

# Comment Response

Gregory Burris

January 2019

## 1 Referee 1

This paper appears to make a solid contribution to the historical climatology of the southern United States by explaining and making accessible the extensive weather and climate-related data of a historical document, the records of Stanley Plantation, Virginia. The paper explains the document's context, categories of observations, potential uses and weaknesses for climate and weather reconstruction; it provides two examples of how its records may be used to illustrate local climatic change between the period of observations (1816-42) and recent decades. It demonstrates the potential for further historical climatology based on plantation records.

### 1.1 Author Response

Thank you.

### 1.2 Comments From Referees

Within my range of expertise, I would judge the submission as nearly publishable as is, but I would recommend minor revisions: -There are numerous minor grammatical and syntactical errors and passages that could be made more concise and less repetitive.

### 1.3 Author Response

We have cleaned up the grammar and tightened up the prose. Please let us know if it remains a problem.

### 1.4 Author's Changes in Manuscript

[Changes were scattered throughout the manuscript]

## 1.5 Comments From Referees

-In section 3.1.1, it is important to note that historical climatologists working in other regional contexts (particularly early modern Europe and China) have long dealt with issues of the objectivity and potential for quantification of descriptive weather observations and have developed methods to address them such as monthly and seasonal indices (for an overview, see Christian Pfister and Sam White, “Evidence from the Archives of Societies: Personal Documentary Sources,” in *The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History*, ed. Sam White, Christian Pfister, and Franz Mauelshagen (London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2018), 49–65, [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5_5)).

Therefore, with regard to these weather descriptions, it may be more helpful for the authors to discuss the particular strengths and weaknesses and the objectivity and subjectivity of these particular plantation records, rather than going over issues in personal weather descriptions in general.

## 1.6 Author Response

Thank you for bringing the Palgrave Handbook to our attention. It was invaluable for improving the manuscript. We now spend less time on the weather descriptions and point to White et al. (2018) for further reading. We focus more on the particulars of the document we are working on.

## 1.7 Author’s Changes in Manuscript

Carter is a particularly good source because of his naval background. While serving as a young officer during the war of 1812, he would have learned to keep consistent and accurate records. While he did not immediately apply this skill set when he took over Shirley Plantation in 1816, by 1820, he was making consistent daily entries (White et al., 2018).

[...]

The bulk of observations (13,461) made at Shirley Plantation were about the weather. Most days had at least one weather observation, even on Sundays, when there typically was no agricultural work done on the plantation. There were often two weather observations per day. One observation would involve temperature. The other would involve cloud conditions and precipitation. Most temperature and precipitation observations were ordinal and subject to the observer’s definitions. Working with this type of data has been thoroughly researched elsewhere (White et al., 2018). As mentioned earlier, Carter’s observations are notable for their consistency and reliability. Not only were the entries made daily, but they were generally made first thing in the morning with consistent language throughout the decades that are covered. In aggregate, these weather observations can be used to develop ordinal temperature indices and time series (White et al., 2018).

## 1.8 Comments From Referees

They may (although I wouldn't consider it necessary) also wish to propose a method for extracting objective and quantifiable information from the narrative descriptions in their records.

## 1.9 Author Response

Referee 2 made a similar suggestion, recommending that we construct a temperature index from the data. White et al. (2018) was very useful here as well. Because we have not performed the data validation expected for a seven-point "Pfister scale" temperature index, we constructed a three-point index modeled after the one designed by H. H. Lamb (also discussed in White et al. (2018)).

## 1.10 Author's Changes in Manuscript

A three-point temperature index was created for the 1820–1842 period. This style of temperature index was first developed by H. H. Lamb (White et al., 2018). It organizes ordinal descriptions from historical records onto a three point scale. Cold conditions are given a negative value, hot conditions are given a positive value, and neutral conditions were given the value. Christian Pfister expanded on the method to create a seven-point index. However, the seven-point method requires a second dataset to validate the indexed data by. Since this is not yet available for the study region, we will be using the three-point index here (White et al., 2018). This, combined with the rest of section 5 should accomplish this task.

Ordinal descriptions of temperature were organized into three categories: hot, neutral, and cold. Table 1 shows how the categorical temperature values were broken down into the three-point index. There were 12 values assigned to hot, 13 values were assigned to neutral, and 29 were assigned to cold. A rolling mean was taken for each day using the values for the 30 days surrounding it (the day's value, as well as the previous 15 days, and the 15 days after it). Figure 1 shows the index covering 1820–1842. The rolling mean allows the data to be smoothed without losing its daily resolution. The index is inline with with what we expected. The temperature index is at its highest during the summer months and lowest during the winter. The temperature index data is available at <https://github.com/gdb12/Historical-bioclimateology>.

## 1.11 Comments From Referees

-While the article does mention changing agricultural practices at Stanley Plantation (p.5, lines 15-25), the authors could do more to contextualize and emphasize the magnitude of agricultural experimentation and changes in land use in Virginia at this time, under pressure from changing markets, declining soil fertility, and new notions of agricultural "improvement." This history is discussed in more detail in another close examination of long-term Virginia plantation

records (although not for purposes of historical climatology): Lynn Nelson, *Pharsalia: An Environmental Biography of a Southern Plantation, 1780-1880* (University of Georgia Press, 2007). I was surprised not to see this publication in the works cited, and I believe it would be helpful for this project.

In this regard, or in section 4.2, the authors should also indicate (if possible) whether changing agricultural practices including the introduction of new cultivars appear to create artificial breaks or inhomogeneities in the timing of plant phenological observations.

### **1.12 Author Response**

There was lot of experimentation on Shirley Plantation, including, soil treatments and new cultivars. Fortunately, these were well documented, and there were a few main cultivars that were always grown. These main cultivars can be used to create a homogeneous record, as well as allowing us to test for inhomogeneities in the experimental cultivars. The result is a consistent and homogeneous core of data, with experimentation being supplemental to this. The discussion was expanded to include this, and references to Nelson were added. We also added a discussion of the experimentation and changes in crops during this period to ensure that readers are aware of the possible complications.

### **1.13 Author's Changes in Manuscript**

It is important note that this was a period of agricultural change and experimentation in Virginia, and Hill Carter participated heavily in these experiments (Nelson, 2007; Olmstead and Rhode, 2008b; White et al., 2018). Fortunately, he was also assiduous in documenting these efforts. He was careful to specify when he was fallowing and which fields he was doing it to. He experimented with several fertilizers and soil treatments to address soil erosion and degradation, and experimented with many cultivars. He documented when and where these experiments were conducted. He also noted when he tried new cultivars. Throughout his experiments, he used a few main cultivars every year. While the use of the various cultivars may have resulted in inhomogeneities in the plant-phenological records, there is a consistent reference variety that these can be compared to (White et al., 2018).

[...]

Tracking the cultivar is especially useful for the nineteenth century. New cultivars were developed, experimented on, and further selected for specific traits. These efforts resulted in significant modification of the phenological and morphological traits in the crops. These modifications probably resulted in many of the crop yield gains seen in the antebellum period, as well as the expansion of the ranges of many crop species (Olmstead and Rhode, 2008a, b, 2018).

### 1.14 Comments From Referees

-The authors mention crop pests in section 4.1: does the presence of any of these also indicate specific climate conditions (similar to malaria, as discussed in the article)? If they do, it's worth mentioning; but if not, I don't recommend any changes.

### 1.15 Author Response

There are several types of pests that are sensitive to climate variation. We included a discussion of these in the new discussion in section 3. There is potential here, but it will take additional research to realize. These are now included in the discussion of the types of data available in the document we are working on.

### 1.16 Author's Changes in Manuscript

Hill Carter's plantation document records many types of observations including, but not limited to, a weather diary, plant-phenology observations, ice-phenology observations, and the phenologies of other species that are responsive to climate variation. These other phenologies include fungus, nematodes, insects, and disease causing parasites. These often came in the form of crop pests, like wheat rust, cockle, and Hessian Fly, as well as well public health factors that we now know as *Anopheles* mosquitoes and *Plasmodium vivax*.

### 1.17 Comments From Referees

-The authors may save a few lines in the discussion of challenges in early thermometer readings in section 4.5 by citing to Dario Camuffo, "Evidence from the Archives of Societies: Early Instrumental Observations," in The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History, ed. Sam White, Christian Pfister, and Franz Mauelshagen (London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2018), 83–92, [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5_7) and Ingeborg Auer, "Analysis and Interpretation: Homogenization of Instrumental Data," in The Palgrave Handbook of Climate History, ed. Sam White, Christian Pfister, and Franz Mauelshagen (London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2018), 99–105, [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-43020-5_9), which already summarize these issues. I offer this only as a suggestion.

### 1.18 Author Response

this section was shortened and reference given to the Palgrave Handbook. The section now focusses on the particulars of Shirley Plantation's instrumental records.

## 1.19 Author's Changes in Manuscript

There were limits to the precision of the instrument itself. Without knowing the details of its manufacture, it is not possible to tell how big of a measurement error there may or may not have been. The placement of the instrument, such as in the shade or direct sunlight, could also affect readings. There could be a systematic bias in how the observer was reading the instrument, such as if the mercury or alcohol in the instrument was between two ticks, the observer might always round it up or down. It is also possible for the observer to read the instrument differently from day to day, or for their method to change over time. The documenting of observations throughout the year was also inconsistent. The observer might only remember to document the temperature when it is especially cold or hot. They might also forget to make the entry if they are especially busy. This can be random, or systematic. During the calving season each year, when the observer is working long and irregular hours, they might forget to enter the temperature. They might also think to make an entry in response to extreme weather events when they would not have usually remembered to. There can also be more traditional inhomogeneities in the entries. If the instrument is moved to a different location or height, a systematic bias can be introduced from suddenly being in direct sunlight or being closer to the ground. For a more detailed discussion of early instrumental records, see White et al. (2018).

## 1.20 Comments From Referees

-In general, I would like to see some verification of the accuracy and consistency of the climate information provided in the plantation documentation, or a suggestion how it might be verified. This verification could come from examination of its internal consistency: e.g., do variations in weather descriptions match variations in the timing of plant phenological observations? Or it could come from comparison with external information: e.g., does the Stanley Plantation document appear to consistently match other nearby records of climate or weather variability (e.g., stories about weather in a local newspaper, or descriptions of seasons in a local history) or well-observed extreme events that affected the region (e.g., the unusual winter of 1827-28 discussed in Cary J. Mock et al., "The Winter of 1827–1828 over Eastern North America: A Season of Extraordinary Climatic Anomalies, Societal Impacts, and False Spring," *Climatic Change* 83 (2007): 87–115, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9126-2>)? In other words, even if the document does not provide a complete record of weather variability, is there a way to see if serves at least as a consistent high-pass filter for major variations and extremes? Even if the authors do not provide such verification here (and I do not regard it as essential for publication of this manuscript) could they suggest how it may be done in the case of this and other plantation records? That could be helpful for future research.

### **1.21 Author Response**

We feel that data validation is the topic of my next paper and is a subject large enough for its own publication. We added a section on this to our section on future work. We discuss our plans for data calibration and validation. We intend to test to see if large climate phenomena like ENSO is detectable in the data. Once an appropriate dataset is found, we will also calibrate and validate more sophisticated calibrations.

### **1.22 Author's Changes in Manuscript**

Future work will focus on validating the data, expanding the database, and producing an in-depth analysis of the climate of Virginia. The most immediate concern will be data validation and calibration. We will test to see how well the data captures large scale climate phenomena like ENSO, tropical cyclone activity, and the aftermath of the Mount Tambora eruption. Calibration has proven to be more elusive. While there are a few thermometer observations in the data, they are too rare to be used for calibration. None of the local newspapers published temperature records for this period either. However, once additional plantation records from other nearby plantations, such as Berkeley Plantation (6 miles southeast of Shirley Plantation) will allow for content analysis validation (Moodie and Catchpole, 1976). Thomas Jefferson's temperature observations offer another possible dataset for validation and calibration. During his tenure as ambassador to France, Jefferson confirmed that his own thermometer was consistent with the official instrumental records in France, and maintained twice daily instrumental and ordinal weather observations during his four year residency there. this will allow us to calibrate North American records to its European contemporaries, and validate other North American temperature records. Jefferson's own plantation records, from his garden book, have already been extracted to a database by our team (Jefferson and Baron, 1987. Work has begun on extracting Jefferson's temperature records, including his time in Paris, France (Jefferson, 1776).

## **2 Referee 2**

There is much to like about this article. It explains how a source rarely used for quantitative environmental reconstructions - a plantation document - can in fact yield a wealth of remarkable data that shed light both on changes in the natural world and on social responses. The co-authors introduce a remarkable database - the product, apparently, of some 3,000 hours of work - that now has over 20,000 entries. They have the potential to make a substantial contribution to the disciplines of historical climatology and environmental history, one that certainly merits publication in a journal like *Climate of the Past*.

## 2.1 Author Response

Thank you.

## 2.2 Comments From Referees

Yet their article, at present, does not adequately engage with prior scholarship in historical climatology; does not explain how its database improves existing climate reconstructions; and pushes some of its conclusions beyond what its data can sustain.

First, on engagement with prior scholarship: there is, of course, a very long tradition of European and Chinese historical climatology, and the authors should clearly explain how they are drawing from this tradition. In particular, it is striking to see no mention of Christian Pfister, whose work has made studies like this one possible. The authors attempt to describe scholarship that quantifies weather data in other documents, but their summaries a) do little justice to the sheer diversity of documents that historical climatologists have now deciphered, and b) scarcely engage with existing scholarship on the documents they mention. Any mention of ship logbook reconstructions, for example, should at the very least cite Dennis Wheeler and Dagomar Degroot.

## 2.3 Author Response

We have tried to more fully engage with the literature discussed in the comments we received. There was a substantial amount of literature that we had not been aware of. Fortunately, through the comments we received on the manuscript, we have been able to review and integrate this material. For instance, we were not familiar with Christian Pfister prior to this. In particular, *The Palgrave handbook of climate history*, which Pfister was an editor for, has helped us substantially improve the quality of the manuscript. We have rewritten the discussion of document types to be broader and more inclusive.

## 2.4 Author's Changes in Manuscript

Historical documents have become an important source in climate research. The breadth of document types that have been used is staggering. AS an example, researchers have used agricultural records, ship logs, port authority records, municipal records for harvest dates, newspapers, poetry, paintings, and financial reports, to just name a few. A wide array of observation types can be found in these sources. Researchers have been as creative in finding climate signals in documents as they have been in natural archives like ice cores and tree rings (White et al., 2018).

The geographical range of these sources are also diverse. Historical climatology is particularly well developed for China and Europe. By comparison, research into North America is less robust. The state of historical climatology for North America is the result of a couple of factors. Compared to Eurasian



documents, written records for North America started up recently. For instance, administrative records are available for China going back two thousand years, while records for the western hemisphere mostly start up after European contact. Few records prior to the sixteenth century survived. Those records that did exist prior to 1492 were systematically purged by the Aztec Empire in c. 1380, and again by the Spanish Empire during the sixteenth century (White et al., 2018).

As European Empires colonized more of the western hemisphere, records began to be produced for these locations. What is now the Southeastern United States, excluding Florida, was one of the last areas to see permanent European colonies. These colonies were also less centralized, both in regards to population and administration (White et al., 2018). This, combined with a dispersed economy based on slavery and agriculture, means that there is comparatively few sources to work with when studying this area (Burris et al., 2018; Nelson, 2007; White et al., 2018).

## 2.5 Comments From Referees

Second, the authors should more carefully consider who is taking the observations they have databased, and why. Several additional sentences on the observers - ideally at the beginning of the article - would shed more light on how seriously we should take the observations.

## 2.6 Author Response

A description of the observer, Hill Carter, has been added, as well as a brief discussion.

## 2.7 Author's Changes in Manuscript

Hill Carter's plantation document records many types of observations including, but not limited to, a weather diary, plant-phenology observations, ice-phenology observations, and the phenologies of other species that are responsive to climate variation. These other phenologies include fungus, nematodes, insects, and disease causing parasites. These often came in the form of crop pests, like wheat rust, cockle, and Hessian Fly, as well as well public health factors that we now know as *Anopheles* mosquitoes and *Plasmodium vivax*. Carter is a particularly good source because of his naval background. While serving as a young officer during the war of 1812, he would have learned to keep consistent and accurate records. While he did not immediately apply this skill set when he took over Shirley Plantation in 1816, by 1820, he was making consistent daily entries (White et al., 2018).

The primary crops grown on the Shirley Plantation during this time as noted in the logbook included wheat, corn, and clover. Several other species were grown intermittently (see Figure 2 for a full list of crops and the years they were mentioned in the document). When and how often crops showed up in

the document varied. Staple crops like wheat and corn appeared every year, while other species like beans were rarely mentioned. The set of crops remained consistent over the period but it took a few years for Hill Carter to begin regular entries concerning them, resulting in the years 1816–1819 having very few entries. The first two years of consistent entries (1820–1821) were defined by infrequent observations. For instance, missing are entries of when the first and last freezes occurred. Beginning in 1822, Carter kept more consistent documentation. Entries became more common until they were on a regular daily basis. The level of detail in the individual entries increased over this period (Figure 3).

## 2.8 Comments From Referees

More importantly, the authors should clearly explain how their database can improve - or at least supplement - existing climate reconstructions that either draw from different documents or use entirely different sources (notably paleoclimatic proxy data and model hind-casting).

## 2.9 Author Response

We have included a discussion of the contributions this database can make.

## 2.10 Author's Changes in Manuscript

This database makes contributions in a few arenas. One use of historical climate records is in conjunction with paleoclimate records, adding daily resolution data to what is available from methods like dendrochronology (Druckenbrod et al., 2003; White et al., 2018). These records can also function as a rosetta stone. Just within the Shirley Plantation's record, there are thermometer readings, extensive plant, ice, insect, and parasite phenological records, and ordinal weather observations. Once this data is calibrated and validated, it can be used to extend our understanding using these different types of data from other documents. For instance, the ice-phenological information can be combined with observations of the same type from other sources, such as other plantation records, personal diaries, travel accounts, and ship logs (The James River is navigable up to Richmond Virginia). These records also allow us to study the phenology of important agricultural species prior to the intensive modification of these species over the past two hundred years through selective breeding and, more recently, genetic modification.

This data is also unusual for when it is being produced. By the mid eighteenth century, most ordinal weather diaries had abruptly ended in favor of instrumental observations from thermometers, barometers, and other newly developed scientific instrumentation. As a result, there is very little overlap between ordinal and instrumental weather data. Most sources for historical climate data in Europe made this transition within a very short time span. This same scientific turn can be seen in many North American sources, such as in the records of

Thomas Jefferson and James Madison (Druckenbrod et al., 2003; 10 Jefferson, 1776; White et al., 2018). With so little overlap to train in transfer functions, it is often difficult to calibrate and validate ordinal data (White et al., 2018). The Shirley Plantation document continues in the ordinal tradition more than a century after most other such documents end. This is concurrent with some of Hill Carter’s own intermittent instrumental measurements and other such records that were produced in the area. As a result, this database represents an extraordinary opportunity to test calibration methodologies (White et al., 2018).

## 2.11 Comments From Referees

To that end, the authors really should have included a reconstruction of temperature - on an ordinal scale - developed using their database. Does that reconstruction show what we expect? What does its (presumably) high resolution do for us?

## 2.12 Author Response

We have created a three-point temperature index and added a discussion of it to section 5.

## 2.13 Author’s Changes in Manuscript

A three-point temperature index was created for the 1820–1842 period. This style of temperature index was first developed by H. H. Lamb (White et al., 2018). It organizes ordinal descriptions from historical records onto a three point scale. Cold conditions are given a negative value, hot conditions are given a positive value, and neutral conditions were given the value. Christian Pfister expanded on the method to create a seven-point index. However, the seven-point method requires a second dataset to validate the indexed data by. Since this is not yet available for the study region, we will be using the three-point index here (White et al., 2018).

Ordinal descriptions of temperature were organized into three categories: hot, neutral, and cold. Table 1 shows how the categorical temperature values were broken down into the three-point index. There were 12 values assigned to hot, 13 values were assigned to neutral, and 29 were assigned to cold. A rolling mean was taken for each day using the values for the 30 days surrounding it (the day’s value, as well as the previous 15 days, and the 15 days after it). Figure 1 shows the index covering 1820–1842. The rolling mean allows the data to be smoothed without losing its daily resolution. The index is inline with with what we expected. The temperature index is at its highest during the summer months and lowest during the winter. The temperature index data is available at <https://github.com/gdb12/Historical-bioclimatology>.

The daily resolution of this data has some advantages. Most ordinal temperature indices developed from historical documents are at the seasonal or annual

level. Daily data will allow future research to investigate day-to-day variability. It can also be used in conjunction with daily thermometer readings and a Pfister scale to reconstruct temperatures at the daily, weekly, or monthly temperatures. This can then be aggregated to seasonal and annual levels so it can be used in conjunction with other reconstructions (White et al., 2018).

## 2.14 Comments From Referees

Third, the section on malaria is poorly introduced. Terms and key relationships are referenced offhand before they are explained, and that will need some reworking. More importantly, it seems to me that the authors too directly link temperature to the first cases of malaria on the plantations. Of course, temperature has a major impact on malaria, but it is far from the only variable to consider. Plantations were artificial hot spots for malaria, and annual changes in first cases could well reflect human actions, decisions, and programs. Malaria is also very sensitive to rainfall, particularly alternating droughts and deluges (as John McNeill, among many others, has explained). At the very least, such complexity should be thoroughly acknowledged and articulated.

## 2.15 Author Response

We have altered the discussion of malaria in a few ways. We reorganized descriptions and definitions so that they appear when terms are first introduced. We have qualified our conclusion by adding a discussion of other environmental factors that could be affecting the timing of infections.

## 2.16 Author's Changes in Manuscript

It is important to recognize that temperature is not the only limiting factor in the development of *Anopheles* and *Plasmodium*. For instance dry conditions can leave more water stagnating, providing ideal development conditions for the larva and pupa stages of mosquitoes. Large amounts of precipitation on the other hand can wash away water, making it difficult for mosquitoes to complete their life cycles (Guerra et al., 2008; Gething et al., 2011; White et al., 2018).

Human activity can also alter the life cycles of *Plasmodium* and *Anopheles*. Even factors like proximity of dwellings and work spaces to areas where *Anopheles* eggs and larva develop can impact infection rates and timings. The timing of labor activities can also influence this. For instance, people on Shirley would be much more likely to get infected while working on reclaimed swamp land. This part of the plantation had trouble dealing with standing water and was in close proximity with the remaining swamp land (Stampp et al., 1985). Without having controlled for these other environmental conditions, this analysis can only be suggestive of changes in climate (Gething et al., 2011; Guerra et al., 2008; McNeill, 2010).

## **2.17 Comments From Referees**

Finally, the article is, at present, rife with baffling typos and grammatical flaws - ones that should have been weeded out well before submission. It also contains some unintentionally comedic passages, such as: "summer temperatures were entered in the nineties, making it most likely that measurements were in degrees Fahrenheit rather than degrees Celcius" (and again, note the typo). Obviously these problems should be corrected for the revision. In short: the article should be published, in my opinion, but only after major revisions

## **2.18 Author Response**

We have cleaned up the grammar and tightened up the prose as well as giving it a more thorough proofing.

## **2.19 Author's Changes in Manuscript**

[Changes were scattered throughout the manuscript]

While the units were not explicitly stated, summer temperatures were recorded as being as high as the nineties, clearly indicating that measurements were in degrees Fahrenheit rather than degrees Celsius.

### 3 New Figure and table

Figure 1: Three-point temperature index for the period 1816–1842. See text for discussion of how the index was constructed.




Table 1: Temperature index Categories.

Hot	Neutral	Cold
exceptionally hot	fair	below freezing
excessively hot	fine	cold
hot	mild	cool
hottest day this year	mild and pleasant	exceedingly cold
hottest morning this year	milder	excessively cold
indian summer	mildest winter ever known this far	excessively cold for season
sultry	moderate	first frost
very hot	nice	freeze
very warm	pleasant	frost
very warm for season	very mild	frost very slight
violently hot	warm	frozen
workers fainted from heat	warm and pleasant	ground frozen
	warmer	ice
		light frost
		river frozen
		river hard frozen
		river nearly frozen
		second frost
		severe frost
		severe white frost
		severest frost yet
		smart frost
		turned colder
		turning cold
		very cold
		very cold violent change
		very cool
		white frost
		wintery



# Extracting Weather Information from a Plantation Document

Gregory Burris<sup>1</sup>, Jane Washburn<sup>1</sup>, Omar Lasheen<sup>1</sup>, Sophia Dorribo<sup>1</sup>, James B. Elsner<sup>1</sup>, and Ronald E. Doel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Florida State University, 113 Collegiate Loop, Tallahassee, FL 32306

**Correspondence:** Gregory Burris (gdb12@my.fsu.edu)

**Abstract.** The authors introduce a method for extracting weather and climate data from [a historical](#) plantation document. They demonstrate the method on a document from Shirley Plantation in Virginia (USA) covering the period 1816–1842. They show how the resulting data are organized into a spreadsheet that includes direct weather observations and information on various cultivars. They then give [two-three](#) examples showing how the data can be used for climate studies. The first example is a comparison of spring onset between the plantation era and the modern era. Modern median final spring freeze event (for the years 1943–2017) is occurring a week earlier than the historical median (for the years 1822–1839). The second analysis involves developing an index for [mid-summer-mid-summer](#) temperatures from the timing of first malaria-like symptoms in the plantation population each year. The median day when these symptoms would begin occurring in the modern period is a month and a half earlier than the median day they occurred in the historical period. The [final example is a three-point temperature index generated from ordinal weather descriptions in the document.](#) The authors suggest that this type of local weather information from historical archives, either direct from observations or indirect from phenophase timing, can be useful toward a more complete understanding of climates of the past.

## 1 Introduction

Weather data from historical periods is important for understanding climates of the past. Instrumental weather data go back only a few centuries but historical [document-documents](#) contain observations about the environment that, as a collection, can be used to understand the climate in previous centuries. In particular, plantation [document-documents](#) are an excellent source of useful observations about the local environment. These observations, when organized and collated, can enrich and extend our understanding of local climates [further](#) back into the [past. In particular, the data introduced here does this for the southeastern United States. It complements other paleo and historical climate data for the](#) early nineteenth century, providing a broader context in which to understand climate variability and climate change.

Plantation [document-documents](#), a source for considerable historical research, are overlooked as a source for environmental research data, with a few notable exceptions (Burris et al., 2018; Mock, 2013; Dupigny-Giroux and Mock, 2009). Plantation document often contain regular observations about the weather (Baptist, 2014; Mock et al., 2007; Phillips, 1918; Stamp, 1956). Where they have [been used to study weather and climate](#), typically only a few ordinal data points are used in support of historical instrumental data (Dupigny-Giroux and Mock, 2009; Mock et al., 2007). Instrumental records are [also-indeed](#)

available at certain times and places [in these documents](#). For instance, Thomas Jefferson kept thermometer and barometer readings for his Monticello Plantation for many years (Jefferson, 1776). ~~More~~ [But more](#) typically, weather observations come in the form of ordinal observations. For instance, temperature might be described as “hot,” “freezing,” or “mild,” to name a few [\(Table 6\)](#). Similar observations were made about wind conditions, cloud conditions, precipitation, and hydrology.

5 Plantation ~~document~~ [documents](#) contain regular observations about crops, which can provide a proxy for the weather conditions under which they grow. For example, studies have used records of phenophases ~~;~~ [stages in the life cycle](#) ~~;~~ to study spring advancement or to reconstruct temperatures over past centuries (~~Aono and Kazui, 2008; Chuine et al., 2004; Primack and Miller-Rushing,~~ [2012](#)). These studies typically use one phenophase description from a single species. As a result, they are restricted to one data point per year. For instance, this might produce the number of days spring has advanced in a location over time, spring mean tem-  
10 peratures, or summer mean temperatures. In contrast, plantation document typically contain multiple phenophase observations for several crops each year, [making them a particularly rich resource for proxy weather data](#).

The goal of this paper is to make plantation ~~document~~ [documents](#) approachable to researchers interested in local weather conditions of the past. ~~The objective is to describe by describing~~ the process of turning historical ~~document~~ [documents](#) into accessible scientific data. Here we use a document with daily entries from Shirley Plantation located in Charles City County,  
15 Virginia, USA covering the period of ~~1820–1842~~ [1816–1842](#). The resulting database is then analyzed in ~~two separate~~ [three](#) case studies. Replication of ~~our method to document~~ [the method for analyzing documents](#) available from other plantations ~~likely will~~ [likely](#) need some adjustments, but much of the organization structure should translate. Importantly, data of this kind covering a wider range of dates and other locations can be valuable for understanding our past climate [for times and places that have minimal coverage from other sources](#).

20 This paper expands on earlier efforts to use [a](#) plantation document as a source for historical weather data and offers a structured method for turning [a](#) plantation document into usable data for climate research ([Burris et al., 2018](#)). Section 2 provides a brief history of extracting weather data from historical document. Section 3 describes how information from ~~the~~ [a](#) historical document of Shirley Plantation ~~are~~ [is](#) organized as a database. Section 4 discusses the agricultural variables that are included in the database. Section 5 describes the resulting database and illustrates how these data can be used for addressing scientific  
25 questions. ~~Section using case studies. Finally, section~~ [6](#) provides a summary and some caveats and considerations for future studies.

## 2 Weather Data From [the](#) Historical ~~document~~ [Document](#)

~~The use of written historical document as a source of weather data is not new. For example, Henry David Thoreau’s document have been used to study spring advancement around Walden Pond in Massachusetts (Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2012). Ship  
30 logs have been used to study climate conditions at sea for periods before remote sensing (Allan et al., 2016) as well as historical shifts in geomagnetism and other applications (Jonkers, 2003; Wilkinson, 2005). More recently, municipal document from southeastern China have been used to reconstruct typhoons covering the past thousand years (Chen et al., 2018). Climatologists have reconstructed several types of data from historical document. These include recovering instrumental records, but also~~

utilizing diaries, newspapers, and other personal document to reconstruct hurricanes, typhoons, and other severe weather events (Allan et al., 2016; Daly et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2018; Mock et al., 2007; Camuffo and Jones, 2014).

Historical document have also yielded several databases on phenological responses to climate change. These came out of Historical documents have become an important source in climate research. The breadth of document types that have been used  
5 is staggering. AS an example, researchers have used agricultural records, ship logs, port authority records, municipal records  
for harvest dates, newspapers, poetry, paintings, and financial reports, to just name a few. A wide array of observation types  
can be found in these sources. Researchers have been as creative in finding climate signals in documents as they have been in  
natural archives like ice cores and tree rings (White et al., 2018).

The geographical range of these sources are also diverse. Historical climatology is particularly well developed for China  
10 and Europe. By comparison, research into North America is less robust. The state of historical climatology for North America  
is the result of a couple of factors. Compared to Eurasian documents, written records for North America started up recently.  
For instance, administrative records are available for china going back two thousand years, while records for the western  
hemisphere mostly start up after European contact. Few records prior to the sixteenth century survived. Those records that  
did exist prior to 1492 were systematically purged by the Aztec Empire in c. 1380, and again by the 19th-century naturalist  
15 movement. In-depth observational document exist for many sites, but are often stored with the document pertaining to the  
authors' literary works. Historians and scientists are only beginning to tap the biological and ecological data produced in  
that century, while other sources and earlier document remain largely unused. An example are the Marsham family papers,  
which contain a set of observations collected by several members of the family over the course of three generations. They were  
interested in the life cycles of plants and animals on their land and kept track of stages like leafing, flowering, and fruiting. These  
20 observations have seen some use over the years in ecological studies. Another example are a set of phenological observations  
from Estonia that have been found for a similar period. These have been used to explore how changes in climate have altered the  
life cycles since industrialization began (Ahas, 1999; Margary, 1926; Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2012; Roberts et al., 2015; Sparks and  
There have also been applications of historical observations of phenology to reconstruct past climate conditions where instrumental  
data are not available or have not been found. This research has been used to show increasing temperatures in recent decades  
25 when compared to earlier centuries (Aono, 2015; Aono and Kazui, 2008; Chuine et al., 2004; Holopainen et al., 2013). Plantation  
document offer another source of data covering earlier periods. Spanish Spanish Empire during the sixteenth century (White et al., 2018).

As European Empires colonized more of the western hemisphere, records began to be produced for these locations. What is  
now the Southeastern United States, excluding Florida, was one of the last areas to see permanent European colonies. These  
30 colonies were also less centralized, both in regards to population and administration (White et al., 2018). This, combined with  
a dispersed economy based on slavery and agriculture, means that there is comparatively few sources to work with when  
studying this area (Burris et al., 2018; Nelson, 2007; White et al., 2018).

### 3 The Shirley Plantation Document

This section describes how information from a ~~particular~~particularly rich Shirley Plantation document was organized into a database. Shirley Plantation is one of the oldest and largest plantations in the modern United States. It is located in Charles City County, Virginia, USA (Figure 1). Hill Carter inherited it in 1806 and started documenting events occasionally in a log book starting in 1816. He began keeping daily entries in 1820 that continued until his death in 1875. Hill Carter's son, Robert Randolph Carter (1825–1888), took over management of the plantation and continued documenting events until his death. The Shirley Plantation document refers to this single yet extensive log book.

Hill Carter's plantation document records many types of observations including, but not limited to, a weather diary, plant-phenology observations, ice-phenology observations, and the phenologies of other species that are responsive to climate variation. These other phenologies include fungus, nematodes, insects, and disease causing parasites. These often came in the form of crop pests, like wheat rust, cockle, and Hessian Fly, as well as well public health factors that we now know as *Anopheles* mosquitoes and *Plasmodium vivax*. Carter is a particularly good source because of his naval background. While serving as a young officer during the war of 1812, he would have learned to keep consistent and accurate records. While he did not immediately apply this skill set when he took over Shirley Plantation in 1816, by 1820, he was making consistent daily entries (White et al., 2018).

15

The primary crops grown on the Shirley Plantation during this time as noted in the ~~log-book~~logbook included wheat, corn, and clover. Several other species were grown intermittently (see Figure 2 for a full list of crops and the years they were mentioned in the document). When and how often crops showed up in the document varied. Staple crops like wheat and corn appeared every year, while other species like beans were rarely mentioned. The set of crops remained consistent over the period but it took a few years for Hill Carter to begin regular entries concerning them, resulting in the years 1816–1819 having very few entries. The first two years of consistent entries (1820–1821) were defined by infrequent observations. For instance, missing are entries of when the first and last freezes occurred. Beginning in 1822, Carter kept more consistent documentation. Entries became more common until they were on a regular daily basis. The level of detail in the individual entries increased over this period (Figure 3).

25 Each year the number of observations increased throughout the growing season, culminating in the harvest of corn in the fall. This general cycle was disrupted by business trips to New York by Hill Carter. These trips were usually taken in October of each year, resulting in minimal entries for these periods. This can be seen in Figure 4, where the number of entries usually dipped in October. ~~He~~Hill Carter had the overseer make entries in his absence, with mixed results. Upon ~~his~~Hill Carter's return from these trips, he often expressed dissatisfaction about the how things were managed and documented by the overseer.  
30 After firing the overseer for this mismanagement in 1824, and then taking ~~them~~him back on, more consistent entries were kept during these trips, but these were still not up to the same standards. He did not make the journey in 1825, leaving that year's entries unbroken. After 1825, the trips resumed and the entries remained consistent for the rest of the years.

### 3.1 Observation Type

There is a range of observations within the document (Table 1). We group them into seven broad types. Weather observations have the most entries followed by ~~labor-and-agriculture~~ Labor and Agriculture (Figure 5). Other categories include ~~operations, human, livestock, and accounting~~ Operations, Human, Livestock, and Accounting. Typically, multiple observations are made in a single day's log entry. For this reason, there are multiple entries for a single day. A "type of observation" variable allowed for filtering the data based on activity (Figure 5).

#### 3.1.1 Weather observations

The bulk of observations (~~13461~~ 13,461) made at Shirley Plantation were about the weather. Most days had at least one weather observation, even on Sundays, when there typically was no agricultural work done on the plantation. There were often two weather observations per day. One observation would involve temperature. The other would involve cloud conditions and precipitation. Most temperature and precipitation observations were ordinal and subject to the observer's definitions. ~~One person's rain shower is another's sprinkle, and how they define these can change, both over a lifetime and from season to season. A pleasant day by summer standards may be warm by winter standards. A decade of unusually warm temperatures might lead an observer to start defining above normal temperatures as normal, and think of the return to the standard conditions as unseasonably cool. Changes to the baseline perception of what is normal complicates interpretation. Despite the ordinal nature of these data, we argue that researchers can glean information from such a long term document~~ Working with this type of data has been thoroughly researched elsewhere (White et al., 2018). As mentioned earlier, Carter's observations are notable for their consistency and reliability. Not only were the entries made daily, but they were generally made first thing in the morning with consistent language throughout the decades that are covered. In aggregate, these weather observations can be used to develop ordinal temperature indices and time series (White et al., 2018).

#### 3.1.2 Agriculture observations

About one third of observations were considered agricultural. Most of the agricultural observations had to do with the work being done on the crops. This included activities like sowing, weeding, and harvesting. There were also discussions of problems encountered: for instance, weather-related problems like blow-overs and water damage from rain. There were also observations about pests including wheat rust, chinch bugs, and cockle (See Table 2 for definitions, and Table 3 for alternative terms and archaic spellings used in the document).

Direct observation of phenophases are rare. There are a few mentions of phases such as the day that a crop emerged, or when a field of grain started heading. Other phenophases can be deduced from agricultural activity. For instance, most entries do not explicitly say that the cotton crop had leafed. However, cotton crops would be weeded regularly in their early stages. This would continue until they leafed. At this point the leaves would shade out the weeds. Laborers, both free and enslaved, would be redirected, often to weeding corn. There are other ways to determine that the cotton had achieved the leafing stage.

Mentions of weeding the cotton crop might end, or the entry may say that the laborers were now weeding the corn (Toman, 2002).

5 It is important note that this was a period of agricultural change and experimentation in Virginia, and Hill Carter participated heavily in these experiments (Nelson, 2007; Olmstead and Rhode, 2008b; White et al., 2018). Fortunately, he was also assiduous in documenting these efforts. He was careful to specify when he was fallowing and which fields he was doing it to. He experimented with several fertilizers and soil treatments to address soil erosion and degradation, and experimented with many cultivars. He documented when and where these experiments were conducted. He also noted when he tried new cultivars. Throughout his experiments, he used a few main cultivars every year. While the use of the various cultivars may have resulted in inhomogeneities in the plant-phenological records, there is a consistent reference variety that these can be compared to (White et al., 2018).

### 3.1.3 Labor and Operation Observations

While many entries are about weather and crops, there is also information on operations and labor conducted on the plantation. Such activities were performed before planting and after harvesting. These Operations ranged from field preparation like plowing, to thrashing out and delivering grain. labor covered activities like hauling and construction. While this may seem like  
15 a straightforward part of plantation life, plantations were a confluence of multiple agricultural traditions, coming from many regions and linguistic origins. The result is a patchwork of synonyms, meanings, and spellings, and terms came in archaic and modern forms.

Multiple terms are used to describe similar preparation activities. Each is typically associated with a particular crop. For instance, cutting, onioning, and picking out were terms for harvesting associated with grains, onions, and cotton, respectively.  
20 But picking out could also refer to things grown in patches like peas and pumpkins (spelt in the archaic form "pumpions" in the document). All of them refer to harvesting those crops. There were multiple spellings for some words as well. For Instance, "bauks," strips of land where corn was planted (Table 2), was alternatively spelled as "balks" and "baulks." Plaster was sometimes spelled as "plaister." Table 3 lists some of the more common terms with alternative synonyms or spellings. Table 2 contains definitions for some of these less common and archaic terms.

25 During this period, plantation owners experimented with various soil treatments. For instance, the enslaved laborers occasionally limed a field. This practice of applying crushed limestone before planting would make the soil less acidic. This could help plants grow more readily and combated soil degradation. Marl was produced by altering the chemical structure of lime by baking it. This made it more effective than lime, but fulfilled the same function. ~~Other treatments were intended to improve the nutrients of the soil.~~ Plastering is a similar activity to liming, in which gypsum or some other plaster is spread on the field  
30 to alter the pH balance or replace depleted nutrients in the soil. Other treatments were intended to improve the soil quality. Manuring was a common method. Manuring was another common preparatory activity. this referred to spreading manure on a field as a fertilizer. It was usually done just prior to sowing activities. Green manures and cover crops were also common. Peas and beans were often plowed under instead of being harvested in an effort to improve deteriorated fields. These preparatory

activities are not directly related to phenology but they can provide useful information. For example, in the absence of detailed documentation, these preparatory activities can inform the researcher that seeds have not been planted for that crop yet.

### 3.1.4 Additional Entries

Entries throughout rest of the document fall into the less common categories. These minor categories were grouped into Accounting, Human, and Livestock (Table 1). For example, accounts of how much grain was produced and sold can indicate how heavily impacted harvests were by weather conditions. Human health and activities were often directly impacted by the weather. Observations of when people were sick were made regularly. This typically included how many people were sick and what they were believed to be sick with. Illnesses, deaths, and other observations about the human population can be useful for showing how often conditions for endemic diseases like influenza and malaria occurred at the plantation. Non-agricultural labor activities can inform the entries about prevailing conditions, such as repairing flood and hurricane damage. While large animal phenology tends not to be ~~effected~~directly affected by spring advancement or other aspects of climate change, secondary and tertiary impacts like trophic mismatches can impact livestock and their phenophases (Post and Forchhammer, 2008). Health problems and deaths among the livestock from extreme heat also gives insight into weather conditions.

## 4 Agricultural Variables

This section discusses the agricultural variables that were put in the database. We cover the specific information contained in each entry. The species and cultivar variables provide information on the plant or animal being observed. Description provides the account given in the plantation document. The categorical and numerical variables contain the specific information that can easily be used in quantitative analysis, such as how many bushels were harvested, or that the plantation was experiencing drought conditions. These translate into ordinal and interval data, respectively.

### 4.1 Species

Where the species was specified, the information was included. For ~~nonagricultural~~non agricultural activity types, or when the species was not specified, a "Not Available" (NA) value was entered. For instance, if the entry states that corn is being resown, then the species value would be "corn." Sometimes more than one species would be involved. The most common case of this was when a pest was effecting one of the crops. In these cases, the crop being grown would be put in the species variable. The pest species would be placed in the categorical variable. Figure 2 lists all species encountered in the Shirley Plantation document and the years that species was mentioned.

### 4.2 Cultivar

Specific cultivars of species were occasionally mentioned. Table 4 lists the cultivars mentioned within the document for the years 1816–842. Cultivar is part of the taxonomic nomenclature applied to plant species that have been artificially selected. The nomenclature goes from the more general to the specific, and is genus, species, subspecies, cultivar. ~~tracking~~Tracking the

cultivar is especially useful for the nineteenth century. New cultivars were developed, experimented on, and further selected for specific traits. These efforts resulted in significant modification of the phenological and morphological traits in the crops. These modifications probably resulted in many of the crop yield gains seen in the antebellum period, as well as the expansion of the ranges of many crop species (Olmstead and Rhode, 2008a, b, 2018).

### 5 4.3 Description

The specific observation or description was entered in this variable. For instance, the specific phenophase being observed would go here. So if corn was observed to be tasseling on a certain day, the entry would read tasseling. If the document noted that the cotton was weeded for the last time and work began on weeding the corn, there would be two separate entries. There would be one entry for the end of the cotton weeding, and a separate entry for the beginning of the corn being weeded.

10 Types of activity for non-agricultural observations are part of this variable as well. Entries for agricultural operations were given more specificity. If some sort of soil treatment was being applied to a field, the type (such as marl, plaster, manure, etc.) would be entered here. This was also where the different type of weather observations were denoted. For instance, this variable would specify if the weather observation was about temperature, precipitation, or cloud cover. For health observations, the disease or cause of death was placed here.

15 The variables “Observation” and more general “Type of observation” make it easy to subset the descriptions. It is easy to just look at descriptions of agriculture activity, or to just look at when the human population was sick. The structure of the data makes it easy to input all the observations into a single database as the entries are processed. This makes the data entry more efficient while still being comprehensive, but easily reducible to a subset needed to study a particular phenomena.

This is an improvement on previous methodologies that have been more ad hoc ([Burris et al., 2018](#)). Instead of scouring  
20 the document for just the last spring freeze event or the flowering of a particular species, this method retains the robustness of the original document. This ~~drastically increases the re-usability~~ increases the usability of the data. Within one file, there is information on extreme weather events, phenology, public health, bioclimatology, and agronomy. Beyond the immediate concern of studying the past climate, the data has great interdisciplinary utility. It can be used for in environmental and social history, economic research, epidemiology, and ~~others~~ other disciplines. The initial investment of time is substantial, but the  
25 returns are worth it.

### 4.4 Categorical

The categorical or ordinal value of observations were placed here. This was most important for weather. There was usually some sort of descriptor accompanying the weather observation. For instance, if the document said that there was mist on a certain day, the categorical value would be “mist.” If an observation noted that it was "cold" on a certain day, it would be  
30 entered as “cold.” This is also where freezes and frosts were entered.

This applied mainly to non-agricultural activity entries, but there were a few exceptions. When a crop was damaged or destroyed by pests, the nuisance species would be entered here. For instance, when a wheat crop was destroyed by rust, the “Observation” value would be pest, and the categorical value would be the type of pest, in this case rust. When an agricultural



activity, such as sowing or weeding, would begin or end, that would be entered here as well. Units for numerical values were made available here.

#### 4.5 Numerical Entries

5 Numerical values were occasionally available in the document. These values are entered in ~~our~~the database. If 200 bushels of wheat were sold after harvesting, “bushels” would be entered in the categorical variable, and “200” would go in the numerical variable. This was used to enter a variety of observations, including the number of people sick on a given day, thermometer readings, accounting values, etc.

10 There were intermittent instrumental readings from a thermometer starting in 1822. Temperatures were entered on an irregular basis until the instrument fell and shattered on February 23, 1824. It was not replaced for several years. Thermometer readings did not start up again until February 4, 1835. The document did not discuss the model of thermometer or where it was kept. While the units were not explicitly stated, summer temperatures were ~~entered in~~recorded as being as high as the nineties, ~~making it most likely~~clearly indicating that measurements were in degrees Fahrenheit ~~;~~not degrees Celsius ~~rather than degrees~~Celsius.

15 These thermometer readings were entered in short spurts at different times of the year. When present, they were typically the first thing in that day’s entry. The entries’ consistent location in the daily log suggests that the instrumental reading was made first thing in the morning, when the daily temperature is usually at its lowest. The end result is a fairly homogeneous document of daily minimum temperatures during a few periods. The presence of the thermometer at Shirley Plantation, as opposed to being read about in an almanac or newspaper, was confirmed when it was noted that it fell to the ground and shattered (White et al., 2018).

20 There were limits to the precision of the instrument itself. Without knowing the details of its manufacture, it is not possible to tell how big of a measurement error there may or may not have been. The placement of the instrument, such as in the shade or direct sunlight, could also affect readings. There could be a systematic bias in how the observer was reading the instrument, such as if the mercury or alcohol in the instrument was between two ticks, the observer might always round it up or down. It is also possible for the observer to read the instrument differently from day to day, or for their method to change over time. The  
25 documenting of observations throughout the year was also inconsistent. The observer might only remember to document the temperature when it is especially cold or hot. They might also forget to make the entry if they are especially busy. This can be random, or systematic. During the calving season each year, when the observer is working long and irregular hours, they might forget to enter the temperature. They might also think to make an entry in response to extreme weather events when they would not have usually remembered to. There can also be more traditional inhomogeneities in the entries. If the instrument is moved  
30 to a different location or height, a systematic bias can be introduced from suddenly being in direct sunlight or being closer to the ground. For a more detailed discussion of early instrumental records, see White et al. (2018).

## 4.6 Metadata

The database includes information on the time and place for each observation. This comprised information like the location of the plantation, date of observation, citation information and the name of the location. While most of these will not be directly used in the data analysis, they provide other functions. The location data can be used to geo-reference the database. Common names are useful for connecting the observations with mentions of the location in other document such as correspondences. Citation information allows for reproduction, confirmations of accuracy, and other forms of peer review. Location information will later enable areal interpolation of the data as the ~~document~~ documents from additional locations are added to the database.

## 5 The Database

In this section we describe the resulting database and give ~~two~~ three examples of the types of analyses that can be done with it. The first compares final spring freezes from the historical period to modern conditions ~~tot est to test~~ for spring advancement. The second analysis looks at historical accounts of annual disease outbreaks of what is now thought to have been malaria. We use modern data to reconstruct when these outbreaks would occur, and compare the two sets of dates. A three-point temperature index is developed from the ordinal temperature observations.

### 5.1 Description

Here we provide some details about the database and then illustrate how it can be used. The Shirley Plantation ~~document~~ documents were scoured for weather and agricultural information along the lines described in the previous section and arranged into a database. The result is ~~22019-22,019~~ observations in the database. Table 5 shows a small portion of the database covering observations during the first week of July in 1838. Reading from left to right, each successive variable becomes more specific. After the date, the most general variable, ~~observation type is given,~~ "Observation Type", is given. This is followed the more specific category, "Observation". For instance, "agriculture" is one of the observation types. The agricultural observations for this week included "shelling", "harvesting", "problem", and "phenology". Wheat is the only species being worked on, and on four of the occasions it was specified that the cultivar of wheat being worked on was "purple straw".

We can see that on the first two days of the week, the wheat is harvested and shelled, often referred to as thrashing (See Table 3 for a variety of terms that referred to similar activity). Two problems with the crop were noted: that it was too ripe, and that part of the wheat had become tangled, making it more difficult to harvest. On the third day, there were more problems reported. A hurricane had gone through the area, causing the loss of some of the wheat crop. Additionally, some of the wheat that had been cut and left in rows (called windrows) had begun to open up and sprout. This was a result of the crop being over ripe and getting wet. For the rest of the week, the observations focus on harvesting the wheat crop, while continuing to note that it was too ripe.

There are also observations with the Observation type "Weather". Under this variable, ten of these were about temperature, with three of these also giving a thermometer reading. The other seven were ordinal observations, describing five of the days

as "hot", two of the days as "cool", and one day as "warm". There were observations about cloud cover and precipitation as well. There were five "clear" days and two days with clouds and ~~thunder storms. The 6th~~ thunderstorms. The 6<sup>th</sup> of July was described as windy. Rain was observed on the ~~1st and 2nd~~ 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> of July.

5 The database can be examined from various perspectives. For instance, the heat map in Figure 2 was constructed by using only database entries with the observation type "agriculture." The database closely reflects what was written in the historical document. ~~For instance, if~~ If the document said that it rained and thundered, then two separate database entries would be entered. One for the rain, and one for the thunder, as is the case on July ~~1st~~ 1<sup>st</sup>, 1838 (Table 5). If something specific is required for analysis, such as days with cloud cover, the database can be subset to include only entries of "cloudy" and days with "precipitation."

## 10 5.2 Earlier spring onset

Here we illustrate how the database can be used for scientific studies. We start with investigating spring onset. ~~Is~~ Here we ask if the onset of spring occurring earlier now than it did ~~at the time covered by the document at Shirley Plantation?~~ during the study period. Specifically, given the warming climate due to greenhouse gases from industrialization, we expect that the annual dates of the last spring freeze over the period 1822–1839 (before modern industrialization) will occur later, on average, than 15 the annual dates of the last spring freeze over the later period 1943–2017.

To examine this hypothesis we use the last date on which there was a mention of frost, freeze, or snow (after the winter) from the plantation document for each year. The start year of 1822 is the first year that mentioned freezes. We compare these dates with minimum temperature data from the nearest weather station. The weather data was retrieved from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Centers for Environmental Information's (NCEI) online repository. Daily 20 Minimum temperatures from the Richmond International Airport weather station (GHCND:USW00013740) over period of January 1943 to July 2017. The station is located 20 kilometers to the Northwest of Shirley Plantation (See Figure 1 for a map of the area).

Dates are expressed as number of days into the year (day of year), with the first of January equaling one. It is possible that temperatures for the historical decade dropped below zero degrees Celsius without frost or snow being observed, so the given 25 historical values are a more conservative measurement of the last freeze (could have been later) relative to the hypothesis. Figure 6 is a histogram of last spring freeze events in the instrumental period. The vertical black line represents the median value for the historical period. The historical database had a median end-of-freeze date of 107.5 (April 17 or 18). The modern median final spring freeze event date was 100 (April 10 or 11), or a difference of 7.5 days.

Because the historical last spring freeze data constituted a small sample size, a nonparametric one sided Wilcoxon (Mann- 30 Whitney) signed-rank test was used to test the statistical significance of historical final spring freezes coming later in the year than in the modern instrumental period (Wilcoxon, 1945). The W value for the test was 797, with a *p*-value of 0.015. This indicates that the two groups' distributions differed in a marginally-statistically significant way, with modern final spring freezes occurring earlier than they did in the past. This indicates that spring onset is occurring earlier than in the historical period. The ~~example is a strong indicator that the data will be useful to compare to other modern data~~ difference in freeze dates

is smaller than the one found in a previous study that only covered the historical period 1822-1828. In that study, there was a difference of 23 days, indicating much cooler temperatures in the 1820's compared to the 1830's (Burris et al., 2018). The example shows how the data might be useful for comparing the past with the present. It can be used to study how differing climate conditions have impacted crop survivability, yields, and how agricultural practices have changed in response to climate variation.

### 5.3 "Ague and Bilious Fever" first new infection date as indicator of mid summer temperature

Before germ theory and microscopes, malaria was often diagnosed as "ague and bilious fever" (See Tables 3 and 2 for related terms and their definitions). Figure 7 shows the period each year when "ague and bilious fever" symptoms were reported on Shirley Plantation. This covers the years 1823–1842, with 1839–1841 missing data. The earliest reports of symptoms occur in mid to late July, indicating especially warm years. Most years saw outbreaks begin in September. A few years only saw mentions of ague and fever in the first few days of October. These are often reports that there were very few or no cases that year. The season typically ends before Hill Carter left on his annual trips, resulting in complete documentation for most years. The parasite *Plasmodium* is responsible for the disease known as malaria. *Plasmodium vivax* is one of the mosquito borne pathogens that cause malaria. *Plasmodium falciparum* is another, more deadly, malaria causing parasite. *Plasmodium malariae* is a third, though less common *Plasmodium* pathogen (Guerra et al., 2008). This parasite has a complex life cycle and has specific environmental requirements that must be met for it to be able to infect human hosts. The beginning of the *Plasmodium* season, when symptoms emerge, the end of the *Plasmodium* season, marked by the last new case, and the length of the season between those two dates also offer important information about weather conditions. However, the end-date-of-the-season-is-a more complex processes.

An index of mid summer weather conditions can be constructed using historical public health data. The phenology of insects, and the parasites they carry, are sensitive to temperature (Guerra et al., 2008). Plant phenophases like flowering and harvest dates have been used to study changes in climate conditions (Aono and Kazui, 2008; Chuine et al., 2004; Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2011). These types of indices, models, and reconstructions can be applied to other temperature dependent phenologies (Guerra et al., 2008).

*Plasmodium* parasite development response to temperature can be modeled similarly to how crop phenology is, by using with degree days (Guerra et al., 2008), using the following formula.

$$DS = \frac{DD}{\bar{T} - 18} \quad (1)$$

Where DS is the number of days it will take for the parasite to mature (Duration of Sporogony), DD is the number of degree days required for parasite to mature,  $\bar{T}$  is the daily mean temperature, and 18 is the minimal temperature threshold for degree days to accumulate for the species (Guerra et al., 2008). The sporogony of *Plasmodium vivax*, the type of malaria parasite common in the Tidewater region of Virginia during the nineteenth century, requires 105 degree days to accumulate before it becomes infectious (Gething et al., 2011). Both the *Anopheles* mosquito and *Plasmodium* parasite respond to temperature in their development (Guerra et al., 2008). *Plasmodium vivax* is one of the mosquito borne pathogens that cause malaria.

~~*Plasmodium falciparum* is another, more deadly, malaria-causing parasite. *Plasmodium malariae* is a third, though less common *Plasmodium* pathogen (Guerra et al., 2008).~~

It is not necessary to diagnose specific cases of *Plasmodium* infection from the historical document. We know that it was endemic to the area. We know that the population was affected nearly every year. We know that the phenological constraints resulted in the infection season occurring in the same time period each year, just like wheat harvests or cherry blossoming (Rutman and Rutman, 1976; Merrens and Terry, 1984; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018; Guerra et al., 2008). This produces the parameter for the new index: first new cases reported. This can be represented as the day of year. There are a series of events that must occur before the first symptoms are detected. The female *Anopheles* mosquito must become infected while taking a blood meal from a human. The mean daily temperature must be warm enough for the sporogony cycle to begin. The mean temperature during sporogony must be high enough to complete the cycle within the lifespan of the mosquito host. The mosquito host must transmit it to a human. Finally, the parasite must incubate in the human host for 12–18 days (for *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum*) before symptoms appear (Guerra et al., 2008).

By the time symptoms appear, the daily mean temperature in the area has been above 18° Celsius (64.4° Fahrenheit) for two to four weeks. This can be further examined if we know what species of *Plasmodium* are endemic to a region. For instance, *P. vivax* can survive in cooler conditions better than others. Its lower temperature threshold for development allows for it to ~~survive~~ complete its life cycle in regions that would be too cold for *P. falciparum*. As a result, this is the dominant species in temperate regions like south eastern England and Tidewater Virginia (US) (Guerra et al., 2008; Mann, 2015; Rutman and Rutman, 1976).

While malaria has been eradicated in Virginia, we can estimate by using temperature data when the sporological cycle (*P. vivax*) would complete. For instances, if malaria were present in Virginia today, the *Anopheles* mosquito would become infectious after a sustained warm period. Warm periods are defined using accumulated degree days. A degree day in this case is defined as the difference between the daily mean temperature and 18 when the daily mean exceeds 18° C. A sustained warm period is one in which the accumulated degree days over a 26-consecutive day period exceeds 105. Our interest is in the earliest sustained warm period date of the year where the date is the last day of the 26-day period.

Using the Richmond International Airport Weather Station temperature data, we compute the accumulated degree days above 18° C in rolling 26-day increments to determine the earliest sustained warm period each year over the period 1942–2017. We add 18 days to this date to account for incubation period (conservatively as the incubation might be faster) giving us an estimate of when we might get the first cases of malaria (onset date).

We then used the historical and expected modern onset dates as indicators of summer temperature conditions. The median first date of symptoms being documented on Shirley for the period 1822–1838 was the ~~230<sup>th</sup>~~ 230<sup>th</sup> day of the year. The median date for when symptoms would begin occurring in the modern period from 1942–2017 was the ~~185<sup>th</sup>~~ 185<sup>th</sup> day of the year (See Table 8). Median dates for malaria symptoms conditions occurred 45 days earlier in the modern period than they did in the historical period. This indicates much warmer conditions in the modern period.

It is important to recognize that temperature is not the only limiting factor in the development of *Anopheles* and *Plasmodium*. For instance dry conditions can leave more water stagnating, providing ideal development conditions for the larva and pupa

stages of mosquitoes. Large amounts of precipitation on the other hand can wash away water, making it difficult for mosquitoes to complete their life cycles (Guerra et al., 2008; Gething et al., 2011; White et al., 2018).

Human activity can also alter the life cycles of *Plasmodium* and *Anopheles*. Even factors like proximity of dwellings and work spaces to areas where *Anopheles* eggs and larva develop can impact infection rates and timings. The timing of labor activities can also influence this. For instance, people on Shirley would be much more likely to get infected while working on reclaimed swamp land. This part of the plantation had trouble dealing with standing water and was in close proximity with the remaining swamp land (Stampp et al., 1985). Without having controlled for these other environmental conditions, this analysis can only be suggestive of changes in climate (Gething et al., 2011; Guerra et al., 2008; McNeill, 2010).

Like the comparison of final spring freeze events in the first analysis, this allows a comparison to be made between ordinal data, commonly found in historical document, with numeric data from modern instruments. In this case, we ~~are~~ compared mid summer temperature conditions across epochs. Where the first analysis allows us to compare temperatures by using observations of water undergoing a state change at 0° C, the analysis of malaria symptoms allows us to analyze summertime temperatures. The later method can be extended to any place and time where malaria was endemic and its effects documented.

#### 5.4 Three-Point Temperature Index

A three-point temperature index was created for the 1820–1842 period. This style of temperature index was first developed by H. H. Lamb (White et al., 2018). It organizes ordinal descriptions from historical records onto a three point scale. Cold conditions are given a negative value, hot conditions are given a positive value, and neutral conditions were given the value. Christian Pfister expanded on the method to create a seven–point index. However, the seven–point method requires a second dataset to validate the indexed data by. Since this is not yet available for the study region, we will be using the three–point index here (White et al., 2018).

Ordinal descriptions of temperature were organized into three categories: hot, neutral, and cold. Table 6 shows how the categorical temperature values were broken down into the three–point index. There were 12 values assigned to hot, 13 values were assigned to neutral, and 29 were assigned to cold. A rolling mean was taken for each day using the values for the 30 days surrounding it (the day’s value, as well as the previous 15 days, and the 15 days after it). Figure 9 shows the index covering 1820–1842. The rolling mean allows the data to be smoothed without losing its daily resolution. The index is inline with what we expected. The temperature index is at its highest during the summer months and lowest during the winter. The temperature index data is available at <https://github.com/gdb12/Historical-bioclimatology>.

The daily resolution of this data has some advantages. Most ordinal temperature indices developed from historical documents are at the seasonal or annual level. Daily data will allow future research to investigate day-to-day variability. It can also be used in conjunction with daily thermometer readings and a Pfister scale to reconstruct temperatures at the daily, weekly, or monthly temperatures. This can then be aggregated to seasonal and annual levels so it can be used in conjunction with other reconstructions (White et al., 2018).

## 6 Summary

We ~~extract~~extracted historical environmental and weather data from a plantation document written over the period ~~covering~~ 1816–1842 at Shirley Plantation in Virginia, USA. We ~~detail~~detailed the methods used to extract the data from the document and ~~make them available as a database~~made the resulting index available as a dataset. The method provides a recipe  
5 for others interested in extracting data from similar historical ~~document~~documents. The database covers 25 years of plantation entries resulting in 22,019 entries in the database. The majority of the entries are ordinal observations of weather. Currently the database contains more than 2,000 entries about agriculture that can be used for phenological based studies of climate. There are also intermittent instrumental entries. ~~The database is available on--~~

This database makes contributions in a few arenas. One use of historical climate records is in conjunction with paleoclimate  
10 records, adding daily resolution data to what is available from methods like dendrochronology (Druckenbrod et al., 2003; White et al., 2018). These records can also function as a rosetta stone. Just within the Shirley Plantation’s record, there are thermometer readings, extensive plant, ice, insect, and parasite phenological records, and ordinal weather observations. Once this data is calibrated and validated, it can be used to extend our understanding using these different types of data from other documents. For instance, the ice-phenological information can be combined with observations of the same type from other sources, such as other plantation  
15 records, personal diaries, travel accounts, and ship logs (The James River is navigable up to Richmond Virginia). These records also allow us to study the phenology of important agricultural species prior to the intensive modification of these species over the past two hundred years through selective breeding and, more recently, genetic modification.

This data is also unusual for when it is being produced. By the mid eighteenth century, most ordinal weather diaries had abruptly ended in favor of instrumental observations from thermometers, barometers, and other newly developed scientific  
20 instrumentation. As a result, there is very little overlap between ordinal and instrumental weather data. Most sources for historical climate data in Europe made this transition within a very short time span. This same scientific turn can be seen in many North American sources, such as in the records of Thomas Jefferson and James Madison (Druckenbrod et al., 2003; Jefferson, 1776; White  
With so little overlap to train in transfer functions, it is often difficult to calibrate and validate ordinal data (White et al., 2018). The Shirley Plantation document continues in the ordinal tradition more than a century after most other such documents  
25 end. This is concurrent with some of Hill Carter’s own intermittent instrumental measurements and other such records that were produced in the area. As a result, this database represents an extraordinary opportunity to test calibration methodologies (White et al., 2018).

Using the database we compared historical and modern spring onset dates and showed that the median spring onset occurs a week earlier in the modern period. As the mean global temperature increases, measures of spring onset have been shown  
30 to occur earlier. These changes in temperature regimes can result in shifts in the phenology of plants and animals. Member species of an ecosystem can respond differently to these changes. Some plants will respond to spring advancement by flowering earlier in the year. Other plants are not responsive to these changes. The pollinators will have their own responses to the changing climate. The end result is disruptions to the flow of energy and resources. This has been shown to cause loss of plant populations in places like Walden pound in Massachusetts (Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2012). These trophic mismatches have

also increased the infant mortality rate among caribou, which are dependent on plants being at their most nutrient rich during calving season (Post and Forchhammer, 2008). Studies like this help measure the severity of spring advancement. This can in turn be used to predict where trophic mismatches are most common and have the greatest impact. This type of information is key to planning mitigation strategies for dealing with global climate change.

5 Using ~~the database~~our database, we also showed how public health data can be used as an index for summer temperatures. Because of the temperature sensitivity of the *Plasmodium* parasite, the daily mean temperature in the area has to remain above 18° Celsius (64.4° Fahrenheit) for two to four weeks for the first new infections to begin. Thus the first appearance of new infections indicates how warm the mid summer period was each year. This in turn can be used as a proxy to study the inter-annual variability of temperature in the absence of instrumental records. A three-point temperature index was developed using  
10 the data. Future research will expand this into a validated seven-point index.

There are ~~some~~additional considerations to keep in mind when using the database. Although we have already put approximately 3000 work hours into the database, we are not yet half way through the Shirley Plantation document. And there is an additional 25 years of ~~document~~related documents maintained by Hill Carter's son. There are also ~~document~~other similar documents from locations throughout Virginia and the Southeastern United States. It is also important to keep in mind how  
15 these document were kept. The absence of a document does not ensure the absence of the event. Hill Carter would write down the weather conditions in the morning and update the entry with the work conducted throughout the day. Changes in weather throughout the day would often be ~~recored~~recorded, but it is not certain that all such changes were documented. A common problem with historical ~~document~~documents is that events in the night would not be observed. Hill Carter often observed that there had been rain in the night (such details can be found in the narrative variable of the database, as seen in table 5).  
20 However, is not clear how often this occurred without him observing and documenting it. The descriptions themselves are often subjective as well. A pleasant day in winter most probably has different temperature conditions than a summer day that was described as pleasant.

~~There are some considerations to keep in mind when applying the methods to other historical document. Agricultural practices varied across space. While these labor rotations were common in the Deep South (states like Mississippi, Louisiana, and Alabama), this was not universally true (Toman, 2002). Locations at lower latitudes and elevations in much of the cotton belt had higher temperatures than other parts of the United States. There were colder temperatures in places such as the Upper South (states like Virginia, the Carolinas, and Tennessee), where the Shirley Plantation was located. Cotton plants required higher minimum temperatures than many other crops. This meant cotton grew at a much slower rate and was harvested later in cooler areas. The result was the phenophases of cotton and corn did not synchronize as well in the Upper South as they did in  
30 the Lower South. Corn might end up being the prioritized crop and be weeded first. A failed planting of cotton might result in a late replanting, further throwing off the timing between the different crops—as happened to the cotton on Shirley Plantation in May of 1822 and 1823. These factors will make a particular analysis more complex. On the other hand, these factors can help improve our understanding of the range in responses crops have to weather conditions.~~

Further, how ~~these document~~plantation documents were kept can vary across space and time. The way Americans kept  
35 these ~~document~~documents may have been very different from other former British colonies. What was deemed important to



creditors could also influence this. If an area was more likely to be financed by British banks than American, then there could be more similarities between American cotton plantations and British sugar plantations, than with tobacco plantations in the Upper South. Different imperial ~~metropols~~metropoles could have different expectations as well. The French Empire could have different standards and practices compared to the British, Spanish, or others. Further complicating and enmeshing these systems was the transfer of territory. What became the Louisiana purchase changed hands between the French and Spanish several times before becoming an American territory. This resulted in ~~the document~~documents from this area being in French, Spanish, Creole, and English, and having influences from the French, Spanish, British, and American systems (Baptist, 2014; Beckert, 2015; Tadman, 2000). While any analysis conducted using these ~~document~~documents needs to keep the limitations in mind, they do not negate the value of these ~~document~~documents.

Future work will focus on validating the data, expanding the database~~in time and space, while also expanding the methods available for its analysis~~, and producing an in-depth analysis of the climate of Virginia. The most immediate concern will be data validation and calibration. We will test to see how well the data captures large scale climate phenomena like ENSO, tropical cyclone activity, and the aftermath of the Mount Tambora eruption. Calibration has proven to be more elusive. While there are a few thermometer observations in the data, they are too rare to be used for calibration. None of the local newspapers published temperature records for this period either. However, once additional plantation records from other nearby plantations, such as Berkeley Plantation (6 miles southeast of Shirley Plantation) will allow for content analysis validation (Moodie and Catchpole, 1976). Thomas Jefferson's temperature observations offer another possible dataset for validation and calibration. During his tenure as ambassador to France, Jefferson confirmed that his own thermometer was consistent with the official instrumental records in France, and maintained twice daily instrumental and ordinal weather observations during his four year residency there. this will allow us to calibrate North American records to its European contemporaries, and validate other North American temperature records. Jefferson's own plantation records, from his garden book, have already been extracted to a database by our team (Jefferson and Baron, 1987). Work has begun on extracting Jefferson's temperature records, including his time in Paris, France (Jefferson, 1776).

Additional years at Shirley Plantation will be added to the available data. ~~document~~Documents from additional plantations in Virginia will be analyzed as well. Temperatures will then be reconstructed using the response of various species to prevailing weather conditions. This can then be used to create a “phenochronology,” similar to dendrochronology, but using historical phenological observations of plants similar to what Aono and Kazui (2008) have done with cherry blossoms, and what Chuine et al. (2004) have done with grape harvests. ~~Further the availability of~~ Because entries for several different species (Figure 2) are available in the database, they can be combined to refine reconstructions and fill gaps in the document for individual species.

*Author contributions.* GB, JE, and RD designed the study; GB, JW, OL, SD extracted the data and built the database; GB and JE analyzed the data; GB wrote the initial draft; and all authors contributed to writing and editing.

*Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support From the Florida State University Robert B. Bradley Library Research Grant, Graduate School Dissertation Research Grant, and the Undergraduate Research Opportunity Program (UROP) and material grant.

- 5 *Code and data availability.* The temperature index data and code for this project are available at <https://github.com/gdb12/ Historical-bioclimateology>

## References


- Ahas, R.: Long-term phyto-, ornitho- and ichthyophenological time-series analyses in Estonia, *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 42, 119–123, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004840050094>, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s004840050094>, 1999.
- Allan, R., Endfield, G., Damodaran, V., Adamson, G., Hannaford, M., Carroll, F., Macdonald, N., Groom, N., Jones, J., Williamson, F.,  
5 Hendy, E., Holper, P., Arroyo-Mora, J. P., Hughes, L., Bickers, R., and Bliuc, A.-M.: Toward integrated historical climate research: the example of Atmospheric Circulation Reconstructions over the Earth: Toward integrated historical climate research, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 7, 164–174, <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.379>, <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/wcc.379>, 2016.
- Aono, Y.: Cherry blossom phenological data since the seventeenth century for Edo (Tokyo), Japan, and their application to estimation of March temperatures, *International Journal of Biometeorology; Heidelberg*, 59, 427–434,  
10 <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/10.1007/s00484-014-0854-0>, <https://search-proquest-com.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/docview/1680100471/abstract/9D1CD1B50E884491PQ/2>, 2015.
- Aono, Y. and Kazui, K.: Phenological data series of cherry tree flowering in Kyoto, Japan, and its application to reconstruction of springtime temperatures since the 9th century, *International Journal of Climatology*, 28, 905–914, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1594>, <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/joc.1594>, 2008.
- 15 Baptist, E. E.: *The half has never been told: slavery and the making of American capitalism*, Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group, New York, the heart : 1937 – Feet : 1783-1810 – Heads : 1791-1815 – Right hand : 1815-1819 – Left hand : 1805-1861 – Tongues : 1819-1824 – Breath : 1824-1835 – Seed : 1829-1837 – Blood : 1836-1844 – Backs : 1839-1850 – Arms : 1850-1861 – The corpse : 1861-1937 Historian Edward Baptist reveals how the expansion of slavery in the first eight decades after American independence drove the evolution and modernization of the United States, 2014.
- 20 Beckert, S.: *Empire of cotton: a global history*, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, "This is a Borzoi Book"–Title page verso Reprint. Originally published: 2014 *The rise of a global commodity – Building war capitalism – The wages of war capitalism – Capturing labor, conquering land – Slavery takes command – Industrial capitalism takes wing – Mobilizing industrial labor – Making cotton global – A war reverberates around the world – Global reconstruction – Destructions – The new cotton imperialism – The return of the global South – The weave and the weft: an epilogue*, 2015.
- 25 Burris, G., Elsner, J. B., and Doel, R. E.: *Plantation Records as a Source of Historical Weather and Agricultural Data*, *Southeastern Geographer*, 58, 348–364, 2018.
- Camuffo, D. and Jones, P.: *Improved Understanding of Past Climatic Variability from Early Daily European Instrumental Sources.*, Springer Verlag, oCLC: 876685233, 2014.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: *CDC - Malaria - About Malaria - Disease*, <https://www.cdc.gov/malaria/about/disease.html>,  
30 2018.
- Chen, H.-F., Liu, Y.-C., Chiang, C.-W., Liu, X., and Chou, Y.-M.: China's historical record in the search of tropical cyclones corresponding to ITCZ shifts over the past 2ka, *Climate of the Past Discussions*, pp. 1–14, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2018-86>, <https://www.clim-past-discuss.net/cp-2018-86/>, 2018.
- Chuine, I., Yiou, P., Viovy, N., Seguin, B., Daux, V., and Ladurie, E. L. R.: Historical phenology: Grape ripening as a past climate indicator, *Nature*, 432, 289–290, <https://doi.org/10.1038/432289a>, <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v432/n7015/full/432289a.html?foxtrotcallback=true>, 2004.

- Daly, C., Gibson, W. P., Taylor, G. H., Doggett, M. K., and Smith, J. I.: Observer Bias in Daily Precipitation Measurements at United States Cooperative Network Stations, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 88, 899–912, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-88-6-899>, <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-88-6-899>, 2007.
- Druckenbrod, D. L., Mann, M. E., Stahle, D. W., Cleaveland, M. K., Therrell, M. D., and Shugart, H. H.: Late-Eighteenth-Century Precipitation Reconstructions from James Madison's Montpelier Plantation, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 84, 57–72, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-84-1-57>, <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-84-1-57>, 2003.
- Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A. and Mock, C. J.: *Historical climate variability and impacts in North America*, Springer, Dordrecht; New York, oCLC: 819403031, 2009.
- Gething, P. W., Van Boeckel, T. P., Smith, D. L., Guerra, C. A., Patil, A. P., Snow, R. W., and Hay, S. I.: Modelling the global constraints of temperature on transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax*, *Parasites & Vectors*, 4, 92, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-92>, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3115897/>, 2011.
- Guerra, C. A., Gikandi, P. W., Tatem, A. J., Noor, A. M., Smith, D. L., Hay, S. I., and Snow, R. W.: The Limits and Intensity of *Plasmodium falciparum* Transmission: Implications for Malaria Control and Elimination Worldwide, *PLoS Medicine*, 5, e38, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050038>, <https://login.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=31129299&site=eds-live>, 2008.
- Holopainen, J., Helama, S., Lappalainen, H., and Gregow, H.: Plant phenological records in northern Finland since the 18th century as retrieved from databases, archives and diaries for biometeorological research, *International Journal of Biometeorology*; Heidelberg, 57, 423–35, <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/10.1007/s00484-012-0568-0>, <https://search-proquest-com.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/docview/1326335222/abstract/9D1CD1B50E884491PQ/3>, 2013.
- Jefferson, T.: *Volume 2: Weather Record, 1776-1818*, <https://www.loc.gov/item/mtjbib026574/>, 1776.
- Jefferson, T. and Baron, R. C.: *The garden and farm books of Thomas Jefferson*, Fulcrum, Golden, Colo., <http://books.google.com/books?id=c7IQAQAAMAAJ>, oCLC: 755262435, 1987.
- Jonkers, A. R. T.: *Earth's magnetism in the age of sail*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2003.
- Mann, C. C.: *1493: uncovering the new world Columbus created*, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, oCLC: 1000197632, 2015.
- Margary, I. D.: The marsham phenological record in Norfolk, 1736–1925, and some others, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 52, 27–54, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49705221705>, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/qj.49705221705/abstract>, 1926.
- McNeill, J.: *Mosquito empires : ecology and war in the Greater Caribbean, 1620-1914*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.
- Merrens, H. R. and Terry, G. D.: Dying in Paradise: Malaria, Mortality, and the Perceptual Environment in Colonial South Carolina, *The Journal of Southern History*, 50, 533–550, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2208471>, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2208471>, 1984.
- Mock, C. J.: HURRICANE "AMANDA" Rediscovery of a Forgotten US Civil War Florida Hurricane, *BULLETIN OF THE AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY*, 94, 1735–1742, <https://login.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswsc&AN=000327926700010&site=eds-live>, 2013.
- Mock, C. J., Mojzisek, J., McWaters, M., Chenoweth, M., and Stahle, D. W.: The winter of 1827–1828 over eastern North America: a season of extraordinary climatic anomalies, societal impacts, and false spring, *Climatic Change*, 83, 87–115, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9126-2>, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-006-9126-2>, 2007.
- Moodie, D. W. and Catchpole, A. J. W.: Valid Climatological Data from Historical Sources by Content Analysis, *Science*, 193, 51, 1976.


- Nelson, L. A.: *Pharsalia: an environmental biography of a southern plantation, 1780-1880*, Environmental history and the American South, University of Georgia Press, Athens, paperback edition published 2009 Table of contents only <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip0614/2006016069.html>, 2007.
- Olmstead, A. L. and Rhode, P. W.: Biological Innovation and Productivity Growth in the Antebellum Cotton Economy, *The Journal of Economic History*, 68, 1123–1171, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40056471>, 2008a.
- Olmstead, A. L. and Rhode, P. W.: *Creating abundance: biological innovation and American agricultural development*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York, the red queen and the hard reds : productivity growth in American wheat, 1800-1940 – Corn : America's crop – Cotton : varietal innovation and the making of king cotton – Cotton and its enemies – The other revolution in the cotton economy : cotton's revival in the twentieth century – That "stinking weede of America" : the evolution of tobacco production – California : creating a cornucopia – More crops, more animals : livestock and feeds in the farm economy – Defining and redesigning America's livestock – Nature's perfect food : inventing the modern dairy industry – Draft power – Tying it together The red queen and the hard reds : productivity growth in American wheat, 1800-1940 – Corn : America's crop – Cotton : variety innovation and the making of king cotton – Cotton and its enemies – The other revolution in the cotton economy : cotton's revival in the twentieth century – That "stinking weede of America" : the evolution of tobacco production – California : creating a cornucopia – More crops, more animals : livestock and feeds in the farm economy – Defining and redesigning America's livestock – Nature's perfect food : inventing the modern dairy industry – Draft power – Tying it together, 2008b.
- Olmstead, A. L. and Rhode, P. W.: Cotton, slavery, and the new history of capitalism, *Explorations in Economic History*, 67, 1–17, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2017.12.002>, 2018.
- Phillips, U. B.: *American Negro slavery; a survey of the supply, employment and control of Negro labor as determined by the plantation régime*, D. Appleton and Co, New York, London, [https://play.google.com/books/reader?printsec=frontcover&output=reader&id=7E1\\_n7O9KvIC&pg=GBS.PR7](https://play.google.com/books/reader?printsec=frontcover&output=reader&id=7E1_n7O9KvIC&pg=GBS.PR7), 1918.
- Post, E. and Forchhammer, M. C.: Climate change reduces reproductive success of an Arctic herbivore through trophic mismatch, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363, 2369–2375, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2207>, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2606787/>, 2008.
- Primack, R. B. and Miller-Rushing, A. J.: Uncovering, Collecting, and Analyzing Records to Investigate the Ecological Impacts of Climate Change: A Template from Thoreau's Concord, *BioScience*, 62, 170–181, <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.2.10>, <http://bioscience.oxfordjournals.org/content/62/2/170>, 2012.
- Roberts, A. M., Tansey, C., Smithers, R. J., and Phillimore, A. B.: Predicting a change in the order of spring phenology in temperate forests, *Global Change Biology*, 21, 2603–2611, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12896>, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.12896/abstract>, 2015.
- Rutman, D. B. and Rutman, A. H.: Of Agues and Fevers: Malaria in the Early Chesapeake, *The William and Mary Quarterly*, 33, 31–60, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1921692>, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1921692>, 1976.
- Sparks, T. H. and Carey, P. D.: The Responses of Species to Climate Over Two Centuries: An Analysis of the Marsham Phenological Record, 1736-1947, *Journal of Ecology*, 83, 321–329, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2261570>, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2261570>, 1995.
- Stamp, K. M.: *The peculiar institution: slavery in the ante-bellum South*, Knopf, New York, 1st ed edn., 1956.
- Stamp, K. M., South Caroliniana Library, University of Virginia, Duke University, South Carolina Historical Society, Library of Congress, and Maryland Historical Society, eds.: *Records of ante-bellum southern plantations from the Revolution through the Civil War*, University Publications of America, Frederick, Md, series A Selections from the South Caroliniana Library, University of South Carolina. Part 1,

- The Papers of James Henry Hammond, 1795-1865. 15 reels. Part 2, Miscellaneous Collections. 26 reels. – Series B. Selections from the South Carolina Historical Society. 10 reels. – Series C. Selections from the Library of Congress. Part 1, Virginia. 8 reels. Part 2, Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. 5 reels.– Series D. Selections from the Maryland Historical Society. 14 reels Series E. Selections from the University of Virginia Library. Part 1, Virginia plantations. 39 reels. Part 2. [without individual title] 26 reels. Part 3. [without individual title] 30 reels. – Series F. Selections from the Manuscript Department, Duke University Library. Part 1, The deep South. 23 reels. Part 2. South Carolina and Georgia. 16 reels. Part 3. North Carolina, Maryland, and Virginia. 45 reels Series G: pt.1, Texas and Louisiana Collections; pt.2, William Massie Collection. – Series H: Selections from the Howard-Tilton Library, Tulane University, and the Louisiana State Museum Archives, 1985.
- 5
- Tadman, M.: The Demographic Cost of Sugar: Debates on Slave Societies and Natural Increase in the Americas, *The American Historical Review*, 105, 1534–1575, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2652029>, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2652029>, 2000.
- 10
- Toman, J. T.: Plantation labor management on United States cotton plantations in the antebellum South, Ph.D., Yale University, United States – Connecticut, <http://search.proquest.com/docview/305494325/abstract/33E11AF4CF4C4AB8PQ/1?accountid=4840>, 2002.
- White, S., Pfister, C., and Mauelshagen, F.: *The Palgrave handbook of climate history*, Palgrave Macmillan, London, oCLC: 934193528, 2018.
- 15
- Wilcoxon, F.: Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods, *Biometrics Bulletin*, 1, 80–83, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3001968>, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3001968>, 1945.
- Wilkinson, C.: The Non-Climatic Research Potential of Ships’ Logbooks and Journals, *Climatic Change*, 73, 155, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-005-6947-3>, <https://link-springer-com.proxy.lib.fsu.edu/article/10.1007/s10584-005-6947-3>, 2005.

**Figure 1.** Map of Tidewater Virginia. Shirley Plantation is located along the James River, 20 kilometers (12 mi) southeast of the weather station at Richmond International Airport. The inset includes the political boundaries in Virginia during the period of 1816-1842.




**Figure 2.** Heat map of species mentioned in the Shirley Plantation document. This heat map shows which years a crop was mentioned, and how many times it was mentioned that year.







**Figure 3.** Number of database entries in non-overlapping 30-day periods extracted from the Shirley Plantation document.




**Figure 4.** Number of database entries month. Activity on the plantation increased over the growing season, and then diminished over the fall and winter.




**Figure 5.** Entry type by observation category. The most common entry was about the weather, followed by agriculture, operations, and labor. Other categories had many fewer observations.




**Figure 6.** Histogram of last spring freeze days. This figure shows the distribution of last spring freeze days in Richmond, Virginia from 1942–2017. The black vertical line shows the median last spring freeze events in the data extracted from the Shirley Plantation document covering the period 1822–1838. There was one year where where no freeze events were documented for the spring. This leaves 16 years in the historical set.




**Figure 7.** Reports of ague and fever in the Shirley Plantation document during the period 1823–1842. Data for 1839–841 has not been generated yet. There are two decades of data, minus the missing years. Onset of symptoms indicate that the mean daily temperatures had been above 18° Celsius (64.4° Fahrenheit) long enough for the sporogical cycle of *Plasmodium* to complete in the host *Anopheles* mosquito.



**Figure 8.** Histogram of expected first malaria symptoms in Richmond, Virginia using data from 1942–2017. The black vertical line shows the median first malaria symptom reports in the data extracted from the Shirley Plantation document covering the period 1822–1838.



**Figure 9.** Three-point temperature index for the period 1816–1842. See text for discussion of how the index was constructed.



**Table 1.** Observation type and subcategories used in the Shirley Plantation document.

<b>Accounting</b>	<b>Agriculture</b>	<b>Human</b>	<b>Labor</b>	<b>Livestock</b>	<b>Operations</b>	<b>Weather</b>
selling	experimenting	health	carpentry	fattening	burning	hydrology
	harvesting	holiday	constructing	feeding	clearing	precipitation
	pest	problem	delivering	fixing	dragging	problem
	phenology	runaway	general	health	drying	smokey
	problem		hauling	managing	fallowing	temperature
	replanting		preserving	moving	ginning	thundering
	sowing		repairing	problem	hoeing	wind conditions
	thinning		traveling	shearing	hydrology	
	weeding				landscaping	
					penning	
					plowing	
					problem	
					shelling	
					treating	



**Table 2.** Archaic terms encountered in the Shirley Plantation document.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
ague	an archaic term for illness that is now typically diagnosed as malaria
bauks	strip of land where corn is planted
bilious fever	an archaic term for illness that is now typically diagnosed as malaria. Believed to be caused by an <del>imbalance</del> <u>imbalances</u> of the bile tempers of early western medicine
chinch	a pest insect species
cockle	a type of nematode that infects wheat
distemper	term for an illness believed to be caused by an imbalance of the four tempers
laying off	setting up drainage on a terraced field
listing	a partially mortared wall with turf on top
lodging	stalk collapse, esp. corn and wheat
marl	<del>lime stone</del> <u>limestone</u> baked in kilns that is then crushed and spread on fields to decrease the acidity of the soil, similar to liming and plastering
rust	a fungal crop pest
stob	fence post
windrowing	cutting a row of hay or small grain

**Table 3.** Terms with similar meanings and spellings.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Alternate term 1</b>	<b>Alternate term 2</b>	<b>Alternate term 3</b>
ague and bilious fever	distemper	bilious fever	tertian fever
bauks	balk	baulk	
beveling	bavelling	bavel	laveling
chinch	cunch	conc	conch
cows	beef	beeves	bovines
harvesting	cutting	onioning	picking
hauling	moving	getting up	getting off
knocked down	blown over	prostrated	blown over
shelling	cobing	seeding	beating

**Table 4.** Cultivars found in the Shirley Plantation document.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Cultivar</b>
clover	pug
corn	hominy    gourdseed    shell    white    yellow
grapes	blue    orchard
peas	partridge    cowpeas    galavant
wheat	white may    purple straw    red bearded    lloyd    red    turkey    rock    red may    domoville

**Table 5.** Example of the database extracted from the Shirley Plantation document covering the period July 1–7, 1838.

Date	Observation Type	Observation	Species	Cultivar	Narrative	Categorical	Numerical
7/1/1838	weather	cloud conditions				clear	
7/1/1838	weather	temperature				hot	
7/1/1838	weather	cloud conditions				thundering	
7/1/1838	weather	precipitation				rain	
7/1/1838	operations	shelling	wheat			shelling	
7/2/1838	weather	precipitation				rain	
7/2/1838	weather	temperature			to excess	hot	
7/2/1838	agriculture	harvesting	wheat	purple straw		harvesting	
7/2/1838	operations	shelling	wheat			shelling	
7/2/1838	agriculture	problem	wheat			ripe	
7/2/1838	agriculture	problem	wheat			tangled	
7/3/1838	weather	temperature				Fahrenheit	87
7/3/1838	weather	cloud conditions				clear	
7/3/1838	weather	temperature			to excess	hot	
7/3/1838	agriculture	phenology	wheat			opening	
7/3/1838	operations	shelling	wheat			shelling	
7/3/1838	operations	problem	wheat		windrows	sprouting	
7/3/1838	agriculture	problem	wheat		destroyed by hurricane	lost	
7/4/1838	weather	temperature				Fahrenheit	90
7/4/1838	weather	cloud conditions				clear	
7/4/1838	weather	temperature			hottest day this summer	hot	
7/4/1838	weather	cloud conditions			several	thundering	
7/4/1838	agriculture	harvesting	wheat			harvesting	
7/4/1838	operations	shelling	wheat			shelling	
7/4/1838	agriculture	problem	wheat			too ripe	
7/5/1838	weather	temperature			hotter than yesterday	hot	
7/5/1838	agriculture	harvesting	wheat	purple straw		harvesting	
7/5/1838	weather	temperature				Fahrenheit	92
7/6/1838	weather	cloud conditions				cloudy	
7/6/1838	weather	temperature			great change	cool	
7/6/1838	weather	wind conditions				windy	
7/7/1838	agriculture	harvesting	wheat	purple straw		harvesting	
7/7/1838	weather	cloud conditions				clear	
7/7/1838	weather	temperature				warm	
7/7/1838	agriculture	harvesting	wheat	purple straw		harvesting	

**Table 6.** Temperature index Categories.

<u>Hot</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Cold</u>
<u>exceptionally hot</u>	<u>fair</u>	<u>below freezing</u>
<u>excessively hot</u>	<u>fine</u>	<u>cold</u>
<u>hot</u>	<u>mild</u>	<u>cool</u>
<u>hottest day this year</u>	<u>mild and pleasant</u>	<u>exceedingly cold</u>
<u>hottest morning this year</u>	<u>milder</u>	<u>excessively cold</u>
<u>indian summer</u>	<u>mildest winter ever known this far</u>	<u>excessively cold for season</u>
<u>sultry</u>	<u>moderate</u>	<u>first frost</u>
<u>very hot</u>	<u>nice</u>	<u>freeze</u>
<u>very warm</u>	<u>pleasant</u>	<u>frost</u>
<u>very warm for season</u>	<u>very mild</u>	<u>frost very slight</u>
<u>violently hot</u>	<u>warm</u>	<u>frozen</u>
<u>workers fainted from heat</u>	<u>warm and pleasant</u>	<u>ground frozen</u>
	<u>warmer</u>	<u>ice</u>
		<u>light frost</u>
		<u>river frozen</u>
		<u>river hard frozen</u>
		<u>river nearly frozen</u>
		<u>second frost</u>
		<u>severe frost</u>
		<u>severe white frost</u>
		<u>severest frost yet</u>
		<u>smart frost</u>
		<u>turned colder</u>
		<u>turning cold</u>
		<u>very cold</u>
		<u>very cold violent change</u>
		<u>very cool</u>
		<u>white frost</u>
		<u>wintery</u>