Dear Editor, in the following I explain the modifications of the manuscript cp-2018-170 entitled "The climate of Granada (southern Spain) during the first third of the 18th century (1706-1730) according to documentary sources" (reviewers' comments in bold).

Editor

I would also highly appreciate it if you add one picture of Navarrete's manuscript in the source description.

Done, I have included the new Figure 1 with the cover page of the book.

Referee #1

1) The summary reflects the content of the article but should perhaps more clearly highlight its main contribution and novelty, i.e. applying a methodology alternative to Pfister-indices to a new and original set of documentary data.

Done (page 1, line 11 of the revised version):

'A methodology alternative to Pfister-indices, based on the frequency of extreme events, was applied to study this new set of documentary data'.

2) Documentary data are available for a period inferior to three decades. As climatological normals are used as baseline to evaluate climate events and provide context for year-to-year variability, is it a weakness for analysis and statistical comparisons?

This question was answered in the reply during the open discussion. In the revised versión of the manuscript this question in briefly answered (page 4, lines 14-16):

'This methodology does not try to provide the year-to-year variability but the general characteristics of the studied period. This is a weakness of the analysis, although it is possible to reconstruct this inter-annual variability when documentary and instrumental periods are consecutive (Rodrigo et al., 2012)'

The length of the period (25 years, inferior to three decades) is not a serious problem. In fact, the last published report of the IPCC (IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp) shows projections of average temperature and precipitation under different scenarios for 2081-2100 relative to the reference period 1986-2005, that is, using 20-years periods.

3) Before using the methodology alternative to Pfister-indices, would it not be useful to establish the robustness of Navarrete's observations by comparing the indices drawn from his work with other available series?

Done (page 3, lines 15-20):

'Some of these extreme seasons are confirmed by other data sources. So, for instance, cold winters 1709, 1729, and 1730 have been reported in other Spanish cities, as Tortosa, Seville, and Alicante (Alberola Romá, 2014), as well as the drought during the 1720s decade, in Jerez de la Frontera (AHVM, 1722), Arcos de la Frontera (ACAF, 1723), and Sevilla (Zúñiga, 1747), where *pro-pluvia* rogations were celebrated. According to Domínguez-Castro et al. (2010), droughts in Spain from early 18th century to 1730s are very scarce and their extension is very limited, except precisely in 1724, coinciding with the observations by Navarrete.'

4) In the same logic, after application of the method proposed by Rodrigo (2008), would a cross comparison between the reconstruction made and another series (1906-1930 and 1976-2000) not be useful to strenghten the evidence?

Done (page 5, lines 16-25, and Tables 4 and 5):

'Results are compared with the corresponding values of two 25-year periods in the 20th century, 1906-1930, and 1976-2000, when the warming signal is very different. To obtain a best view of this comparison, Table 5 shows the statistics corresponding to these periods. According to these results, seasonal mean temperatures during 1706-1730 were very similar to those during 1906-1930, even slightly warmer, and lesser (except in summer) than temperatures during 1976-2000, around 0.7 °C in winter, 0.4 °C in spring, and 1 °C in autumn. Standard deviations of temperature during 1706-1730 was similar to 1906-1930, and lesser than that of 1976-2000, suggesting smaller variability in the past. Total rainfall shows values very similar in autumn for the three periods, slightly wetter conditions in spring during 1706-1730 and 1906-1930, and slightly wetter conditions in winter of 1706-1730 in comparison with 1906-1930. Variability of rainfall in 1706-1730 is similar to that in 1976-2000, except in spring, characterized during 1976-2000 by drier conditions'.

5) Is the observation program established by Navarrete in 1737 original and how does it fit into the cultural context of the time?

This question is answered in page 2, lines 26-29:

'This program was based on the main ideas of the neo-Hippocratic hypothesis, which was the predominant medical paradigm during the 18th century in Spain. Unfortunately, this program was not accomplished due to the lack of interest of the authorities, although it was partially recovered at the last decades of the century by the medical academies of Seville, Madrid, and Barcelona (Anduaga Egaña, 2012).'

6) - Page 2, line 25: "Precursor" or "Archetype" rather "A precedent"?

Done (page 2, line 30)

7) Page 4, line 28: "All the correlation coefficients were significant at the 95% confidence level." A statement to reformulate?

Precisely, the statistical significance of correlation coefficients allows trust in the validity of the method, at least from a statistical point of view.

8) Page 8, line 1: "a period of certain recovery", is the medical metaphor relevant? Perhaps "transition to a new phase after the cold Maunder Minimum period."?

Done (page 9, lines 5-6).

9) Page 8, lines 9-10: A stronger conclusion would be useful to highlight the contribution of the article on a poorly documented period for Spain?

This comment has been included in the new section 5. Discussion (page 8, lines 2-5):

'In this work we have reconstructed the climatic mean conditions of a poorly documented period for Spain (the first third of the 18th century) in Granada (southern Spain) using documentary data. To date, there have been few attempts to reconstruct temperatures in the Iberian Peninsula, due to the scarcity of information (Bullón, 2008). Therefore, this work represents a new contribution to reconstruct historical temperatures in Spain'.

10) Table 1: Addition of a temporal comparison with another space or very precises rogations series available (https://www.clim-past-discuss.net/cp-2018-67/ for exemple) is perhaps relevant?

The manuscript quoted by the referee (Tejedor et al., 2018) is focused on the study of droughts in northeastern Spain, where climatic conditions are different to those of southern Spain. Tejedor et al. define an annual drought index, and show that the period 1706-1717 had low values of this index in northeast Iberian Peninsula, coinciding with the absence of dry seasons in Granada those years (Table 1). In any case, the manuscript by Tejedor et al. is now under review and I have preferred not use their results because they still can modify their methods and results.

Referee #2

1)Although it is well written, I think the text should be proof- read by a native English speaker.

The new versión of the manuscript has been revised by a native English speaker.

2)some more details about the data are needed. p.2, l.32 – Describe the qualitative data more accurately. Which type of "summary"? Specify the "climatic conditions" referred to by the author p. 2, l. 33-35 . From your sentence beginning with "We establish" and ending with "Year", I would like to confirm that qualitative information only refers to certain years (as 1727 indicated on p. 3?). If so, this should be stated more clearly in the text.

Done. In section 2 (page 2, lines 36-39) it is said that

'The chapter XVI is entitled 'Medical observations for the knowledge of climate'. Here, the author offers, at monthly and/or seasonal resolution, a summary of climatic conditions (rainfall, dryness, snowfalls, frosts, warm or cold weather, winds) from 1706 to 1730 in Granada, as well as their relationships with the appearance of illness in the city, following the neo-Hippocratic paradigm'.

And in section 3 (page 3, lines 4-9):

'The chapter XVI of the book by Navarrete (pages 105-107 of the manuscript) is dedicated to expose the 'alterations of health due to mutations of the air, and general causes obtained from the long observation and practical knowledge of the country'. Here, the author establishes relationships between different diseases and climatic conditions. <u>Qualitative information only refers to certain years, when extreme events occurred.</u> So, for instance, in the thirteen paragraph (page 106v), he says that "If cold, rain and snowfalls continue until May: difficult births, chest pains, and dangerous anginas: year 1727". This paragraph allows to characterize the spring of 1727 as wet and cold.'

3)Referring to instrumental data, couldn't you give more details? Or present a table as an example of one of Navarrete's? Or a facsimile as supplementary material? It is not indispensable but it would help the reader. Where in Granada were Navarrete's instruments placed?

More details are given in page 5, lines 33-40, including as example the information related to August 1729. Instead of adding supplementary material or a new table, and to avoid enlarge excessively the manuscript, the reader can access to the data file referenced:

'information is not presented tabulated, but as monthly summaries, indicating characteristic values or corresponding to critical moments, and not cover in detail all the days of the period. Sometimes, he adds comments on winds and other meteorological events (fog, cloudiness), and he indicates the number of rainy days of some months. So, for instance, for August 1729 he indicates that 'August began with warm weather, the day 2 the thermometer indicated 34, and a southern wind flew. Day 8 the thermometer increased two lines, from 38 to 40, during the total lunar eclipse, which was at one. Day 14 seemed the warmest day of the year, however the thermometer indicated 37, and from day 18 onwards there were slight northern winds, and the temperature decreased to 46'. This information was tabulated for analysis and may be found in Rodrigo (2018, NavarreteData.xlsx., page Gr1728-1730).'

In relation to instruments location, I indicate (page 6, lines 1-2) that

'There is no information about the installation of the instruments or the exact time at which readings were taken'

4)p.3, l.18 – . . . "The risk is to consider that these events were the 'normal' conditions." I am afraid that I do not agree with this sentence. It is well known that in documentary data mostly extreme weather episodes are recorded and usual conditions are not mentioned, as C. Pfister wrote when he first presented this methodology (e.g. Pfister 1992, reference in Brázdil, 2005). That is why for certain years/seasons/months there are no data, as I understand happens with your documentary data. I suggest that you state more clearly the advantages of this methodology in relation to Pfister's indices.

Done, page 4, lines 16-28, including a new reference (Rutherford et al., 1995):

'However, this methodology has advantages in comparison with the standard indices methodology. First, ordinal indices may be skewed by the subjectivity of the authors in original sources, and/or by the interpretation of the researcher of descriptions in the sources. In addition, ordinal indices are often based on the impact of the events on the socioeconomic infrastructures (for example, destruction of bridges during a river flood, loss of harvests, etc), and these impacts may change in different historical periods. The risk here is to consider as heavy extremes certain events that show the vulnerability of the system more than the extreme character of climate variables. The method followed is not based on the severity of the phenomena, and, in

consequence, at least to a certain degree, avoids these problems. In second place, it does not need an overlapping period with instrumental data, which is necessary to calibrate and validate indices, and to reconstruct a climate variable. There is a third problem of statistical nature: the calibration of indices normally is made using a regression procedure between proxy data (indices) and instrumental data during an overlapping period. From a statistical point of view, the consequence is the loss of variance of the reconstructed series, a problem that normally is solved using an 'inflation factor' to correct the reconstructed series (Rutherford et al., 1995). With this method, in principle, it is not necessary to introduce this mathematical artefact'.

5)Results The comparison of the average and standard deviation of the reconstructed period (1706-1730) to those of two periods of the 20th century of different temperature signal gives very interesting results, particularly for temperature where data from the reconstructed period are more similar to the beginning of the 20th century, a cooler period that occurred before the 20th century warming. p.5, l.7 – The "Maunder Minimum" ending date is 1715, so the period you are studying is only partly "subsequent to the cold Minimum Maunder". Suggestions: write "the coldest years of the Maunder Minimum in Central and Northern Europe". Do not forget your studied period includes very cold years, such as 1709. And if you look at your Table 1, most of the other cold seasons you have detected are not from the beginning of the period: they occur between 1723 and 1730 (except for summer 1710). Could the coldest period have ended later in Southern than in Northern Europe? In Portugal (Taborda et al, 2004) the two first decades of the 18th century were very cold. This could be discussed.

Done (page 8, lines 12-13):

'We must note that the period 1706-1730 is immediately subsequent to the coldest years of the Maunder Minimum in Central and Northern Europe.'

In relation to the discussion proposed by the referee, see the comments in page 8 lines 5-19, including new references (Taborda et al., 2004, suggested by the referee, and Rodrigo, 2018b):

'Results suggest that during 1706-1730 temperatures were very similar to those of the first decades of the 20th century, when the warming signal may be considered very small in comparison with the last decades of the 20th century. This result contrasts with the analysis by Taborda et al (2004) on southern Portugal, where the two first decades of the 18th century were very cold. A possible explanation may be the variation of climate conditions from west to east in southern Iberian Peninsula. The climate of Granada is characterized by a diminishing of the Atlantic mechanisms that affect southwestern Iberian Peninsula, and strengthening influence of the Mediterranean mechanisms. The convenience of distinguish between western and eastern stations (particularly in winter) was highlighted in a previous work (Rodrigo, 2018b). We must note that the period 1706-1730 is immediately subsequent to the coldest years of the Maunder Minimum in Central and Northern Europe. Luterbacher *et al*. (2004, 2007) and Xoplaki *et al*. (2005) found a warming trend in European winter and spring temperatures from the late Maunder Minimum, culminating in the late 1730s. On the other hand, the mean value of the autumn temperature in Central England between 1729 and 1738 was 10.5 °C, equal to that recorded during 1991-2000 (Jones and Briffa, 2006). Warming from the markedly cold decade of the 1690s to the 1730s is probably due to the scarcity of major explosive volcanic eruptions from the early 1700s compared to the previous two decades (Jones and Briffa, 2006). If there were differences between southern and northern Europe is an open question, but our results suggest that temperature trends in Granada were similar to those of central and northern Europe'.

6)Discussion is missing. Either you include a "Discussion section" with a examination of results and comparison of your outcomes with other not only in Iberia, but also in Europe. See also former paragraph. Or you drop the discussion and in this case it is advisable to develop the conclusion. Conclusion. Conclusion to be developed if discussion is not included.

5   Done. The manuscript has been rewritten including the new section 5. Discussion. (page 8).

7)Minor points abstract, l.8 – after 1706 indicate also the last year of the documentary data (e.g. from 1706 to 1730) p. 1, l. 17 - after because include "they" p.1, l.18 – This sentence is unclear " ..using the methodological basis of historical climatology" p.1, l.22- Write "Alcoforado" unstead of "Alcaforado" p. 1, l.24 – review English formulation "in Spain
10   **normally has been considered" p.1, l.24 – What do you mean by "normally"? I think this word could be deleted because you have a reference at the end p.1, l. 29 – dated from instead of dated on p.1, l.29 - dated from instead of dated on p.1, line 33 – replace "since 1706" with "from 1706 to 1730" p. 2, l. 1 Indicate the dates of the beginning and the end of the Maunder Minimum (1645-1715) p.2, l.8 – "where he lived" instead of "where lived" p.2, l.9 and l.10 – "founded in" instead of "founded on" p.3, l.19 – What do you mean by "maintains this view"? p.3, l.20 – Refer that overlap period**
15   **is essential not only to "validate the index" but to reconstruct long series of a climate variable (demonstrated by Brazdil et al., 2010, p. 16 and 17) p.4, l.23 – "applying the method". Explain p.4, l.37 – I suggest to delete global. p.7, l.28 – why this new reference period? p.8, l.1 – Until 1715 it was still the Maunder minimum and in this paper you are not comparing the period 1706-30 with former periods, so it would be better to reformulate this sentence (see also note referring to p.5, l.7)**
20

Suggestions and typo corrections indicated by the referee have been included in the new version of the manuscript. Unclear sentences have been clarified. In the study of rainy days it was used the reference period 1971-2000 because (page 7, lines 32-33):

25   'data on rainy days are not available in the database by Luna et al (2005), therefore, we used the AEMET climate summary of the reference period 1971-2000'

8)Tables 1 and 2 –indicate data sources Fig.2- Insert more information within caption (Granada station, studied period

30   Done.

I wish express my gratitude to the anonymous referees by their useful comments and suggestions. I hope that the manuscript be able for publishing in 'Climate of the past'.

Sincerely,

35   F.S. Rodrigo

Dep. of Chemistry and Physics, University of Almería, Spain

The climate of Granada (southern Spain) during the first third of the 18th century (1706-1730) according to documentary sources.

Fernando S. Rodrigo[1]

[1]Department of Chemistry and Physics, University of Almería (Spain)

5   *Correspondence to*: F.S. Rodrigo (frodrigo@ual.es)

Abstract. The climatic information recorded by the physician Francisco Fernández Navarrete in Granada (southern Spain) during the first third of the 18th century is analysed in this work. Observations are included in the book *Cielo y suelo granadino* (*Sky and soil in Granada*), and consist of qualitative comments relating climatic conditions to illness and diseases from 1706 to 1730, as well as instrumental observations (using an 'English barometer' and a 'Florentine thermometer') from December

10   1728 to February 1730. To the best of our knowledge, these are the earliest instrumental observations recorded in Spain. A methodology alternative to Pfister-indices, based on the frequency of extreme events, was applied to study this new set of documentary data. The analysis shows that seasonal mean values of temperature and precipitation during the period 1706-1730 were very similar to those of periods of similar length at the beginning of the 20th century, as 1906-1930. However, some years were especially extreme, such as the dry first half of the 1720s decade, or the winter 1728-1729, when a strong cold

15   wave affected the city.

1 Introduction.

Historical climatology offers the possibility of reconstructing climatic conditions during the pre-instrumental period, that is, before the establishment of meteorological observation networks around 1850. Documentary sources are basic data sources for this time period, because they record climatic anomalies and extreme events, making it possible to relate such events to

20   climatic changes. Last years a great amount of papers on historical climatology in many areas of the globe have been published (Brázdil et al., 2005; 2010). In addition, the recovering of the early instrumental observations is a priority objective in climatic research (Brönnimann et al., 2018).

There are many works on the historical climate in the Iberian Peninsula using documentary sources and early instrumental observations from Spain and Portugal (see, for instance, Bullón, 2008; Domínguez-Castro et al., 2010, 2014; Alcoforado et

25   al., 2012; Fragoso et al., 2015). The first meteorological measurements in the Iberian Peninsula were taken in Portugal between 1 November 1724 and 11 January 1725 (Domínguez-Castro et al., 2013). In Spain has been considered that the *Ephemerides barométrico-médicas matritenses* (*Ephemeris barometric-medical from Madrid*) by the physician Francisco Fernández Navarrete, was the first meteorological instrumental series (Anduaga Egaña, 2012). It is a set of daily and sub daily meteorological observations taken in Madrid between March and October 1737. In this work we present a set of observations

30   taken by the same observer in Granada (to the south of the country) some years before, between December 1728 and February 1730. These observations are included in a handwritten book dated from 1732 and kept in the Archive of the Franciscan Order in Cataluña (Gil Albarracín, 1997). The title of the book is *Cielo y suelo granadino* (*Sky and soil in Granada,* Fig. 1), and it may be considered as one of the first Spanish medical treatises that followed the neo-Hippocratic hypothesis concerning the influence of climate on human health. In following sections these observations along with qualitative comments by the author

35   on the climatic conditions from 1706 to 1730 will be analyzed.

The climatic interest of Granada, to the south of the Iberian Peninsula, is due not only to its geographic location (latitude 37°10'N, longitude 3°36'W), near the Mediterranean Sea, exposed to Atlantic disturbances and Mediterranean influences, but

also to its height, 660 meters above sea level, and proximity to the highest mountain ridge in the Iberian Peninsula, Sierra Nevada mountains, with some peaks 3000 meters above sea level (Fig. 2). The study period is interesting because it begins at the ending of the cold period called Maunder Minimum 1645-1715 (Owens et al., 2017), and continues during subsequent decades. Therefore, it allows to explore the climate behavior in a city located in the Mediterranean area (hot-spot of climatic change (Giorgi, 2006)), when natural climatic changes occurred.

The scheme of the paper is as follows: biographical and bibliographical information on the author and his texts are described in Section 2; Section 3 studies general conditions during the period 1706-1730, and section 4 is focused on the instrumental observations from December 1728 to February 1730; section 5 discuss main results, and some conclusion remarks are included in the last section.

2 The observer: Francisco Fernández Navarrete.

Francisco Fernández Navarrete (Granada, 1680; Madrid, 1742) studied medicine in Granada, where he lived until 1734, when he moved to Madrid as doctor of the king Felipe V. He was an active member of the Royal Academy of Medicine (founded in 1734), and the Royal Academy of History (founded in 1738). He developed his work following the neo-Hippocratic hypothesis. According to this medical paradigm, illness, epidemics, and public health are related to environmental conditions, in particular to the variability of meteorological variables (Demareé, 1996). This idea was predominant in Spain until at least mid-19th century (Rodrigo, 2016). So, it is not surprising that medical academies and physicians were the main prime movers of early meteorological observations in Spain.

Navarrete was author of many works, most of them unedited and kept as manuscripts in the archives of the Spanish academies of Medicine and History. His attention was focused not only on medicine, but also on physical observations, cosmography, geography, botany, and, in general, all the fields included in the 'natural history' discipline. His main work was *Ephemérides barométrico-médicas matritenses* ('Ephemeris barometric-medical from Madrid'), published in Madrid in 1737 (this text is digitized and available at the Library of the Seville University, http://fondosdigitales.us.es). It is a set of daily meteorological observations (atmospheric pressure, temperature, wind direction, qualitative comments on rain, cloudiness, and other meteorological events) taken in Madrid from March to November 1737. Here, the author establishes the basis of an observational program dedicated to compile all the meteorological data potentially useful to medical studies, not only in Madrid, but also in other Spanish cities. This program was based on the main ideas of the neo-Hippocratic hypothesis, which was the predominant medical paradigm during the 18th century in Spain. Unfortunately, this program was not accomplished due to the lack of interest of the authorities, although it was partially recovered at the last decades of the century by the medical academies of Seville, Madrid, and Barcelona (Anduaga Egaña, 2012).

A precursor of the *Ephemerides* is the book studied in this paper, *Cielo y suelo granadino* (*Sky and soil in Granada*). The manuscript is dated from 1732, and, although finally it was not published, the book was finished and prepared for publication. It is kept in the Library and Archive of the Franciscan Province of Cataluña, Barcelona, and it has been edited recently (Gil Albarracín, 1997). Among the multiple aspects of natural history studied by the author, we are interested on the climatological and meteorological observations. The chapter IV is entitled 'Observations of the atmosphere using the barometer and the thermometer', and includes monthly summaries (with daily resolution) of these observations from December 1728 to February 1730. The chapter XVI is entitled 'Medical observations for the knowledge of climate'. Here, the author offers, at monthly and/or seasonal resolution, a summary of climatic conditions (rainfall, dryness, snowfalls, frosts, warm or cold weather, winds) from 1706 to 1730 in Granada, as well as their relationships with the appearance of illness in the city, following the neo-Hippocratic paradigm. We establish the beginning of the qualitative series in 1706 because the author, in the description of the cold winter 1729, indicates that this year was the "coldest winter seen in twenty four years", suggesting that he began to compile his observations that year. These data are available at the data repository of the University of Almería (Rodrigo, 2018a;

8

file 'NavarreteData.xlsx', http://hdl.handle.net/10835/6248). In next sections we study separately both chapters, because the time resolution, and the nature of data, are different in each case.

3 The period 1706-1730.

The chapter XVI of the book by Navarrete (pages 105-107 of the manuscript) is dedicated to expose the 'alterations of health due to mutations of the air, and general causes obtained from the long observation and practical knowledge of the country'. Here, the author establishes relationships between different diseases and climatic conditions. Qualitative information only refers to certain years, when extreme events occurred. So, for instance, in the thirteen paragraph (page 106v), he says that "If cold, rain and snowfalls continue until May: difficult births, chest pains, and dangerous anginas: year 1727". This paragraph allows to characterize the spring of 1727 as wet and cold. The analysis of the contents of this chapter yields as result the summary shown in Table 1, where the seasons unmistakably cold, warm, wet and dry are indicated (in the following we designate these seasons as 'extreme seasons'). Seasons are defined as usual: winter (December, January, February, winters identified by the year corresponding to January and February), spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August), and autumn (September, October, November).

In a first view, it seems that there is a predominance of cold over warm conditions in winter and spring, and dry over wet conditions in all the seasons, except spring. Some of these extreme seasons are confirmed by other data sources. So, for instance, cold winters 1709, 1729, and 1730 have been reported in other Spanish cities, as Tortosa, Seville, and Alicante (Alberola Romá, 2014), as well as the drought during the 1720s decade, in Jerez de la Frontera (AHVM, 1722), Arcos de la Frontera (ACAF, 1723), and Seville (Zúñiga, 1747), where *pro-pluvia* rogations were celebrated. According to Domínguez-Castro et al. (2010), droughts in Spain from early 18th century to 1730s are very scarce and their extension is very limited, except precisely in 1724, coinciding with the observations by Navarrete.

Documentary data normally provide information on extreme events. In a first step, it is possible to obtain a catalogue of episodes like droughts, intense rainfalls, snowfalls, hailstorms, etc. A preliminary view of this catalogue may be misleading, the risk is to consider that these events were the 'normal' climatic conditions in the studied period. The question is if the historical frequency of extreme seasons is exceptional, or, in the opposite, may be considered as 'normal' according to 20th century standards. The usual methodology, based on ordinal indices (Brázdil et al., 2010), maintains this view if there is not an appropriate overlapping period between documentary and instrumental data to calibrate and validate the index, and to reconstruct long series of a climate variable. In our case, there is not an overlapping period between documentary and instrumental data, so other methodology must be applied.

Rodrigo (2008) proposed a methodology alternative to indices, trying to overcome the problem of the lack of an overlapping period. This method was tested using climate model paleo simulations (Rodrigo et al., 2012). If p_{10} and p_{90} are the percentiles 10 and 90 of a climatic series X of mean value u and standard deviation s, we can find corresponding values normalized q_{10} and $q_{90,}$

$$q_i = \frac{p_i - u}{s} \qquad i=10, 90 \tag{1}$$

The percentiles q_i (i=10, 90) correspond to the standard normal distribution F_X. The normality hypothesis is the simplest choice, and it is valid for the series of temperature and rainfall in the four seasons of the year, except in the case of summer rainfalls (Rodrigo et al., 2012). We can obtain the values q_i from the number of extreme seasons n_i, with n=25 (number of years of our series), that is,

$$\frac{n_{10}}{n} = \text{Prob}\{X \le q_{10}\} = F_X(q_{10}) \to q_{10} = F_X^{-1}\left(\frac{n_{10}}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{n_{90}}{n} = \text{Prob}\{X > q_{90}\} = 1 - \text{Prob}\{X \le q_{90}\} = 1 - F_X(q_{90}) \rightarrow q_{90} = F_X^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{n_{90}}{n}\right)$$

From equation (1), we can express the corresponding standard deviation s, and mean value u as

$$s = \frac{p_{90} - p_{10}}{q_{90} - q_{10}} \qquad\qquad u = p_{10} - sq_{10} = p_{90} - sq_{90} \qquad\qquad (3)$$

5   The basic idea is to accept that threshold values p_i (obtained from the instrumental observations) are also valid to define extreme values in past, that is, we accept that during a past extreme season the value of the climate variable X was lower (higher) than p_{10} (p_{90}). Percentiles 10 and 90 are commonly used to define the frequency of extreme indices, such as cold nights or warm days, and correspond to moderately extreme events (Zhang et al., 2005). Summarizing, from documentary data analysis, the numbers of extreme seasons n_i (i=10, 90) are obtained (Table 1). These numbers are used to estimate q_i (equation 2), and the s

10   and u values are calculated considering the values p_i corresponding to the instrumental period (equation 3). The hypothesis here is that climatic changes are revealed not only by changes in the mean value of the variables, but also in the frequency and intensity of extreme events. Therefore, if we know the frequency of extremes during a given period, and accepting the normality hypothesis, we can determine the mean value and standard deviation of the climate variable corresponding to that period. This methodology does not try to provide the year-to-year variability but the general characteristics of the studied

15   period. This is a weakness of the analysis, although it is possible to reconstruct this inter-annual variability when documentary and instrumental periods are consecutive (Rodrigo et al., 2012). However, this methodology has advantages in comparison with the standard indices methodology. First, ordinal indices may be skewed by the subjectivity of the authors in original sources, and/or by the interpretation of the researcher of descriptions in the sources. In addition, ordinal indices are often based on the impact of the events on the socioeconomic infrastructures (for example, destruction of bridges during a river flood, loss

20   of harvests, etc.), and these impacts may change in different historical periods. The risk here is to consider as heavy extremes certain events that show the vulnerability of the system more than the extreme character of climate variables. The method followed is not based on the severity of the phenomena, and, in consequence, at least to a certain degree, avoids these problems. In second place, it does not need an overlapping period with instrumental data, which is necessary to calibrate and validate indices, and to reconstruct a climate variable. There is a third problem of statistical nature: the calibration of indices normally

25   is made using a regression procedure between proxy data (indices) and instrumental data during an overlapping period. From a statistical point of view, the consequence is the loss of variance of the reconstructed series, a problem that normally is solved using an 'inflation factor' to correct the reconstructed series (Rutherford et al., 1995). With this method, in principle, it is not necessary to introduce this mathematical artefact.

The reconstruction of s and u depends of the values p_i previously established as threshold values to define extreme seasons.

30   These values may be established using the percentiles 10 and 90 corresponding to a given reference period. Therefore, the reconstruction is strongly dependent on the chosen reference period. A possible solution is to select as reference period a period in which there are different climatic situations. Here we use the period 1895-2005, which contains years characterized by a weak warming signal (first decades), and years with a clear warming signal (last decades of the 20th century). Temperature data are extracted from the database Spanish Daily Adjusted Temperature Series (SDATS, Brunet et al., 2006). Monthly

35   rainfall data are extracted from the database made by the Spanish Agency of Meteorology (AEMET, Luna et al., 2012). These databases are available at the web page of the AEMET (http://www.aemet.es). All the series are homogeneous and do not present missing data or gaps. Table 2 shows the percentiles 10 and 90 of seasonal mean temperature and accumulated precipitation in Granada corresponding to the complete period 1895-2005.

To calibrate the method, the complete series was divided into 25-year running periods, the first one being 1895-1919, the second one 1896-1920, until the last period 1981-2005. This procedure was adopted to obtain a large empirical sample. For each individual period, the mean value u and the standard deviation s were calculated, and compared with the corresponding values u and s estimated from the numbers n_{10} and n_{90} of extreme seasons. Correlation coefficients between estimated and observed values, as well as the root-mean-squared error (RMSE), were calculated. RMSE is used in forecasts verification and can also be thought as a typical magnitude for forecast errors (Wilks, 1995). Values of RMSE were used to provide an estimate of the uncertainties that are associated with the reconstruction methodology. Table 3 shows the results of this calibration. All the correlation coefficients were significant at the 95% confidence level. According to correlation coefficients values, the method offer better results for mean value u (standard deviation s) of temperature (rainfall). These differences may be due to deviations from normality in the case of rainfalls, particularly in summer. Figure 3 shows as example the comparison for the autumn rainfall series.

The method was applied to the historical period 1706-1730, using data of Table 1 as n_i, and percentiles p_i of the reference period (Table 2). Figures 4 and 5 and Table 4 show the reconstruction of seasonal temperature and accumulated rainfall distribution functions, accepting the normality hypothesis. Only in the case of summer rainfall the reconstruction was not accomplished, because of the absence of extreme wet seasons (Table 1), and the non-normal character of summer rainfalls. RMSE values previously estimated are used as error margins. Results are compared with the corresponding values of two 25-year periods in the 20th century, 1906-1930, and 1976-2000, when the warming signal is very different. To obtain a best view of this comparison, Table 5 shows the statistics corresponding to these periods. According to these results, seasonal mean temperatures during 1706-1730 were very similar to those during 1906-1930, even slightly warmer, and lesser (except in summer) than temperatures during 1976-2000, around 0.7 °C in winter, 0.4 °C in spring, and 1 °C in autumn. Standard deviations of temperature during 1706-1730 was similar to 1906-1930, and lesser than that of 1976-2000, suggesting smaller variability in the past. Total rainfall shows values very similar in autumn for the three periods, slightly wetter conditions in spring during 1706-1730 and 1906-1930, and slightly wetter conditions in winter of 1706-1730 in comparison with 1906-1930. Variability of rainfall in 1706-1730 is similar to that in 1976-2000, except in spring, characterized during 1976-2000 by drier conditions.

4 From December 1728 to February 1730.

The chapter IV of the book (pages 12-16 of the manuscript) is entitled 'Observations of the atmosphere using the barometer and the thermometer'. It is the first compilation of early instrumental meteorological data in Spain, so far as we know. It begins in December 1728, and ends in February 1730. The author explains that he shows his observations of 1729 as an example of the effects of atmospheric variability, and that these observations 'are broadly in line with the observations that I have taken during nine years with these instruments to determine the conditions of the atmosphere'. Unfortunately, we have not found documentary sources with these nine years of data, and we have to be content with the available information. In addition, information is not presented tabulated, but as monthly summaries, indicating characteristic values or corresponding to critical moments, and not cover in detail all the days of the period. Sometimes, he adds comments on winds and other meteorological events (fog, cloudiness), and he indicates the number of rainy days of some months. So, for instance, for August 1729 he indicates that 'August began with warm weather, the day 2 the thermometer indicated 34, and a southern wind flew. Day 8 the thermometer increased two lines, from 38 to 40, during the total lunar eclipse, which was at one. Day 14 seemed the warmest day of the year, however the thermometer indicated 37, and from day 18 onwards there were slight northern winds, and the temperature decreased to 46'. This information was tabulated for analysis and may be found in Rodrigo (2018a, NavarreteData.xlsx. page Gr1728-1730).

11

Instruments used by Navarrete were an 'English barometer' and a 'Florentine thermometer'. There is no information about the installation of the instruments or the exact time at which readings were taken, and in the case of temperature, the scale does not correspond to any of the better-known scales that were introduced later (for instance, the Reamur scale). This means that any values measured are only important in relative terms (Brázdil et al., 2008). Nevertheless, we have tried to 'calibrate' these

5   observations using the information provided by the observer.

Navarrete used a Florentine thermometer with 'spirit of wine' as thermometric liquid. After a brief description of the instrument, he explains how established the scale used to measure temperatures: he distinguishes between 'maximum cold', in the extreme cold of winter or when the 'little bottle was buried in snow with salt ammoniac', and 'maximum heat', in the extreme warm summer, or 'in the mouth of an oven'. Navarrete marks 'maximum cold' with the value T=100, and 'maximum

10   heat' with the value T=1, and divides the length of the thermometer in equal divisions, calling 'equilibrium' to the intermediate value T=50. The lower defining point of the Fahrenheit scale (0 °F = -17.78 °C) was established as the temperature of a solution of brine made from equal parts of ice, water and ammonium chloride (Fahrenheit, 1724). Note that the 'maximum cold' was established by Navarrete in a similar way, although, unfortunately, he does not indicate the proportion of salt nor the alcohol content of the thermometric liquid. In the chapter V ('What can be deducted from these observations') Navarrete explains that

15   these limits correspond to 'regular conditions', but they may be exceeded. Figure 6 shows the measures recorded by Navarrete from December 1728 to February 1730. The sensitivity or resolution of the scale is 0.5 degrees (on 12 July 1729 Navarrete recorded T = 38 ½ degrees, and from 26 to 28 December 1729, T = 87 ½ degrees). The author indicates the appearance of frosts on 25 December 1728 (T = 90), 28 December 1728 (T = 99) and 19 February 1729 (T = 98), and explains that on 2 February 1729, when the thermometer indicated T = 86, 'ice melted'. We estimate the minimum value indicated T = 90 as the

20   threshold value of the occurrence of frosts. In relation to the 'equilibrium' (T = 50), Navarrete indicates that 'it is normal that during the month of May cold and heat equalize, on 29 May the thermometer reached the exact average value'.

We do not know the exposure conditions and the time of the day in which measurements were taken. However, some information may be obtained from the analysis of the text. In particular, when the author describes the month of July, he explains that 'the first day, the thermometer exposed to the sun at the nap hour increased from 39 to 12'. Given the magnitude

25   of other measurements (for instance, T = 34 on 25 July 1729, 'the warmest day of the year'), we can infer that measurements were taken sheltered from the solar radiation (probably indoor), in the afternoon ('nap hour'). Therefore, these measurements may be considered as proxy of daily maximum temperatures (Camuffo, 2002; Wheeler, 1995).

We have tried to calibrate these measurements accepting a linear relationship between the scale used by Navarrete and the Celsius scale (Vittori and Mestitz, 1981). For calibration, taking into account the previous comments, we assign 0.0 ± 0.1 °C

30   to T = 90.0 ± 0.5 (frosts), and 23.3 ± 0.1°C (mean value of daily maximum temperature corresponding to May during the reference period 1906-1930, and standard error at the 95% confidence level) to T = 50.0 ± 0.5 ('equilibrium'). This last hypothesis is based on results of the previous section that indicated the similarity between temperatures of the historical period 1706-1730 and 1906-1930. The calibration equation is

°C = aT+b   (4)

35   Using the law of propagation of uncertainty, parameters of the equation are a = -0.58 ± 0.02 °C/T, and b = 52 ± 2 °C. The equation (4) was applied to the daily temperatures recorded by Navarrete, and afterwards the monthly mean values were estimated, and compared with the monthly mean value of daily maximum (mean) temperatures TX (TM) recorded during the period 1906-1930. Results are showed in Fig. 7. It may be seen that conditions were colder than modern reference values in winter 1729, autumn 1729, and winter 1730, even with values lower than reference period TM values. From May to August,

40   however, reconstructed values and their margin errors match with modern TX values.

It deserves special attention the winter 1729, 'the coldest winter seen in 24 years' according our author. Figure 8 summarizes quantitative and qualitative observations taken during this winter: first days of December 1728 was dominated by a 'cold fog' and high pressures. A sharp decrease of pressure marked the snowfall on 13 December, and three consecutive rainy days from 19 to 21 December. Frosts, rainfall, snowfalls, hail, and northern winds characterized the last days of this month, with T = 100.0 ± 0.5 (-6 ± 4 °C, according our calibration) on 29 December. After cloudy days on 30 and 31 December, four snowy days (on 7, 12, 13, and 18 January) were recorded (in the reference period the mean value of snowy days is 0). Ice and snow stayed 'in shadow places' until 2 February, when it rained. During February 'fog, sun and frosts continued'. Temperatures indicated by the author during this winter were colder than T = 78.0 ± 0.5 (7 ± 4 °C). Figure 9 shows the monthly average sea level pressure field (SLP, left), and anomalies of the SLP field with respect to the reference period (right) according to the independent reconstruction by Luterbacher et al (2002), available at http://climexp.knmi.nl. Anticyclonic conditions, especially during February, made possible the appearance of frosts and morning fogs, with northwestern winds. The negative anomalies corresponding to December and January would explain the predominance of rainfalls and snowfalls between mid-December and mid-January.

Atmospheric pressure was measured using an English barometer. The observer was more interested on the fluctuations of this variable than on absolute values. So, sometimes, he records deviations with respect to a mean value, which it is not specified (in the 20th century reference period, the annual mean value of pressure in Granada is 939 hPa, of order of 28 English inches). A deviation of 1 line means changes of order of 3 hPa. Barometers usually had a mobile scale with qualitative marks (Guijarro, 2005), from the highest value ('Very Dry') to the lowest value ('Very wet'). The number of quantitative measurements is scarce, and we do not know the exposure conditions nor the temperature of the barometer, in consequence it is impossible to apply the usual correction to 0°C. Information on atmospheric pressure is basically qualitative, with references to 'Very dry', 'Good Weather', 'Variable', 'Windy and/or Wet', and 'Very Wet' categories. 'Very dry' conditions are recorded on 12 December 1728, with a positive deviation of 4 lines above the mean line, that is, around 12 hPa. On 13 December, according to the author, 'the thermometer and the barometer fell down four lines in the morning, I predicted snow, it arrived soon, it was a lot of snow, and persisted all the day'. The categories 'Variable' and 'Good Weather' are associated to pressure values 1 line above the mean value (for instance on 25 April 1729, and 17 January 1730). The class 'Windy and/or Wet' indicated by the barometer is associated to information on snowfalls (27 December 1728), strong rainfalls (26 September 1729), or intense rainfalls accompanied by westerly winds (30 November 1729). On 29 December 1728 the barometer indicated 'Very Wet' conditions ('it rained a lot, and hailed'). Therefore, pressure information is related to other variables (snowfalls, rainfalls, winds). Sometimes, the author summarizes the general behavior of a concrete month, for instance when he indicates that during April 1729 'westerly winds continued, with clouds and water, well-marked by the barometer'. This month it rained on days 1, 2, 8, 11, 13, 14, and 23, seven rainy days, coinciding with the average value of days with rain higher than 1 mm during the reference period 1971-2000 (INM, 2004, data on rainy days are not available in the database by Luna et al (2005), therefore, we used the AEMET climate summary of the reference period 1971-2000). Note that rainfall information is often accompanied by information on west winds, and cold weather is associated to north winds. South winds are associated to hot conditions (for instance, on 29 May 'flew a southeast wind and the afternoon was hot', and the author indicates southwest wind on 25 July 1729, 'the warmest day of the year'). As we know, from the analysis of the 20th century climate in the Iberian Peninsula, westerly flow in winter is connected with a higher percentage of extreme precipitation, and cold extremes are associated to the advection of cold air masses from the north (Fernández-Montes et al., 2012). On the other hand, a great part of warm days in spring and summer is related to southern flows (Fernández-Montes et al., 2013). Therefore, although the information yielded by Navarrete is scarce, it seems coherent with climatic observations based on instrumental data in the 20th century.

5 Discussion.

In this work we have reconstructed the climatic mean conditions of a poorly documented period for Spain (the first third of the 18th century) in Granada (southern Spain) using documentary data. To date, there have been few attempts to reconstruct temperatures in the Iberian Peninsula, due to the scarcity of information (Bullón, 2008). Therefore, this work represents a new contribution to reconstruct historical temperatures in Spain. Results suggest that during 1706-1730 temperatures were very similar to those of the first decades of the 20th century, when the warming signal may be considered very small in comparison with the last decades of the 20th century. This result contrasts with the analysis by Taborda et al (2004) on southern Portugal, where the two first decades of the 18th century were very cold. A possible explanation may be the variation of climate conditions from west to east in southern Iberian Peninsula. The climate of Granada is characterized by a diminishing of the Atlantic mechanisms that affect southwestern Iberian Peninsula, and strengthening influence of the Mediterranean mechanisms. The convenience of distinguish between western and eastern stations (particularly in winter) was highlighted in a previous work (Rodrigo, 2018b). We must note that the period 1706-1730 is immediately subsequent to the coldest years of the Maunder Minimum in Central and Northern Europe. Luterbacher *et al*. (2004, 2007) and Xoplaki *et al*. (2005) found a warming trend in European winter and spring temperatures from the late Maunder Minimum, culminating in the late 1730s. On the other hand, the mean value of the autumn temperature in Central England between 1729 and 1738 was 10.5 °C, equal to that recorded during 1991-2000 (Jones and Briffa, 2006). Warming from the markedly cold decade of the 1690s to the 1730s is probably due to the scarcity of major explosive volcanic eruptions from the early 1700s compared to the previous two decades (Jones and Briffa, 2006). If there were differences between southern and northern Europe is an open question, but our results suggest that temperature trends in Granada were similar to those of central and northern Europe.

In relation to rainfall, there are not clear differences between periods, except in spring of 1976-2000, when there were drier conditions than in the past. According to dendroclimatological studies (Manrique and Fernández-Cancio, 2000), the main phase of the Little Ice Age in Spain corresponds to 16th and 17th centuries, with a high variability. This high variability also has been recorded from dendroclimatological studies covering the whole Mediterranean Basin (Nicault et al., 2008). According to these analyses, the 18th century marks the beginning of a period with more stable conditions. This result also has been found by Spanish climate historians (Font Tullot, 1988; Alberola Romá, 2014). Therefore, the Little Ice Age was not a continuous and homogeneous cold and wet period in southern Spain, but it was characterized by the alternation of different phases, and the first third of the 18th century would correspond to a more stable phase.

We have retrieved a new early meteorological data series, from December 1728 to February 1730, probably the first instrumental series measured in Spain. Although the series and metadata are not complete, it has been possible to calibrate the scale defined by the author and convert temperature values to Celsius degrees. Applying the equation (4) we obtain that T = 100.0 ± 0.5 ('maximum cold' recorded) is equivalent to -6 ± 4 °C, and T = 34.0 ± 0.5 ('maximum heat' recorded) to 32 ± 3 °C. The value T = 12.0 ± 0.5 (recorded on 1 July 1729 at the afternoon and with the thermometer exposed to solar radiation) would be equivalent to 45 ± 2 °C. These values are plausible: 'maximum cold' (obtained when thermometer is in a bath of snow with salt ammoniac) must correspond to a temperature below 0 °C (due to the freezing-point depression of a salt solution), the mean value of daily maximum temperatures in July is 32.7 ± 0.1 °C, and the absolute daily maximum temperature is 40.9 ± 0.1 °C during the reference period 1906-1930. Additionally, the estimation of monthly mean values of temperature is in a good agreement with qualitative comments made by Navarrete in the chapter XVI, where he describes winter 1729, autumn 1729, and winter 1730 as cold seasons, spring 1730 as warm season, and he does not indicate particular conditions for summer 1730, which, in consequence, it may be considered as a 'normal' season. Other variables (surface atmospheric pressure, rainfall, wind direction) are presented in a qualitative way, but they allow inference in relation to atmospheric circulation in certain times within the brief period described by the author.

6 Conclusions.

As result of this work, some conclusions can be obtained:

- Seasonal temperature and rainfall during the historical period 1706-1730 were very similar to those corresponding to the 1906-1930 period, at the beginning of the 20th century, when the global warming signal was of less importance. The first decades of the 18th century can be characterized as a period of transition to a new phase after the coldest years of the Maunder Minimum period.

- Some important extreme events were detected, as the drought in the first half of the 1720s decade, and the cold wave during the winter 1729.

- The original temperature scale was calibrated and converted to the Celsius scale, obtaining plausible values, which, at daily and monthly time scale, allow characterize the annual cycle of temperature in 1729.

- The reconstruction is coherent with independent reconstructions of past climates, in particular, the sea level pressure field in Western Europe.

More research is needed to complete our view on past climate conditions. In particular, it is hoped that more daily instrumental observations and weather registers may eventually come to light. The enlargement of databases, and the study of documentary data and early instrumental data, may contribute to the knowledge of natural climate variability and, therefore, to the understanding of climate processes.

References.

ACAF: Archivo Capitular de Arcos de la Frontera. Libro Capitular y Cabildo. Rogativa a Nª Sª de las Nieves. Arcos de la Frontera. 1723.

AHVM: Archivo de la Hermandad de la Virgen de la Merced. Jerez de la Frontera. Rogativas a la Virgen de la Merced. 1722.

Alberola Romá, A.: Los Cambios Climáticos. La Pequeña edad de Hielo en España. Cátedra, Madrid, España, 341 pp. 2014.

Alcaforado, M.J., Vaquero, J.M., Trigo, R.M.., and Taborda, J.P. Early Portuguese meteorological measurements (18th century). Climate of the Past 8: 353-371. doi:10.5194/cp-8-353-2012, 2012.

Anduaga Egaña, A.: Meteorología, Ideología y Sociedad en la España comtemporánea. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, España, 450 pp., 2012.

Brázdil, R., Pfister, C., Wanner, H., von Storch, H., and Luterbacher, J.**:** Historical climatology in Europe – the state of the art. Climatic Change 70, 363–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10584-005-5924-1, 2005.

Brázdil, R., Kiss, A., Luterbacher. J., and Valásek, H.: Weather patterns in eastern Slovakia 1717-1730, based on records from the Breslau meteorological network. Int. J. Climatol. 28: 1639-1651. Doi: 10.1002/joc.1667, 2008.

Brázdil, R., Dobrovolný, P., Luterbacher, J., Moberg, A., Pfister, C., Wheeler, D., and Zorita, E.: European climate of the past 500 years: new challenges for historical climatology, Clim. Change, 101, 7-40, 2010.

Brönnimann, S., Brugnara, Y., Allan, R.J., Brunyet, M., Compo, G.P., Crouthamel, R.I., Jones, P.D., Jourdain, S., Luterbacher, J., Siegmund, P., Valente, M.A., and Wilkinson, C.W.: A roadmap to climate data rescue services. Geoscience Data Journal. 5: 28-39, 2018.

Brunet, M., Saladié, O., Jones, P., Sigró, J., Aguilar, E., Moberg, A., Lister, D., Walther, A, Lopez, D., and Almarza, C.: The development of a new dataset of Spanish daily adjusted temperature series (SDATS) (1850-2003). Int. J. Climatol. 26: 1777–1802, 2006.

Bullón, T.: Winter temperatures in the second half of the sixteenth century in the central area of the Iberian Peninsula, Clim. Past, 4, 357-367, 2008.

Camuffo, D.: Calibration and instrumental errors in early measurements of air temperature. Climatic Change 53: 297-329. doi: 10.1023/A:1014914707832, 2002.

Demareé, G.R.: The neo-hippocratic hypothesis- an integrated 18th century view on medicine, climate and environment. In: Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersutetu Jagiellonskiego, MCLXXXVI, Prace Geograficzne, Zeszyt, 102, 515-518, 1996.

Domínguez-Castro, F., García-Herrera, R., Ribera, P., and Barriendos, M.: A shift in the spatial pattern of Iberian droughts during the 17th century, Clim. Past, 6, 553-563, 2010.

Domínguez-Castro, F., Trigo, R.M., and Vaquero, J.M.: The first meteorological measurements in the Iberian Peninsula: evaluationg the storm of November 1724. Climatic Change: 118, 443-455. doi: 101007/s10584-012-0628-9, 2013.

Domínguez-Castro, F., Vaquero, J.M,, Rodrigo, F.S., Farrona, M.M., Gallego, M.C., García-Herrera, R., Barriendos, M., and Sánchez-Lorenzo, A.: Early Spanish Meteorological records (1780-1850), International Journal of Climatology 34: 593-603. doi: 10.1002/joc.3709, 2014.

Fahrenheit, D.G.: Experimenta & observationes de congelatione aquæ in vacuo factæ. *Phil. Trans.* 1724 **33**, 78-84, 1724.

Fernández-Montes, S., Seubert, S., Rodrigo, F.S., and Hertig, E.: Wintertime circulation types over the Iberian Peninsula: long-term variability and relationships with weather extremes, Climate Research, 53, pp. 205-227. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01095, 2012.

Fernández-Montes, S., Rodrigo, F.S., Seubert, S., and Sousa, P.M.: Spring and summer extreme temperatures in Iberia during last century in relation to circulation types. Atmos. Res. 127: 154-177. Doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.07.013, 2013.

Font Tullot I.: Historia del clima de España. Instituto nacional de Meteorología, Madrid, España, 297 pp.

Fragoso, M., Marques, D., Santos, J.A., Alcoforado, M.J., Amorim, I., García, J.C., Silva. L., and Nunes, M.F.: Climatic extremes in Portugal in the 1780s based on documentary and instrumental records. Climate Research 66: 151-159. doi: 10.3354/cr01337, 2015.

Gil Albarracín, A.(ed): Francisco Fernández Navarrete 1732. Cielo y suelo granadino. (transcripción, edición, estudio e índices), Almería-Barcelona, 1997.

Giorgi, F.: Climate Change hot-spots. Geophysical Research Letters 33: 11.217-11.222, 2006.

Guijarro, V.: El barómetro y los proyectos meteorológicos de la Ilustración: el caso español. ENDOXA Series Filosóficas, 19: 159-190, 2005.

INM 2004. Guía resumida del Clima en España 1971-2000. Instituto Nacional de Meteorología, Madrid. 257 pp.

Jones, P.D., and Briffa, K.R.: Unsual climate in northwest Europe during the period 1730 to 1745 based on instrumental and documentary data. Climatic Change 79: 361-379, 2006.

Luna, M.Y., Guijarro, J.A., and López, J.A.: A monthly precipitation database for Spain (1851-2008): Reconstruction, homogeneity and trends. Advances in Science and Research, 8, 14. Doi:10.5194/asr-8-1-2012, 2012.

Luterbacher, J., Xoplaki, E., Dietrich, D., Rickli, R., Jacobeit, J., Beck, C., Gyalistras, D., Schmutz, C., and Wanner, H.: Reconstruction of sea level pressure fields over the Eastern North Atlantic and Europe back to 1500. Climate Dynamics 18: 545-561. doi: 10.1007/s00382-001-0196-6, 2002.

Luterbacher, J., Dietrich, D., Xoplaki, E., Grosjean, M., and Wanner, H.: European seasonal and annual temperature variability, trends and extremes since 1500. Science 303: 1499-1503. Doi: 10.1126/science.1093877, 2004.

Luterbacher, J., Liniger, M.A., Menzel, A., Estrella, N., Della-Marta, P.M., Pfister, C., Rutishauser, T., and Xoplaki. E.: The exceptional European warmth of autumn 2006 and winter 2007: Historical context, the underlying dynamics and its phenological impact. Geophysical Research Letters 34: L12704, doi: 10.1029/2007GL029951, 2007.

Manrique, E., Fernández-Cancio, A.: Extreme climatic events in dendroclimatic reconstructions from Spain, Climatic Change, 44, pp. 123-138, 2000.

Navarrete, F.F.: Cielo y suelo Granadino. Idea de la Historia Natural de Granada en varias observaciones Físicas, Médicas y Botánicas. Biblioteca y Archivo de la Provincia Franciscana de Cataluña, Barcelona, Ms. 1/E/8, 1732.

Navarrete, F.F.: Efemérides barométrico-médicas matritenses, Biblioteca de la Universidad de Sevilla, sgn: 110-57, http://fondosdigitales.us.es, 1737.

Nicault, A., Alleaume, S., Brewer, S., Carrer, M., Nola, P., and Guiot, J.: Mediterranean drought fluctuation during the last 500 years based on tree-ring data. Climate Dynamics 31, 227-245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0349-3, 2008.

Owens, M.J., Lockwood, M., Hawkins, E., Usoskin, I., Jones, G.S., Barnard, L., Schurer, A., and Fasullo, J.: The Maunder Minimum and the Little Ice Age: an update from recent recosntructions and climate simulations. J. Space Weather Space Clim. 7: A33, doi https://doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2017034, 2017.

Rodrigo, F.S.: A new method to reconstruct low-frequency climatic variability from documentary sources: application to winter rainfall series in Andalusia (southern Spain) from 1501 to 2000, Clim. Change, 87, 471-487, 2008.

Rodrigo, F.S.: Afecciones meteorológicas: Medicina y Meteorología en Andalucía 1754-1852, Obradoiro de Historia Moderna, 25: 95-113. https//doi.org/10.15304/ohm.25.2944, 2016.

Rodrigo, F.S.: Meteorological observations in Granada 1706-1730. Data Repository, University of Almería, Spain, http://hdl.handle.net/10835/6248, 2018a.

Rodrigo, F.S.: A review of the Little Ice Age in Andalusia (southern Spain): results and research challengues. Geographical Research Letters, 44: 245-265. doi: http://doi.org/10.18172/cig.3316, 2018b

Rodrigo, F.S., Gómez-Navarro, J.J., and Montávez-Gómez, J.P.: Climate variability in Andalusia (southern Spain) during the period 1701-1850 based on documentary sources: evaluation and comparison with climate model simulations. Climate of the Past 8: 117-133. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-117-2012, 2012.

Rutherford, S., Mann, M.E., and Osborn, T.J.: Proxy-based Northern Hemisphere surface temperature reconstructions: sensitivity to method, predictor network, target season, and target domain. Journal of Climate, 18: 2308-2329, 2005.

Taborda, J.P., Alcoforado, M.J., and García, J.C.: The climate of southern Portugal during the 18th century: a reconstruction based on descriptive and instrumental sources. Geoecologia, Rel. 2, Centro de Estudios Geográficos, Lisboa, 2004.

Vittori, O., and Mestitz A.: Calibration of the 'Florentine Little Thermometer'. Endeavour, New Series, 5: 113-118, 1981.

Wheeler, D.: Early instrumental weather data from Cádiz: a study of late eighteenth and early nineteenth century records. International Journal of Climatology, 15: 801-810. doi: 10.1002/joc.3370150707, 1995.

Wilks, D.S.: Statistical methods in the atmospheric sciences. Academic Press, San Diego, USA, 464 pp, 1995.

5   Xoplaki, E., Luterbacher, J., Paeth, H., Dietrich, D., Steiner, N., Grosjean, M., and Wanner, H.: European spring and autumn temperature variability and change of extremes over the last half millenium. Geophysical Research Letters 32: L15713, doi: 1029/GL023424, 2005.

Zhang, X., Hegerl, G., Zwiers, F.W., and Kenyon, J.: Avoiding Inhomegeneity in Percentile-based Indices of Temperature Extremes, Journal of Climate, 18; 1641-1651, 2005.

10 Zúñiga, B.L.: Anales eclesiásticos y seglares de la M.N. y M.L. ciudad de Sevilla: que comprenden la Olimpiada ó Lustro de la Corte en ella; con dos Apéndices, uno desde el año de 1671 hasta el de 1728, y otro desde 1734 hasta el de 1746. Biblioteca de Andalucía, Sgn.: ANT-XVIII-470, 1747.

15

Table 1. Extreme seasons in Granada from 1706 to 1730 (Rodrigo, 2018a, NavarreteData.xlsx, http://hdl.handle.net/10835/6248).

Season	Cold	Warm	Wet	Dry
Winter	1709 1723 1729 1730	1719	1718 1725 1729 1730	1719 1720 1721 1722 1723 1724
Spring	1726 1727	1729	1707 1719 1721 1725 1727	1718 1722 1724
Summer	1710 1728	1719 1726		1707 1718 1719 1720 1722 1724 1726
Autumn	1729	1726	1725 1728 1729	1707 1718 1722 1724

Table 2. Percentiles 10 and 90 of seasonal mean temperature (SDATS, Brunet et al., 2006) and total rainfall in Granada from 1895 to 2005 (AEMET, Luna et al. 2012).

	Temperature (°C)		Rainfall (mm)	
	p_{10}	p_{90}	p_{10}	p_{90}
Winter	6.1	8.6	57.7	218.0
Spring	12.0	14.7	52.3	186.3
Summer	22.7	25.1	2.2	49.6
Autumn	14.5	17.1	48.0	161.0

Table 3. Calibration of the reconstruction methodology using 25-year moving series from 1895 to 2005. u = mean value; s = standard deviation; RMSE = root-mean-square error; r = correlation coefficient between observed and estimated parameters.

	Temperature		Rainfall	
	RMSE (°C)	r	RMSE (mm)	r
u(winter)	0.1	0.96	17	0.47
s(winter)	0.08	0.66	6	0.93
u(spring)	0.2	0.90	6	0.79
s(spring)	0.07	0.56	5	0.80
u(summer)	0.2	0.80	5	0.49
s(summer)	0.2	0.92	14	0.76
u(autumn)	0.2	0.94	6	0.51
s(autumn)	0.5	0.76	3	0.92

Table 4. Reconstruction of the period 1706-1730 in Granada. u = mean value; s = standard deviation.

	Temperature		Rainfall	
	u(°C)	s(°C)	u(mm)	s(mm)
Winter	7.0 ± 0.1	0.91 ± 0.08	124 ± 17	94 ± 6
Spring	13.2 ± 0.2	0.86 ± 0.07	130 ± 6	66 ± 4
Summer	24.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2		
Autumn	15.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.5	100 ± 6	52 ± 3

Table 5. Statistics of the periods 1906-1930 and 1976-2000 in Granada. u = mean value; I_u = 95% confidence level interval for mean value; s = standard deviation; I_s = 95% confidence level interval for standard deviation.

	Temperature		Rainfall	
	1906-1930			
	u (I_u) (°C)	s (I_s) (°C)	u (I_u) (mm)	s (I_s) (mm)
Winter	6.9 (6.3;7.2)	0.8 (0.7;1.1)	106 (92;120)	33 (26;43)
Spring	12.8 (12.5;13.1)	0.8 (0.7;1.1)	130 (112;148)	42 (35;56)
Summer	23.4 (23.1;23.7)	0.8 (0.7;1.1)	23 (15;31)	19 (15;25)
Autumn	15.3 (14.9;15.7)	0.9 (0.7;1.2)	113 (96;130)	41 (33;54)
	1976-2000			
	u (I_u) (°C)	s (I_s) (°C)	u (I_u) (mm)	s (I_s) (mm)
Winter	7.7 (7.3;8.1)	0.9 (0.7;1.2)	129 (90;168)	95 (74;132)
Spring	13.6 (13.2;14.0)	1.0 (0.8;1.4)	97 (77;116)	47 (37;65)
Summer	23.9 (23.3;24.5)	1.5 (1.2;2.1)	20 (12;29)	20 (16;28)
Autumn	16.8 (15.6;17.2)	2.0 (1.6;2.8)	108 (90;125)	42 (33;58)

5

Figure 1. Book cover of the hand-written book *Cielo y suelo granadino* by Francisco Fernández Navarrete (1732).

5

Figure 2. Location of Granada and other cities mentioned in the text.

Figure 3. Calibration of the reconstruction method for autumn rainfall in Granada, from 1805 to 2005. u = mean value; s = standard deviation.

**Figure 4. Distribution functions of seasonal temperatures of 1706-1730 and comparison with 1906-1930 (a), and 1976-2000 (b).**

Figure 5. Distribution functions of seasonal rainfall of 1706-1730, and comparison with 1906-1930 (a, c, e), and 1976-2000 (b, d, f).

**Figure 6. Temperatures measured and scale defined by Navarrete with the Florentine thermometer.**

Figure 7. Monthly mean value of daily temperature in 1729 and error margins estimated, and comparison with monthly mean value of daily maximum temperature (TX) and monthly mean value of daily mean temperature (TM) of 1906-1930.

Figure 8. Observations during the winter 1729. Left axis (dots): temperature according to Navarrete's scale. Right axis: rainy and snowy days.

Figure 9. Reconstruction of the monthly SLP field in Western Europe (left) and anomalies of the monthly SLP field with respect to the reference period 1906-1930 (right) for December (a, b), January (c, d), and February (e, f) 1729, according to Luterbacher et al (2002).