 b and h). It is associated with a sea-ice reduction in the Labrador Sea. The drying of the African monsoon covers a broader area than in the NODUST case, and the rain belt is shifted southward in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 7k). The differences in the JA Indian monsoon (Fig. 7k vs 7j) reflect the fact that dust loads in Albani0k are larger than in MHREF over the Arabian Sea / Indian ocean, and lower over the Indian subcontinent (Fig. 3).

The dust load is reduced in Albani6k compared to Albani0k (Fig. 2). However, since the reduction is achieved with a minus/plus pattern between Africa and India, the simulated climate changes are different from NODUST, for which dust is suppressed everywhere. As in NODUST, a cooling and drying expand from the north Atlantic to the Eurasian continent during JF (Fig. 7c). The cooling persists with small magnitude during JA (Fig. 7i). Compared to NODUST–MHREF, a drying is simulated both for the African and the Indian monsoon regions, as well as a southward shift of the rain belt both in the Atlantic and the Pacific oceans (Fig. 7).

Over Europe, NODUST and Albani6ka, when respectively compared to MHREF and Albani0k, indicate that reducing dust leads to colder annual temperature minimum (MTCO) and colder annual temperature maximum (MTWA), with a larger magnitude than the centennial model variability (Fig. 6). Over North America the effect of dust pattern seems prominent. NODUST and Albani0k have common behavior with a similar reduction of MTCO and no difference for MTWA compared to MHREF, whereas Albani6k produces MTCO cooling and reduced MTWA (Fig. 6). These differences are still in agreement with the reconstructions for MTCO and do not reduce the large model bias for MTWA or the annual mean (MAT). In west Africa, MTCO and MTWA in Fig. 6 reflect the regional warming discussed in Fig. 7. The associate reduction of precipitation over the continent is also not going in the right direction

for improved representation of the regional climate change, even though the effect is small compared to large discrepancy between the simulations and Bartlein et al. (2011) reconstructions.

In order to identify the regions where the different sensitivity experiments exhibit the largest differences, we computed the root mean square difference between the four simulations over the whole annual mean cycle, and highlighted the month for which the root mean square is at a peak. We compare these results to those computed for centennial variability, considering several non-overlapping 150-year mean annual cycles in the MHREF simulation. The results, shown in Figure 8, indicate that the differences in the tropic over land for temperature (Fig. 8 a and c) and over land and ocean for precipitation (Fig. 8 e and g) are indeed fully induced by the dust forcing, whereas in mid and high latitudes the temperature pattern shares lots of similarities with centennial variability both in magnitude and peak month (Fig. 8 b and d). For precipitation, variability is also large in the tropics, but with smaller magnitude and different peak months in most places, than for the impact of dust forcing (Fig. 8 f and h). Figure 8 confirms that the maximum impact of dust on temperature in the tropics is found in West Africa, South of Lake Chad, and over the Arabian Peninsula during boreal winter from November to February (i.e. either month 11- 12, or 1-2), and north of Lake Chad in boreal summer in July-August (month 7 or 8). For precipitation, the impact of dust is maximum during the West African summer monsoon season, in contrast with variability that peaks in Autumn (Fig. 8 g and h). Note that over the ocean peak differences between the effect of dust and variability share lots of similarities, except that larger and more homogeneous regions of similar peak differences are found for the dust signal (Fig. 8g). Maximum differences are mostly found during summer, except in the west tropical Atlantic where the spring season certainly reflects a slight shift in the timing of the migration or in the location of the Atlantic rain belt.

3.3 Moist static energy and atmospheric heat transport

The seasonal differences shown in Figure 7 counteract or amplify the mid-Holocene signal depending on regions. Mid-Holocene changes in atmospheric column moist static energy (MSE, Fig. 9) are consistent with the changes in temperature and precipitation, and provide a more integrated view on the large-scale redistribution of energy associated to the insolation forcing. The major reduction of mid-Holocene MSE induced by insolation during JF occurs in the Atlantic sector with maximum value over South America

and Africa, whereas the major increase occurs over the Indian ocean and the West Pacific. The associated changes in total heat transport have thus a **land-ocean** symmetry and an inter-basin asymmetry with increased MSE transport from the Indian Ocean to the Atlantic and Pacific and across the Pacific Ocean. The combination of reduced/increased meridional heat transport in the Atlantic/Pacific, leads to a net reduction of atmospheric heat exported from the tropical **region** to higher latitudes in both hemispheres. It reaches about 0.18 PW at 40°N and around 0.15 PW at 35°S. During summer, the strong interhemispheric and land-sea differences induced by insolation and increased Afro-Asian monsoon **enhance** the energy export from the North Africa to the other ocean basins. This is mainly due to the large heating and specific humidity increase in this region. Note that the export from Amazonia is also increased. These changes induce a net southward difference in total meridional heat transport across the equator that reaches about 0.3 PW.

As expected from the changes in temperature and precipitation shown in Figure 7, the energetic changes induced by the different dust forcings are of lower magnitude (Figure 9). However, both **NODUST-MHREF** and **Albani6k-Albani0k** are characterized by an increase in energy export from West Africa and South Atlantic subtropics to the north Atlantic in JF (Figure 9b). This feature is strengthened over the SH subtropical Atlantic. The latter is consistent with a reduced influence of the Saint Helen anticyclone which reduces the African monsoon (Figure 4b and 5). Complete dust suppression in the **NODUST-MHREF** case therefore leads to a reinforcement of the insolation changes over the Asian sector and of the enhanced role of the Asian monsoon in the MSE export (Figure 9b). It leads to an increase of the equator-to-pole heat transport in each hemisphere that reaches about 0.1 PW at 35°S, enhancing the role of the insolation forcing in the southern hemisphere and reducing it in the northern hemisphere. The situation is different with **Albani6k** because of the East/West dust load pattern over Asia, which counteracts the NODUST effect (Figure 9d). Because of this, there is almost no impact on the southern hemisphere meridional atmospheric heat transport. The effect is similar to NODUST in the northern hemisphere, because it is mainly driven by the Atlantic sector. The changes induced by **Albani0k** dusts reflect well the tripolar minus/plus/minus, dust load pattern between West Africa, the middle East and East Asia (Fig. 3). During JF the reduced dust and the associated warming over Africa reinforce the energy export to higher **latitudes** as in the other two **simulations**. However, in this case, the atmosphere

over the Indian ocean becomes an energy sink. During JA, changes in source and sink of atmospheric MSE counteract the effect of increased African monsoon, while enhancing that of east Asian monsoon (Figure 9c).

410 These analyses reveal that even though the dust changes over Africa are large, the net impact on MSE sources and sinks over the West African region with maximum dust changes is small. The energetic response is driven by the changes in the Atlantic sector when dust is suppressed or reduced, and the role of East Africa in the global energetics is affected by the difference in dust pattern between Africa and Asia.

415 4 Global estimates and regional patterns of the radiative dust forcing

4.1 Estimation of the dust radiative forcing with two different methods

420 We estimated in section 2.3 dust ERP in MHREF, Albani0k and Albani6ka in order to compare the dust radiative effect with those estimated by Albani and Mahowald (2019). We now go one step further by estimating the instantaneous dust forcing separately for SW and LW and considering MHREF as a reference, so as to identify the impact of dust reduction on the mid-Holocene climate (Table 3). We first diagnose the dust instantaneous radiative forcing (IRF) following the RFMIP protocol (Forster et al., 2016; Pincus et al., 2016). This is done by considering simulations with the atmosphere-land surface component of the coupled IPSLCM6-LR model used for the MHREF simulation, and SST prescribed to pre-industrial conditions. We thus performed three 30 year-long simulations including a double call to the radiative scheme in order to diagnose the IRF associated to different dust fields, as done by Lurton et al. (2020) for historical and future climate. All simulations have mid-Holocene insolation and trace gases values. In a NODUST-type simulation with this model setup we diagnose the impact of suppressing dust compared to the IPSL reference pre-industrial (IPSL-PI) dust field used in MHREF. In an Albani0k-type simulation we diagnose the IRF of Albani0k dust field with respect to IPSL-PI reference dust field. Then 430 in an Albani6k-type simulation the same procedure is applied to calculate the IRF of Albani6k dust field with respect to the Albani0k dust field. The results are averaged over the last 10 years of each run (Table 3). In all three simulations, the dust field used in the mainstream radiation call is reduced compared to

the reference one (used in the 2nd radiation call), so the annual global IRF-SW is **positive, e.g.** when dust is reduced/suppressed, the Earth system receives more radiation.

435 As expected, the annual global IRF-SW is maximum in the NODUST-type simulation, where the difference of dust conditions in 1st vs 2nd radiation calls is **maximum.** IRF-SW reaches 0.62 **W.m⁻²** in clear sky, and 0.41 **W.m⁻²** when clouds are also considered (Table 3). The effect of clouds partially offsets the direct effect of dust on albedo, which is mainly due to feedbacks from climate adjustment. The Albani0k IRF-SW, with respect to the same reference IPSL-PI, is much **smaller: 0.09 W.m⁻²** in clear
440 sky vs 0.04 **W.m⁻²** in all sky. Since the Albani0k total dust load (14.6 Tg) is only ~20% smaller than the IPSL-PI dust load (18.7 Tg), and these cases essentially share the same size distributions and optical properties, the differences mainly arise from the different horizontal **dust** patterns and altitude of dust in the atmosphere. Reduced mid-Holocene Albani6k dust load (12.8 Tg) compared to Albani0k results in an **IRF-SW** of the same order of magnitude as that of Albani0k vs. IPSL-PI, in both **clear- and all-sky**
445 conditions. The IRF-LW is of smaller magnitude, and is negative, which also partially offset IRF-SW. As for IRF-SW the interaction with clouds slightly damps the clear sky effect. Interestingly the IRF long wave is very small when comparing Albani6ka with Albani0k, compared to the two other **cases.** Also, the ratio with IRF-SW is larger for **Albani0k.** It stresses that the 3D-distribution in **the** atmospheric column and **the** spatial distribution are 2 key factors for this effect. In particular, the difference in dust
450 altitude between albani0k and Albani 6ka is small compared to the other cases.

In order to estimate the dust forcing and dust feedbacks in the adjusted coupled experiments, we also apply the simplified partial derivative method developed by Taylor et al. (2007), valid for shortwave radiation (see method's description in the appendix). In this method, the absorption and scattering properties of an equivalent atmosphere are computed from the radiative fluxes and the surface albedo. It
455 **allows estimating** changes in these atmospheric and surface properties on the planetary albedo, and thereby on the net shortwave radiation at the top of the atmosphere. The comparison of the estimates using clear sky instead of all sky radiation variables **provides** an estimate of the direct effect of dust, whereas the difference between the clear sky and the all sky **estimates** provides an estimate of **cloud feedback,** that reflect both the dust semi-direct effect and climate feedback.

460 The numbers obtained for clear sky with this method are quite close to the IRF-SW estimates (Table 3),
465 indicating that dust radiative perturbation is dominant under clear-sky conditions, and that interactions
with clouds damp the total dust forcing (all sky). The estimates for all sky are however different (except
for NODUST) suggesting that cloud distribution is different in the coupled simulations with SST
adjustments. It however indicates that there is little impact of ocean feedbacks when moving from
estimates in atmosphere alone simulations with prescribed modern SSTs to fully coupled mid-Holocene
simulations for clear sky. It also provides an indirect evaluation of the Taylor et al (2007) simplified
method, that proves to be rather accurate to estimate dust radiative effects.

4.2 Regional differences in dust radiative forcing and feedbacks

470 As expected from the different dust load maps (Fig. 3), the small global numbers of Table 2 mask larger
475 regional values and the differences in radiative pattern changes induced by the different dust fields (Fig 9
a and b). The dust loads in the atmosphere have a seasonal distribution resulting from regional
meteorology (winds), soil moisture and vegetation characteristics (Marticorena, 2014; Shao et al., 2011;
Tegen et al., 2002; Zender et al., 2003). We only consider the SW clear sky forcing for illustration in
Figure 10, since this term is dominating dust forcing (Table 3). The comparison between IRF and the
Taylor et al. (2007) clear sky estimates confirm that both global and regional estimates are similar (see
Fig A1 in Appendix). The increase in surface radiation in regions where dust is suppressed or reduced
(Fig. 10) is directly the fingerprint of the reduced effect of the shadow effect of dust on incoming solar
radiation at the surface. It follows quite well the seasonal differences in dust loads. As anticipated, there
480 is a pattern of plus and minus values when considering Albani0k or Albani6k dust fields, characterized
by increased dust loads along latitudes from East Africa to the Middle East (Fig. 2).

At the top of the atmosphere over the Sahel-Sahara region, the radiative effect of dust is a patchy SW
pattern between the Sahara and the Sahel regions, whereas the differences in surface radiation more
directly represent the minus/plus pattern of the differences in dust load (Fig. 10). Further exploration
485 using the Taylor et al. (2007) methods indicate that the compensation at TOA over the Sahara comes
from the compensation between the absorption and scattering effect of dust in the atmospheric column

(Fig. 11a and 11 b). It leads to almost no changes in SW radiation at TOA over the Sahel-Sahara region during JF and reduced radiation in JA. This is consistent with previous results obtained with the aerosol models INCA (Balkanski et al., 2007) and CESM1 (Albani et al., 2014), and in agreement with satellite-based estimates (Patadia et al., 2009) averaged over Sahara for present day. These studies highlight that the response over the Sahara is due to dust SW direct radiative effect, and that it depends on the albedo of the underlying surface (Albani and Mahowald, 2019; Patadia et al., 2009). The impact is not a direct effect of the surface albedo (not shown) but the effect of dust absorption and thereby of the relative balance between the changes in dust absorption and scattering that is affected by the surface condition (brightness).

In the regions directly affected by the change in dust, the shortwave radiations in Figure 10 are very similar to values found for clear sky conditions and reflect the direct impact of dust on the atmospheric radiative forcing (Fig. 10). Outside these domains, the values estimated with the Taylor et al. (2007) method reflect the feedbacks by atmospheric clouds induced by the changes in the atmospheric circulation and in sea-surface temperature and sea-ice cover (Fig. 11). In all three simulations changes induced by the seasonal evolution of vegetation LAI are small (not shown), but the albedo effect diagnosed on TOA radiation indicates it has a small impact on the simulated climate in southern Europe and Northern tropics in JF, and over a small band in Sahel in JA, reflecting less active vegetation where monsoon is reduced in this simulation. Figure 10 and 11 confirm that the largest TOA forcing and feedbacks are found in the Atlantic sector in JF for all cases, and that in JA they extend from Africa to Asia (Chinese deserts) in NODUST compared to MHREF. The increase in net radiation in the Atlantic sector is compensated by a decrease in East Africa in Albani0k. The change in SW radiation are of smaller magnitude in Albani6k when compared to Albani0k, and reflect a mixture of the NODUST case and Albani0k case forcing and feedback estimates with MHREF as a reference.

The Taylor et al. (2007) method cannot be applied for longwave radiation. For longwave we provide an estimate of the global impact of dust forcing and associated feedbacks by estimating on the one hand the surface emission using the Planck function and surface temperature, and on the other hand the total atmospheric heat gain from the difference between outgoing radiation and surface emission. As expected, regions with strong shortwave radiative effect induced by dust that are associated with a

515 surface warming and emit more radiation out of the atmosphere. This effect is partially compensated by lapse rate, humidity and cloud feedbacks, as well as dust effect on LW, in the atmospheric column (Fig. 11). These analyses stress that the amount of dust alone is not sufficient to explain mid-Holocene dust forcing, but that the geographical distribution of the forcing is also very large and varies substantially from a dust model to another, inducing substantial differences between Africa and India.

520 5 Analyses of the impact of the different dust forcing on the Afro-Asian monsoon and Atlantic overturning

5.1 The African and Indian monsoon responses to insolation forcing

We now discuss in more detail the latitude/altitude profiles over W Africa and India, to show how dust affects atmospheric convection and precipitation, and how the dust effect acts on the insolation forcing (Fig. 10 and 11).

When comparing the MH and PI PMIP4 reference simulations, we see that, in response to the JA insolation forcing, the temperature gradient is increased in the atmosphere at all levels over West Africa north of 20°N and over India over the Tibetan plateau (Fig. 12). Between 10°N and 20°N in Africa and 25°N and 35°N in India, temperature changes have a barocline structure, with colder temperature below 530 700 hPa and higher temperature above, which coincides with the regions with maximum change in monsoon precipitation. It is located to the south of the maximum increase in low level atmospheric moisture content in Africa and above it in India. In India the monsoon system is constrained by the Himalayan orography, and these changes are located just at the foothill of it. In both regions this pattern reflects the northward shift (or extent) of the monsoon rainbelt, and is associated to a local reinforcement of the southern branch of the Hadley cell that contributes to export heat from the monsoon system to the 535 south (Fig. 12 a and Fig. 9 b). Further south the temperature is reduced over the whole atmosphere. The increase atmospheric moisture content extends further north and is not limited to the rain belt regions. The moisture content increase also acts to set up the large-scale change in the atmospheric circulation (Figure 9 b). The fact that moisture increases total atmosphere MSE far north on the continent is an 540 important aspect in the northward shift of the rainbelt during mid-Holocene. The changes in the

atmospheric circulation are also characterized by a depletion of the atmospheric moisture content where subsidence is increased in the descending branch over the Atlantic Ocean, south of 5°N. Another difference between the African and Indian regions reflects the regional orography context. The maximum vertical velocity, and precipitation is located to the south of the minimum temperature in Africa, whereas it is located over the minimum temperature in India. Figure 13a further highlights the mean changes between 10° and 20°N. This box has been chosen so as to show the barocline atmospheric structure in temperature and zonal wind changes with increased inland monsoon low from the Atlantic at low level, and the increase and upward shift of the 700 hPa African easterly jet (AEJ) and increase of the 200 hPa easterly jet at upper levels. The changes in the AEJ are associated with the changes in meridional temperature gradient in the middle atmosphere as discussed in Texier et al. (2000). Figure 13a also highlights the complex connection with the Indian monsoon in East Africa and over the Arabian sea. The mid-Holocene is characterized by an increased alimentionation of the AEJ from above and an increased subsidence over the Arabian Sea, where the subsiding flow joins the Indian monsoon south west surface flow around 60°E.

5.2 Role of dust on the mid-Holocene representation of the African and Indian monsoons

The suppression of dust has an impact from the surface to about 500 hPa in both regions, with the maximum impact north of 10°N in African and north of 22°N in India. The suppression of dust coincides with a slight reduction of atmospheric moisture content over Africa (Fig. 12b), and thereby a reduction of the large scale meridional MSE potential (Fig. 9d). Over India moisture is depleted over the tip of India, but increased over the foothill of the Himalaya where upward motions and precipitation increase (Fig. 12 f and Fig. 7k). Changes in the atmospheric column for temperature partially counteract the effect of insolation with warmer temperature to the south and colder temperature to the north. Difference in atmospheric profiles between the insolation and dust forcing emerge in the regions where precipitation changes the most (Fig. 12). The solar forcing acts at the surface whereas the suppression of dust acts both at the surface, by allowing more radiation to reach the surface, and in the atmospheric column, by changing the local absorption and scattering properties. Different mechanisms are thus implied to increase/decrease the monsoon strength. Insolation induces surface feedbacks that impact first the large-

scale gradients, large scale dynamics and thereby moisture advection, which in turns is reinforced by local recycling (D'Agostino et al., 2019; Marzin and Braconnot, 2009; Zheng and Braconnot, 2013).

570 Dust affects the surface temperature, but also the temperature profile, relative humidity and atmospheric convection in the atmosphere, which in turn affects the large-scale circulation and the monsoon response. The results for Africa when dust is suppressed are consistent with previous findings on the role of dust. It was shown that the presence of dust reinforces the Saharan heat low in JA, with enhanced monsoonal flow and atmospheric humidity, and that the spatial structure of precipitation anomalies induced by dust

575 shows an increase in monsoonal precipitations over the Sahel only, which can be explained by the barocline structure of the atmosphere, that facilitates convection there rather than further inland (i.e. Miller et al., 2014). The reverse is true with our dust reduction point of view. Over India this effect is counteracted by the increase warming of the southern flank of the Himalaya that acts as local elevated heat source in the Atmosphere and triggers upward flow and increase moisture convergence (Fig. 12f).

580 Therefore, the MSE energy source is increased over the Asian continent and reduced over Africa, enhancing the asymmetry between the two monsoon responses. Differences between the insolation and the NODUST-MHREF case appear also clearly when looking at the zonal atmospheric circulation (Fig. 13a). Over west Africa, the weakening of the low-level monsoon circulation is associated with a

▲ warming, except between 800 and 600 hPa, where a cooling is related to a downward shift of the AEJ.

585 The AEJ is however reduced in the above layers. There is also increased inflow in East Africa from the subtropical jet. The connection between the African and Indian systems over the Arabian see sector is effective in the middle atmosphere but not at the surface. The latter correspond to the subsidence induced by increased precipitation over India and the Bay of Bengal (Fig. 7 and 8).

The zonal mean patterns in temperature, humidity and wind changes induced by the Albani0k dust on the

590 MH climate or when comparing the effect of Albani6ka with Albani0k share similarities, with smaller magnitude compared to the NODUST case over west Africa below 600 hPa (Fig. 12c and d). However, the surface warming extends further north and there is a clear barotropic structure with warmer temperature between the surface and 600 hPa and colder one above. The situation is different for India, because of the zonal mean plus, minus, plus pattern in atmospheric dust load (Fig. 2 and 3). In Albani0k,

595 the reduced dust over the Himalaya foothill compared to MHREF favors the local increase of monsoon

precipitation there (Fig 12g), whereas the relative increase in dust over the Indian ocean and the middle East (Fig. 2c) acts to decrease the MSE potential and the monsoon inland flow over East Africa, with a larger magnitude than in the NODUST case (Fig. 9). When Albani6k dust is considered instead of Albani0k, mid-Holocene dust is increased over India and the Tibetan plateau, which reduces the monsoon strength and the role of the heating source of the Southern flank of Himalaya (Fig. 12 h). The 10°N-20°N section indicates also differences in the atmospheric circulation over East Africa that are related to the E/W differences in dust load (Fig. 13). In particular the increase in dust in East Africa and Arabian Peninsula in Albani0k compared to MHREF has the same order of magnitude of the dust reduction in the west. It strongly reduces the monsoon flow in this region, and thereby humidity and MSE potential (Fig. 9). The westward extension around 500 hPa also shows how the dust anomaly is connected between East and West Africa through the dust transport in the AEJ (Fig. 13a), and contributes to increase dust in the middle atmosphere in west Africa (Fig. 12c). A similar pattern but with smaller magnitude is found when Albani6k dust is considered instead of the Albani0k (Fig. 13). The reduced MSE and the changes in the atmospheric profiles induce an anomalous recirculating cell between the colder upper and the lower atmosphere with maximum subsidence around 30°E. This recirculation is connected with the walker circulation over the Atlantic. The comparison of Albani6k – Albani0k with the extreme NODUST-MHREF case shows that the dust pattern effect plays a large role, and that depending on it, both the African and Indian monsoon are damped or there is an asymmetry in their responses.

5.3 Linkages with the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation

The suppression of dust (NODUST-MHREF) or its reduction (Albani6ka – Albani 0k), cause a decrease in the Atlantic overturning circulation (Fig. 1 and Fig. 14). We stressed in section 3.2 that the pattern shares lots of similarities with interannual variability, and that it is marginally significant (Fig. 8, and Fig. 14). However, it is systematic, which requires further investigation on its connection with the dust forcing. The north Atlantic cooling in JA over the north Atlantic in NODUST-MHREF and Albani6k-Albani0k comes from the JF conditions (Fig. 7), and results from changes in atmospheric radiative feedbacks induced by clouds (Fig. 11a), and from changes in the atmospheric and oceanic circulations,

since the direct dust forcing is not effective over these regions (Fig. 10). It is amplified by sea-ice (not shown). The differences between the three experiments imply similar dust forcing over West Africa and the eastern border of the tropical Atlantic, but the dust forcing in Albani0k-MHREF is negative in the West Atlantic (Fig. 10a). Because of this, the net impact of Albani0k-MHREF dust forcing on the Atlantic trade winds is different than the one we get when suppressing (NODUST-MHREF) or reducing (Albani0k-MHREF) atmospheric dust amount. This is also true in JA for the low-level anomaly winds (Fig. 13). The dust reduction from Albani6k-Albani0k has a slightly different pattern than NODUST-MHREF over East Africa and Asia. However, the magnitude is not large enough to counteract the large scale MSE differences resulting from a dust reduction (Fig. 9d and h). The NODUST-MHREF and the Albani6k – Albani0k MSE potential maps and transport share similar pattern in the Atlantic sector, corresponding to an increase atmospheric interhemispheric transport over the Atlantic sector in JF and increase subtropical to north Atlantic atmospheric heat transport in JA (Fig. 9). There is thus an increase in the atmospheric Atlantic heat transport to the north that is compensated by a decrease in Atlantic oceanic heat transport. This mechanisms is consistent with the analyses of Zhang et al. (2021) for nodust experiments with the CESM model for the ocean part and the advection of fresh water to the north Atlantic convection sites. We also show here, that the Atlantic changes in MSE potential are associated with a JF northward shift and JA southward shift of the Atlantic ITCZ, that contribute to export energy from the regions with maximum MSE changes (Fig. 9). This increased the convergence of the NW trade wind around 5°N in JF and reduces its strength in the west subtropical north Atlantic (Fig. 13). During JA, it reduces the trans-equatorial southwest trade wind. These changes in winds connect the atmospheric response to the ocean wind forcing and the reduction of the ocean northward surface mass transport (Fig.14). Our comparison indicates that the exact effect of dust reduction on the thermohaline circulation during mid-Holocene depends on the exact mid-Holocene dust pattern and not only on the global dust emission or the African region.

6 Discussion and conclusion

We analyzed the impact of dust reduction on the mid-Holocene climate considering both an extreme case where dust is suppressed, and a mid-Holocene dust reduction from interactive dust-climate simulations following the PMIP4 dust protocol (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). We use as reference the new mid-Holocene simulations with the IPSLCM6-LR version of the IPSL model (Boucher et al., 2020), in which the spatial distribution of PI dust is prescribed from the IPSLCM6-LR CMIP6 PI simulations (Lurton et al., 2020). The comparison of this simulation, after the adjustment phase, with the previous simulations using the IPSLCM6A-LR version of the model (Dufresne et al., 2013; Kageyama et al., 2013a; Kageyama et al., 2013b) highlights a few key regional differences arising from new developments in all the climate components and the higher resolution. Despite significant regional changes compared to previous mid-Holocene simulations or between the mid Holocene dust experiments, the difference are not large enough to significantly improve the model results compared to Bartlein et al. (2011) temperature and precipitation reconstructions. Similar conclusions would also arise from comparisons with more recent reconstructions (see, Brierley et al., 2020b). The only noticeable improvement between the two IPSL model versions is in the representation of the coldest month temperature, which also tends to degrade the agreement for the annual mean (Fig 6). Dust has different impact in the different regions, but does not affect much the model-data differences when model and data uncertainties are considered. We found nevertheless systematic differences induced by dust between the sensitivity mid-Holocene dust experiments. Since we considered both a suppression of dust and the impact of dust reduction having different patterns compared to the original IPSL model dust distribution, we are able to test both the impact of dust reduction and the impact of dust pattern. The comparison with the standard mid-Holocene simulation reveals that the largest differences with the reference mid-Holocene simulation are induced by dust load pattern, which is characterized by an atmospheric dust reduction in Africa and an increase over the Middle East. These differences in dust pattern also have an impact on the climate response to mid-Holocene dust reduction. It thus stresses that the simulated dust pattern in the PI control simulation is also an important factor to consider when analyzing the effect of dust in a different climate.

It also implies that the effect of the mid-Holocene dust reduction cannot be simply deduced from the extreme no dust case, or by just considering dust reduction over a region.

- 675 These conclusions arise from detailed analyses of the dust radiative forcing and effect of dust on the Indian and African monsoons. The forcing differences induce both changes in local and global circulation resulting from the redistribution of MSE between source and sink regions. The fact that the Atlantic sector is most affected in all simulations compared to the other oceans reflects the dominant effect of dust over Africa and the fact that the dust pattern has a direct impact on induced atmospheric thermodynamics and dynamics changes. However, the magnitude and exact pattern over the tropical Atlantic depend on the teleconnection induced by the differences in dust load over the Middle East and Indian sector. It also stresses that differences in these forcing patterns, as well as the differences in dust representation and interaction with atmospheric radiation need to be accounted for to be able to properly interpret the sensitivity of different climate models to dust forcing.
- 680
- 685 The response to our first two questions is thus that the dust induces a forcing that is about 10 times smaller than the insolation forcing, but that it can be as large as the insolation forcing in very specific regions such as Africa where changes are the largest. The balance between the induced changes in West African and Indian monsoons depends on the dust pattern, which might explain inconsistent responses between models in their simulated relative changes between the monsoon rain intensity and location over India and Africa. A key difference between the two regions is also associated with orography and the fact that the warming/cooling over the southern flank of the Himalaya can induce different regional response over India than the one expected from radiative changes over the Tibetan plateau, whereas for Africa differences are led by dust properties and the linkages between atmospheric dust radiative effect and surface albedo.
- 690
- 695 Our results confirm previous results over Africa for the mid-Holocene period (Albani and Mahowald, 2019; Hopcroft and Valdes, 2019; Liu et al., 2018; Pausata et al., 2016; Thompson et al., 2019). At least part of the differences in the reported results are attributable to different dust optical properties and whether absorption by dust of longwave radiation was included. When the longwave effects are included, the presence of large particles in describing dust particle size distributions then becomes
- 700 important. This is in line with studies using present day climate conditions, that show how models using

more absorbing optical properties tend to be associated with positive DRE at the Top of the Atmosphere (TOA) and increased Sahel precipitation, whereas models using less absorbing optical properties tend to produce the opposite effects (e.g. Albani et al., 2014; Balkanski et al., 2007; Lau et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2014; Strong et al., 2015). Recent observational constraints (e. g. Di Biagio et al., 2019; Kaufman et al., 2001; Sinyuk et al., 2003) indicate less absorbing dust optical properties than older estimates (e. g. the OPAC dataset from Hess et al., 1998), and the IPSL model dust radiative properties are consistent with satellite-based estimates (Patadia et al., 2009; Song et al., 2018).

Several studies also suggest that the increased monsoon induced by dust reduction during mid-Holocene is effective only when the mid-Holocene increase in vegetation over the Sahel-Sahara region is considered (Pausata et al., 2016). This is not the case here, so that other conclusions on the effect over Africa could be obtained with interactive vegetation, with an increase instead of a decrease in monsoon extent and precipitation over Africa.

Another important point is the linkage with the Atlantic Ocean. It partly depends on the large-scale dust pattern, in particular from dust transport and feedbacks with the location of the ITCZ and changes in precipitation in the West Atlantic. Our results show that depending on this region being affected or not in terms of winds and precipitation, there is a feedback on the Atlantic thermohaline circulation, which is slightly reduced in the simulations presented here. Similar connections with the thermohaline circulation have been discussed by other groups for the nodust case (Zhang et al., 2021). This needs further investigation, because the dust pattern strongly affect the model response and because the signal is small and projects on the north Atlantic centennial variability. Very long simulations or ensembles would be needed to fully assess this effect.

Since the Atlantic sector is the one that is most affected by the impact of the dust forcing whatever the pattern, paleoclimate reconstructions over this sector seem particularly relevant to evaluate the results. This is why we selected the well sampled regions in Eastern North America and Western Europe in Figure 6. However, a full assessment of the model realism can be only by done considering both the realisms of dust representation and forcing pattern in the reference climate (here pre-industrial) and the mid-Holocene changes. Therefore, future explorations need to consider the consistency of dust and land surface properties, and put more emphasis on dust outside Africa to properly assess pattern changes and

the balance between the different atmospheric teleconnections and the way by which they induce changes in MSE large scale gradients.

7 A. Appendix: simplified method to estimate dust forcing and feedback for short wave radiation

The Taylor et al. (2007) method is only valid for the estimation of climate forcing for shortwave radiation. A first step consists in diagnosing how the surface albedo, atmospheric absorption and atmospheric scattering affect the planetary albedo for each climatic period.

For each simulations the atmospheric absorption μ is estimated as:

$$\mu = \alpha_p + (SW_{si}/SW_i)(1 - \alpha_p) \quad (1),$$

and the atmospheric scattering γ as:

$$\gamma = \frac{\mu - (SW_{si}/SW_i)}{\mu - \alpha_s(SW_{si}/SW_i)} \quad (2),$$

where α_p and α_s represent respectively the planetary and the surface albedos, and SW_i and SW_{si} the incoming solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere (insolation) and at the surface. The planetary and surface albedos are computed from the downward and upward SW radiations.

The partial derivative to obtain the effect of the change in absorption between simulation 1 and simulation 2 is thus estimated as :

$$\alpha_p(\mu_2, \gamma, \alpha_s) - \alpha_p(\mu_1, \gamma, \alpha_s) \quad (3)$$

The estimate of the changes in atmospheric scattering and in surface albedo are estimated following the same methodology. Fig. A1 provide a comparison between this method and the online irf estimates following the IRFMIP protocol. For the Taylor et al. (2007) method, the estimates are done using monthly outputs of the fully coupled simulations, whereas for the irf atmosphere alone simulations have been used (see section 4). This comparison indicated that in this SW case the Taylor et al. (2007) approximation provide the same results than the more rigorous estimates.

Data availability

755 The PMIP3 and PMIP4 coupled simulations presented here can be found on the CMIP6 ESGF [database](https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.5229), <https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.5229>. Model outputs follow the required ESGF standards and CMIP6 data request (<https://pcmdi.llnl.gov/CMIP6/>).

760 **Author contribution.**

PB, SA, YB and MK designed the study and experiments. SA, YB and AC prepared the dust files. PB run the simulations, performed most analyses and wrote the manuscript with the contributions of all authors. AS performed the IRF analyses using the RFMIP protocol and the corresponding simulations. SA, OM, JYP and MK also contributed respectively to the analyses of forcing, thermohaline circulation, surface temperature and precipitation maps, and model-data comparisons.

765 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

Acknowledgements.

This work is a contribution to the PMIP4-CMIP6 project (<https://pmip.lsce.ipsl.fr/>) and is supported by the JPI-Belmont PACMEDY project (N ° ANR-15-JCLI-0003-01). It was undertaken in the framework of the Institut Pierre Simon Laplace Climate Modeling Centre. As such it benefited from the French state aid managed by the ANR under the “Investissements d'avenir” program with the reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-17-EURE-0006. The CMIP6 project at IPSL used the HPC resources of TGCC under the allocations 2016-A0030107732, 2017-R0040110492, and 2018-R0040110492 (project gencmip6) provided by GENCI (Grand Équipement National de Calcul Intensif). It also benefited from the ESPRI (Ensemble de Services Pour la Recherche l'IPSL) computing and data centre (<https://mesocentre.ipsl.fr>) which is supported by CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Ecole Polytechnique and CNES and through national and international grants. S. A. acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement 708119, for the project “DUST, Climate, and the Carbon Cycle” (DUSC3), and funding from MIUR (Progetto Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022).

References





- Albani, S. and Mahowald, N. M.: Paleodust Insights into Dust Impacts on Climate, *Journal of Climate*, 32, 7897-7913, 2019.
- 785 Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Murphy, L. N., Raiswell, R., Moore, J. K., Anderson, R. F., McGee, D., Bradtmiller, L. I., Delmonte, B., Hesse, P. P., and Mayewski, P. A.: Paleodust variability since the Last Glacial Maximum and implications for iron inputs to the ocean, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 3944-3954, 2016.
- Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Perry, A. T., Scanza, R. A., Zender, C. S., Heavens, N. G., Maggi, V., Kok, J. F., and Otto-Bliesner, B. L.: Improved dust representation in the Community Atmosphere Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 6, 541-570, 2014.
- 790 Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Winckler, G., Anderson, R. F., Bradtmiller, L. I., Delmonte, B., François, R., Goman, M., Heavens, N. G., Hesse, P. P., Hovan, S. A., Kang, S. G., Kohfeld, K. E., Lu, H., Maggi, V., Mason, J. A., Mayewski, P. A., McGee, D., Miao, X., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Perry, A. T., Pourmand, A., Roberts, H. M., Rosenbloom, N., Stevens, T., and Sun, J.: Twelve thousand years of dust: the Holocene global dust cycle constrained by natural archives, *Clim. Past*, 11, 869-903, 2015.
- Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., Claquin, T., and Guibert, S.: Reevaluation of Mineral aerosol radiative forcings suggests a better agreement with satellite and AERONET data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 81-95, 2007.
- 795 Bartlein, P. J., Harrison, S. P., Brewer, S., Connor, S., Davis, B. A. S., Gajewski, K., Guiot, J., Harrison-Prentice, T. I., Henderson, A., Peyron, O., Prentice, I. C., Scholze, M., Seppa, H., Shuman, B., Sugita, S., Thompson, R. S., Viau, A. E., Williams, J., and Wu, H.: Pollen-based continental climate reconstructions at 6 and 21 ka: a global synthesis, *Climate Dynamics*, 37, 775-802, 2011.
- Bartlein, P. J. and Shafer, S. L.: Paleo calendar-effect adjustments in time-slice and transient climate-model simulations (PaleoCalAdjust v1.0): impact and strategies for data analysis, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 12, 3889-3913, 2019.
- 800 Bory, A. J.-M., Biscaye, P. E., and Grousset, F. E.: Two distinct seasonal Asian source regions for mineral dust deposited in Greenland (NorthGRIP), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2003.
- Boucher, O., Servonnat, J., Albright, A. L., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., Bekki, S., Bonnet, R., Bony, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., Brockmann, P., Cadule, P., Caubel, A., Cheruy, F., Codron, F., Cozic, A., Cugnet, D., D'Andrea, F., Davini, P., de Lavergne, C., Denvil, S., Deshayes, J., Devillers, M., Ducharne, A., Dufresne, J.-L., Dupont, E., Éthé, C., Fairhead, L., Falletti, L., Flavoni, S., Foujols, M.-A., Gardoll, S., Gastineau, G., Ghattas, J., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Guenet, B., Guez, L., E., Guilyardi, E., Guimberteau, M., Hauglustaine, D., Hourdin, F., Idelkadi, A., Joussaume, S., Kageyama, M., Khodri, M., Krinner, G., Lebas, N., Levvasseur, G., Lévy, C., Li, L., Lott, F., Lurton, T., Luysaert, S., Madec, G., Madeleine, J.-B., Maignan, F., Marchand, M., Marti, O., Mellul, L., Meurdesoif, Y., Mignot, J., Musat, I., Otlé, C., Peylin, P., Planton, Y., Polcher, J., Rio, C., Rochetin, N., Rousset, C., Sepulchre, P., Sima, A., Swingedouw, D., Thiéblemont, R., Traore, A. K., Vancoppenolle, M., Vial, J., Vialard, J., Viovy, N., and Vuichard, N.: Presentation and Evaluation of the IPSL-CM6A-LR Climate Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12, e2019MS002010, 2020.
- 810 Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J. Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichet, T., Hewitt, C. D., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Laine, A., Loutre, M. F., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, S. L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum - Part 1: experiments and large-scale features, *Climate of the Past*, 3, 261-277, 2007.
- 815 Brierley, C. M., Zhao, A., Harrison, S. P., Braconnot, P., Williams, C. J. R., Thornalley, D. J. R., Shi, X., Peterschmitt, J. Y., Ohgaito, R., Kaufman, D. S., Kageyama, M., Hargreaves, J. C., Erb, M. P., Emile-Geay, J., D'Agostino, R., Chandan, D., Carré, M., Bartlein, P., Zheng, W., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Q., Yang, H., Volodin, E. M., Tomas, R. A., Routson, C., Peltier, W. R., Otto-Bliesner, B., Morozova, P. A., McKay, N. P., Lohmann, G., Legrande, A. N., Guo, C., Cao, J., Brady, E., Annan, J. D., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Large-scale features and evaluation of the PMIP4-CMIP6 midHolocene simulations, *Clim. Past Discuss.*, 2020, 1-35, 2020a.
- 820 Brierley, C. M., Zhao, A., Harrison, S. P., Braconnot, P., Williams, C. J. R., Thornalley, D. J. R., Shi, X., Peterschmitt, J. Y., Ohgaito, R., Kaufman, D. S., Kageyama, M., Hargreaves, J. C., Erb, M. P., Emile-Geay, J., D'Agostino, R., Chandan, D., Carré, M., Bartlein, P. J., Zheng, W., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Q., Yang, H., Volodin, E. M., Tomas, R. A., Routson, C., Peltier, W. R., Otto-Bliesner, B., Morozova, P. A., McKay, N. P., Lohmann, G., Legrande, A. N., Guo, C., Cao, J., Brady, E., Annan, J. D., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Large-scale features and evaluation of the PMIP4-CMIP6 midHolocene simulations, *Clim. Past*, 16, 1847-1872, 2020b.
- 825 Bullard, J. E., Baddock, M., Bradwell, T., Crusius, J., Darlington, E., Gaiero, D., Gassó, S., Gisladottir, G., Hodgkins, R., McCulloch, R., McKenna-Neuman, C., Mockford, T., Stewart, H., and Thorsteinsson, T.: High-latitude dust in the Earth system, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 54, 447-485, 2016.
- COHMAP-Members: Climatic changes of the last 18,000 years: observations and model simulations, *Science*, 241, 1043-1052, 1988.
- 830 D'Agostino, R., Bader, J., Bordoni, S., Ferreira, D., and Jungclaus, J.: Northern Hemisphere Monsoon Response to Mid-Holocene Orbital Forcing and Greenhouse Gas-Induced Global Warming, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 1591-1601, 2019.
- deMenocal, P., Ortiz, J., Guilderson, T., Adkins, J., Sarnthein, M., Baker, L., and Yarusinsky, M.: Abrupt onset and termination of the African Humid Period: rapid climate responses to gradual insolation forcing, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 19, 347-361, 2000.
- 835 Di Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Balkanski, Y., Caponi, L., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., Journet, E., Nowak, S., Andreae, M., O., Kandler, K., Saeed, T., Piketh, S., Seibert, D., Williams, E., and Doussin, J.-F.: Complex refractive indices and single scattering albedo of global dust


- aerosols in the shortwave spectrum and relationship to iron content and size, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 15503–15531, 2019.
- 840 Dufresne, J. L., Foujols, M. A., Denvil, S., Caubel, A., Marti, O., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bekki, S., Bellenger, H., Benschila, R., Bony, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., Brockmann, P., Cadule, P., Cheruy, F., Codron, F., Cozic, A., Cugnet, D., de Noblet, N., Duvel, J. P., Ethe, C., Fairhead, L., Fichefet, T., Flavoni, S., Friedlingstein, P., Grandpeix, J. Y., Guez, L., Guilyardi, E., Hauglustaine, D., Hourdin, F., Idelkadi, A., Ghattas, J., Joussaume, S., Kageyama, M., Krinner, G., Labetoulle, S., Lahellec, A., Lefebvre, M. P., Lefevre, F., Levy, C., Li, Z. X., Lloyd, J., Lott, F., Madec, G., Mancip, M., Marchand, M., Masson, S., Meurdesoif, Y., Mignot, J., Musat, I., Parouty, S., Polcher, J., Rio, C., Schulz, M., Swingedouw, D., Szopa, S., Talandier, C., Terray, P., Viovy, N., and Vuichard, N.: Climate change projections using the IPSL-CM5 Earth System Model: from CMIP3 to CMIP5, *Climate Dynamics*, 40, 2123-2165, 2013.
- 845 Egerer, S., Claussen, M., and Reick, C.: Rapid increase in simulated North Atlantic dust deposition due to fast change of northwest African landscape during the Holocene, *Clim. Past*, 14, 1051-1066, 2018.
- Evan, A. T., Flamant, C., Gaetani, M., and Guichard, F.: The past, present and future of African dust, *Nature*, 531, 493-495, 2016.
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 1937-1958, 2016.
- 850 Forster, P. M., Richardson, T., Maycock, A. C., Smith, C. J., Samset, B. H., Myhre, G., Andrews, T., Pincus, R., and Schulz, M.: Recommendations for diagnosing effective radiative forcing from climate models for CMIP6, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 12,460-12,475, 2016.
- Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS Deep Blue aerosol products, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 50, 2012.
- 855 Guelle, W., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., and Dentener, F.: Influence of the source formulation on modeling the atmospheric global distribution of sea salt aerosol, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106, 27509-27524, 2001.
- Harrison, S. P., Bartlein, P. J., Izumi, K., Li, G., Annan, J., Hargreaves, J., Braconnot, P., and Kageyama, M.: Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections, *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 735-743, 2015.
- Hess, M., Koepke, P., and Schult, I.: Optical properties of aerosols and clouds: The software package OPAC, *Bulletin of the American meteorological society*, 79, 831-844, 1998.
- 860 Hopcroft, P. O. and Valdes, P. J.: On the Role of Dust-Climate Feedbacks During the Mid-Holocene, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 1612-1621, 2019.
- Joussaume, S. and Braconnot, P.: Sensitivity of paleoclimate simulation results to season definitions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 1943-1956, 1997.
- 865 Joussaume, S. and Taylor, K. E.: Status of the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project, in Proceedings of the first international AMIP scientific conference, WCRP-92, Monterey, USA, 1995. 425-430, 1995.
- Joussaume, S., Taylor, K. E., Braconnot, P., Mitchell, J. F. B., Kutzbach, J. E., Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Broccoli, A. J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bartlein, P. J., Bonfils, C., Dong, B., Guiot, J., Herterich, K., Hewitt, C. D., Jolly, D., Kim, J. W., Kislov, A., Kitoh, A., Loutre, M. F., Masson, V., McAvaney, B., McFarlane, N., de Noblet, N., Peltier, W. R., Peterschmitt, J. Y., Pollard, D., Rind, D., Royer, J. F., Schlesinger, M. E., Syktus, J., Thompson, S., Valdes, P., Vettoretti, G., Webb, R. S., and Wyputt, U.: Monsoon changes for 6000 years ago: Results of 18 simulations from the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project (PMIP), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 859-862, 1999.
- 870 Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Bopp, L., Caubel, A., Foujols, M. A., Guilyardi, E., Khodri, M., Lloyd, J., Lombard, F., Mariotti, V., Marti, O., Roy, T., and Woillez, M. N.: Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum climate simulations with the IPSL model-part I: comparing IPSL_CM5A to IPSL_CM4, *Climate Dynamics*, 40, 2447-2468, 2013a.
- 875 Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Bopp, L., Mariotti, V., Roy, T., Woillez, M. N., Caubel, A., Foujols, M. A., Guilyardi, E., Khodri, M., Lloyd, J., Lombard, F., and Marti, O.: Mid-Holocene and last glacial maximum climate simulations with the IPSL model: part II: model-data comparisons, *Climate Dynamics*, 40, 2469-2495, 2013b.
- 880 Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Haywood, A. M., Jungclaus, J. H., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Peterschmitt, J. Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., Brierley, C., Crucifix, M., Dolan, A., Fernandez-Donado, L., Fischer, H., Hopcroft, P. O., Ivanovic, R. F., Lambert, F., Lunt, D. J., Mahowald, N. M., Peltier, W. R., Phipps, S. J., Roche, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Tarasov, L., Valdes, P. J., Zhang, Q., and Zhou, T.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 – Part 1: Overview and over-arching analysis plan, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 1033-1057, 2018.
- 885 Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Dubovik, O., Karnieli, A., and Remer, L. A.: Absorption of sunlight by dust as inferred from satellite and ground-based remote sensing, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28, 1479-1482, 2001.
- Kohfeld, K. E. and Harrison, S. P.: Glacial-interglacial changes in dust deposition on the Chinese Loess Plateau, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 22, 1859-1878, 2003.
- Lambert, F., Delmonte, B., Petit, J. R., Bigler, M., Kaufmann, P. R., Hutterli, M. A., Stocker, T. F., Ruth, U., Steffensen, J. P., and Maggi, V.: Dust-climate couplings over the past 800,000 years from the EPICA Dome C ice core, *Nature*, 452, 616-619, 2008.

- 890 Lau, K. M., Kim, K. M., Sud, Y. C., and Walker, G. K.: A GCM study of the response of the atmospheric water cycle of West Africa and the Atlantic to Saharan dust radiative forcing, *Annales Geophysicae*, 27, 4023, 2009.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Xia, Y., Huang, Y., Peng, Y., and Zhu, J.: A Possible Role of Dust in Resolving the Holocene Temperature Conundrum, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 4434, 2018.
- 895 Lurton, T., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., Bekki, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., Brockmann, P., Cadule, P., Contoux, C., Cozic, A., Cugnet, D., Dufresne, J.-L., Éthé, C., Foujols, M.-A., Ghattas, J., Hauglustaine, D., Hu, R.-M., Kageyama, M., Khodri, M., Lebas, N., Levavasseur, G., Marchand, M., Ottlé, C., Peylin, P., Sima, A., Szopa, S., Thiéblemont, R., Vuichard, N., and Boucher, O.: Implementation of the CMIP6 Forcing Data in the IPSL-CM6A-LR Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12, e2019MS001940, 2020.
- Mahowald, N. M.: Anthropocene changes in desert area: Sensitivity to climate model predictions, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, 2007.
- 900 Marticorena, B.: Dust Production Mechanisms. In: *Mineral Dust: A Key Player in the Earth System*, Knippertz, P. and Stuut, J.-B. W. (Eds.), Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2014.
- Marzin, C. and Braconnot, P.: Variations of Indian and African monsoons induced by insolation changes at 6 and 9.5 kyr BP, *Climate Dynamics*, 33, 215-231, 2009.
- Mayewski, P. A., Meeker, L. D., Twickler, M. S., Whitlow, S., Yang, Q., Lyons, W. B., and Prentice, M.: Major features and forcing of high-latitude northern hemisphere atmospheric circulation using a 110,000-year-long glaciochemical series, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 102, 26345-26366, 1997.
- 905 McGee, D., deMenocal, P. B., Winckler, G., Stuut, J. B. W., and Bradtmiller, L. I.: The magnitude, timing and abruptness of changes in North African dust deposition over the last 20,000yr, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 371-372, 163-176, 2013.
- Messori, G., Gaetani, M., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., and Pausata, F. S. R.: The water cycle of the mid-Holocene West African monsoon: The role of vegetation and dust emission changes, *International Journal of Climatology*, 39, 1927-1939, 2019.
- 910 Miller, R. L., Knippertz, P., García-Pando, C. P., Perlwitz, J. P., and Tegen, I.: Impact of dust radiative forcing upon climate. In: *Mineral dust*, Springer, 2014.
- Otto-Bliessner, B., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S., Lunt, D., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P., Capron, E., Carlson, A., Dutton, A., Fischer, H., Goelzer, H., Govin, A., Haywood, A., Joos, F., LeGrande, A., Lipscomb, W., Lohmann, G., Mahowald, N., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Pausata, F., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Phipps, S., Renssen, H., and Zhang, Q.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 – Part 2: Two interglacials, scientific objective and experimental design for Holocene and Last Interglacial simulations, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10, 3979-4003, 2017.
- 915 Palchan, D. and Torfstein, A.: A drop in Sahara dust fluxes records the northern limits of the African Humid Period, *Nature Communications*, 10, 3803, 2019.
- Patadia, F., Yang, E.-S., and Christopher, S. A.: Does dust change the clear sky top of atmosphere shortwave flux over high surface reflectance regions?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, 2009.
- Pausata, F. S., Messori, G., and Zhang, Q.: Impacts of dust reduction on the northward expansion of the African monsoon during the Green Sahara period, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 434, 298-307, 2016.
- Perez-Sanz, A., Li, G., Gonzalez, P., and Harrison, S. P.: Evaluation of seasonal climates of northern Africa and the Mediterranean in the CMIP5 simulations. , *climat of the past 10*, 551-568, 2014.
- 925 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., and Stevens, B.: The Radiative Forcing Model Intercomparison Project (RFMIP): experimental protocol for CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 3447-3460, 2016.
- Pourmand, A., Marcantonio, F., and Schulz, H.: Variations in productivity and eolian fluxes in the northeastern Arabian Sea during the past 110 ka, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 221, 39-54, 2004.
- 930 Prentice, I. C. and Webb, T.: BIOME 6000: reconstructing global mid-Holocene vegetation patterns from palaeoecological records, *Journal of Biogeography*, 25, 997-1005, 1998.
- Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y. J., Guelle, W., and Dulac, F.: Role of aerosol size distribution and source location in a three-dimensional simulation of a Saharan dust episode tested against satellite-derived optical thickness, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 103, 10579-10592, 1998.
- 935 Shao, Y., Wyrwoll, K.-H., Chappell, A., Huang, J., Lin, Z., McTainsh, G. H., Mikami, M., Tanaka, T. Y., Wang, X., and Yoon, S.: Dust cycle: An emerging core theme in Earth system science, *Aeolian Research*, 2, 181-204, 2011.
- Sinyuk, A., Torres, O., and Dubovik, O.: Combined use of satellite and surface observations to infer the imaginary part of refractive index of Saharan dust, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2003.
- Song, Q., Zhang, Z., Yu, H., Kato, S., Yang, P., Colarco, P., Remer, L., and Ryder, C.: Toward an Observation-Based Estimate of Dust Net Radiative Effects in Tropical North Atlantic Through Integrating Satellite Observations and In Situ Measurements of Dust Properties, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, doi: 10.5194/acp-2018-267, 2018. 1-50, 2018.
- 940 Stanelle, T., Bey, I., Raddatz, T., Reick, C., and Tegen, I.: Anthropogenically induced changes in twentieth century mineral dust burden and the associated impact on radiative forcing, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 119, 526-513, 546, 2014.
- Strong, J. D. O., Vecchi, G. A., and Ginoux, P.: The Response of the Tropical Atlantic and West African Climate to Saharan Dust in a Fully Coupled GCM, *Journal of Climate*, 28, 7071-7092, 2015.

- 945 Taylor, K. E., Crucifix, M., Braconnot, P., Hewitt, C. D., Doutriaux, C., Broccoli, A. J., Mitchell, J. F. B., and Webb, M. J.: Estimating shortwave radiative forcing and response in climate models, *Journal of Climate*, 20, 2530-2543, 2007.
- Tegen, I., Harrison, S. P., Kohfeld, K., Prentice, I. C., Coe, M., and Heimann, M.: Impact of vegetation and preferential source areas on global dust aerosol: Results from a model study, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 107, AAC 14-11-AAC 14-27, 2002.
- 950 Texier, D., de Noblet, N., and Braconnot, P.: Sensitivity of the African and Asian monsoons to mid-Holocene insolation and data-inferred surface changes, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 164-181, 2000.
- Thompson, A. J., Skinner, C. B., Poulsen, C. J., and Zhu, J.: Modulation of Mid-Holocene African Rainfall by Dust Aerosol Direct and Indirect Effects, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 3917-3926, 2019.
- Zender, C. S., Bian, H., and Newman, D.: Mineral Dust Entrainment and Deposition (DEAD) model: Description and 1990s dust climatology, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108, 2003.
- 955 Zhang, M., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., and Wen, Q.: AMOC and Climate Responses to Dust Reduction and Greening of Sahara during the Mid-Holocene, *Journal of Climate*, doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-20-0628.1, 2021. 1-59, 2021.
- Zheng, W. P. and Braconnot, P.: Characterization of Model Spread in PMIP2 Mid-Holocene Simulations of the African Monsoon, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 1192-1210, 2013.

960

Simulation name	Member in CMIP database	Initial state	Dust file	length
PI	MH adjust	Year 1850 of IPSLCM6A-LR PI simulation	PI-IPSL	350 years
MHREF	r1i1p1f1 	Year 2190 of MH adjust	MHREF	550 years
NODUST	r1i1p1f2 	Year 2190 of MH adjust	NODUST	200 years
Albani0k	r1i1p1f3 	Year 2190 of MH adjust	Albani0k	200 years
Albani6k	r1i1p1f4 	Year 2190 of MH adjust	Albani6k	290 years

 Table 1. Characteristics of the different experiments, in terms of initial state, 3D dust distribution and simulation length. Albani6k is 290 instead of 300-year long. The last 10 years should have been run on a new computer, with the risk to obtain non-continuous results due to the new running environment of the new computer. The second column indicates the experiment member posted on the ESGF CMIP6 database.

Experiment	Dust load (Tg)	Dust Effective Radiative Perturbation (W m^{-2})	Dust Absolute Effective Radiative Perturbation (W m^{-2})
MHREF	18.7	-0.10	0.80
Albani0k	14.6	-0.11	0.69
Albani6k	12.8	-0.05	0.56
CESM1 PI (Albani and Mahowald, 2019)	20	-0.06	0.27
CESM1 MH (Albani and Mahowald, 2019)	18	-0.03	0.23

Table 2. Global budgets of simulated dust-related variables. Dust Effective Radiative Perturbation (W m^{-2}) is the globally averaged net (SW+LW) TOA effective radiative perturbation estimated from the first 50 years of the simulation and calculated as a difference compared to the NODUST simulation. It includes thus initial model adjustment as discussed in Albani et al. (2019). The Dust Absolute Effective Radiative Perturbation (W m^{-2}) is similar, but considering the perturbation irrespective of the sign. The CESM1 PI and MH cases refer to the original simulations (Albani and Mahowald, 2019) that serve as the PMIP4 dust reference fields (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). This is the only table for which the comparison is done to NODUST, since it highlights the impact of dust in the climate system, and not the effect of dust on the mid-Holocene climate which is discussed in the core of the manuscript.

Method	RFMIP-IRF (instantaneous forcing) at TOA from double calls to radiation scheme in forced simulations ($\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)			Taylor et al. (2007) forcing approximations at TOA in coupled simulations ($\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)		
Dust field(s)	NODUST vs. IPSL-PI	Albani0k vs. IPSL-PI	Albani6k vs. Albani0k	NODUST Vs IPSL-PI	Albani0k vs IPSL-PI	Albani6k Vs Albani0k
SW Net Clear sky	0.62	0.09	0.07	0.64	0.09	0.06
SW Net All sky	0.41	0.04	0.05	0.33	0.09	-0.01
LW Out Clear sky	-0.09	-0.03	-0.004	-	-	-
LW Out All sky	-0.07	-0.024	-0.001	-	-	-

990 Table 3: SW net and LW outgoing dust radiative forcing ($\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) at TOA in clear sky and all sky conditions as estimated from the different simulations. The RFMIP-IRF method diagnoses the forcing online at each model radiation timestep, with respect to a reference (using a double call to the radiation scheme). This has been done here in simulations without oceanic adjustment. The Taylor et al. (2007) method diagnoses the total radiative forcing of dust (forcing and feedback) from the fully coupled experiment after ocean adjustment. This method does not allow to diagnose LW IRF. The reader is referred to section 4.1 and to the appendix for details on the radiative forcing estimates.

1000

Figure Caption

Figure 1. Temporal evolution of a subset of atmosphere, ocean and land surface variables for the different simulations: a) Surface heat budget (W m^{-2}), b) 2m air temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), c) Evaporation (mm d^{-1}); d) Precipitation (mm d^{-1}), e) Surface sensible heat over land (W m^{-2}), f) Global salinity (PSU), g) Net Ecosystem Productivity (PgC yr^{-1}) and h) Maximum Overtuning in the North Atlantic (Sv). In each plot, the red curve represents the adjustment phase from the Preindustrial condition when the mid-Holocene forcing (Earth's orbit and trace gases) is switched on. The green curve corresponds to the reference mid-Holocene simulation (MHREF), whereas the other curves stand for the different sensitivity tests to the dust forcing, starting from the same initial state than the reference mid-Holocene (MHREF) simulation (Table 1).

Figure 2. Ratio between Albani et al. (2015) mid-Holocene and pre-industrial dust loads (color map) and ratio of dust deposition as estimated from dust reconstruction (colored circles)

Figure 3. Comparison of the annual mean dust loads (mg m^{-2}) from the different simulations. a) reference version with the Present day IPSL dust load as used in the reference mid-Holocene simulation, MHREF. b) differences between the Albani's and IPSL PI dust load and c) differences between Albani's MH and PI dust load.

Figure 4. a) and b) 2m air temperature differences (t_{as} , $^{\circ}\text{C}$) between the mid-Holocene and the preindustrial climates as simulated for January-February (JF) and c), d), July-August (JA) in a), c) the IPSL-CM61-LR PMIP4-CMIP6 simulations and b), d), the IPSL-CM5A-LR PMIP3-CMIP5 simulations

Figure 5. Same as Fig. 3 but for precipitation (pr , mm d^{-1})

Figure 6. Comparison of the mid-Holocene simulated changes with Bartlein et al. (2011) temperature and precipitation reconstructions over Europe (EU), North America (NA), West Africa (WA). The

different indicators in a) are the Mean Annual Temperature (MAT, °C), the Temperature of the warmest month (MTWA), the temperature of the coldest month (MTCO, °C), and in b) the Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP, mm yr⁻¹). The blue intervals stand for the reconstruction uncertainties, estimated from the standard deviation provided by Bartlein et al. (2011) for each grid point. The red intervals stand for the mean root mean square difference between non-overlapping 150 year-averages computed from the MHREF simulation and used here as an estimate of model mean annual cycle uncertainty.

Figure 7. Impact of the different dust forcings on the simulated mid-Holocene climate for (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) mean January-February (JF) differences and (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l) mean July-August (JA) differences. (a), (b), (c), (g) and (i) represent the differences for temperature (tas, °C) and (d), (e), (f), (j), (k), and (l) for precipitation (pr, mm d⁻¹). The first column highlights the effect of suppressing dust (NODUST- MHREF), the middle column the effect of replacing IPSL preindustrial dust by Albani's preindustrial dust (Albani0k - MHREF) and the last column the effect of mid-Holocene dust considering Albani's dust files (Albani6k - Albani0k). Note that for direct comparison with the magnitude of the differences induced by the insolation forcing, the legend is the same as in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.

Figure 8. Identification of the regions where differences are maximum between (a), (c), (e) and (g) the mid-Holocene dust sensitivity experiments compared to (b), (d), (f), and (h) regions where centennial variability is maximum. (a), (b), (c), (d) provide the results for 2m air temperature and (e), (f), (g) and (h) for precipitation. The different analyses correspond to (a) and (e) mean root mean square differences between the four mid-Holocene simulations with different dust forcing, (b) and (f) mean root mean square differences between non-overlapping 100-year periods of the reference mid-Holocene simulation MHREF, and (c), (d), (g) and (h) months for which the root mean squares for the different analyses is maximum. 2m air temperature is expressed in °C and precipitation in mm d⁻¹.

Figure 9. Difference of moist static energy integrated over the atmospheric column (W) and associated moist static energy transport (W m⁻¹) between (a) the January-February (JA) and (b) July-August (JA)

averaged mid-Holocene reference and preindustrial control simulations (MHREF -PI), (c) the JF and (d) JA averages mid-Holocene NODUST) and MHREF simulations (NODUST – MHREF), (e) the JF and (f) JA averaged mid-Holocene Alabani0k and MHREF simulations (Alabani0o – MHREF), and (g) the JF and (h) JA averaged mid-Holocene Alabani6k mid-Holocene Alabani0k simulations (Alabani6k – Alabani0k). (JA) averages of the difference. To better highlight the differences induced by the different dust fields a factor of about 2 has been applied to the legend and reference length of the arrow between the first row and the others rows.

Figure 10: Comparisons of the radiative forcing ($W m^{-2}$) induced by the different dust fields at (a) and (c) the top of the Atmosphere (TOA), and (b) and (d) the surface for (a) and (b) January-February (JF) and (c) and (d) July-August (JA) averages. The radiative forcing has been estimated from online atmosphere alone simulations following the RFMIP protocol (see text). The left and middle columns represent respectively the difference between the NODUST (left), and Alabani0k (middle) estimates compared to the Mid-Holocene reference simulations (MHREF), and the right column the difference between the Alabani6k and the Alabani0k estimates. All fields are positive down to directly reflect the radiative budget at TOA or at the surface.

Figure 11a: Impact of dust on top of the atmosphere radiation considering the decomposition of Taylor et al. (2007) for shortwave radiation and (a) the effect of changes in atmospheric absorption, (b) the effect of atmospheric scattering, (c) the change in net radiation (SW +LW) at the top of the atmosphere (TOA), and for long wave the estimate of (d) the change in surface emission due to temperature (Planck emission) and of (e) the effect of changes in the atmospheric heat gain, which represent the aggregated effect of changes in atmospheric lapse rate, change in atmospheric water vapor and changes in clouds.

From left to right the different panels show the results for the NODUST the Alabani0k and the Alabani6k simulations, with reference to MHREF for NODUST and Alabani0k and reference to Alabani0k for Alabani6k. All terms are positive downward to directly reflect the relative impact of each of these terms on the atmospheric radiative budget et the top of the atmosphere. The change in net radiation at TOA is

also the sum of all the other terms, given that the effect of changes in surface albedo, due to changes in leaf area index, is negligible (not shown).

Figure 11b: Same as figure 11a, but for July-August averages

Figure 12 : Zonal averages of the July-August (JA) mean atmospheric circulation over the (a), (b), (c), and (d) West African (10°W to 10°E) and (e), (f), (g) and (h) the Indian (70°E to 90°E) monsoon regions showing the effect of (a) and (e) the mid-Holocene insolation compared to the preindustrial climate (MHREF – PI), (b) and (f) the effect of NODUST on the mid-Holocene climate (NODUST – MHREF), (c) and (g) the effect for Albani0k on the mid-Holocene climate (Albani0k – Albani6k), and (d) and (g) the effect of Albani6k compared to Albani0k on the mid-Holocene climate (Albani6k – Albanik). Each plot consider the atmospheric temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$, color scale), the meridional winds (with a -1 factor imposed on the vertical component in hPa/s, to have more intuitive vision of upward and downward motions), the specific humidity (kg/kg, light and dark blue contours respectively for negative and positive value), and the dust concentrations (black contours, plotted on top of light grey where the dust content is reduced and of dark grey where dust content is increased). For each monsoon regions the top right panel has different scales, which reflect the larger impact of the insolation forcing, and no dust contour, which accounts for the fact that there is no change in dust between the mid-Holocene reference simulation and the preindustrial simulation.

Figure 13: As in Figure 12, but for the atmospheric meridional circulation averaged between 10°N to 20°N . These changes reflect the changes at the northern edge of the boreal summer monsoon rain belt in Africa for (a) MHREF-PI, (b) NODUST – MHREF, (c) Albani0k – MHREF, and (d) Albani6k – Albani0k.

Figure 14 : Differences in the meridional Atlantic overturning circulation(Sv) as a function of latitude ($^{\circ}$) and depth (m) showing the effect of (a) NODUST, (b) Albani0k dust, and (c) Albani6k dust on the mid-Holocene simulations. For (a) and (b) the reference is the reference mid-Holocene simulation MHREF,

whereas for (c) the reference is the Albani0K mid-Holocene simulation. Stippling indicates values that are smaller than the centennial variability mid-Holocene estimate from MHREF. The test is done at each grid point which is also a way to show the ocean model resolution with refined grid at the equator and higher resolution at the ocean.

Figure A1 : Comparisons of the shortwave radiative forcing estimated at the top of the atmosphere for clear sky conditions (SW TOA clr) using (a) the RFMIP protocol to compute instantaneous radiative forcing (irf) or (b) the simplified Taylor et al. (2007) method from monthly model outputs. This figure only shows the results for NODUST compared to MHREF, but same agreements between the two methods is also found for the other simulations