



1 Temperature seasonality in the North American continental

² interior during the early Eocene climatic optimum

- 3 Ethan G. Hyland^{1,2*}, Katharine W. Huntington¹, Nathan D. Sheldon³, Tammo Reichgelt⁴
- 4 ¹ Department of Earth & Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195
- ² Department of Marine, Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695
- 6 ³ Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48104
- ⁴ Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964
- 8 Correspondence to: Dr. Ethan G. Hyland (ehyland@ncsu.edu)
- 9

10 Abstract. Paleogene greenhouse climate equability has long been a paradox in paleoclimate 11 research. However, recent developments in proxy and modeling methods have suggested that strong seasonality may be a feature of at least some greenhouse periods. Here we present the first 12 13 multi-proxy record of seasonal temperatures during the Paleogene from paleofloras, paleosol geochemistry, and carbonate clumped isotope thermometry in the Green River Basin (Wyoming, 14 USA). These combined temperature records allow for the reconstruction of past seasonality in 15 16 the continental interior, which shows that temperatures were warmer in all seasons during the peak early Eocene climatic optimum and that the mean annual range of temperature was high, 17 similar to the modern value (~26°C). Proxy data and downscaled Eocene regional climate model 18 results suggest amplified seasonality during greenhouse events. Increased seasonality 19 reconstructed for the early Eocene is similar in scope to the higher seasonal range predicted by 20 21 downscaled climate model ensembles for future high-CO₂ emissions scenarios. Overall, these 22 data and model comparisons have substantial implications for understanding greenhouse climates





- 23 in general, and may be important for predicting future seasonal climate regimes and their impacts
- 24 in continental regions.
- 25

26 **1. Introduction**

27	The Paleogene was the last major greenhouse period in Earth's history and is
28	characterized by extreme warming events and resultant biological shifts (e.g., Greenwood and
29	Wing, 1995; Wilf, 2000; Zachos et al., 2001, 2008; McInerney and Wing, 2011), with prolonged
30	warmth during the early Eocene climatic optimum (EECO) peaking from roughly $52 - 50$ Ma
31	(e.g. Zachos et al., 2008; Hyland et al., 2017). The early Eocene in general is thought to represent
32	a warm and "equable" global climate state with high mean annual temperatures (MAT; e.g.,
33	Wilf, 2000; Zachos et al., 2008), low mean annual range of temperatures (MART; e.g., Wolfe,
34	1978, 1995; Greenwood and Wing, 1995), and low pole-to-equator temperature gradients (LTG;
35	e.g., Spicer and Parrish, 1990; Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Evans et al., 2018). While high
36	MAT during the Eocene now seems well established, the feasibility of "equable" conditions
37	defined by low MART and low LTG is still in question as a result of increasingly complex
38	global climate models which are unable to reproduce such conditions (e.g., Barron, 1987; Sloan
39	and Barron, 1990; Sloan, 1994; Huber and Caballero, 2011; Lunt et al., 2012).
40	Recent proxy work on Paleogene warm intervals and hyperthermals such as the
41	Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM) has suggested that continental interiors may
42	maintain higher or near-modern MART during these periods, implying that the "low seasonality"
43	aspect of climate equability may not be reasonable under all greenhouse conditions (e.g., Snell et
44	al., 2013; Eldrett et al., 2014). Despite this suggestion, it remains unclear whether proxy
45	estimates from other basins, regions, and greenhouse periods can be reconciled with the range of
46	feasible conditions provided by climate model studies. Quantitative reconstructions of





47	seasonality (MART) based on precise proxy estimates of mean annual temperature (MAT),
48	warm month mean temperature (WMMT), and cold month mean temperature (CMMT) could
49	help to resolve some of these model-proxy discrepancies by providing a robust and well-
50	constrained set of seasonal observations for comparison to available climate model outputs.
51	Robust proxy reconstructions of seasonality are crucial for understanding this aspect of past
52	greenhouse equability (Lunt et al., 2012; Snell et al., 2013; Peppe, 2013).
53	Seasonality estimates have previously been made using a variety of proxy
54	paleothermometers in isolation, and can now be made with higher confidence using recently
55	developed methods that target each of these individual temperature parameters: MAT can be
56	estimated using a paleosol geochemistry-based thermometer, WMMT can be estimated using the
57	carbonate clumped isotope (Δ_{47}) thermometer, and CMMT can be estimated using a nearest
58	living relative (NLR) floral coexistence thermometer. The bulk major-element geochemistry of
59	modern soils has been used to quantify the effects of weathering processes via a wide range of
60	geochemical indices (see Sheldon and Tabor, 2009). The relationship between modern climate
61	parameters like temperature and indices such as salinization (Sheldon et al., 2002), the paleosol
62	weathering index (Gallagher and Sheldon, 2013), and the paleosol-paleoclimate model
63	(Stinchcomb et al., 2016) has led to the development of climofunctions for MAT that have been
64	used to estimate paleo-MAT during the Cenozoic (e.g., Retallack, 2007; Takeuchi et al., 2007;
65	Bader et al., 2015; Stinchcomb et al., 2016). The clumped isotope (Δ_{47}) thermometer is based on
66	the temperature-dependent relative enrichment of multiply substituted isotopologues of CaCO ₃
67	$({}^{13}C{}^{18}O{}^{16}O{}_2)$ within the solid carbonate phase, which is independent of the isotopic composition
68	of the water in which the carbonate precipitated (e.g., Ghosh et al., 2006; Eiler, 2007). For
69	pedogenic carbonates in temperate regions, this growth temperature is linked to mean warm





70	season soil temperatures (e.g., Quade et al., 2013; Hough et al., 2014), and has been used to
71	estimate paleo-WMMT during the Cenozoic (e.g., Snell et al., 2013; Garzione et al., 2014). The
72	nearest living relative (NLR) coexistence method has been developed based on the sensitive and
73	highly conserved collective modern cold temperature tolerances of related floras to calculate cold
74	month temperatures (e.g., Wolfe, 1995; Mosbrugger and Utescher, 1997). Those relationships
75	have been refined and used to estimate quantitative paleo-CMMT during the Cenozoic (e.g.,
76	Greenwood et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2012; Eldrett et al., 2014; Utescher et al., 2014;
77	Greenwood et al., 2017).
78	Here we employ a multi-proxy approach using paleosol geochemistry, clumped isotope,
79	and floral NLR coexistence thermometry methods from the same localities in order to address
80	seasonality in the past, specifically applying it to the issue of early Eocene greenhouse equability
81	in the North American continental interior. We estimate MAT, WMMT, and CMMT throughout
82	the EECO including both defined peak (~51 Ma) and non-peak conditions (e.g., Hyland et al.,
83	2017), and compare the resultant proxy estimates of temperature seasonality (MART) to the
84	modern climate state of the region, as well as to downscaled climate model predictions of
85	temperature seasonality during the Eocene and for future emissions scenarios.
86	

87 **2. Methods**

The targeted early Eocene locality is the Green River Basin (GRB) in southwestern
Wyoming (USA; Figure 1). The GRB sequence is comprised of a series of terrestrial clastic
rocks deposited during the early Eocene and EECO as a result of Laramide synorogenic fluvial
and lacustrine sedimentation along the margin of endorheic paleo-lake Gosiute (e.g., Clyde et al.,
2001; Smith et al., 2008, 2010, 2015). Contemporaneous multi-proxy records of peak and non-





93	peak conditions during the EECO are from the interfingering Wasatch Formation, primarily
94	fluvial sandstones and paleosols of the Ramsey Ranch and Cathedral Bluffs Members, and Green
95	River Formation, primarily lacustrine shales and carbonates of the Wilkins Peak Member (Figure
96	1). The paleosols and pedogenic carbonates were sampled from the Honeycomb Buttes near
97	South Pass, Wyoming (42.24°N, 108.53°W; Hyland and Sheldon, 2013), while the floral
98	assemblages were sampled from the Latham coal (41.68°N, 107.88°W), Sourdough coal
99	(41.91°N, 108.00°W), Niland Tongue (41.06°N, 108.77°W), and Little Mountain quarry
100	(41.28°N, 109.30°W) outside Rock Springs, Wyoming (Figure 1; Wilf, 1998; 2000).
101	
102	2.1 Temperature proxies
103	
104	2.1.1 Paleosol geochemistry
105	The bulk major-element geochemistry of modern soils (specifically B horizons) has been
106	used extensively to develop a number of composition-climate relationships, including those
107	predicted by the paleosol-paleoclimate model (PPM _{1.0}), which relates a broad suite of major
108	element compositions to mean annual temperature (among other factors) at the site of soil
109	formation (Stinchcomb et al., 2016). Stinchcomb et al. (2016) developed this nonlinear spline
110	model using the largest available geochemical dataset from 685 modern soils across North
111	America in order to derive proxy relationships between 11 major and minor oxides and MAT.
117	This new proxy is calibrated over a wider range of climatic conditions, soil types, and parent

- materials than other available proxies (c.f., Sheldon et al., 2002; Gallagher and Sheldon, 2013),
- and has been validated via independent comparisons in both modern climosequences
- 115 (Stinchcomb et al., 2016) and Miocene paleosols (Driese et al., 2016). Following associated





- 116 procedures, our bulk paleosol samples from selected upper Bt horizons of defined Alfisols
- 117 (described in detail by Hyland and Sheldon, 2013) were prepared for major-element
- 118 geochemistry by cleaning and grinding to a homogenous powder. Samples were analyzed using
- 119 lithium borate fusion preparation and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) measurements at ALS Chemex
- 120 Laboratory (Vancouver, BC), where analytical uncertainty for analyses was maintained at less
- than 0.1% for all elements, and replicate analyses had a mean standard deviation of 0.8% (Table
- 122 A.1). Resultant major and minor elements data were not corrected for loss-on-ignition (e.g.,
- 123 Stinchcomb et al., 2016), and were input into the open-access PPM_{1.0} model, which produces
- "low", "best" and "high" MAT estimates; we present the "high" estimates as MAT here (see
- 125 *Section 4.1* for explanation; *Table A.1*).
- 126

127 **2.1.2 Clumped isotope geochemistry**

128 The clumped isotope (Δ_{47}) thermometer is based on the theoretical temperature

dependence of the overabundance of multiply substituted carbonate ion isotopologues (primarily

 $^{13}C^{18}O^{16}O_2^{-2}$) within the solid carbonate phase, which is independent of the isotopic composition

- 131 of the waters from which the carbonate precipitated (e.g., Schauble et al., 2006; Ghosh et al.,
- 132 2006; Eiler, 2007). The enrichment of "clumped" isotopologues relative to the abundance

133 expected for a random distribution of isotopes among isotopologues (Δ_{47}) varies with the growth

- temperature of the sampled carbonate (e.g., Ghosh et al., 2006; Dennis et al., 2011; Zaarur et al.,
- 135 2013; Kluge et al., 2015; Kelson et al., 2017). Clumped isotope thermometry of soil carbonates is
- a useful paleoenvironmental proxy in continental settings (e.g., Eiler, 2011; Quade et al., 2013),
- 137 and studies of recent pedogenic carbonates indicate that their clumped isotope values record
- 138 environmental temperature conditions during mineral growth. The timing of pedogenic carbonate





- 139 growth is controlled by a combination of soil moisture, CO_2 , temperature, and other factors over
- 140 10^2-10^4 years (e.g., Cerling, 1984; Cerling and Quade, 1993; Breecker et al., 2009; Zamanian et
- 141 al., 2016), and clumped isotope analyses show corresponding variability in recorded
- temperatures (e.g., Peters et al., 2013; Hough et al., 2014; Burgener et al., 2016; Ringham et al.,
- 143 2016; Gallagher and Sheldon, 2016). However, for pedogenic carbonates forming in forest soils
- 144 from mid-latitude regions, this growth temperature has been shown to be linked to mean warm
- season soil temperatures in most settings (e.g., Breecker et al., 2009; Passey et al., 2010; Quade
- et al., 2013; Garzione et al., 2014; Hough et al., 2014; Ringham et al., 2016), and has been used
- to estimate paleo-WMMT during the Cenozoic (e.g., Suarez et al., 2011; Snell et al., 2013;
- 148 Quade et al., 2013; Garzione et al., 2014).

Pedogenic carbonate nodules from selected Bk horizons (paleosol depths ~20-240 cm) 149 were thin-sectioned and analyzed under transmitted light and cathodoluminescence to identify 150 151 primary micritic carbonate (Figure 2), which was microdrilled/homogenized for clumped isotope 152 (Δ_{47}) analysis. Extremely shallow (<50 cm) or deep (>200 cm) carbonates were analyzed specifically to examine temperature depth profiles in paleosols (Figure 2), while pedogenic 153 154 carbonates from commonly sampled depths (50-200 cm; e.g., Cerling, 1984; Koch, 1998; Zamanian et al., 2016) were used for calculating and interpreting paleotemperature records. 155 156 Powdered samples and carbonate standards were analyzed in replicate at the University of 157 Washington's IsoLab, following methods of Burgener et al. (2016) and Kelson et al. (2017), which are modified after Huntington et al. (2009) and Passey et al. (2010). Briefly, CO_2 is 158 produced from 6–8 mg of pure carbonate reacted in a common phosphoric acid bath (~105% 159 160 H₃PO₄) at 90°C. Evolved CO₂ is then cleaned via passage through a series of automated cryogenic traps and a cooled (-20°C) Poropak Q column using helium carrier gas through a 161





162	nickel and stainless steel vacuum line, and the purified CO ₂ is transferred to Pyrex break seals.
163	Each sample is then analyzed on a Thermo MAT253 mass spectrometer equipped with an
164	automated 10-port tube cracker inlet system and configured to measure m/z 44-49, using data
165	acquisition methods and scripts presented by Schauer et al. (2016).
166	All analyses include an automatically-measured pressure baseline (PBL; He et al., 2012),
167	are corrected using heated gas (1000°C; Huntington et al., 2009) and CO ₂ -water equilibration
168	(4°C, 60°C) lines during the corresponding analysis period, and are reported in the absolute
169	reference frame (ARF; Dennis et al., 2011). Following recent work (Daëron et al., 2016; Schauer
170	et al., 2016), mass spectrometer data are corrected using the ¹⁷ O correction values recommended
171	by Brand et al. (2010). Carbonate standards for these analyses include international standards
172	NBS-19 and ETH-2, as well as internal standards C64 and COR, which are all reported relative
173	to VPDB (δ^{13} C, δ^{18} O) and ARF (Δ_{47}) in <i>Table B.1</i> . All samples were analyzed in replicate (3–5)
174	to minimize standard analytical error, and data were reduced following Schauer et al. (2016).
175	Carbonate growth temperatures (T[Δ_{47}]) were calculated using the most current and extensive
176	inorganic calcite calibration (Kelson et al., 2017), which was produced using the updated ¹⁷ O
177	correction values of Brand et al. (2010) and is consistent with our analytical methods. Based on
178	preliminary comparisons, the Kelson et al. (2017) calibration produces results not significantly
179	different from data calculated using previous calibrations at moderate Earth-surface temperatures
180	(Daëron et al., 2016; C. John and M. Daëron, pers. comm., 2016; Table B.1).
181	

182 **2.1.3 Floral coexistence analysis**

Floral physiognomy and floral coexistence techniques are often applied in concert to
arrive at terrestrial paleoclimate estimates (e.g. Spicer et al., 2014; Reichgelt et al., 2015; West et





185	al., 2015). While floral leaf physiognomy has been used to develop character-climate
186	relationships for parameters like CMMT and MART (e.g., Wolfe, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998;
187	Wing, 1998), other work has raised questions about the reliability of modern calibrations and
188	possible covariability of seasonal temperatures recorded by floral methods (Jordan, 1997; Peppe
189	et al., 2010). Similar questions have been raised regarding the nearest living relative (NLR)
190	coexistence method (Grimm and Denk, 2012; Grimm and Potts, 2016). However, recent
191	developments have addressed these issues including: 1) improvements or revisions to NLR
192	assignments for paleofloral assemblages (e.g., Manchester et al., 2014; SIMNHP, 2015), 2) new
193	global datasets of modern floral distributions (e.g., TROPICOS, 2015; USDA, 2015, GBIF,
194	2016), 3) high-resolution linked climatic datasets (e.g., Hijmans et al., 2005), and 4) the
195	application of more rigorous statistical analyses (e.g., Eldrett et al., 2014; Utescher et al., 2014;
196	Harbert and Nixon, 2015). As a result of this work, bioclimatic analysis has emerged as a refined
197	version of this approach, employing the climatic range of modern living relatives of plants found
198	together in a fossil assemblage and statistically constraining the most likely climatic co-
199	occurrence envelope (e.g., Greenwood et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2012; Eldrett et al., 2014;
200	Greenwood et al., 2017).
201	Fossil assemblages were selected from the literature (e.g., Wilf, 1998, 2000) based on
202	temporal fit, floristic diversity, and reliable taxonomy. Fossil taxa were each attributed to a
203	modern taxon based on nearest living relative (e.g., MacGinitie, 1969; Hickey, 1977; Manchester
204	and Dilcher, 1982; Wolfe and Wehr, 1987; Wing, 1998; Wilf, 1998, 2000; Manchester et al.,

- 205 2014; SIMNHP, 2015), with unattributed or disputed placements assigned conservatively at
- 206 higher taxonomic levels (*Table C.1*). Climatic envelopes of modern groups in North America
- 207 and Asia were retained for the ancient taxa based on environmental niche conservation (e.g.,





208	Wang et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2011). Modern taxa distributions (GBIF, 2016) were linked to
209	high-resolution gridded climatic maps (Hijmans et al., 2005) to extract MAT, WMMT and
210	CMMT using the Dismo Package in the R Statistical Program (R Core Team, 2013). Prior to
211	calculating climatic ranges, plant distribution coordinate files were scrutinized for: 1) plants with
212	dubious taxonomic assignments, as not all identifications were rigorous and not all collected
213	specimens were taxonomically assigned by experts (only species-level identifications are
214	included); 2) plants occurring outside of their natural ranges, as many plants occur outside their
215	adapted environment due to agricultural or aesthetic translocation; and 3) redundant occurrences
216	as many duplicate coordinates or researcher entries exist for the same taxon and their inclusion
217	may skew results toward given localities.
218	Quantitative paleotemperatures were estimated using a modified bioclimatic analysis
219	approach (e.g., Greenwood et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2012; Eldrett et al., 2014; Greenwood
220	et al., 2017). Overlap ranges of climatic tolerances for coexisting species from each assemblage
221	were defined by calculating probability density functions of those climatic envelopes (Figure 3
222	and Table C.2) consistent with recent work (e.g., Thompson et al., 2012; Harbert and Nixon,
223	2015; Grimm and Potts, 2016; Greenwood et al., 2017). In order to avoid inclusion of apparent
224	coexistence intervals in which no modern occurrence is recorded, we calculate the collective
225	probability density of taxa co-occurrence for each combination of MAT (x), WMMT (y), and
226	CMMT (z):

227
$$f(x|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(1)

228
$$f(y|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(2)





$$f(z|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{(z-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(3)

230
$$f(x, y, z, t) = \ln\left[\left(f(x) \times f(y) \times f(z)\right)_{t1} \times \dots \times \left(f(x) \times f(y) \times f(z)\right)_{tn}\right] \quad (4)$$

Calculations are repeated such that the likelihood (*f*) is calculated for each climatic combination,
for each taxon (*t*), dependent on the number of taxa (*n*), using the mean and standard deviation of
each taxon (*Table C.2*). Climate input parameters were individual occurrence data points
(~32,000) derived from GBIF (2016), excluding combinations unlikely to represent the climatic
envelope of the taxa in the assemblage by calculating a maximum likelihood probability density
function that defines a precise estimate of temperature parameters with a low standard deviation
for each selected assemblage (Figure 3).

238

239 2.2 Modern climate data and model downscaling

240 The modern temperature dataset was derived from 1981–2010 averaged climate normals 241 from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) weather observation stations within the Green River Basin (n = 18; NCDC, 2010), defined as the area $40.5-43^{\circ}$ N by 107– 242 110.5°W (Figure 1). Future model temperature projection results used a 10-model ensemble 243 244 from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) under standard low (RCP4.5) and high (RCP8.5) emissions scenarios (IPCC, 2014). Results were averaged monthly for the 245 final 10 years of the model run (2090-2099) and calculated over the same study area using 246 standard bias-correction and spatial downscaling (BCSD) methods developed by PCDMI (2014). 247 Eocene model temperature results used data from a modified three-dimensional regional climate 248 model (RegCM3; Sewall and Sloan, 2006; Pal et al., 2007) with established Eocene boundary 249 conditions including low (560 ppm; LoCO) and high (2240 ppm; HiCO) atmospheric pCO₂ 250 scenarios (Sewall and Sloan, 2006; Thrasher et al., 2009; 2010). Those results were averaged for 251





252	the final 20 years of the model run at equilibrium and calculated over the same study area (40.5–
253	43°N by 107–110.5°W) by integrating data across grid cells monthly for each model year within
254	the above defined Green River Basin (e.g., Snell et al., 2013). All modern climate normals and
255	model downscaling results are reported in Table D.1.
256	
257	3. Results
258	$PPM_{1.0}$ statistical model results for MAT from these paleosol samples range from 13.5 to
259	17.6°C ($\mu = 15.2$ °C; $\sigma = 1.3$ °C). Uncertainty for these estimates is reported as the root mean
260	squared error of the model fit regression ($\pm 2.5^{\circ}$ C). Petrographic observation of carbonate nodules
261	from all depths and selected soils identified dominantly micritic textures with minor components
262	of sub-angular quartz grains and occasional sparry (>20 μ m) calcite veins and cements; however,
263	we were able to identify and micro-sample unaltered fine-grained ($<5\mu m$) calcite material in each
264	of the examined samples (n = 14; Figure 2). Clumped isotope Δ_{47} values for these samples range
265	from 0.582 to 0.631‰ (μ = 0.607‰; σ = 0.014‰), which corresponds to an estimated WMMT
266	range of 18 to 34°C (μ = 25°C; σ = 4°C). Uncertainty for these estimates is reported as
267	propagated error from analytical and equilibrated CO ₂ reference frame uncertainty (negligible);
268	replicate standard error ($\mu = 0.008\%$) or standard error from long-term standards, whichever is
269	larger; and calibration standard error (e.g., Kelson et al., 2017); which have a combined error
270	averaging $\pm 3^{\circ}$ C. Clumped isotope-based temperature depth profiles in the sampled paleosols
271	show no clear trend with depth, and estimates are mostly within error for a given paleosol
272	(Figure 2). Nearest living relative bioclimatic analysis minimum cold tolerances for these
273	samples range from -28 to 24°C ($\mu = 6^{\circ}$ C; $\sigma = 7^{\circ}$ C), and maximum warm tolerances range from
274	10 to 43°C ($\mu = 28^{\circ}$ C; $\sigma = 5^{\circ}$ C). Probability density functions define bioclimatic envelopes





275	(Figure 3) corresponding to an estimated CMMT range of 4.2 to 7.6°C (μ = 5.9°C; σ = 1.2°C), an
276	MAT range of 15.2 to 18.2°C (μ = 16.5°C; σ = 1.1°C), and a WMMT range of 27.9 to 28.7°C (μ
277	= 28.3°C; σ = 0.3°C) for the collective floral assemblages. Uncertainty for these estimates is
278	reported as 2σ for individual assemblage PDF distributions, which average $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Proxy
279	estimates from all three methods show a trend of increasing temperatures from non-peak
280	conditions into the peak EECO (~51 Ma), after which temperatures decreased back to lower
281	values (Figure 4).
282	Modern climate normals averaged monthly for the GRB range from -8.4 to 18.1°C, with
283	a MAT of 4.4°C (Table D.1). Downscaled Eocene climate model results averaged monthly for
284	the GRB range from 4 to 24°C (LoCO) and 6 to 30°C (HiCO), with MATs of 13°C and 16°C,
285	respectively (Table D.1). Downscaled future climate model results averaged monthly for the
286	GRB range from -5.0 to 20.4°C (RCP4.5) and -2.9 to 24.7°C (RCP8.5), with MATs of 7.1°C and
287	10.6°C, respectively (Table D.1). Monthly temperature trends maintain roughly the same shape
288	for modern observational data, future model estimates, and Eocene model estimates. However,
289	the Eocene modeled cases show substantially higher winter temperatures, and in both modern
290	and Eocene modeled cases the higher emission/ p CO ₂ scenario shows an enhanced summer signal
291	relative to the lower emission/ p CO ₂ scenario from the same time period (Figure 5).
292	
293	4. Discussion
294	
295	4.1 Temperature estimates

296 Temperature estimates from the PPM_{1.0} spline model are based on specifically selected
297 uppermost B horizons of paleosols with comparable parent materials. These horizons were





298	selected based on previous work describing and sampling paleosols from the Cathedral Bluffs
299	Member in the GRB (Figure 1; Hyland and Sheldon, 2013), and based on the characteristics of
300	soils sampled for the paleosol paleoclimate model dataset (Stinchcomb et al., 2016), in order to
301	generate the most robust input data for the $PPM_{1.0}$ spline model. While the $PPM_{1.0}$ model
302	produces multiple possible estimates of paleo-MAT, the estimate shown to be most reliable via
303	concurrent comparisons with other paleotemperature methods (paleobotanical and paleosol
304	proxies) is the "high MAT" value we present here (Michel et al., 2014; Stinchcomb et al., 2016;
305	Driese et al., 2016). We further justify our use of the "high" estimate because the PPM _{1.0} training
306	dataset heavily samples soils from temperate regions (specifically the conterminous USA) which
307	tend to have lower MAT ($\leq 10^{\circ}$ C) and therefore could place excess weight on low values in the
308	model predictive space. This sampling bias likely produces the demonstrated pattern of "best"
309	MAT predictions generally exhibiting positive residuals (Stinchcomb et al., 2016), which means
310	that the $PPM_{1.0}$ model would be more likely to skew temperature estimates from paleosol and
311	other modern samples toward lower-than-observed MAT values. The presented mean annual
312	temperatures appear to coincide with a statistical mean between CMMT and WMMT estimates
313	(Figure 4), and also agree within uncertainty with independent MAT estimates from other types
314	of paleosol geochemistry (salinization index, δ^{18} O; Hyland and Sheldon, 2013) and broadly with
315	updated physiognometric (Table C.3; Wilf, 2000) and coexistence analysis paleobotanical
316	estimates from the GRB (Figure 4).
317	Based on the assessment of physical and isotopic data, our sampled pedogenic carbonate
318	nodules appear to be primary records of Earth surface temperatures at the time of their formation.

319 All sampled nodules preserve micritic carbonate, and transmitted light and cathodoluminescence

320 images show limited recrystallization or void-filling spar and no evidence of pervasive





321	remineralization (Figure 2). Isotopic data also suggest primary and uncontaminated carbonate
322	material; Δ_{48} values remain low (<<1‰; <i>Table B.1</i>), indicating a lack of hydrocarbon or sulfide
323	contamination (e.g., Guo and Eiler, 2007; Huntington et al. 2009). Temperature and $\delta^{18}O$
324	measurements remain well within the range of reasonable terrestrial values, particularly for
325	continental interior basins with seasonal climates (Table B.1; e.g., Quade et al., 2013; Hough et
326	al., 2014). Carbonates forming in temperate regions often exhibit summer/warm-month
327	temperatures due to warm, dry conditions and low soil CO ₂ concentrations during those months
328	(e.g., Breecker et al., 2009; Quade et al., 2013). Such conditions are predicted for the GRB
329	during the early Eocene based on regional climate models (Thrasher and Sloan, 2009; 2010), and
330	are evident in paleosol features (Clyde et al., 2001; Hyland and Sheldon, 2013) as well as
331	evaporative δ^{18} O of source waters from nearby paleo-lakes Gosiute and Uinta (<i>Table B.1</i> ; e.g.,
332	Sarg et al., 2013; Frantz et al., 2014). Further warm biasing of soil temperature with respect to air
333	temperature can be imparted by radiant ground heating, but such effects are likely negligible in
334	shaded forest soils (e.g., Quade et al., 2013; Ringham et al., 2016). Clumped isotope data from
335	two soil depth profiles collected in the GRB agree within uncertainty below ~50 cm (Figure 2),
336	suggesting that surface heating and depth attenuation of surface temperature variability does not
337	significantly affect the samples used for our MART reconstructions (paleosol depths \sim 50–200
338	cm; e.g., Ringham et al., 2016).
339	These results imply that the temperatures measured from our pedogenic carbonates
340	broadly reflect warm month mean soil temperatures (WMMT) as observed in other records (e.g.,
341	Peters et al., 2013; Hough et al., 2014; Burgener et al., 2016). Possible exceptions are two
342	samples at the base of the Honeycomb Buttes section (HB-109 and HB-18; Table B.1) which
343	appear to correspond to MAT estimates from the same paleosols (PPM _{1.0} ; Figure 4). These





344	lowest temperature estimates from the base of the section may be artificially "cool" as a function
345	of seasonal precipitation regimes spreading carbonate formation across other parts of the year,
346	particularly in soils with deeper Bk horizons like these (e.g., Gallagher and Sheldon, 2016).
347	Because of the likely bias toward MAT in these two samples, we exclude them from calculations
348	of WMMT or MART as indicated in Figure 4; additionally, this effect means that all of our
349	clumped isotope-based estimates of WMMT may be artificially low, suggesting that our
350	calculated MART values could represent a minimum value. However, our resultant clumped
351	isotope-based temperature estimates are mostly in agreement with both regional climate model
352	predictions of summer month air temperatures (e.g., Thrasher and Sloan, 2009; Snell et al., 2013)
353	and paleobotanical coexistence estimates of warm month mean temperatures (Figure 4).
354	Paleobotanical coexistence methods have been shown to reconstruct paleo-temperatures
355	robustly, particularly for warm and cold months in well-sampled and taxonomically rich
356	localities such as these (e.g., Thompson et al., 2012; Grimm and Potts, 2016). However,
357	uncertainties may be larger than accounted for by the described statistical methods applied to
358	these assemblages because: 1) many fossil classifications within the GRB assemblages are not
359	directly comparable to or identifiable as extant species, and coexistence analyses at a generic or
360	familial level may introduce bias by broadening the temperature tolerance ranges of most groups
361	(e.g., Wang et al., 2010); and 2) evolutionary or climatic preferences of Paleogene fossil taxa
362	may not be fully conserved in extant groups, introducing potential sources of error (e.g., Fang et
363	al., 2011). If we double estimated error to account for these unquantifiable uncertainties, the
364	collective coexistence probability density functions from these assemblages still produce
365	CMMT, MAT, and WMMT estimates defined by narrow "maximum likelihood" bioclimatic
366	envelopes ($<\pm 3^{\circ}$ C; Figure 3; <i>Table C.2</i>), which suggest that the environmental characteristics of





367	these fossil assemblages are well constrained despite some higher-level NLR assignments.
368	Additionally, sampling bias from well-sampled temperate regions (e.g., North America) in the
369	modern GBIF database may place undue weight on the cool end of plant ranges (e.g.,
370	Greenwood et al., 2017), constraining paleotemperature estimates to lower values or smaller
371	ranges than is appropriate. This suggests that, similar to clumped isotope-based estimates, our
372	plant-based MART values could also represent a minimum value. Despite this, paleobotanical
373	coexistence CMMT estimates agree with regional climate model predictions of winter month
374	temperatures in the GRB (e.g., Thrasher and Sloan, 2009; 2010), MAT estimates agree broadly
375	with multiple paleosol-based proxy estimates (Figure 4; Hyland and Sheldon, 2013) and with
376	updated paleobotanical physiognomy estimates (Figure 4; Table C.3; Wilf, 2000), and WMMT
377	estimates agree with regional climate model estimates (e.g., Thrasher and Sloan, 2009; Snell et
378	al., 2013) and broadly with clumped isotope-based estimates (Figure 4). Taken together these
379	proxy results paint a consistent picture of Earth-surface temperatures during the early Eocene,
380	despite uncertainties inherent in each individual method.
201	

381

382 4.2 Temperature seasonality

Because each of these proxies appears to represent different seasonal temperatures robustly, we combine these estimates to produce a new multiply constrained investigation of paleo-MART. By calculating the differences between CMMT from paleobotanical coexistence analysis, MAT from paleosol geochemistry or paleobotanical analyses, and WMMT from Δ_{47} composition or paleobotanical coexistence analysis, we can directly estimate MART in the past and compare differences in seasonal temperatures independent of calculation method (c.f., Snell et al., 2013). In other words, our approach can define MART as: 1) the difference between





390	WMMT and CMMT; or 2) twice the difference between MAT and either WMMT or CMMT,
391	assuming that MAT falls half way between those estimates by definition (Table 1). Because our
392	approach can calculate MART using both methods and an average of multiple proxies, this
393	allows for a wide range of independent checks on our estimates, providing the most robust
394	available paleo-MART (Table 1). Each method provides consistent answers that are statistically
395	indistinguishable for a given time period (Student's <i>t</i> -test p-values = $0.4-0.9$), lending
396	confidence to calculations which show that MART ranged from 21–26°C during the early
397	Eocene (Table 1). MART was generally slightly lower than modern (~21–23°C) across this
398	interval, but appears to have increased to near-modern ranges during the peak EECO (~26°C;
399	Figure 4; Table 1). The calculated uncertainty of the difference between these populations
400	(S.E.D) is ~4°C, which makes the non-peak and peak intervals statistically distinct though nearly
401	overlanning
401	ovenapping.
401	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than
401 402 403	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing,
401 402 403 404	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with
401 402 403 404 405	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However,
401 402 403 404 405 406	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to
401 402 403 404 405 406 407	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and
401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010; Snell et al., 2013). The transient nature of paleo-lake Gosiute and the variable
401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010; Snell et al., 2013). The transient nature of paleo-lake Gosiute and the variable evolution of environments within the GRB throughout the early Eocene is well documented in
401 402 403 404 405 405 406 407 408 409 410	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010; Snell et al., 2013). The transient nature of paleo-lake Gosiute and the variable evolution of environments within the GRB throughout the early Eocene is well documented in stratigraphic archives, indicating that the basin may have been alternately dominated by the
401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010; Snell et al., 2013). The transient nature of paleo-lake Gosiute and the variable evolution of environments within the GRB throughout the early Eocene is well documented in stratigraphic archives, indicating that the basin may have been alternately dominated by the paleo-lake or by forested floodplains during this period (Smith et al., 2008; 2014). In this
401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412	Estimates from the lower end of our reconstructed MART range are still higher than MART estimates from individual paleobotanical proxies (15–18°C; e.g., Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998), but compare favorably to estimates from regional climate models with assumed lacustrine or paludal land cover (20–22°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010). However, estimates from the higher end of the reconstructed MART range compare more favorably to modeled MART values with assumed woodland or forested land cover (24–26°C; Thrasher and Sloan, 2010; Snell et al., 2013). The transient nature of paleo-lake Gosiute and the variable evolution of environments within the GRB throughout the early Eocene is well documented in stratigraphic archives, indicating that the basin may have been alternately dominated by the paleo-lake or by forested floodplains during this period (Smith et al., 2008; 2014). In this context, our results suggest that both lower (though still in excess of any previous paleobotanical





413	estimates) and higher MART states may in fact be reasonable for this region at different points
414	during the early Eocene as the GRB evolved. Moreover, proxy and modeling work does not
415	appear to be contradictory, instead having captured different portions of the range of possible
416	MART values indicated for the peak vs. non-peak EECO in this part of the continental interior
417	(Figures 4 and 5). Regardless, these results suggest that MART values lower than ~20 $^{\circ}$ C (e.g.,
418	Greenwood and Wing, 1995; Wolfe et al., 1998) may be unreasonable during any part of the
419	EECO, even in the context of variable climate and environmental conditions.
420	
421	4.3 Seasonality implications
422	Our new proxy data and model comparisons have important implications for continental
423	climates, as they suggest two potential characteristics of seasonality in interior regions during
424	warming events: 1) proxies tend to indicate continental temperatures on the high end of modeled
425	ranges in all seasons, and 2) both proxies and regional models indicate that summer temperatures
426	may increase disproportionately, actually broadening MART, at high atmospheric p CO ₂ . While
427	proxy and model estimates of paleotemperature generally agree through the early Eocene in the
428	GRB, proxy estimates consistently fall in the top half of all modeled values (Figure 5). Although
429	these model and proxy results are not statistically distinct, they may suggest that realistic
430	environmental responses could have a skewed distribution within the range of model-predicted
431	climate outcomes, an observation which has been made previously for other regions and time
432	periods (e.g., Roe and Baker, 2007; Diffenbaugh and Field, 2013).
433	Winter temperatures were generally high during the Eocene (Figures 4 and 5; e.g.,
434	Greenwood and Wing, 1995), but during the peak EECO summer temperatures appear to have
435	increased disproportionally, broadening the range of MART (Figures 4 and 5). Regional Eocene





436	climate model output for the GRB predicts lower MART (~20°C) under low pCO_2 conditions
437	(LoCO scenario), and higher MART (~24°C) under high pCO_2 conditions (HiCO scenario;
438	Figure 5; <i>Table D.1</i>). Therefore, a theoretical transition from lower (\leq 500 ppm) to higher (\geq 1000
439	ppm) atmospheric <i>p</i> CO ₂ during the peak EECO (e.g., Hyland and Sheldon, 2013; Jagniecki et al.,
440	2015) could effectively broaden MART and result in extreme summer temperatures during that
441	period, which would be consistent with both regional model and proxy predictions in the GRB
442	(Figure 5). Regional model-proxy agreement on the plausibility of variable moderate to high
443	MART (20–26°C) in continental interiors fits with global simulations employing a reasonable set
444	of radiative forcings and climate sensitivities, which project similar seasonality ranges during
445	this and other greenhouse events (Huber and Caballero, 2011; Lunt et al., 2012). These
446	temperature seasonality estimates also corroborate recent work on other regions and warm
447	periods (e.g., Snell et al., 2013; Eldrett et al., 2014), and further support the interpretation that
448	continental interiors were less "equable" than previously thought under greenhouse conditions
449	(Snell et al., 2013; Peppe, 2013).
450	Increased seasonality and the disproportionate response of summer temperatures during
451	greenhouse climates also has significant implications for predicting future change in continental
452	interiors. Current projections for the next century using downscaled global climate model
453	ensembles (PCDMI, 2014; Table D.1) indicate generally increased temperatures and changing
454	seasonality in North America, and GRB temperatures are projected to increase particularly
455	during winter months (Figure 5). However for high emissions scenarios that may be closer in
456	character to greenhouse conditions like the peak EECO or the PETM (RCP8.5; e.g., IPCC, 2007;
457	Lunt et al., 2012), summer temperatures in the GRB increase more strongly, broadening MART
458	(Figure 5; Table D.1). This trend in MART from peak EECO proxy data and high-





459	emission/ p CO ₂ model simulations in both the future and Eocene suggests a potential atmospheric
460	pCO ₂ threshold for enhanced seasonality, and provides support for models and observations
461	indicating that continental interiors may experience more extreme seasonality in the future under
462	heightened greenhouse conditions (e.g., IPCC, 2007; Diffenbaugh and Field, 2013; Diffenbaugh
463	et al., 2017). The mechanism for producing this increased seasonality remains unclear and
464	requires further study in terms of both proxy applications and model development, although
465	changes in land cover may play a crucial role at least in regional variability (Thrasher and Sloan,
466	2010; Diffenbaugh and Field, 2013).
467	

468 5. Conclusions

Estimates of winter (paleofloral NLR coexistence), mean (paleosol geochemistry), and 469 470 summer (clumped isotope) temperatures from the early Eocene in the Green River Basin of 471 Wyoming (USA) provide new multi-proxy constraints on seasonality (mean annual range of temperature) in terrestrial settings during greenhouse periods. These records show that MART 472 473 was variable but near (or above) modern values during the early Eocene climatic optimum, 474 confirming both that seasonality in continental interiors may not remain constant, and that EECO conditions likely do not conform to at least the seasonality aspect of greenhouse "equability". 475 476 Comparisons between proxy data and regional/downscaled climate models further imply that 477 temperature seasonality may respond differently at low vs. high atmospheric pCO_2 . Overall, this 478 suggests that our understanding of past greenhouse climates in continental interiors may be 479 incomplete when it comes to "equability", and proposes the potential for extreme seasonality in 480 these regions during past warming events and in the future, which likely has important 481 implications for natural ecosystems and human infrastructure.





482 483	Data Availability. Summarized paleosol, isotope, floral, and modeling data are available in the Supplement, and detailed sample or locality data are available from the authors on request.				
484					
485 486 487	Author Contributions. EGH, NDS, and KWH designed the study; EGH and NDS collected the samples and conducted fieldwork; EGH conducted laboratory analyses; EGH, KWH, and TR conducted data analyses and reduction; all authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.				
488					
489	Competing Interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.				
490					
491	Acknowledgments. The authors thank D. Peppe, K. Snell, and XXX for manuscript comments;				
492	A. Schauer, L. Burgener, and P. Wilf for assistance with proxy data; PCDMI, WCRP, L. Sloan,				
493	and J. Sewall for archived modeling datasets; NSF grants EAR-1252064 and 1156134 (KWH),				
494	GSA's Farouk El-Baz grant (EGH), and the Quaternary Research Center and Future of Ice				

Initiative at the University of Washington for funding and support.

496





497 References.

- Bader, N.E., Nicolaysen, K.P., Maldonado, R.L., Murray, K.E., and Mudd, A.C.: Extensive
- middle Miocene weathering interpreted from a well-preserved paleosol, Cricket Flat, Oregon,
- 500 USA, Geoderma, 239, 195–205, 2015.
- Barron, E.: Eocene equator-to-pole surface ocean temperatures: A significant climate problem?,
 Paleoceanography, 2, 729–739, 1987
- 503 Brand, W.A., Assonov, S.S., and Coplen, T.B.: Correction for the ¹⁷O interference in δ^{13} C
- measurements when analyzing CO₂ with stable isotope mass spectrometry, Pure Appl. Chem.,
 82, 1719–1733, 2010.
- 506 Breecker, D.O., Sharp, Z.D., and McFadden, L.D.: Seasonal bias in the formation and stable
- isotopic composition of pedogenic carbonate in modern soils from central New Mexico, USA,
 Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 121, 630–640, 2009.
- 509 Burgener, L., Huntington, K., Hoke, G., Schauer, A., Ringham, M., Latorre, C., and Diaz, F.:
- 510 Variations in soil carbonate formation and seasonal bias over >4km of relief in the western
- Andes (30°S) revealed by clumped isotope thermometry, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 441, 188–199,
- 512 2016.
- Cerling, T.E.: The stable isotopic composition of modern soil carbonate and its relationship to
 climate, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 71, 229–240, 1984.
- 515 Cerling, T.E., and Quade, J.: Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes in soil carbonates, Geophys.
 516 Mono., 78, 217–231, 1993.
- 517 Clyde, W.C., Sheldon, N.D., Koch, P.L., Gunnell, G.F., and Bartels, W.S.: Linking the
- 518 Wasatchian/Bridgerian boundary to the Cenozoic Global Climate Optimum: new
- magnetostratigraphic and isotopic results from South Pass, Wyoming, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl.,
 167, 175–199, 2001.
- 521 Daëron, M., Blamart, D., Peral, M., and Affek, H.: Absolute isotopic abundance ratios and the 522 accuracy of Δ_{47} measurements, Chem. Geol., 442, 83–96, 2016.
- Dennis, K.J., Affek, H.P., Passey, B.H., Schrag, D.P., and Eiler, J.M.: Defining and absolute
 reference frame for clumped isotope studies of CO₂, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 75, 7117–7131,
 2011.
- 526 Diffenbaugh, N.S., and Field, C.B.: Changes in ecologically critical terrestrial climate
 527 conditions, Science, 341, 486–492, 2013.
- 528 Diffenbaugh, N.S., Singh, D., Mankin, J.S., Horton, D.E., Swain, D.L., Touma, D., Charland, A.,
- Liu, Y., Haugen, M., Tsiang, M., and Rajaratnam, B.: Quantifying the influence of global
- warming on unprecedented extreme climate events, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114, 4881–4886,
 2017.





- 532 Driese, S.G., Peppe, D.J., Beverly, E.J., DiPietro, L.M., Arellano, L.N., and Lehmann, T.:
- 533 Paleosols and paleoenvironments of the early Miocene deposits near Karunga, Lake Victoria, 534 Karunga, Balaaagaagar, Paleosol, 443, 167, 182, 2016
- 534Kenya, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 443, 167–182, 2016.
- 535 Eiler, J.M.: Clumped-isotope geochemistry The study of naturally-occurring, multiply-
- substituted isotopologues, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 262, 309–327, 2007.
- Eiler, J.M.: Paleoclimate reconstruction using carbonate clumped isotope thermometry: Quat.
 Sci. Rev., 30, 3575–3588, 2011.
- Eldrett, J.S., Greenwood, D.R., Polling, M., Brinkhuis, H., and Sluijs, A.: A seasonality trigger
 for carbon injection at the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum, Clim. Past, 10, 759–769, 2014.
- 541 Evans, D., Sagoo, N., Renema, W., Cotton, L.J., Muller, W., Todd, J.A., Saraswati, P.K.,
- 542 Stassen, P., Ziegler, M., Pearson, P.N., Valdes, P.J., and Affek, H.P.: Eocene greenhouse climate
- revealed by coupled clumped isotope-Mg/Ca thermometry, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 1174–
 1179, 2018.
- Fang, J., Wang, Z., and Tang, Z.: Atlas of woody plants in China: Distribution and climate,
 Springer-Verlag (Beijing, CH), 1909 pp., 2011.
- 547 Frantz, C.M., Petryshyn, V.A., Marenco, P.J., Tripatic, A., Berelson, W.M., and Corsetti, F.A.:
- 548 Dramatic local environmental change during the Early Eocene Climatic Optimum detected using
- 549 high-resolution chemical analyses of Green River stromatolites, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 405, 1-
- 550 15, 2014.
- 551 Gallagher, T.M., and Sheldon, N.D.: A new paleothermometer for forest paleosols and its
- implications for Cenozoic climate, Geology, 41, 647–650, 2013.
- 553 Gallagher, T.M., and Sheldon, N.D.: Combining soil water balance and clumped isotopes to
- understand the nature and timing of pedogenic carbonate formation, Chem. Geol., 435, 79–91,
 2016.
- 556 Garzione, C.A., Auerbach, D.J., Smith, J.J., Rosario, J.J., Passey, B.H., Jordan, T.E., and Eiler,
- J.M.: Clumped isotope evidence for diachronous surface cooling of the Altiplano and pulsed
 surface uplift of the Central Andes, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 393, 173–181, 2014.
- 559 Ghosh, P., Adkins, J., Affek, H., Balta, B., Guo, W., Schauble, E., Schrag, D., and Eiler, J.: ¹³C-¹⁸O bonds in carbonate minerals: a new kind of paleothermometer, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.,
- 561 70, 1439–1456, 2006.
- Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF): Open Access Biodiversity Data: <u>http://gbif.org</u>
 (last accessed July 2016).
- Greenwood, D.R., and Wing, S.L.: Eocene continental climates and latitudinal temperature
 gradients, Geology, 23, 1044–1048, 1995.
- Greenwood, D.R., Archibald, S.B., Mathewes, R.W., and Moss, P.T.: Fossil biotas from the
- 567 Okanagan Highlands, southern British Columbia and northeastern Washington State: Climates
- and ecosystems across an Eocene landscape, Can. J. Earth Sci., 42, 167–185, 2005.





- Greenwood, D.R., Keefe, R.L., Reichgelt, T., and Webb, J.A.: Eocene paleobotanical altimetry
 of Victoria's Eastern Uplands, Aus. J. Earth Sci., 64, 625–637, 2017.
- 571 Grimm, G.W., and Denk, T.: Reliability and resolution of the coexistence approach- A
- revalidation using modern day data, Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno., 172, 33–47, 2012.
- 573 Grimm, G.W., and Potts, A.: Fallacies and fantasies: the theoretical underpinnings of the
- coexistence approach for paleoclimate reconstructions, Clim. Past, 12, 611–622, 2016.
- 575 Guo, W., and Eiler, J.M.: Temperatures of aqueous alteration and evidence for methane
- generation on the parent bodies of the CM chondrites, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 71, 5565–
 5575, 2007.
- 578 Harbert, R., and Nixon, K.: Climate reconstruction analysis using coexistence likelihood
- estimation (CRACLE): A method for the estimation of climate using vegetation, Am. J. Bot.,
 102, 1277–1289, 2015.
- He, B., Olack, G., and Colman, A.: Pressure baseline correction and high-precision CO₂ clumped isotope (Δ_{47}) analysis by gas-source isotope ratio mass spectrometry, J. Mass Spectrom., 44, 1318–1329, 2012.
- Hickey, L.: Stratigraphy and paleobotany of the Golden Valley Formation (early Tertiary) of
 western North Dakota, Geol. Soc. Am. Mem., 150, 183 pp., 1977.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., and Jarvis, A.: Very high resolution
 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas, Intl. J. Climatol., 25, 1965–1978, 2005.
- Hough, B.G., Fan, M., and Passey, B.H.: Calibration of the clumped isotope geothermometer in
- soil carbonate in Wyoming and Nebraska, USA: Implications for paleoelevation and
- paleoclimate reconstruction, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 391, 110–120, 2014.
- Huber, M., and Caballero, R.: The early Eocene equable climate problem revisited, Clim. Past, 7,
 603–633, 2011.
- Huntington, K.W., Eiler, J.M., Affek, H.P., Guo, W., Bonifacie, M., Yeung, L.Y., Thiagarajan,
- 594 N., Passey, B., Tripati, A., Daeron, M., and Came, R.: Methods and limitations of clumped CO₂
- isotope (Δ_{47}) analysis by gas-source isotope ratio mass spectrometry, J. Mass Spectrom., 44, 1318–1329, 2009.
- Hyland, E.G., and Sheldon, N.D.: Coupled CO₂-climate response during the Early Eocene
 Climatic Optimum, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclim., 369, 125–135, 2013.
- Hyland, E.G., Sheldon, N.D., and Cotton, J.M.: Constraining the early Eocene climatic optimum:
 A terrestrial interhemispheric comparison: Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 129, 244–252, 2017.
- 601 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Fourth Assessment Report: Climate
- 602 Change (AR4), In Pachuari, R.K., and Reisinger, A. (Eds.), IPCC (Geneva, SWI), 104 pp., 2007.
- 603 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Fifth Assessment Report: Climate Change
- 604 (AR5), In Pachuari, R.K., and Meyer, L.A. (Eds.), IPCC (Geneva, SWI), 151 pp., 2014.





- Jagniecki, E.A., Lowenstein, T.K., Jenkins, D.M., and Demicco, R.V.: Eocene atmospheric CO₂
 from the nahcolite proxy, Geology, 43, 1075–1078, 2015.
- Jordan, G.J.: Uncertainty in paleoclimatic reconstructions based on leaf physiognomy, Aus. J.
 Bot., 45, 527–547, 1997.
- Kelson, J., Huntington, K.W., Schauer, A., Saenger, C., and Lechler, A.: Toward a universal
- 610 carbonate clumped isotope calibration: Diverse synthesis and preparatory methods suggest a
- single temperature relationship, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 197, 104–131, 2017.
- Kluge, T., John, C., Jourdan, A., Davis, S., and Crawshaw, J.: Laboratory calibration of the
- calcium carbonate clumped isotope thermometer in the 25 250°C temperature range, Geochim.
- 614 Cosmochim. Ac., 157, 213–227, 2015.
- Koch, P.L.: Isotopic reconstruction of past continental environments, Annu. Rev. Earth Plant.
 Sci., 26, 573–623, 1998.
- Lunt, D., Jones, T., Heinemann, M., Huber, M., LeGrande, A., Winguth, A., Loptson, C.,
- Marotzke, J., Roberts, C., Tindall, J., Valdes, P., and Winguth, C.: A model-data comparison for
 a multi-model ensemble of early Eocene atmosphere-ocean simulations: EoMIP, Clim. Past, 8,
 1717–1736, 2012.
- MacGinitie, H.D.: The Eocene Green River Flora of northwestern Colorado and northeastern
 Utah, University of California Press (Berkeley, CA), 201 pp., 1969.
- Manchester, S., and Dilcher, D.: Pterocaryoid fruits in the Paleogene of North America and their
 evolutionary and biogeographic significance, Am. J. Bot., 69, 275–286, 1982.
- Manchester, S.R.: Revisions to Roland Brown's North American Paleocene flora, Ac. Mus. Natl.
 Prag., 70, 153–210, 2014.
- 627 McInerney, F.A., and Wing, S.L.: The Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: A perturbation of
- carbon cycle, climate and biosphere with implications for the future, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet.
 Sci., 39, 489–516, 2011.
- 630 Michel, L.A., Peppe, D.J., Lutz, J.A., Driese, S.G., Dunsworth, H.M., Harcourt-Smith, W.,
- Horner, W.H., Lehmann, T., Nightingale, S., and McNulty, K.P.: Remnants of an ancient forest
- provide ecological context for Early Miocene fossil apes, Nat. Commun., 5, 3236, 2014.
- 633 Mosbrugger, V., and Utescher, T.: The coexistence approach- a method for quantitative
- reconstructions of tertiary terrestrial palaeoclimate data using plant fossils, Palaeogeogr.
 Palaeocl., 134, 61–86, 1997.
- 636 National Climatic Data Center (NCDC): United States Climate Normals, 1981–2010:
- 637 Climatography of the US, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
- 638 <u>http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data#normals</u> (last accessed April 2015).
- Pal, J.S., et al. (19 others): The ICTP RegCM3 and RegCNET: Regional climate modeling for
- the developing world, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 88, 1395–1409, 2007.





- 641 Passey, B.H., Levin, N., Cerling, T.E., Brown, F., and Eiler, J.: High-temperature environments
- of human evolution in East Africa based on bond ordering in paleosol carbonates, P. Natl. Acad.
 Sci. USA, 107, 11245–11249, 2010.
- Peppe, D.J., Royer, D.L., Wilf, P., and Kowalski, E.: Quantification of large uncertainties in
 fossil leaf paleoaltimetry, Tectonics, 29, TC3015, 2010.
- Peppe, D.J.: Hot summers in continental interiors: The case against equability during the early
 Paleogene, Geology, 41, 95–96, 2013.
- 648 Peters, N., Huntington, K.W., and Hoke, G.: Hot or not? Impact of seasonally variable soil
- carbonate formation on paleotemperature and O-isotope records from clumped isotopethermometry, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 361, 208–218, 2013.
- 651 Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCDMI): Bias corrected and
- downscaled World Climate Research Programme's Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
- phase 5 (CMIP5) climate projections, <u>http://gdo-dcp.ucllnl.org/downscaled_cmip_projections/</u>,
 2014.
- Quade, J., Eiler, J., Daeron, M., and Achyuthan, H.: The clumped isotope geothermometer in soil
 and paleosol carbonate, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 105, 92–100, 2013.
- R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing: Foundation for
 Statistical Computing (Vienna, AT), https://www.r-project.org, 2013.
- 659 Reichgelt, T., Kennedy, E.M., Conran, J.G., Mildenhall, D.C., and Lee, D.E.: The early Miocene
- paleolake Manuherikia: vegetation heterogeneity and warm-temperate to subtropical climate in
- southern New Zealand, J. Paleolimnol., 53, 349–365, 2015.
- Retallack, G.J.: Cenozoic paleoclimate on land in North America, J. Geol., 115, 271-294, 2007.
- Ringham, M.C., Hoke, G.D., Huntington, K.W., and Aranibar, J.N.: Influence of vegetation type
- and site-to-site variability on soil carbonate clumped isotope records, Andean piedmont of
 Central Argentina (32–34 °S), Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 440, 1–11, 2016.
- Roe, G.H., and Baker, M.B.: Why is climate sensitivity so unpredictable?, Science, 318, 629–
 632, 2007.
- 668 Sarg, J.F., Suriamin, H., Tanavsuu-Milkeviciene, K., and Humphrey, J.D.: Lithofacies, stable
- 669 isotopic composition, and stratigraphic evolution of microbial and associated carbonates, Green
- River Formation (Eocene), Am. Assc. Petr. Geol. Bull, 97, 1937–1966, 2013.
- 671 Schauble, E.A., Ghosh, P., and Eiler, J.M.: Preferential formation of ¹³C-¹⁸O bonds in carbonate
- materials estimated using first-principle lattice dynamics, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 70, 2510–
 2529, 2006.
- 674 Schauer, A.J., Kelson, J., Saenger, C., and Huntington, K.W.: Choice of ¹⁷O correction affects
- 675 clumped isotope (Δ_{47}) values of CO₂ measured with mass spectrometry, R. Comm. Mass
- 676 Spectrom., 30, 2607–2616, 2016.





- 677 Sewall, J., and Sloan, L.: Come a little bit closer: A high-resolution climate study of the early
- 678 Paleogene Laramide foreland, Geology, 34, 81–84, 2006.
- 679 Sheldon, N.D., and Tabor, N.J.: Quantitative paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic
- reconstruction using paleosols, Earth Sci. Rev., 95, 1–52, 2009.
- 681 Sheldon, N.D., Retallack, G.J., and Tanaka, S.: Geochemical climofunctions from North
- American soils and application to paleosols across the Eocene-Oligocene boundary in Oregon, J.
 Geol., 110, 687–696, 2002.
- Sloan, L.C.: Equable climates during the early Eocene: Significance of regional paleogeography
 for North American climate, Geology, 22, 881–884, 1994.
- Sloan, L.C., and Barron, E.: "Equable" climates during Earth history, Geology, 18, 489–492,
 1990.
- Smith, M.E., Carroll, A.R., and Singer, B.S.: Synoptic reconstruction of a major ancient lake
 system: Eocene Green River, western United States, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 120, 54–84, 2008.
- 690 Smith, M.E., Chamberlain, K.R., Singer, B.S., and Carroll, A.R.: Eocene clocks agree: coeval
- ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar, U-Pb, and astronomical ages from the Green River Formation, Geology, 38, 527–530, 2010.
- Smith, M.E., Carroll, A.R., Scott, J.J., and Singer, B.S.: Early Eocene carbon isotope excursions
 and landscape destabilization at eccentricity minima: Green River Formation of Wyoming, Earth
 Planet. Sc. Lett., 403, 393–406, 2014.
- 696 Smith, M.E., Carroll, A.R., and Scott, J.J.: Stratigraphic expression of climate tectonism, and
- 697 geomorphic forcing in an underfilled lake basin: Wilkins Peak Member of the Green River
- Formation, In Smith, M.E. and Carroll, A.R. (Eds.), Stratigraphy and Paleolimnology of the
 Green River Formation, Springer (Dordrecht, NE), 61–102, 2015.
- Smithsonian Institution Museum of Natural History Paleobiology (SIMNHP): Paleobiology
 Database, Smithsonian Institution (Washington, DC), http://www.nmnh.si.edu/, 2015.
- Snell, K.E., Thrasher, B.L., Eiler, J.M., Koch, P.L., Sloan, L.C., and Tabor, N.J.: Hot summers in
 the Bighorn Basin during the early Paleogene, Geology, 41, 55–58, 2013.
- Suarez, M.B., Passey, B.H., and Kaakinen, A.: Paleosol carbonate multiple isotopologue
- signature of active East Asian summer monsoons during the late Miocene and Pliocene,
 Geology, 39, 1151–1154, 2011.
- Spicer, R.A., and Parrish, J.T.: Late Cretaceous–early Tertiary paleoclimates of northern high
 latitude: a quantitative view, J. Geol. Soc., 147, 329–341, 1990.
- 709 Spicer, R.A., Herman, A.B., Liao, W., Spicer, T.E.V., Kodrul, T.M., Yang, J., and Jin, J.: Cool
- 710 tropics in the Middle Eocene: Evidence from the Changchang Flora, Hainan Island, China,
- 711 Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 412, 1–16, 2014.





- 712 Stinchcomb, G.E., Nordt, L.C., Driese, S.G., Lukens, W.E., Williamson, F.C., and Tubbs, J.D.:
- A data-driven spline model designed to predict paleoclimate using paleosol geochemistry, Am. J.
 Sci., 316, 746–777, 2016.
- 715 Takeuchi, A., Larson, P.B., and Suzuki, K.: Influence of paleorelief on the Mid-Miocene climate
- variation in southeastern Washington, northeastern Oregon, and western Idaho, USA,
- 717 Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 254, 462-476, 2007.
- Thompson, R.S., Anderson, K.H., Pelltier, R.T., Strickland, L.E., Bartlein, P.J., and Shafer, S.L.:
- 719 Quantitative estimation of climatic parameters from vegetation data in North America by the
- mutual climatic range technique, Quat. Sci. Rev., 51, 18–39, 2012.
- Thrasher, B.L., and Sloan, L.C.: Carbon dioxide and the early Eocene climate of western North
- 722 America, Geology, 37, 807–810, 2009.
- Thrasher, B.L., and Sloan, L.C.: Land cover influences on the regional climate of western North
 America during the early Eocene, Global Planet. Change, 72, 25–31, 2010.
- TROPICOS: Global Plant Database: Missouri Botanical Garden (St. Louis, MO),
 <u>http://www.tropicos.org//</u>, 2015.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA): The PLANTS Database: NRCS National
 Plant Data Team (Greensboro, NC), http://plants.usda.gov, 2015.
- 729 Utescher, T., Bruch, A., Erdei, B., Francois, L., Ivanov, D., Jacques, F., Kern, A., Liu, Y.,
- 730 Mossbrugger, V., and Spicer, R.: The Coexistence Approach- Theoretical background and
- practical considerations of using plant fossils for climate quantification, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl.,
 10, 58–73, 2014.
- 733 Wang, Q., Ferguson, D.K., Feng, G., Ablaev, A.G., Wang, Y., Yang, J., Li, Y., and Li, C.:
- Climatic change during the Palaeocene to Eocene based on fossil plants from Fushun China,
 Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 295, 323–331, 2010.
- 736 West, C.K., Greenwood, D.R., and Basinger, J.F.: Was the Arctic Eocene 'rainforest' monsoonal?
- 737 Estimates of seasonal precipitation from early Eocene megafloras from Ellesmere Island,
- 738 Nunavut, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 427, 18–30, 2015.
- 739 Wilf, P.: Using fossil plants to understand global change: Evidence for Paleocene-Eocene
- 740 warming in the greater Green River Basin of southwestern Wyoming, University of
- 741 Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA), 384 pp., 1998.
- 742 Wilf, P.: Late Paleocene-early Eocene climate changes in southwestern Wyoming:
- 743 Paleobotanical analysis, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 112, 292–307, 2000.
- 744 Wing, S.L.: Late Paleocene-early Eocene floral and climatic change in the Bighorn Basin,
- Wyoming, In Aubrey, M., Lucas, S., and Berggren, W. (Eds.), Columbia University Press (New York, NY), 380–400, 1998.
- 747 Wolfe, J.A.: A paleobotanical interpretation of Tertiary climates in the Northern Hemisphere,
- 748 Am. J. Sci., 66, 691–703, 1978.





- 749 Wolfe, J.A.: Paleoclimatic estimates from Tertiary leaf assemblages: Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 23, 119-142, 1995. 750
- Wolfe, J.A., and Wehr, W.: Middle Eocene dicotyledonous plants from Republic, northeastern 751 Washington, USGS Bull., 1597, 67 pp., 1987. 752
- Wolfe, J.A., Forest, C.E., and Molnar, P.: Paleobotanical evidence of Eocene and Oligocene 753
- paleoaltitudes in midlatitude western North America, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 110, 664-678, 1998. 754
- Zaarur, S., Affek, H.P., and Brandon, M.: A revised calibration of the clumped isotope 755 756 thermometer, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 382, 47-57, 2013.
- 757 Zachos, J., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E., and Billups, K.: Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to Present, Science, 292, 686-693, 2001. 758
- Zachos, J.C., Dickens, G.R., and Zeebe, R.E.: An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse 759 warming and carbon-cycle dynamics, Nature, 451, 279-283, 2008. 760
- Zamanian, K., Pustovoytov, K., and Kuzyakov, Y.: Pedogenic carbonates: Forms and formation 761 762
- processes, Earth Sci. Rev., 157, 1-17, 2016.





763 Figure Captions.

764	Figure 1. Map and stratigraphy of the Green River Basin. A) Map of the region, showing major
765	sedimentary basins and topographic highs. Stars show proxy record sampling sites (paleosols in
766	yellow, paleoflora in red), and dashed box is the sampling region for modern climate stations and
767	the downscaling domain for both models. CF = Cordilleran fold-thrust belt, UU = Uinta uplift,
768	WR = Wind River uplift, OC = Owl Creek uplift, GM = Granite Mountains, FR = Front Range.
769	B) Simplified stratigraphy of the central to eastern GRB, showing facies for the Green River
770	Formation (GRF) and the equivalent and interfingering Wasatch Formation (WF) based on the
771	work of Smith et al. (2015) and Hyland and Sheldon (2013). LY = Lysitean, BF = Blacksforkian,
772	LU = Luman Member, NT = Niland Tongue, TM = Tipton Member, WPM = Wilkins Peak
773	Member, LA = Laney Member, RR = Ramsey Ranch Member, CB = Cathedral Bluffs Member.
774	
775	Figure 2. Paleosol carbonate descriptions. A) Paired transmitted light and cathodoluminescence
776	(CL) images of carbonate nodules showing primary micrite in sampled nodules (I-II) and
777	diagenetically altered material in unsampled nodules (III-IV). Images taken on a Premier ELM-
778	3R Luminoscope at 8–10 kV, 0.5 mA, and 6.6–13.3 Pa with preset 1 s exposure; scale bars ~50
779	μ m. B) Clumped isotope-based soil temperature profiles from discrete layers sampled within
780	analyzed paleosol exemplars. Profile HB-129 contained nodular carbonate layers at 20-30 cm,
781	50-65 cm, and 80-100 cm; Profile HB-187 contained nodular carbonate layers at 150-170 cm,
700	
/82	190–205 cm, and 240–260 cm.

Figure 3. Floral methods description. A) Probability density functions of hypothetical Taxa A
and B along climatic variable X to form a PDF representative of the maximum likelihood of co-





786	occurrence. B) Hypothetical climatic envelope of Taxon Q with climatic variables X and Y,
787	where point R occurs outside the envelope of Taxon Q but within its range of both variables
788	(creating a false inclusion of point R). C) Probability density function distributions for seasonal
789	temperatures from sampled paleofloral sites, where arrows indicate calculated mean
790	temperatures for each parameter.
791	
792	Figure 4. Temperature proxy estimates of CMMT (white), MAT (gray), and WMMT (black)
793	through the early Eocene. Triangles represent paleobotanical coexistence estimates, squares
794	represent paleosol geochemistry estimates, stars represent revised paleobotanical physiognomy
795	estimates, and circles represent clumped isotope estimates. Error bars represent PDF 2σ
796	(paleobotanical coexistence), root mean squared error (PPM _{1.0} paleosol geochemistry),
797	calibration standard error (paleobotanical physiognomy), and propagated analytical/calibration
798	error (clumped isotopes). Shading highlights peak EECO conditions based on previous work
799	(e.g., Hyland et al., 2017), and dashed line highlights exclusion of two data points (see
800	Discussion). Estimates of peak EECO (51 \pm 0.5 Ma) and non-peak EECO MART are defined as
801	described in Table 1 and the Discussion, with MAT shown by vertical lines. Modern MART and
802	MAT are from averaged climate normals for NOAA weather stations in the GRB (NCDC, 2010).
803	
804	Figure 5. Averaged monthly mean temperatures in the GRB, including: modern instrumental
805	data (filled black circles; NCDC, 2010); high (red squares; RCP8.5) and low (red circles;
806	RCP4.5) future emissions scenarios (PCDMI, 2014); high (blue squares; HiCO) and low (blue
807	circles; LoCO) early Eocene pCO ₂ scenarios (Thrasher and Sloan, 2009; 2010); and proxy
808	reconstructions of WMMT and CMMT for non-peak (filled triangles) and peak EECO (open





- triangles) from this study. Method-averaged MART estimates shown for each category
- 810 (symbols/colors match main panel).
- 811
- 812 **Table 1.** Comparison of Eocene MART estimates using different constraining temperatures and
- 813 calculation methods.
- 814
- 815
- 816 Supplement.
- 817 A. Paleosol Data
- 818 *Table A.1.* Paleosol geochemistry data
- 819 **B. Isotope Data**
- 820 *Table B.1.* Clumped isotope data summary
- 821 *Table B.2* Clumped isotope data full
- 822 C. Floral Data
- 823 *Table C.1.* Floral lists and NLR data
- 824 *Table C.2.* Climatic envelopes for plant taxa
- 825 *Table C.3.* Mean annual temperature estimates
- 826 **D. Modeling Data**
- 827 Table D.1. Modern climate data and model outputs





Figure 1.







Figure 2.





35





Figure 3.







Average MART





Figure 5.







Table 1.

Interval	CMMT [*]	MAT [*]	WMMT*	MARTT [†]	MARTC [†]	MARTW[†]
peak EECO (50.5 - 51.5 Ma)		15.4°C	28.2°C			26°C (4)
non-peak EECO (53.5 - 51.5 Ma & 50.5 - 49.5 Ma)	5.9°C	15.6°C	26.8°C	22°C (1)	21°C (1)	23°C (1)

MARTT = WMMT-CMMT

MARTC = (MAT-CMMT)×2

MARTW = (WMMT-MAT) \times 2

Average of all available temperature proxy data across indicated time interval.
 Average MART estimate for each calculation method, number in parentheses is S.D. of calculation group.