

Reply to reviewers

First of all, we would like to thank the editor and the reviewers for the comments that will certainly improve our manuscript. In the following, comments of the reviews are cited in italics followed by our replies (references to pages and lines refer to the revised version without mark-ups).

5 Reviewer #1

The present ecology of foraminifera is very sporadically discussed or described and with only few references. Actually, studies such as by Hald and Korsun, 1997 and Korsun and Hald, 2000 of the distribution of living benthic foraminifera in Svalbard fjords have characterized species in terms of 'ice-proximal', 'ice-distal' (which are useful terms for the present study from a fjord affected by glaciers) and also related them to influx of AW and meltwater to the fjords.

We agree that it might be necessary for the understanding of our interpretation to describe the ecology of foraminifera in more detail (e.g., p. 13, line 29–34). We improved this in the revised version of the manuscript. However, the categorization into “ice-proximal” and “ice-distal” seems to be too simplistic as the occurrence of, e.g., *C. reniforme* and *E. clavatum* (in older publications referred as *E. excavatum* forma *clavata* or grouped as *E. excavatum*) is not restricted to ice-proximal habitat and not even to (Arctic) fjord settings as described in various studies on recent faunas (e.g., Feyling-Hanssen, 1972; Sejrup et al., 2004). Korsun and Hald (1998) explicitly pointed out that the latter two species should not alone be used as proxies for ice-proximal environments. While we cited Hald and Korsun (1997) quite frequently, we agree that it would be useful to refer also to Korsun and Hald (2000) as they describe modern benthic faunas in a fjord from central Spitsbergen. We now included this reference in our manuscript.

There are references to foraminiferal studies from Iceland – I would think living foraminifera in Svalbard fjords today and from East Greenland and the Arctic Ocean would be more relevant (e.g. Ahrens et al., 1997; Newton and Rowe, 1995; Jennings refs from the Greenland margin; Wollenburg refs from north of Svalbard).

Following the advice of the reviewer, we now added more references to modern faunas of fjords in Svalbard and (east) Greenland (p. 12, line 13; p. 13, line 31–32; p. 16, line 19; p. 18, line 30, 38). We still also refer to other modern shelf faunas, e.g., from Iceland, Novaya Zemlya, the Barents and Kara Sea, because here the benthic foraminiferal faunas are more comparable to our shelf fauna than, e.g., faunas from the Arctic Ocean.

Often the ecology of the foraminifera is presented as cf. (ref) (e.g. p.14, line 18, p. 15, line 10, p. 17, line 12). I would like to know what these references contain – not having to read the whole publication to find out what is meant here. There is in general too many 'cf.'s' in this manus – one or two is acceptable, but not more. It has to be written out clearly what exactly in all these published papers that is referred to here.

We reduced the number of “cf.’s” significantly (partly these have been used in a wrong way) and give now more details about the ecological preferences.

'Abstract': line 6: "spanning the last c. 15,000 years". Your record has a six thousand-year gap - this should be made clear from the start. Also, please mention the core name, length and water depth in the abstract.

We added this information (p. 2, line 14–15).

'Introduction': p. 4, lines 8-11. This is a bit of over statement: the records you refer to are also multiproxy comprising planktic and benthic stable isotopes and planktic and benthic foraminiferal faunas, diatoms, various grain sizes and IRD and are most often also of much higher resolution than your record. Better to state that your record is in a more ice proximal setting than the others, and that you can add low-resolution biomarkers (with one or two data points per 1000 years) and a different method for IRD-analysis in fairly high resolution.

We agree and changed the text - thank you for the suggestion (p. 4, line 11–14).

p. 5, line 11: give the time interval range between the 5 cm samples and the biomarker samples (also distance between the latter) and the range of time within the 1-cm samples.

Because the time interval is dependent on the (strongly variable) sedimentation rate, a uniform time interval between the 5cm-steps cannot be given here (ranging from 8 to 123 years per centimetre).

5 Biomarker samples were taken at selected points (e.g., remarkable changes in the other data) but neither at uniform depth intervals nor at uniform time intervals. We used syringe-samples of 1 cm diameter. Accordingly, the analysed sediment within this centimetre is mixed and no time range can be given.

Chapter 3.1 'Computer tomography': must be written clearer: what is the resolution of counts of the IRD > c. 1 mm and the volume %? I am also unfamiliar with this method and suggest that a simple count of IRD is performed and shown. This would not be a great effort as there are sieved residues from foraminiferal samples and I suggest IRD > 1mm and also 0.5-1 mm be counted for comparison with the computer analyses and for the sake of comparison with published IRD counts.

15 The CT-based individual IRD counts and volume percentage calculations have a resolution of 0.35 mm (see p. 5, line 35), while the presented counts were calculated per cm³. Accumulation rates of counted mineral grains (>500 µm) data correspond to the IRD data derived from the CT analyses, but the precision of the CT analyses is much higher as they refer to the entire width of the sediment core and not only to a small sample that has been sieved. Especially the combination of volume percentages and counts per cm³ provides a more realistic "picture" of the IRD input because both data sets have certain disadvantages (see p. 10, lines 18–20). Of course, we could show the accumulation rate of counted mineral grains >500 µm in the appendix (see Fig. A3) as they are already processed but this does not add any new information to the story.

p. 6. 'Grain size measurements'. Why are sortable silt data not presented and discussed? The manuscript mainly discusses the silt size fraction in terms of ice rafting from sea ice and/or supplied from glaciers. This size fraction can come from glaciers and sea ice yes – but can be current sorted as well. To me the coarsening from the Holocene onwards is because of current sorting – bottom currents are only mentioned on p. 17, line 4. I cannot see why presence of coarser silt should indicate sea-ice rafting, when definitely there is bottom current activity as indicated by certain benthic foraminiferal species. This may also explain the low sea-ice biomarker concentration mentioned on p. 16, lines 32-35. The maxima in 20 my could be more due to current sorting, than ice rafting in my opinion.

30 In an Arctic fjord mouth setting the grain size distribution of the sediments can be affected by downslope sediment transport, by sea-ice/iceberg input, by hemipelagic sedimentation and by the action of bottom currents. Hence, the sortable silt approach is difficult to apply, as the various impact factors are hard to differentiate – in contrast to contourite deposits, which are the prime candidates to apply sortable silt. Hass (2002) proposed a method to calculate "IRD-corrected" sortable silt for areas influenced by IRD but his study is based on a sediment core from >1000 m water depth and is, thus, not applicable to our much shallower, near-coastal core site. Nevertheless, it is possible that the coarsening in our record during the Holocene has been caused by increasing current speeds instead of winter sea ice, and, thus, this point has been taken up as an additional possible interpretation (p. 18, line 6–7). *C. lobatulus* (which is commonly associated with high current speeds) shows only low percentages over the entire record and should therefore be interpreted cautiously.

p. 6, lines 36-37: "several specimens show signs of dissolution or transport" – any particular interval or throughout the record? "Small fragments were not counted since these specimens might be allochthonous" – still, it would be good to see the % fragmentation data and how they compare to species distribution patterns

45 As the three dominating species (*N. labradorica*, *C. reniforme* and *E. clavatum*) in our as well as in other high-latitude fjord studies have comparable thick shell walls, which are usually well preserved even when dissolution is recognisable, we did not calculate any "fragmentation index" (as suggested by, e.g., Berger et al., 1982; Pfuhl and Shackleton, 2004; Zamelczyk et al., 2013). Thus, these data do not exist. However, thin-walled foraminifera, e.g. *Globobulimina* spp., are only of very minor relevance for our study area.

p. 7, line 20: “the endobenthic foraminifera *Nonionellina labradorica*” – there is no documentation at all in this manuscript about the life mode of *N. labradorica* – is it shallow infaunal or deep infaunal? What kind of food does it require? Fresh or partly degraded, refractory? Or?

Unfortunately, this necessary information got lost while editing the manuscript before submission. And as *N. labradorica* is one of our “key species” it is indeed very important information. *N. labradorica* prefers fresh organic matter and is even able to sequester chloroplasts (Bernhard and Bowser, 1999; Cedhagen, 1991). This species shows maximum abundances between one and two centimetres sediment depth (Alve and Bernhard, 1995; Loubere and Rayray, 2016). We implemented this information in the discussion (p. 13, line 29–34).

Any vital effects on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$?

Due to a very complex relationship between foraminiferal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ and a variety of different corrections for a “vital effect” suggested by various authors (e.g., Grossman, 1987; Hesse et al., 2014; Ivanova et al., 2008; Zajączkowski et al., 2010), we did not correct the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data for this offset. This is also the common approach followed in other studies from the Svalbard area (Klitgaard Kristensen et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2012). As we mainly discuss the offset between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of *C. lobatulus* and *N. labradorica*, a correction would not change the general trend/pattern but only the magnitude.

Later the differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between *C. lobatulus* (epibenthic, ref.?) and *N. labradorica* are used as an indication of export productivity. You have a low-resolution brassicasterol flux record (that do not match the benthic foraminiferal AR) – how does your ‘export production $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ’ record compare to the brassicasterol flux and benthic AR? (they are not shown in the same figure). *N. labradorica* is a deep infaunal species, and changes in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ may well be that the species moves up or down in the sediment in search for food, more than a direct signal of the amount of food.

Similar to other Cibicidinae species like *C. wuellerstorfi*, *C. lobatulus* is a “clinging” epibenthic species that is often found attached to hard substrate like pebbles, molluscs etc. (Dubicka et al., 2015; Linke and Lutze, 1993). The offset between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ratios of *C. lobatulus* and *N. labradorica* has just recently been approved as a very valuable (export) productivity proxy (Mackensen et al., 2017). These authors also showed that changes in the average living depth (ALD) have only minor influence on the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of a certain species probably because species usually calcify in a specific depth or foraminiferal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ratios reflect average values for species moving up and down in the sediment.

Indeed, there is no point-to-point correlation of $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and the accumulation rates of brassicasterol and benthic foraminifera. However, as all three data sets are only “proxies” for productivity also influenced by various further factors, they should only be interpreted qualitatively.

p. 9, line 18. I believe is it not necessary to write ‘BP’ or ‘cal.’ I suggest to make a statement in this section (4.2) that all mentioned ages hereafter are in calendar years.

We followed this suggestion and we removed the “BP” in the text and in all figures (x-axes) after the statement on p. 10, line 1–2.

p. 10, lines 20-22: Why not show *I. norcrossi* and *S. loeblich* in Fig. 3? *I. norcrossi* could be added to *I. helenae* (since most other studies referred to have done that). *S. loeblich* tend to have fairly confined peaks that may aid in stratigraphic correlation (see comment below on Younger Dryas). Also, I see in the species list in the appendix that there are many *Elphidium* species (apart from *E. clavatum*) – if added together they may point out events of lower salinity/freshwater input (see Polyak et al., 2002). I would also like to see the % agglutinated species. Rarer species could be plotted in an appendix figure or supplement.

I. norcrossi s.l. are indeed shown in many other studies, but in most studies the latter species is lumped with *I. helenae* while interpreted as *I. helenae* although both species have different environmental preferences. In our study, *I. norcrossi* actually shows a comparable trend as *I. helenae* but with lower percentages and less pronounced peaks. *S. loeblich* s.l. likewise never exceeded 8 %. As *Elphidium* spp. have partly very diverging habitats we see no reason for lumping them. Agglutinating species seem to be strongly affected by taphonomic processes as their abundance decreases clearly with increasing core depth. For this reason, agglutinants have been excluded from the calculation of percentages. However,

we see the point of providing the available information and, thus, we added an appendix figure showing rare species including agglutinants (Fig. A4).

5 p. 10, line 29: “(dominant) species generally follow the pattern of the total benthic foraminifera flux”. Yes –
evidently – better to show total benthic accumulation rate (AR) (the word ‘flux’ is for something raining down
from above) and remove the accumulation rate for individual species in the figure – it does not really mean
anything, because accumulation rates only relate to productivity, while species percentages relate to a high
number of other ecological factors. Show only total AR along the species percentage distribution - also because
the values can differ by several orders of magnitude between different species so that they are not really
10 comparable. Finally, the accumulation rates depends on the age model, which may not be accurate (and please
plot the total AR as a line).

We followed this suggestion (see Fig. 5a).

15 p. 14, lines 32-36: I believe this has been written before concerning a record from Isfjorden in a publication by
Rasmussen et al. 2012? 2013? with the same references. Minimum refer to this publication.

We now also refer to Rasmussen et al. (2012) (p. 16, line 6).

20 Section 5.2 Younger Dryas: The main question here is: how much of this interval belongs to the Younger Dryas
stadial – or is it the Younger Dryas at all? This study has used a standard modern reservoir age correction deltaR
of 98+/-37 years. However, it is well known that reservoir ages have varied through time – and the Younger Dryas
interval is one of the best investigated periods for changes in reservoir age. DeltaR ages of 300 years have been
recorded off UK (several Austin et al. refs), 800-1000 years for the central Atlantic (Waelbroeck et al., 2001),
150-200 years in coastal western Norway (Bondevik et al., 2006) – see also Butzin et al., 2005. More importantly,
25 Hanslik et al., 2010 performed an exercise experimenting with different reservoir age scenarios for the Younger
Dryas interval in a record from the Arctic Ocean and settled for 1000 years. With a higher deltaR the date
calibrated to 11.9 ka is probably of Holocene age, the age of 12.6 ka may also belong to the Holocene – and the
age of 13.2 ka may actually fall within the YD interval. If so, the rise in *N. labradorica* will occur in the Holocene,
which makes more sense, because it signifies retreat of the polar front and less sea ice cover. The following
decrease in percent of this species could then be the Pre-Boral Oscillation. Your data just below the suggested
30 YD-bar indicate low productivity and a maximum in sea-ice cover – could this be YD instead? What other benthic
foraminiferal species are present?

This comment points to a general problem we face when developing radiocarbon-based age models for
sediment cores. Of course, assuming a stable reservoir age for millennia or even tens of millennia is at
best an approximation and changes of the reservoir age through time are very likely. Now, our problem
35 as a community is, that we do have the relevant information only for selected time intervals in specific
regions – what means we lack information for most regions and time intervals (see Ślubowska-Woldengen
et al. 2007 for the Svalbard region). And when looking to the Younger Dryas (YD) record from our
shallow study area at the northern Svalbard shelf, reported reservoir ages for the Arctic Ocean of ~1000
years are probably less applicable as values of 150–200 years from comparable shallow settings off
40 Norway. But, at the end we do not know. Also the comparison of the records of individual species would
be somehow “floating” as also for other regional records, no better stratigraphy is available. And using a
specific species to maybe identify the YD sediments, bears the risk to put our “idea” about the response
of benthic foraminifera to the YD event to the base of our stratigraphic interpretation. Independent
indicators to localise the YD, such as the Vedde ash, unfortunately are not applicable in these high
45 northern latitudes (although Zamelczyk et al., 2012, reported the finding of the Vedde ash in a sediment
core from the Fram Strait at 78°N thereby, however, admitting that a redistribution by bottom currents or
sea ice cannot be excluded).

Thus, the main point to make here is that we cannot guarantee that what we identified as the YD in our
record indeed reflects the YD (on this level of stratigraphic resolution this counts for most sedimentary
50 records) as reservoir ages of 100, 200 or 1000 years for this period is likewise probably. Therefore, we
followed the most often applied approach for sedimentary records from the Svalbard region and continued
to work with stable reservoir ages – due to the lack of any information on “paleo-reservoir ages”. This
allows easy comparison of all these studies by now and a simple and common “re-dating” approach once

new information on past reservoir ages becomes available. But, of course, we also see the point of the reviewer about the difficulties in interpreting “our YD record” and we added a statement to the text outlining the stratigraphic uncertainties resulting from unknown reservoir age histories (p. 6, line 18–24).

5 *I pointed out in the beginning that the discussion is very local and only compares and discusses shelf records around Svalbard. This is partly acceptable for the Bølling-Allerød and Holocene intervals, which have been discussed and compared to Nordic seas and Atlantic records on many occasions (but do take a look at Wollenburg papers from the Arctic Ocean). But for the YD, I will request an in-depth comparison to ‘outside records’ and discussion – records from the Arctic Ocean, Nordic seas and Atlantic Ocean. Further south the YD is easily defined*
10 *by presence of tephra or other means (see e.g. Austin and Hibbert, 2012, where in addition to tephra, there are 0% N. pachyderma before and after the YD, and 100% in the YD interval). I especially request that the Hanslik-paper and some of Wollenburgs papers are discussed and compared to.*

15 We already referred to “outside records” from the North Atlantic and now we added some more comparisons (p. 14, line 38–39; p. 15, line 2–7; p. 15, line 32–37). Unfortunately, we cannot use planktic foraminifera (or their absence) as tracer for the YD because they are extremely rare in our entire record due to the shallow water depth and the littoral position of our core site. Seeing the stratigraphic uncertainties discussed above, we do not see any chance to add an in-depth comparison to ‘outside records’ for the YD.

20 *This means that the Younger Dryas in core GeoB10817-4 should first and foremost be defined and identified with certainty (as much as possible). This can be done by looking for patterns in the distribution of benthic foraminifera (this is why I asked above to plot more species as they may better indicate the location of YD), but also concentration and accumulation rate data, IRD and grain sizes, isotopes etc. I believe a detailed and thorough discussion of the Younger Dryas interval can lift this manus above being merely a local study comparing mainly*
25 *with other local studies.*

30 As already mentioned above, we do not see any chance to follow this suggestion. All available records from shelf settings around Svalbard have the same problem in identifying e.g., the YD. Thus, detailed benthic foraminifera distribution patterns might be used for high-resolution correlation of records but do not help in assessing their real age. The only way would be to assume a certain environmental, climatic and hydrographic setting for the YD and to estimate the foraminiferal response to those – what hardly would be convincing. Again, in most studies that we refer to (and compare our data to) a linear regional reservoir age is used to develop the age model. For comparison with those studies it is more useful to follow the same approach unless more precise age models can be developed.

35 *Given the above consideration about reservoir age changes – Figure 7 show some records from the GeoB10817-4 core plotted along the NGRIP ice core. Since your record is not corrected for true reservoir age changes the apparent synchronicity with the ice core is false – marine calibrated 14C ages and ice core years from layer counting are not expected to match (except maybe for parts of the Holocene and depending on the marine core location). As HS1 is known for the same reservoir age problems as the YD (actually even worse, see refs above)*
40 *the correlation to the ice core here may also be obsolete.*

45 As we do not know the exact “true” reservoir age, whether during the YD nor during the HS1, our correlation is probably false following the line of thought of the reviewer – but it could be right ... Basically, we feel that we developed the age model according to the state-of-the-art, knowing that is far from being perfect, and, within the uncertainties, we feel we also can use it to compare our record with ice core records as it is done in many studies.

Minor points:

50 *p. 4, line 18: ‘West’ Spitsbergen Current p. 5, line 28: ‘Additionally,’ p. 6, line 34 and line 35: “at” change to ‘to’ p. 7, line 22: ‘ice volume effect’ p. 9, line 36: missing ‘the’ after “abundant” p. 10, line 28: “flux rate” – flux is a rate – use accumulation rate for benthic foraminifera p. 10, line 32: ‘ice volume effect’ p. 10, line 33: “lighter” – use the term ‘lower’ – values cannot be ‘light’ (or ‘depleted’) (e.g., p. 14, line 36)) p. 11, line 36: add ‘interstadial’ after Bølling p. 13, line 16: ‘shelf’ p. 14, line 11: missing ‘the’ after “from” From p. 14 onwards: some awkward wordings here: “synchronously,” “benefitted”, “unveil”, “a fading”, “risen”, “go along”, “diminished down”,*

please edit. p. 16, line 19: 'slope', not "shelf edge" p. 17, line 37: delete "the" after "into" p. 18, line 23: "on" – I think should be 'onwards'

We corrected these mistakes.

Reviewer #2

5 *Most importantly is adding to and strengthening the discussion of Atlantic Water in the region, as well as something on the processes that could allow it to enter fjord system (like Woodfjorden in this work). As well, at times I find the authors use older references when there are newer papers that would be better to refer to. Thus I would recommend minor revisions.*

10 *Given the importance of Atlantic Water to the manuscript, I find section 2 a bit short and incomplete. How much Atlantic Water enters the region, and how does it vary? What drives that variability, i.e. the North Atlantic Oscillation? What depth is it found at today and what sets it? What drives there to be more or less Atlantic Water transport through Fram Strait vs the Barents Sea Opening? How can it be exchanged from the open ocean across a fjord sill (at the very least, there is some discussion of this for Greenland, if not Svalbard)? All of these items are important for the reader to understand so that the authors can link what happens today to their paleoscenarios.*
15 *The references in section can be brought more up to date as well. Also, the introduction has old references. I.e. for Fram export, there are newer works than Serreze et al., 2006, for example.*

The amount of Atlantic Water entering the Arctic Ocean/the Svalbard area is depending on variations of the NAO and the AO (p. 4, line 21–25). Additionally, large seasonal differences are evident in the modern Atlantic Water circulation (p. 5, line 2–5). Various mechanisms allow Atlantic Water to enter the fjord, including barotropic instabilities and wind-induced upwelling (p. 5, line 8–12). We included that information about the modern oceanography and we also included some more recent studies (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012; von Appen et al., 2016). Nevertheless, we also stick to the “older” references from the 1980s and 1990s as these are the “pioneering” studies regarding the circulation patterns in the Svalbard area.

25

Section 4.2: How would the results change if that one radiocarbon age had not been excluded?

As the excluded radiocarbon age is a “reversal” a linear interpolated age–depth model including this age is not possible. We tried to implement that age in an age–depth model developed with the Bayesian software BACON (Blaauw and Christen, 2011), but the model yielded no reasonable results.

30

Section 5.1: What does the topography of the fjord system look like with the sea level drop at this time? What does the oceanic Atlantic Water circulation potentially look like at this time?

The Woodfjord has no sill in the narrower sense, but on the seaward side of our core, a shallower ridge connects the peninsula Reinsdyrflya and the island Moffen (see Fig. 1c). Thus, a sea level drop might have slightly reduced the Atlantic Water inflow, if at all. More important might have been the fact that the inflow of Atlantic Water was not restricted by the “competing” Coastal Current, because this current (the northern extension of the East Spitsbergen Current) develops not before the deglaciation of the Barents Sea. We added this point to the discussion (p. 13, line 18–20, line 38–39; p. 14, line 1–3).

35

40 *Section 5.2: Not sure about the forced to submerge comment. If the Atlantic Water is cooled in winter, it will have its density increase and it will sink, irregardless.*

We assume that the intensive meltwater discharge during the deglaciation led to a thick surface water layer, thus, Atlantic Water advection was only possible in subsurface depths, partly even reaching the sea floor (see p. 13, line 1–3). During the Younger Dryas, the meltwater outflow may have been slightly reduced enabling Atlantic Water to enter shallower water masses, e.g., intermediate or even subsurface waters. Additionally, the benthic foraminiferal fauna rather reflects the spring/summer situation than winter conditions. Thus, winter mixing might not be indicated by the fauna.

45

50 *Also, if the Ekman transport is northward, that is away from the fjord, so am not sure how that allows the Atlantic Water to flood the fjord.*

Wind-induced Ekman transport would affect surface waters. If surface waters are deflected to the north, underlying intermediate waters (in this case Atlantic Water) would be upwelled to the south and were, therefore, able to enter the shelf and the fjord, respectively (See also Fig. 9b). We added these details regarding Ekman transport (p. 14, line 9–10).

5

Figure A1: What are the units of the vertical axis? Shouldn't they be m or dbar? Also, I'd like to see this figure as a panel in the actual paper, not just supplementary material. Having an understanding of the vertical structure and where the Atlantic Water sits would be useful to the reader.

The units of the y-axis are meter below sea level (mbsl). We mentioned the units in the figure caption and moved the figure into the main text (now Fig. 2).

10

Finally, it would be good in the discussion section to try to take the results, which are focussed locally on the Svalbard area, and speculate on the wider significance.

15

We compared our data with studies beyond the Svalbard region (as mentioned above) in the revised version (e.g., comparisons to studies from Greenland, Norway, Germany, Scottish Highlands and Newfoundland; p. 14, line 38–39; p. 15, line 2–7; p. 15, line 32–37). Additionally, we included the study of Łącka et al. (2015) from the Storfjord Trough (southern Svalbard) in the discussion (p. 13, line 11–12; p. 15, line 4–5; p. 18, line 13–15) as suggested by those authors (see short comment; doi:10.5194/cp-2017-53-SC1, 2017).

20

References

- Alve, E., Bernhard, J.M., 1995. Vertical migratory response of benthic foraminifera to controlled oxygen concentrations in an experimental mesocosm. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 116, 137–151.
- Berger, W.H., Bonneau, M.-C., Parker, F.L., 1982. Foraminifera on the deep-sea floor: lysocline and dissolution rate. *Oceanol. Acta* 5, 249–258.
- Bernhard, J.M., Bowser, S.S., 1999. Benthic foraminifera of dysoxic sediments: chloroplast sequestration and functional morphology. *Earth-Science Rev.* 46, 149–165. doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(99)00017-3
- Beszczyńska-Möller, A., Fahrbach, E., Schauer, U., Hansen, E., 2012. Variability in Atlantic water temperature and transport at the entrance to the Arctic Ocean, 1997–2010. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 69, 852–863. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fss056
- Blaauw, M., Christen, J.A., 2011. Flexible Paleoclimate Age-Depth Models Using an Autoregressive Gamma Process. *Bayesian Anal.* 6, 457–474. doi:10.1214/11-BA618
- Cedhagen, T., 1991. Retention of chloroplasts and bathymetric distribution in the Sublittoral Foraminiferan *Nonionellina Labradorica*. *Ophelia* 33, 17–30. doi:10.1080/00785326.1991.10429739
- Dubicka, Z., Złotnik, M., Borszcz, T., 2015. Test morphology as a function of behavioral strategies — Inferences from benthic foraminifera. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 116, 38–49. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2015.01.003
- Feyling-Hanssen, R.W., 1972. The foraminifer *Elphidium excavatum* (Terquem) and its variant forms. *Micropaleontology* 18, 337–354.
- Grossman, E.L., 1987. Stable isotopes in modern benthic foraminifera; a study of vital effect. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 17, 48–61. doi:10.2113/gsjfr.17.1.48
- Hald, M., Korsun, S., 1997. Distribution of modern benthic foraminifera from fjords of Svalbard, European Arctic. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 27, 101–122. doi:10.2113/gsjfr.27.2.101
- Hass, H.C., 2002. A method to reduce the influence of ice-rafted debris on a grain size record from northern Fram Strait, Arctic Ocean. *Polar Res.* 21, 299–306.
- Hesse, T., Wolf-Gladrow, D., Lohmann, G., Bijma, J., Mackensen, a., Zeebe, R.E., 2014. Modelling $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in benthic foraminifera: Insights from model sensitivity experiments. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 112, 50–61. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2014.08.001
- Ivanova, E. V., Ovsepyan, E.A., Risebrobakken, B., Vetrov, A.A., 2008. Downcore Distribution of Living Calcareous Foraminifera and Stable Isotopes in the Western Barents Sea. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 38, 337–356. doi:10.2113/gsjfr.38.4.337

50

- Klitgaard Kristensen, D., Rasmussen, T.L., Koç, N., 2013. Palaeoceanographic changes in the northern Barents Sea during the last 16000 years - new constraints on the last deglaciation of the Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet. *Boreas* 42, 798–813. doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2012.00307.x
- 5 Korsun, S., Hald, M., 2000. Seasonal Dynamics of Benthic Foraminifera in a Glacially Fed Fjord of Svalbard, European Arctic. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 30, 251–271. doi:10.2113/0300251
- Korsun, S., Hald, M., 1998. Modern Benthic Foraminifera off Novaya Zemlya Tidewater Glaciers, Russian Arctic. *Arct. Alp. Res.* 30, 61–77. doi:10.2307/1551746
- 10 Łącka, M., Zajäckowski, M., Forwick, M., Szczuciński, W., 2015. Late Weichselian and Holocene palaeoceanography of Storfjordrenna, southern Svalbard. *Clim. Past* 11, 587–603. doi:10.5194/cp-11-587-2015
- Linke, P., Lutze, G.F., 1993. Microhabitat preferences of benthic foraminifera—a static concept or a dynamic adaptation to optimize food acquisition? *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 20, 215–234. doi:10.1016/0377-8398(93)90034-U
- 15 Loubere, P., Rayray, S., 2016. Benthic foraminiferal assemblage formation: Theory and observation for the European Arctic margin. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 115, 36–47. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.05.004
- Mackensen, A., Schmiedl, G., Thiele, J., Damm, E., 2017. Microhabitat preferences of live benthic foraminifera and stable carbon isotopes off SW Svalbard in the presence of widespread methane seepage. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 132, 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2017.04.004
- 20 Pfuhl, H.A., Shackleton, N.J., 2004. Two proximal, high-resolution records of foraminiferal fragmentation and their implications for changes in dissolution. *Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 51, 809–832. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.02.003
- Rasmussen, T.L., Forwick, M., Mackensen, A., 2012. Reconstruction of inflow of Atlantic Water to Isfjorden, Svalbard during the Holocene: Correlation to climate and seasonality. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 94–95, 80–90. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2012.06.008
- 25 Sejrup, H.P., Birks, H.J.B., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Madsen, H., 2004. Benthonic foraminiferal distributions and quantitative transfer functions for the northwest European continental margin. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 53, 197–226. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2004.05.009
- Ślubowska-Woldengen, M., Rasmussen, T.L., Koç, N., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Nilsen, F., Solheim, A., 2007. Advection of Atlantic Water to the western and northern Svalbard shelf since 17,500 cal yr BP. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 26, 463–478. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.09.009
- 30 von Appen, W.-J., Schauer, U., Hattermann, T., Beszczynska-Möller, A., 2016. Seasonal Cycle of Mesoscale Instability of the West Spitsbergen Current. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 46, 1231–1254. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-15-0184.1
- 35 Zajäckowski, M., Szczuciński, W., Plessen, B., Jernas, P., 2010. Benthic foraminifera in Hornsund, Svalbard: Implications for paleoenvironmental reconstructions. *Polish Polar Res.* 31, 349–375. doi:10.2478/v10183-010-0010-4
- Zamelczyk, K., Rasmussen, T.L., Husum, K., Hald, M., 2013. Marine calcium carbonate preservation vs. climate change over the last two millennia in the Fram Strait: Implications for planktic foraminiferal paleostudies. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 98, 14–27. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2012.10.001
- 40

Atlantic Water advection vs glacier dynamics in northern Spitsbergen since early deglaciation

Martin Bartels¹, Jürgen Titschack^{1,2}, Kirsten Fahl³, Rüdiger Stein³, Marit-Solveig Seidenkrantz⁴, Claude Hillaire-Marcel⁵, Dierk Hebbeln¹

5 ¹MARUM – Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, 28359 Bremen, Germany

²SaM – ~~Senckenberg am Meer, Marine Research Department, 26382 Wilhelmshaven, Germany~~
~~Senckenberg am Meer, Abteilung Meeresforschung, 26382 Wilhelmshaven, Germany~~

³Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, 27568 Bremerhaven, Germany

10 ⁴Centre for Past Climate Studies and Arctic Research Centre, Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

⁵GEOTOP – Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, H3C 3P8, Canada

Correspondence to: Martin Bartels (mbartels@marum.de)

Abstract

Atlantic Water (AW) advection plays an important role for climatic, oceanographic and environmental conditions in the eastern Arctic. Situated along the only deep connection between the Atlantic and the Arctic Ocean, the Svalbard Archipelago is an ideal location to reconstruct the past AW advection history and document its linkage with local glacier dynamics, as illustrated in the present study of a 275 cm long sedimentary record from Woodfjorden (northern Spitsbergen; water depth: 171 m) spanning the last ~15,500 years. Sedimentological, micropalaeontological and geochemical analyses were used to reconstruct changes in marine environmental conditions, sea-ice cover and glacier activity. Data illustrate a partial breakup of the ~~Svalbard–Barents–Sea Ice Sheet~~Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet from Heinrich Stadial 1 onwards (until ~14.6 ka-~~BP~~). During the Bølling-Allerød (~14.6–12.7 ka-~~BP~~), AW penetrated as a bottom water mass into the fjord system and contributed significantly to the destabilisation of local glaciers. During the Younger Dryas (~12.7–11.7 ka-~~BP~~), it intruded into intermediate waters while evidence for a glacier advance is lacking. A short-term deepening of the halocline occurred at the very end of this interval. During the early Holocene (~11.7–7.8 ka-~~BP~~), mild conditions led to glacier retreat, a reduced sea-ice cover and increasing sea surface temperatures, with a brief interruption during the Preboreal Oscillation (~11.1–10.8 ka-~~BP~~). Due to a ~6,000-years gap, the mid-Holocene is not recorded in this sediment core. During the late Holocene (~1.8–0.4 ka-~~BP~~), a slightly reduced AW inflow and lower sea surface temperatures compared to the early Holocene are reconstructed. Glaciers, which previously retreated to the shallower inner parts of the Woodfjorden system, likely advanced during the late Holocene. In particular, as topographic control in concert with the reduced summer insolation partly decoupled glacier dynamics from AW advection during this recent interval.

1 Introduction

In the context of the ongoing global warming, the Arctic is gaining increasing attention from the scientific community and the general public (e.g., IPCC, 2014; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013). This is primarily motivated by extreme events like the 2012 sea ice minimum, the declining summer sea ice cover of recent decades (Stroeve et al., 2012) and the significant contribution of melting Arctic glaciers to the rising global sea level (e.g., Alley et al., 2005; Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006; IPCC, 2014; Overpeck et al., 2006). The Arctic is extremely sensitive to climatic changes and due to various feedback mechanisms, it is warming twice as fast as the global mean – a phenomenon commonly called the Arctic amplification (Serreze and Barry, 2011). These drastic changes in the Arctic also likely have far-reaching impacts. Several studies draw a connection to climate phenomena (especially severe winters) at lower latitudes (e.g., Cohen, 2016; Cohen et al., 2014; Kug et al., 2015; Mori et al., 2014; Overland et al., 2015; Overland, 2015), although, causal linkages between these concurrent events are open to discussion (e.g., McCusker et al., 2016; Meleshko et al., 2016; Overland et al., 2016).

While various studies suggest that these recent climate changes are induced by human activities (e.g., Abram et al., 2016; IPCC, 2014), it still remains unclear to which extent natural mechanisms may be involved. Instrumental climate data rarely cover more than the last century; sea ice observations by satellites did not even start before late 1978 (Stroeve et al., 2012). Hence, data reaching far beyond these instrumental time series are needed to assess the full range of natural environmental variability, especially the linkage between oceanic current changes and glacier instability. Sedimentary records covering centuries and millennia offer such archives of natural climate variations during pre-industrial times, i.e., without any significant anthropogenic impact. Also in the geological past, the Arctic has experienced periods of warming when ice shelves broke up and glaciers melted dramatically. The transition from the Pleistocene to the early Holocene, and especially the Holocene Thermal Maximum (HTM), when enhanced northward advection of Atlantic Water (AW; e.g., Sarnthein et al., 2003) and maximum insolation were recorded at high northern latitudes (Berger and Loutre, 1991), may help demonstrating ocean–glacier interactions under a fast warming trend, possibly comparable to the ongoing global warming.

Today, the advection of warm ocean currents is a main contributor to basal glacier melting in both hemispheres, which eventually leads to global sea level rise (e.g., Cook et al., 2016; Luckman et al., 2015; Straneo et al., 2010). Being situated at the only deep-sea gateway that connects the Arctic Ocean with the North Atlantic, the Svalbard Archipelago is an ideal location to investigate changes in the inflow of relative warm AW into the Arctic Ocean and its influence on the sea ice regime, regional glacier activity and climatic conditions. This passage – the Fram Strait – is a major gateway for heat advection to high northern latitudes as well as for sea ice export from the Arctic towards lower latitudes. The majority of Arctic sea ice (~90 %; Rudels, 2009) and about 50% of its total fresh water (during the time period 1979–2001; Serreze et al., 2006) are exported through Fram Strait. More recent studies report an increasing liquid fresh water export of almost 4 % but decreasing sea ice export of ~17 % for the time period 2000–2010 compared to the previous two decades (e.g., Haine et al., 2015). Due to circulation of the northernmost branch of the North Atlantic Current along its western slope, Svalbard is bathed by warmer waters than any other Arctic location (Fig. 1a, b), providing the area with a relatively mild climate. In the present study, we chose a site at the mouth of Woodfjorden (Fig. 1c), located at the northern margin of Svalbard where the main branch of AW enters the Arctic Ocean, for the documentation of ocean–ice interactions from deglacial times to the present. In this fjord system, which is today fed by tidewater glaciers, enhanced sedimentation rates offer a high temporal resolution.

During the Late Glacial Maximum (~21–18 ka-~~BP~~; Svendsen et al., 2004), Svalbard’s glaciers and icecaps were part of the ~~Svalbard–Barents–Sea Ice Sheet~~Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet. This grounded ice sheet covered the entire Barents Sea and expanded as far as the shelf break of Svalbard (Landvik et al., 1998). It must have been connected to the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet during the Last Glacial Maximum (Hughes et al., 2016; Landvik et al., 1998; Svendsen et al., 2004). Woodfjorden and its trough constituted one of the main northern gateways for fast flowing ice streams that drained the north-western sector of these ice sheets during the Last Glacial Maximum (Hormes et al., 2013; Ottesen et al., 2007). Whereas the Holocene and in part the late Weichselian have been relatively well documented off (south-) western Svalbard (Hald et al., 2004; Jernas et al., 2013; Majewski et al., 2009; Rasmussen et al., 2007, 2012, 2014b; Sarthein et al., 2003; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Werner et al., 2011), palaeoceanographic records from northern Svalbard (Chauhan et al., 2014, 2015; Koç et al., 2002; Kubischta et al., 2011; Ślubowska et al., 2005) are still scarce (Fig. 1b), ~~and in most cases based on only few proxies, e.g., stable isotopes, foraminiferal faunal assemblages and/or the analysis of ice rafted material~~. The present study aims at filling this gap, by compiling multi-proxy data, in particular, physical properties of the sediment, the faunal distribution and geochemical composition of benthic foraminifera, as well as biomarkers. Additionally, we generated a high resolution record of ice-rafted debris based on computer tomography. This set of proxies offers the opportunity to reconstruct the influence of AW in surface, intermediate and bottom waters, environmental conditions in surface waters and at the bottom water–sediment interface (including sea-ice coverage, primary and export productivity) as well as glacier activity. Additionally, the study site is located at the transition zone from a glacier-influenced fjord milieu to an open ocean setting; therefore, very sensitive glacier responses to minor changes in oceanic forcing are expected.

2 Regional setting

The amount of AW entering the Arctic Ocean is primarily influenced by altering atmospheric pressure gradients and respective circulation patterns, i.e., the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the Arctic Oscillation (AO). Positive states of the NAO and the AO enhance AW advection, reduce the sea-ice coverage and, hence, increase the salinity in the Arctic Ocean, while a negative NAO and AO decrease the AW inflow but fosters the sea-ice cover and the fresh water content (Rudels, 2009). AW is transported towards the Arctic Ocean by the Western Spitsbergen Current (WSC; Fig. 1b). This current follows the western shelf break of the Barents Sea (the core of the WSC mainly appears between the 300 and 1000 m isobaths; e.g., Saloranta and Svendsen, 2001), continuing northward into the eastern Fram Strait (Rudels, 2009) where it sinks and flows below a colder and fresher surface water mass around 78° N (Johannessen, 1986; Manley, 1995). Therefore, heat loss to the atmosphere decreases as the warm AW core deepens (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2011; Walczowski, 2013). Subsequently, the current is divided when a branch recirculates westward into the Fram Strait between 78–80° N (e.g., Hattermann et al., 2016; Schauer et al., 2004; Fig. 1b), merging with the cold and relatively fresh East Greenland Current that flows southward along the continental shelf of East Greenland (Rudels, 2009). At the north-western shelf break of Spitsbergen, the WSC is separated into two branches that enter the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 1b). One branch circuits around the Yermak Plateau along its western slope flowing eastward into the Arctic Ocean (Yermak Branch). The second branch, namely the Svalbard Branch, crosses the southern Yermak Plateau and follows the northern shelf of Svalbard (Aagaard et al., 1987). Although the volume transport of the Svalbard Branch is smaller than that of the Yermak Branch (~1.8 Sv and ~4.9 Sv, respectively; 1 Sv = 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹; Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012) s the

~~Svalbard Branch – the former~~ transports slightly warmer water than the latter ~~than the Yermak Branch~~ (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012; Schauer et al., 2004). ~~Therefore, it the Svalbard Branch~~ is considered as the most important route for warm, saline AW into the Arctic Ocean (Manley, 1995; Saloranta and Haugan, 2001). The volume transport of the WSC differs seasonally with highest values in winter and lowest in summer (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012). Additionally, the current is less stratified in winter caused by cooling in the Nordic Seas and current velocities are higher due to stronger wind forcing compared to the summer situation (von Appen et al., 2016). ~~These~~ Atlantic-derived waters advected by the WSC are divided from colder and fresher Arctic waters (i.e., transported by the northern extension of the East Spitsbergen Current; Fig. 1b; Loeng, 1991; Skogseth et al., 2005) by the Arctic (Coastal) Front on the western and northern shelf of Svalbard (Walczowski, 2013). Thus, AW is only able to enter Svalbard’s shelf and fjords when surmounting this front. Barotropic instabilities seem to be the major mechanisms allowing AW to cross this temperature–salinity front (Cottier et al., 2005; Saloranta and Svendsen, 2001; Teigen et al., 2010). Especially during winter, also wind-induced upwelling significantly promotes AW advection across the Arctic (Coastal) Front (Cottier et al., 2005, 2007). In the Woodfjorden, inflowing Atlantic-derived waters are stratified during summer – framed by a fresher surface water layer and a deeper colder water mass resulting from fall to winter vertical mixing processes (~~cf., see illustrations of seasonal water mass distributions in a “typical” Svalbard fjord in~~ Cottier et al., 2010), as illustrated by hydrographic data obtained during cruise MSM02/03 with RV *Maria S. Merian*, in August 2006 (~~in the Appendix~~ Fig. 2).

The Woodfjorden system in northern Spitsbergen, the main island of the Svalbard Archipelago, includes the eponymous main fjord and the two tributary fjords Bockfjorden and Liefdefjorden (Fig. 1c). At present, only the latter is fed by four tidewater glaciers: Monacobreen and Seligerbreen (*-breen* = glacier [norw.]), which form one joint glacier front, and the two smaller glaciers Emmabreen and Idabreen (Fig. 1c). Monacobreen is the tenth largest outlet glacier on Svalbard (Hagen et al., 1993). Meltwater from these glaciers contributes to the cold, relatively low salinity surface water layer in the fjord (Fig. A1 Fig. 2). Today, almost 60 % of Svalbard’s landmasses are glaciated (Hagen et al., 1993; Kohler et al., 2007). These almost 34,000 km² glaciers and icecaps represent 4.6 % of all glaciated areas on Earth (Radić et al., 2014). Calving of Svalbard’s tidewater glaciers causes a total mass loss of 5.0–8.4 km³ yr⁻¹ contributing ~2 % (0.02 mm yr⁻¹) to the annual global sea level rise (Błaszczuk et al., 2009).

3 Material and Methods

The 275 cm-long sediment core GeoB10817-4 used in this study was retrieved at the mouth of Woodfjorden (N-Spitsbergen; 79.80° N, 14.20° E; water depth 171 m; Fig. 1c) during cruise MSM02/03 in 2006 (Lherminier et al., 2009). Working halves were sampled with 10 ml syringes for microfossil analyses and grain size measurements at 5 cm intervals, as well as for biomarker analyses in a lower resolution at selected depths.

3.1 Computer tomography

Archive halves of the sediment core GeoB10817-4 were scanned by a Toshiba Aquilion 64™ computer tomograph (CT) at the hospital *Klinikum Bremen-Mitte*, with an x-ray source voltage of 120 kV and a current of 600 mA. The CT image stacks have a resolution of 0.35 mm in x- and y-direction and 0.5 mm resolution in z-direction (0.3 mm reconstruction unit). Images were reconstructed using Toshiba's patented helical cone beam reconstruction

technique. The obtained CT data were processed using the ZIB edition of the Amira software (version 2016.25; Stalling et al., 2005). Within Amira, the CT scans of the core sections were merged and core liners, including about 2 mm of the core rims, were removed from the dataset. All clasts > ~1 mm and bioturbation traces were quantified in each reconstruction slice with the *Segmentation Editor* (threshold segmentation) and the *Material Statistics* module. Used threshold values were: >1,500 for lithic clasts, 601–1,499 for matrix sediment, 1–600 for bioturbation traces (open voids within the sediment that were filled by air and/or water were included), <1 for the surrounding air and water. With the *Connected Components* module, the individual clasts were separated and subsequently analysed with the *Shape Analysis* module for their parameterisation. The determined clast length was further used for a clast-size analysis. Therefore, every clast within an interval of 167 CT-slices (corresponds to a ~5 cm core interval) was considered and the obtained result was written to the central slice position. The analysing interval was moved slice by slice. The final results (unit: vol. % of all segmented clasts) were exported in a spreadsheet. Additionally, the x-ray density of the matrix sediment was evaluated by calculating the mean value and its standard deviation of the matrix sediment per slice (measured in Hounsfield units (HU); the matrix sediment segmentation was reduced by two voxels to exclude potential marginal artefacts).

3.2 AMS Radiocarbon measurements

The chronostratigraphy of core GeoB10817-4 is based on 11 AMS radiocarbon measurements from either mixed benthic foraminifera or *N. labradorica* tests, achieved at the *CologneAMS*, facility of the University of Cologne, or at the ETH of Zürich, respectively (Table 1). Radiocarbon ages were converted into calibrated years before present-BP (= before 1950 C.E.) using the radiocarbon calibration software CALIB 7.1 (calib.qub.ac.uk/calib/) and the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013). ~~Because the exact local reservoir age at the core site which might have varied over time is unknown, an averaged regional reservoir age correction ($\Delta R = 98 \pm 37$ years) was used, based on six ΔR values from the Svalbard region (Mangerud, 1972; Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975; Olsson, 1980) derived from the Marine Reservoir Correction Database (calib.qub.ac.uk/marine/). Reservoir ages may have changed in the past, especially at high northern latitudes as well as during the Younger Dryas and during Heinrich Stadial 1 (e.g., Austin et al., 1995, 2011; Bard et al., 1994; Hanslik et al., 2010; Waelbroeck et al., 2001). However, as no information about palaeo-reservoir ages are available for the Svalbard area (see also Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007) we applied an averaged regional reservoir age correction ($\Delta R = 98 \pm 37$ years), based on six ΔR values from the Svalbard region (Mangerud, 1972; Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975; Olsson, 1980) derived from the Marine Reservoir Correction Database (calib.qub.ac.uk/marine/).~~

3.3 Grain size measurements

Grain size measurements were performed in the Particle-Size Laboratory at MARUM, University of Bremen with a Beckman Coulter Laser Diffraction Particle Size Analyser LS 13320™. Prior to these measurements, the terrigenous sediment fractions were isolated by removing organic carbon, calcium carbonate, and biogenic opal by boiling the samples (in about 200 ml water) with 10 ml of H₂O₂ (35 %; until the reaction stopped), 10 ml of HCl (10 %; 1 min) and 6 g NaOH pellets (10 min), respectively. After each step the samples were diluted (dilution factor: >25). Finally, remaining aggregates were destroyed prior to the measurements by boiling the samples with ~0.3 g tetra-sodium diphosphate decahydrate (Na₄P₂O₇ * 10H₂O; 3 min; ~~cf. see~~ McGregor et al., 2009). Sample preparation and measurements were carried out with deionized, degassed and filtered water (filter mesh size:

0.2 µm) to reduce the potential influence of gas bubbles or particles within the water. Results consist in grain-size distributions within a 0.04 to 2,000 µm range, divided into 116 size classes. The calculation of the grain sizes relies on the Fraunhofer diffraction theory and the Polarization Intensity Differential Scattering (PIDS) for particles from 0.4 to 2,000 µm and from 0.04 to 0.4 µm, respectively. Reproducibility is checked regularly through replicate analyses of three internal glass-bead standards. It is better than ±0.7 µm for the mean and ±0.6 µm for the median grain size (1σ). The average standard deviation integrated over all size classes is better than ±4 vol. % (note that the standard deviation of the individual size classes is not distributed uniformly). All provided statistic values are based on geometric statistics.

Sediment grains larger than 310 µm have not been detected by the laser diffraction particle size analyser although coarser sand particles and even gravels are detected within the sieved samples of the microfossil analysis as well as within the CT clast-size analyses (see sect. 3.4 and sect. 4.1; [Fig. 2a](#)[Fig. 3a](#)). This bias results from the very small sample volume (~0.5 ml) for the particle size analysis. However, taking into account that >98 wt. % of the dried bulk sediment is <150 µm (with the exception of 4 samples with 3, 4, 6 and 12 wt. % >150 µm fraction; Bartels, unpublished data), the observed grain size patterns of the siliciclastic fraction are considered as reliable.

3.4 Microfossil analyses

Bulk sediment samples were washed through a 63 µm sieve. The coarse fraction was dried and sieved through 100 µm and 150 µm meshes. Faunal analyses were carried out on two fractions: 100–150 µm and >150 µm ([Fig. A2](#)[Fig. A1](#) in the appendix). Counts from both fractions were summed up (i.e., >100 µm) for the discussion below. Samples with high numbers of foraminifera were split with a micro-splitter. Wherever possible, 200–300 benthic foraminifera were counted in each fraction of every sample to obtain statistically robust results ([cf.](#), Murray, 2006; Patterson and Fishbein, 1989). In addition, three replicates of a non-split as well as of a split sample were counted (each split was counted four times, including the initially counted sample). Statistical calculations reveal a standard error of 3.52 and 2.95 %, respectively. Most benthic foraminifera were identified [at-to](#) species level except for agglutinants that were mainly identified [at-to](#) genera level due to their poorer preservation. The majority of calcareous benthic foraminifera are well preserved, but several specimens show indications of dissolution or transport. Small fragments were not counted since these specimens might be allochthonous. Distinct *Stainforthia*- and *Buccella*-morphotypes were lumped together as *Stainforthia loeblichii* s.l. and *Buccella frigida* s.l., respectively, because in each case possibly two species (*S. loeblichii* s.s. and *S. concava* as well as *B. frigida* s.s. and *B. tenerrima*, respectively) could not be distinguished definitely. Hyaline and agglutinating species that could not be identified are summarized as Rotaliina and Textulariina, respectively. Only few planktonic foraminifera were present in the material. As post-mortem degradation might be the main reason for the distribution of arenaceous taxa (Murray, 2006; [cf.](#), [Hald and Korsun, 1997](#); [Ślubowska et al., 2005](#)), percentages of calcareous benthic species were calculated excluding agglutinants to avoid these taphonomic effects.

Accumulation rates of benthic foraminifera were calculated following the equation of Ehrmann & Thiede (1985):

$$\text{ARBF} = \text{LSR} * \rho * \text{BFN} \quad (1)$$

with:

ARBF = accumulation rate of benthic foraminifera [individuals cm⁻² ka⁻¹];

LSR = linear sedimentation rate [cm ka⁻¹];

ρ = density of dry sediment [g cm⁻³];

BFN = Benthic Foraminifera Number (per gram dry sediment) [individuals g⁻¹]

3.5 Stable isotope measurements

Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes were measured at ZMT (Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Ecology) in Bremen, using a Finnigan MAT 253TM gas isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a Kiel IV automated carbonate preparation device (standard deviations of house standard: 0.02 ‰ for δ¹³C and 0.06 ‰ for δ¹⁸O), and at MARUM (Bremen) using a Finnigan MAT 251TM mass spectrometer with a Kiel I carbonate preparation device (standard deviations of house standard: 0.02 ‰ for δ¹³C and 0.03 ‰ for δ¹⁸O). The measurements were performed on 2–8 tests (test size: 150–500 μm) of the epibenthic foraminifera *Cibicides lobatulus* (Ahrens et al., 1997; Dubicka et al., 2015) and the endobenthic foraminifera *Nonionellina labradorica* (Ahrens et al., 1997; Alve and Bernhard, 1995; Loubere and Rayray, 2016) for bottom water and pore water signals, respectively. Stable oxygen isotope values were corrected for a global ice volume effect (Waelbroeck et al., 2002) and adjusted for vital effects: +0.64 ‰ for *C. lobatulus* (Shackleton, 1974), -0.2 ‰ for *N. labradorica*: (Duplessy et al., 2005).

3.6 Biomarker analyses

Selected dried sediment samples were homogenised and used for geochemical analyses. 100 μg of sediment were used for the determination of the total organic carbon (TOC) content using an ELTRATM Analyser. Alkenone analyses, carried out to reconstruct sea surface temperatures (SST), were extracted using 2 g of sediment with an Accelerated Solvent Extractor (DIONEXTM, ASE 200; 100 °C, 1,000 psi, 15 min, dichloromethane and methanol (99:1, v/v) as solvent). The separation of compounds was carried out by open column chromatography (SiO₂) using *n*-hexane and dichloromethane (1:1, v/v), and dichloromethane. The composition of alkenones was analysed by gas chromatography (AgilentTM 7890). Individual alkenone (C_{37:4}, C_{37:3}, C_{37:2}) identification is based on retention time and the comparison with an external standard.

The alkenone unsaturation index (U_{37}^K) as proxy for SST (°C) was calculated following Brassell et al. (1986) due to the presence of the C_{37:4} alkenone. For calculating SST, the following equation

$$U_{37}^{K'} = 0.033 * T (°C) + 0.044 \quad (2)$$

by Müller et al. (1998) – based on a global core-top calibration (60° N–60° S) – was used (replacing $U_{37}^{K'}$ by U_{37}^K due to the presence of the C_{37:4} alkenones). This equation (Eq. 2) yielded more realistic results compared to other whose temperature reconstructions were much too high (e.g., Prahl and Wakeham, 1987). The standard error of the calibration is reported as ±1.5 °C. The instrument stability was continuously controlled by re-runs of an external alkenone standard (extracted from *Emiliania huxleyi* cultures with known growth temperature) during the analytical sequences. The range of the total analytical error calculated by replicate analyses is less than 0.4 °C.

The C₂₅ isoprenoid lipid biomarker (IP₂₅) was analysed to document sea ice coverage (ef., Belt et al., 2007). Brassicasterol and dinosterol have been used as phytoplankton biomarkers (ef., see Fahl and Stein, 1999; Volkman, 1986; Volkman et al., 1993). For extraction of IP₂₅ and sterols, 3 g of sediment were ultrasonicated (Sonorex Super RK 510, 35 Khz, 15 min) using dichloromethane:methanol (2:1, v/v) as solvent. For quantification, internal standards 7-hexylnonadecane (7-HND, 0.076 μg per sample for IP₂₅ quantification), squalane (2.4 μg per sample) and cholest-5-en-3β-ol-D₆ (10 μg per sample for sterol quantification), were added prior to analytical treatment. Separation of the hydrocarbon and sterol fractions was carried out via open column chromatography (hydrocarbon

fraction with 5 ml *n*-hexane, the sterol fraction with 6 ml *n*-hexane:ethylacetate (5:1, v/v). The latter fraction was silylated with 500 ml BSTFA (bis-trimethylsilyl-trifluoroacet-amide) (60 °C, 2h). IP₂₅ and sterols were analysed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Component assignment was based on comparison of gas chromatography (GC) retention times with those of reference compounds and published mass spectra. The Kovats Index calculated for IP₂₅ is 2086. The detection limit for quantification of IP₂₅ (Agilent 7890B GCTM, Agilent 5977ATM Extractor MSD with Performance Turbo Pump) is 0.005 ng μL⁻¹ in SIM (selected ion monitoring) mode. The retention indices for brassicasterol (as 24-methylcholesta-5,22E-dien-3β-O-Si(CH₃)₃) and dinosterol (as 4α,23,24-trimethyl-5α-cholest-22E-en-3β-O-Si(CH₃)₃) were calculated to be 1.018 and 1.091 (normalized to cholest-5-en-3β-ol-D₆ set to be 1.000), respectively.

For the quantification of IP₂₅, its molecular ion (*m/z* 350) in relation to the abundant fragment ion *m/z* 266 of the internal standard (7-HND) was used (SIM mode). The different responses of these ions were balanced by an external calibration (Fahl and Stein, 2012). Brassicasterol and dinosterol were quantified as trimethylsilyl ethers using the molecular ions *m/z* 470 and *m/z* 500, respectively, in relation to the molecular ion *m/z* 464 of cholesterol-D₆. For more details about the quantification of IP₂₅ as well as the sterols see Fahl and Stein (2012). Accumulation rates of sea ice and phytoplankton biomarkers (IP₂₅ and brassicasterol, respectively) were calculated following Eq. (1) by replacing the BFN term accordingly.

For more semi-quantitative estimates of present and past sea ice coverage, Müller et al. (2011) combined the sea ice proxy IP₂₅ and phytoplankton biomarkers in a phytoplankton-IP₂₅ index, the so-called “PIP₂₅ index“:

$$PIP_{25} = \frac{[IP_{25}]}{[IP_{25}] + ([phytoplankton\ marker] * c)} \quad (3)$$

with *c* = mean IP₂₅ concentration/mean phytoplankton biomarker concentration.

As phytoplankton biomarker, brassicasterol was used (for further discussion of advantages and limitations of the PIP₂₅ approach see Belt and Müller, 2013; Smik et al., 2016; Stein et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2015). Following the classification scheme of Müller et al. (2011), PIP₂₅ values between 0.3 and 0.5, 0.5 and 0.7, and >>0.7 point to a reduced sea ice cover, a seasonal sea ice cover including an ice edge situation, and an extended to perennial sea ice cover, respectively.

4 Results

4.1 Core description

The sediment of core GeoB10817-4 consists of some coarse sand and gravel, with scattered pebbles (Fig. 2a Fig. 3a) embedded in a homogeneous silty clay matrix sediment. The upper ~30 cm of the sediment core are heavily bioturbated, as illustrated by the CT scan. The interval between 31 and 54 cm is characterised by a basal erosional unconformity, weakly visible on the CT picture (Fig. 2b Fig. 3b), and high amounts of (extra- and intra-) clasts (Fig. 2b Fig. 3b), sand (including high quantities of foraminiferal tests), and bivalve shell fragments. Furthermore, the interval exhibits an increasing x-ray density with an enhanced standard deviation accompanied by a coarsening upward of the siliciclastic fine fraction (<63 μm; observed in the grain-size analyses; Fig. A3 Fig. A2 in the appendix). These sedimentological features point to an allochthonous origin and a deposition by mass-wasting. Consequently, this interval is excluded from the subsequent palaeoceanographic reconstructions. Below this

disturbance and as deep as 140 cm, a small amount of clasts is found, whereas the lower part of the core contains high quantities of coarse debris (Fig. 2a Fig. 3a).

4.2 Chronology

5 Assuming linear sedimentation rates between dated depths (ranging between 8 and 121 cm ka⁻¹), calibrated ages have been interpolated (Fig. 2e Fig. 3c; Table 1). The segment below the 31-54 cm interval (sect. 4.1) covers a time span from ~15.7–7.8 cal. ka-BP whereas the above one ranges from ~1.8–0.3 cal. ka-BP (from here on, all ages are given as cal. ages-BP and data are presented exclusively against age). This indicates that the deposition of the 31–54 cm layer led to a ~6,000 years gap in the sequence, spanning a large part of the Holocene. The radiocarbon age at 257 cm depth has been excluded from the age model because it was obtained from a much smaller sample (~0.4 mg carbonate) than the overlying 237 cm-deep older sample (~4.3 mg) that has been measured four times with consistent results.

4.3 Sedimentology

4.3.1 Grain sizes

15 Until ~13 ka-BP, the grain-size distribution of siliciclastic sediments reached a maximum of about 7 μm (mean: 4–6 μm). In the subsequent period until ~11.1 ka-BP, a slight coarsening (max. at ~8–9 μm, mean: 6–7 μm) is observed. A further shift to coarser silt (max. at ~20 μm, mean: 8–9 μm) appeared around 10.8 ka-BP. From ~9.4 ka-BP on, silt around 45–50 μm shows highest percentages (mean: 11–15 μm). In the uppermost interval of the core (~1.6–0.4 ka-BP), a trend back to finer sediments (mean: 7–10 μm) is evident, but with a more even distribution than below the disturbed section (Fig. 3a Fig. 4a, b).

20 4.3.2 Ice-Rafted Debris

Clasts > ~1 mm detected by CT analyses (sect. 3.1) were considered as ice-rafted debris (IRD). The interpretation of the IRD abundances is based on the volume percentages and numbers of clasts observed on CT scans, as the combination of these data depicts the best available IRD distribution (Fig. 2a Fig. 3a, Fig. 3e Fig. 4c): the single consideration of volume percentages would overestimate large clasts while the numbers of clasts alone would overestimate the significance of small debris. For comparison, accumulation rates of counted clasts (>500 μm; based on Ehrmann & Thiede, 1985) from sieved syringe samples are shown in the appendix (Fig. A3) illustrating that the CT-based data offer a much more precise IRD record. CT data show that IRD are more abundant at the core bottom, containing high quantities prior to ~14.5 ka-BP (up to 10 vol. %; up to 2.3 clasts cm⁻³), but decrease afterwards (down to 0 % and 0.4 clasts cm⁻³). From ~13.1 ka-BP on, IRD amounts rise again until ~11.5 cal-ka BP (max. 7 %; max. 2.8 clasts cm⁻³). From then on, the volume percentages and numbers of clasts illustrate a low but continuous flux of ice-rafted material (mean: 0.2 %; 0.3 clasts cm⁻³), and some increase particularly from ~8.5 ka-BP on (up to 1.9 % and 0.8 clasts cm⁻³). Above the reworked layer (from ~1.8 ka-BP on), a further increase of the IRD content is identified especially for larger clasts (up to 7 % and 0.8 clasts cm⁻³; Fig. 3e Fig. 4c). Some peaks in volume percent reflect single large clasts (marked with a star in Fig. 3e Fig. 4c).

4.4 Microfossil analyses

4.4.1 Faunal composition

Planktic foraminifera were rare or absent. Their percentages range between 0 and 2 % of the total fauna apart from one sample dated ~13 ka-BP (~5 %). Thus, only benthic species were taken into account for calculations and interpretation. In total, 83 taxa (61 calcareous species) were identified (7–56 taxa/sample, 7–39 calcareous taxa/sample; Table A1 in the appendix). Calcareous species represent the major part of the benthic fauna (~80–100 %), agglutinating species occur mainly in the upper part above the reworked layer (up to ~20 %) with decreasing percentages down-core (mean: ~2 %; [Fig. A4a in the appendix](#)).

4.4.2 Relative abundance and accumulation rate (flux) of benthic foraminifera

The calcareous fauna is dominated by three species: *Cassidulina reniforme* (~18–78 %, [Fig. 4g Fig. 5h](#)), *Nonionellina labradorica* (~1–60 %, [Fig. 4d Fig. 5e](#)) and *Elphidium clavatum* (formerly named *E. excavatum* forma *clavata*, see Darling et al., 2016); (~2–46 %, [Fig. 4f Fig. 5g](#)), accompanied by lower percentages of *Buccella frigida* s.l. (max. ~9 %, [Fig. 4b Fig. 5c](#)), *Cassidulina neoteretis* (max. ~23 %, [Fig. 4e Fig. 5f](#)), *Cibicides lobatulus* (max. ~5 %, [Fig. 4a Fig. 5b](#)), *Islandiella helenae* (max. ~10 %, [Fig. 4e Fig. 5d](#)), *Islandiella norcrossi* (max. ~8 %, [not shown Fig. A4g in the appendix](#)) and *Stainforthia loeblichii* s.l. (max. ~8 %, [not shown Fig. A4i in the appendix](#)). Until ~12.5 ka-BP the accumulation rate of benthic foraminifera (ARBF) is relatively low (mean value: ~2,250 individuals (ind.) cm⁻² ka⁻¹) subsequently slightly increasing up to a mean value of ~4,770 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹. Apart from a few exceptions, the ~~benthic foraminifera flux~~ ARBF is further rising from ~11.2 ka-BP on (mean value: ~12,980 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹) with peaks at ~10.8, ~10.6 and ~10.1 ka-BP exceeding 30,000 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹ ([Fig. 6d Fig. 5a](#)). Above the disturbed section (from ~1.6 ka-BP on), the mean ~~benthic foraminifera flux~~ ARBF reaches ~6,530 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹. ~~Apart from *C. neoteretis* (which shows peak fluxes exceeding 500 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹ at ~13 and ~11.9 ka BP; Fig. 4e), flux rates of each (dominant) species generally follow the pattern of the total benthic foraminiferal flux (Fig. 4).~~

4.5 Geochemistry

4.5.1 Stable isotopes

Stable oxygen isotope values, corrected for vital effects and the global ice volume effect (sect. 3.5), increase slightly towards core top with *C. lobatulus* showing more variability and ~~lighter-lower~~ values than *N. labradorica* (ranging from 2.5 to 4.1 ‰ and from 3.6 to 4.4 ‰, respectively). Between ~12 and ~9 ka-BP, δ¹⁸O of both species exhibit an enhanced offset ([Fig. 5a Fig. 6a](#)). Stable carbon isotope values show minor variations for *C. lobatulus*, but a slight increase towards core top (0.4 to 1.6 ‰). *N. labradorica* exhibits depleted δ¹³C values from ~11 to ~10.1 ka-BP (down to -2.7 ‰; [Fig. 5b Fig. 6b](#)). The δ¹³C-offset between epi- and endobenthic species (Δδ¹³C) varies between 1.7 and 3.7 ‰, with peak values at ~12.6, ~10.6, ~10.4 ka-BP and ~0.4 ka-BP ([Fig. 5e Fig. 6c](#)).

4.5.2 Biomarkers

Alkenone unsaturation index (U_{37}^K) values range from 0.1 to 0.3. They yield SST values ranging from ~1 to ~7 °C. Highest temperatures were attained between ~11 and ~10 ka-BP, whereas the lowest values are calculated prior to ~11.9 ka-BP ([Fig. 6a Fig. 7a](#)).

Accumulation rates of the C₂₅ isoprenoid lipid biomarker (IP₂₅) range between 0.8 µg cm⁻² ka⁻¹ (~11 ka-BP) and almost 0 µg cm⁻² ka⁻¹ (~9.4 ka-BP) (Fig. 6e Fig. 7c). The phytoplankton-IP₂₅ index (PIP₂₅ index) using the phytoplankton biomarker brassicasterol (ef., Müller et al., 2011) exhibits its highest values at ~12.8 ka-BP (~0.9) and its lowest values at ~9.4 ka-BP (~0.1) (Fig. 6b Fig. 7b).

- 5 The accumulation rates of brassicasterol range between ~1.5 µg cm⁻² ka⁻¹ (~14.2 ka-BP) and ~167.5 µg cm⁻² ka⁻¹ (~10.9 ka-BP) (Fig. 6d Fig. 7d). The accumulation rate of dinosterol shows an almost parallel trend to that of brassicasterol but values are one magnitude lower (Fig. Fig. A5 in the appendix).

5 Interpretation and discussion

5.1 Late Weichselian (until ~12.7 ka-BP) – deglaciation in the Woodfjorden area

- 10 In the Woodfjorden area, the Heinrich Stadial 1 (until ~14.6 ka-BP) was characterised by a very high IRD content (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b) signifying enhanced calving of the glacier front. The increased percentages of fine siliciclastic sediments within this interval (mean: ~4–5 µm; Fig. 7a Fig. 8a) point to some contemporaneous intensified meltwater outflows (in this study, sources of siliciclastic sediments were interpreted as terrigenous). Thus, it is assumed that parts of the ~~Svalbard–Barents–Sea Ice Sheet~~Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet disintegrated during
- 15 Heinrich Stadial 1 while stable oxygen isotope records of the NGRIP ice-core show that atmospheric temperatures remained cold (Fig. 7g Fig. 8g; Rasmussen et al., 2014a). Contrastingly, bottom waters might have been relative warm and/or saline as indicated by the appearance of the benthic foraminiferal species *C. neoteretis* (Fig. 7d Fig. 8d) as well as the high percentages (up to ~80 %) of *C. reniforme* (Fig. 4g Fig. 5h). The occurrence of *C. neoteretis* has been connected with a strong influence of AW in bottom waters because this species is assumed to respond to
- 20 a limited temperature and salinity range as well as to an enhanced food supply (Jennings et al., 2004; Jennings and Helgadottir, 1994; Mackensen et al., 1985; Rytter et al., 2002; Seidenkrantz, 1995; Seidenkrantz et al., 2013; Steinsund, 1994). *C. reniforme* also prefers saline bottom waters (Hald and Korsun, 1997; Jennings et al., 2004) and may therefore be linked to the intrusion of AW into bottom waters. The advection of AW as a subsurface or even bottom water mass during Heinrich Stadial 1 as well as during earlier Heinrich stadials, has already been
- 25 proposed for the Svalbard area by Rasmussen et al. (2007, 2014c). Nowadays, basal melting of tidewater glaciers in Spitsbergen by high advection rates of the relatively warm AW, is evident (Luckman et al., 2015). Thus, during Heinrich Stadial 1, the influx of AW in the Woodfjorden area possibly contributed to the destabilisation of glacier fronts. Apart from oceanic heat advection, topographic features of the relative wide Woodfjorden system may have promoted the glacier retreat. Gump et al. (2017) reported about a more than thousand years delayed deglaciation
- 30 of narrower fjords with higher surrounding mountains in south-western Norway (see also Stokes et al., 2014). During the Bølling interstadial (~14.6–14 ka-BP), a continuing outflow of meltwater is expected as the grain-size distribution still exhibits a peak around 7 µm (mean: ~4 µm; Fig. 3a Fig. 4a, b and Fig. 7a Fig. 8a). ~~Synchronously~~Simultaneously, the sediment shows a reddish colour (Munsell code 10R4/6) probably connected to sediment plumes loaded by glacial flour from the erosion of Devonian *Old Red* sandstones. Source rocks, i.e.,
- 35 the Wood Bay Formation, crop out at the north-western as well as at the south-eastern coast of Woodfjorden (Reinsdyrflya and Andrée Land, respectively; Fig. 1c); (Harland, 1998). Melting glaciers from the former lowland may have been a potential source of these reddish sediments. This interpretation is supported by ¹⁰Be ages of erratic boulders which suggest that Reinsdyrflya started to be deglaciated during Heinrich Stadial 1 and became

ice-free during the Bølling-Allerød (Gjermundsen et al., 2013; Hormes et al., 2013). Rasmussen and Thomsen (2013) reported on “pink” sediment layers derived from Devonian Red Beds in sediment cores from the north-western slope of Svalbard. They interpreted those layers as deposited by meltwater plumes during interstadials. Forwick et al. (2010) also suggested that meltwater run-off connected to retreating glaciers was one possible source for fine reddish sediments deposited close to glacier-fronts. Correspondingly, a glacier-proximal position is likely at our core site where tidewater glaciers may have successively waned. Percentages of *C. neoteretis* reached their maximal values during the Bølling (Fig. 7d Fig. 8d). Almost ~~synchronously~~simultaneously, this taxon peaked at the south-western (Rasmussen et al., 2007) and at the northern margin of Svalbard (Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005) as well as in the Laptev Sea (off northern Siberia; Taldenkova et al., 2010) – although with considerable higher values probably deriving from a more direct influence of AW and greater water depths compared to our core location. Massive meltwater outbursts connected to the retreating glaciers possibly caused a deepening of the halocline (cf., Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004) as the extended cold and relative fresh surface water mass forced the more saline and, thus, denser AW to submerge. Accordingly, cold surface waters have been insulated from those relative warm bottom waters enabling an extended sea ice coverage (~~cf. see~~ SST and sea ice cover; Fig. 7 Fig. 8).

In the course of the Allerød (~14–12.7 ka-BP), the grain-size distribution shows a general trend to slightly coarser sediment (mean: ~5.5–6 μm; Fig. 7a Fig. 8a). The coarsening may reflect the retreat of some glaciers to more distal positions. Consequently, less fine-grained material from meltwater plumes would have reached the core location. Szczucin and Zajaczkowski (2012) showed that meltwater-derived suspended particular matter is mostly deposited within few kilometres from sources in glacier-influenced fjords of Svalbard, as mixing of meltwater with sea water induces flocculation and a subsequent suspension settling. Łacka et al. (2015) observed similar phenomena during the deglaciation of the Storfjord Trough (southern Spitsbergen). A further retreat of the glaciers is also suggested by slightly increasing amounts of (most likely ice-rafted) clasts in the studied core (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b), indicating enhanced calving. Radiocarbon-dated beach ridges at the eastern coast of Woodfjorden (Andrée Land) point to a glacio-isostatic emergence of ~20 m (Forman et al., 2004) in the course of the Allerød (Fig. 7f Fig. 8f). This uplift illustrates a massive retreat of the ~~Svalbard–Barents–Sea Ice Sheet~~Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet, at least following Heinrich Stadial 1, as the decreasing ice sheet load caused a successive continental rebound. Despite this Allerød sea-level drop, AW advection may have only been slightly reduced (if at all). The inflow of oceanic water into Woodfjorden is not restricted by a sill in a narrower sense, only on the seaward side, the peninsula Reinsdyrflya and the island Moffen are connected by a shallower ridge (~80–100 m depth; see Fig. 1c), possibly slightly decelerating the AW influx.

Towards the transition to the Younger Dryas (YD), percentages ~~as well as accumulation rates~~ of *C. neoteretis* increased again (Fig. 4e and Fig. 7d Fig. 8d). This trend is also in agreement with studies from the western, south-western and northern Svalbard margin (Rasmussen et al., 2007; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005) as well as from east Greenland (Jennings et al., 2006); although percentages of *C. neoteretis* were significantly higher at these locations during the Allerød-YD transition. The concomitant appearance of *C. neoteretis* may indicate some AW influx reaching the sea floor (e.g., Lubinski et al., 2001; Steinsund, 1994).

5.2 Younger Dryas (~12.7–11.7 ka-~~BP~~) – oceanographic transitions and glacier responses

During the early YD, the benthic foraminiferal fauna was still dominated by *C. reniforme* (~~Fig. 4e~~ Fig. 5h) but percentages of *N. labradorica* rapidly increased (~~Fig. 7e~~ Fig. 8c). The latter species prefers fresh organic matter and is even able to sequester chloroplasts (Bernhard and Bowser, 1999; Cedhagen, 1991). *N. labradorica* shows maximum abundances between one and two centimetres sediment depth (e.g., Ahrens et al., 1997; Alve and Bernhard, 1995; Loubere and Rayray, 2016; Newton and Rowe, 1995). In general, this species is associated with glacier-distal habitats (Korsun and Hald, 1998, 2000). It commonly blooms in connection to enhanced productivity found near oceanic fronts (e.g., Rytter et al., 2002; Sheldon et al., 2016b; Steinsund, 1994). Ślubowska-Woldengen et al. (2007) concluded that rising percentages of *N. labradorica* reflect the approaching Arctic Coastal Front, which divided Atlantic (i.e., the Svalbard Branch) and Arctic waters. Studies from the northern Svalbard continental slope (Koç et al., 2002; Ślubowska et al., 2005) as well as from the Bellsund trough (western Spitsbergen; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007) show similar distributions of *N. labradorica* during the YD. According to reconstructions of the Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet, the Barents Sea itself was no longer covered by this ice sheet at the beginning of the YD (Hormes et al., 2013) enabling the East Spitsbergen Current to surround the Svalbard Archipelago, transporting Arctic Water to the western and northern Svalbard margins and, thus, causing the development of the Arctic Coastal Front. At the study site in Woodfjorden, AW may have risen in the water column, possibly detaching from the seafloor and enabling the formation of denser Winter Water at the bottom (~~ef., see~~ modern situation illustrated in ~~Fig. A1~~ Fig. 2). The rise of AW may have been caused by a slightly declined meltwater outflow (~~Fig. 3a~~ Fig. 4a, ~~Fig. 7a~~ Fig. 8a), which no longer forced AW to submerge (see section 5.1), and/or by upwelling events. Modern AW intrusion into the fjords of Spitsbergen occurs intermittently (~~ef., e.g.,~~ Carmack and Wassmann, 2006; Wassmann, 2015) and is amplified by wind-induced upwelling (~~ef.,~~ Cottier et al., 2005, 2007). Today, easterlies are the prevailing winds at the northern margin of Svalbard (Lind and Ingvaldsen, 2012). Then, northward Ekman transport of surface waters generates (southward) upwelling of subsurface (or intermediate) AW-upwelling, which subsequently is able to flood the northern shelves of Svalbard (Falk-Petersen et al., 2015; Lind and Ingvaldsen, 2012). A northward retreat of the sea-ice edge even amplifies this mechanism (Falk-Petersen et al., 2015 and references therein). Comparable conditions might have occurred very frequently at the mouth of Woodfjorden during the early YD, especially because the sea-ice coverage was temporarily reduced during this time interval (~~Fig. 6b~~ Fig. 7b). Due to the resultant shallower halocline, an oceanic front (i.e., the Arctic Coastal Front) formed, separating AW from Arctic Water. In the Arctic, AW is commonly associated with enhanced nutrition: Nutrients are transported from deeper water masses to the surface in the North Atlantic by winter mixing, the subsequent northward advection of these nutrients promotes primary production at higher northern latitudes while Arctic-derived waters contain only low nutrient amounts (Hunt et al., 2016). Thus, the advection of AW distributes nutrients onto the Arctic shelves, e.g., the shelves and fjords of Svalbard (Carmack and Wassmann, 2006). Therefore, the inflow of (sub-)surface AW in the photic zone is interpreted as leading directly to a higher productivity (Carmack and Wassmann, 2006; Falk-Petersen et al., 2015; Sakshaug, 1997). Accordingly, nutrient advection and consequential increasing phytoplankton blooms in spring/early summer may have resulted from such upwelling events during early YD. High $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ -offsets ($\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$; ~~Fig. 7e~~ Fig. 8e) between the epi- (*C. lobatulus*) and the endobenthic species (*N. labradorica*) during this time interval point to enhanced remineralisation rates and consequential enhanced export production (~~ef., see, e.g.,~~ Mackensen, 2008; Mackensen and Schmiedl, 2016). Therefore, $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are considered to be valuable palaeoproductivity proxies

(Mackensen et al., 2017). Apart from a generally amplified productivity resulting from the stronger summer insolation of the YD (Fig. 7g Fig. 8g; Berger and Loutre, 1991), as suggested by Müller and Stein (2014), the increased (export) production possibly resulted from AW incursion into the photic zone. The subsurface advection of the relatively warm AW may have also contributed to further retreat of local glaciers: Maximum amounts of IRD (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b) and ~~increased risen~~ percentages of fine-grained sediments (mean: ~6 µm; Fig. 7a Fig. 8a) point to increased calving rates and meltwater outflow.

Following the peak appearance of *N. labradorica*, a shift in the faunal distribution is recognised around 12.4 ka BP: Percentages of *C. reniforme* declined while those of *E. clavatum* rose (Fig. 4d Fig. 5e, f, g, h). The dominance of *E. clavatum* possibly responded to comparable unstable conditions linked to sediment-laden waters and/or high-frequency IRD-deposition connected to glacier melting events. *E. clavatum* is found in extreme modern environments, e.g., affected by very turbid meltwater plumes and/or relative low salinities, where *C. reniforme* is not able to survive (Korsun and Hald, 1998, 2000; Steinsund, 1994). ~~Also at the coast of Newfoundland, eastern Canada, a comparable faunal change occurred during a similar time period (Pearce et al., 2014).~~ A shift from relatively stable to unstable conditions during YD has been inferred from various studies, although this shift may have started at the earliest at ~12.3–12.2 ka BP (Bakke et al., 2009; Lane et al., 2013; Pearce et al., 2013). The slightly earlier inception at Woodfjorden (and off Newfoundland) may result from dating uncertainties and/or regional differences. ~~(cf., Lane et al., (2013) showed, based on lacustrine records from Germany and Norway, that timing differences are likely connected to diverging regional conditions. Interestingly, Łacka et al. (2015) describe a contrary trend to our record with warmer intervals during the late YD in the Storfjord Trough (southern Spitsbergen). Mild summers and a strong seasonality during the YD are also reported from southern and eastern Greenland (Björck et al., 2002; Hall et al., 2008).~~

Cabedo-Sanz et al. (2013) report about ameliorating, sea-ice-free conditions off northern Norway as early as ~11.9 ka BP. In our record, lower IRD deposition (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b) along with gradually declining percentages of *E. clavatum* (Fig. 4f Fig. 5g) are observed during the late YD (from ~12 ka BP on), which probably indicates a further retreat of glacier fronts into inner fjord positions with a consequential reduction in icebergs melting at the mouth of Woodfjorden. Salvigsen and Høgvard (2006) noted that several glaciers at the head of Bockfjorden, a tributary fjord of the inner Woodfjorden (Fig. 1c), have been disconnected from the main fjord at the end of the YD. Prevailing fine-grained sediments (mean: ~6 µm; Fig. 7a Fig. 8a) – presumably connected to meltwater run-off – also point to some disintegration of glaciers. Birgel and Stein (2004) assumed that a “last deglaciation event” on Svalbard occurred around 12 ka BP, although they proposed a concurrent stable AW advection warming surface waters. Contrastingly, our data indicate that the spreading of cold and low salinity surface waters – resulting from enhanced inputs of meltwater – likely deepened the Atlantic-derived waters. Accordingly, AW-influenced bottom waters may have resulted in the observed slightly increasing percentages ~~and peaking accumulation rates~~ of *C. neoteretis* (Fig. 4e, Fig. 7d Fig. 8d) during late YD (cf. e.g., Jennings et al., 2004; Rytter et al., 2002; Steinsund, 1994). As surface waters were consequently better insulated from relative warm AW, SSTs probably fell again while sea-ice expanded (cf., see Fig. 6a Fig. 7a, b). However, a perennial closed sea-ice cover in Woodfjorden, as suggested by Brückner and Schellmann (2003), seems unlikely as the above data show continuous deposition of IRD during the entire YD (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b), even when maximum PIP₂₅ values are observed (Fig. 6b Fig. 7b), leading to infer broken-up sea-ice at least during summer to enable high productivity of sea-ice diatoms and

icebergs calving and dispersal. Hence, it remains unclear if *C. neoteretis* rather responded to those food pulses during summer as proposed by Wollenburg et al. (2004) than to the presence of AW.

The retreat of glaciers during the YD as suggested by our data is further supported by studies based on radiocarbon-dated beach ridges or moraines (Mangerud and Landvik, 2007; Salvigsen and Høgvard, 2006; Salvigsen and Österholm, 1982) as well as on ¹⁰Be-dated boulders (Reusche et al., 2014). They point to the absence of any YD glacier advance along the western and northern coasts of Spitsbergen. Possible contemporaneous glacier advances in several other regions of Svalbard – especially in Isfjorden (central Spitsbergen) – still remain under debate (Birgel and Stein, 2004; Boulton, 1979; Forwick, 2005; Svendsen et al., 1996). Nonetheless, YD glacier retreats have also been observed in the Disko Bay, western Greenland, where the Jakobshavn Isbræ retreated from ~12.2 ± 0.6 ka onwards (Rinterknecht et al., 2014). Those authors connect this retreat to warm ocean currents, which destabilised the marine terminating glacier by basal melting. A possibly ongoing deglaciation already at the onset of the YD and a further glacier retreat in the course of the YD is discussed controversially for the Scottish Highlands (Bromley et al., 2014; Small and Fabel, 2016).

5.3 Early Holocene (~11.7–7.8 ka-~~BP~~) – amelioration of environmental conditions

Climatic conditions ameliorated during the YD/early Holocene transition (starting ~11.7 ka-~~BP~~) as summer insolation approached its maximum values (Fig. 7g Fig. 8g; Berger and Loutre, 1991). Also the high offset between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of *C. lobatulus* and *N. labradorica* (Fig. 5a Fig. 6a) – which already started during the second half of the YD and persists during the entire early Holocene – presumably indicates increasing seasonal differences due to the contrast between high summer vs low winter insolation (Fig. 7g Fig. 8g) as suggested by Rasmussen et al. (2012) for the Isfjord. Indeed, *C. lobatulus* calcifies in summer, whereas *N. labradorica* calcifies in spring or early summer (Zajaczkowski et al., 2010). Rising $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and ~~depleted lower~~ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in *N. labradorica* (Fig. 5b Fig. 6b, Fig. 7e Fig. 8e) may indicate higher organic matter inputs deriving from enhanced primary production. High percentages of *N. labradorica* (Fig. 7e Fig. 8c) point to a growing influence of the Arctic Coastal Front with intensified AW circulation in intermediate waters (cf., see sect. 5.2). ~~Synchronously~~ Simultaneously, declining IRD amounts suggest a significant reduction in iceberg rafting (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b). Grain-size measurements illustrate some coarsening (Fig. 7a Fig. 8a), likely resulting from the retreat of glaciers to innermost positions in the fjords as smaller amounts of fine-grained suspended material associated with meltwater plumes reached the core location (cf., see Szczucin and Zajaczkowski, 2012).

Between ~11.1 and ~10.8 ka-~~BP~~, a short-term shift in the faunal assemblage is recognised: Percentages of *N. labradorica* dropped while those of *E. clavatum* and *C. reniforme* increased (Fig. 4d Fig. 5e, f, g, h). This likely illustrates a northward shift of the Arctic Coastal Front (away from the coast) and a consequential stronger influence of cold and fresh Arctic waters. Also some surface cooling is indicated by rapidly decreasing SSTs (Fig. 6a Fig. 7a). *E. clavatum* – an opportunistic species (e.g., Korsun and Hald, 2000; Newton and Rowe, 1995) – ~~benefitted from~~ took advantage of these deteriorated conditions. Increasing percentages of *C. reniforme* may reflect the presence of some saline AW at the fjord floor as this species is reported to respond to Atlantic (Intermediate) Water, e.g., at the eastern Greenland shelf (Jennings and Helgadottir, 1994). (cf., Jennings et al., 2004). Several studies describe simultaneous and similar faunal changes around Svalbard (Rasmussen et al., 2012, 2014b; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005), generally associated with the *Preboreal Oscillation* (PBO) cooling interval (cf., Björck et al., 1996). Some divergence in the timing of the PBO

– especially at Hinlopen trough (Ślubowska et al., 2005) – may be due to chronological uncertainties and possibly distinct reservoir ages, as suggested by Rasmussen et al. (2014b). Different trigger mechanisms have been suggested for the PBO inception. Meltwater discharge from the disintegrating Fennoscandian Ice Sheet into the Baltic Ice Lake and subsequent drainage into the Nordic Seas have been proposed as one possible cause of the PBO cooling (Björck et al., 1996, 1997; Hald and Hagen, 1998). In contrast, Fisher et al. (2002) assumed that a single meltwater flood event from Lake Agassiz into the Arctic Ocean resulted in an expansion and thickening of sea-ice as well as in fresher North Atlantic surface waters.

Despite this cooling, there is no evidence for any significant glacier re-advance in the Woodfjorden area as IRD do not change much (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b). Grain-size measurements still illustrate high but steadily decreasing fractions of fine sediments (~7–8.5 μm; Fig. 3a Fig. 4a, d), which might reflect continuous meltwater discharge, thus, further deglaciation. Nevertheless, increasing percentages of coarser sediments (~20 μm) are also observed during the PBO (Fig. 3a Fig. 4a, d). These coarser sediments probably result from sea-ice-rafted deposition as sediments >10 μm are interpreted as transported by sea ice (ef., Hebbeln, 2000). During previous time intervals, enhanced percentages of this coarser fraction (~20 μm) were masked because they were diluted by high amounts of meltwater-transported finer sediments (e.g., grain-size distribution at ~13.9 ka-BP; Fig. 3d Fig. 4d). In contrast, presumed sea-ice-rafted debris is better recognizable during the PBO when the grain-size distribution is less influenced by suspended sediments derived from meltwater plumes (ef., see Fig. 3d Fig. 4d). Sea-ice biomarkers exhibit a decreasing trend indicating a moderate sea-ice coverage (Fig. 6b Fig. 7b) but peak values of IP₂₅ (Fig. 6e Fig. 7c) illustrate high amounts of sea-ice diatoms which possibly characterise a sea-ice edge position at the mouth of Woodfjorden. Thus, the deposition of sea-ice-rafted material at the core site seems realistic. Subsequently, maximum accumulation rates of the phytoplankton marker brassicasterol (Fig. 6d Fig. 7d) point to algal blooms in spring/summer under open water conditions that persisted throughout the early Holocene (ef., see PIP₂₅ values; Fig. 6b Fig. 7b and Fig. 7 Fig. 8).

At the end of the PBO, *N. labradorica* rapidly recovered (reaching up to ~50 %; Fig. 7e Fig. 8c), while *E. clavatum* and *C. reniforme* declined in percentage (Fig. 4f, Fig. 5g, h). This faunal transition probably reflects the return of the Arctic Coastal Front in the vicinity of the core site, accompanied by rising AW intruding into intermediate water masses in the fjord (ef., see sect. 5.2). This hydrographic change was likely a response to the onset of the Holocene Thermal Maximum (HTM) in Svalbard, at ~10.8 ka-BP (ef., Miller et al., 2010), when summer temperatures significantly exceed modern temperatures due to the higher summer insolation (Fig. 7e Fig. 8g; Berger and Loutre, 1991). Peaks in benthic foraminifera flux the ARBF, with more than 50,000 ind. cm⁻² ka⁻¹, as well as enhanced accumulation rates of brassicasterol (Fig. 6d Fig. 7d) indicate high food supplies. The observed increase of Δδ¹³C values (Fig. 7e Fig. 8e) implies a high export productivity lasting until ~10.4 ka-BP. This may be linked to the presence of AW as a subsurface or even surface water mass, hence amplifying productivity in the photic zone. The appearance of the thermophilous mussel *Mytilus edulis* at the outer part of Woodfjorden (Reinsdyrflya) supports the assumption of a surface injection of AW at least from ~10.6 ka-BP on (9,815 ± 80 ¹⁴C a-BP; Salvigsen, 2002). Several studies around Spitsbergen report about a benthic faunal pattern comparable to the above record during the HTM (Groot et al., 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007). Ślubowska-Woldengen et al. (2008) showed that *N. labradorica* reached maximum percentages at sites influenced by the WSC or the Svalbard Branch. At the mouth of Woodfjorden, percentages of *I. helenae* (Fig. 4e Fig. 5d) rose at the onset of the HTM. This species has been connected to sea-ice algae blooms

in various studies (mostly lumped with *I. norcrossi*; e.g., Steinsund, 1994). Because sea-ice coverage shows a decreasing trend (see PIP₂₅; ~~Fig. 6b~~ Fig. 7b), whereas alkenone-based SSTs reach peak values of ~7 °C (~~Fig. 6a~~ Fig. 7a), it seems more likely that *I. helenae* did not respond specifically to sea-ice algae blooms but rather to an enhanced productivity (probably due to open waters), as already proposed by Polyak et al. (2002), ~~and~~ Seidenkrantz (2013) ~~and~~ Wollenburg et al. (2004).

During the HTM, increasing SSTs (based on planktic foraminiferal assemblages) were reconstructed at the western Svalbard continental slope (Hald et al., 2004), south of Spitsbergen at Storfjorden slope (Rasmussen et al., 2014b), and at the western Barents ~~shelf edges~~ slope (Sarnthein et al., 2003). Lacustrine records from NW-Spitsbergen indicate maximum temperatures based on alkenones until ~10.5 ka-BP (van der Bilt et al., 2016). Carbonara et al. (2016) as well as Rigual-Hernández et al. (2016), report about maximum concentrations of diatoms and coccoliths (mainly warm water species) and inferred a causal strengthened advection of AW during the HTM south of Spitsbergen. Thus, a significant warming in the Svalbard area occurred during this time interval, with temperatures exceeding modern temperatures (~~cf., compare~~ alkenone based SST data in ~~Fig. 6a~~ Fig. 7a with hydrographic data in ~~Fig. A1~~ Fig. 2 and, e.g., in Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2014b).

Iceberg production remained low during the HTM. From ~10.1 ka-BP on, IRD percentages were reduced to a minimum (~~Fig. 7b~~ Fig. 8b) – although slightly increasing at around 9 ka-BP – indicating a retreat of most glaciers to innermost fjord positions while some large tidewater glaciers (e.g., Monacobreen; Fig. 1c) stayed connected to the fjord system during the early Holocene. Radiocarbon dated beach ridges show a contemporary drop in relative sea level almost down to the present level (~~Fig. 7f~~ Fig. 8f; Forman et al., 2004), in response to the deglaciation of Spitsbergen with the consequential rebound persisting into the HTM .

Grain-size distribution during the HTM exhibits maxima around 20 µm (~~Fig. 3a~~ Fig. 4a) that may indicate relatively high fluxes of sea-ice rafted debris. In the scenario, some winter sea-ice cover would have been present, although sea-ice biomarker concentration is low during the interval (~~cf., see~~ PIP₂₅ minima; ~~in~~ Fig. 6b Fig. 7b). The PIP₂₅ index is based on sea-ice diatoms and is thought to respond to spring/summer conditions (Müller et al., 2011). ~~However, a coarsening of the sediment due to stronger bottom currents cannot be excluded.~~

After ~10.1 ka-BP another slight shift in the faunal distribution is recognized (~~Fig. 4~~ Fig. 5): *N. labradorica* declined whereas *C. reniforme* rose again (~~Fig. 4d~~ Fig. 5e, gh). This faunal change may be linked to a repeated northward movement of the Arctic Coastal Front away from the core site in concert with submerging AW. Following a significant peak in the ~~benthic foraminifera flux~~ ARBF at ~10.1 ka-BP, all proxies for productivity ~~unveil-reveal~~ a decreasing trend (i.e., $\Delta\delta^{13}C$, accumulation rates of brassicasterol and benthic foraminifera; ~~Fig. 7e~~ Fig. 8e, ~~Fig. 6d~~ Fig. 7d, Fig. 5a) indicating a ~~fading-decreasing~~ AW influence in the photic zone. ~~Consistently, slightly cooler conditions have been reported from the Storfjord Trough (southern Spitsbergen) at the end of the early Holocene, probably due to a stronger influence of Arctic Water (Łacka et al., 2015).~~

Around 8.8 ka-BP, a further coarsening of the sediment is observed (maximum percentages ~45–50 µm, mean: ~15 µm; ~~Fig. 3a~~ Fig. 4a, ~~Fig. 7a~~ Fig. 8a). This shift in the grain-size distribution may indicate higher current velocities. The pronounced skewness towards coarse sediments seen in the grain-size distribution point to winnowing, and/or bypass of the fine fraction (~~cf., see~~ grain-size distribution at ~8.8 ka-BP in ~~Fig. 3d~~ Fig. 4d). The epibenthic species *C. lobatulus* – commonly connected to strong bottom currents (Hald and Korsun, 1997; Hansen and Knudsen, 1995; Steinsund, 1994) – displays slightly ~~increased risen~~ proportions during this time period (~~Fig. 4a~~ Fig. 5b). ~~Increasing-Higher~~ percentages of *B. frigida* s.l. (~~Fig. 4b~~ Fig. 5c) ~~go along support with~~ these findings

because the species develops preferentially in coarse sediments (Steinsund, 1994). This taxon has also been connected to ice-edge algal blooms (Hald and Steinsund, 1996; Steinsund, 1994). Here however, this seems unlikely; analogue to *I. helenae*, its presence rather corresponds to reduced sea-ice cover conditions during spring/summer, as inferred from declining PIP₂₅ values (Fig. 4b Fig. 5c, Fig. 6b Fig. 7b). (ef., Seidenkrantz, (2013) suggested that *B. frigida* thrives right after the retreat of sea ice. Apart from the species preference for coarse sediments, the occurrence of *B. frigida* s.l. seems to be mainly controlled by the presence of open waters enabling primary production and high organic matter fluxes to the sea floor. Consistently, *B. frigida* is reported to feed on fresh particular organic matter in the Northeast Water Polynya (northeastern Greenland; (Newton and Rowe, 1995). An enhanced ~~exported production~~ productivity at the mouth of Woodfjorden is indeed indicated by increasing $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Fig. 7e Fig. 8e) ~~synchronously~~ simultaneously matching peak percentages of *B. frigida* s.l. at around 8.8 ka-BP (Fig. 4b Fig. 5c).

5.4 Late Holocene (~1.8–0.4 ka-BP) – aftermaths of the Neoglaciation

In comparison with the early Holocene, distinct differences in the benthic foraminiferal fauna mark the last ~1,400 years of our record. The faunal composition suggests frequent AW incursions, temporarily as bottom water mass: The *N. labradorica* abundance ~~diminished down~~ decreased to the ~13–22 % range (Fig. 7e Fig. 8c), whereas *C. reniforme* exhibits percentages up to ~31 % (Fig. 4g Fig. 5h). *C. reniforme* may indicate more saline conditions in bottom waters linked to the presence of AW at the sea floor (Hald and Korsun, 1997; Jennings et al., 2004; Jennings and Helgadottir, 1994). Enhanced percentages of the opportunistic species *E. clavatum* (up to ~28 %; Fig. 4f Fig. 5g) point to unstable conditions, e.g., high inter-annual variability in environmental conditions. Nonetheless, *I. helenae* reached proportions comparable to those of the HTM (>5 %; Fig. 4e Fig. 5d), reflecting still sufficient food availability. Increasing $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Fig. 7e Fig. 8e) characterise this interval, likely responding to the temporary influence of AW in subsurface waters transporting nutrients into the photic zone. Late Holocene seasonally ice-free waters (ef., see Fig. 6b Fig. 7b) enabled primary productivity despite lower SSTs than those inferred above for the HTM interval (~4 °C; Fig. 6a Fig. 7a), very likely due to the decreasing summer insolation and reduced seasonal temperature contrast (ef., Berger and Loutre, 1991; Fig. 7g Fig. 8g). Cooling in the Fram Strait area during the late Holocene is reported at various locations and assigned reduced insolation (e.g., Hald et al., 2004; Müller et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Rigual-Hernández et al., 2016; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Werner et al., 2013). Although sea-ice biomarkers suggests open waters during summer (Fig. 6b Fig. 7b, Fig. 7 Fig. 8), increased proportions of ~20 µm and ~50 µm grain size fractions (Fig. 3a Fig. 4a) may imply the deposition of (winter) sea-ice rafted debris (ef., see sect. 5.3).

Parallel to this cooling, a slight increase in IRD percentages indicates enhanced glacier activity with intensified iceberg production (Fig. 7b Fig. 8b) in the Woodfjorden area. Furrer et al. (1991) report about advances of Monacobreen into the Liefdefjorden (Fig. 1c) during the Older Subatlantic (~1.2 cal. ka-BP; 1,315 ± 100 ¹⁴C a-BP), whose glacier ice front reaching Lernerøyane, a small archipelago ~6 km off its modern position. Advancing glaciers have also been reported in central Spitsbergen during a similar time period (Baeten et al., 2010; Humlum et al., 2005). The growth of Monacobreen possibly responded to the neoglacial cooling trend (ef., as described by Porter and Denton, 1967), that led to waxing of Arctic glaciers (e.g., Miller et al., 2010; Solomina et al., 2015, 2016), and is reflected in increased IRD deposition in the present study.

In contrast to conditions inferred above, some other studies state a general warming trend (Bonnet et al., 2010; D'Andrea et al., 2012; Jernas et al., 2013; Majewski et al., 2009; Spielhagen et al., 2011; Werner et al., 2011) as well as a strengthened influx of AW during the last two millennia in the Svalbard area (Groot et al., 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Ślubowska et al., 2005). However, as the mid-Holocene is missing in the present record (cf., see sect. 4.1), we can only compare the early vs late Holocene. As the late Holocene is marked by cooler conditions than those prevailing during the HTM, a link to the Neoglaciation is assumed, but the actual trend from the mid- to the late Holocene is unknown.

5.5 The role of Atlantic Water in the deglaciation history of the Svalbard-Barents-Sea Ice sheet

Around Svalbard margins, intrusion of AW is generally causally linked to the retreat of glaciers since the late Weichselian (Hormes et al., 2013; Jessen et al., 2010; Klitgaard Kristensen et al., 2013; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005). subsurface inflow of AW into fjords possibly melted tidewater glaciers from underneath, as proposed by Hormes et al. (2013). Nowadays, submarine melting of tidewater glaciers induced by warm ocean currents is observed in the Arctic, e.g., in Alaska (Bartholomaeus et al., 2013; Motyka et al., 2003), Greenland (Holland et al., 2008; Inall et al., 2014; Straneo et al., 2010) and Svalbard (Luckman et al., 2015), as well as in Antarctica, i.e., on the Antarctic Peninsula (Cook et al., 2016; Padman et al., 2012; Wouters et al., 2015).

Numerous studies assume that the intensification of AW inflow along the Svalbard margin occurred relatively ~~synchronously~~ ~~simultaneously~~ during the Bølling-Allerød interpreting the appearance of *C. neoteretis* (Klitgaard Kristensen et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005). In the Laptev Sea, this species has been connected to Atlantic-derived water, from ~15.4 ka ~~BP~~ ~~onwards~~ (Taldenkova et al., 2010). AW presence is also inferred prior to ~14 ka ~~BP~~ off West Greenland (Sheldon et al., 2016a). At the margin of the Arctic Ocean, north of Svalbard, *C. neoteretis* showed high abundances at least from 24 ka onwards (Wollenburg et al., 2004). Despite the assumed intrusion of relatively warm water masses, planktic foraminifera assemblages indicate low subsurface temperatures without considerable changes far into the YD, neither along the W-Barents slope (Sarnthein et al., 2003), nor along the W-Svalbard margin (Hald et al., 2004). Thus, a subsurface to bottom inflow of relative warm AW is assumed during the Bølling-Allerød, while surface waters remained cold.

The YD cold event has been studied extensively. This cold reversal was most likely initiated by a massive glacial lake drainage into the Arctic Ocean that reduced the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (Broecker, 2006; Broecker et al., 1989; Fahl and Stein, 2012; Murton et al., 2010; Stein et al., 2012; Tarasov and Peltier, 2005). Nonetheless, the influence of AW is seen at numerous locations around Svalbard, at least during the early YD and possibly temporarily (e.g., Rasmussen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005; this study, see ~~Fig. 7e~~ ~~Fig. 8c~~, d). A shift of the Arctic Coastal Front towards the study areas at the northern Svalbard margin characterises the situation during the YD. The proximal position of this oceanic front is linked to an initial AW intrusion into intermediate waters of Woodfjorden providing higher food supplies (~~Fig. 7e~~ ~~Fig. 8e~~) while contributing to the retreat of tidewater glaciers (~~Fig. 7a~~ ~~Fig. 8a~~, b).

During the early Holocene, the Nordic Seas, the Fram Strait and Barents Sea were characterized by a high AW inflow (e.g., Bauch et al., 2001; Carbonara et al., 2016; Groot et al., 2014; Müller and Stein, 2014; Rigual-Hernández et al., 2016; Risebrobakken et al., 2011; Telesiński et al., 2015; Werner et al., 2013, 2016), coinciding with a general warming trend in the entire Svalbard region – especially after the PBO (e.g., Hald et al., 2004;

Rasmussen et al., 2012; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska et al., 2005; this study, see [Fig. 6a](#)[Fig. 7a](#)). This trend is in agreement with the early Holocene warming observed in the entire North Atlantic (Marchal et al., 2002).

The interruption of the warming trend during the PBO occurred almost ~~synchronously~~simultaneously around Svalbard but is more pronounced in northern locations (Rasmussen et al., 2014b; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska et al., 2005; this study, see [Fig. 4](#)[Fig. 5](#), [Fig. 6](#)[Fig. 7](#)) than along the (south-)western margin of Svalbard (Rasmussen et al., 2012, 2014b; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007). Accordingly, it seems likely that the PBO was triggered by a vast meltwater flood from the Mackenzie River area into the Arctic Ocean (Fisher et al., 2002), than by a meltwater discharge from the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet into the Nordic Seas as assumed earlier (~~ef.~~, Björck et al., 1996). Thus, northern sites may have been more strongly affected by the resulting colder and fresher surface waters and the expansion of a thicker pack ice, which concurred to force AW to sink deeper in the water column.

Nevertheless, as discussed above, AW was present at the northern margin of Spitsbergen during the last two millennia, although its influx was weaker and its position possibly deeper in the water column, when compared to HTM conditions ([Fig. 7e](#) [Fig. 8c](#)). Various studies report similar oceanographic conditions during this time interval (e.g., Hald et al., 2004; Rasmussen et al., 2014b; Skirbekk et al., 2010; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005). Contrary to the HTM and the YD, there are indications of glacier advances regardless of the AW advection during the late Holocene ([Fig. 7a](#) [Fig. 8a](#), b), possibly resulting from the lower summer insolation of the interval (~~ef.~~, Berger and Loutre, 1991; see [Fig. 7g](#)[Fig. 8g](#)). Additionally, the AW incursion into Woodfjorden as a relatively deep water mass may have been of minor influence on glacier fronts as tidewater glaciers may only have been present in the shallower inner parts of the fjord system during the late Holocene (~~ef.~~, Furrer et al., 1991; Salvigsen and Høgvard, 2006). Hence, the glacier dynamics were possibly decoupled from AW advection during the late Holocene.

6 Conclusions

Multi-proxy analyses of sediment core GeoB10817-4 from the mouth of Woodfjorden (northern Spitsbergen) enabled us to document ocean–ice interactions since the deglaciation (since ~15,500 years) at the northern margin of Svalbard, providing insights into glacier activity as well as into bottom, intermediate and surface water conditions, including sea ice coverage.

- The deglaciation period (until ~12.7 ka-~~BP~~; excluding the Younger Dryas) was marked by a disintegrating ~~Svalbard–Barents–Sea Ice Sheet~~Svalbard–Barents Sea Ice Sheet, as illustrated by high calving rates and enhanced meltwater inputs. Cold surface waters were covered by extensive sea ice, while early intrusions of Atlantic Water as a bottom water mass occurred ([Fig. 8a](#) [Fig. 9a](#)).
- During the early Younger Dryas (~12.7–12.4 ka-~~BP~~), Atlantic Water was still advected as an intermediate water mass, probably connected to a coastward shift of the Arctic Coastal Front. Its intrusion was accompanied by warmer surface waters and high iceberg melting rates, which may have been linked to the retreat of some tidewater glaciers towards the fjord heads ([Fig. 8b](#) [Fig. 9b](#)). During the late Younger Dryas (~12.4–11.7 ka-~~BP~~), surface waters cooled while sea ice extended and Atlantic Water temporarily penetrated into bottom waters.
- Conditions improved during the early Holocene (~11.7–7.8 ka-~~BP~~), with an increasing subsurface Atlantic Water inflow, and were briefly interrupted during the Preboreal Oscillation. This deterioration

occurred almost ~~synchronously~~simultaneously around Svalbard, but was more pronounced at the northern margin of Svalbard than in the south. During the succeeding Holocene Thermal Maximum, sea surface temperatures reached their maximum surpassing modern temperatures. Productivity flourished and sea ice coverage declined. Most glaciers retreated to innermost fjord positions during this interval. Only single tidewater glaciers survived (especially Monacobreen) (~~Fig. 8e~~ Fig. 9c).

- The early vs late Holocene depict distinct environmental conditions. Productivity gradually increased in the course of the late Holocene (~1.8–0.4 ka ~~BP~~) while Atlantic Water temporarily sank from intermediate to bottom waters. Even though sea surface temperatures were lower than during the early Holocene, sea ice coverage was reduced. High iceberg production possibly responded to some late Holocene glacier advance (Neoglaciation) in response to low summer insolation (~~Fig. 8d~~ Fig. 9d).
- From the deglaciation on, the presence of Atlantic Water – in bottom as well as in intermediate waters – is connected to retreating glaciers at the northern Svalbard margin, while during the late Holocene, glaciers might have grown despite relatively constant inflow of Atlantic Water (possibly below surface).
- The Holocene Thermal Maximum includes – apart from a few differences (e.g., considerable higher summer insolation, dropping relative sea level due to the glacio-isostatic rebound) – numerous similarities to a future warming: Sea surface temperatures were most likely warmer than today, resulting in a reduced sea ice coverage and consequential open waters that enabled high primary production. Already nowadays, reduced sea ice promotes increasing phytoplankton blooms (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2011, 2015). During the Holocene Thermal Maximum, subsurface intrusion of warm Atlantic Water contributed to melting and retreat of glaciers to inner fjord positions; a phenomenon that is also observed today, e.g., at Svalbard's tidewater glaciers (Luckman et al., 2015). Thus, environmental conditions during the Holocene Thermal Maximum are well comparable with the already ongoing global warming; at least for the Svalbard region.

Data availability

Supplementary data are available on PANGAEA: <https://www.pangaea.de/> (will be uploaded after revision).

Author contributions

M. Bartels and D. Hebbeln designed the study. M. Bartels performed the faunal analyses, created the figures and interpreted all data. J. Titschack created the base maps in Fig. 1 (b and c), performed the particle size measurements and CT analyses as well as respective data processing. K. Fahl carried out the biomarker analyses. M.-S. Seidenkrantz revised the identification of the foraminiferal species and contributed to their interpretation. D. Hebbeln, C. Hillaire-Marcel and R. Stein contributed significantly to the discussion of the data. M. Bartels prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (DFG) through the International Research Training Group "Processes and impacts of climate change in the North Atlantic Ocean and the Canadian Arctic" (IRTG 1904 *ArcTrain*). We would like to thank the captain and crew of RV *Maria S. Merian* as well as the
5 scientists on board during the cruise MSM02/03 in 2006 for retrieving the samples. Following persons are acknowledged for the performance of measurements: Janet Rethemeyer, University of Cologne, and Lukas Wacker, ETH (Zürich), for radiocarbon measurements as well as Birgit Meyer and Henning Kuhnert, MARUM (Bremen), for stable isotope measurements, and Walter Luttmer, AWI (Bremerhaven), for technical assistance. Further we thank Hyunung Boo, McGill University (Montreal), as well as Nele Lamping, Jan Unverfärth and
10 Marco Wolsza, MARUM (Bremen), for sample processing. Sample material was provided by the GeoB repository at MARUM, Bremen. Klinikum Bremen-Mitte is gratefully acknowledged for providing their facilities for the performed computed tomographies. Arne-Jörn Lemke and Christian Timann, Gesundheit Nord (Bremen), are thanked for performing the CT scans and their support during the measurements.

References

- Aagaard, K., Foldvik, A. and Hillman, S. R.: The West Spitsbergen Current: Disposition and water mass transformation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92(C4), 3778–3784, doi:10.1029/JC092iC04p03778, 1987.
- Abram, N. J., McGregor, H. V., Tierney, J. E., Evans, M. N., McKay, N. P., Kaufman, D. S., Thirumalai, K., Martrat, B., Goosse, H., Phipps, S. J., Steig, E. J., Kilbourne, K. H., Saenger, C. P., Zinke, J., Leduc, G., Addison, J. A., Mortyn, P. G., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Sicre, M.-A., Selvaraj, K., Filipsson, H. L., Neukom, R., Gergis, J., Curran, M. A. J. and Gunten, L. von: Early onset of industrial-era warming across the oceans and continents, *Nature*, 536(7617), 411–418, doi:10.1038/nature19082, 2016.
- [Ahrens, M. J., Graf, G. and Altenbach, A. V.: Spatial and temporal distribution patterns of benthic foraminifera in the Northeast Water Polynya, Greenland, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 10, 445–465, 1997.](#)
- Alley, R. B., Clark, P. U., Huybrechts, P. and Joughin, I.: Ice-Sheet and Sea-Level Changes, *Science*, 310(5747), 456–460, doi:10.1126/science.1114613, 2005.
- [Alve, E. and Bernhard, J. M.: Vertical migratory response of benthic foraminifera to controlled oxygen concentrations in an experimental mesocosm, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 116, 137–151, 1995.](#)
- [von Appen, W.-J., Schauer, U., Hattermann, T. and Beszczynska-Möller, A.: Seasonal Cycle of Mesoscale Instability of the West Spitsbergen Current, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 46, 1231–1254, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-15-0184.1, 2016.](#)
- Arrigo, K. R. and van Dijken, G. L.: Secular trends in Arctic Ocean net primary production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116(C9), 60–70, doi:10.1029/2011JC007151, 2011.
- Arrigo, K. R. and van Dijken, G. L.: Continued increases in Arctic Ocean primary production, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 136, 60–70, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.002, 2015.
- [Austin, W. E. N., Bard, E., Hunt, J. B., Kroon, D. and Peacock, J. D.: The ¹⁴C Age of the Icelandic Vedde Ash: Implications for Younger Dryas Marine Reservoir Age Corrections, *Radiocarbon*, 37\(1\), 53–62, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.37.1646, 1995.](#)
- [Austin, W. E. N., Telford, R. J., Ninnemann, U. S., Brown, L., Wilson, L. J., Small, D. P. and Bryant, C. L.: North Atlantic reservoir ages linked to high Younger Dryas atmospheric radiocarbon concentrations, *Glob. Planet. Change*, 79\(3–4\), 226–233, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.06.011, 2011.](#)
- Baeten, N. J., Forwick, M., Vogt, C. and Vorren, T. O.: Late Weichselian and Holocene sedimentary environments and glacial activity in Billefjorden, Svalbard, in *Fjord Systems and Archives*, vol. 344, edited by W. E. N. Austin, M. Forwick, and M. Paetzel, pp. 207–223, Geological Society, London., 2010.
- Bakke, J., Lie, Ø., Heegaard, E., Dokken, T., Haug, G. H., Birks, H. H., Dulski, P. and Nilsen, T.: Rapid oceanic and atmospheric changes during the Younger Dryas cold period, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2(3), 202–205, doi:10.1038/ngeo439, 2009.
- [Bard, E., Arnold, M., Mangerud, J., Paterne, M., Labeyrie, L., Duprat, J., Mélières, M.-A., Sønstegaard, E. and Duplessy, J. C.: The North Atlantic atmosphere-sea surface ¹⁴C gradient during the Younger Dryas climatic event, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 126\(4\), 275–287, doi:10.1016/0012-821X\(94\)90112-0, 1994.](#)
- Bartholomäus, T. C., Larsen, C. F. and O’Neel, S.: Does calving matter? Evidence for significant submarine melt, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 380, 21–30, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.08.014, 2013.
- Bauch, H. A., Erlenkeuser, H., Spielhagen, R. F., Struck, U., Matthiessen, J., Thiede, J. and Heinemeier, J.: A multiproxy reconstruction of the evolution of deep and surface waters in the subarctic Nordic seas over

- the last 30,000 yr, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 20(4), 659–678, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00098-6, 2001.
- Belt, S. T. and Müller, J.: The Arctic sea ice biomarker IP₂₅: A review of current understanding, recommendations for future research and applications in palaeo sea ice reconstructions, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 79, 9–25, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.12.001, 2013.
- 5 Belt, S. T., Massé, G., Rowland, S. J., Poulin, M., Michel, C. and LeBlanc, B.: A novel chemical fossil of palaeo sea ice: IP₂₅, *Org. Geochem.*, 38(1), 16–27, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.09.013, 2007.
- Berger, A. and Loutre, M. F.: Insolation values for the climate of the last 10 million years, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 10(4), 297–317, doi:10.1016/0277-3791(91)90033-Q, 1991.
- Bernhard, J. M. and Bowser, S. S.: Benthic foraminifera of dysoxic sediments: chloroplast sequestration and functional morphology, *Earth-Science Rev.*, 46(1–4), 149–165, doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(99)00017-3, 1999.
- 10 Beszczynska-Möller, A., Woodgate, R., Lee, C., Melling, H. and Karcher, M.: A Synthesis of Exchanges Through the Main Oceanic Gateways to the Arctic Ocean, *Oceanography*, 24(3), 82–99, doi:10.5670/oceanog.2011.59, 2011.
- 15 Beszczynska-Möller, A., Fahrbach, E., Schauer, U. and Hansen, E.: Variability in Atlantic water temperature and transport at the entrance to the Arctic Ocean, 1997–2010, *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 69(5), 852–863, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fss056, 2012.
- van der Bilt, W. G. M., D’Andrea, W. J., Bakke, J., Balascio, N. L., Werner, J. P., Gjerde, M. and Bradley, R. S.: Alkenone-based reconstructions reveal four-phase Holocene temperature evolution for High Arctic Svalbard, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, in press, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.10.006, 2016.
- 20 Birgel, D. and Stein, R.: Northern Fram Strait and Yermak Plateau: distribution, variability and burial of organic carbon and paleoenvironmental implications, in *The Organic Carbon Cycle in the Arctic Ocean*, edited by R. Stein and R. W. Macdonald, pp. 279–294, Springer, Berlin., 2004.
- Björck, S., Kromer, B., Johnsen, S., Bennike, O., Hammarlund, D., Lemdahl, G., Possnert, G., Rasmussen, T. L., Wohlfarth, B., Hammer, C. U. and Spurk, M.: Synchronized Terrestrial-Atmospheric Deglacial Records Around the North Atlantic, *Science*, 274(5290), 1155–1160, doi:10.1126/science.274.5290.1155, 1996.
- 25 Björck, S., Rundgren, M., Ingólfsson, Ó. and Funder, S.: The Preboreal oscillation around the Nordic Seas: terrestrial and lacustrine responses, *J. Quat. Sci.*, 12(6), 455–465, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1417(199711/12)12, 1997.
- 30 Björck, S., Bennike, O., Rosén, P., Andresen, C. S., Bohncke, S., Kaas, E. and Conley, D.: Anomalously mild Younger Dryas summer conditions in southern Greenland, *Geology*, 30(5), 427–430, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<0427:AMYDSC>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- Błaszczuk, M., Jania, J. A. and Hagen, J. O.: Tidewater glaciers of Svalbard: Recent changes and estimates of calving fluxes, *Polish Polar Res.*, 30(2), 85–142, 2009.
- 35 Bonnet, S., de Vernal, A., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Radi, T. and Husum, K.: Variability of sea-surface temperature and sea-ice cover in the Fram Strait over the last two millennia, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 74(3–4), 59–74, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2009.12.001, 2010.
- Boulton, G.: Glacial history of the Spitsbergen archipelago and the problem of a Barents Shelf ice sheet, *Boreas*, 8, 31–57, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.1979.tb00429.x, 1979.
- 40 Brassell, S. C., Eglinton, G., Marlowe, I. T., Pflaumann, U. and Sarnthein, M.: Molecular stratigraphy: a new tool

- for climatic assessment, *Nature*, 320(6058), 129–133, doi:10.1038/320129a0, 1986.
- Broecker, W. S.: Was the Younger Dryas triggered by a flood?, *Science*, 312(5777), 1146–1148, doi:10.1126/science.1123253, 2006.
- Broecker, W. S., Kennett, J. P., Flower, B. P., Teller, J. T., Trumbore, S., Bonani, G. and Wolfli, W.: Routing of meltwater from the Laurentide Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas cold episode, *Nature*, 341, 318–321, doi:10.1038/341318a0, 1989.
- [Bromley, G. R., Putnam, A. E., Rademaker, K. M., Lowell, T. V., Schaefer, J. M., Hall, B., Winckler, G., Birkel, S. D. and Borns, H. W.: Younger Dryas deglaciation of Scotland driven by warming summers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111\(17\), 6215–6219, doi:10.1073/pnas.1321122111, 2014.](#)
- Brückner, H. and Schellmann, G.: Late Pleistocene and Holocene Shorelines of Andréland, Spitsbergen (Svalbard) - Geomorphological Evidence and Palaeo-Oceanographic Significance, *J. Coast. Res.*, 19(4), 971–982 [online] Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4299241>, 2003.
- Cabedo-Sanz, P., Belt, S. T., Knies, J. and Husum, K.: Identification of contrasting seasonal sea ice conditions during the Younger Dryas, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 79, 74–86, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.10.028, 2013.
- Carbonara, K., Mezgec, K., Varagona, G., Musco, M. E., Lucchi, R. G., Villa, G., Morigi, C., Melis, R. and Caffau, M.: Palaeoclimatic changes in Kveithola, Svalbard, during the Late Pleistocene deglaciation and Holocene: Evidences from microfossil and sedimentary records, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 463, 136–149, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.10.003, 2016.
- Carmack, E. and Wassmann, P.: Food webs and physical-biological coupling on pan-Arctic shelves: Unifying concepts and comprehensive perspectives, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 71(2–4), 446–477, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.004, 2006.
- [Cedhagen, T.: Retention of chloroplasts and bathymetric distribution in the Sublittoral Foraminiferan *Nonionellina Labradorica*, *Ophelia*, 33\(1\), 17–30, doi:10.1080/00785326.1991.10429739, 1991.](#)
- Chauhan, T., Rasmussen, T. L., Noormets, R., Jakobsson, M. and Hogan, K. A.: Glacial history and paleoceanography of the southern Yermak Plateau since 132 ka BP, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 92, 155–169, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.10.023, 2014.
- Chauhan, T., Rasmussen, T. L. and Noormets, R.: Palaeoceanography of the Barents Sea continental margin, north of Nordaustlandet, Svalbard, during the last 74 ka, *Boreas*, 45(1), 76–99, doi:10.1111/bor.12135, 2015.
- Cohen, J.: An observational analysis: Tropical relative to Arctic influence on midlatitude weather in the era of Arctic amplification, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(10), 5287–5294, doi:10.1002/2016GL069102, 2016.
- Cohen, J., Screen, J. A., Furtado, J. C., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., Francis, J., Dethloff, K., Entekhabi, D., Overland, J. and Jones, J.: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7(9), 627–637, doi:10.1038/ngeo2234, 2014.
- Cook, A. J., Holland, P. R., Meredith, M. P., Murray, T., Luckman, A. and Vaughan, D. G.: Ocean forcing of glacier retreat in the western Antarctic Peninsula, *Science*, 353(6296), 283–286, doi:10.1126/science.aae0017, 2016.
- Cottier, F., Tverberg, V., Inall, M., Svendsen, H., Nilsen, F. and Griffiths, C.: Water mass modification in an Arctic fjord through cross-shelf exchange: The seasonal hydrography of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110(C12), C12005, doi:10.1029/2004JC002757, 2005.
- Cottier, F. R., Nilsen, F., Inall, M. E., Gerland, S., Tverberg, V. and Svendsen, H.: Wintertime warming of an

Arctic shelf in response to large-scale atmospheric circulation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34(10), L10607, doi:10.1029/2007GL029948, 2007.

Cottier, F. R., Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Tverberg, V., Skarðhamar, J. and Svendsen, H.: Arctic fjords: a review of the oceanographic environment and dominant physical processes, in *Fjord Systems and Archives*, vol. 344, edited by J. A. Howe, W. E. N. Austin, M. Forwick, and M. Paetzel, pp. 35–50, Geological Society, London., 2010.

D’Andrea, W. J., Vaillencourt, D. A., Balascio, N. L., Werner, A., Roof, S. R., Retelle, M. and Bradley, R. S.: Mild Little Ice Age and unprecedented recent warmth in an 1800 year lake sediment record from Svalbard, *Geology*, 40(11), 1007–1010, doi:10.1130/G33365.1, 2012.

Darling, K. F., Schweizer, M., Knudsen, K. L., Evans, K. M., Bird, C., Roberts, A., Filipsson, H. L., Kim, J.-H., Gudmundsson, G., Wade, C. M., Sayer, M. D. J. and Austin, W. E. N.: The genetic diversity, phylogeography and morphology of Elphidiidae (Foraminifera) in the Northeast Atlantic, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2016.09.001, 2016.

[Dubicka, Z., Złotnik, M. and Borszcz, T.: Test morphology as a function of behavioral strategies — Inferences from benthic foraminifera, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 116, 38–49, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2015.01.003, 2015.](#)

Duplessy, J. C., Cortijo, E., Ivanova, E., Khusid, T., Labeyrie, L., Levitan, M., Murdmaa, I. and Paterne, M.: Paleoceanography of the Barents Sea during the Holocene, *Paleoceanography*, 20(4), PA4004, doi:10.1029/2004PA001116, 2005.

Ehrmann, W. and Thiede, J.: History of Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediment fluxes to the North Atlantic Ocean, *Contrib. to Sedimentol.*, 15, 1–109, 1985.

Fahl, K. and Stein, R.: Biomarkers as organic-carbon-source and environmental indicators in the late quaternary Arctic Ocean: Problems and perspectives, *Mar. Chem.*, 63(3–4), 293–309, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(98)00068-1, 1999.

Fahl, K. and Stein, R.: Modern seasonal variability and deglacial/Holocene change of central Arctic Ocean sea-ice cover: New insights from biomarker proxy records, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 351–352, 123–133, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.07.009, 2012.

Falk-Petersen, S., Pavlov, V., Berge, J., Cottier, F., Kovacs, K. M. and Lydersen, C.: At the rainbow’s end: high productivity fueled by winter upwelling along an Arctic shelf, *Polar Biol.*, 38(1), 5–11, doi:10.1007/s00300-014-1482-1, 2015.

Fisher, T. G., Smith, D. G. and Andrews, J. T.: Preboreal oscillation caused by a glacial Lake Agassiz flood, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 21(8–9), 873–878, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00148-2, 2002.

Forman, S. L., Lubinski, D. J., Ingólfsson, Ó., Zeeberg, J. J., Snyder, J. A., Siegert, M. J. and Matishov, G. G.: A review of postglacial emergence on Svalbard, Franz Josef Land and Novaya Zemlya, northern Eurasia, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 23(11–13), 1391–1434, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.12.007, 2004.

Forwick, M.: Sedimentary processes and palaeoenvironments in Spitsbergen fjords, University of Tromsø., 2005.

Forwick, M., Vorren, T. O., Hald, M., Korsun, S., Roh, Y., Vogt, C. and Yoo, K.-C.: Spatial and temporal influence of glaciers and rivers on the sedimentary environment in Sassenfjorden and Tempelfjorden, Spitsbergen, in *Fjord Systems and Archives*, vol. 344, edited by J. A. Howe, W. E. N. Austin, M. Forwick, and M. Paetzel, pp. 163–193, Geological Society, London., 2010.

- Furrer, G., Stapfer, A. and Glaser, U.: Zur nacheiszeitlichen Gletschergeschichte des Liefdefjords (Spitzbergen) (Ergebnisse der Geowissenschaftlichen Spitzbergenexpedition 1990), *Geogr. Helv.*, 46(4), 147–155, doi:10.5194/gh-46-147-1991, 1991.
- Gjermundsen, E. F., Briner, J. P., Akçar, N., Salvigsen, O., Kubik, P., Gantert, N. and Hormes, A.: Late Weichselian local ice dome configuration and chronology in Northwestern Svalbard: early thinning, late retreat, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 72, 112–127, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.04.006, 2013.
- Gregory, J. M. and Huybrechts, P.: Ice-sheet contributions to future sea-level change, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 364(1844), 1709–1732, doi:10.1098/rsta.2006.1796, 2006.
- Groot, D. E., Aagaard-Sørensen, S. and Husum, K.: Reconstruction of Atlantic water variability during the Holocene in the western Barents Sea, *Clim. Past*, 10(1), 51–62, doi:10.5194/cp-10-51-2014, 2014.
- Gump, D. J., Briner, J. P., Mangerud, J. and Svendsen, J. I.: Deglaciation of Boknafjorden, south-western Norway, *J. Quat. Sci.*, 32(1), 80–90, doi:10.1002/jqs.2925, 2017.
- Hagen, J. O., Listøl, O., Roland, E. and Jørgensen, T.: *Glacier Atlas of Svalbard and Jan Mayen*, edited by A. Brekke, Norsk Polarinstitut, Oslo., 1993.
- 15 [Haine, T. W. N., Curry, B., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Karcher, M., Lee, C., Rudels, B., Spreen, G., Steur, L. De, Stewart, K. D. and Woodgate, R.: Arctic freshwater export: Status, mechanisms, and prospects. *Glob. Planet. Change*, 125, 13–35. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.013, 2015.](#)
- Hald, M. and Hagen, S.: Early Preboreal cooling in the Nordic seas region triggered by meltwater, *Geology*, 26(7), 615–618, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0615:EPCITN>2.3.CO, 1998.
- 20 Hald, M. and Korsun, S.: Distribution of modern benthic foraminifera from fjords of Svalbard, *European Arctic, J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 27(2), 101–122, doi:10.2113/gsjfr.27.2.101, 1997.
- Hald, M. and Steinsund, P. I.: Benthic foraminifera and carbonate dissolution in the surface sediments of the Barents and Kara Sea, in *Surface Sediment Composition and Sedimentary Processes in the Central Arctic and along the Eurasian Continental Margin*, edited by R. Stein, G. I. Ivanov, M. A. Levitan, and K. Fahl, pp. 285–307, Bremerhaven., 1996.
- 25 Hald, M., Ebbesen, H., Forwick, M., Godtlibsen, F., Khomenko, L., Korsun, S., Ringstad Olsen, L. and Vorren, T. O.: Holocene paleoceanography and glacial history of the West Spitsbergen area, Euro-Arctic margin, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 23(20–22), 2075–2088, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.08.006, 2004.
- 30 [Hall, B., Baroni, C., Denton, G., Kelly, M. A. and Lowell, T.: Relative sea-level change, Kjove Land, Scoresby Sund, East Greenland: implications for seasonality in Younger Dryas time. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 27\(25–26\), 2283–2291. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.08.001, 2008.](#)
- Hansen, A. and Knudsen, K. L.: Recent foraminiferal distribution in Freemansundet and early Holocene stratigraphy on Edgeoya, Svalbard, *Polar Res.*, 14(2), 215–238, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.1995.tb00690.x, 1995.
- 35 [Hanslik, D., Jakobsson, M., Backman, J., Björck, S., Sellén, E., O’Regan, M., Fornaciari, E. and Skog, G.: Quaternary Arctic Ocean sea ice variations and radiocarbon reservoir age corrections. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 29\(25–26\), 3430–3441. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.06.011, 2010.](#)
- Harland, W. B.: *The Geology of Svalbard*, Memoir 17., Geological Society, London., 1998.
- Hattermann, T., Isachsen, P. E., von Appen, W.-J. J., Albretsen, J. and Sundfjord, A.: Eddy-driven recirculation of Atlantic Water in Fram Strait, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 3406–3414, doi:10.1002/2016GL068323, 2016.
- 40

- Hebbeln, D.: Flux of ice-rafted detritus from sea ice in the Fram Strait, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 47(9–11), 1773–1790, doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00006-0, 2000.
- Holland, D. M., Thomas, R. H., de Young, B., Ribergaard, M. H. and Lyberth, B.: Acceleration of Jakobshavn Isbræ triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1(10), 659–664, doi:10.1038/ngeo316, 2008.
- Hormes, A., Gjermundsen, E. F. and Rasmussen, T. L.: From mountain top to the deep sea – Deglaciation in 4D of the northwestern Barents Sea ice sheet, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 75, 78–99, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.04.009, 2013.
- Hughes, A. L. C., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, Ø. S., Mangerud, J. and Svendsen, J. I.: The last Eurasian ice sheets - a chronological database and time-slice reconstruction, *DATED-1, Boreas*, 45(1), 1–45, doi:10.1111/bor.12142, 2016.
- Humlum, O., Elberling, B., Hormes, A., Fjordheim, K., Hansen, O. H. and Heinemeier, J.: Late-Holocene glacier growth in Svalbard, documented by subglacial relict vegetation and living soil microbes, *The Holocene*, 15(3), 396–407, doi:10.1191/0959683605h1817rp, 2005.
- Hunt, G. L., Drinkwater, K. F., Arrigo, K., Berge, J., Daly, K. L., Danielson, S., Daase, M., Hop, H., Isla, E., Karnovsky, N., Laidre, K., Mueter, F. J., Murphy, E. J., Renaud, P. E., Smith, W. O., Trathan, P., Turner, J. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: Advection in polar and sub-polar environments: Impacts on high latitude marine ecosystems, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 149, 40–81, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2016.10.004, 2016.
- Inall, M. E., Murray, T., Cottier, F. R., Scharrer, K., Boyd, T. J., Heywood, K. J. and Bevan, S. L.: Oceanic heat delivery via Kangerdlugssuaq Fjord to the south-east Greenland ice sheet, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 119(2), 631–645, doi:10.1002/2013JC009295, 2014.
- IPCC: Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by R. K. Pachauri and L. A. Meyer, IPCC, Geneva., 2014.
- Jennings, A. E. and Helgadottir, G.: Foraminiferal Assemblages From the Fjords and Shelf of Eastern Greenland, *J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 24(2), 123–144, doi:10.2113/gsjfr.24.2.123, 1994.
- Jennings, A. E., Weiner, N. J., Helgadottir, G. and Andrews, J. T.: Modern foraminiferal faunas of the southwestern to northern Iceland Shelf: Oceanographic and environmental controls, *J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 34(3), 180–207, doi:10.2113/34.3.180, 2004.
- Jennings, A. E., Hald, M., Smith, M. and Andrews, J. T.: Freshwater forcing from the Greenland Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas: Evidence from southeastern Greenland shelf cores, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 25(3–4), 282–298, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2005.04.006, 2006.
- Jernas, P., Klitgaard Kristensen, D., Husum, K., Wilson, L. and Koç, N.: Palaeoenvironmental changes of the last two millennia on the western and northern Svalbard shelf, *Boreas*, 42(1), 236–255, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2012.00293.x, 2013.
- Jessen, S. P., Rasmussen, T. L., Nielsen, T. and Solheim, A.: A new Late Weichselian and Holocene marine chronology for the western Svalbard slope 30,000–0 cal years BP, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 29(9–10), 1301–1312, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.02.020, 2010.
- Johannessen, O. M.: Brief overview of the physical oceanography, in *The Nordic Seas*, edited by B. G. Hurdle, pp. 103–127, Springer, New York., 1986.
- Klitgaard Kristensen, D., Rasmussen, T. L. and Koç, N.: Palaeoceanographic changes in the northern Barents Sea

during the last 16000 years - new constraints on the last deglaciation of the Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet, *Boreas*, 42(3), 798–813, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2012.00307.x, 2013.

Koç, N., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Hasle, K., Forsberg, C. F. and Solheim, A.: Late glacial palaeoceanography of Hinlopen Strait, northern Svalbard, *Polar Res.*, 21(2), 307–314, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2002.tb00085.x, 2002.

Kohler, J., James, T. D., Murray, T., Nuth, C., Brandt, O., Barrand, N. E., Aas, H. F. and Luckman, A.: Acceleration in thinning rate on western Svalbard glaciers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34(18), L18502, doi:10.1029/2007GL030681, 2007.

Korsun, S. and Hald, M.: Modern Benthic Foraminifera off Novaya Zemlya Tidewater Glaciers, Russian Arctic, *Arct. Alp. Res.*, 30(1), 61–77, doi:10.2307/1551746, 1998.

[Korsun, S. and Hald, M.: Seasonal Dynamics of Benthic Foraminifera in a Glacially Fed Fjord of Svalbard, European Arctic, *J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 30\(4\), 251–271, doi:10.2113/0300251, 2000.](#)

Kubischta, F., Knudsen, K. L., Ojala, A. E. K. and Salonen, V.-P.: Holocene benthic foraminiferal record from a high-Arctic fjord, Nordaustlandet, Svalbard, *Geogr. Ann. Ser. A, Phys. Geogr.*, 93(4), 227–242, doi:10.1111/j.1468-0459.2011.00434.x, 2011.

Kug, J., Jeong, J., Jang, Y., Kim, B., Folland, C. K., Min, S. and Son, S.: Two distinct influences of Arctic warming on cold winters over North America and East Asia, *Nat. Geosci.*, 8, 759–763, doi:10.1038/NGEO2517, 2015.

[Łącka, M., Zajączkowski, M., Forwick, M. and Szczuciński, W.: Late Weichselian and Holocene palaeoceanography of Storfjordrenna, southern Svalbard, *Clim. Past*, 11\(3\), 587–603, doi:10.5194/cp-11-587-2015, 2015.](#)

Landvik, J. Y., Bondevik, S., Elverhøi, A., Fjeldskaar, W., Mangerud, J., Salvigsen, O., Siegert, M. J., Svendsen, J. I. and Vorren, T. O.: The last glacial maximum of Svalbard and the Barents Sea area: Ice sheet extent and configuration, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 17(1–3), 43–75, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(97)00066-8, 1998.

Lane, C. S., Brauer, A., Blockley, S. P. E. and Dulski, P.: Volcanic ash reveals time-transgressive abrupt climate change during the Younger Dryas, *Geology*, 41(12), 1251–1254, doi:10.1130/G34867.1, 2013.

Lherminier, P., Meincke, J., Freiwald, A. and Schauer, U., Eds.: Circulation and Ecosystems in the Subpolar and Cruise Polar North Atlantic, Cruise No. 2, May 23 - September 16, 2006, Maria S. Merian-Berichte 09-1, 174pp, doi:10.2312/cr_msm02, 2009.

Lind, S. and Ingvaldsen, R. B.: Variability and impacts of Atlantic Water entering the Barents Sea from the north, *Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 62, 70–88, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2011.12.007, 2012.

Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Garcia, H. E., Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M. M., Paver, C. R., Reagan, J. R., Johnson, D. R., Hamilton, M. and Seidov, D.: World Ocean Atlas 2013 Volume 1: Temperature, edited by S. Levitus (Ed.) and A. Mishonov (technical Ed.), NOAA Atlas NESDIS 73., 2013.

[Loeng, H.: Features of the physical oceanographic conditions of the Barents Sea, *Polar Res.*, 10\(1\), 5–18, 1991.](#)

[Loubere, P. and Rayray, S.: Benthic foraminiferal assemblage formation: Theory and observation for the European Arctic margin, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 115, 36–47, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.05.004, 2016.](#)

Lubinski, D. J., Polyak, L. and Forman, S. L.: Freshwater and Atlantic water inflows to the deep northern Barents

- and Kara seas since ca 13 ¹⁴C ka: foraminifera and stable isotopes, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 20, 1851–1879, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00016-6, 2001.
- Luckman, A., Benn, D. I., Cottier, F., Bevan, S., Nilsen, F. and Inall, M.: Calving rates at tidewater glaciers vary strongly with ocean temperature, *Nat. Commun.*, 6(October), 8566, doi:10.1038/ncomms9566, 2015.
- 5 Mackensen, A.: On the use of benthic foraminiferal ¹³C in palaeoceanography: constraints from primary proxy relationships, in *Biogeochemical Controls on Palaeoceanographic Environmental Proxies*, vol. 303, edited by W. E. N. Austin and R. H. James, pp. 121–133, Geological Society, London., 2008.
- Mackensen, A. and Schmiedl, G.: Brine formation recorded by stable isotopes of Recent benthic foraminifera in Storfjorden, Svalbard: palaeoceanographical implications, *Boreas*, 45(3), 552–566, doi:10.1111/bor.12174, 2016.
- 10 Mackensen, A., Sejrup, H.-P. P. and Jansen, E.: The distribution of living benthic foraminifera on the continental slope and rise off Southwest Norway, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 9, 275–306, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004, 1985.
- [Mackensen, A., Schmiedl, G., Thiele, J. and Damm, E.: Microhabitat preferences of live benthic foraminifera and stable carbon isotopes off SW Svalbard in the presence of widespread methane seepage, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 132\(April\), 1–17, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2017.04.004, 2017.](#)
- 15 Majewski, W., Szczuciński, W. and Zajączkowski, M.: Interactions of Arctic and Atlantic water-masses and associated environmental changes during the last millennium, Hornsund (SW Svalbard), *Boreas*, 38(3), 529–544, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2009.00091.x, 2009.
- 20 Mangerud, J.: Radiocarbon dating of marine shells including a discussion of the apparent age of recent shells from Norway., *Boreas*, 1, 143–172, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.1972.tb00147.x, 1972.
- Mangerud, J. and Gulliksen, S.: Apparent radiocarbon ages of recent marine shells from Norway, Spitsbergen, and Arctic Canada, *Quat. Res.*, 5(2), 263–273, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(75)90028-9, 1975.
- Mangerud, J. and Landvik, J. Y.: Younger Dryas cirque glaciers in western Spitsbergen: smaller than during the Little Ice Age, *Boreas*, 36(3), 278–285, doi:10.1080/03009480601134827, 2007.
- 25 Manley, T. O.: Branching of Atlantic Water within the Greenland-Spitsbergen Passage: An estimate of recirculation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(C10), 20627, doi:10.1029/95JC01251, 1995.
- Marchal, O., Cacho, I., Stocker, T. F., Grimalt, J. O., Calvo, E., Martrat, B., Shackleton, N., Vautravers, M., Cortijo, E., Kreveld, S. Van, Andersson, C., Koç, N., Chapman, M., Sbaffi, L., Duplessy, J., Sarnthein, M., Turon, J., Duprat, J. and Jansen, E.: Apparent long-term cooling of the sea surface in the northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean during the Holocene, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 21, 455–483, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00105-6, 2002.
- 30 Masson-Delmotte, V., Schulz, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Beer, J., Ganopolski, A., González Rouco, J. F., Jansen, E., Lambeck, K., Luterbacher, J., Naish, T., Osborn, T., Otto-Bliesner, B., Quinn, T., Ramesh, R., Rojas, M., Shao, X., Timmermann, A. and Rouco, J. F. G.: Information from Paleoclimate Archives, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, pp. 383–464, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 2013.
- 35 McCusker, K. E., Fyfe, J. C. and Sigmond, M.: Twenty-five winters of unexpected Eurasian cooling unlikely due
- 40

- to Arctic sea-ice loss, *Nat. Geosci.*, 9(October), 5–10, doi:10.1038/ngeo2820, 2016.
- McGregor, H. V., Dupont, L., Stuut, J. B. W. and Kuhlmann, H.: Vegetation change, goats, and religion: a 2000-year history of land use in southern Morocco, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 28(15–16), 1434–1448, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.02.012, 2009.
- 5 Meleshko, V. P., Johannessen, O. M., Baidin, A. V., Pavlova, T. V. and Govorkova, V. A.: Arctic amplification: does it impact the polar jet stream?, *Tellus A*, 68, 1–11, doi:10.3402/tellusa.v68.32330, 2016.
- Miller, G. H., Brigham-Grette, J., Alley, R. B., Anderson, L., Bauch, H. A., Douglas, M. S. V., Edwards, M. E., Elias, S. a., Finney, B. P., Fitzpatrick, J. J., Funder, S. V., Herbert, T. D., Hinzman, L. D., Kaufman, D. S., MacDonald, G. M., Polyak, L., Robock, A., Serreze, M. C., Smol, J. P., Spielhagen, R., White, J. W.
10 C., Wolfe, A. P. and Wolff, E. W.: Temperature and precipitation history of the Arctic, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 29(15–16), 1679–1715, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.03.001, 2010.
- Mori, M., Watanabe, M., Shiogama, H., Inoue, J. and Kimoto, M.: Robust Arctic sea-ice influence on the frequent Eurasian cold winters in past decades, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7(October 2014), 869–874, doi:10.1038/ngeo2277, 2014.
- 15 Motyka, R. J., Hunter, L., Echelmeyer, K. A. and Connor, C.: Submarine melting at the terminus of a temperate tidewater glacier, LeConte Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 36, 57–65, doi:10.3189/172756403781816374, 2003.
- Müller, J. and Stein, R.: High-resolution record of late glacial and deglacial sea ice changes in Fram Strait corroborates ice-ocean interactions during abrupt climate shifts, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 403, 446–455, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.07.016, 2014.
- 20 Müller, J., Wagner, A., Fahl, K., Stein, R., Prange, M. and Lohmann, G.: Towards quantitative sea ice reconstructions in the northern North Atlantic: A combined biomarker and numerical modelling approach, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 306(3–4), 137–148, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.04.011, 2011.
- Müller, J., Werner, K., Stein, R., Fahl, K., Moros, M. and Jansen, E.: Holocene cooling culminates in sea ice oscillations in Fram Strait, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 47, 1–14, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.04.024, 2012.
- 25 Müller, P. J., Kirst, G., Ruhland, G., von Storch, I. and Rosell-Melé, A.: Calibration of the alkenone paleotemperature index $U_{37}^{K'}$ based on core-tops from the eastern South Atlantic and the global ocean (60°N–60°S), *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 62(10), 1757–1772, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00097-0, 1998.
- 30 Murray, J. W.: *Ecology and Applications of Benthic Foraminifera*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [online] Available from: <http://www.cambridge.org/9780521828390>, 2006.
- Murton, J. B., Bateman, M. D., Dallimore, S. R., Teller, J. T. and Yang, Z.: Identification of Younger Dryas outburst flood path from Lake Agassiz to the Arctic Ocean., *Nature*, 464(7289), 740–743, doi:10.1038/nature08954, 2010.
- 35 [Newton, A. C. and Rowe, G. T.: The abundance of benthic calcareous Foraminifera and other meiofauna at a time series station in the Northeast Water Polynya, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100\(C3\), 4423–4438, doi:10.1029/94JC02356, 1995.](#)
- Norwegian Polar Institute: Kartdata Svalbard 1:100 000 (S100 Kartdata)/Map Data [Data set], [online] Available from: <https://doi.org/10.21334/npolar.2014.645336c7>, 2014.
- 40 Olsson, I. U.: Content of ^{14}C in marine mammals from Northern Europe, *Radiocarbon*, 22(3), 662–675, 1980.

- Ottesen, D., Dowdeswell, J. A., Landvik, J. Y. and Mienert, J.: Dynamics of the Late Weichselian ice sheet on Svalbard inferred from high-resolution sea-floor morphology, *Boreas*, 36(3), 286–306, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2007.tb01251.x, 2007.
- Overland, J., Francis, J. A., Hall, R., Hanna, E., Kim, S.-J. and Vihma, T.: The Melting Arctic and Midlatitude Weather Patterns: Are They Connected?, *J. Clim.*, 28(20), 7917–7932, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00822.1, 2015.
- Overland, J. E.: A difficult Arctic science issue: Midlatitude weather linkages, *Polar Sci.*, 10(3), 210–216, doi:10.1016/j.polar.2016.04.011, 2015.
- Overland, J. E., Dethloff, K., Francis, J. A., Hall, R. J., Hanna, E., Kim, S.-J., Screen, J. A., Shepherd, T. G. and Vihma, T.: Nonlinear response of mid-latitude weather to the changing Arctic, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 6(11), 992–999, doi:10.1038/nclimate3121, 2016.
- Overpeck, J. T., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Miller, G. H., Muhs, D. R., Alley, R. B. and Kiehl, J. T.: Paleoclimatic Evidence for Future Ice-Sheet Instability and Rapid Sea-Level Rise, *Science*, 311(5768), 1747–1750, doi:10.1126/science.1115159, 2006.
- Padman, L., Costa, D. P., Dinniman, M. S., Fricker, H. A., Goebel, M. E., Huckstadt, L. A., Humbert, A., Joughin, I., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Scambos, T. and Van Den Broeke, M. R.: Oceanic controls on the mass balance of Wilkins Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 117(1), 1–17, doi:10.1029/2011JC007301, 2012.
- Patterson, R. T. and Fishbein, E.: Re-examination of the statistical methods used to determine the number of point counts needed for micropaleontological quantitative research, *J. Paleontol.*, 63(2), 245–248, doi:10.1017/S0022336000019272, 1989.
- Pearce, C., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Kuijpers, A., Massé, G., Reynisson, N. F. and Kristiansen, S. M.: Ocean lead at the termination of the Younger Dryas cold spell., *Nat. Commun.*, 4, 1664, doi:10.1038/ncomms2686, 2013.
- [Pearce, C., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Kuijpers, A. and Reynisson, N. F.: A multi-proxy reconstruction of oceanographic conditions around the Younger Dryas–Holocene transition in Placentia Bay, Newfoundland, Mar. Micropaleontol., 112, 39–49, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2014.08.004, 2014.](#)
- Polyak, L., Korsun, S., Febo, L. A., Stanovoy, V., Khusid, T., Hald, M., Paulsen, B. E. and Lubinski, D. J.: Benthic foraminiferal assemblages from the southern Kara Sea, a river-influenced Arctic marine environment, *J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 32(3), 252–273, doi:10.2113/32.3.252, 2002.
- Porter, S. C. and Denton, G. H.: Chronology of Neoglaciation in the North American Cordillera, *Am. J. Sci.*, 265(March), 177–210, doi:10.2475/ajs.265.3.177, 1967.
- Prahl, F. G. and Wakeham, S. G.: Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain ketone compositions for palaeotemperature assessment, *Nature*, 330(6146), 367–369, doi:10.1038/330367a0, 1987.
- Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E. and Cogley, J. G.: Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models, *Clim. Dyn.*, 42(1–2), 37–58, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1719-7, 2014.
- Rasmussen, S. O., Bigler, M., Blockley, S. P., Blunier, T., Buchardt, S. L., Clausen, H. B., Cvijanovic, I., Dahl-Jensen, D., Johnsen, S. J., Fischer, H., Gkinis, V., Guillevic, M., Hoek, W. Z., Lowe, J. J., Pedro, J. B., Popp, T., Seierstad, I. K., Steffensen, J. P., Svensson, A. M., Vallelonga, P., Vinther, B. M., Walker, M.

- J. C., Wheatley, J. J. and Winstrup, M.: A stratigraphic framework for abrupt climatic changes during the Last Glacial period based on three synchronized Greenland ice-core records: Refining and extending the INTIMATE event stratigraphy, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 106, 14–28, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.09.007, 2014a.
- 5 Rasmussen, T. L. and Thomsen, E.: The role of the North Atlantic Drift in the millennial timescale glacial climate fluctuations, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 210(1), 101–116, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.04.005, 2004.
- Rasmussen, T. L. and Thomsen, E.: Pink marine sediments reveal rapid ice melt and Arctic meltwater discharge during Dansgaard-Oeschger warmings., *Nat. Commun.*, 4(9037), 2849, doi:10.1038/ncomms3849, 2013.
- 10 Rasmussen, T. L. and Thomsen, E.: Brine formation in relation to climate changes and ice retreat during the last 15,000 years in Storfjorden, Svalbard, 76–78° N, *Paleoceanography*, 29, 911–929, doi:10.1002/2014PA002643, 2014.
- Rasmussen, T. L., Thomsen, E., Ślubowska, M. A., Jessen, S., Solheim, A. and Koç, N.: Paleoceanographic evolution of the SW Svalbard margin (76° N) since 20,000 ¹⁴C yr BP, *Quat. Res.*, 67(1), 100–114, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2006.07.002, 2007.
- 15 Rasmussen, T. L., Forwick, M. and Mackensen, A.: Reconstruction of inflow of Atlantic Water to Isfjorden, Svalbard during the Holocene: Correlation to climate and seasonality, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 94–95, 80–90, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2012.06.008, 2012.
- Rasmussen, T. L., Thomsen, E., Skirbekk, K., Ślubowska-Woldengen, M., Klitgaard Kristensen, D. and Koç, N.: Spatial and temporal distribution of Holocene temperature maxima in the northern Nordic seas: interplay of Atlantic-, Arctic- and polar water masses, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 92, 280–291, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.10.034, 2014b.
- 20 Rasmussen, T. L., Thomsen, E. and Nielsen, T.: Water mass exchange between the Nordic seas and the Arctic Ocean on millennial timescale during MIS 4-MIS 2, *Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems*, 15(3), 530–544, doi:10.1002/2013GC005020, 2014c.
- 25 Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Buck, C. E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T. J., Hoffmann, D. L., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kaiser, K. F., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Niu, M., Reimer, R. W., Richards, D. A., Scott, E. M., Southon, J. R., Staff, R. A., Turney, C. S. M. and van der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP, *Radiocarbon*, 55(4), 1869–1887, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947, 2013.
- 30 Reusche, M., Winsor, K., Carlson, A. E., Marcott, S. A., Rood, D. H., Novak, A., Roof, S., Retelle, M., Werner, A., Caffee, M. and Clark, P. U.: ¹⁰Be surface exposure ages on the late-Pleistocene and Holocene history of Linnébreen on Svalbard, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 89, 5–12, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.01.017, 2014.
- 35 Rigual-Hernández, A. S., Colmenero-Hidalgo, E., Martrat, B., Bárcena, M. A., de Vernal, A., Sierro, F. J., Flores, J. A., Grimalt, J. O., Henry, M. and Lucchi, R. G.: Svalbard ice-sheet decay after the Last Glacial Maximum: New insights from micropalaeontological and organic biomarker paleoceanographical reconstructions, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 465, 225–236, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.10.034, 2017.
- 40 Rinterknecht, V., Jomelli, V., Brunstein, D., Favier, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Bourlès, D., Leanni, L. and Schläppy, R.: Unstable ice stream in Greenland during the Younger Dryas cold event, *Geology*, 42(9),

[759–762, doi:10.1130/G35929.1, 2014.](#)

- Risebrobakken, B., Dokken, T., Smedsrud, L. H., Andersson, C., Jansen, E., Moros, M. and Ivanova, E. V.: Early Holocene temperature variability in the Nordic Seas: The role of oceanic heat advection versus changes in orbital forcing, *Paleoceanography*, 26(4), doi:10.1029/2011PA002117, 2011.
- 5 Rudels, B.: Arctic ocean circulation, in *Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences*, edited by J. H. Steele, K. K. Turekian, and S. A. Thorpe, pp. 211–225, Elsevier., 2009.
- Rytter, F., Knudsen, K. L., Seidenkrantz, M.-S. and Eiriksson, J.: Modern Distribution of Benthic Foraminifera on the North Iceland Shelf and Slope, *J. Foraminifer. Res.*, 32(3), 217–244, doi:10.2113/32.3.217, 2002.
- Sakshaug, E.: Biomass and productivity distributions and their variability in the Barents Sea, *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 10 54, 341–350, doi:10.1006/jmsc.1996.0170, 1997.
- Saloranta, T. M. and Haugan, P. M.: Interannual variability in the hydrography of Atlantic water northwest of Svalbard, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(C7), 13931–13943, doi:10.1029/2000JC000478, 2001.
- [Saloranta, T. M. and Svendsen, H.: Across the Arctic front west of Spitsbergen: High-resolution CTD sections from 1998-2000, *Polar Res.*, 20\(2\), 177–184, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2001.tb00054.x, 2001.](#)
- 15 Salvigsen, O.: Radiocarbon-dated *Mytilus edulis* and *Modiolus modiolus* from northern Svalbard: Climatic implications, *Nor. Geogr. Tidsskr. - Nor. J. Geogr.*, 56(2), 56–61, doi:10.1080/002919502760056350, 2002.
- Salvigsen, O. and Høgvard, K.: Glacial history, Holocene shoreline displacement and palaeoclimate based on radiocarbon ages in the area of Bockfjorden, north-western Spitsbergen, Svalbard, *Polar Res.*, 25(1), 15–20 24, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2005.tb00021.x, 2006.
- Salvigsen, O. and Österholm, H.: Radiocarbon dated raised beaches and glacial history of the northern coast of Spitsbergen, Svalbard, *Polar Res.*, 1982(1), 97–115, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.1982.tb00473.x, 1982.
- Sarnthein, M., van Kreveld, S., Erlenkeuser, H., Grootes, P. M., Kucera, M., Pflaumann, U. and Schulz, M.: Centennial-to-millennial-scale periodicities of Holocene climate and sediment injections off the western 25 Barents shelf, 75° N, *Boreas*, 32(3), 447–461, doi:10.1080/03009480310003351, 2003.
- Schauer, U., Fahrbach, E., Osterhus, S. and Rohardt, G.: Arctic warming through the Fram Strait: Oceanic heat transport from 3 years of measurements, *J. Geophys. Res. C Ocean.*, 109(6), 1–14, doi:10.1029/2003JC001823, 2004.
- Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View, [online] Available from: <http://odv.awi.de>, 2015.
- 30 Seidenkrantz, M.-S.: *Cassidulina teretis* Tappan and *Cassidulina neoteretis* new species (Foraminifera): stratigraphic markers for deep sea and outer shelf areas, *J. Micropalaeontology*, 14(2), 145–157, doi:10.1144/jm.14.2.145, 1995.
- Seidenkrantz, M.-S.: Benthic foraminifera as palaeo sea-ice indicators in the subarctic realm – examples from the Labrador Sea–Baffin Bay region, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 79, 135–144, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.03.014, 35 2013.
- Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Ebbesen, H., Aagaard-Sørensen, S., Moros, M., Lloyd, J. M., Olsen, J., Knudsen, M. F. and Kuijpers, A.: Early Holocene large-scale meltwater discharge from Greenland documented by foraminifera and sediment parameters, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 391, 71–81, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2012.04.006, 2013.
- 40 Serreze, M. C. and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, *Glob. Planet.*

Change, 77(1–2), 85–96, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.03.004, 2011.

Serreze, M. C., Barrett, A. P., Slater, A. G., Woodgate, R. A., Aagaard, K., Lammers, R. B., Steele, M., Moritz, R., Meredith, M. and Lee, C. M.: The large-scale freshwater cycle of the Arctic, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 111(11), 1–19, doi:10.1029/2005JC003424, 2006.

5 Shackleton, N. J.: Attainment of isotopic equilibrium between ocean water and the benthonic foraminifera *Uvigerina*: isotopic changes in the ocean during the last glacial, *Colloq. Int. du C.N.R.S.*, 219, 203–209 [online] Available from: <https://epic.awi.de/32862/1/shackleton-1974.pdf>, 1974.

Sheldon, C., Jennings, A., Andrews, J. T., Ó Cofaigh, C., Hogan, K., Dowdeswell, J. A. and Seidenkrantz, M. S.: Ice stream retreat following the LGM and onset of the west Greenland current in Uummannaq Trough, west Greenland, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 147, 27–46, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.019, 2016a.

10

Sheldon, C. M., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Pearce, C., Kuijpers, A., Hansen, M. J. and Christensen, E. Z.: Holocene oceanographic changes in SW Labrador Sea, off Newfoundland, *The Holocene*, 26(2), 274–289, doi:10.1177/0959683615608690, 2016b.

Skirbekk, K., Klitgaard Kristensen, D., Rasmussen, T. L., Koç, N. and Forwick, M.: Holocene climate variations at the entrance to a warm Arctic fjord: evidence from Kongsfjorden trough, Svalbard, in *Fjord Systems and Archives*, vol. 344, edited by J. A. Howe, W. E. N. Austin, M. Forwick, and M. Paetzel, pp. 289–304, Geological Society, London., 2010.

15

[Skogseth, R., Haugan, P. M. and Jakobsson, M.: Watermass transformations in Storfjorden, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 25, 667–695, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2004.10.005, 2005.](#)

20

Ślubowska-Woldengen, M., Rasmussen, T. L., Koç, N., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Nilsen, F. and Solheim, A.: Advection of Atlantic Water to the western and northern Svalbard shelf since 17,500 cal yr BP, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 26(3–4), 463–478, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.09.009, 2007.

Ślubowska-Woldengen, M., Koç, N., Rasmussen, T. L., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Hald, M. and Jennings, A. E.: Time-slice reconstructions of ocean circulation changes on the continental shelf in the Nordic and Barents Seas during the last 16,000 cal yr B.P., *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 27(15–16), 1476–1492, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.04.015, 2008.

25

Ślubowska, M. A., Koç, N., Rasmussen, T. L. and Klitgaard-Kristensen, D.: Changes in the flow of Atlantic water into the Arctic Ocean since the last deglaciation: Evidence from the northern Svalbard continental margin, 80°N, *Paleoceanography*, 20(4), doi:10.1029/2005PA001141, 2005.

30

[Small, D. and Fabel, D.: Was Scotland deglaciated during the Younger Dryas?, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 145, 259–263, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.05.031, 2016.](#)

Smik, L., Cabedo-Sanz, P. and Belt, S. T.: Semi-quantitative estimates of paleo Arctic sea ice concentration based on source-specific highly branched isoprenoid alkenes: A further development of the PIP₂₅ index, *Org. Geochem.*, 92, 63–69, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.12.007, 2016.

35

Solomina, O. N., Bradley, R. S., Hodgson, D. A., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jomelli, V., Mackintosh, A. N., Nesje, A., Owen, L. A., Wanner, H., Wiles, G. C. and Young, N. E.: Holocene glacier fluctuations, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 111, 9–34, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.11.018, 2015.

Solomina, O. N., Bradley, R. S., Jomelli, V., Geirsdottir, A., Kaufman, D. S., Koch, J., McKay, N. P., Masiokas, M., Miller, G., Nesje, A., Nicolussi, K., Owen, L. A., Putnam, A. E., Wanner, H., Wiles, G. and Yang, B.: Glacier fluctuations during the past 2000 years, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 149, 61–90,

40

doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.04.008, 2016.

Spielhagen, R. F., Werner, K., Sørensen, S. A., Zamelczyk, K., Kandiano, E., Budeus, G., Husum, K., Marchitto, T. M. and Hald, M.: Enhanced modern heat transfer to the Arctic by warm Atlantic Water., *Science*, 331(6016), 450–453, doi:10.1126/science.1197397, 2011.

5 Stalling, D., Westerhof, M. and Hege, H.-C.: Amira: A Highly Interactive System for Visual Data Analysis, in *The Visualization Handbook*, edited by C. D. Hansen and C. R. Johnson, pp. 749–767, Elsevier. [online] Available from: <https://amira.zib.de/>, 2005.

Stein, R., Fahl, K. and Müller, J.: Proxy Reconstruction of Cenozoic Arctic Ocean Sea-Ice History – from IRD to IP₂₅, *Polarforschung*, 82(1), 37–71, 2012.

10 Steinsund, P. I.: Benthic foraminifera in surface sediments of the Barents and Kara Seas: modern and late Quaternary applications, University of Tromsø., 1994.

Stokes, C. R., Corner, G. D., Winsborrow, M. C. M., Husum, K. and Andreassen, K.: Asynchronous response of marine-terminating outlet glaciers during deglaciation of the Fennoscandian ice sheet, *Geology*, 42(5), 455–458, doi:10.1130/G35299.1, 2014.

15 Straneo, F., Hamilton, G. S., Sutherland, D. A., Stearns, L. A., Davidson, F., Hammill, M. O., Stenson, G. B. and Rosing-Asvid, A.: Rapid circulation of warm subtropical waters in a major glacial fjord in East Greenland, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3(3), 182–186, doi:10.1038/ngeo764, 2010.

Stroeve, J. C., Serreze, M. C., Holland, M. M., Kay, J. E., Malanik, J. and Barrett, A. P.: The Arctic’s rapidly shrinking sea ice cover: A research synthesis, *Clim. Change*, 110(3–4), 1005–1027, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0101-1, 2012.

20 Svendsen, J. I., Elverhøi, A. and Mangerud, J.: The retreat of the Barents Sea Ice Sheet on the western Svalbard margin, *Boreas*, 25(4), 244–256, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.1996.tb00640.x, 1996.

Svendsen, J. I., Gataullin, V., Mangerud, J. and Polyak, L.: The glacial History of the Barents and Kara Sea Region, in *Quaternary Glaciations-Extent and Chronology*, edited by J. Ehlers and P. L. Gibbard, pp. 369–378, Elsevier, Amsterdam., 2004.

25 Szczuciński, W. and Zajączkowski, M.: Factors Controlling Downward Fluxes of Particulate Matter in Glacier-Contact and Non-Glacier Contact Settings in a Subpolar Fjord (Billefjorden, Svalbard), in *Sediments, Morphology and Sedimentary Processes on Continental Shelves*, vol. 44, edited by M. Z. Li, C. R. Sherwood, and P. R. Hill, pp. 369–386, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, West Sussex, UK., 2012.

30 Taldenkova, E., Bauch, H. A., Gottschalk, J., Nikolaev, S., Rostovtseva, Y., Pogodina, I., Ovsepyan, Y. and Kandiano, E.: History of ice-rafting and water mass evolution at the northern Siberian continental margin (Laptev Sea) during Late Glacial and Holocene times, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 29(27–28), 3919–3935, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.09.013, 2010.

Tarasov, L. and Peltier, W. R.: Arctic freshwater forcing of the Younger Dryas cold reversal., *Nature*, 435(7042), 662–665, doi:10.1038/nature03617, 2005.

35 Teigen, S. H., Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Gjevik, B. and Beszczynska-Möller, A.: Barotropic instability in the West Spitsbergen Current, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 116(7), 1–18, doi:10.1029/2011JC006974, [2010](#).

Telesiński, M. M., Bauch, H. A., Spielhagen, R. F. and Kandiano, E. S.: Evolution of the central Nordic Seas over the last 20 thousand years, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 121, 98–109, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.05.013, 2015.

40 Volkman, J. K.: A review of sterol markers for marine and terrigenous organic matter, *Org. Geochem.*, 9(2), 83–

99, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(86)90089-6, 1986.

Volkman, J. K., Barrett, S. M., Dunstan, G. A. and Jeffrey, S. W.: Geochemical significance of the occurrence of dinosterol and other 4-methyl sterols in a marine diatom, *Org. Geochem.*, 20(1), 7–15, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(93)90076-N, 1993.

5 [Waelbroeck, C., Duplessy, J. C., Michel, E., Labeyrie, L., Paillard, D. and Duprat, J.: The timing of the last deglaciation in North Atlantic climate records., *Nature*, 412\(6848\), 724–727, doi:10.1038/35106623, 2001.](#)

10 Waelbroeck, C., Labeyrie, L., Michel, E., Duplessy, J. C., McManus, J. F., Lambeck, K., Balbon, E. and Labracherie, M.: Sea-level and deep water temperature changes derived from benthic foraminifera isotopic records, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 21(1–3), 295–305, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00101-9, 2002.

Walczowski, W.: Frontal structures in the West Spitsbergen current margins, *Ocean Sci.*, 9(6), 957–975, doi:10.5194/os-9-957-2013, 2013.

Wassmann, P.: Overarching perspectives of contemporary and future ecosystems in the Arctic Ocean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 139, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.08.004, 2015.

15 Werner, K., Spielhagen, R. F., Bauch, D., Hass, H. C., Kandiano, E. and Zamelczyk, K.: Atlantic Water advection to the eastern Fram Strait — Multiproxy evidence for late Holocene variability, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 308(3–4), 264–276, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.05.030, 2011.

20 Werner, K., Spielhagen, R. F., Bauch, D., Hass, H. C. and Kandiano, E.: Atlantic Water advection versus sea-ice advances in the eastern Fram Strait during the last 9 ka: Multiproxy evidence for a two-phase Holocene, *Paleoceanography*, 28(2), 283–295, doi:10.1002/palo.20028, 2013.

Werner, K., Frank, M., Teschner, C., Müller, J. and Spielhagen, R. F.: Neoglacial change in deep water exchange and increase of sea-ice transport through eastern Fram Strait : evidence from radiogenic isotopes, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 92, 190–207, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.06.015, 2014.

25 Werner, K., Müller, J., Husum, K., Spielhagen, R. F., Kandiano, E. S. and Polyak, L.: Holocene sea subsurface and surface water masses in the Fram Strait - Comparisons of temperature and sea-ice reconstructions, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 147, 194–209, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.09.007, 2016.

[Wollenburg, J. E., Knies, J. and Mackensen, A.: High-resolution paleoproductivity fluctuations during the past 24 kyr as indicated by benthic foraminifera in the marginal Arctic Ocean, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 204, 209–238, doi:10.1016/S0031-0182\(03\)00726-0, 2004.](#)



30 Wouters, B., Martin-Espanol, A., Helm, V., Flament, T., van Wessem, J. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., van den Broeke, M. R. and Bamber, J. L.: Dynamic thinning of glaciers on the Southern Antarctic Peninsula, *Science*, 348(6237), 899–903, doi:10.1126/science.aaa5727, 2015.

35 Xiao, X., Fahl, K., Müller, J. and Stein, R.: Sea-ice distribution in the modern Arctic Ocean: Biomarker records from trans-Arctic Ocean surface sediments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 155, 16–29, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.029, 2015.

Zajączkowski, M., Szczuciński, W., Plessen, B. and Jernas, P.: Benthic foraminifera in Hornsund, Svalbard: Implications for paleoenvironmental reconstructions, *Polish Polar Res.*, 31(4), 349–375, doi:10.2478/v10183-010-0010-4, 2010.

Table 1: AMS radiocarbon measurements and calibrated ages applying the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013) and an averaged regional $\Delta R = 98 \pm 37$ years (calib.qub.ac.uk). All measurements carried out at ETH Zürich apart from the measurements at 2.5 and 270.5 cm (CologneAMS, University of Cologne). *Radiocarbon age from 257 cm was excluded from the age model (as discussed in sect. 4.2).

Lab ID	depth	dated material	^{14}C age		cal. age (2σ) [a- BP]		
	[cm]		[a]	\pm	min	max	median
COL2571.1.1	2.5	mixed benthic foraminifera	879	31	307	496	420
ETH-58439	27.5	mixed benthic foraminifera	2155	45	1499	1795	1630
ETH-61277	57.5	<i>N. labradorica</i>	7845	65	8035	8360	8216
ETH-64606	67	<i>N. labradorica</i>	8815	60	9217	9519	9384
ETH-61278	77	<i>N. labradorica</i>	9395	75	9846	10339	10114
ETH-61279	122	<i>N. labradorica</i>	9980	75	10613	11082	10837
ETH-61280	157	<i>N. labradorica</i>	10230	75	10873	11306	11127
ETH-58440	182.5	mixed benthic foraminifera	10710	70	11445	12276	11918
ETH-64607	212	<i>N. labradorica</i>	11120	80	12283	12726	12559
ETH-58441	237	mixed benthic foraminifera	11810	70	13000	13363	13197
ETH-64608	257	mixed benthic foraminifera	11610*	120	age reversal		
COL2572.1.1	270.5	mixed benthic foraminifera	13391	53	15167	15648	15391







Fig. 1: a) Average annual (1955–2012) sea surface temperatures (SST) of the North Atlantic (World Ocean Atlas/Ocean Data View; Locarnini et al., 2013; Schlitzer, 2015) White rectangle: Svalbard area as shown in (b). b) Main ocean currents in the Svalbard area. Red arrows: Atlantic Water (WSC = West Spitsbergen Current; SB = Svalbard Branch). Blue arrows: Arctic Water (ESC = East Spitsbergen Current; CC = Coastal Current). Orange dot marks study location (GeoB10817-4). Yellow dots mark other core sites mentioned in the text: 1. NP94-51 (Jernas et al., 2013; Koç et al., 2002; Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007; Ślubowska et al., 2005); 2. MSM5/5-712-1 (Müller et al., 2012; Spielhagen et al., 2011; Werner et al., 2011, 2013, 2014); 3. NP05-11-21 (Jernas et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2014b; Skirbekk et al., 2010); 4. JM98-845 (Rasmussen et al., 2012); 5. MD99-2304 (Hald et al., 2004); 6. MD99-2305 (Hald et al., 2004); 7. JM02-440 (Ślubowska-Woldengen et al., 2007); 8. HR3 (Majewski et al., 2009); 9. JM02-460 (Rasmussen et al., 2007); 10. 23258 (Sarnthein et al., 2003). White rectangle: Study area as shown in (c). c) Woodfjorden area with eponymous fjord and adjacent fjords. EB = Emmabreen; IB = Idabreen; SB = Seligerbreen; LØ = Lernerøyane. Orange dot marks study location (GeoB10817-4). The bathymetric metadata and Digital Terrain Model data products in (b) and (c) have been derived from the EMODnet Bathymetry portal (<http://emodnet-bathymetry.eu/>). Topographic data in (c): © Norwegian Polar Institute (Norwegian Polar Institute, 2014).





Fig. 2: Temperature and salinity against depth (in metre below sea level [mbsl]) at station MSM02/03-666-3 (same location as the studied sediment core GeoB10817-4; see sect. 3) derived during cruise MSM02/03 applying a Sea Bird CTD sensor as described in the cruise report (Lherminier et al., 2009). The profile illustrates subsurface Atlantic Water inflow at the core site (grey shading), framed by less saline Surface Water on top and colder Winter Water at the bottom. Winter Water forms locally by convection processes during autumn/winter (Cottier et al., 2010). Temperature (>3 °C) and salinity boundaries (>34.65) following Cottier et al. (2005).









Fig. 23: a) CT scan of the core GeoB10817-4. Left to right: orthogonal profile; interpreted image (clasts and bioturbation, s. legend); clast-size distribution (0–20 vol. % of clasts: blue to red, respectively). Yellow rectangle marks the disturbed section also shown in (b). b) CT images (orthogonal and interpreted) of the disturbed section (yellow rectangle; definition of boundaries: see sect. 4.1 and Fig. A3–Fig. A2 in the appendix). c) Age–depth plot for sediment

core GeoB10817-4: Diamonds show calibrated (median) ages with error bars (Table 1); solid black line shows linear interpolation excluding an outlier at 257 cm. Blue solid line shows corresponding sedimentation rates.



5 | Fig. 34: a) Grain-size distribution [vol. %] of fine siliciclastic sediments (0–63 μm) derived from laser diffraction particle size analyses. Green rectangles: selected grain-size distributions as shown in (d). b) mean grain size [μm] of siliciclastic sediments. c) Ice rafted debris (IRD): volume percentage (solid red line) and clasts per cm³ (reddish shading) derived from CT analysis. Stars mark peaks in vol. % corresponding to single large clasts. Grey vertical shadings indicate cold periods: Preboreal Oscillation (PBO), Younger Dryas (YD) and Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1). Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon dated depths with error ranges. d) selected grain-size distributions [vol. %] at ~8.8 ka (light green line), ~11 ka (dark green line) and ~13.9 ka ~~BP~~ (green line).






Fig. 45: a) Accumulation rates of benthic foraminifera (ARBF) and (b-h) relative percentages (lines) and accumulation rates (shadings) of dominant calcareous benthic foraminifera. ab) *Cibicides lobatulus*. bc) *Buccella frigida* s.l. ed) *Islandiella helenae*. de) *Nonionellina labradorica*. ef) *Cassidulina neoteretis*. fg) *Elphidium clavatum*. gh) *Cassidulina reniforme*. Grey vertical shadings: s. Fig. 3 Fig. 4. Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages with error ranges.

5






Fig. 56: a) Stable oxygen isotopes (corrected for vital effects and the global ice effect; s. sect. 3.5) and b) stable carbon isotopes of the epibenthic species *Cibicides lobatulus* (purple line) vs the endobenthic species *Nonionellina labradorica* (blue line). c) Difference between epi- and endobenthic stable carbon isotope compositions. Grey vertical shadings: s. Fig. 3 Fig. 4. Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages with error ranges.

5



5 **Fig. 67:** a) Sea surface temperature (SST) with standard error (± 1.5 °C) derived from alkenone unsaturation index (U_{37}^K) (Brassell et al., 1986; Müller et al., 1998). b) Phytoplankton (brassicasterol)-IP₂₅ index (PIP₂₅). 0.3 to 0.5: reduced sea ice cover (⊛), 0.5 to 0.7: seasonal sea ice cover (⊛⊛), >>0.7: extended to perennial sea ice cover (⊛⊛⊛) (Müller et al., 2011). c) Accumulation rate of sea ice biomarker IP₂₅. d) Accumulation rates of phytoplankton biomarker brassicasterol (green line) and of benthic foraminifera (greenish shading). Grey vertical shadings: s. Fig-3 Fig. 4. Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages with error ranges.






Fig. 78: Blueish horizontal bar on top symbolises sea-ice coverage based on biomarker data (PIP₂₅ index). Red–blue horizontal bar symbolises (qualitative) sea surface temperatures (red = warm; blue = cold) based on biomarker data (alkenones). a) Mean grain size of siliciclastic (terrigenous) sediments: low values illustrate deposition of suspended sediment from meltwater plumes (note descending y-axis). b) Volume percentage of ice-rafted debris (solid red line) and number of clasts per cm³ (reddish shading) signifying glacier activity (iceberg calving). c) Relative percentages of *N. labradorica*. High percentages: Atlantic Water intrusion into intermediate waters delivering nutrients into the photic zone. Note the almost parallel increase in export production as illustrated in (e). d) Relative percentages of *C. neoteretis*. High percentages: Atlantic Water advection into bottom waters. e) Offset of epi- and endobenthic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values indicating export productivity. f) Radiocarbon dated beach ridges in the Woodfjorden area (Forman et al., 2004) illustrating glacio-isostatic emergence (in metres above high tide [maht]; note descending y-axis). Colours mark different locations as indicated in the legend (Fig. 1c). g) Stable oxygen isotopes from NGRIP ice core (black line; Rasmussen et al., 2014a) and June/July insolation at 80° N (orange line; Berger and Loutre, 1991). Grey vertical shadings: s. Fig. 3 Fig. 4. Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages with error ranges






Fig. 89: Environmental conditions in the Woodfjorden during (a) the deglaciation, (b) the Younger Dryas, (c) the early Holocene and (d) the late Holocene. Black bars mark location of sediment core GeoB10817-4. Glacier retreats or advances are marked by turquoise arrows. Yellow circles symbolise insolation changes (cf., Berger and Loutre, 1991). Crossed circle in (b) signifies easterlies (blowing perpendicular to the profile) triggering a northward Ekman transport of the surface waters which entailed an upwelling of intermediate waters (i.e., AW;) (cf., see Lind and Ingvaldsen, 2012).

5

Appendices



5 **Fig. A1: Temperature and salinity profile at station MSM02/03-666-3 (same location as the studied sediment core GeoB10817-4; see sect. 3) derived during cruise MSM02/03 applying a Sea Bird CTD sensor as described in the cruise report (Lherminier et al., 2009). The profile illustrates subsurface Atlantic Water inflow at the core site (grey shading), framed by less saline Surface Water on top and colder Winter Water at the bottom. Winter Water forms locally by convection processes during autumn/winter (cf., Cottier et al., 2010). Temperature ($>3^{\circ}\text{C}$) and salinity boundaries (>34.65) following Cottier et al. (2005).**







Fig. A2A1: Relative percentages of the dominant calcareous benthic foraminifera. Comparison of fraction >100 µm (solid lines) vs fraction >150 µm (dashed lines). a) *Cibicides lobatulus*. b) *Buccella frigida* s.l. c) *Islandiella helenae*. d) *Nonionellina labradorica*. e) *Cassidulina neoteretis*. f) *Elphidium clavatum*. g) *Cassidulina reniforme*. Note similar pattern of the faunal distributions. The highest offset can be recognised for *N. labradorica* and *C. reniforme* because the majority of *N. labradorica* appears in the >150 µm fraction whereas the majority of *C. reniforme* appears in the >100 µm fraction. Black diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages.

5



5 | **Fig. A3A2:** a) Grain size distribution of fine siliciclastic sediments (0–63 μm). b) Interpreted CT analysis showing bioturbation (green) and clasts (orange). c) X-ray density (black line) in Hounsfield units (HU) with standard deviation (red line) derived from CT analysis. Yellow rectangle marks disturbed section. Note coarsening upwards of fine sediments (a), high accumulation of clasts (b) and increased x-ray density as well as respective standard deviation (c) in the disturbed section.





Fig. A3: Comparison of methods to determine the input of ice-rafted debris (IRD): Accumulation rates (Ehrmann and Thiede, 1985) of clasts >500 μm based on count data as well as volume percentages and numbers of clasts >1 mm per cm³ based on CT analyses.

5



5

Fig. A4: Relative percentages of the total benthic foraminiferal fauna: a) sum of agglutinating species. b) *Adercotryma glomerata*. c) *Reophax* spp. Relative percentages of the calcareous benthic foraminiferal fauna: d) *Astrononion gallowayi*. e) *Elphidium hallandense*. f) *Elphidium* spp. (excl. *E. asklundi*, *E. bartletti*, *E. clavatum*, *E. halandense*), g) *Islandiella norcrossi*. h) *Islandiella* spp. (excl. *I. helenae*, *I. islandica*, *I. norcrossi*). i) *Stainforthia loeblichii* s.l. j) *Stainforhtia* spp. (excl. *S. loeblichii* s.l.). Grey vertical shadings: s. Fig. 4. Dark grey diamonds: calibrated radiocarbon ages with error ranges.




Fig. A4A5: Phytoplankton biomarker [$\mu\text{g per g Sediment}$]: Brassicasterol (light green line) and dinosterol (dark green line). Note almost parallel trend with brassicasterol showing one magnitude higher values than dinosterol.

Table A1: Lists of benthic foraminiferal species appearing in sediment core GeoB10817-4

Agglutinating species

Adercotryma glomerata (Brady, 1878)
Ammodiscus sp.
Bathysiphon rufum de Folin, 1886
Bathysiphon spp.
Cribrostomoides spp.
Cuneata arctica (Brady, 1881)
Eggerella spp.
Hippocrepinella sp.
Lagenammia spp.
Miliammia spp.
Portatrochammia bipolaris Bronnimann & Whittaker, 1980
Portatrochammia karica (Shchedrina, 1946)
Portatrochammia spp.
Recurvoides turbinatus (Brady, 1881)
Reophax spp.
Spiroplectammia biformis (Parker & Jones, 1865)
Textularia earlandi Phleger, 1952
Textularia spp.
Textularia torgata Parker, 1952
Textulariina
Trochammia astrifica (Rhumbler, 1938)
Trochammia spp.

Calcareous species

Astrononion gallowayi Loeblich & Tappan, 1953
Bolivina pseudopunctata Höglund, 1947
Buccella frigida s.l. (*B. frigida* s.s. (Cushman, 1922) and *B. tenerrima* (Bandy, 1950))
Buccella spp.
Bulimina c.f. *alazanensis/rostrata*
Cassidulina neoteretis Seidenkrantz, 1995
Cassidulina reniforme Nørvang, 1945
Cassidulininae
Cibicides lobatulus (Walker & Jacob, 1798)
Cibicidinae
Cornuspira involvens (Reuss, 1850)
Cornuspira spp.
Dentalina spp.
Discorbinella araucana (d'Orbigny, 1839)
Elphidium albiumbilicatum (Weiss, 1954)

Elphidium asklundi Brotzen, 1943
Elphidium bartletti Cushman, 1933
Elphidium clavatum Cushman, 1930 (formerly named *Elphidium excavatum* (Terquem, 1875) forma *clavata* Cushman, 1930; see Darling et al. (2016))
Elphidium hallandense Brotzen, 1943
Elphidium spp.
Elphidium williamsoni Haynes, 1973
Epistominella spp.
Fissurina orbignyana Seguenza, 1862
Fissurina spp.
Glandulina ovula d'Orbigny, 1846
Globobulimina auriculata (Bailey, 1894)
Globobulimina spp.
Globobulimina turgida (Bailey, 1851)
Globulina spp.
Haynesina spp.
Islandiella helenae Feyling–Hanssen & Buzas, 1976
Islandiella islandica (Nørvang, 1945)
Islandiella norcrossi (Cushman, 1933)
Islandiella spp.
Lagena distoma Parker & Jones, 1864
Lagena laevis (Montagu, 1803)
Lagena striata (d'Orbigny)
Melonis barleeanus (Williamson, 1858)
Melonis spp.
Miliolida
Miliolinella spp.
Nodosaria spp.
Nonionella auriculata Heron-Allan & Earland, 1930
Nonionella turgida (Williamson, 1858)
Nonionellina labradorica (Dawson, 1860)
Oolina hexagona (Williamson, 1848)
Pullenia quinqueloba (Reuss, 1851)
Quinqueloculina seminula (Linné, 1758)
Quinqueloculina spp.
Quinqueloculina stalker Loeblich & Tappan, 1953
Robertina spp.
Rosalina spp.
Rotaliina
Stainforthia loeblich s.l. (*S. loeblich* s.s. (Feyling–Hanssen, 1954) and *S. concava* Höglund, 1947)
Stainforthia spp.

Trifarina angulosa (Willamson, 1858)

Trifarina fluens (Todd, 1947)

Triloculina spp.

Triloculina trihedral Loeblich & Tappan, 1953

Uvigerina mediterranea Hofker, 1932

Uvigerina spp.