Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection (will be published if the paper is accepted for final publication)

Dear Rüdiger Glaser and Michael Kahle,
Thank you for the revision of your manuscript "Reconstructions of Droughts in Germany since 1500 - combining hermeneutic information and instrumental records in historical and modern perspectives". The new Figure 2 and the re-structuring of the text improved the clarity of your work. However, unfortunately there are still various unclear, not well explained aspects which need further improvement. The "results discussion and conclusion" section is rather weak, it contains a lot of repetition and summary of what has been shown before. The section needs to be re-written, focussed on the results of the study. A separate conclusions section is preferred.
I suggest major revision.
I hope my following comments help to further improve the manuscript:

Lines 105-108 & 140-209: It is unclear, if the derivation of the PI index and time series is part of this study, or if it is a dataset which was already available in the database tambora.org. It is described as an available dataset, but also in a quite long section in the methods chapter. It should be described either dataset, or as one step of the study, not both.

Lines 105-108 only describe the structure of the tambora data set in general. The derivation of the PI indices are derived for this paper out of the tambora codings on a monthly scale and published in the meantime as time series in Glaser & Kahle (2019).

We followed the suggestion concerning lines 140-209 and deleted two paragraphs and presented the rest in the method section.

We deleted lines 148-163 and shortened the description of the index -3. Nevertheless we think, that it is necessary to present this hermeneutic process.

Lines 109-110: Please describe in more detail, what information these "early precipitation measurements and derived drought indices" contain and how these indices look like. Additionally, it should be described how this data was used to develop the PI; or was it only used for validating the PI?

We could refer to SPIs derived from early instrumental readings by Erfurt et al. (2019) a working group close to our team of the water network Baden-Württemberg research group.

We used these Indices for cross validation only and therefore moved this paragraph into the discussion section.

Lines 117-125: This part does not fit into the data section, it seems to be more a result of a data analyses. This part might fit better towards the end of the paper, e.g. on lines 459-466 also outstanding flood events are discussed. Thus, these two different sources/indicators pointing to severe droughts could be evaluated against each other, e.g. consistency of indications checked.

We moved these lines into the result section.
For us it is not clear why we should refer these drought years to flood events???

We have examples like 1540 and 1947 in which we had both.

Line 120: typo "outstanding"
yes,

Lines 118 & 121: the 1540 event is mentioned twice, why?
True, we deleted one.

Lines 123: What does "reported as drought years" mean in respect to the indicator development?
We moved this paragraph into the result chapter (Tab.2 and the cloud Figure 9 and referred it to drought years in literature (Glaser 2013, Erfurt et al. 2018),

Line 127: "these values" – you should better describe how the values look like, e.g. averaged monthly precipitation values for x stations in Germany (or is it only one aggregated value for Germany?)
We added "Monthly precipitation measurements in mm".

Line 129-130: Are this the SPI values? In case these should be named as such here. Otherwise, it needs to be described how this data is related to the SPI.

DO-D4 are drought definitions by the DWD (2018), as cited and presented in Table 1
The relation between D0-D4 and the relevant SPIs are indicated in Table 1. The relation is based on duration and intensity defined via different thresholds.

Lines 191-193: This is double, the information has been given before.
We deleted the relevant paragraph above and kept these lines.

Figure 2: This figure is very helpful and clarifies the process. However, it should be further improved. I suggest to include for each dataset/indicator the information how it looks like, e.g. PI 7 classes from -3 to +3; HHI unclear how many classes, from -4 to +4. This information needs to be given for all indicators mentioned (preferably with information what the extreme classes mean). If it does not fit into the Figure, you should provide an additional overview table. Also include in the figure, where the weather diaries as well as the "numerical records since 1800" come into play and how.

We created a new table with the relevant information. Therefore we transformed the abbreviation list into a new Table

Lines 194-197: Describe in more detail, what information these weather diaries contain, for which years they are available (60 years between 1500 and 1800?) and most importantly how they were used for the development of the PI. Or have they been used for validation purposes only? The same is true for the "numerical records since 1800"

We added a new Table with the relevant information of observers, location and time period as well as percentage of availability.
We used these for validation purposes.

Lines 199 ff: Describe in more detail, what information these numerical records contain, for which years they are available (1800 until today?) and most importantly how they were used for the development of the PI.

We deleted this paragraph, because we used the SPIs by Erfurt et al. 2018 from 1800 onward for further validation which we described in the discussion Chapter.

Line 203: For which is the reference period good for? Average for the class 0? What doe it mean "These numerically derived indices were combined with the hermeneutically derived ones." "Combined" how and why?
Please, see the answer above - we deleted this paragraph

Chapter 3.2 Derivation of historical pathways and drought categories: it remains unclear to me how this part of the study fits into the process of index development.

We reworked the paragraph to make it clearer: we see that the historical pathways can be mapped to the modern classification schemes one by one, because the modern drought definitions also contain descriptive paragraphs.

Lines 263-264: what do you mean with "connectivity"? I guess you refer to correlation?
Yes, we refer to correlation, as
There is a high correlation between the two parameters.

Lines 265: what do you mean by "inclination"? I guess you refer to slope?
Yes, we refer to „slope" and changed it!

Figure 4: What is the scale of the SPI and of the HPI? Y-axis labels are wrong.
Yes Y-axis must be labeled as HPI, thank you. The scale of SPI and HPI ist without dimensions.

Chapter 4 Results, discussion and conclusion: large parts of this section are a repetition or summary of what has been shown before. The whole section needs to be re-written. You need to be clear about what the results of this study are. This remains rather unclear.
A separate conclusions section is preferred.

General: It is important that you use consistent terminology throughout the manuscript. This is not given and confuses the reader (e.g. scale factor – compensation factor).
yes, we homogenized it and used the term "scale factor".

We have rewritten Chapter 4 and subdivided it into the recommended results and discussion and conclusions subchapters

We thank the rev.1 and rev.2 for the encouraging and positive comments and efforts very much!

Reconstructions of Droughts in Germany since 1500 - combining hermeneutic information and instrumental records in historical and modern perspectives

Rüdiger Glaser[1], Michael Kahle[1]

[1]Physical Geography, Institute for Socio-Environmental Studies and Geography, University of Freiburg, 79098, Germany

Correspondence to: Rüdiger Glaser (ruediger.glaser@geographie.uni-freiburg.de)

Abstract. The present article deals with the reconstruction of drought time series in Germany since 1500. The reconstructions are based on historical records from the virtual research environment tambora.org and official instrumental records. The historical records and recent data were related with each other via modern indices calculations, drought categories and their historical equivalents. Historical and modern written documents are also taken into account to analyse the climatic effects and consequences on environment and society. These pathways of effects are derived and combined with different drought categories.

The derived Historical Precipitation Index (HPI) is correlated with the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI). Finally, a Historical Drought Index (HDI) and a Historical Wet Index (HWI) are derived from the basic monthly hygric indices (PI) since 1500. Both are combined for the Historical Humidity index (HHI). On this basis, the long-term development of dryness and drought in Germany since 1500, as well as mid-term deviations of drier and wetter periods and individual extreme events are presented and discussed.

Keywords: Historical Drought Index, Historical Humidity Index, Pathways of Effects, Extreme Droughts

1 Introduction

In Central Europe exceptionally extreme droughts such as in 2018, 2015 and 2003 have occurred quite often in recent years (Erfurt et al. 2019, Blauhut et al. 2015a, b, 2016). The comparatively dense sequence raises the question to what extentextend this is another indicator of climate change. The overall damages add up to billions, with agriculture and forestry being primarily affected. Ongoing droughts also have negative consequences on water balance and water supply, ecology, economy and society. Over the last years, health issues as well as the impacts on infrastructure and transportation have been discussed (BKreibich et al. 2019, Bachmair et al., 2016, Stagge et al., 2013, 2015, Van Dijk et al., 2013).

In addition to many climatological, ecological and social specifications, long-term reconstructions of droughts are helpful for a better, more holistic understanding. They contribute significantly to answering questions about long-term trends, accumulations, recurrence times and the variability of extreme events. There is also evidence about societal contextualizationcontextualisations, especially the impacts and responses on environment and societies, which have changed fundamentally through time (Erfurt et al. 2019).

Droughts are generally referred to as periods of extremely dry weather that persist long enough to cause a severe deficit in the water balance, which in turn causes environmental and social impacts

and damages (Wilhite 2000~~& Glantz 1985~~, Glaser & Erfurt 2019). From a statistical point of view, a drought is an exceptional event with a rare recurrence probability (Benestad 2003). According to a widely used scheme, droughts are subdivided into four types that reflect their chronological development: A meteorological drought describes a period of considerable precipitation deficit, usually in comparison with a reference period. High air temperatures and wind speeds, intensive solar radiation and cloudless skies can aggravate the precipitation deficit (Wilhite 2000~~& Glantz 1985~~). With continued duration, the amount of plant-available soil water is reduced, with negative effects on plant growth and harvest yields. In this case, we speak of an agricultural drought (Bernhofer et al., 2015). If the drought continues to progress, reduced surface runoff, sinking water levels and ultimately sinking groundwater levels occur - a so-called hydrological drought. Lastly, extremely low groundwater levels or baseline flows are referred to as groundwater droughts. Additionally, the term socio-economic drought is used when it comes to impacts on people and the environment. The degree of severity depends on the assets, adjustment options and resilience of the affected society (Wilhite 2000~~& Glantz 1985~~, McKee et al 1993).

Droughts can be defined numerically according to very different criteria, which result in a large number of indices. They differ in the type of input data, temporal and spatial coverage and the consequences for different sectors. While the input data used for meteorological droughts are temperature and precipitation, assessments of hydrological droughts are based on gauging data, groundwater levels and runoff. The timeframes range from days over weeks and months to years (Bernhofer et al., 2015). Similarly, the size of the study area varies according to the question.

Common drought indices include the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) and the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). In the US, the PDSI is the most common drought index, which is also used as the basis for the US Drought Monitor (Palmer 1965, McKee et al 1993, Vicente-Serrano et al 2010, Zargar et al 2011, Svoboda et al 2012). The German Drought Monitor (Dürremonitor Deutschland) represents the current monthly status of the soil in five drought categories (Zink et al 2016, DWD 2019). Moreover, drought assessments also examine ecological and social consequences (Stahl et al., 2016).

The strengths and weaknesses of the SPI are widely discussed in literature (Briffa et al 1994, Cook et al 2015). Cook et al. (2015) or Mikšovský (2019) for example used the more complex scPDSI, which needs inter alia soil water information and additional temperature data. The present study is based on the SPI because of its wide distribution, simple calculation and its ability to integrate historical events.

Numerous studies on droughts with an explicitly historical perspective have been presented in recent years, for example by Nash & Grab (2010), Gil-Guirado et al (201~~6~~9), Brazdil et al. (2018, 2019) and Erfurt et al. (2019), implementing a wide range of content and methodological aspects. The spectrum ranges from analyses of outstanding individual years (Wetter et al., 2014) and the derivation of regional time series in different climatic zones (Noone et al 2017, Kiss 2017, Dobrovolný et al., 2018, Nash et al., 2019) to a focus on drought effects (Glaser et al., 2017).

The aim of the present contribution is the reconstruction of long-term drought time series in Germany since 1500 based on written records. For this purpose, a rating scheme was developed in order to correlate recent parameters and criteria of drought valuations with historical ones. For this purpose, various drought indices and drought categories were derived and evaluated. The long-term development was assessed, particularly the question to what extent the current developments differ from the previous phases.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

2 Data

The analysis is based on two main comprehensive data sets. The first data set - available in the ~~virtual research environment~~historical climate and environmental database *tambora.org* (tambora.org, Riemann et al. 2016, Glaser et al. 2018) - consists of written documents related to weather and climate as well as the impacts and consequences on the environment and society of Central Europe. The second, modern data set comprises official precipitation data for Germany from 1881 onward, provided by the German Weather Service (DWD).

The approximately 330,000 historical records in *tambora.org* for Central Europe are taken from weather diaries, chronicles, pamphlets, official reports and newspapers. Other media such as flood marks, hunger stones, pictures and lyrics supplement these. The 330,000 coded records in *tambora.org* are represented as blue dots in Fig. 1, while the red dots represent the 54,000 records indicating precipitation and specific information regarding dryness and droughts. Additionally, the green dots indicate the 12,600 records describing the impacts and consequences of dryness, drought and lack of precipitation. Such descriptions include water shortages, low water levels of larger rivers, fish kills, forest fires, emergency slaughteries, crop failures or prayers for rain. In total, the information covers large parts of Central Europe. The southwest and the center as well as the eastern parts of Germany are particularly well depicted, but also the larger river systems such as Main, Rhine and Elbe. Additionally, the spatial distribution concentrates around the cultural, political, economic and religious centers such as Nuremberg, Cologne, Leipzig, Erfurt, Hamburg and Mainz as well as other larger cities and monasteries. The coastline is also well represented, specifically the harbour locations like Hamburg, Lübeck and Rostock. Temporal coverage is very good, with information for every month since 1500. As expected, average and inconspicuous months are less documented than more extreme ones. Periods for which daily, systematic information from weather diaries is available have correspondingly denser assignments (see also Glaser & Riemann 2009).

All records in tambora.org are numerically coded, comprising spatial, temporal and content aspects. In addition to the coded events, the original text quotes are also included in the database so that the overall context and the coding can be traced~~retraced~~ for each record. ~~Revised and supplemented precipitation indices are available from 1500 to 1995 (Glaser 2013, Glaser & Kahle 2019).~~

~~Additionally, there are early precipitation measurements and derived drought indices from 1800 onward (Erfurt et al. 2019). We used these data and indices for cross validation.~~

[Fig. 1: Spatial distribution of all records referring to Central Europa in *tambora.org* since 1500 (blue), precipitation, dryness and drought records (red), and impacts and consequences (green).]

~~The data relevant for the analysis are consistently available from 1500 onwards as classified monthly hygric indices using a seven-level scale (PI) (Fig. 3).~~

~~The monthly PI reveals a differentiated picture of drier and wetter periods since 1500.~~

~~In general, a particularly large number and higher differentiation of sources document outstanding drought events. One example is the drought year 1540, where 41% of the 123 sources refer to agriculture, 17% to water, 11% to health, 10% to forest fires, 8% to soil and 8% to environmental and ecosystem issues. Other ouitstanding drought events are in the 16th century the droughts of 1534,~~

1536, 1540 and 1590. In the 17th century 1615, 1616, 1632, 1635, 1669, 1684 and 1685 had been described as exeptional dry. The same for 1718, 1719, 1742 and 1749 during the 18th century. While in the 19th century, the years 1834, 1842, 1858, 1865 and 1893 are reported as drought years. For the 20th century this was the case in 1921, 1949, 1959 and 1976 and finally in the 21st century in 2003 and 2018.

The second, modern data set used for the analysis consists of the official precipitation data for Germany from 1881 onward. These values, monthly precipitation measurements in mm, recorded, averaged and provided by the DWD (Deutscher Wetter Dienst, the official German Weather Service) from the national official network stations, representing the area of modern-day Germany. This study also draws upon the official drought categories D0-D4, their classification and their definitions based on SPIs by the DWD (2019). The relation between D0-D4 and the relevant SPIs are indicated in Table 1. The relation is based on duration and intensity defined via different thresholds. based on SPIs

3 Methods

The conceptual design of the analysis is given in Fig.2. It illustrates the single steps and the workflow as a whole. Each individual step is described in the following subchapters in detail.

[Fig. 2: Conceptual design of the analysis, illustrating the workflow and the single steps deriving the specific indices and their relations]

Tab.1 Abbreviations of the developed indices, developed and used in the text

PI: Precipitation Index (-3 .. + 3) (Integer)
SPI n : Standard Precipitation Index
HSPI : Historical Standard Precipitation Index
HPIn : Historical Precipitation Index (15 ... +15):
MDI: Modern Drought Index, DWD drought Index, 5 scale, derived from SPIs
HDI: Historical Drought Index, 5 scale drought index, derived from HPIs
HWI: Historical Wet Index, analogous to HDI for positive hygric indices
HHI: Historical Humidity Index (-5...+5) (HDI Combines & HWI)

Abbreviation	Description	Range (dry .. wet)	Remark
PI	Precipitation Index	-3 .. +3	
SPI	Standard Precipitation Index	-x.x .. +x.x	related to normal distribution, theoretically all values possible, usually less thanthen 4
HSPI	Historical Standard	-x.x .. +x.x.	depends on HPI and

	Precipitation Index		calibration of slopes
HPI	Historical Precipitation Index	-15 .. +15	(theoretically -36 .. +36)
MDI	Modern Drought Index	4 .. 0	according to DWD drought categories
HDI	Historical Drought Index	-4.0 .. 0.0	
HWI	Historical Wet Index	0.0 .. 4.0	
HHI	Historical Humidity Index	-4.0 … +4.0	Combines HDI & HWI

3.1 Derivation of the monthly Precipitation Index (PI) since 1500

The hygric indices (PI) were derived from the written evidence of the *tambora* sources via semantic profiles, a method well established in historical climatology (Glaser 1991, 1996, 2013, Glaser & Riemann 2009, Pfister 1999, Brazdil et al., 2005). Therefore, direct hygric indications as well as the descriptions of impacts and consequences are hierarchically ordered according to their intensity and assigned to the appropriate index value. A seven-scale index scheme, ranging from -3 to +3 with index 0 representing the average situation, has proven to be appropriate for the classification of historical records (Glaser 1991, 1996, Glaser & Riemann 2009, Glaser 2013).

Direct descriptions mostly refer to the absence of rain or the corresponding lack of clouds. In many cases, the duration is also indicated. The documents related to specific consequences describe effects on harvest results, the phaenological and ecological situation, but also hydrological consequences and impacts on economy, society, and their reactions. This correlates very well with the definitions of meteorological, agrarian, hydrological, groundwater and socio-economic drought in modern classifications (NDMC 2018).

Generally, extreme events are represented by a larger number of sources in historical documents. Such information also spans wider areas, especially if the records refer to droughts. Additionally, the information is more detailed and quite often severe events are compared to previous ones. Such long-term memories persist across generations.

The hierarchical class assignment and its typical indicators for the negative precipitation indices (PI) -1 to -3 are presented as follows:

Index -1 is indicated by descriptions of a beginning rainfall deficit. There are often indications of higher damages relating to the harvest of rain-sensitive products such as hay, vegetables and other garden products.

Index -2 relates to a longer duration of lack of precipitation, prolonged heat and dryness. Average crop losses for main crops are reported as well as low water levels in smaller bodies of water and reduced spring fills. Heat stress on plants, premature leaf discoloration and the death of plant parts

are observed, also dry cracks in soils, occasional forest fires and the impairment of infrastructure, for example related to shipping and water mills.

Index -3 represents extreme dryness revealing a chain of effects: After a prolonged period of dryness and heat, the agrarian consequences include severe crop losses and even harvest failures as well as emergency slaughteries due to fodder shortages. If the dryness lasts for weeks, several months or even seasons, there are integrating effects ~~that correpond to reports~~ like low water levels in greater lakes, ponds and larger river systems as well as the drying up of springs and wells. In addition, reports of excessive water shortage and the appearance of "hunger stones" are common. Ecological impacts include ~~a generally~~ visible heat stress of the vegetation, premature leaf discoloration and the withering of plants. ~~In addition~~, dry cracks in soils, dust veils, ~~dust storms and~~ effects of wind erosion are indicated. There are diverse descriptions of a shift of the phaenological phases, e.g. early flowering, ripening and harvest, but also expression like "wine of the century" ~~indicate dry years. The same is true for~~ reports of forest fires and fish kills. The impairment of infrastructure, especially the termination of shipping and the failure of mills are frequently mentioned ~~socio-economic impacts~~. The direct consequences for human health ~~and well-being~~ are also documented, e. g. through indications of heat stress ~~and death~~, increased death rates, the outbreak of epidemics, ~~diseases~~ and hunger crisis due to a lack of food. In addition, the reports include price increases and speculations.

A~~Documented~~ authorities´ reactions range from restrictions and regulations on water access ~~or rationing~~ to the declaration of a state of emergency. Societal reactions like supplications, processions, pilgrimages, increasing irrational explanations and interpretations are quite common. The sources also report begging, moving around in order to seek food~~seek for food, riots~~ and protests, theft, looting, robbery and social excesses. These integrating effects allow conclusions to the preceding months, and in many cases, the exact dates of meteorological droughts are indicated by the name day of saints.

The indexing process is similar to modern classifications and definitions of drought categories. Such modern drought categories~~catgories~~ also take into account the descriptions of impacts and societal consequences and reactions (McKee 1993, NDMC 2018).

Weather diaries with daily records exist for more than 60 years from the period 1500 to 1800, containing ~~also on~~ precipitation days (Lenke 1960, Klemm 1964, 1967, Glaser & Gudd 1996, Glaser 1996, Glaser 2013). These records are compared with modern precipitation data on a monthly scale, enabling a comparison of numerical rainfall data with the classified written evidence~~evidences~~, which serves as an additional verification and validation of the index levels (PIs).

Tab.2: Selected Observers, Location and Periods with Daily Weather Entries 1500-1800

Observer	Location	Period	Percentage of daily data
J. Stoeffler, ~~J.~~	Tübingen	1507-1530	80%
Johannes Indagines	Rheingau	1517-1519	90%

Formatierte Tabelle

9

NN	Dresden	1580/1582	100%
Leonhard III Treuttwein	Fürstenfeld	1587-1593	85%
Kilian Leib	Rebdorf	1513-1531	85%
Hermann IV	Hessen-Kassel	1621-1650	100%
Gottfrid Wilhelm Leibniz	Hannover	1678	100%
Friedrich Hoffmann	Halle	1700	100%
Camerarius	Tübingen	1712-1715	85%

~~For the numerical records since 1800, we used a classification scheme based on normal distribution, index „0" reflecting the monthly average with a plus/ minus 0.75 –fold standard deviation. Index „-1" ranges betwen -0.75 and -1.5 standard deviation, Index „-2" between -1.5 and -2.25 fold standard deviation and „-3" below -2.25 standard deviation. The positive indices refer to the appropriate positive ranges. The period 1951-1980 was chosen as reference period. These numerically derived indices were combined with the hermeneutically derived ones.~~

The positive hygric index corresponds~~correponds~~ to the humid and wet situations and is derived in the same manner. The summary of the monthly PI for Germany from 1500 onward is given in Fig. 3.

The monthly PI reveals a differentiated picture of drier and wetter periods since 1500.

The data is available via Glaser & Kahle (2019).

[Fig. 3: Summary of the monthly precipitation index (PI) for Germany from AD 1500-2018]

3.2 Derivation of historical pathways and drought categories and their mapping to modern definitions ~~analogues~~

The consequences and effects of drought on environment and society recorded in historical sources - here referred to as pathways - resemble the structure and classification schemes used ~~also observed by~~ in modern drought classifications ~~recent approaches~~ (Bernhofer et al. 2015, U.S. Drought Monitor, DWD 2019, ~~Glaser et al. 2016,~~ Brazdil et al. 2016, 2018): A precipitation deficit is followed by a specific pathway. It first appears on the agricultural, then the hydrological and finally the socio-economic level - a development reflected by modern drought definitions (Nash et al. 2019, Erfurt et al. 2019).

These time- and intensity-related chain of effects can be derived from the historical sources as characteristic pathways: First of all, the absence of rain and first signs of dryness and a beginning drought are usually described very precisely in historical sources. Often these are provided with time information, especially duration, beginning and end of drought effects. Very often information is given on the phenological phases, particularly the prematurity of flowering, but also field cropping

and harvest dates (Freiburger Zeitung 1834, Pfaff 1846). With increasing drought, the consequences for agriculture like crop damages and crop failures, especially in rain-sensitive horticultural products and hay, are described. As the drought progresses, both the number of descriptions and their differentiation increase, including emergency slaughters for lack of food and the use of emergency reserves. At this stage, descriptions of the water balance also appear frequently: low water levels in water bodies, subsidence of spring discharges, drying up of small wells. Effects on the environment such as forest fires, fish dying, algae blooms and various forms of soil degradation such as deflation and dry cracks complete the picture (Brooks & GlasspoleGkasspole 1922, DWD 1947a, b, Dürr 1986). The explanations are now also supplemented by indications of infrastructural problems, particularly regarding low water levels and the operation of mills. Health consequences are also recorded, including an increased mortality following the outbreak of epidemics, often due to poor water quality. Harvest losses lead to price increases and subsequently to hunger (Nees & Kehrer 2002). Religious rites such as prayer services for rain or processions, but also official measures such as water rationing are taken. If the drought persists, the conditions described become more acute. In the historical context, especially after famine crises and epidemics, there are social excesses such as looting, robbery, persecution of minorities and excluded groups as well as migration movements (Glaser et al., 2017, 2018). Fortunately, these are lacking in the modern context in Europe after 1950. However, extreme droughts in the post-war period 1947 and 1949 were also accompanied by protests and strikes as a result of the special circumstances (DWD 1947a, Erfurt et al., 2019, Brazdil et al., 2016).

These chains of effects reflecting the duration of a drought period are understood as pathways. Their grades were also classified as drought categories (see last column of Tab. 31). As these are very similar to descriptions of the consequences and implications of modern classifications, it is possible to parallelize them on a hermeneutical basis.

3.3 Determination of modern SPI and mapping of recent drought categories

The modern Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) was calculated from the official precipitation values for Germany 1881 - 2018 provided by the DWD, Climate Data Center (2019), using the package 'SCI' (Gudmundsson & Stagge 2016, Staggee et al. 2015, 2016). The different SPIs were calculated for the corresponding time periods of one to twelve months as SPI1 to SPI12.

The drought categories D0-D4 and the characterization of droughts as well as the duration and the description of the consequences were also taken over from the scheme of the DWD (DWD 2018), see Tab.1, first to fourthforth column.

3.4 Derivation of the Historical Precipitation Index (HPI)

The derivation of the Historical Precipitation Index (HPI) is based on the monthly Precipitation Indices (PI). We calculated the HPI as the sum of the PIs of the corresponding number of the relevant months. This was done for time windows from one to twelve months in order to map the accumulative effects of dryness and lack of rainfall, analogous to the SPI. For example, HPI3 of June results from the sum of the PIs April to June. We also included also positive values for humid and wet conditions.

3.5 Correlation of the Historical Precipitation Index (HPI) with the modern SPI

To compare the HPI with the modern SPI, a correlation analysis for the overlapping period 1881-1996 was applied. The results show a very high correlation of 0.65 to 0.74 between SPI and HPI. The the two parameters (HPI vs SPI) are highly correlatedion reveals a high connectivity between the two

parameters (HPI vs SPI). The strength of the statistical relationship and its shape are shown in Fig. 4. There is also an ~~obvious~~ relation~~connectivity~~ between the specific slope~~inclination~~ and the duration. Therefore, we introduced a duration dependent scale~~compensation~~ factor.

 [Fig. 4: Strength and shape of the relationship between SPI and HPI 1881-1996 for the duration of one to twelve months]

To integrate the duration effect, this derived scale~~compensation~~ factor was used. The comparison of the accumulated values allows the identification of a factor dependent on the number of months, which we used to adjust the scaling (Fig. 5).

[Fig. 5: Duration and scale factors of the relationship between SPI and HPI for the duration of one to twelve months]

We solved the equation and applied the following inverse function to map the class boundaries given in SPI values (see Tab.1, column 1) to HPI values (Tab.1, column 5).

$$HPI_i = SPI_i \cdot i^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}$$

3.6 Derivation of HSPI time series from 1500

In a further step the scale~~compensation~~ factors were applied to the Historical Precipitation Index (HPI) since 1500 to derive the Historical SPIs (HSPIs). Examples of the transformed HSPIs are shown as HSPI3, HSPI6 and HSPI12 (Fig. 6).

[Fig. 6: HSPI3, HSPI6 and HSPI12 for Germany since 1500 for the duration of three, six and twelve months]

3.7 Derivation of the Historical Drought Index (HDI) and comparison with the Modern Drought Index (MDI)

For the determination of the Historical Drought Index (HDI), we stepwise interpolated the classes linearly defined in Tab. 1, using the negative SPIs with different durations (Fig. 7). These were compared with the Modern Drought Index (MDI) of the DWD for the calibration phase 1881-1996. The correlation of $r^2 = 0.48$ underlines the strength of the relationship between the two variables.

[Fig. 7: Comparison of the Historical Drought Index (HDI) and the Modern Drought Index (MDI) for Germany 1881-1991]

We also used this approach to calculate the last 500 years.

3.8 Synopsis of SPI, HPI and numerical as well as hermeneutic drought categories

To synthesize and compare the different numerical indices, drought categories and hermeneutic classifications, we compiled the modern SPI, the historical and modern drought categories (HDI &

MDI), duration classes, recent descriptions and the HPI as well as the historical description of consequences and impacts in Tab 31.

The starting point are the parameters and criteria used in the Drought Severity Classification (NDCM) 2018) or the Drought Index of the German Weather Service (DWD 2018). These include drought indices derived from measured data such as the SPI as well as the assessment of the severity of droughts in the form of drought categories. These follow the criteria used in the general drought classifications of an agricultural, hydrological and socio-economic drought and include information on duration. In addition, we described the effects and consequences in short text blocks.

Tab. 31.: Synopsis of SPI and HPI and numerical and hermeneutic drought categories

SPI Acc. DWD (2018)	Drought-categories (HDI & MDI) Acc. DWD (2018)	Duration months Acc. DWD (2018)	Recent descriptions of the effects and consequences as well as the duration Acc. DWD (2018)	HPI (Historical Precipitation Index)	Historical descriptions of effects and duration
-0.1 to -0.99 (SPI1, SPI2)	almost normal (slight dryness) D0	1-2	short-term dryness	0 to -1.5 (HPI1, HPI2)	low rainfall, heat and drought, possible first consequences for agriculture and yields
-1.0 to -1.49 (SPI1, SPI2)	moderate drought D1	1-2	meteorological drought: one to two months drier than usual	-1.5 to -2.5 (HPI1, HPI2)	lower crop impact on main crops, failures in rain-sensitive horticultural products and hay, better wine quality
-1.5 to -1.99 (SPI2 - SPI4)	severe drought D2	2-4	agricultural drought: two months and longer dry, crop losses	-2.5 to -4.5 (HPI2 - HPI4)	crop losses on main crops, emergency slaughter for lack of food, prematurity phenological phases, drying up springs, low water levels, mill arrest, forest fires, problems with water supply, heat deaths, measures of the authorities, price increases, hunger, religious rites
-2.0 to -2.99 (SPI4-SPI10)	extreme drought D3	4-10	hydrological drought: from four months, groundwater and level affected	-4.5 to -12 (HPI4 - HPI10)	crop failures, emergency slaughterings, strong premature phenological phases forest fires, fish dying, algal blooms, soil erosion drying of springs and wells, low water levels of large rivers, hunger stones, heat deaths, epidemics, price increases and speculation, measures of the authorities, hunger, religious rites, increasing irrational explanations
-3.0 to -4.0 (SPI10-SPI12)	extra-ordinary drought D4	> 10	socio-economic drought: from one year, water shortage slows down producing economy	-12 to -36 (HPI10 - HPI12)	...begging, moving about, searching for food, food substitution, robbery, plunder, murder, emigration and emigration, social excesses (Century-events)

This table is of manyfold uses for cross validation and comparisons of modern and historical Indices, as well as comparisions of hermeneutic descriptions with numerical indices, shortcutting calculations and conversions.

3.9 Derivation of the Historical Wet Index (HWI) and Historical Humidity Index (HHI)

To include not only dryness and drought aspects, humidity has also been considered in the analysis by including the positive hygric indices as a Historical Wet Index (HWI). Its derivation was analogous to the class boundaries of the drought categories. The dominating effects of the HDI and the HWI are combined into the Historical Humidity Index (HHI). The monthly results are shown in Fig. 8, along with the frequency-filtered signals for 1 and 5 years (Fig.8).

[Fig. 8: Historical Humidity Index (HHI) for Germany since 1500. The upper part represents the monthly HHI from January to December for each year and the overlayed filtered five-year low pass (black line). The lower part indicates the low-pass values represented as colored schemes for five years and one year]

3.10 Identification of extreme drought years since 1500

To identify and quantify the most outstanding extreme droughts over the centuries we compiled the different years according to the strength or intensity of the derived indices. All months with a HHI below the value -0.5 were selected and periods of consecutive dry months were clustered. For each of these periods, the minimum HHI value, its sum and its beginning, end and duration as well as the HHI average were calculated. As usual in such compilations, the rankings of the top-century-events vary somewhat, according to the different indices and categories and their underlying calculations - weighting duration and intensities in different ways (see also Erfurt et al. 2019).

In addition to the calculations, the chains of effects extracted from the written hermeneutic evidence were used to confirm extreme events. These are characterized by detailed evidence, emphasizing strong impacts on agriculture, forestry, water circle and water supply as well as socio-economic effects like rising prices and hunger. Additionally, ecological effects were considered, e.g. wildfires, algae bloom, fish kills and soil erosion. There is also evidence about societalabout the societal contextualisation, especially about the societies´ coping and adaptation strategies, which reveal their vulnerability and resilience capacity.

These aspects have changed through the ages: In the agrarian-feudal age, societies coped with drought very differently than during the industrialisation period when, for instance, migration became an option. There was also a great shift in the past 100 years: While the extremely vulnerable societies during and in the aftermath of the First and Second World Wars were severely affected by droughts, the consequences could be coped with during the modern episodes of 2003 and 2018 (Erfurt et al. 2019).

4 Results

In this paper we describe a conceptual and methodological approach for analysing drought events for Germany since 1500, based on written documents from the virtual research environment tambora.org and derived precipitation indices using hermeneutic approaches and pathway analysis. Different homogeneous index-based reconstructions of monthly and yearly drought time series for

Germany since 1500 are presented connecting historical and modern times. This helps to interpret the long term development and to compare drought events across centuries.

The reconstructed monthly HHI f.e. clearly reveals the annual structures of dryness and wetness. It allows easy identification of dry months and longer periods of dryness and droughts. In total, the synopsis reveals the generally high variability of dryness and wetness through time. Additionally, the time series clearly show that not only summer, but also winter precipitation deficits occur. Springtime and autumns had also been affected. In this context, it is noticeable that in comparison to the analysis of summer droughts comparatively few studies on spring, autumn or winter droughts are available. The same can be stated for the humidity aspect.

To highlight the mid-term development, a 5-year frequency low-pass filter was applied. It emphasizes a somewhat higher variability in the first 150 years (1500 until 1650), including a very remarkable contrast between the dry period 1630-1635 and the moist phase 1646-1651, which was followed by a negative trend until 1700. This represents the most striking mid-term change of the last 500 years. The moist phase 1692-96 and the dry period 1740-1744 are also remarkablestriking variations. Also towards the end of the 18th century very dry periods occurred during the 1770s and the 1790s. In addition, more dry months occurred in the period 1750 to 1911, as in the period after 1911. In the last few decades no significantstriking trends have occurred, except for the most recent accumulation of extremes.

Even if the derived HHI shows some remarkable changes and a high variability on the 5-year scale, there is a remarkablen extensive stationarity of the annual humidity as expressed by the HSPI in the long-term 500-year perspective. In opposition to that there are remarkable shifts and seasonal trends on the longer scale, for instance winter humidity has increased while summer precipitation has decreased slightly during the last 150 years. There are also sections with comparable seasonal shifts and trends like an increase in winter humidity between 1590 and 1725 and in summer humidity between 1540 and 1690, as well a decrease in winter humidity between 1725 and 1800.

In order to further interpret the internal structure of this time series, a Fast Fourier Transformation was applied to the Historical Humidity Index (HHI) 1500-2018. This results in striking recurrence cycles at 22, 37 and 58 years. The same results can be found in the underlying indices, e.g. the HSPI.

As can be seen, outstanding drought events have appeared in all centuries since 1500. These are in general represented by a particularly large number and higher differentiation of sources. One example is the drought year 1540, where 41% of the 123 sources refer to agriculture, 17% to water, 11% to health, 10% to forest fires, 8% to soil and 8% to environmental and ecosystem issues. Other outstanding drought events are in the 16th century the droughts of 1503, 1522, 1567 and 1590. In the 17th century 1615, 1632, 1635, 1669 and 1681 had been described as exceptionally dry. The same for 1706 and 1719 during the 18th century. While in the 19th century, the years 1800, 1803, 1834, 1842, 1858, 1864 and 1893 are detectedreported as drought years. For the 20th century this was the case in 1921, 1947, 1949, 1963 and 1976 and finally in the 21st century in 2003 and 2018 as can be seen in Table 4.

Tab. 42: Table of outstanding drought periods since 1500 for Germany, in relation to different indices

	Longer context of dryness	Selected Indices	Reference based on tree rings

						(after Cook et al. 2015)
Year	Start	End	HHI min	HSPI.6	HSPI.12	Cook DE scPDSI
1503	Apr 1503	Aug 1503	-2.56	-2.03	-0.26	-5.54
1522	Apr 1521	May 1522	-4.00	-3.69	-3.81	-0.17 (South-EastE: -1.4)
1540	May 1540	Mar 1541	-4.00	-5.35	-3.59	-2.94
1567	Apr 1566	Jan 1568	-2.99	-2.36	-2.48	-2.13
1590	Mar 1590	Mar 1591	-4.00	-4.02	-3.81	-3.03
1615	Jan 1615	Mar 1617	-3.81	-3.69	-3.81	-1.91 (1616: -3.79)
1632	Mar 1630	May 1633	-3.31	-2.69	-3.15	-1.71
1635	Mar 1634	Apr 1635	-3.78	-3.35	-3.59	-3.36
1669	May 1669	Feb 1670	-3.15	-3.69	-3.15	-3.30
1681	Jul 1680	Sep 1681	-3.59	-3.03	-3.59	-3.13
1706	Jun 1705	Jul 1707	-4.00	-4.35	-4.47	-2.07
1719	May 1719	Dec 1719	-3.00	-3.69	-2.26	-3.81
1800	Nov 1799	Jan 1801	-3.98	-3.69	-3.58	-3.06
1803	Mar 1802	Oct 1803	-3.59	-3.69	-3.58	-3.73
1814	Dec 1813	Jan 1816	-3.54	-3.36	-3.37	-0.51 (North: -2.4)
1834	Feb 1834	Feb 1835	-3.73	-3.69	-3.37	-2.76 (1835: -4.44)
1842	Jan 1842	Jan 1843	-3.49	-3.69	-3.15	-3.06
1858	Feb 1857	Oct 1858	-3.00	-2.69	-2.92	-4.64
1864	Dec 1863	Jan 1866	-3.37	-2.36	-3.37	-2.53
1893	Mar 1893	Mar 1894	-4.00	-4.69	-3.37	-4.17
1921	Oct 1920	Feb 1922	-3.49	-3.36	-3.37	-5.57
1947	May 1947	Oct 1947	-2.46	-2.36	-2.04	-3.96
1949	Jun 1949	Mar 1950	-2.99	-2.69	-2.48	-1.6
1963	Jun 1962	Jun 1963	-2.92	-2.69	-2.70	+0.37

1976	Mar 1976	Aug 1976	-2.56	-2.36	-1.82	-4.06
2003	Mar 2003	Dec 2003	-2.47	-2.33	-1.76	-1.36
2018	Feb 2018	Feb 2019	-3.35	-3.46	-2.26	-

To visualize the outstanding single drought years, we also derived a „yearcloud"of classified years since 1500. It supports the comparison of the related extreme droughts to minor ones through time, especially between the modern and historical period.

[Fig. 9: "Yearcloud" of classified years since 1500. The average intensity is reflected by the color scheme and duration (month) by font size.]

~~As can be seen, outstanding drought events have appeared in all centuries since 1500. Many of them are well known and already described in the literature for Germany and neighboring regions of Central Europe like the droughts of 1540 (Wetter et al. 2014), 1590, 1622, 1631/32, 1706, 1719 (Glaser 2013), or the ones in 1834 and 1921 (Erfurt et al. 2019) or 1842 (Brazdil et al. 2019).~~

5 Discussion and conclusion

The conceptual and methodological approach ~~concept~~ of this article using precipitation indices is well established~~based~~ in the research field of Historical Climatology (Glaser 1991, 1996, 2013, Nash & Grab 2010, Glaser & Riemann 2009, Pfister 1999, Brazdil et al., 2005, 2018).~~on At first, a seven-scale index scheme usedscheme is used to deduce a monthly Precipitation Index (PI) since 1500~~ The analysis is based on ~~from~~ written documents, which had been evaluated after a critical source analysis including hermeneutic principles (Glaser Glaser 1991, 1996, 2013). ~~At first, a seven-scale index scheme is used to deduce a monthly Precipitation Index (PI). This method is well established in the research field of Historical Climatology (Glaser 1991, 1996, 2013, Nash & Grab 2010, Glaser & Riemann 2009, Pfister 1999, Brazdil et al., 2005, 2018).~~

Most of the written documents are part of the virtual research environment tambora.org. In the meantime there are a number of further comparable databases available, which also provide such data, likewise https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets/historical, Weather.org, Pediflood (Barriendos et al., 2014), ICOADS (Woodruff et al., 2011), CLIWOC (García-Herrera et al. (2005),euroclimhist.ch (Pfister, 2015), EDII (Stahl et al. 2016) and REACHES 21 (Wand et al. 2018). As a standard in all of these data bases, all records are numerically coded, comprising spatial, temporal and content aspects like in tambora.org.

In a first step, a seven-scale index scheme had been applied to deduce a monthly Precipitation Index (PI). The derivation of such indices is also a fundamental step in Historical Climatology Research (Brazdil et al. 2005). In addition to monthly Precipitation Indices, m

Many long-term paleoclimate reconstructions of precipitation, dryness and drought refer to indices like SPI, SPEI, or PDSI or scPDSI (Bradzil et al. 2013, Dobrovolny et al. 2015, 2018, Cook et al. 2015, Erfurt et al. 2019). Some approaches are based on dendrological data series (Briffa et al. 2009, 1994, Büntgen et al. 2010, 2011, Cook et al. 2015) or follow a multi-proxy approach (Dobrovolny et al. 2018). Many of these elaborations relate to different regions and have a distinct temporal resolution. Some refer to the whole of Europe (Spinoni et al. 2015, Cook et al. 2015), mMany refer to the neighbouring Czech Lands (Bradzil et al. 2013, Dobrovolny et al. 2015, 2018), while Erfurt et al. (2019) have worked on South-West Germany, a sub-area of Central Europe. Cook et al. 2015 cover the whole of Europe, while .

Many paperost of them refer to the summer or the springtime situation or the vegetation period, some or are based on yearly resolution. On the other side Cook et al. (2015) model soil conditions and humidity and temperature effects based on dendrological datasets. They present highly resoluted data, which sometimes looks from the aspects of the spatial dimensions of droughts to differentiated. In the Central European climatological context dDroughts can be regarded as large scale phenomena. Dobrovolny et al. (2018) follow a multi proxy approach, while Erfurt et al (2019) take early instrumental readings into account. Such differences in the methodological approach might explain differences in the resulting trends and developments through time as well as the rankings of the most outstanding events.

The long-term development and structures of the presented Historical Humidity Index (HHI) for Germany since 1500 are very similar to those of the precipitation reconstruction by Dobrovolny et al. (2015) and Mikšovský et al. (2019), which also was reconstructed for the neighboring Czech lands based on documentary and instrumental data. There are some coincidences likewise the exceptional increase between 1630-1650 of the SPI developed by Mikšovský et al. (2019), as well as the negative trend 1650-1700 with the dry period of 1692-96. Also the dry episodes 1770-1780 are well represented, as well as the long term trend 1850-1820. Other ones like the 1790s are less exposed. There are also correspondences with the moist periods in the 1690s and the 1940s. Most of the described periods also appeared in the precipitation reconstructions by Dobrovolny et al. (2015). There is also the striking 1630-1650 increase, also the decrease between 1650 and 1700, the very dry period around the 1860s and at the end of the 18th century, especially 1775-1785 as well as the long-term increase 1850-1920. While these go in parallel, other periodes show an inverse development. Quite remarkable is the precipitation increase over the last decades.

With the presented approach it is possible to derive not only summer droughts but also droughts occuring in other seasons and longer periods, even multi year droughts can be identified. It also allows the derivation of winter droughts, something which is less focused in literature. An exception is, for example, Pfister et al. (2006) with the analysis of hydrological winter droughts of the last 450 years in the Upper Rhine area.

Concerning the correlation of the derived long term trends in droughts with the temperature increase of the global warming trend, which is a major modern topic (Erfurt et al. 2019, Blauhut et al. 2016, Stahl et al. 2016), the results show, that there is no comparable outstanding development to the anthropogenic temperature trend over the last 200 years. This also corresponds to the findings of Sheffield et al (2012), Spinoni et al. (2015) and also of Noone et al. (2017) for Ireland. According to their analyses, drought frequency is increasing in southern Europe, with a reverse trend observed in northern Europe. Our study for Germany shows a remarkable long-term stationarity. The measured increase in drought events over the last decades must be regarded as normal from the long-term

perspective. The historical variability is higher than the modern fluctuations since the 1950s. This is a remarkable statement for the long term evaluation of precipitation fluctuations especially in the context of the modern climate change debate and underlines the importance of long-term reconstructions.

In general, the method itself is transferable whenever the relevant regions allow the application of the SPIs. The results and conclusions presented in this article refer to the spatial outline of modern Germany, which shows homogeneity for this approach.

In difference to modern drought-indices ~~like SPI, SPEI or PDSI~~ derived from ~~modern~~ instrumental records or multi-proxy approaches or dendrological datasets, the approaches ~~se~~related to Historical Climatology ~~classifications~~ directly take into account the descriptions of impacts and societal consequences and reactions ~~(see also Erfurt et al 2019)~~.

~~TIn this context, Such tThe~~ Such classification of hygric indications as well as the descriptions of impacts and consequences, are here referred to as pathways~~, which arepathways are hierarchically ordered according to their intensity and assigned to the appropriate index value~~.

~~The process is similar to modern classifications and definitions of droughts. These pathways reflect very strongly the common accumulating effects of modern definitions of drought types. Therefore they are also comparable to modern drought categories (McKee 1993, NDMC 2018).~~

~~The contextual differentiation of source material allows the derivation of pathways from the causes of single drought events to their consequences and impacts.~~ The pathways ~~se~~ are strongly coupled with the intensity and duration of the precipitation deficit. The agrarian, then the hydrological and finally the socio-economic consequences are presented in a progressing chain of effects. Structurally, they correspond to today's drought definitions and classifications. The descriptions of impacts and consequences and the derived pathways of historical events are in most cases comparable to recent events (Erfurt et al 2019, Blauhut et al. 2016, Glaser et al. 2016, 2017, 2018, Brazdil et al. 2016, 2019 or Nash et al. 2019). Therefore, a parallelization is possible.

These statements apply to the pathways itself, but not to resilience and the underlying adaptation strategies. Obviously, these have developed over the centuries due to changes in social structures, assets and technical possibilities (Glaser et al. 2018, Camenisch & Rohr 2018, Camenisch et al. 2014). In the historical context, for example, water-driven mills played a key role in food security. Due to a lack of water, horse mills or hand mills were set into operation. In today's context, on the other hand, the lower energy production of hydropower plants, or the shutdown of nuclear power plants due to low water or high water temperatures plays a major role (DWD 1947a,b, ~~Loßnitzer 1947,~~ BNN 1947~~a,b~~, DWD 1949a,b, BNN 1949~~a,b~~, Erfurt et al. 2019). In addition, within the historical period, i.e. before the establishment of the official measuring network in 1881, the social and technical possibilities and structures changed. From 1800 onward, the dominant agricultural-feudal structures were gradually replaced by the industrial revolution, also involving new possibilities for adaptation, e.g. improved infrastructure, better technical equipment such as pumps, more expertise and better hygiene and social welfare measures. The striking emigration waves of the 19th century from Germany to North America, which were also triggered by drought events, can be seen as another new adaptation option (Glaser et al. 2017). Additional innovations were the advent of the insurance industry (Kiermayr-Bühn 2009) or institutional reactions in the modern age, understood as governance. Examples are the DWD's establishment of the heat warning system in 2005 as a result of

the extremely hot summer of 2003 (Matzarakis 2016) with its unexpectedly large number of heat deaths or the implementation of a new 2019 drought index after the extreme year of 2018 (DWD 2019).

Nonetheless, there are many similarities between the recent and historical pathways~~chain of effects~~. ~~This justifies the parallelization of past and historical drought categories, combining hermeneutic criteria with empirically derived indices.~~ This enables the direct comparison of historical derived indices and classified descriptions with current assessments of drought categories and classifications~~events~~ according to NDMC (2018) and the German Weather Service (DWD 2018, 2019).~~.~~

~~The process is similar to modern classifications and definitions of droughts. These pathways reflect very strongly the common accumulating effects of modern definitions of drought types. Therefore they are also comparable to modern drought cat~~

~~In general, the method itself is transferable whenever the relevant regions allow the application of the SPIs. The results and conclusions presented in this article refer to the spatial outline of modern Germany, which shows homogeneity for this approach.~~ ~~The long-term development~~~~curves and structures of the derived Historical Humidiry Index (HHI) are also very similar to those of Dobrovolny et al. (2015) and Mikšovský et al. (2019), which were reconstructed for the neighboring Czech lands.~~

~~Revised and supplemented precipitation indices are available from 1500 to 1995 (Glaser 2013, Glaser & Kahle 2019).???~~

~~With the presented approach it is~~ possible to~~possible, to~~ ~~derive not only summer droughts but~~ also ~~other seasons and~~ longer periods, even ~~and also multi year droughts. It also allows the derivation of winter droughts, which are less focused in literature. An exception is, for example, Pfister et al. (2006) with the analysis of hydrological winter droughts of the last 450 years in the Upper Rhine area.~~

~~Concerning the correlation of~~ derived ~~long term trends in droughts with the temperature increase of the global warming trend, which is a major modern topic (Erfurt et al. 2019, Blauuahut et al._, Stahl …), the results show, that there is no comparable development to the anthropogenic temperature trend. This also corresponds to the findings of Sheffield et al (2012) and Spinoni et al. (2015). According to their analyses, drought frequency is increasing in southern Europe, with a reverse trend observed in northern Europe. Our study for Germany shows a~~ remarkable ~~long term~~longer ~~stationarity.~~

~~The reconstructed monthly HHI for Germany since 1500 clearly reveals the annual structures of dryness and wetness. It allows to easily identify dry months and longer periods of dryness and droughts. In total, the synopsis reveals the generally high variability of dryness and wetness through time. Additionally, the time series clearly show that not only summer, but also winter precipitation deficits occur. In this context, it is noticeable that in comparison to the analysis of summer droughts comparatively few studies on spring, autumn or winter droughts are available. The same can be stated for the humidity aspect. An exception is, for example, Pfister et al. (2006) with the analysis of hydrological winter droughts of the last 450 years in the Upper Rhine area.~~

~~To highlight the mid-term development, a 5-year frequency low-pass filter was applied. It emphasizes a somewhat higher variability in the first 150 years (1500 until 1650), including a very remarkable~~

contrast between the dry period 1630-1635 and the moist phase 1646-1651. This represents the most striking mid-term change of the last 500 years. The moist phase 1692-96 and the dry period 1740-1744 are also striking variations. In addition, more dry months occurred in the period 1750 to 1911, as in the period after 1911. In the last few decades no striking trends have occurred, except for the most recent accumulation of extremes. Seen from this point of view, no comparable development to the anthropogenic temperature trend can be observed. This also corresponds to the findings of Sheffield et al (2012) and Spinoni et al. (2015). According to their analyses, drought frequency is increasing in southern Europe, with a reverse trend observed in northern Europe.

Even if the derived HHI shows some remarkable changes and a high variability on the 5 year scale, there is an extensive stationarity of annual humidity as expressed by the HSPI in the long term 500 year perspective. In opposition to that there are remarkable shifts and seasonal trends on the longer scale, for instance winter humidity has increased while summer precipitation has decreased slightly during the last 150 years. There are also sections with comparable seasonal shifts and trends like an increase in winter humidity between 1590 and 1725 and in summer humidity between 1540 and 1690, as well a decrease in winter humidity between 1725 and 1800.

In order to further interpret the internal structure of this time series, a Fast Fourier Transformation was applied to the Historical Humidity Index (HHI) 1500-2018. This results in striking recurrence cycles at 22, 37 and 58 years. The same results can be found in the underlying indices, e.g. the HSPI. The curves and structures are also very similar to those of Dobrovolny et al. (2015) and Mikšovský et al. (2019), which were reconstructed for the neighboring Czech lands.

The comprehensive data collections and derived time series also enable to identify outstanding and correspondingly well-documented extreme drought events, as given in the one-year filtered time series (see Fig.8 and Tab. 4)). They are thus similar in content to other Central European historical sources (Pfister 1999, Brazdil et al. 2019). Many of these extremes we identified are not only confirmed in other papers. covering Germany (Koppe & Jendritzky 2014, Cook et al. 2015, Glaser et al. 2018, Erfurt et al. 2019). Such compilations and rankings are quite common (f.e. Noone et al. 2017), but differ, depending on the selected parameters and chosen indicators.

One well known example is the extreme drought of 1540 (Wetter et al. 2014). The classification of 1540, its intensity and duration caused a longer and controversial discussion between the Dendroclimatological Community and the Historical Climatology Community. With the presented approach we can underline the outstanding drought situation of 1540. Other ones likewise the extremes of 1522ð, 1590, 1615, 1706 already had been described by Glaser (2013). The same with the exceptional drought during the 19th century like 1834 by Erfurt et al. (2019) and 1842, which had been analysed by Brazdil et al. (2019) in the european context. (Koppe & Jendritzky 2014, Cook et al. 2015, Glaser et al. 2018, Erfurt et al. 2019). The specific situation of 1921 also had been outlined by (Erfurt et al. 2019 and Brooks & Glasspoole 1922), as well as the more recent of 2003 described by Hémon et al. 2003 and Poumadère 2005 for France and Koppe & Jendritzky (2014), Glaser et al. 2018 and Erfurt et al. (2019) for Germany. Some identified droughts had their focus in other regions, like 1503 in Hungary (Kiss et al. 2017) or 1976 in Great Britain (Doornkamp et al. 1980). Overall, such rankings are widespread. Depending on the weighting and methodological approaches, the drought catalogs rankings vary somewhat for each year. In this sense a quite reasonable number of identified single drought events can be cross validated by existing research results, while other ones likewise the identified drought of 1893 can be added. Only contemporary papers point to this extreme year for England and parts of the Continent (Lowe 1893, Brodie 1894).

The comparison of the identified extremes with the ones modelled by Cook et al. (2015) also show the specific effects of trees. While f.e. 1615 is indicated by a longer drought from the written documents, the dendro signal peaks first in the following year 1616. The same can be stated for 1834/1835 (see Tab. 4).

~~Some identified droughts had their focus in other regions~~

~~Some refer even to larger areas, like Great. This is the case , but have also been identified infor neighbouring regions Czech Republic (Brazdil et al. 2019b), Britain (Doornkamp et al. 1980), France (Hémon et al. 2003, Poumadère 2005), Cook et al. 2015, Central Europe (Wetter et al. 2014, Czech Republic (Brazdil et al. 2019b), or even Europe (Cook et al. 2015.~~

~~Many of them are well known and already described in the literature for Germany and neighboring regions of Central Europe like the droughts of 1540 (Wetter et al. 2014), 1590, 1622, 1631/32, 1706, 1719 (Glaser 2013), or the ones in 1834 and 1921 (Erfurt et al. 2019) or 1842 (Brazdil et al. 2019).~~

Increasingly, there is also the question of social impacts and long-term developments, especially regarding the effects of climate changes on human history. Such questions are usually answered using multiproxy approaches (Brazdil et al. 2019, ~~Mikšovský et al. 2019,~~ Büntgen et al. 2010, 2011). In how far the methodical and content-related insights presented in this study can be integrated into these questions will be the subject of future analyses.

Historical reconstructions can contribute to the question, if the recent extreme droughts such as in 2018, 2015 and 2003 must be seen as another indicator of climate change. The given results show that the recent development of precipitation alone is still within the historical variability.

~~Additionally, there are early precipitation measurements and derived drought indices from 1800 onward (Erfurt et al. 2019). We used these data and indices for cross validation.~~

References:

Bachmair, S., Svensson, C., Hannaford, J., Barker, L.J. and Stahl K.: A quantitative analysis to objectively appraise drought indicators and model drought impacts. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 20:2589–2609. doi: 10.5194/hess-20-2589-2016.2016.

BNN (Badische Neueste Nachrichten): 53 Tropentage im Sommer 1947: Seit 100 Jahren kein Sommer so heiß und trocken wie 1947. Edition from 04.10.1947(118):3. https://digital.blb-karlsruhe.de/blbz/periodical/pageview/4707190. Accessed 08 April 2019.1947a

~~BNN (Badische Neueste Nachrichten): Leistungsrückgang durch schlechte Ernährung. Die schwierige Ernährungslage verursacht in Südbaden sinkende Arbeitsmoral. Edition from 04.10.1947 (118):3. https://digital.blb-karlsruhe.de/blbz/periodical/zoom/4707190. Accessed 08 April 2019.1947b.~~

BNN (Badische Neueste Nachrichten): Ernteschäden in der Ortenau. Durch Trockenheit, Mäuse- und Wildschweinschäden. Edition from 17.09.1949 (184):6. https://digital.blb-karlsruhe.de/blbz/periodical/zoom/4711571. Accessed 08 April 2019.1949a.

BNN (Badische Neueste Nachrichten): Absinken der Grundwasserstände. Teilweise wurden die Tiefstände des Dürresommers 1947 übertroffen. Edition from 19.09.1949 (185):3. Online https://digital.blb-karlsruhe.de/blbz/periodical/zoom/4711580. Accessed 08 April 2019.1949b.

Benestad, R.E.: How often can we expect a record event? Climate Research 25:3–13.2003

Bernhofer, C., Hänsel, S., Schaller, A. and Pluntke, T.: Charakterisierung von meteorologischer Trockenheit. In: Landesamt für Umwelt, Landwirtschaft und Geologie (LfULG)-Schriftenreihe 7. 2015

Blauhut, V., Stahl, K., Stagge, J. H., Tallaksen, L. M., De Stefano, L., and Vogt, J.: Estimating drought risk across Europe from reported drought impacts, drought indices, and vulnerability factors, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 2779–2800, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-2779-2016, 2016.

Blauhut, V., Gudmundsson, L. and Stahl, K.: Towards pan-European drought risk maps. Quantifying the link between drought indices and reported drought impacts. Environ Res Lett 10:14008. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/014008.2015a

Blauhut, V., Stahl, K. and Kohn, I.: The dynamics of vulnerability to drought from an impact perspective. In: Andreu J, Solera A, Paredes-Arquiola J, Haro-Monteagudo D, van Lanen H (eds) Drought – Research and Science Policy Interfacing: Proceedings of the International Conference on Drought: Research and Science-Policy Interfacing, Valencia, Spain, 10-13 March 2015. CRC Press, Hoboken, pp 349–354.2015b

Brázdil, R., Demarée, G.R., Kiss, A., Dobrovolný, P., Chromá, K., Trnka, M., Dolák, L., Řezníčková, L., Zahradníček, P., Limanowka, D., Jourdain, S.: The extreme drought of 1842 in Europe as described by both documentary data and instrumental measurements, Clim. Past, 15, 1861-1884, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-77, 2019.

Brázdil, R., Kiss, A., Luterbacher, J., Nash, D., and Řezníčková, L.: Documentary data and the study of past droughts: a global state of the art, Clim. Past, 14, 1915–1960, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-1915-2018 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-1-2018, 2018.

Brázdil, R., Raška, P., Trnka, M., Zahradníček, P., Valášek, H., Dobrovolný, P., Řezníčková, L., Treml, P., and Stachoň, Z.: The Central European drought of 1947: causes and consequences, with particular reference to the Czech Lands, Clim. Res., 70, 161–178, https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01387, 2016.

Brázdil, R., Dobrovolný, P., Trnka, M., Kotyza, O., Řezníčková, L., Valášek, H., Zahradníček, P., and Štěpánek, P.: Droughts in the Czech Lands, 1090–2012 AD, Clim. Past, 9, 1985–2002, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1985-2013, 2013.

Brázdil, R., Pfister, C., Wanner, H., von Storch, H., and Luterbacher, J.: Historical climatology in Europe – the state of the art, Clim. Change, 70, 363–430, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-005-5924-1, 2005.

Briffa, K.R., Jones, P.D., Hulme, M.: Summer moisture variability across Europe, 1892-1991: An analysis based on the Palmer drought severity index. International Journal of Climatology 14:475-506. 1994. doi: 10.1002/joc.3370140502

Briffa, K. R., van der Schrier, G., and Jones, P. D.: Wet and dry summers in Europe since 1750: evidence of increasing drought, Int. J. Climatol., 29, 1894–1905, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1836, 2009.

Brodie F.J. : The great drought of 1893, and its attendant meteorological phenomena.- Qur.J.Met. Soc.Vol. 20, 89: 1-30, 1894 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4970208901.

Brooks, C.E.P. and Glkasspoole, J.:The drought of 1921. Quarterly journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 48:139-168.1922. doi: 10.1002/qj.49704820205

Büntgen, U., Trouet, V., Frank, D., Leuschner, H. H., Friedrichs, D., Luterbacher, J., and Esper, J.: Tree-ring indicators of German summer drought over the last millennium, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 29, 1005–1016, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.01.003, 2010.

Büntgen, U., Brázdil, R., Heussner, K.-U., Hofmann, J., Kontic, R., Kyncl, T., Pfister, C., Chromá, K., and Tegel, W.: Combined dendro-documentary evidence of Central European hydroclimatic springtime extremes over the last millennium, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 3947–3959, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.10.010, 2011.

Camenisch, C., Keller, K. M., Salvisberg, M., Amann, B., Bauch, M., Blumer, S., Brázdil, R., Brönnimann, S., Büntgen, U., Campbell, B. M. S., Fernández-Donado, L., Fleitmann, D., Glaser, R., González-Rouco, F., Grosjean, M., Hoffmann, R. C., Huhtamaa, H., Joos, F., Kiss, A., Kotyza, O., Lehner, F., Luterbacher, J., Maughan, N., Neukom, R., Novy, T., Pribyl, K., Raible, C. C., Riemann, D., Schuh, M., Slavin, P., Werner, J. P., and Wetter, O.: The early Spörer Minimum – a period of extraordinary climate and socio-economic changes in Western and Central Europe Clim Past, 2016: 1-33.http://www.clim-past-discuss.net/cp-2016-7/cp-2016-7.pdf. 2016

Camenisch, Ch. and Rohr, Ch.: When the weather turned bad. The research of climate impacts on society and economy during the Little Ice Age in Europe. An overview. Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica, [S.l.], v.44,n.1,p. 99-114. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.18172/cig.3395. 2018.

Cook, E. R., Seager, R., Kushnir, Y., Briffa, K. R., Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Krusic, P. J., Tegel, W., van der Schrier, G., Andreu-Heyles, L., Bailie, M., Baittinger, C., Bleicher, N., Bonde, N., Brown, D., Carrer, M., Cooper, R., Čufar, K., Dittmar, C., Esper, J., Griggs, C., Gunnarson, B., Günther, B., Gutierrez, E., Haneca, K., Helama, S., Herzig, F., Heussner, K.-U., Hofmann, J., Janda, P., Kontic, R., Köse, N., Kyncl, T., Levanič, T., Linderholm, H., Manning, S., Melvin, T. M., Miles, D., Neuwirth, B., Nicolussi, K., Nola, P., Panayotov, M., Popa, I., Rothe, A., Seftigen, K., Seim, A., Svarva, H., Svoboda, M., Thun, T., Timonen, M., Touchan, R., Trotsiuk, V., Trouet, V., Walder, F., Ważny, T., Wilson, R., and Zang, C.: Old World megadroughts and pluvials during the Common Era, Sci. Adv., 1, e150061, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500561, 2015.

DWD (Deutscher Wetterdienst in der US-Zone): Bericht der Alliierten. Zusammenfassende Übersicht der Dürreschäden im Sommer 1947. Bad Kissingen. 1947

DWD (Deutscher Wetterdienst): Standardized Precipitation Index SPI,- https://www.dwd.de/DE/fachnutzer/landwirtschaft/dokumentationen/allgemein/spierlaeuterunge n.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=1, Zugriff vom 04.02.2019. 2018

DWD: Das Hitzewarnsystem des Deutschen Wetterdienstes und seine Relevanz für die menschliche Gesundheit. https: //www.researchgate.net/publication/311262480_Das_Hitzewarnsystem_des_Deutschen_Wetterdie nstes_DWD_und_seine_Relevanz_fur_die_menschliche_Gesundheit [accessed Aug 14 2019]. 2019

DWD, Climate Data Center
https://opendata.dwd.de/climate_environment/CDC/regional_averages_DE/monthly/precipitation/. 2019

Dobrovolný, P., Brázdil, R., Trnka, M., Kotyza, O., and Valášek, H.: Precipitation reconstruction for the Czech Lands, AD 1501–2010, Int. J. Climatol., 35, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3957, 2015.

Dobrovolný, P., Brázdil, R., Trnka, M., Rybníček, M., Kolář, T., Možný, M., Kyncl, T., and Büntgen, U.: A 500-year multi-proxy drought reconstruction for the Czech Lands, Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2018-160, 2018.

Doornkamp, J. C., Gregory, K. J. and Burn, A. S. eds.: Atlas of Drought in Britain 1975–76.- London, 82 p. 1980

Dürr, F.: Chronik der Stadt Heilbronn. 741-1895. Unchanged reprint of the 2nd edition of 1926, Stadtarchiv, Heilbronn. 1986

Erfurt M, Glaser R, and Blauhut V: Changing impacts and societal responses to drought in southwestern Germany since 1800.- Reg.Env.Change, 2019: 1-13: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-019-01522-7

Freiburger Zeitung: Freiburg 1784–1943. Edition from 20.07.1834 (201):1. https://fz.ub.uni-freiburg.de/show/fz.cgi?cmd=showpic&ausgabe=01&day=20&year=1834&month=07&project= 3&anzahl=4. Accessed 8 April 2019. 1834

Glaser, R. & M. Gudd (1996): Aussagepotentiale historischer Witterungstagebücher – Das Jahr 1700 im Spiegel der Aufzeichnungen von Friedrich Hoffmann aus Halle. – Erdkunde 50/4: 328 – 340.

Glaser, R. and Erfurt, M.: Dürre als globales Phänomen. Gebhardt H, Glaser R, Radtke U, Reuber P, Vött A (eds) Geographie: Physische Geographie und Humangeographie. Springer. 2019

Glaser, R. and Kahle, M.: Monthly Temperature and Hygric Indices for Central Europe since AD 1500. Freidok. doi: 10.6094/tambora.org/2019/c493/csv.zip. 2019

Glaser, R,, Riemann, D., Vogt, S.,and Himmelsbach, I.: Long- and Short-Term Central European Climate Development in the Context of Vulnerability, Food Security, and Emigration. Leggewie C, Mauelshagen F (ed) Climate Change and Cultural Transition in Europe. Climate and Culture 4:85-118. 2018

Glaser, R., Himmelsbach, I. and Bösmeier, A.: Climate of migration? How climate triggered migration from southwest Germany to North America during the 19th century. Clim Past 13:1573-1592. doi: 10.5194/cp-13-1573-2017.2017

Glaser, R., Kahle, M. and Hologa, R.: The tambora.org data series edition Freidok, 1: http://dx.doi.org/10.6094/tambora.org/2016/seriesnotes.pdf (download: https://freidok.uni-freiburg.de/fedora/objects/freidok:11541/datastreams/FILE1/content). 2016

Glaser, R., Riemann, D., Kellersohn, A., Lentz, S., Hanewinkel, C., Beck, A., Vogt, S., Borel, F., Sidawi, W., Kahle, M., Vogt, J., Steller, H., Specht, S. and Koslitz, S.:Tambora - the climate and environmental history collaborative research environment. doi: 10.6094/tambora.org. 2015

Glaser, R.: Klimageschichte Mitteleuropas. 1200 Jahre Wetter, Klima, Katastrophen, 3rd edn. Primus, Darmstadt. 2013

Glaser R: Data and Methods of Climatological Evaluation in Historical Climatology *HSR (Historical Social Research)*, 1996; 21 (4) : 56-88

Glaser R: Klimarekonstruktion für Mainfranken, Bauland und Odenwald anhand direkter und indirekter Witterungsdaten seit 1500. *Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Paläoklimaforschung*, 1991; No. 5, 175 S. (in german)

Gil-Guirado, S., Espín-Sánchez, J.-A. and Prieto, M. R.: Can we learn from the past? Four hundred years of changes in adaption to floods and droughts. Measuring the vulnerability in two Hispanic cities, Clim. Change, 139, 183–200, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1768-0, 2016.

Gil-Guirado, S., Gómez-Navarro, J.J., and Montávez, J.P.: The weather behind words – new methodologies for integrated hydrometeorological reconstruction through documentary sources.- Clim. Past, 15, 1303–1325. 2019

Gudmundsson, L. & Stagge, J. H. (2016). SCI: Standardized Climate Indices such as SPI, SRI or SPEIR package version 1.0-2.

Hannaford, J., Buys, G., Stahl, K. and Tallaksen, L.M.: The influence of decadal-scale variability on trends in long European streamflow records. Hydrol. Earth Syst Sci 17:2717-2733. doi: 10.5194/hess-17-2717-2013. 2013

Hémon, D., Jougla, E., and Clavel, J. : Surmortalité liée à la canicule d'août 2003 en France. – In: Institut de veille sanitaire (Hrsg.): Bulletin épidémiologique hebdomadaire. Impact sanitaire de la vague de chaleur d'août 2003 premiers résultats des travaux à mener. Nr. 45-46, 212-225. 2003

Kiermayr-Bühn, S.: Leben mit dem Wetter. Klima, Alltag und Katastrophe in Süddeutschland seit 1600.- WBG, 158 S. 2009

Kiss, A.: Droughts and low water levels in late Medieval Hungary II: 1361, 1439, 1443–4, 1455, 1473, 1480, 1482(?), 1502–3, 1506: Documentary versus tree-ring (OWDA) evidence, J. Environ. Geogr., 10, 43–56, https://doi.org/10.1515/jengeo-2017-0012, 2017.

Klemm, F. (1964): Über die meteorologischen Beobachtungen des Abtes Leonhard III. Treuttwein im Zisterzienserkloster Fürstenfeld von 1587–1593.– Meteorol. Rdsch., 17. Jg., H. 5: 139 – 143.

Klemm, F. (1967): Über die meteorologischen Beobachtungen des Priors Kilian Leib im Augustinerchorherrenstift Rebdorf in der Zeit vom 22. 4. 1513 – 31. 12. 1531. – Meteorol. Rdsch., 20. Jg., H. 3: 72 – 77.

Koppe, C. and Jendritzky, G.: Die Auswirkungen von thermischen Belastungen auf die Mortalität. In: Lozán JL, Grassl H, Karbe L, Jendritzky G (ed) Warnsignal Klima: Gefahren für Pflanzen, Tiere und Menschen, 2nd edn. http://www.klima-warnsignale.uni-hamburg.de/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/koppe_jendritzky.pdf. Accessed 13 December 2018. 2014

Kreibich, H., Blauhut, V., Aerts, J.C.J.H., Bouwer, L.M., Van Lanen, H.A.J., Mejia, A., Mens, M., Van Loon, A.F.: How to improve attribution of changes in drought and flood impacts. Hydrological Sciences Journal 64:1-18. doi: 10.1080/02626667.2018.1558367. 2019

Lenke, W. (1960): Klimadaten von 1621–1650 nach Beobachtungen des Landgrafen Hermann IV. von Hessen. – Ber. d. Dt. Wetterd. Nr. 63 (Bd. 9): 3 – 51.

LoweOWE, E. (1893): The Drought and Heat of 1893. Nature 48, 437. https://doi.org/10.1038/048437a0

Loßnitzer, H.: Der heiße Sommer 1947. Meteorologische Einsichten und Ausblicke. Badische Zeitung 82, 10.10.1947. 1947

Matzarakis, A.: Das Hitzewarnsystem des Deutschen Wetterdienstes (DWD) und seine Relevanz für die menschliche Gesundheit.- Umweltmeteorologie, Gefahrstoffe - Reinhaltung der Luft, 76, Nr. 11/12: 457-460. 2016

McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., and Kleist, J.: The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time steps, in: Preprints, 8th Conference on Applied Climatology, 17–22 January 1993, Anaheim, CA, USA, 179–184, 1993.

Mikšovský, J., Brázdil, R., Trnka, M., and Pišoft, P.: Long-term variability of droughts in the Czech Lands and large-scale climate drivers, Clim. Past 15: 1303-1325., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2018-61 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2018-61, 2019.

Nash, D.J. and Grab, S. W.: "A sky of brass and burning winds": Documentary evidence of rainfall variability in the kingdom of Lesotho, southern Africa, 1824-1900. Clim. Change 101: 617-653, 2010.

Nash, D.J., Klein, J., Endfield, G.H., Pribyl, K., Adamson, G.C.D., and Grab, S.W.: Narratives of nineteenth century drought in southern Africa in different historical source types. Climatic Change 6. doi: 10.1007/s10584-018-2352-6. 2019.

National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC): North American Drought Monitor. University of Nebraska-Lincoln, U.S.A., https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/nadm/Home.aspx. Accessed 8 December 2018. 2018

Nees, G., and Kehrer, H.: Alzenauer Wetterchronik. Die interessantesten Wetterereignisse in Alzenau, im Kahlgrund und am Untermain von 265 bis 1999. Keim, Weil der Stadt, 2002

Noone, S., Broderick, C., Duffy, C., Matthews, T., Wilby, R. L., and Murphy, C.: A 250-year drought catalogue for the island of Ireland (1765–2015), Int. J. Climatol., 37, 239–254, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4999, 2017.

Palmer, W. C.: Meteorological Drought, Office of Climatology Research Paper 45, U.S. Weather Bureau, Washington, USA, 1965.

Pfaff, K.: Geschichte der Stadt Stuttgart nach Archival-Urkunden und anderen bewährten Quellen, Vol 2, Stuttgart. 1846

Pfister, C.: Wetternachhersage, 500 Jahre Klimavariationen und Naturkatastrophen (1496–1995), Paul Haupt, Bern, Stuttgart, Wien, 1999.

Pfister, C., Weingartner, R., and Luterbacher, J.: Hydrological winter droughts over the last 450 years in the upper rhine basin: A methodological approach. Hydrol Sci Spec Issue Hist Hydrol 51(5):966–985. 2006

Poumadère, M., Mays, C., Le Mer, S., Blong, R.: The 2003 heat wave in France: dangerous climate change here and now. Risk Anal 25:1483–1494. 2005

Riemann, D., Glaser, R., Kahle, M., and Vogt, S.: The CRE tambora.org – new data and tools for collaborative research in climate and environmental history, Geosci. Data J., 2, 63–77, https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.30, 2015.

Sheffield, J., Wood, E.F., and Roderick, M.L.: Little change in global drought over the past 60 years. Nature 491:435–438. doi: 10.1038/nature11575. 2012

Spinoni, J., Naumann, G., Vogt, J., and Barbosa, P.: European drought climatologies and trends based on a multi-indicator approach, Global Planet. Change, 127, 50–57, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.01.012, 2015.

Stagge, J.H., Rizzi, J., Tallaksen, L.M. and Stahl, K.: Future meteorological drought: Projections of regional climate models for Europe. DROUGHT-R&SPI Technical Report No 25. 2015

Stagge, J.H., Tallaksen, L.M., Kohn, I., Stahl, K., and van Loon, A.F.: A European drought reference (EDR) database: design and online implementation. DROUGHT-R&SPI deliverable. 2013

Staggee, J.H. ; Tallaksen, L.M.; Gudmundsson, L.; van Loon, A.; Stahl, K: Candidate Distributions for Climatological Drought Indices (SPI and SPEI), 2015, International Journal of Climatology, 35, 4027-4040,<doi:10.1002/joc.4267>.

Staggee, J.H. ; Tallaksen, L.M.; Gudmundsson, L.; van Loon, A.; Stahl, K.: Response to comment on 'Candidate Distributions for Climatological Drought Indices (SPI and SPEI)', 2016, International Journal of Climatology, 36, 2132-2138,<doi:10.1002/joc.4564>.

Stahl, K., Kohn, I., Blauhut, V., Urquijo, J., De Stefano, L., Acacio, V., Dias, S., Stagge, J.H., Tallaksen, L.M., Kampragou, E., Van Loon, A.F., Barker, L.J., Melsen, L.A., Bifulco, C., Musolino, D., Carli, A. de, Massarutto, A., Assimacopoulos, D.,and Van Lanen, H.A.J.: Impacts of European drought events. Insights from an international database of text-based reports. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci Discuss 3:801-819. doi: 10.5194/nhessd-3-5453-2015. 2016

Svoboda, M., Hayes, M., and Wood, D.: Standardized Precipitation index. User guide. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva. 2012

tambora.org: About tambora.org. Online: https://www.tambora.org/index.php/site/page?view=about (zuletzt geprüft: 28.01.2018).

Van Dijk, A.I.J.M., Beck, H.E., Crosbie, R.S., Jeu, R.A.M. de, Liu,Y,Y,, Podger, G.M., Timbal, B., Viney, N.R.: The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009): Natural and human causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. Water Resour. Res. 49:1040–1057. doi: 10.1002/wrcr.20123. 2013

Wang, P. K., Lin, K-HE, Liao, Y. C., Liao, H. M., Lin, Y. S., Hsu, C. T., Hsu, S. M., Wan, C. 20 W., Lee, S. Y., Fan, I. C., Tan, P. H., and Ting, T. T.: Construction of the REACHES 21 climate database based on historical documents of China, Scientific Data, 5,180288, 22 https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.288, 2018.

Wetter, O., Pfister, C., Werner, J. P., Zorita, E., Wagner, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Herget, J., Grünewald, U., Luterbacher, J., Alcoforado, M.-J., Barriendos, M., Bieber, U., Brázdil, R., Burmeister, K. H., Camenisch, C., Contino, A., Dobrovolný, P., Glaser, R., Himmelsbach, I., Kiss, A., Kotyza, O., Labbé, T., Limanówka, D., Litzenburger, L., Nordli, Ø., Pribyl, K., Retsö, D., Riemann, D., Rohr, C., Siegfried, W., Söderberg, J., and Spring, J.-L.: The year-long unprecedented European heat and drought of 1540 – a worst case, Clim. Change, 125, 349–363, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1184-2, 2014.

Wilhite, D. A. and Pulwarty, R. S.: Drought as hazard: Understanding the natural and social context, in: Drought and Water Crises. Integrating Science, Management, and Policy, edited by: Wilhite, D. A. and Pulwarty, R. S., CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 3–20, 2018.

Wilhite, D.A.: Drought as a Natural Hazard: Concepts and Definitions. In: Wilhite DA (ed) Drought. A global assessment. Routledge, London. 2000

Wilhite, D.A., and Glantz, M.H.: Understanding: The Drought Phenomenon: The Role of Definitions. Water International.10:111–120. 1985

Zargar, A,, Sadiq, R., Naser, B. and Khan, F.I.: A review of drought indices. Environmental Reviews 19:333–349. doi: 10.1139/A11-013. 2011

Zink, M., Samaniego, L., Kumar, R., Thober, S., Mai, J., Schäfer, D. and Marx, A.: The German drought monitor.- Environ. Res. Lett. 11 074002. 2016

Abbreviations, developed and used in the text

PI: Precipitation Index (3 .. + 3) (Integer)
SPI .: Standard Precipitation Index
HSPI : Historical Standard Precipitation Index
HPI.: Historical Precipitation Index (15 ... +15):
MDI: Modern Drought Index, DWD drought Index, 5-scale, derived from SPIs
HDI: Historical Drought Index, 5-scale drought index, derived from HPIs
HWI: Historical Wet Index, analogous to HDI for positive hygric indices
HHI: Historical Humidity Index (-5...+5) (HDI Combines & HWI)

## Acknowledgements

Figures

Fig. 1: Spatial distribution of all records referring to Central Europa in *tambora.org* since 1500 (blue), precipitation, dryness and drought records (red) and impacts and consequences (green).

Fig. 2: Conceptual design of the analysis, illustrating the workflow and the single steps deriving the specific indices and their relations

Fig. 3: Summary of the monthly precipitation index (PI) for Germany from AD 1500-2018

Fig. 4: Strength and shape of the relationship between SPI and HPI 1881-1996 for the duration of one to twelve months

Months	Slope
1	1.0802
2	1.5127
3	1.9957
4	2.3506
5	2.6475
6	2.9465
7	3.2167
8	3.4780
9	3.7417
10	3.9986
11	4.2452
12	4.4677

$$slope = 1.064 \cdot months^{0.5812}, \ r^2 = 0.999$$

$$SPI_i = HPI_i \cdot i^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}$$

Fig. 5: Duration and scale factors of the relationship between SPI and HPI for the duration of one to twelve months

Fig. 6: HSPI3, HSPI6 and HSPI12 for Germany since 1500 for ~~the duration of~~ three, six and twelve months periods


Fig. 7: Comparison of the Historical Drought Index (HDI) and the Modern Drought Index (MDI) for Germany 1881-1991

Fig. 8: Historical Humidity Index (HHI) for Germany since 1500. The upper part represents the monthly HHI from January to December for each year and the overlaid~~overlayed~~ filtered five-year low pass (black line). The lower part indicates the low-pass values represented as colored scheme for five years and one year


Fig. 9: "Yearcloud" of classified years since 1500. The average intensity is reflected by the color scheme and duration (month) by font size.