

Interactive comment on “Stable isotopes in cave ice suggest summer temperatures in East-Central Europe are linked to AMO variability” by Carmen-Andreea Bădălută et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 27 March 2020

General comments I've read carefully the paper titled “Stable isotopes in cave ice suggest summer temperatures in East-Central Europe are linked to AMO variability” by Carmen-Andreea BădăluĚă et al. This is an interesting one showing evidence of possible links between summer temperatures and solar activity as well as with a well known climate index called Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO). Conclusions suggest also that LIA might be a more winter-related event in the East-Central-Europe Region. The manuscript is well written and data are exhaustive and of sufficiently good quality. Results are well presented and discussion and conclusions consistent. For all this reasons I recommend this manuscript for publication in CP with some minor modifications. English is not always fluent, even if generally well understandable, I only

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



suggest a quick polished by an English native before the final submission. Besides comments directly highlighted in the corrected pdf, I have only one point that in my opinion could/should be better addressed. What is missing is a wider discussion comparing other results recently obtained in ice cave core campaigns conducted in other regions of Europe. For instance, a very recent and interesting paper by Sancho et al. 2018 “Middle-to-late Holocene palaeoenvironmental reconstruction from the A294 ice-cave record (Central Pyrenees, northern Spain)” gives interesting results in highlighting a link with NAO in this area. Do you think there could be or there is also a link with the NAO in the ice accretion of this cave ? The paper would benefit in terms of interest for a wider audience if comparison with other studies will be presented from ice cave coring programs. . . . The ice cave community is not so big and thus not many papers dealing with such evidence exist. It wouldn't be a huge effort to discuss other results in this field, but a great improvement to the paper with a small commitment

Other minor comments P1 L31 – I would say that temperature and precipitation are of course important, but specifically the ones we have for the longest period. . . other parameters would be important as well, but we don't possess long records P2 L15-20 Here the authors already give conclusions. . . I would prefer to read here the goals of this work and find the conclusions at the end of the manuscript P4 L 30 Precipitation and not Precipitations P4 L37 it is “now”, “low”or “no” winter accumulation ? I guess it is “low”, in such a way the sentence is reasonable

Figures Figure 1a - letters and font are too small, impossible to read them... omit "Mts" for the mountain chains which is unuseful

Figure 5 legend of scale is missing

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-141>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

