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Please find below our point-by-point reply to the suggested revisions to our manuscript, cp-2018-60, "Evidence for fire in the Pliocene Arctic in response to amplified temperature" for publication in *Climate of the Past*.
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Comments to the Author:

Dear Dr. Fletcher,

Thank you for the submission of a revised version of your manuscript on fire in the Pliocene forests of Ellesmere Island. Your decision to remove the CO2 reconstruction from the manuscript removes many of the contentious points that were raised in review, and at this point I’m keen to move forward with publishing your manuscript subject to some minor revision. I’m still concerned that Dana Royer’s earlier concerns about the feedback angle in the manuscript haven’t been fully dealt with, but I think this can be addressed with minor revision. I also have some other suggestions for minor revision, mostly for clarity, which are detailed below.

line 31: “much greater than present….”
RE: This sentence has been edited.

Lines 65-67: Please check for more recent relevant literature on efforts to model warm intervals such as the Pliocene – a good starting point is the PlioMIP2 website. Depending on your assessment of newer literature, you might want to revise the next two sentences too.
RE: This section has been updated with results from PlioMIP2 published since submitting this manuscript.

Line 157: “charcoal counts” seems more appropriate?
RE: This has been changed to specify counts and measurements.

Line 204: “it has been”… “it” could refer to several things in this context – please clarify.
RE: This sentence has been edited for clarity.

Section 2.3: Please provide a brief explanation of how uncertainty is treated (both with reconstruction uncertainty and propagated analytical uncertainty). Ref. 1 picked up on this point too.
RE: The RMSE are reported in the equations (3, 4 and 5), and the derivation of these is found in the citations given (Pearson et al. 2011; Russel et al. 2018). The analytical uncertainty is now reported at the end of 2.3

Line 433: “1 cm3”
RE: This change has been made.

Line 444: “…grid cells, was counted…”
RE: This has been changed. "...was tabulated".

Lines 650 and 651: I assume the quoted MAAT and MST are mean +/- stdev for n stratigraphic intervals? Please clarify, and provide the n.
RE: The n=34 is now reported at 296 of the mark-up version, and average is changed to mean for specificity. This n-value matches description of the sample layers used for each analysis given in section 2.1 "temperature estimates from specific bacterial membrane lipids were taken from 22 of the sample layers collected in 2006 and an additional 12 samples collected in 2010".

Line 678: “definitely” not “definitely”
RE: This change has been made.

Line 711: “have” not “has”
RE: This change has been made.

Line 728: seems like a good spot to refer to Fig S3
RE: This has been added.

Line 899: “…suggests mean reconstructed summer temperatures were…”
RE: This change has been made.

Lines 900-907: This section is pretty awkward. The caveat about comparing Pliocene-modern differences in MST vs MAT is a point well taken, the execution is clunky and needs careful wordsmithing. Similarly, the last sentence is a good point but needs some massaging.
RE: I have substantially edited and simplified this section.

Line 948: unclear what is meant by “causatively here”
RE: This change has been reworded for clarity.

Line 962: “…low concentration of charcoal in this stratigraphic interval…”
RE: This change has been made.

Line 967-970: use commas to separate clauses in this sentence
RE: This change has been made.

Line 997: do you mean Castor? Or the extinct taxon Dipoides?
RE: This change has been made.

Lines 1010-1012: minor editing to clarify what the many “it” occurrences refer to.
RE: This change has been made.

Lines 1027-1029: This is really just a snapshot in time, so I suggest being a little more equivocal: “Thus, while vegetation and fire regimes seemingly changed through time…..” and “…have no apparent trend, within analytical and reconstruction uncertainty.”
RE: This change has been made.

Line 1033: “…change in vegetation community”
RE: This change has been made.
Line 1034: what is a fine fuel load? Do you mean fire fuel load?
RE: This is now specified.

Line 1044-1050: This bit on fire and feedback comes as a bit of a surprise at this point in the manuscript. It’s also pretty underdeveloped. Is there not more literature to support the contention of a potential feedback to climate warming in a forested High Arctic?
RE: This section now follows paragraph two of the discussion section 4.2. It has been revised to include examples from the literature on modern modelling and observational work related to fire’s impact on climate in the high northern latitudes. Most Pliocene climate models have not explicitly evaluated fire, and so coverage of this is necessarily brief.

Line 1053: Careful with the snapshot nature of this study when referring to “the Pliocene”
RE: Specifics have now been added.

Line 1057: suggest omitting “as a feedback” here
RE: This has now been deleted.
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Abstract. The mid-Pliocene is a valuable time interval for investigating equilibrium climate at current atmospheric CO2 concentrations, because atmospheric CO2 concentrations are thought to have been comparable to current day and yet the climate and distribution of ecosystems were quite different. One intriguing, but not fully understood, feature of the early to mid-Pliocene climate is the amplified arctic temperature response and its impact on arctic ecosystems. Only the most recent models appear to correctly estimate the degree of warming in the Pliocene Arctic and validation of the currently proposed feedbacks is limited by scarce terrestrial records of climate and environment. Here we reconstruct the summer temperature and fire regime from a sub-fossil fen-peat deposit on west-central Ellesmere Island, Canada, that has been chronologically constrained using cosmogenic nuclide burial dating to 3.9 ±1.5/−0.5 Ma. The estimate for average mean summer temperature is 15.4±0.8°C using specific bacterial membrane lipids, i.e. branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers. This is above the proposed threshold that predicts a substantial increase in wildfire in the modern high-latitudes. Macro-charcoal was present in all samples from this Pliocene section with notably higher charcoal concentration in the upper part of the sequence. This change in charcoal was synchronous with a change in vegetation that included an increase in abundance of fire-promoting Pinus and Picea. Paleo-vegetation reconstructions are consistent with warm summer temperatures, relatively low summer precipitation and an incidence of fire comparable to fire adapted boreal forests of North America, and central Siberia. To our knowledge, this site provides the northern-most evidence of fire during the Pliocene. It suggests that ecosystem productivity was greater than present-day, providing fuel for wildfires, and that the climate was conducive to the ignition of fire during this period. The results reveal interactions between paleovegetation and paleoclimate were mediated by fire in the High Arctic during the Pliocene, even though CO2 concentrations were similar to modern.
Introduction

Current rates of warming in the Canadian Arctic are now roughly triple the rate of global warming (Bush and Lemmen, 2019). Since 1850, global land surface temperatures have increased by approximately 1.0°C, whereas circum-arctic land surface temperatures have increased by >2.0°C (Jones and Moberg, 2003; Francis and Skific, 2015). Such arctic amplification of temperatures has also occurred during other warm climate anomalies in Earth’s past. Paleoclimate records from the Arctic indicate that the change in arctic summer temperatures during past global warm periods was 3–4 times larger than global temperature change (Miller et al., 2010). While earth system models (ESMs) have been able to provide fairly accurate predictions of the modern amplification of arctic temperatures hitherto observed for some time (Marshall et al., 2014), they have only recently implemented mechanisms that simulate Arctic amplification of temperature for past warm periods such as the Pliocene (2.6–5.3) with a convincing pattern of seasonality (Zheng et al., 2019). The success of earlier models at capturing modern warming, contrasted with the additions needed to simulate the Pliocene Arctic temperatures, suggest that the array of fast and slow feedback mechanisms have not fully manifested for the modern Arctic, and perhaps there are still further feedback mechanisms we are yet to understand and implement in climate models.

The Pliocene is an intriguing climatic interval that offers important insights into climate feedbacks. Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations were, at times, as high as modern (Fig. 1), but generally show a decreasing trend throughout the Pliocene (Haywood et al., 2016; Pagani et al., 2010; Royer et al., 2007; Stap et al., 2016). Although CO₂ estimates from different methods do not converge, the modelled direct effects of these CO₂ discrepancies appear to be small (Feng et al., 2017). Of additional importance for comparability to the modern climate system, continental configurations were similar to present (Dowsett et al., 2016). While global mean annual temperatures (MATs) during the Pliocene were only ~3°C warmer than present day, arctic land surface MATs may have been as much as 15 to 22°C warmer (Ballantyne et al., 2010; Csank et al., 2011a; Csank et al., 2011b; Fletcher et al., 2017). Further, arctic sea surface temperatures may have been as much as 10 to 15°C warmer than modern (Robinson, 2009), and sea-levels were approximately 25m higher than present (Dowsett et al., 2016). As a result, the Arctic terrestrial environment was significantly different from today, with boreal ecosystems at much higher latitudes (Salzmann et al., 2008). These changes in vegetation due to climate, may have also provided further important feedbacks to arctic temperatures (e.g. Otto-Bliesner and Upchurch Jr, 1997).

To advance our understanding of arctic ecosystem response and feedback to temperature amplification during past warm intervals in Earth’s history this investigation targets an exceptionally well-preserved arctic sedimentary sequence to simultaneously reconstruct summer temperature, vegetation and fire from a single site.

Methods

2.1 Site description

To investigate the environment and climate of the Pliocene Arctic we focused on the Beaver Pond (BP) fossil site, located at 78° 33′ N (Fig. 2) on Ellesmere Island. The stratigraphic section located at ~380 meters above sea level (MASL) today includes unconsolidated bedded sands and gravels, and rich organic layers including a fossil rich peat
layer, up to 2.4 m thick, with sticks gnawed by an extinct beaver (*Dipoides spp*). The assemblage of fossil plants and animals at BP has been studied extensively to gain insight into the past climate and ecology of the Canadian High Arctic (Ballantyne et al., 2006; Csank et al., 2011a; Csank et al., 2011b; Fletcher et al., 2017; Mitchell et al., 2016; Rybczynski et al., 2013; Tedford and Harington, 2003; Wang et al., 2017). Previous paleoenvironmental evidence suggests the main peat unit is a rich fen deposit with a neutral to alkaline pH, associated with open water (Mitchell et al., 2016), likely a lake edge fen or shallow lake fen, within a larch-dominated forest-tundra environment (Matthews and Fyles, 2000), not a low pH peat-bog. While the larch species identified at the site, *Larix groenlandica*, is extinct (Matthews and Fyles, 2000), many other plant remains are Pliocene examples of taxa that are extant (Fletcher et al., 2017).

The fen-peat unit examined in this study was sampled in 2006 and 2010. The main sequence examined across the methods used in this study includes material from Unit II, the entire span of Unit III, and material from Unit IV sampled from Section A as per Mitchell et al. (2016; Fig. S1; see Mitchell et al. 2016 Fig 5), with a total sampled profile of 1.65 m. Unit III has been estimated to represent ~20 000 years of deposition based on modern northern fen accumulation rates (Mitchell et al., 2016). The charcoal counts and measurements from this locality were based on 31 sample layers from the 2006 field campaign, while the temperature estimates from specific bacterial membrane lipids were taken from 22 of the sample layers collected in 2006 and an additional 12 samples collected in 2010. The same samples from the 2006 season were analyzed for mean summer temperature and char count where contents of the sample allowed. Pollen was tabulated from 10 samples from the 2006 sequence, located at different stratigraphic depths.

### 2.2 Geochronology

While direct dating of the peat was not possible, we were able to establish a burial age for fluvial sediments deposited approximately 4–5 m above and 30 m to the southwest of the peat. We used a method based on the ratio of isotopes produced in quartz by secondary cosmic rays. The cosmogenic nuclide burial dating approach measures the ratio of cosmogenic $^{26}$Al ($t_{1/2} = 0.71$ Ma) and $^{10}$Be ($t_{1/2} = 1.38$ Ma) in quartz sand grains that were exposed on hillslopes and alluvium prior to final deposition at BP. Once the quartz grains are completely shielded from cosmic rays, the ratio of the pair will predictably decrease because $^{26}$Al has double the radiodecay rate of $^{10}$Be. In 2008, four of the medium to coarse grained quartz samples were collected from a vertical profile of planar crossbedded fluvial sands between 8.7 and 10.4 m below the overlying till surface. The samples were 5 cm thick, separated by an average of 62 cm, and should closely date the peat (the sandy braided stream beds represent on the order of $\sim 10^4$ years from the top of the peat to the highest sample). Quartz concentrates were extracted from the arkosic sediment using Frantz magnetic separation, heavy liquids, and differential leaching with HF in ultrasonic baths. When sample aliquots reached aluminum concentrations <100 ppm (ICP-OES) as a proxy of feldspar abundance, the quartz concentrate was subjected to a series of HF digestion and rinsing steps to ensure that more than 30% of the quartz had been dissolved to remove meteoric $^{10}$Be. Approximately 200 mg of Be extracted from a Homestake Gold Mine beryl-based carrier was added to 150 g of each quartz concentrate (no Al carrier was needed for these samples). Such large quartz masses were digested because of the uncertainty in the abundance of the faster decaying isotope. Following repeated
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perchloric-acid dry-downs to remove unreacted HF, pH-controlled precipitation, column chemistry ion chromatography to extract the Be and Al ions, precipitation in ultrapure ammonia gas, and calcination at temperatures above 1000°C in a Bunsen flame for three minutes, oxides were mixed with equal amounts of niobium and silver by volume. These were packed into stainless steel targets for measurement at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory’s accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS). Uncertainty estimates for $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ were calculated as 1σ by combining AMS precision with geochemistry errors in quadrature. For a complete detailed description of TCN methods see Rybczynski et al. (2013). The ages provided here are updated from Rybczynski et al. (2013) by using more recent production rate information and considering the potential for increasing exposure to deeply penetrating muons during the natural post-burial exhumation at BP.

2.3 Paleotemperature Reconstruction

Paleotemperature estimates were determined based on the distribution of fossilized, sedimentary membrane lipids known as branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (brGDGTs) that are well preserved in peat bogs, soils, and lakes (Powers et al., 2004; Weijers et al., 2007c). These unique lipids are thought to be synthesized by a wide array of Acidobacteria within the soil (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2011; Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2014) and presumably other bacteria (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2018) in soils and peat bogs but also in aquatic systems. Previously, it has been established that the degree of methyl branching (expressed in the methylation index of branched tetraethers; MBT) is correlated with mean annual air temperature (MAAT), and the relative amount of cyclopentane moieties (expressed in the cyclization index of branched tetraethers; CBT) has been shown to correlate with both soil pH and MAAT (Weijers et al., 2007b). Because of the relationship of the distribution of these fossilized membrane lipids with these environmental parameters, the distribution of these membrane lipids has been used for paleoclimate applications in different environments including coastal marine sediments (Bendle et al., 2010; Weijers et al., 2007a), peats (Ballantyne et al., 2010; Naafs et al., 2017), paleosols (Peterse et al., 2011; Zech et al., 2012), and lacustrine sediments (Loomis et al., 2012; Niemann et al., 2012; Pearson et al., 2011; Zink et al., 2010). In this study we reconstruct mean summer air temperature (MST), using a modified version of a calibration that was developed by Pearson et al. (2011) and is based on 90 core top lacustrine sediment samples from diverse climates and geographical areas.

Improved separation methods (Hopmans et al., 2016) have recently led to the separation and quantification of the 5- and 6-methyl brGDGT isomers that used to be treated as one since the 6-methyl isomers were co-eluting with the 5-methyl isomers (De Jonge et al., 2013). This has led to the definition of new indices and improved MAAT calibrations based on the global soil (De Jonge et al., 2014), peat (Naafs et al., 2017), and African lake (Russell et al., 2018) datasets.

Sediment samples were freeze-dried and then ground and homogenized with a mortar and pestle. Next, using the Dionex™ accelerated solvent extractor (ASE), 0.5–1.0 g of sediment was extracted with the solvent mixture of dichloromethane (DCM):methanol (9:1, v/v) at a temperature of 100°C and a pressure of 1500 psi (5 min each) with 60% flush and purge 60 s. The Caliper Turbovap®LV was utilized to concentrate the collected extract, which was then transferred using DCM and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 before being concentrated again under a gentle stream of N2 gas. To quantify the amount of GDGTs, 1 µg of an internal standard (C46 GDGT; Huguet et al., 2006) was
added to the total lipid extract. Then, the total lipid extract was separated into three fractions using hexane:DCM (9:1, v:v) for the apolar fraction, hexane:DCM (1:1, v:v) for the ketone fraction and DCM:MeOH (1:1, v:v) for the polar fraction, using a column composed of Al₂O₃, which was activated for 2 h at 150°C. The polar fraction, which contained the GDGTs, was dried under a steady stream of N₂ gas and weighed before being re-dissolved in hexane:isopropanol (99:1, v:v) at a concentration of 10 mg ml⁻¹ and subsequently passed through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter. Finally, the polar fractions were analyzed for GDGTs by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography – atmospheric pressure positive ion chemical ionization – mass spectrometry (UHPLC-APCI-MS) using the method described by Hopmans et al., (2016). The polar fractions of some samples were re-run on the UHPLC-APCI-MS multiple times and the average fractional abundances of the brGDGTs was determined.

For the calculation of brGDGT-based proxies, the brGDGTs are specified by the Roman numerals as indicated in Fig. S2. The 6-methyl brGDGTs are distinguished from the 5-methyl brGDGTs by a prime. The novel indices, including MBT’₅₆ and CBTo listed just the 5-methyl brGDGTs and the CBT’ that was used to calculate the pH (De Jonge et al., 2014):

\[
\text{MBT}_{5\text{Me}} = ([Ia] + [Ib] + [Ic]) / ([Ia] + [Ib] + [Ic] + [IIa] + [IIb] + [IIc] + [IIIa] + [IIIb] + [IIIc]) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CBT'} = \exp([-\beta \log([Ila^*] + [IIa^*] + [IIIa^*])]) / ([Ia] + [Ia] + [IIa]) \quad (2)
\]

The square brackets denote the fractional abundance of the brGDGT within the bracket relative to the total brGDGTs. The distributions of aquatically produced brGDGTs in the lake calibration developed by Pearson et al. (2011) were used to determine MST. When this calibration is used the fractional abundances of IIa and IIa’ must be summed because these two isomers co-elute under the chromatographic conditions used by Pearson et al. (2011):

\[
\text{MST} (°C) = 20.9 + 98.1 \times [Ib] – 12 \times ([IIa] + [IIa']) – 20.5 \times [IIIa] \quad \text{RMSE} = 2.0°C \quad (3)
\]

MAAT and surface water pH were also calculated using a novel calibration created using sediments from East African lakes analysed with the novel chromatography method and based upon MBT’₅₆ (Russell et al., 2018).

\[
\text{MAAT} = -1.2141 + 32.4422 \times \text{MBT’}_{5\text{Me}} \quad \text{RMSE of 2.44 °C} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Surface water pH} = 8.95 + 2.65 \times \text{CBT'} \quad \text{RMSE of 0.80} \quad (5)
\]

**Analytical error (±0.38°C) was estimated as the average standard deviation of the duplicates run on 18 of the samples.**

### 2.4 Vegetation and Fire Reconstruction

For charcoal, a total of thirty 2 cm³ samples were taken at 5 cm intervals from depths from 380 and 381.45 MASL at the BP site, with an additional 2 cm³ sample collected at 381.65 MASL. All samples were deflocculated using sodium hexametaphosphate and passed through 500, 250 and 125 µm nested mesh sieves. The residual sample caught on each...
sieve was then collected in a gridded petri dish and examined using a stereomicroscope at 20-40X magnification to obtain charcoal concentration (fragments cm\(^{-2}\)). Charcoal area (mm\(^2\) cm\(^{-3}\)) was measured for each sample using specialized imaging software from Scion Corporation. For a detailed description of methods see Brown and Power (2013).

Vegetation was reconstructed using pollen and spores (herein pollen) at selected elevations chosen to capture upper and lower sections of the elevation profile, and that corresponded with changes in charcoal. The sample depths selected for pollen analyses were 380.3–380.4 MASL, 381.10–381.25 MASL, and 381.35–381.45 MASL. Samples were processed using standard approaches (Moore et al., 1991), whereby 1cm\(^3\) sediment subsamples were treated with 5% KOH to remove humic acids and break up the samples. Carbonates were dissolved using 10% HCl, whereas silicates and organics were removed by HF and acetylation treatment, respectively. Pollen slides were made by homogenizing 35 µl of residue, measured using a single-channel pipette, with 15 µl of melted glycerin jelly. Slides were counted using a Leica DM4000 B LED compound microscope at 400–630x magnification. A reference collection and published keys (McAndrews et al., 1973; Moore et al., 1991) aided identification.

In addition to tabulating pollen and charcoal, a list of plant taxa derived from Beaver Pond was previously compiled in Fletcher et al. (2017). Extant species from this list were selected and their modern occurrences extracted from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org, 2017). Observation data was grouped by 5° latitude 5° longitude grids cells, and the shared species count calculated using R (R Core Team, 2016). Modern fire frequency was mapped using the MODIS 6 Active Fire Product. The fire pixel detection count per day, within the same 5° latitude 5° longitude grids cells was tabulated over the ten years 2006–2015, and standardized by area of the cell. The modern climate maps were generated using data from WorldClim 1.4 (Hijmans et al., 2005). The values for the bioclimatic variables mean temperature of the warmest quarter (equivalent to MST) and precipitation of the warmest quarter (summer precipitation) were also averaged by grid cell. The shared species count, climate values, and fire day detections were mapped to the northern polar stereographic projection in ArcMap 10.1.

3 Results

3.1 Geochronology

The burial dating results with \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}\) in quartz sand at 10 m below modern depth provides four individual ages. From shallowest to deepest, the burial ages are 3.6 +1.5/-0.5 Ma, 3.9 +3.7/-0.5 Ma, 4.1 +5.8/-0.4 Ma, and 4.0 +1.5/-0.4 Ma (Table S2), with an unweighted mean age of 3.9 Ma. The convoluted probability distribution function yields a maximum probability age of 4.5 Ma. Unfortunately, the positive tails of the probability distribution functions of two of the samples exceed the radiodecay saturation limit of the burial age. Therefore, their probability distributions do not reflect the actual age probabilities and uncertainty. Given the positive tail in the probability distribution functions, and the inability to convolve all samples, we recommend using the unweighted mean age, 3.9 Ma, with an uncertainty of +1.5/-0.5 Ma as indicated by the two samples with unsaturated limits. Despite the apparent upward younging of the individual burial ages, the 1σ-uncertainties overlap rendering the samples indistinguishable.
3.2 Paleotemperature Estimates

3.2.1 Provenance of branched GDGTs

Previously, brGDGT derived MAAT estimates (-0.6 ± 5.0 °C) from BP sediments were developed using the older chromatography methods that did not separate the 5- and 6- methyl brGDGTs, and a soil calibration (Ballantyne et al., 2010). In marine and lacustrine sediments, bacterial brGDGTs were thought to originate predominantly from continental soil erosion arriving in the sediments through terrestrial runoff. More recent studies, however, have indicated aquatically produced brGDGTs could be affecting the distribution of the sedimentary brGDGTs and thus the temperature estimates based upon them (Warden et al., 2016; Zell et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2011). Since the discovery that sedimentary brGDGTs can have varying sources, different calibrations have been developed depending on the origin of the brGDGTs, i.e. soil calibration (De Jonge et al., 2014), peat calibration (Naafs et al., 2017) and aquatic calibrations (i.e. Foster et al., 2016; Pearson et al., 2011; Russell et al., 2018). Therefore, several studies have recommended that the potential sources of the sedimentary brGDGTs should be investigated before attempting to use brGDGTs for paleoclimate applications (De Jonge et al., 2015; Warden et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2013; Zell et al., 2013). In this study, we examine the distribution of brGDGTs in an attempt to determine their origin and consequently the most appropriate calibration to utilize in order to reconstruct temperatures from the BP sediments.

Branched GDGTs IIIa and IIIa’ on average had the highest fractional abundance of the brGDGTs detected in the BP sediments (see Fig. S2 for structures; Table S4). A previous study established that when plotted in a ternary diagram the fractional abundances of the tetra-, penta- and hexamethylated brGDGTs, soils lie within a distinct area (Sinninghe Damsté, 2016). To assess whether the brGDGTs in the BP deposit were predominantly derived from soils, we compared the fractional abundances of the tetra-, penta- and hexamethylated brGDGTs in the BP sediments to those from modern datasets in a ternary diagram (Fig. 3). Since the contribution of brGDGTs from either peat or aquatic production could affect the use of brGDGTs for paleoclimate application, in addition to comparing the samples to the global soil dataset (De Jonge et al., 2014), peat and lacustrine sediment samples were added into the ternary plot to help elucidate the provenance of brGDGTs in the BP sediments. According to Sinninghe Damsté (2016), it is imperative to only compare samples in a ternary diagram like this where all of the datasets were analyzed with the novel methods that separate the 5- and 6-methyl brGDGTs since the improved separation can result in an increased quantification of hexamethylated brGDGTs. Recently, samples from East African lake sediments were analyzed using these new methods (Russell et al., 2018) and so these samples were included in the ternary plot for comparison (Fig. 3). Although the lakes from the East African dataset are all from a tropical area, they vary widely in altitude and, thus, in MAAT. We separated them into three categories by MAAT (lakes >20°C, lakes between 10-20°C and lakes<10°C). By comparing all the samples in the ternary plot, it was evident that the BP samples plotted closest to the lacustrine sediment samples from regions in East Africa with a MAAT <10°C, suggesting that the provenance of the majority of the brGDGTs from the BP sediments was not soil or peat but lacustrine aquatic production.

The average estimated surface water pH for the BP sediments (8.6±0.2) calculated using eq. (5), is within the 6 –9 range typical of lakes and rivers (Mattson, 1999). This value is near the upper limit of rich fens characterized by the presence of S. scorpioides (Kooijman and Westhoff, 1995; Kooijman and Paulissen, 2006) and is higher than what would be expected for peat-bog sediments that are acidic (pH 3–6; Clymo, 1964) and which constitute most of the
peats studied by Naafs et al. (2017). A predominant origin from lake aquatic production is in keeping with previous interpretation of the paleoenvironment of the BP site, which was at least at times covered by water as evidenced by fresh water diatoms, fish remains and gnawed beaver sticks in the sediment (Mitchell et al., 2016).

3.2.2 Aquatic Temperature Transfer Function

Since there is evidence that the majority of the brGDGTs in the BP sediments are aquatically produced, an aquatic transfer function was used for reconstructing temperature. When we apply the African lake calibration (Eq. 4), the resulting estimated MA_AT for BP is 7.1 ± 1.0 °C (mean ± standard deviation). This value is high compared to other previously published estimates from varying proxies, which have estimated MA_AT in this region to be in the range of -5.5 to 0.8°C (Ballantyne et al., 2010; Ballantyne et al., 2006; Csank et al., 2011a; Csank et al., 2011b; Fletcher et al., 2017). A concern when applying this calibration is that it is based on lakes from an equatorial region that does not experience substantial seasonality, whereas, the Pliocene Arctic BP site did experience substantial seasonality (Fletcher et al., 2017). Biological production (including brGDGT production) in BP was likely skewed towards summer and, therefore, summer temperature has a larger influence on the reconstructed MA_AT. Unfortunately, no global lake calibration set using individually quantified 5- and 6-methyl brGDGTs is yet available. Therefore, to calculate MST (Eq. 3) we applied the aquatic transfer function developed by Pearson et al. (2011) by combining the individual fractional abundances of the 5- and 6-methyl brGDGTs. The Pearson et al. (2011) calibration was based on a global suite of lake sediments including samples from the Arctic, thus covering a greater range of seasonal variability. The resulting average estimated MST was 15.4 ± 0.8 °C (mean ± 1 standard deviation, n = 34 samples), with temperatures ranging between 14.1 and 17.4 °C (Fig. 4). This is in good agreement with recent estimates based on Climate Reconstruction Analysis using Coexistence Likelihood Estimation (CRACLE; Fletcher et al., 2017) that concluded that MSTs at BP during the Pliocene were approximately 13 to 15°C.

3.3 Vegetation and Fire Reconstruction

All sediment samples from BP contained charcoal (Fig. 4), indicating the consistent prevalence of biomass burning in the High Arctic during this time period. However, counts were variable throughout the section, with the middle and lower sections (mean 34 fragments cm\(^{-3}\)) containing less charcoal compared to the upper section upper section (mean 444 fragments cm\(^{-3}\)). Overall, samples from BP contained on average 100.0 ± 165 fragments cm\(^{-3}\) (mean ± 1 σ), with charcoal area averaging 12.3 ± 20.2 mm\(^{2}\) cm\(^{-3}\). The variability of charcoal within any given sample was relatively low with a 1 σ among charcoal area of approximately 2 mm\(^{2}\) cm\(^{-3}\) .

The three parts of the section analysed for pollen (380.3–380.4 MASL, 381.15–381.25 MASL, and 381.35–381.45 MASL) reveal variations in vegetation (Figs. 4 and 5). Near the bottom of the section (380.3-380.4 MASL), Larix (26%) and Betula (17%) were the dominant trees. Alnus (6%) and Salix (6%) together with ericaceous pollen (4%) were relatively high. In contrast, low numbers of Picea (3%), Pinus (3%) and fern spores were recorded. Additional wetland taxa like Myrica (5%) and Cyperaceae (6%) were also noted. Overall, the nonarboreal (23%) signal was well developed. Crumpled and/or ruptured inaperturate grains with surface sculpturing that varied from scabrate to verrucate were noted in the assemblage (12%), but could not be definitively identified. It is possible that these grains...
represent *Populus*, Cupressaceae or additional Cyperaceae pollen. Between 381.10-381.25 MASL, *Larix* (38%) and *Betula* (21%) increased in abundance, followed by fens (7%). Cyperaceae remained at similar levels (6%) whereas *Picea* and *Pinus* decreased to 2% and 1%, respectively. Unidentified inaperturate types collectively averaged 14%. *Larix* pollen (23%) remained abundant near the top of the section (381.35-381.45 MASL), whereas *Betula* (2%) decreased. *Picea* (16%) *Pinus* (6%) and fens (23%) increased in abundance. Of the fens, trilete spores and cf. *Botrychium* were most abundant, followed by cf. *Dryopteris*. Inaperturate unknowns (10%) were also observed. Other notables included Ericaceae (2%) and Cyperaceae (2%). While rare, Onagraceae grains were also observed (Fig. 5).

According to the GBI-based mapping exercise, the paleofloral assemblage at BP most closely resembles modern vegetation found in northern North America, particularly on the eastern margin (e.g. New Hampshire, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia) and the western margin (Alaska, Washington, British Columbia, and Alberta; Fig. 7a), and central Fennoscandia. Of these areas, the western coast of northern North America and eastern coast of southern Sweden have the most similarity to the reconstructed BP climate in terms of MST (Fig. 7b) and summer precipitation (Fig. 7c). While high counts of active fire days are common in the western part of the North American boreal forest, it is not as common in the eastern part of the North American boreal forest (Fig. 7d), likely due to the differences in the precipitation regime. Low fire counts also typified Fennoscandia, likely due to historical severe fire suppression (Brown and Giesecke, 2014; Niklasson and Granström, 2004). Therefore, based on our reconstruction of the climate and ecology of the BP site, our results suggest that BP most closely resembled a boreal-type forest ecosystem shaped by fire, similar to those of Washington, British Columbia, Northwest Territories, Yukon and Alaska (Figure 3; but see Sect. 4.3).

### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Geochronology

The plant and animal fossil assemblages observed at BP suggest a depositional age between 3 and 5 Ma (Matthews Jr and Ovenden, 1990; Tedford and Harington, 2003). This biostratigraphic age was corroborated with an amino-acid racemization age (>2.4 ± 0.5 Ma) and Sr-correlation age (2.8–5.1 Ma) on shells (Brigham-Grette and Carter, 1992) in biostratigraphically correlated sediments on Meighen Island, situated 375 km to the west-north-west. The previously calculated burial age of 3.4 Ma for the BP site is a minimum age because no post-depositional production of $^{26}$Al or $^{10}$Be by muons was assumed. If the samples are considered to have been buried at only the current depth (ca. 10 m, see supplemental data) then the ages plot to the left and outside of the burial field, indicating that the burial depth was significantly deeper for most of the post-depositional history. The revised cosmogenic nuclide burial age is 3.9 +1.5/-3.5 Ma. It is the best interpretation of burial age data based on improved production rate systematics (e.g. Lifton et al., 2014), and more reasonable estimates of erosion rate and ice cover since the mid-Pliocene (see Fig. S3; Table S5).

As the stratigraphic position of the cosmogenic samples is very close to the BP peat layers, we interpret the age to represent the approximate time that the peat was deposited.
4.2 Fire, vegetation, temperature: a feedback triangle

Wildfire is a key driver of ecological processes in modern boreal forests (Flannigan et al., 2009; Ryan, 2002), and although historically rare, is becoming more frequent in the tundra in recent years (Mack et al., 2011). The modern increase in fire frequency is likely as a consequence of atmospheric CO₂-driven climate warming and feedbacks such as reduced sea ice extent (Hu et al., 2010), because the probability of fire is highest where temperature and moisture are conducive to growth and drying of fuels followed by conditions that favor ignition (Whitman et al., 2015). Young et al. (2017) confirmed the importance of summer warmth and moisture availability patterns in predicting fire across Alaska, highlighting a July temperature of ~13.5 °C as a key threshold for fire across Alaska.

The abundance of charcoal at BP demonstrates that climatic conditions were conducive to ignition and that sufficient biomass available for combustion existed across the landscape. brGDGTs-derived temperature estimates suggest mean summer temperatures at BP exceeded the ~13.5 °C threshold (Young et al., 2017) that drastically increases the chance of wildfire. Indeed, the estimate of ~15.4°C suggests mean reconstructed summer temperatures were ~11°C higher than modern day Eureka, Canada (~4.1°C; Fig. 2) representing substantial additional amplification compared to the global average. Without the increased arctic amplification of temperature that accompanies climate equilibrium with high CO₂, mean summer temperatures would have been lower than the July temperature threshold that predicts increased wildfire. This is evidence that Pliocene arctic amplification of temperatures was a direct feedback to increased wildfire activity. The increased extent of boreal forest into the Arctic was also possible due to arctic amplification of temperatures. This biomass provided the fuel for combustion and thus Pliocene arctic amplification of temperatures is also an indirect feedback to wildfire (Fig. 5).

Conversely, the charcoal record at BP suggests substantial biomass burning that could have acted as a feedback mechanism amplifying or dampening warming seasonally, during the mid-Pliocene (Fig. 6). Studies of the impact of wildfire on surface energy balance in present-day northern ecosystems have revealed the complexity of predicting wildfire's impact on climate. Ecosystems exhibit changing responses through time from the scale of years post-burning (Randerson et al. 2006; Bonan, 2008; French et al. 2016), to seasonal (Huans et al., 2015), and even diurnal differences post-deforestation that may impact net wildfire feedback to climate (Shultz et al., 2017). The radiative response to wildfire changes across latitudinal gradients (Jin et al., 2012), and between local and global scales (Ward et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2019). Additionally, the original vegetation type burned influences aspects of wildfire's impact on climate such as the original albedo (French et al., 2016), likely fire severity and intensity (Rogers et al. 2015), and time to pre-fire ecosystem recovery (French et al., 2016) or alternate ecosystem establishment (Johnstone et al., 2010b). The mechanisms that appear to have the largest effect include carbon release and sequestration (e.g. Harrison et al., 2018), changes in surface albedo (e.g. Huang et al., 2015), altered evapotranspiration (Liu et al., 2019), and aerosol effects both directly and also indirectly via cloud processes (e.g. Stone et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2017). Wildfires potential role as a feedback to climate in the mid-Pliocene Arctic is suggested by its prevalence through this >200,000 year sequence, the impact of fire in modern ecosystems, and preliminary modelling of the complex direct impacts on the surface radiative budget (e.g. short term black carbon deposition on snow and ice and long-term changes in albedo) and direct and indirect effects on the top of the atmosphere radiative budget (i.e. aerosol emissions; Feng et al., 2016). Further
An increase in atmospheric convection has been simulated in response to diminished sea-ice during warmer intervals (Abbott and Tziperman, 2008), but this study did not confirm if this increase in atmospheric convection was sufficient to cause lightning ignitions. An alternative ignition source for combustion of biomass on Ellesmere Island during the Pliocene is coal seam fires, which have been documented to be burning at this time (Estrada et al., 2009). However, given the interaction of summer warmth and ignition by lightning within the same climate range as posited for BP, we consider lightning the most likely source of ignition for Pliocene fires in the High Arctic.

Fire return intervals cannot be calculated from the BP charcoal counts due to the absence of a satisfactory age-depth model and discontinuous sampling. As strong interactions are observed between fire regime and ecosystem assemblage in the boreal forest (Brown and Giesecke, 2014; Kasischke and Turetsky, 2006), and in response to climate, comparison with modern fire regimes for areas with shared species compositions and climates may inform a potential range of mean fire return interval (MFRI).

Matthews and Fyles (2000) indicated that the Pliocene BP environment was characterized by an open larch-dominated forest-tundra environment, sharing most species in common with those now found in three regions, including central Alaska to Washington in western North America, the region centered around the Canadian/US border in eastern North America, as well as Fennoscandia in Europe. The modern area with the most species in common with BP is central northern Alaska (Fig. 7A). The area over which shared species were calculated is largely tundra, but includes the ecotone between tundra and boreal forest. Other zones that share many species with BP are continuous with Alaska down the western coast of North America to the region around the border of Canada and the United States, the eastern coast of North America in the region around the border of Canada and the United States (~50°N), and central Fennoscandia. Of these zones, the MST of Alaskan tundra sites (6–9°C) are less similar to BP (15.4°C) than ~50°N on both western and eastern coastal North American sites and central Fennoscandia (12–18°C, Fig. 7B). The eastern coast of North America has higher rainfall during the summer (>270 mm), than the west coast and Alaska (Fig. 7C), which correlates to the timing of western fires. The low summer precipitation for much of the west (~200 mm), is consistent with previously published summer precipitation estimates for BP (~190 mm). As a result, the fire regime of the west coast ~50°N may be a better analogue for BP than the east coast of North America. In central Fennoscandia there is also a west vs. east coastal variation in summer precipitation with the western, Nordic part of the region experiencing higher summer precipitation (252–>288 mm), than the more similar eastern, Swedish part of the region (~198 mm).

Investigation of the modern fire detection data (Fig. 7D) suggests that the two regions most climatically similar to BP, ~50°N western North America and central Sweden, have radically different fire regimes. It is likely this is caused by historical fire suppression in Sweden that limits the utility of modern data for comparison with this study (Brown and Giesecke, 2014; Niklasson and Granström, 2004). To understand the fire regimes, as shaped by climate and species composition rather than human impacts, we considered both the modern and recent Holocene reconstructions for these regions (Table 1). This shows that, a) within any region variation arises from the complex spatial patterning of fire across landscapes, and b) that the regions most similar to BP ~50°N western North American and eastern
Fennoscandian reconstructions for the recent Holocene) have shorter fire return intervals than the cooler Alaskan tundra or wetter summer ~50°N region of the eastern North American coast. While the shared species for Siberia appears low, the total number of observations for Siberia in the modern biodiversity database used is likewise low – and the latter is a potential cause of the former. Given the similar climate to BP on the Central Siberian Plateau and some key aspects of the floras in Siberia such as the dominance of larch, we considered the fire regime of the larch forests of Siberia. Kharuk et al. (2016; 2011) studied MFRIs across Siberia, from 64°N to 71°N, the northern limit of larch stands. They found an average MRFI across that range of 110 years, with MRFI increasing from 80 years in the southern latitudes to ~300 in the north (Table 1). Based on similarity of the climate variables, the more southerly MFRIs (~80 years) may be a better analogue. Key differences between boreal fires in North America compared to Russia are a higher fire frequency with more burned area in Russia, but a much lower crown fire and a difference in timing of disturbance, with spring fires prevailing in Russia compared to mid-summer fires in western Canada (de Groot et al., 2013; Rogers et al., 2015).

The pollen-based vegetation reconstruction derived in this study indicates that open *Larix-Betula* parkland persisted in the basal (380.3-380.4 MASL) parts of the sequence. Groundcover was additionally dominated by shrub birch, ericaceous heath and ferns. While the regional climate may have been somewhat dry, the record suggests that, locally, a moist fen environment dominated by Cyperaceae, existed near the sampling location. Shrubs including *Alnus* and *Salix* likely occupied the wetland margins.

The corresponding relatively low concentration of charcoal in this stratigraphic interval may reflect lower severity fires or higher sedimentation rates. We consider the former more likely due to the depositional environment of Unit III from Mitchell et al. 2016, a lake edge fen peat in a beaver pond or small lake, without evidence of high sediment influx overwhelming peat production. We posit that a surface fire regime, somewhat like that in southern central Siberia existed. This premise is also supported by the fire ecology characteristics of the dominant vegetation. *Larix* does not support crown fires due to leaf moisture content (de Groot et al., 2013) and self-pruning (Kobayashi et al., 2007). The persistence and success of larch in modern-day Siberia appears to be driven by its high growth rate (Jacquelyn et al., 2017), tolerance of frequent surface fire due to thick lower bark (Kobayashi et al., 2007), and tolerance of spring drought due to its deciduous habit (Berg and Chapin III, 1994). Arboreal *Betula* are very intolerant of fire and easily girdled. However, they are quick to resprout and are often found in areas with short fire return intervals. Like *Larix*, arboreal *Betula* have high moisture content of their foliage and are not prone to crown fires. *Betula* nana L., an extant dwarf birch, is a fire endurer that resprouts from underground rhizomes or roots (Racine et al., 1987) thus regenerating quickly following lower severity fires (de Groot et al., 1997). The vegetation and fire regime characteristics are similar further up the sequence at 381.10-381.25 MASL, with the exception that ferns increased in abundance while heath decreased.

In the upper part of the sequence (381.35-381.45 MASL), where charcoal was abundant, the *Larix-Betula* parkland was replaced by a mixed boreal forest assemblage with a fern understory. Canopy cover was more closed compared to the preceding intervals. The forest was dominated by *Larix* and *Picea*, with lesser amounts of *Pinus*. While *Betula* remained part of the forest, it decreased in abundance possibly due to increased competition with the conifers. Based on exploratory CRACLE analyses of climate preferences using GBIF occurrence data (GBIF.org, 2018a, b, c, d) of
the dominant taxa (Larix-Betula vs. Larix-Picea-Pinus), the expansion of conifers could indicate slightly warmer summers (MST ~15.8 °C vs. 17.1 °C). This result differs from the stable MST estimated by bacterial tetraethers, although within reported error, and the small change is certainly within the climate distributions of both communities. The CRACLE analyses also suggest that slightly drier conditions may have prevailed during the three wettest months (249-285mm vs. 192-219mm). While the interaction between climate, vegetation and fire is complex, small changes in MST and precipitation could have directly altered both the vegetation and fire regime, which in turn further promoted fire adapted taxa. In addition to regional climatic factors, community change at the site may have been further influenced by local hydrological conditions, such as channel migration, pond infilling and ecosystem engineering by beaver (Dipoides sp.).

The high charcoal content of the upper portion (~ Unit IV) of the sequence has three potential explanations: reworking of previously deposited charcoal, decreased sedimentation, or increased wildfire production of charcoal. The first explanation seems unlikely because there is no difference in the shape of the macrocharcoal between the upper and lower portions of the sequence. A change in the dimensions of the charcoal would be expected if it had undergone additional physical breakdown from reworking (see Fig. S4). The second, decreased sedimentation, may occur if the deposition is a result of infrequent, episodic flooding intermixed with long periods during which charcoal was deposited. The recorded sedimentology does not support this explanation, but due to the complexity of flooding processes, also does not disprove this explanation. The third explanation, that increased charcoal reflects increased wildfire, is supported by the change in plant composition and suggests that frequent, mixed severity fires may have persisted at this time. While Larix is associated with surface fire, Picea and Pinus are adapted to higher intensity crown fires. A crown fire regime may have established as conifers expanded, altering fuel loads and flammability. For example, black spruce sheds highly flammable needles, its lower branches can act as fuel ladders facilitating crown fires (Kasischke et al., 2008), and black spruce was previously tentatively identified at BP (Fletcher et al., 2017). While it has thin bark and shallow roots maladapted to survive fire (Auclair, 1985; Brown, 2008; Kasischke et al., 2008), it releases large numbers of seeds from semi-serotinous cones, leading to rapid re-establishment (Côté et al., 2003). The documentation of Onagraceae pollen at the top of the sequence could potentially reflect post-fire succession. For example, the species Epilobium angustifolium L. is an early-seral colonizer of disturbed (i.e. burned) sites, pollinated by insects.

It appears that the Larix-Betula parkland dominated intervals correspond to the peat- and sand-stratigraphic Units II and III described by Mitchell et al. (2016), whereas the mixed boreal forest in the upper part of the sequence is contemporaneous with Unit IV, described as peat and peaty sand, coarsening upwards. Thus, while vegetation and fire regimes seemingly changed through time at this Arctic site, temperatures appear more stable, or at least to have no apparent trend within analytical and reconstruction uncertainty. Thus, it is suggested that the fire regime at BP was primarily regulated by regional climate and vegetation, and perhaps additionally by changing local hydrological conditions. Regarding climate, MST remained high enough (> ~13.5°C) throughout the sequence to allow for fire disturbance and the pollen suggests that temperatures may have marginally increased in the upper part of the sequence. Alternatively, other climate variables, such as the precipitation regime, or local hydrological change may have initiated the change in vegetation community. Up-sequence changes in vegetation undoubtedly influenced fine fuel loads (e.g.
5. CONCLUSION

The novel temperature estimates presented here confirm that T L a m b e r t o t a m o r a l, summer temperatures were considerably warmer (15.4 ± 0.8 °C) during the P l i o c e n e , exceeding modern levels. Our results suggest that fire may have had direct and indirect impacts on Earth’s radiation budget at high latitudes during the P l i o c e n e , acting as a feedback to P l i o c e n e climate. The net impact of the component processes remains uncertain.
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Table 1. Modern and recent Holocene fire return interval reconstructions for the candidate analogous regions considered in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Modern</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Recent Holocene</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Tundra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward Peninsula</td>
<td>273*</td>
<td>Kasischke et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Up-Valley</td>
<td>Higuera et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulato Hills</td>
<td>306*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Down-valley</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Boreal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcupine/ Upper Yukon</td>
<td>70130</td>
<td>Johnstone et al. (2010a); Johnstone et al. (2010b); Johnstone and Kasischke (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites near Fairbanks, and Delta Junction (Central)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yarie (1981)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon river Lowlands</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Kasischke et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Brooks Range</td>
<td>Higuera et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim Mountains</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon-Tanana Uplands</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanana-Kuskokwim Lowlands</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobuk Ridges and Valleys</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson Mountains</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Ogilive Mountains</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Mountains</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon-Old Crow Basin</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North America</td>
<td>Darkwoods, British Columbia</td>
<td>~69</td>
<td>Greene and Daniels (2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desolation Peak, Washington Coastal type</td>
<td>108-137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desolation Peak, Washington Interior type</td>
<td>~52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern North America</td>
<td>Quebec – west</td>
<td>~270*</td>
<td>Bouchard et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – east</td>
<td>&gt;500*</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>≥ 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi northwest</td>
<td>418*</td>
<td>Bergeron et al. (2006 post-1940)*</td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi southwest</td>
<td>388*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi southwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi east</td>
<td>418*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi east</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi southeast</td>
<td>2083*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Abitibi southeast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Temiscamingue north</td>
<td>2083*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec – Temiscamingue north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 1</td>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td>Burn Rate (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – Temiscamingue south</td>
<td>Quebec – Temiscamingue south</td>
<td>2777*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – Waswanipi</td>
<td>Quebec – Central Quebec</td>
<td>418*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – Central Quebec</td>
<td>Quebec – North Shore</td>
<td>388*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – North Shore</td>
<td>Quebec – Gaspésia</td>
<td>645*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – Gaspésia</td>
<td>Quebec – northwestern - lakeshore</td>
<td>488*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – northwestern - lakeshore</td>
<td>Quebec – northwestern - lake island</td>
<td>99'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec – northwestern - lake island</td>
<td>Quebec – northwestern - lake island</td>
<td>112'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fennoscandia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Burn Rate (Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>Niklasson and Drakenberg (2001); Niklasson and Granström (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Sweden</td>
<td>50-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Sweden</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>Brown and Giesecke (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sweden - Klotjärnen</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sweden - Holtjärnen</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Siberian Plateau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Burn Rate (Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (64-71°N)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* = The reciprocal converted from burn rate (%) (see Van Wagner et al., 2006)

* = Estimates likely affected in some areas by human activity. In such instances Recent Holocene is preferred.

† = ‘Recent’ here refers to records that (or have distinct sections that) begin after the end of the Holocene Climate Optima and end near present
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Figure 1: Global temperatures and atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration spanning the last 5 million years of Earth’s history. Mean annual temperatures (MAT) are inferred from compiled $\delta^{18}$O foraminifera data (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) and plotted as anomalies from present (top panel). Modern atmospheric CO$_2$ measurements (NOAA/ESRL), and ice core observations from EPICA (Luthi et al., 2008) are compared with proxy estimates (bottom panel; see Table S1) for the Pliocene Epoch indicated with beige shading. Smoothed curves have been fit to highlight trends in $p$CO$_2$ and temperature during the Pliocene.
Figure 2. Map of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, highlighting the location of the Beaver Pond Site (Black Star; 78° 33’ N; 82° 25’ W) and Eureka Climate Station (Grey Star; 80° 13’ N, 86° 11’ W – used for modern climate comparison) on west-central Ellesmere Island.
Figure 3. A ternary plot illustrating the fractional abundances of the tetra- (Ia-c), penta (IIa-c and II’a-c), and hexamethylated (IIIa-c and III’a-c) brGDGTs. The global soil dataset (open circles; De Jonge et al., 2014), the global peat samples (green circles; Naafs et al., 2017), and lake sediments from East Africa (black circles) indicate samples from lakes >20°C, red circles indicate samples from lakes between 10–20°C and orange circles designate samples from lakes <10°C; Russell et al., 2018) are included for comparison with the Beaver Pond sediments (blue circles; this study).
Figure 4. Reconstruction of mean summer temperature and fire for the Canadian High Arctic during the Pliocene. Mean summer air temperature reconstructed from a brGDGT based proxy (blue; ± 2σ) and relative 2010 data point in approximate relative position (purple; ± 2σ). Charcoal counts reported as the number of fragments per volume (fragments cm⁻³) of peat (Orange ± 2σ). Green boxes indicate relative depths of pollen sampling. Elevation of the deposit is reported as meters above sea level. (Data: Table S3)
Figure 5. (A) Bar charts showing the relative pollen abundance in each portion of the section (error bars = 95% confidence intervals; MASL= Meters Above Sea Level). (B). Pollen plate of select grains encountered in the BP section: (a) Pinus, (b) half a Picea grain, (c) Larix, (d) Betula, (e) Alnus, (f) Salix, (g) Myrica, (h) ericaceous grain, (i) Epilobium, and (j) Cyperaceae. 50um scale = (a–c), 75um scale = (d–j).
Figure 6: Examples of the feedbacks between temperature, vegetation and wildfire at the Beaver Pond site
Figure 7. (a) Modern geographic distribution of observed occurrences of species common to the Beaver Pond species list, (b) Mean temperature of the warmest quarter (summer average) derived from WorldClim, (c) Mean precipitation of the warmest quarter (summer rain) derived from WorldClim, (d) Count of unique fire pixels detected per day, over 10 years from MODIS 6 Fire Product, normalized by area of the latitude by longitude grid.