

Interactive comment on “A reconstruction of warm water inflow to Upernavik Isstrøm since AD 1925 and its relation to glacier retreat” by Flor Vermassen et al.

Alberto Reyes (Editor)

areyes@ualberta.ca

Received and published: 4 March 2019

Dear Dr. Vermassen and co-authors,

The discussion period for your manuscript is now over, and two reviewers have posted comments. Both reviewers were positive about the manuscript and the scientific value of the underlying research question. The reviewers made several constructive suggestions for presentation and critical consideration of the data, as well as some points to consider in interpreting your data. There is also some concern expressed about overlap with your manuscript currently under consideration with JQS, which could potentially be addressed with some additions to the Fig 3 plots. My sense is that you can

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



address the concerns of the reviewers with relatively minor revision.

Please respond to the reviewer comments in the online Discussion forum. If you make any changes to your manuscript, please clearly indicate the nature of these changes in your response. Once I have reviewed your response, I fully anticipate inviting you to submit a revised manuscript for a final decision.

Sincerely, Alberto Reyes

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2018-174>, 2019.

CPD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

