Reply to the reviewers comments (RC1)

The impression of the reviewed paper is highly positive. We live and act in the era of "Big Data" (Dig - means big volume, velocity, variety - the three V’s!). However the reviewed paper: Swiss Early Instrumental Meteorological Measurements - gives us an excellent example that just in the era of Big Data each part of "Small Data" (just a separate digit!!) is of high value and provides our understanding of processes in the past. The paper of Swiss colleagues from Bern demonstrates how accurate and cautious we should be with the content of old weather archives.

We’d like to thank the reviewer for this very positive feedback and appreciate the recognition of the important role early instrumental measurements play in historical climatology.

Reply to the reviewers comments (RC2)

General impression:

Swiss Early Instrumental Meteorological Measurements. It’s a very interesting paper! I really have the most positive things to say about this article. It is heading out for the science and innovative. Given these considerations and considering that the subject matter is clearly within journal scope I would recommend acceptance of this paper. I have only some small things to comment. Otherwise this is a very interesting focus.

We thank the reviewer for the positive feedback and the very helpful comments and suggestions.

Quality assessment:

Scientific significance: The paper has an impact on the field. It has a high significance in this scientific field (climatological data rescue) and is within journal scope (1).

Scientific quality: It is scientifically correct and robust. The scientific arguments and interpretation accurate and consistent with the work presented (1).

Presentation quality: The tables, images and supplementary information give a picture of the inventory made, but I really miss the link to the repository. Additionally, it would have been fine to add information on how to find the images or how the repository is structured (either in section 3 or with a new column in table 2) to more effectively and quickly link the paper and the repository (2).

Thank you for this comment and your suggestions. The preparation of the dataset for the repository was not completed when submitting the manuscript for review, therefore no link is provided. In the revised manuscript we will add the link, as well as a few sentences on the structure of the repository in section 3. We will make sure that the dataset is well structured and the images of individual measurement series are conveniently accessible with the information given in the revised manuscript and its supplement.

Presentation

The writing is clear, concise and it is good English.

Abstract:

Brief and indicate the purpose of the work and what was done, what was found.

Figures:

The figures are clear to understand and make a very good summary. Only a minor comment: it would be fine if in figure 4, if a station continued operative change the colour or size of the point.

This is an excellent suggestion; we will add still operative stations to the maps in a different color in the revised manuscript.

Tables: There are fine and useful tables and the captions are informative.

Review

Introduction:

I think the introduction is nice. The purpose is clear. Goals and lacking in science are well illustrated.
Thanks.

Section 2:
In an easier way it allows the reader to figure out the characteristics of the earliest measurements and most of the comments can be extrapolated to other regions. Only one question:

- Phenological data can give complementary information to past climate conditions. Did you find data (line 199)? If so, was it catalogued?

Thank you for this question. Although we came across several records of phenological data from Switzerland in the context of this project, those records were not catalogued as our focus was on instrumental measurements. Nonetheless, a considerable number of phenological records from this era would exist that could provide additional information to gathered instrumental data. We will add a sentence on this topic in the revised manuscript.

Section 3
Fine explained with figures and tables. This is very easily readable, and information presented well balanced. Comment: consider above comment about to link repository and paper. Two questions

- The authors considered ecclesiastical records and religious orders publications? It is quite frequent, for example, to find meteorological information from third countries measured by Jesuits in their Spanish libraries.

Indeed, archives and publications of ecclesiastical institutions are very valuable and important sources of historical meteorological measurement series. While we found records from two such institutions (the archives of Einsiedeln Abbey and Great St Bernard Hospice), a systematic enquiry of these institutions would have exceeded available time and resources. Nevertheless, many meteorological series made in ecclesiastical institutions were found in secular publications (S. Gottardo, part of Grand St-Bernard) or have been transferred to public archives. However, some series may still be found in ecclesiastical archives. Regarding meteorological observations from third countries, only a handful was discovered in Swiss archives. Those originate mostly from travels or stays of Swiss scientists abroad.

- About metadata information. You said (or I understood) that only data sheets were photographed (l 240-241) but in some cases metadata information or incidents of this type earlier publications (according my experience) were found at the beginning or end of the publication. The person in charge looked at the entire publication, to be sure that this type of information will not be lost?

This is an important point. Such valuable metadata is crucial for e.g. homogenisation and quality control and must not be lost. In any case, we photographed the entire documents excluding only blank pages. Also metadata that could be located from other archive sources was photographed. This is not clear from the text. In the revised manuscript, we will clarify this procedure. All metadata photographed will be provided together with the corresponding measurement series in the repository.

Section 4
This section adds even more value to the work done, really well developed and clear. A minor comment:

- In line 300 - 301 you said “However, using not just the measurements but also the weather observations and comments (which were not the focus of the project and hence not systematically collected),...” As you have found, many times in very ancient observations, information, especially on precipitation, appeared qualitatively but it is very important information to analyse past extreme events like your event presented or droughts... Even so, it seems that this information will not be recovered anyway.

Thank you for this apposite remark. Many of the measurement series found are accompanied by some sort of qualitative information on weather conditions, e.g. precipitation, snow, clouds or thunderstorms. In the inventory provided as supplement to the manuscript, we included this information in the “variables” column as wn (weather notes), not going in to detail with the specific type. However, entirely qualitative weather diaries, that are an important source of information on past weather especially before the availability of instrumental measurements, are not inventoried.

Conclusions / future work.
The conclusion is clearly stated and provide a complete picture of the study. It is summarizing well what has been learned and why it is interesting and useful. Nice to read that the inventory was added to a global registry and part of the data will be digitised.
Thank you.

References
Relevant and appropriate

Reply to short comments (SC1)

The manuscript consists of three essential parts: a text, the list of references, and at last a few Figures and 2 detailed Tables. This reviewer will make some short remarks on these three parts.

The text is very interesting and explains why the findings of the (Swiss) early instrumental meteorological observations will contribute to a better understanding of decadal variability of the weather. The manuscript is in line with the evolution of the building of historical climatological data sets where the basic elements moved from average monthly climatological data in the nineties of the past century, at a later stage to daily values and to sub-daily values at present. The latter division allows a better understanding of climatic, and also of natural climate-related events, which had pronounced impacts on environment and society.

The main subject of the paper deals with the findings of an extensive archive survey of early meteorological data in Switzerland. This search resulted to the incredible estimate of 3640 station years and reaching back to the early 18 th century. This reviewer having been engaged in a similar search in his home country could hardly find a fraction of that impressive result. However, it should be noted that the political and societal conditions in the 18 th and early 19 th centuries in his home country were entirely different which might maybe explain the lack of observational meteorological time-series. Over the last 20 years this reviewer could only add a few 19 th century discoveries of meteorological data sets which remained unknown under searches carried out by scientists interested in historical climatology. Therefore, sincere congratulations to the authors of this manuscript.

The section on the history of meteorological measurements in Switzerland is very interesting and explains how Swiss scientists in the wake of the Enlightenment quantifying ideas made weather observations using the instrumental devises of their time. Furthermore, the authors describe the attempts to install a networks with the aim to publish compilations of meteorological data at different national, and transboundary, locations. The history of the ‘Societas Meteorologica Palatina’, the publication of the ‘Ephemerides’ comprising the meteorological information of the transcontinental network is described into some detail as 2 stations of the network were located in Switzerland.

The inventory resulted from the archive search of Swiss early meteorological measurements is well documented in the 2 tables. The text ends with 2 examples which were studied on the basis of the collected information.

Thank you for this very positive feedback and your suggestions to improve the manuscript.

Concerning the text part of the paper, this reviewer has some minor ‘cons’.

It would be interesting to provide similar information on the initiating of meteorological observations by MeteoSwiss replacing the words “and later MeteoSwiss” (see lines 41 and 42). Of course, information on the founding of MeteoSwiss is given in lines 208 to 217.

Thank you for this suggestion. While in the introduction (lines 41 and 42), we aim at giving an overview on previous work in digitisation of instrumental series rather than describing the initiation of the national meteorological network in Switzerland, the latter is described in section two (as you mentioned). However, we will state the development of a national network in lines 41-42 more precisely in the revised manuscript. Furthermore, we will add some more information on this national network in section two (e.g. number of stations).

This reviewer has the impression on the present and future availability of the digitized data sets mentioned in the manuscript. It looks like the authors remain vague and elusive on the subject.

line 53: “This made many Swiss records easier to access”. How?
lines 70 and 71: “A subsequent paper will describe the digitized records”
lines 256 and 257: “The digitized data, ..., will be described in a subsequent paper.” Maybe this can be made more clear in the next paper.
Thank you for this comment. Indeed, the manuscript does not elaborate on the matter of digitisation in detail, as its focus is put more on measurement history and archive work. Following your comment however, we will give a more precise outlook on digitisation in the revised manuscript and would like to answer the individual remarks on this topic as follows:

line 53:
By transferring the archive collections from MeteoSwiss to the Swiss Federal Archives and the State Archive of the canton of Zurich, they became publicly accessible and were included in online databases of the respective archive holdings, which facilitated our archive work considerably. We will clarify this in the revised manuscript.

lines 70-71 and 256-257:
In the context of our project, more than 50 measurement series described in the inventory have been digitised (mainly pressure, temperature and precipitation) and are currently undergoing quality control and homogenisation. The digitisation concentrated on long continuous measurement series, as well as series from the 18th century. In the revised paper, we will add a sentence giving an outlook on the digitisation process and the subsequent paper.

Phenological observations has also been a source of meteorological interest. Maybe, recent research papers have probably been published on historical phenological observations in Switzerland linking them to meteorological observations and observers.

We agree that phenological data are of great value to historical climatology. In the context of our project, we encountered a considerable number of phenological records from the 18th and 19th century in Switzerland (see author’s comment to RC2). To our knowledge, these records still remain to be subject to scientific investigation.

The first example dealing with the cold surge of December 1788 was not only restricted to Switzerland but encompassed a much larger part of Europe. Maybe a sentence telling this would enhance the example.

Thank you for this suggestion. In the revised manuscript, we will add a sentence on this topic to put the weather situation in Switzerland into a broader European context.

This reviewer concludes that this manuscript is excellent, its content deals with the domain of the journal ‘Clim. Past Discuss.’ and therefore suggests consequently publication in the journal.
Swiss Early Instrumental Meteorological Measurements

Lucas Pfister¹,², Franziska Hupfer¹,², Yuri Brugnara¹,², Lukas Munz¹,², Leonie Villiger¹,², Lukas Meyer¹,², Mikhaël Schwander¹,², Francesco Alessandro Isotta³, Christian Rohr¹,⁴ and Stefan Brönnimann¹,²

¹ Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Switzerland
² Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Switzerland
³ Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Zurich, Switzerland
⁴ Institute of History, University of Bern, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Lucas Pfister (lucas.pfister@giub.unibe.ch)

Abstract. Decadal variability of weather and its extremes is still poorly understood. This is partly due to the shortness of records, which, for many parts of the world, only allow studies of 20th century weather. However, the 18th and early 19th century have seen some pronounced climatic variations, with equally pronounced impacts on the environment and society. Considerable amounts of weather data are available even for that time, but have not yet been digitised. Given the recent progress in quantitative reconstruction of sub-daily weather, such data could form the basis of weather reconstructions. In Switzerland, measurements before 1864 (the start of the national network) have never been systematically compiled except for three prominent series (Geneva, Basel, Great St. Bernard Pass). Here we provide an overview of early instrumental meteorological measurements in Switzerland resulting from an archive survey. Our inventory encompasses 334 entries from 206 locations, providing an estimated 3640 station years and reaching back to the early 18th century. Most of the data sheets have been photographed and a considerable fraction is undergoing digitisation. This paper accompanies the online publication of the imaged data series and metadata. We provide a detailed inventory of the series, discuss their historical context and provide the photographed data sheets. We demonstrate their usefulness on behalf of two historical cases and show how they complement the existing series in Europe. If similar searches in other countries yield similarly rich results, an extension of daily weather reconstructions for Europe back to the 1760s is possible.

1. Introduction

While decadal-to-multidecadal climate variability has long become a major research focus in recent years, decadal variability in weather is still poorly understood. This is partly due to the fact that the observed record covers only an insufficient number and variety of decadal climatic anomalies and changes. Thus, there is a need to extend the record back in time. A monumental effort to draw historical daily weather maps was undertaken in the 1980s (Kington, 1988). A suite of recent, numerical approaches has targeted the reconstruction of weather based on early instrumental meteorological measurements, comprising data assimilation (e.g., the “Twentieth Century Reanalysis” 20CR, Compo et al., 2011; or the coupled European reanalysis of the 20th century CERA-20C, Laloyaux et al., 2018), daily weather-type reconstruction (Schwander et al., 2017; Delaygue et al., 2018) or analogue approaches (Yiou et al., 2014; Flückiger et al., 2017). These approaches allow, at least partly, addressing changes in atmospheric dynamics. 20CR reaches back to the mid-19th century, i.e., the start of national weather services. Can we analyse weather variability even further back in time? In this paper we show, for the case of Switzerland, that rich, hitherto unknown data sources can still be found today in archives.

The 18th and early 19th century have seen pronounced climatic variations in Central Europe such as a much discussed warm and dry period around 1800 (e.g., Frank et al., 2007, Böhm et al., 2010), which was followed by an extremely cold period during the early 19th century (Brönnimann, 2015). Furthermore, a period of increased flood frequency occurred in the 19th...
century in Switzerland ( Pfister, 1999; Schmocker-Fackel and Naef, 2010; see also Brönnimann et al., 2019). These climatic events had pronounced impacts on environment and society (e.g., Summerrmatter, 2005, Stucki et al., 2018), which makes them interesting examples to study. Present climate risk management can make use of historical weather data and historical documents (Brönnimann et al., 2018).

In Switzerland, a national meteorological network was initiated in December 1863 and maintained by the “Schweizerische Naturforschende Gesellschaft” (Swiss Society of Natural Sciences) and later MeteoSwiss before it became part of the Swiss federal administration (today MeteoSwiss) in 1881 (Hupfer, 2017). These data are well documented and a considerable fraction has been digitised and is electronically available (e.g., Begert et al., 2005). Three prominent series that reach further back than 1864 have been re-evaluated (on the basis of daily or monthly mean values) in the 1950s (Bider et al., 1959; Schüepp, 1961), namely Geneva, Basel (both back to the mid-18th century), and Great St. Bernard Pass (back to 1819). These series have been important in climate science and for climate reconstruction, for which monthly resolved temperature series form the basis. They are also incorporated in the Austria-led database HISTALP (Auer et al., 2007). We have recently re-digitised these three long records based on original values rather than daily means (Füllemann et al., 2012), but not the full set of records that would possibly be available. In fact, measurements before 1864 have not been systematically compiled recently. Starting in 2005, MeteoSwiss registered its archive collections (in collaboration with the company GRAD GIS/Thomas Specker) and transferred them to the Swiss Federal Archives in Bern or the State Archives of the canton of Zurich (Fonds „Naturforschende Gesellschaft in Zürich“). The transfer to public archives and the cataloguing of these holdings made many Swiss records easier to access.

Already in 1864 Rudolf Wolf, director of the “Schweizerische Meteorologische Zentralanstalt” (Swiss Central Meteorological Agency), gathered information about meteorological records in Switzerland dating back to the 16th century and pointed out their scientific value (MZA, 1864). The aim was to make several of these records accessible, but only few early instrumental data series were later published in some of the first Swiss meteorological annals in the 19th century. Unfortunately, they were mostly published in the form of daily mean values (within the EU project EMULATE, these daily data were digitised back to 1850 for Geneva, Zurich/Uetliberg and Bern). In the 1920s, Billwiller (1927) published a more comprehensive inventory of Swiss meteorological records prior to 1864, compiling the available information on the series (but not the data). Individual studies have addressed some of the early records (e.g. Gisler, 1983). Gisler (1983, 1985) analysed instrumental series from Schaffhausen and Zurich, however, only based on monthly mean values. Some of the shorter series have been analysed as an object of historical studies (e.g. Burri and Zenhäusern, 2009), but the original data have not been digitised. This is particularly true for instrumental measurements while precipitation observations were sometimes digitised and incorporated into databases (e.g. EURO-CLIMHIST; see also Gimmi et al., 2007). Although meteorological networks in Switzerland in the mid-19th century have been analysed by historians (e.g., Pfister, 1975; Hupfer, 2015; Hupfer, 2019), no attempts have been undertaken to compile and digitise the data.

Here we report the results from a systematic survey of early instrumental meteorological measurements in Switzerland. Archive work brought to light more than 300 station records prior to 1864, some reaching back to the early 18th century. This paper accompanies the online publication of the photographed data sheets and metadata. A subsequent paper will describe the digitised records. Note that Switzerland here serves as an example of what could be found elsewhere in Europe.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of the history of meteorological measurement in Switzerland from the 18th century to the start of the national weather service. Section 3 describes the archive work and the resulting inventory. In Section 4 we demonstrate the usefulness of the photographed data sheets on behalf of two examples. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.
2. History of Meteorological Measurements in Switzerland

In the mid-17th century weather observation started to change from being qualitative to largely quantitative. The instrumental measurements were a trend that originated in the “quantifying spirit” of the Enlightenment, making instrumentation a new priority for scholars in Europe (Frängsmyr et al., 1990; Bourguet et al., 2002). However, instrumental measurements have only gradually replaced qualitative assessments of the weather. The instrumental method did not reach its supremacy in meteorological observation until the 19th century (Janković, 2001). The quantification process interacted with the development of meteorological instruments. The first thermometers were built in the early 1600s, the first barometers some decades later, with contributions from several well-known naturalists such as Descartes (Middleton, 1969; Golinski, 1999). Also hygrometers and instruments for measuring precipitation, wind direction, and wind force came into use in the 17th century. Subsequently, these devices underwent technical changes, thus being an important part of the history of meteorological data.

Scholars took along meteorological instruments on travel, observing on mountains and other special locations that they thought deserved attention. Some also conducted regular instrumental measurements in their home towns. In Switzerland, Johann Jakob Scheuchzer (1672-1733), a physician and professor of mathematics in Zurich, well-connected within the scholarly community of his time, made daily observations of atmospheric pressure, temperature, and precipitation. Starting in 1708, his records (with some interruptions until 1731) are the oldest instrumental series on the territory of today’s Switzerland. Although the original diary is lost, many of Scheuchzer’s results are known thanks to his publications in journals (Pfister, 1984). Scheuchzer’s interest in weather data was part of his larger program to collect all sorts of information on Swiss and Alpine natural history (Pfister, 1975; Boscani Leoni, 2013; Boscani Leoni, 2016). His efforts to get meteorological observations from peripheral regions had little success in general. Nevertheless, he managed to arrange measurements on St. Gotthard Pass: The Capuchin hospice agreed to read every day the barometer that Scheuchzer had installed there (Fischer, 1973). This allowed for corresponding observations between Zurich and St. Gotthard Pass (1728-1730). Observational programs in order to compare meteorological measurements were also conceived by scientific societies or academies. The earliest example is the Florentine Accademia del Cimento, which had sent thermometers to several places in Europe shortly after its foundation in 1657 (Hellmann, 1901; Hellmann, 1914). These data have been digitised and evaluated (Camuffo and Bertolin, 2012).

From the early 18th century on, attempts were made to publish compilations of data from different locations. When the Wroclaw physician Johann Kanold invited other savants to submit their records for publication, Scheuchzer provided the Zurich data (1718-1726, see Steiger, 1933). Between 1718 and 1730, Kanold collected and printed meteorological information from more than 20 European cities in his Breslauer Sammlung (Hellmann, 1926; Feldman, 1990; Lüdecke, 2010). The Royal Society in London carried out a similar project, initiated in 1723 by its Secretary James Jurin who issued an invitation to potential observers. During circa ten years, the Royal Society published Jurin’s collected responses in its journal (Hellmann, 1914; Daston, 2008). Such undertakings illustrate the growing interest of 18th-century scholars to compare empirical details. As a result, exchanges across the political borders of Europe were stimulated.

In the second half of the 18th century, many projects were initiated to coordinate and publish meteorological observations, most of them by scientific, economic or agricultural societies. Among the organisations with a meteorological program was the “Oekonomische Gesellschaft Bern” (Economic Society of Bern). Soon after its foundation in 1759, the society equipped several stations in different regions with thermometers and barometers (OeGB, 1762; Pfister, 1975). In the following decade, three to eight locations sent in measurements, of which monthly means and extremes were printed in the society’s journal. This observation network set up by the “Oekonomische Gesellschaft” was small – regarding the number of stations and the geographic reach (all stations were on the territory of the Republic of Bern, including its Vaudois territories and those ruled in common with Fribourg). Identically equipped stations represented though an innovative method initiated by such net-
works, and soon followed also on larger scale. The best-known 18th-century initiative to establish an extended network of observers is the Mannheim-based Palatine Meteorological Society (Societas Meteorologica Palatina, see Cassidy, 1985; Kingston, 1988; Wege and Winkler, 2005; Lüdecke, 2010). It was a state-subsidised project: the elector of Palatine and of Bavaria, Karl Theodor, provided the society’s funds. Under his patronage, the court priest Johann Jakob Hemmer organised a network of over 30 stations in various parts of Europe. He also set up a station in Greenland and one in North America. All observers received a set of calibrated instruments (one barometer, two thermometers and one hygrometer) together with instructions on observational procedures (e.g., the subdaily observation times were fixed for 07 h, 14 h and 21 h). The registers were dispatched to Mannheim for publication in the society’s journal called “Ephemerides” (twelve volumes 1781-1792). On the territory of today’s Switzerland, two observers participated in the Palatine Society’s network: the pastor and librarian Jean Senebier in Geneva and the Capuchin monk Onuphrius on the St. Gotthard Pass, succeeded by fellow monks (Billwiller, 1927; Pfister, 1984; Grenon, 2010).

The ambitious plan of standardising instruments and observing practices within these early networks of meteorological stations strived for improving the comparability of the measurements. Given the high individuality of instruments in the 18th century, it was extremely difficult to compare the observations (Daston, 2008). In this context, standardisation became an important issue. The participants of the late-18th and early 19th-century networks observed with more precise instruments, compared to most of the ones engaged outside coordinated projects. Also, they usually observed with more regularity (often subdaily at predetermined times) and submitted their tables to coordinators, who often published them in summaries or – less frequently – as full records. The growing amount of printed meteorological data was influenced by the expanding production of scholarly journals in the 18th century (for scholarly media culture, see Holenstein et al., 2013). By defining publishing criteria for meteorological observations, networks had a standardising effect. However, the affiliation to a network did not necessarily guarantee high quality. Coordinators only had limited control over their observers. Many of them worked as volunteers and did not always follow their coordinators’ guidelines that were often only poorly explained. Within a single network of stations, observations could vary significantly in reliability. Furthermore, not all networks had the resources to distribute instruments, which limited the degree of standardisation.

Meteorological networks founded until the middle of the 19th century (before the era of official state observing systems) were all transitory. They seldom endured for more than 20 years (Edwards, 2010). Some never aimed at long-term series; others sought permanence but faced problems in resources. In the case of the Palatine society, the project declined after the death of its first coordinator. The French Revolutionary Wars further contributed to the disintegration of the network. The last annual report was printed in 1795, 15 years after the promising start. The above-mentioned network of the “Oekonomische Gesellschaft Bern” was not a long-lasting one either: When the society as a whole became quite inactive for some time, its meteorological project was abandoned after ten years of existence (1760-1770). Most networks had a high fluctuation of observers: only a part of them pursued their measurements with tenacity over many years. The difficulties to build permanent structures persisted in the first half of the 19th century – despite the many endeavours to create and maintain networks with regional or cross-border dimensions.

Within the territory of today’s Switzerland, the early initiative of the “Oekonomische Gesellschaft Bern” was followed by several attempts of observational network building: In the 1810s, the “Naturforschende Gesellschaft” (Society of Natural Sciences) in Aarau sent barometers to circa ten observers in different European cities, but did not receive enough useful registers to publish a compilation (Hefty-Gysi, 1953). Some decades later, in the 1850s, the society set up a network inside the canton of Aargau. However, most of the 22 stations stopped observations after less than three years (Hartmann, 1911). The network of the “Thurgauische Naturforschende Gesellschaft” (Society of Natural Sciences of Thurgau) was short-living as well (starting in 1855, see Bürgi, 2004). In the canton of Grisons, many members of the cantonal “Naturforschende Gesell-
schaft Graubündens” (Society of Natural Sciences of Grisons) participated in the observational system that Christian Gregor Brügger, a student, later secondary school teacher and natural history researcher, had initiated in 1856 (Hupfer, 2015). After Brügger had left the region in 1859, the network lacked supervision and started to fall apart (although data were still collected). Some stations were incorporated into the national network starting in 1863. In the French-speaking part of Switzerland, the “Société des sciences naturelles de Neuchâtel” (Society of Natural Sciences of Neuchâtel) initiated a network in 1856 comprising six stations in the canton of Neuchâtel (Kopp, 1856). From these stations, however, only two persisted until the integration into the national network. Another, better controlled network was operated by the Observatory in Bern, which had equipped eight stations in cooperation with the “Naturforschende Gesellschaft in Bern” (Society of Natural Sciences of Bern) and the cantonal government (starting in 1860, see Wild, 1860).

Besides these regional projects, the Swiss Society of Natural Sciences (established in 1815, today Swiss Academy of Sciences SCNAT) aimed at a network at national level. However, its first network with twelve stations, conceptualised by the Geneva observatory director Marc-Auguste Pictet in 1823, faced problems similar to those of other initiatives. It lacked a financed coordination centre, was confronted with high publication costs and a considerable drop-off rate amongst its observers. Finally, the society abandoned its project in 1836 and printed only the means and extremes of three stations (Basel 1826-1836, Bern 1826-1836, St. Gallen 1827-1832, see Merian et al., 1838). The society was to have more success with its second attempt, undertaken in the 1860s, with support from the Swiss Federal State.

In the period of repeated efforts for expanded and durable observational enterprises, there were far more persons involved than just those belonging to networks. Many observed as individuals, some on behalf of institutions such as universities or monasteries. Observations provided by institutions were often independent from the success or failure of meteorological networks. The Geneva observatory, for example, continued its measurements (started in 1772) regardless of whether it currently participated in a network or not (Gautier, 1843). Other long series evolved in Basel where, among others, the university professors Johann Jakob d’Annone and Peter Merian observed for almost fifty years each (1755-1804 and 1826-1874 respectively, see Riggenbach, 1892; Bider et al., 1958). Especially Merian had an established status within the meteorological research. However, the qualification of the 18th- and 19th-century observers ranged wide, spanning from university scholars as Merian to persons without any scientific training. In the 18th century, thermometers and barometers became increasingly affordable, leading to a wider public use (Golinski, 2007). This diversity limits the possibility of generalisations about the observers.

The interest in meteorological measurements derived from both theoretical and practical goals. Most observers saw their systematic observations as a contribution to a scientific understanding of weather and climate (see for example Pictet, 1780). They hoped that their records might eventually show regularities that would allow finding natural laws or discerning correlations with celestial motions (for the Palatine Society’s goals see: Cassidy, 1985, and more general: Daston, 2008). Although the observation networks could not provide current weather information before the widespread introduction of the telegraph (invented in 1837), many organisers expected that their long-term data would help to predict the weather (Feldman, 1990). Others, particularly the “Oekonomische Gesellschaft Bern”, were interested in the impact of atmospheric conditions on plants, hoping to derive practical measures in their effort to improve agricultural methods (Carrard, 1763; Pfister, 1975). Another source of interest were medical concerns, relating weather conditions to diseases, plagues or mortality (Fleming, 1990).

Whereas organisers of networks often explicitly outlined their motivation, little is known about the reasons for which individuals (connected to a network or not) started observations. The few testimonies reveal a desire to learn and to support knowledge production, often with a focus on their locality or region (Janković, 2001; Hupfer, 2015). In most cases, meteorological records were made by literate persons. However, well-off and almost exclusively masculine observers were often supported by household members who replaced them during their absences. Many observers not only monitored the weather,
but were also involved in other investigations of the nature such as phenological observations and botanical classifications. Some of them were well-known botanists, e.g., Laurent Garcin (1683-1752) or Abraham Gagnebin (1707-1800). A remarkable number of meteorological observers in 18th- and 19th-century Switzerland belonged to the liberal elite, among them the famous politician and author Heinrich Zschokke (1771-1848). Moreover, members of clergy formed an important group among the observers. When pastors were moved to another church, they often took their instruments with them, e.g., Rudolf Ludwig Fankhauser (1796-1886) who carried out measurements in three different parishes in the canton of Bern. Clergymen, physicians, pharmacists, lawyers or magistrates were not only typical meteorological observers, but were in general strongly represented in Europe’s natural history community. In the pre-twentieth-century, this community was not limited to professional researchers, but included many non-academics.

In December 1863, an important organisational transformation in meteorological measurement took place in Switzerland: a national observation network was started, organised by the “Schweizerische Naturforschende Gesellschaft” (Swiss Society of Natural Sciences) and supported by the Swiss Federal State (established in 1848). It encompassed 88 stations, incorporating many observation sites and observers from previous measurement initiatives, e.g. Aarau, Basel, Geneva, as well as a great number of stations from the Brügger network in Grisons (Mousson, 1864). State-funding provided the financial stability that had lacked to the many previous attempts. The network was still a system of volunteer observers. However, its coordination was professionalised in form of an administrative centre, the “Schweizerische Meteorologische Zentralanstalt” (Swiss Central Meteorological Agency, later MeteoSwiss). This state-supported institution pushed standardisation of both observers and instruments and adapted international agreements about the recording and communication of data (Hupfer, 2017; Hupfer, 2019; on internationalisation: Edwards, 2010). After a few years, in 1881, the meteorological agency became an official state institution, responsible of climate monitoring and of synoptic weather forecasting based on international telegraphic data exchange.

The national observation networks that have emerged since the middle of the 19th century still form the basis of climate monitoring today. Compared to the 18th- and early 19th-century organisational structures, these state-founded networks can be considered as quite stable. Over the long term, measuring practices have developed towards an increased standardisation. However, the history of meteorological measurements is not only a success story, but also a history of many discontinued projects and unfulfilled expectations regarding both theoretical and practical aspects. Despite the less developed standards of the pre-1864 period, the inventoried series are informative for past weather events. What presents us with a challenge is the fact that measurement conditions were often not systematically documented. Therefore it is necessary to not only make the data available but also to examine the multiple contexts from which these observations originate.

3. Inventory

Our archive search started from the above mentioned previous compilations, most of which were published more than 100 years ago. Furthermore, we consulted the cantonal societies of natural sciences, checked their websites and conducted an online search targeted at digitally available journals and publications of these societies (Ephemerides, journals of the natural sciences societies available at e-periodica). In a next step, digital libraries were searched for meteorological data (e.g., Munich Digitization Center MDZ, Google Books, e-periodica, e-manuscripta and others), as well as publications of MeteoSwiss (Supplement Volumes of Annals of MeteoSwiss). Moreover, we consulted a number of libraries and archives (Swiss Federal Archives, Cantonal Archive Aargau, Cantonal Library Aargau, University Library of Basel, Burgerbibliothek of Berne, University Library of Bern, Archive of the Monastery of Einsiedeln, Cantonal/University Library Fribourg, Cantonal Archive and Library of Geneva, Cantonal Library/Archive Grisons, University Library of Neuchatel, City Archive Schaffhausen, Cantonal Library Vadiana St. Gallen, Cantonal Archive Vaud, Cantonal/University Library Lausanne, Cantonal Archive
Zurich, City Library Zurich) and enquired many more libraries and archives about meteorological data in their holdings. Two examples of data sheets from the Swiss Federal Archives are displayed in Fig. 1. From the known meteorological records, the vast majority could be located (see Fig. 2). Apart from instrumental measurements, a considerable number of qualitative weather descriptions, as well as phenological records was found, but has not been inventoried. The archive work revealed that many more series exist than the ones known so far. If original records could be found (the majority of the series), the data sheets were photographed; they were photographed together with all available metadata. For certain series, transcripts and printed versions were photographed instead of missing original manuscripts. In some cases, we could not locate the data but found information about the station or observer, or found data only in statistical form and not as raw data. In these cases, the metadata were collected as completely as possible, enabling future users to better track the data.

For each series, the time period and resolution, variables measured, location, observer, and sources of any information are stored in our inventory. Long series are thus often fragmented in many short, sometimes overlapping sequences. The full inventory is published in the supplement; an abridged version is given in Table 2. Some basic characteristics such as the length of the records or the start year are visualised in Figs. 3 and 4. The number of series found – over 300 – was much larger than anticipated. While many series (e.g., those of the Grisons network) were rather short, we also found several long series, as well as segments that could possibly be combined into long series (see Fig. 3). In addition to the three long (> 200 years) series that are presently available – Geneva, Basel, Great St. Bernard Pass – many other long series could possibly be generated such as Zurich, Schaffhausen, Aarau, Bern, St. Gallen and others. Our inventory encompasses 335 entries from 206 locations, providing an estimated 3640 station years and reaching back to the early 18th century.

Based on this information, the data to be photographed and digitised were prioritised. Long series had a higher priority than short series, and series from the 18th century had higher priority than those from the 19th century. In total, temperature, pressure and if available precipitation as well as other variables of more than 50 measurement series have been digitised. The digitised data, along with a description of the quality assurance, will be described in a subsequent paper. The focus here is on the inventoried and photographed series, as they provide rich additional information (e.g., weather descriptions, rainfall, wind, etc.) that are not digitised, but can be accessed online. With the permission of the respective archives and libraries, the images of the meteorological series and corresponding metadata are published at the open-access repository Zenodo [doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3066836]. The images are structured in folders following the full inventory in the supplement with series ordered alphabetically by location and chronologically for each location. Each published series has an ID assigned to facilitate locating the series on the repository. For series, that are already published online, a link is indicated in the inventory.

4. Examples

The cold surge in December 1788

In Switzerland, the winter 1788/89 was one of the coldest of the last 300 years. The In Europe, the winter 1788/89 was one of the most severe during the last 300 years. The cold surge led to the freezing of the Venetian Lagoon, as well as many lakes and had vast impacts on society and economy (e.g. Lamb, 1995; Camuffo, 1987). In Switzerland, the winter began early, with below freezing temperatures in the lowlands starting from 22 November. Apart from a brief warming around Christmas (a period of stormy weather), temperatures remained low until 8 January. In the CAP7 weather type classification of Schwander et al. (2017), which, based on station data, extends the MeteoSwiss CAP9 weather types back into the past (Weusthoff, 2011), most of the days during this period were of type 6 (“North”), most others were type 1 (“North East, indifferent”), type 4 (“East, indifferent”) or type 5 (“High pressure”). These types indicate the flow of cold, continental air to-
wards Switzerland. Over Christmas, winds turned south westerly (“West Cylconic” types 7), then back to northerly and to easterly.

As a side note, this cold winter (together with a preceding drought and the following flood-rich spring) worsened the economic crisis (increased costs) and supply situation in France. Without overemphasizing the role of weather, it arguably did play a role in paving the ground for the French revolution in the following summer.

During this period, the Palatina network was active, providing data from two Swiss stations (Geneva and St. Gotthard Pass at 2091 m a.s.l.). Additional data were photographed and digitised from four stations: Sutz, Bern (two series for Jan. 1789), Basel, and a second independent series from Geneva. Figure 5 shows excerpts of two data sheets. According to our inventory, further data might be available for Chur, Glarus, Winterthur and Zurich, as well as from additional observers from Basel and Geneva. However, we have not yet entirely located these data.

Raw temperature series from digitised available locations are shown in Fig. 6, together with the daily weather types. Temperature series agree very well with each other over this period. All mutual correlations are between 0.89 and 0.99 except for St. Gotthard Pass, which often lies above the inversion layer that covers the Swiss Plateau and therefore shows a clearly different behaviour. All lowland series show a cooling, with negative temperatures from late November onwards. During the very cold phases, temperatures at St. Gotthard Pass were not far below those in the Swiss Plateau, which indicates stable conditions or an inversion. Conversely, the gradient is larger during phases of cyclonic weather (e.g., type 7), i.e., the Christmas warming was clearly less pronounced at St. Gotthard Pass than in the lowlands. The change from northerly to south-westerly winds and back in late December can clearly be seen in the observations from Bern (Fig. 5), while at St. Gotthard Pass (possibly due to channelling, which remains to be studied), winds remained north-westerly throughout the second half of December.

This brief example demonstrates that daily weather can indeed be reconstructed from the combination of all digitised records. Adding pressure information and records from neighbouring countries could possibly allow a more detailed view at late 18th century daily weather in Central Europe.

The heavy precipitation event on 4 and 5 July 1817

Precipitation measurements are sparse in the early 19th century. Although we did not systematically collect non-measured precipitation information, the descriptions in our photographed data sheets reveal interesting insights. An example is the flood event in July 1817, when Lake Constance reached the highest level since the beginning of measurements (see Rössler and Brönnimann, 2018). Though melting snow contributed (at high altitudes consisting of a triple snow pack, with winter snow from 1815/1816, which could not melt during the cold summer of 1816 but was covered by summer snow, on top of which lay the winter 1816/1817 snow pack), it is very unlikely to have been a main cause, according to model simulations (Rössler and Brönnimann, 2018). Rather, a heavy precipitation event in early July must have triggered the flood.

The only available precipitation series in Switzerland is from Geneva and indicates 29 mm on 5 July. This is clearly not sufficient to judge whether a heavy precipitation event affected Switzerland. However, using not just the measurements but also the weather observations and comments (which were not the focus of the project and hence not systematically collected), more can indeed be said. Our photographed data sheets indicate rain all day long in Aarau on 4 and 5 July, and in St. Gallen on 5 July. The monastery of Einsiedeln (see Fig. 7) noted strong precipitation already on 1 and 2 July (“pluvia, serenum”), two thunderstorms on 4 July (“vespere tempestas, noctu repetita”), and again rain on 5 July (“pluvia, pluvia”). The observer at Schaffhausen also noted strong thunderstorms on 4 July (“träbe, starkes Gewitter, Regen”) and rain on 5 July (“fast beständig starker Regen”), the notes from Marschlin concerning early July (with no clear date) indicate terrible weather with
many thunderstorms (“furchtbare Witterung mit viel Gewitter”), Vevey noted thunderstorms with hail/rain (“Gewitter mit Hagel/Regen”), and Bern had rain on 4 and 5 July, with thunderstorms.

All sites indicate a drop in pressure and also temperature. The wind was mainly from the West or Southwest. Existing weather type classifications indicate that 4 and 5 July were of the “Low Pressure” type (in Geneva; Auchmann et al., 2012) or “West Cyclonic” (in CAP7; Schwander et al., 2017).

All this additional information hints at a passage of a synoptic scale system (with already antecedent rain and arguably saturated soils), which was accompanied by large thunderstorm activity (incl. hail) due to embedded convection. This fits very well with several of the weather patterns identified to lead to heavy precipitation events (Stucki et al., 2012). From this evidence we can conclude that it is not unlikely that a heavy precipitation event has affected much of the Swiss pre-alpine region and thus could have acted as a trigger for the 1817 flooding. The examples demonstrate the usefulness of the photographed sheets; information that can then be combined with the information from historical databases such as EURO-CLIMHIST.

5. Conclusions

The climate of the late 18\textsuperscript{th} and 19\textsuperscript{th} centuries holds specific periods that are of strong interest to present-day climate science. Studying these events requires instrumental data; however, the Swiss measurements before 1864 have never been systematically explored. The earliest Swiss measurements go back to Enlightenment scientists. The observers belonged to the liberal elite, some were members of clergy, and they were typically well embedded in a European network of scientists. Two Swiss stations were part of the Palatina network. From the mid-18\textsuperscript{th} century onwards and throughout the first half of the 19\textsuperscript{th} century, numerous regional meteorological networks were founded, but they all remained transitory. Only with the support from the Swiss Federal State a national network could finally be realised (on the importance of such context-knowledge for science and applications, see Brönnimann and Wintzer, 2019).

In our study we have compiled information on more than 300 station records from Switzerland prior to 1864. The inventory is added to the global registry of the Copernicus Data Rescue Services (Brönnimann et al., 2018b), and the images are published at the open-access repository Zenodo [detailed information (URL/DOI) will follow doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3066836].

Two examples illustrate the usefulness not only of the digitised data, but also of the images provided, for reconstructing the daily weather as far back as the 18\textsuperscript{th} century.

Part of the data (temperature, pressure, and precipitation measurements) will be digitised and made available publicly. They can be used for various applications, including data assimilation for producing dynamical reanalyses. The example of Switzerland also suggests that the amount of European early instrumental data might have been underestimated up to now, probably because the focus of climatology was mostly on long series. In fact, focusing on pressure measurements for the period 1815-1817, Brugnara et al. (2015) compiled and digitised more than 50 pressure series from Europe and North America and located many more. This was sufficient for a dynamical reanalysis (Brohan et al., 2016). Taken together, this suggests that at a European scale, a 250-year daily weather reconstruction should be possible. However, this requires further data rescue efforts, such as coordinated in the Atmospheric Circulation Reconstructions over the Earth (ACRE) Initiative (Allan et al., 2011).
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Figures

Figure 1: Two examples of data sheets from Vevey and Schaffhausen from the Swiss Federal Archives (signatures: E3180-01#2005/90#202*; E3180-01#2005/90#231*).

Figure 2: Number of possibly available measurement series (grey) and number of series where subdaily data were found (green) as function of the year. The peak around 1860 is due to the Brügger network in Grisons, encompassing around 90 locations. From 1864 onwards, only series starting prior to this date that haven’t been included in the national network are depicted.
Figure 3: Map of the available stations in Switzerland before 1864 showing the length of the series.

Figure 4: Maps of the available stations over time. Measurement series starting in the indicated period are shown as red dots, while grey dots represent stations continuing observations, as a function of the start year of the series.
Figure 5: Excerpts of data sheets from Bern and St. Gotthard Pass for the second half of December 1788. For St. Gotthard Pass, the wind was noted as “NW” for every single day; not shown. (Burgerbibliothek of Berne: Mss.h.h.XX.5.2; LMU Munich library: 0001/4 Phys. 861(1888)).

Figure 6: Temperature at Geneva, Basel, Bern, Sutz, and St. Gotthard Pass in November to January 1788/89. If several observations were made per day, we show the sunrise temperature. Note that these are the digitised raw data in degrees Reaumur. The series from Basel was shifted upward by 10° for visualisation. The bottom bar indicates the weather type according to Schwander et al. (2017).
Figure 7: Excerpts of data sheets from Einsiedeln, Schaffhausen, and Aarau for early July 1817 (Klosterarchiv Einsiedeln: KAE.A.39/6; Swiss Federal Archives: E3180-01#2005/90#201*; State Archives Aargau: NL.A-0197).
Table 1: Meteorological networks with stations on the territory of today’s Switzerland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Organiser</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1760-1770</td>
<td>Oekonomische Gesellschaft Bern (Bern)</td>
<td>OGB</td>
<td>ca. 10 stations on Bernese territory (in present-day cantons of Bern, Fribourg, Vaud)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1781-1792</td>
<td>Societas Meteorologica Palatina (Mannheim)</td>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>over 30 stations in European and, in a few cases, non-European regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1814-1818</td>
<td>Aargauische Naturforschende Gesellschaft (Aarau)</td>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>ca. 15 corresponding stations across Europe planned, but only few observations sent in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1826-1836</td>
<td>Allgemeine Schweizerische Gesellschaft für die Gesamten Naturwissenschaften</td>
<td>SGN</td>
<td>14 stations in Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855-1862</td>
<td>Thurgauische Naturforschende Gesellschaft (Frauenfeld)</td>
<td>TNG</td>
<td>5 stations in the canton of Thurgau (at the start)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856-1863</td>
<td>Société des Sciences Naturelles de Neuchâtel</td>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>6 stations in the canton of Neuchâtel (at the start)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856-1859</td>
<td>Aargauische Naturforschende Gesellschaft (Aarau)</td>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>ca. 22 stations in the canton of Aargau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856-1863</td>
<td>Christian Gregor Brügger (Chur)</td>
<td>CGB</td>
<td>total of ca. 91 stations in the canton of Grisons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860-1863</td>
<td>Naturforschende Gesellschaft in Bern (Bern)</td>
<td>NGB</td>
<td>8 stations in the canton of Bern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864-</td>
<td>Schweizerische Meteorologische Centralanstalt, Zurich (first an institution of the Schweizerische Naturforschende Gesellschaft, from 1881 onwards a Swiss federal institute)</td>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>ca. 80-100 stations in Switzerland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary inventory of all stations with at least 10 years of data, summarised per station (Var: p = pressure, T = temperature, w = wind, R = precipitation, day⁻¹ = number of measurements per day). Station names are sorted by start year of the series and indicated in the corresponding local language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Years total</th>
<th>Subperiods/Observers</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Var.</th>
<th>day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Additional Information</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuchâtel</td>
<td>1753-1863</td>
<td>with 60 yr gap Frédéric Moula, 1844-1851 A. Bonjour, 1854-1863 Charles-Guillaume Kopp, Louis Favre</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lausanne</td>
<td>1754-1887</td>
<td>with several long gaps Jean Henri Polier de Vernand, 1760-1768 Théodore Louis Traitorrens (from December 1762 Deleuze), 1763-1772 François Verdeil, 1783-1783 Francois Verdeil, 1808-1809 unknown, 1841-1847 Elie-François Wartmann, 1848-1872 Jules Marguet, 1855-1858 students at the Ecole Normale, 1857-1887 Marguet?</td>
<td>p,T,R,w</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basel</td>
<td>1755-1865</td>
<td>1755 Friedrich Zwinger, 1755-1804 Johann Jakob d’Annone, 1766-1772 Johann Heinrich Ryhiner, 1766-1795 Werner (Wernhard) de Lachenal, 1777-1785 Daniel Wolleb, 1783-1805 Abel Socin, 1784-1829 Daniel Huber, 1786 Johann Kaspar Scholer, 1825-1827 J. R. Burckhardt, 1826-1832 Johann Jakob Fürstenberger, 1826-1863 Peter Merian, 1832-1846 Andreas Schneider, 1845-1886 Gustav Adolf Huber, 1856-1874 Franz Kaufmann, in most versions supplemented with Mulhouse and Delémont between 1804 and 1826; additional measurements probably by Peter Merian in Marchmatt 1827, Arlesheim 1828, Binningen 1829-1830</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>1-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottens</td>
<td>1757-1770</td>
<td>Johann Ludwig Stürler</td>
<td>p,T,w,R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbe</td>
<td>1760-1770</td>
<td>Jean Bertrand and/or Benjamin Carrard</td>
<td>p,T,w, R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickenbach</td>
<td>1760-1777</td>
<td>Friedrich David Kitt</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GurzeLEN</td>
<td>1766-1784</td>
<td>Johann Jakob Sprüngli</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarthALEN</td>
<td>17714-1781</td>
<td>Johann Jakob Toggenburger</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glarus</td>
<td>1774-1818</td>
<td>Johannes Marti</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldenburg</td>
<td>1776-1790</td>
<td>M. (A.?) Bavier</td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passo S. Gottardo</td>
<td>1781-1792</td>
<td>Pater Onuphrius; Pater Laurentius Mediolanensis; Jos. Belmas de Caladray also early series 1728-1731 by Joseph da Sessa, as well as from 1844-1863 by Rigozzi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutz</td>
<td>1785-1802</td>
<td>Johann Jakob Sprüngli</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossinière</td>
<td>1792-1850</td>
<td>Henchoz; nephew of pasteur Henchoz from the OekGes Bern-series (from 1834 on) also short series of Henchoz 1765-1766</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaffhausen</td>
<td>1794-1863</td>
<td>1794-1845 Johann Christoph Schalch, 1833-1867 Johann Jacob Schelling (only T) additional series from 1836-1839 Ferdinand Ludwig Peyer, 1838-1849 Johann Conrad Laffon (only T)</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolle</td>
<td>1798-1831</td>
<td>J. F.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delémont</td>
<td>1801-1832</td>
<td>François-Joseph Helg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarau</td>
<td>1807-1865</td>
<td>Heinrich and Theodor Zschokke second series 1826-1836 by Franz Xaver Bronner</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winterthur</td>
<td>1808-1864</td>
<td>R. Nötzli (only T) older short series 1775-1776 by J. J. Biedermann, overlapping series from 1808-1816 (unknown), 1836-1863 (Steiner), 1843-1853 (Sulzer), 1849-1867 (Furrer)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Gallen</td>
<td>1812-1863</td>
<td>1812-1832 Daniel Meyer, 1833-1853 Naturforschende Gesellschaft St. Gallen (observer unknown), 1857-1863 various</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fribourg</td>
<td>1816-1861</td>
<td>1816-1847 observer unknown, 1823-1847 Jean Baptiste Wière/Wiere, 1830-1859 Joseph-Victor Daguet (only T), 1856-1861 Francois (?) Moret</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenzburg</td>
<td>1816-1845</td>
<td>1816-1818 Aug. Kl. Müller; Karl Johann Häusler, 1839-1845 Rudolf Heinrich Hofmeister</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Einsiedeln</td>
<td>1817-1863</td>
<td>Bernhard Foresti, Raphael Kuhn, Pius Regli</td>
<td>2-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand St. Bernard</td>
<td>1817-1863</td>
<td>P. Marquis, others</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frauenfeld</td>
<td>1820-1834</td>
<td>Johann Conrad Freyenmuth also later short series 1846 (unknown, only T) and 1855-1863 (Friedrich Mann)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herisau</td>
<td>1821-1844</td>
<td>1821-1841 Johann Ludwig Merz, 1822-1845 Johann Jakob Nef</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solothurn</td>
<td>1823-1831</td>
<td>Franz Joseph Hugi short later series by</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>People and Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friederich August Gruner</td>
<td>1845 and Albert Pflühler 1861-1863</td>
<td>p,T,w 1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bever</td>
<td>1826-1863</td>
<td>with a Syr gap 1826-1832 Melchior Bovelin, 1836-1841 Bovelin?, Krättli, 1846-1863 Johann Luzius Krättli</td>
<td>p,T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luzern</td>
<td>1826-1861</td>
<td>with 12 yr gap 1826-1832 Josef Ineichen, 1844-1876 Franz Xaver Schwytzer</td>
<td>also series from 1860-1861 by Ernst Grossbach p,T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindau</td>
<td>1827-1841</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>T 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellikon an der Thur</td>
<td>1831-1840</td>
<td>Hans Kaspar Egg</td>
<td>T 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielsdorf</td>
<td>1834-1863</td>
<td>Schoch</td>
<td>p,T,w 1-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nufenen</td>
<td>1834-1846</td>
<td>Johann Friedrich Felix</td>
<td>p,T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennenda</td>
<td>1836-1859</td>
<td>Jenni</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfäffikon</td>
<td>1836-1846</td>
<td>Jakob Dändliker</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uster</td>
<td>1837-1871</td>
<td>J. Trünpler</td>
<td>p,T 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altdorf</td>
<td>1838-1857</td>
<td>Müller</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uetliberg</td>
<td>1841-1863</td>
<td>Friedrich Beyel</td>
<td>p,T,w 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontaines</td>
<td>1843-1862</td>
<td>Bernard de Gélieu</td>
<td>p,T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krummenau</td>
<td>1843-1863</td>
<td>Johann Daniel Rothmund</td>
<td>p,T 2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegerfelden</td>
<td>1843-1853</td>
<td>Heinrich Cornelius Sutermeister</td>
<td>T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zug</td>
<td>1843-1873</td>
<td>Michael Müller?</td>
<td>earlier series (p,T) from the 1810s and 1820s by Franz Karl Studlin</td>
<td>T 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lugano</td>
<td>1844-1863</td>
<td>V. Lombardi</td>
<td>also short series 1856-1859 by Giovanni Cantoni p,T,w 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mötiös</td>
<td>1844-1856</td>
<td>Barrelet</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesse</td>
<td>1845-1856</td>
<td>Lamont</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stans</td>
<td>1845-1863</td>
<td>Bucher</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olten</td>
<td>1846-1863</td>
<td>Theodor Munzinger</td>
<td>also series by Hermann Frei, 1860-1863 p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stäfa</td>
<td>1846-1860</td>
<td>Johann Jakob Dändliker</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stammheim</td>
<td>1846-1863</td>
<td>Jakob Kradolfer</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldenstein</td>
<td>1848-1863</td>
<td>Thomas Conrad (von Baldenstein)</td>
<td>T 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohn</td>
<td>1848-1863</td>
<td>Alexander Beck</td>
<td>T,w 1-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herzogenbuchsee</td>
<td>1849-1863</td>
<td>Adolf Albrecht Rütimeyer</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trogen</td>
<td>1850-1862</td>
<td>Tobler?</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgdorf</td>
<td>1851-1863</td>
<td>Rudolf Ludwig Fankhauser</td>
<td>second series 1855-1859 by Friedrich August Flückiger</td>
<td>p,T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diessenhofen</td>
<td>1851-1861</td>
<td>Rudolf Hanhart</td>
<td></td>
<td>p,T,w</td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splügen</td>
<td>1853-1862</td>
<td>Florian Camasral (at the top of the pass), G. Crottogini (at the village)</td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maienfeld</td>
<td>1858-1877</td>
<td>Christian Enderlin</td>
<td></td>
<td>T,w</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>