Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-84-AC1, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



CPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Can morphological features of coccolithophores serve as a reliable proxy to reconstruct environmental conditions of the past?" by Giulia Faucher et al.

Giulia Faucher et al.

giulia.faucher@unimi.it

Received and published: 11 December 2019

We thank Dr. Suchéras-Marx for his suggestions and for whishing us the success of our paper.

We wrote in the paper more clearly and in the caption of Fig.3 that we measured 50 coccoliths for every treatment, for every replicate, in every species. We also followed his good advice and we modified Fig.3 from scatter graphs to box plots. We also changed the caption in Fig.4, the font size in Fig.3 and in Tables.

We didn't apply the statistical analyses that he suggested but we accurately looked at



Discussion paper



the papers that Dr. Suchéras-Marx suggested to us and we thank him for his advice.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-84, 2019.

CPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



CPD

Interactive comment



Discussion paper





Fig. 3 Box plots of coccolith length from the different experiments. In $\lambda \in I$, Mudgit: B) G according: C) C. Tommandli, D) E contrors. C- control treatment, Light experiment with 12 different light intensities from 50 to 600 min. The control of the second se

Fig. 1.