

Interactive comment on “Comparison of Holocene temperature reconstructions based on GISP2 multiple-gas-isotope measurements” by Michael Döring and Markus Christian Leuenberger

Eric Wolff (Editor)

ew428@cam.ac.uk

Received and published: 3 January 2020

As you know you are now invited to make a final response to all the comments made by the 2 anonymous reviewers and the comment from Dr Kobashi.

Between them the reviewers of the paper are very critical. They make many substantial scientific comments on the paper. In addition they all comment that it is extremely hard to read because of its length and style. While I think you could potentially make changes to respond to most of the scientific issues, I share their view that the paper is not written in a suitable style for a journal article. Please therefore do respond to the comments but do not at this stage invest time in preparing a new version of the paper.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



I think it is probable that I will recommend that the paper be rejected to give you a chance to prepare a completely new and more manageable manuscript (However the formal decision comes only after you respond to reviewers).

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-132>, 2019.

CPD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

