Dear Prof. Luterbacher,

We have changed the previous version of the ms. responding to all points of concern, following the Reviewers' suggestions and yours.

In particular, in order to have a lighter method chapter (as suggested by Referee#2), shortened the text on the construction of climate series, being not the focus of the current paper. The introduction was slightly shortened here and there (as suggested by Referee#2), but we added some parts on 'subtropical drying due to climatic change in western North America, Mediterranean' (as suggested by Referee#1).
Moreover, we compared our reconstruction of AS temperature also with the JAS reconstruction of Klesse et al. (2015) based on one MXD chronology from Mt. Olympus (Greece), as suggested by Referee#2 and with the dataset of JJA temperature of Luterbacher et al. (2004) available on Climate Explorer, as suggested by you. The comparison between tree-ring based temperature is now performed in Table 4 and in the new Fig. 6C that was also enhanced with information on past volcanic eruptions (Referee#1) and mean hemispheric values of incoming solar radiation. The comparisons between Luterbacher et al. (2004) JJA temperature and the tree-ring based reconstructions of AS (North Eastern Mediterranean and central and southern Italy) and JAS (Greece) temperature is presented in the Online Material 1, as it concerns different variables and different datasets from different territories. It is performed considering also JJA, JAS and As temperature records from Brumetti et al. (2006), whereas we do not use the spring and autumn gridded dataset of Xoplaki et al. (2005) and the precipitation gridded dataset of Pauling et al. (2006), as our dataset of AS, is more linked to summer temperature.

We also added a new figure (Fig. 4), in order to give more insights about climate-density responses at the site level and about the percentage of HSTC trees per site, according to site location and species (both Referees asked for deeper details on these topics).

Hereafter you can find an updated point-to-point response to the received comments.

We thank the Referees and you for critically reading the ms. We hope that the current version of the ms. has reached the requested quality standard of CP. In case of acceptance, the data will be made available as Online Material 2.

Kind regards,
Giovanni Leonelli and authors

Interactive comment - **Anonymous Referee #1** - Received and published: 21 March 2017

our *updated* responses in BLUE

Climate signals in a multi species tree-ring network...

This is an interesting and thoughtful, well written paper that I recommend should be accepted and published in Climate of the Past with minor revision. It describes the generation and analysis of a multi species tree-ring network from central and southern Italy, and a reconstruction of late summer temperatures since the early 1700s based on this network.

Using RW and MXD from 27 sites in Italy (both conifers and some hardwoods), temperature and precipitation signatures were identified, and eventually a late summer temperature reconstruction based on MXD was generated. There is apparent divergence between observed and reconstructed temperature of about 1 degree C, possibly due to the impact of drought stress.

Para beginning on line 8 of intro: good to reference some of recent modeling studies of subtropical drying due to climatic change in western North America, Mediterranean..(e,g. Seager et al. papers) This paper has a good general overview/intro re the climate response in Mediterranean trees, which can be quite complex due to multiple influences on growth.

As requested by Referee#1, we added in the Introduction a paragraph on researches dealing with the impacts of hydroclimatic changes on subtropical environments of North America and the Mediterranean: Fu et al., 2006; Seager et al., 2007; Seager and Vecchi, 2010; Schlaepfer et al., 2017. Page 2, Lines 10-15.

as found elsewhere, rather well behaved MXD temp signal, here linked to drought at high temperatures. would like to see more about the gradient of response to climate in these trees across space and elevation..

We agree with the Referee that a deeper analysis of climate/growth response across space and elevation would add some interesting information. Considering the strength of the signals recorded and the number of chronologies available, we focused our attention on the MXD chronologies, and performed a redundancy analysis (RDA) selecting as response variables the bootstrapped correlation coefficients of climate-growth relationships (Fig. 3) and as explanatory variables the environmental variables (geographical characteristics and climatic averages over the period 1880-1980). In order to attenuate co-variation within the environmental variables, a PCA was run before the RDA and the following variable were chosen:

- Elevation (co-varying with Longitude: our sites are placed at higher elevation at increasing longitude);
- avg_AS temperature;
- avg_JJA precipitation (co-varying with Latitude: higher latitude means higher precipitation amounts)
- avg_JJAS SPI3

See Method chapter, Page 6, lines 11-22.

From the analysis we obtained the following figure that is now included in the ms. as Fig. 4A:



Figure 4A: Ordination biplot *(RDA analysis) of climate-growth relationships (response variables, Y) and environmental variables (explanatory variables X: elevation and climatic averages over the period 1880-1980).* ABAL =*Abies alba*; PILE = *Pinus lucodermis*; PINI = *Pinus nigra*.

The Results chapter was updated, page 8 lines 1-12: The strength of the AS temperature signal recorded in the MXD chronologies depends also on summer precipitation amounts (co-varying with Latitude, in our dataset of MXD) and Elevation (co-varying with Longitude, in our dataset of MXD): positive and negative correlation, respectively.
Summer precipitation amounts and elevation correlate negatively in our dataset of MXD, underlining the prevalence of the latitudinal gradient of higher precipitation at north over the expected altitudinal gradient of higher precipitation at higher altitudes: sites at north, even if at lower altitudes, receive more summer precipitation than sites at south, at higher altitude. The RDA analysis shows that summer precipitation amounts and elevation are the variables most influencing the MXD sensitivity to AS temperature: the F1 axis alone explains up to 72% of the variance in response variables, and especially in AS temperature and JJAS SPI3 signals.

These results and some considerations on climate/growth response across space and elevation were added also in the Discussion chapter, Page 9 line 19-27:
Of the considered explanatory environmental variables, it is especially the latitudinal regime of summer precipitation amounts that modulates the MXD sensitivity to AS temperature and to summer drought (Fig. 4A): sites at north (more mesic and at lower elevation) show stronger climate signals than sites at south (more xeric and at higher elevation). Even if southern sites are at higher elevation (and this is usually supposed to give trees a higher sensitivity to temperature) they are less sensitive to the selected climate variables. MXD sites from southern Italy present a markedly lower SF than sites from central-northern Apennines. Considering the responses related to the type of species, it is evident that in our dataset the influence of AS temperature on MXD, in Abies alba is more affected by summer precipitation amounts than in Pinus lucodermis and P. nigra. On the other hand, the influence of summer drought on MXD, in pines is more affected by elevation.

Would be good to discuss impact of climatic forcings on the region - e.g. the NAO (warm and cold season).. Also volcanic events - The year 1699 also seen as a cold year/interval elsewhere in Europe, North America following volcanism..
We added some considerations about the NAO and the volcanic events in the Discussion chapter, namely from Page 10 line 43 to page 11 line 7:
Tree-ring growth may be affected also by large-scale climate variability, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the prominent mode of atmospheric circulation in the North Atlantic that affects temperature and precipitation patterns in Europe (D’Arrigo et al., 1993; Cook et al., 2002). In the

eastern Mediterranean region, a teleconnection with summer climate conditions in the British Isles has been found in a summer temperature reconstruction for Bulgaria (Trouet et al., 2012), where tree-ring growth patterns are strongly linked to drought conditions. For Greece and the region eastward (Klesse et al., 2015), a prominent dipole pattern of summer NAO was found, whereas in Italy a major effect on tree growth was found for winter NAO, that correlates negatively with winter precipitation amounts, responsible of soil moisture during the growing season (Piovesan and Schirone, 2000).

The impacts of large volcanic eruptions on MXD was discussed from Page 9 line 37 to page 10 line 9:
An important factor influencing the tree-ring MXD is volcanism, especially in correspondence of highly explosive eruptions that can change the intensity of the incoming solar radiation and that are able to change circulation patterns and to cool the climate at hemispheric to global scale (e.g., Briffa et al., 1998). The largest explosive eruptions (Volcanic Explosivity Index ≥ 6; Siebert et al., 2011) correspond to local minimum densities in the tree rings (Fig. 6C and 6D), and some of them are well known years of famine and low crop yields. The year 1699 and the proceeding decade is known for being related to recurrent explosive eruptions in Iceland and Indonesia (Le Roy Ladurie, 2004), inducing great famines around Europe and North America (Mitchison, 2002). The 1809 eruption of source unknown (Guevara-Murua et al., 2014) and the 1815 eruption of Mount Tambora induced a decade of very low summer temperature and high precipitation (Luterbacher and Pfister, 2015). This was the coldest decade of the so called Little Ice Age (Lamb, 1995), corresponding also to glacier advance phases in the Alpine glaciers, that reached their first maximum extent of the Holocene (the second and last, being around 1850; e.g., Matthews and Briffa, 2005). In 1883 Mount Krakatoa and in 1914 Mount Pinatubo eruptions correspond to local minima in the MXD. But a straightforward correspondence between minimum values of MXD densities and large eruption is lacking: some differences at the regional scale with respect to global scale may occur due to local circulation patterns or the presence of seas, as it is the case of the 1783 Grímsvötn Volcano eruption (Iceland), that corresponds to unexpected high MXD densities in the tree rings from the Mediterranean area (Fig. 6) but not at the global scale (see Fig. 1 in Briffa et al., 1998), or the local minimums of MXD density of 1740 and 1938 found in this paper that are not linked to any particular large eruption.

Volcanic eruption were also detailed as possible environmental factors locally masking the climatic signals in the tree-ring chronologies, Page 11 lines 12-14: ...may impact tree-ring growth as well as the presence of an active volcano and its direct influence on local climate and atmospheric conditions (such as the Vesuvio Volcano, Battipaglia et al., 2007, or the Etna Volcano, Sailer et al., 2017).

Information on major volcanic eruptions (Volcanic Explosivity Index ≥ 6) and the mean hemispheric values of incoming solar radiation through time was also added in Fig. 6D.

Interactive comment - **Anonymous Referee #2** - Received and published: 2 May 2017

our *updated* responses in BLUE

This paper gives a nice overview over climate responses in central-southern Italy across multiple species and reports a 300 year long late summer temperature record based on MXD. However, it is not really clear to me whether this paper tries to be a synthesis, a network analysis or about climate reconstruction, as neither part is performed sufficiently to justify publication in the present form. Had this been published in the 10-20 years ago the manuscript would have probably driven me to write a more positive review.

The paper presents only original results, some are innovative some others are a confirmation of what already found, fact that underlines the goodness of the applied methods on the available dataset. Of course we strived for improving the ms. quality during the constructive review process. We compiled a cleaned large-scale network of long (> 100 yr) tree-ring chronologies from the Italian Peninsula to identify signals of climate variability in indices of tree growth, for a climate-change vulnerable region. The applied methodology is the key, innovative, issue of our paper.

Specifically, the paper presents the application for the Italian peninsula of an innovative approach to climate reconstruction, firstly approved in the dendro community in 2016 (i.e., 1 year ago; Climatic Change, 2016, 137:275–291, DOI 10.1007/s10584-016-1658-5), but the climate reconstruction is not the only objective: a deep analysis of climate signals recorded by trees (RW and MXD) in a regional-scale network is performed on static periods (using site chronologies, classical approach) as well as on moving periods (using HSTC chronologies, innovative approach) in order to evaluate reconstruction potentials and possible biases in past climate reconstructions.

Briefly, rather strict passages of quality check of each individual series vs. the respective mean chronology are performed before constructing the site chronology with dendroclimatic purposes (only older than 100 yr trees, etc. ; p. 6 l. 13 and following lines). Not all the resulting site chronologies are used (see the problematic gray-shaded areas in Table 2), and for these latter sites only the individual indexed series are retained for further analyses. Finally all the ‘saved’ individual indexed series from all sites are initially used for the construction of the HSTC chronology (p. 6 l. 32 and following).

To our knowledge this is the first attempt performed in the Italian peninsula presenting a multispecies and multiproxy approach with dendroclimatic purposes.

However, it’s 2017 now and given the network size and actuality of the data I was actually wondering what is the added value of this publication over previous publications of Carrer et al. 2010, Piovesan et al. 2005 and Trouet 2014 apart from being the first simultaneous assessment of MXD/TRW and TRW of broadleaf/conifers? The former two of which have substantially higher site replication (Carrer et al. (2010) 55 ABAL sites and Piovesan et al. (2005) 24 FASY sites) and come up with very similar climate response patterns.

The added value for 2017 are the first application of the HSTC approach at the regional scale in the Italian peninsula, and the previous passages for the site chronologies construction; the simultaneous assessment of MXD/TRW and TRW of broadleaf/conifers, as also recognized by Referee2; the use of high quality site specific climate data, and the length of the meteorological series that has allowed us to calibrate and validate the models on 100 yr periods. Some other added values are hereafter reported.

Also, a big part of the manuscript is about climate reconstruction, solely based on conifer MXD data already published in Trouet 2014.

Trouet 2014 includes 6 of your 8 MXD chronologies in her Balkan temperature reconstruction, hence there is no surprise that the climate fingerprint is near to exactly the same. It’s also no surprise that the temporal pattern is nearly the same.

Our reconstruction is performed using a different methodology than Trouet 2014, and is based only on the Italian sites, thus excluding surrounding areas characterized by more continental climates (i.e., the European Alps, Balkan area, Greece and sites from the central and eastern European Alps to central Romania and Bulgaria; p. 4 l. 20). Our reconstruction improves the one of Trouet 2014, being more representative for Italy and presenting less negative oscillations, especially in the recent period (see next heading).

I also wouldn't say that the Trouet reconstruction is more variable in time, maybe on (multi-) decadal time-scale, but certainly not on centennial time-scale.
Trouet 2014 varies around 0, whereas your chronology has a positive mean since 1850 and clearly negative before 1700. I would be interested to see actual statistics like standard deviation for such a claim (in low- and high-frequency domain), given the different amount of low frequency between your chronology is simply due to the different type of detrending used, which is discussed nowhere in the manuscript. As Klesse et al. 2015 use also RCS in Greece for an update of Mt. Olympus, a comparison of your data with completely independent data with potentially similar low-frequency characteristics is also lacking in this manuscript.
In the revised version we have added more information and comparisons with other reconstructions (namely Klesse et al., 2015) as suggested by Referee2, and with gridded climate series, as suggested by the Editor.
Around 1913 and in the 1970s the reconstruction of Trouet 2014 shows temperature nearly as low as during the coolest periods at the end of the Little Ice Age (around 1815), which is questionable or only partially explainable with her decision of including also sites characterized by continental climates. Our reconstruction is much less variable over the same periods. Three years after Trouet 2014, we are able to improve the reconstruction of late summer temperature for the region of the Italian peninsula (this is another added value).
Regarding the detrending method applied for the HSTC chronologies, this is widely presented in the ms. (p. 6 l. 32 up to l. 42): the HSTC are constructed starting from the indexed individual series that are obtained while applying the RCS method at each site (p. 6 l. 5 and following).

Was there no way to get Carrer and Piovesan/Di Filippo and others to provide their data to be included in this analysis? I know, Dendro people can be pretty possessive and restrictive with their data. But you cannot really call the present collection a representative network, any result is based on the screening of so little data (4 broadleaf chronologies; again, given that it's 2017 and not 2000) when there is potential for so much more. And even if the results kind of match previous publications, where is the novelty apart from applying the HSTC method?
If data is published is available to the community, otherwise it is not. The resulting dataset used and presented in the paper is what we could collect and, based on the innovative methods applied, on the high quality of the site specific climate data, and on the obtained results, we think that it is adequate for a publication in 2017.

A novelty would have been to tease apart the reasons for different strengths of climate influence, as you have done in your reply to Reviewer #1. That is what I would expect of a multi-species network analysis. The analysis and discussion presented in the manuscript is way too superficial. You could go much further and talk about which series from which sites, which species end up being highly sensitive? Is there a trend in mean climate conditions? And so on...
Based on this suggestion, we have added the RDA analysis on the dependence of climate-growth relationships on site settings and mean climate conditions (Fig. 4A). Moreover, we have add some more information as the ones suggested by Referee#2, focusing on the MXD series, the only one used for performing the climate reconstruction (Fig. 4B).

The authors furthermore exclude many of the in table 1 listed chronologies for the initial analysis, because they do not meet the criteria of number of samples or the required EPS threshold value. Later on, nowhere in the manuscript they state how many and which of the series in the HSTC approach come from the initially discarded sites, or which series of the initial good chronologies were discarded. Please indicate!
We added a new figure about Site Fitness at the MXD sites included in this paper. SF was calculated as the percentage of selected HSTC series of conifer MXD with respect to the total of series available at each site (Fig. 4B).

How did you validate your site chronologies with only 3 series? Did you use other chronologies? If so, please specify in the manuscript!
Site chronologies were all validated starting initially considering the whole dataset of individual raw series available. The final number of series per site is the result of the iterative selection applied to the initial datasets: we only retained series responding to the fixed criteria (p. 6 l 13 and following). No chronology from sites with a so low number of series entered in the successive analyses.

Additionally, there are a couple of more chronologies on the ITRDB that fall into your region, uploaded in 2014 from P. Cherubini (your co-author). Did you exclude them because they were too short? If so, please specify in the manuscript!
Our research is based on data available to the authors and to the dendro community in 2015 (year of last dataset update; this information has been added in the revised version of the ms.).
Referee#2 will agree with us that the chronologies uploaded onto the NOAA's ITRDB are data collected for many different research objectives, not only for investigating climate responses or for performing climate reconstructions. With our robust approach for chronology construction (deeply detailed in the method chapter p. 6 l. 13 and following lines), we had to discard several Italian sites, but there is no reason to make a list of the discarded sites and the reasons why they were discarded since the beginning: they simply did not meet all the requirements fixed by us for dendroclimatic analysis (most of the times they presented too short chronologies). The Cherubini's chronologies that the Referee#2 is mentioning were also checked.

Also you use RCS. How did you detrend the sites with less than 10 samples for the HSTC approach? There is no mention of it in the manuscript. And even 10 samples for a site RC is incredibly low. I am very skeptical about the use of RCS with such low replications as the ones used in the manuscript. Why didn't you just use a stiff spline detrending, or the classic negative exponential curve? What is the low-frequency gain over those approaches that are much less prone for weird sampling related trends (especially with low replication), since your chronologies are only (or >99%) composed of living material?
RCS is a well approved approach for retaining low-frequency variability in tree-ring chronologies (especially the long ones), and performs better than splines and negative exponentials in this domain. Our approach was to apply the same detrending method at each site and to the whole dataset, in order to treat all data in the same way. Sites with low replication presented however long and well intercorrelating individual series: if the resulting chronology presented high values of EPS then we used it in the following climate-growth analysis, otherwise we used only their indexed individual series.

I challenge that the site-specific historical climatic records actually give you any real advantage over e.g. CRU, when you use correlation analysis (apart from the length of the record back to ~1800). Had you reported site-specific sensitivities, i.e. as regression slopes, to a parameter given a specific mean condition I would totally agree with you.
The climate data used in this research are site-specific (coordinates, elevation and slope orientation), better homogenized and based on more stations than the ones used for the CRU gridded data. Most of the stations for central and southern Italy used for the CRU dataset start after 1950 and, before this date, the CRU interpolation scheme imports information from very far. We used the CRU as independent dataset for evaluating the spatial correlation pattern of our reconstruction (Fig. 7).
The use of long dataset has let us perform model calibration and verification on long time periods of 100 yr each.
In order to provide information on site specific and species specific responses of MXD to climate, we included in the ms the RDA analysis and the analysis of site fitness (new Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B). Please refer to the responses to Referee#1 (pages 2 and 3 this file).

Temporal stabilities in climate correlations for ABAL and FASY TRW have been also reported previously (again, see Carrer et al. 2010 and Piovesan et al. 2008). So the only real novelty is the analysis with MXD. Is the correlation decay in conifer TRW due to opposing low-frequency trends

(possibly related to your detrending) or is it the high-frequency agreement that decays? No discussion about that in the manuscript.
Temporal stability in climate correlations was tested on HSTC chronologies of RW (broadleaf and conifer) and MXD (conifer), innovative aspect, and not on species. This analysis was only performed for evaluating the reconstruction potentials and the possible biases in past climate reconstructions. Some consideration on temporal stability of the signals recorded in conifer RW were discussed page 9 lines 35-37, always in the view of a potential climatic reconstruction.

Furthermore the balance between Introduction/M&M and Results/Discussion is off. Especially the whole climate reconstruction section (1.2) takes an unreasonable large part of this manuscript. The main message could be condensed quite severely. If you insist on keeping it as detailed as possible then for the sake of completeness (as you seem to count every single recent climate reconstruction of the Mediterranean region) you should include as well: Dorado-Liñan et al 2015 (Spain, PINI, temp pJASO), Klesse et al. 2015 (Greece, PINI, MJJ precip; PILE, JAS temp), Levanic et al 2015 (Albania, PINI, JJ temp), Poljansek et al., 2013 (Bosnia-Herzegovina, PINI, summer sunshine), Tegel et al. 2014 (Albania, FASY, summer temp). All of which seem to me to have much more relevance to be cited than the chronologies from Turkey/Caucasus/Jordan, which come from far more distant locations (and in part use different species). For the amount of different analyses performed, the result section is pretty short and the discussion in the context of previous publications in southern-central Italy again very superficial.
We thank Refere2 for the helpful suggestions, some of the suggested references were added. A more balanced ms. is here proposed; we shortened some parts dealing with the construction of the climate series and we focused more on the Mediterranean regions closer to our study area, following some of Referee2's suggestions. Climate-growth publications from Italy and the Mediterranean were mainly focused on the species used in our ms. (p. 2 l. 32).

This manuscript needs some serious overhaul in its concept, structure and depth until it is acceptable for publication. M&M and Results have been written a lot in passive voice, which should be considered to be changed. Please use more active voice, as Word tells me directly to revise the previous sentence.
We have performed many changes in the ms. as here reported in this file, and we have changed most of the text from passive to active voice, as suggested-

Some additional things:

Abstract Line 34: climate worsening is an awkward formulation, use climate cooling instead.
Probably climate cooling and/or wetter conditions as MXD has proven to depend both on temperature and precipitation/drought (Fig. 3). These variables, especially in summer, are also associated in Mediterranean climates (p. 9 l. 1). We have reworded the sentence, and along the ms we now report the years of minimum in the original series of temperature reconstruction: i.e., 1699, 1740, 1814, 1914, 1938).

Table 2: # of series; be consistent in respect to reporting number of trees or cores. Or why are there only 11 and 15 series from Lombardi et al. 2008 (Co-author here) included? In that paper they report 25 and 30 series from those sites.
Given the series selection method set up for this research, at each site some series were discarded if not meeting the fixed requirements (p. 6 l. 13 and following lines).

Figure 3: I suspect that rows A, B, C show the correlations with T, P and S, respectively? Please make that both clearer in the annotation and in the figure. Something like: "chronologies of conifer MXD (left), of conifer RW (center) and of broadleaf RW (right) vs. Monthly temperature (a), precipitation (b) and SPI_3 (c)".
Caption modified. The figure order is correct.

Page 6, lines 27-31: What did you do exactly? The first two sentences don't make sense. You identified your DCV and z-scored this time-series? SPI is already z-scored. And why do you then retransform them, just leave them in the original unit if you use site-specific climate data.

The sentence was simplified. Series were transformed in z-scores before averaging them between sites. The 'interesting' climate variables identified by black-filled squares in Fig. 3 (months with significant correlations at most sites (>50 %) and with mean correlation values of |r| > 0.25) were regionalized and then averaged over two to four consecutive months (we called them DCV). Regional climate series were calculated by z-scoring the monthly series and calculating regional mean departures; the series were then completed and ri-converted in original units (based on regional mean departures and their specific means and standard deviations), and finally averaged between sites. DCVs were then calculated as means of consecutive months of the regional series.

And why didn't you use SPI-1 instead of monthly precipitation? Monthly precip is essentially SPI-1 before transforming the measured values into a gamma distribution and z-scoring based on the cumulative distribution, so the correlation changes only maybe at the second or third value after the point. This is nitpicking, but I was just wondering why you use both variables and don't decide for one of them.

We actually used the SPI calculated at several timescales (from 1 to 12 months; p. 5 l. 36) when assessing climate-growth relationships. As explained in the Results chapter (p. 7 l. 32) 'the highest correlations (for both MXD and RW) were obtained for the indices calculated at the timescales of 2 and mainly of 3 months'. We therefore decided to present only the SPI_3 results, and this is also discussed later (p. 9 l. 4). This timescale is used for modeling agricultural droughts and well fits with growth and wood density issues also in trees. We prefer leaving in the ms. also the variable of precipitation, being it of more direct readability.

Interactive comment **- Anonymous Referee #2** - Received and published: 11 May 2017
our *updated* responses in BLUE

I apologize for "over-reading" the 100-y length condition and comments regarding this topic. Sorry to hear that people are still so uncooperative regarding sharing data that have been published >5-10 years ago. This sure is a problem for advancing the science and has been recognized (or better finally publicly "criticized") recently in Babst et al. 2017 (Improved tree-ring archives will support earth-system science. NEE).
With our effort of setting up a cooperative and open access dendro group in Italy, we will give the opportunity to freely access our data in the Online Material 2.

Regarding RCS: Yes, for retaining low-frequency it's superior - given that your dataset actually allows a robust regional curve - but prone to a lot of biases. I am not really concerned about the MXD data, because the slope in MXD is usually pretty flat, so you won't run in to big troubles there.
However, I would be still very interested to see to see the Italy-only MXD chronology detrended with a 150-year spline (if I remember correctly) for a direct comparison of the different oscillations against the Trouet reconstruction. I would consider the RCS application as a second and final step to investigate how much more low-frequency there actually is (or might be).
Given the very good coherence of our reconstruction with Trouet's and with the Klesse et al. reconstructions also on the long-term fluctuations, we did not perform further tests using different standardization approaches on raw data. The RCS approach with MXD series was also used in a recent publication of Büntgen et al., 2017, J. Climate). Our paper already presents several different approaches (for climate sensitivity analysis, HSTC construction and two approaches to temperature reconstruction - regression and scaling; RDA analysis), we think that this additional analysis would overload the reader.
Moreover, in our opinion, the RCS approach is better performing than splines or the classic negative exponentials (previous Refereee2's comments) for preserving low-frequency signals, especially with TRW that present larger widths in the 'young' period of the individual series. By using the same standardization approach both for MXD and TRW data we avoid the possible introduction of different frequency responses that would then impede all the comparisons between MXD and TRW in the analyses of 'Climate sensitivity' and 'Climate sensitivity through time'.

Additionally, I am still extremely cautious of the application of RCS on TRW at sites with n<10-15. If you use a "10% spline" (which in your case comes close to 15-20 years with the "younger" broadleaf samples) to build the RC, the RC is potentially very noisy (or wiggly). And if your 3-9 samples have a narrow age range you essentially take out most of the low frequencies you intended to retain and your RC at higher ages is probably more flexible at higher ages (due to only very few samples) than the stiff tail of a negative exponential curve.
At each site the individual series passed a rather robust selection: one of the fixed criteria was "ii) the individual series correlation with the respective site chronology had r > 0.3" (p. 6 l. 14; Table 2). This criterion, together with the minimum age of 100 yr length for each series, let us be rather confident that the resulting Regional Curve used for indexing the raw series is also representative of the growth trends at each site (please note that for the construction of a RC, all series are aligned to tree age, therefore the portions with lower sample replication due to the different series lengths are the older ones, where tree-growth is usually more stabilized).
At sites presenting so few (3-9), albeit well correlating, individual series, we only took the resulting indexed individual series for constructing the HSTC chronologies (how many series from what site finally entered in the HSTC used for the climate reconstruction could be further investigated). Of course having more series at all sites would be better, however with our approach no biases were introduced in the subsequent analyses. Actually, within all sites and parameters only two TRW chronologies presenting less than 15 trees were used (namely the ITRDBITAL017 14 trees, and the ITRDBITAL008 12 trees; Table 2), whereas for MXD only one chronology presenting less than 15 trees was used (ITRDBITAL008 12 trees). We underline again that no site chronology constructed with the RCS method was based on less than 12 trees.

Not giving an actual number, Esper et al. 2003 and Briffa & Melvin 2011 propose "the more samples the better", which between the lines is a minimum replication per year at 10 but coming from a population of >30 in total. Specifically Melvin (2004, Historical Growth Rates and Changing Climatic Sensitivity of Boreal Conifers, Section 6.3.3), stated you actually would need 62 samples per year for RCS to get the same per year standard deviation and confidence intervals as a 30-year spline chronology with n=10 (using Torneträsk and Finish-Lapland chronologies). "The cost for the inclusion of lowfrequency variance is a requirement for greater tree replication in order to maintain similar confidence levels."

More samples is better for getting closer to the population mean (TRW o MXD), and for stabilizing the useful statistics used for assessing the chronology quality in relation to sample replication and variability. However having more samples does not mean a better climatic signal in the chronology, as it is also evident in Leonelli et al., 2016 Climatic Change (DOI 10.1007/s10584-016-1658-5), Fig. 4:



Climatic Change (2016) 137:275–291 287

	MEAN SF	ST. DEV.	Median	MAX	min
■ LADE	32.96	22.23	28.57	69.23	0
■ PCAB	24.68	12.19	20.69	42.86	11.11
■ PICE	27.48	10.25	30.43	41.67	9.76

Fig. 4 Site fitness (SF) expressed as the percentage of HSTT series with respect to the total of series available at each site (*red line*). Mean values, standard deviation, median, maximum and minimum values of SF are reported in the included table

The site fitness (SF) index, expressed as the percentage of HSTT (highly sensitive to temperature) series with respect to the total of series available at each site, is sometimes very low even at sites presenting more than 100 individual series.

For example, at ITRDB SWIT219 site (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/12790), code 26 in the above figure) with a total of 123 available series, the SF index barely reached the value of 10%.

Although somewhat arbitrary it is common practice to set the EPS threshold to 0.85. The inclusion of EPS values down to 0.7 in your study tells me a lot about the "weak" coherence within your RCS chronologies (even the ones with "higher" replication of 16) during the common 1880-1980 interval. I assume the statistics would be higher (=more robust chronology) if you used a stiffer spline (~150 years) or negexp detrending instead. What are the statistics for the final RCS-HSTC-chronologies, are they >0.85?

We would have liked higher EPS values for our sites, however this statistics is not the only way for assessing the chronology quality. Chronologies with low EPS were more frequent in the TRW than in the MXD where only 3 sites over the 8 used, presented an EPS<0.8 This statistics has been added also for the HSTC in the revised version of the ms. (Fig. 6 caption).

Editor Decision: Reconsider after major revisions (16 May 2017) by Jürg Luterbacher

Comments to the Author:

dear authors

we have now received two Reviews, reviewer is rather positive but has some important Points to be addressed. Reviewer 2 is more critical in many aspects of the paper. I tend to reject the paper as your preliminary answers to the reviewers request are not fully convincing but give you the chance for revisions. Therefore I ask you to address all the suggestions/corrections by the reviewers and send a revised version of the paper. It will then go again in review, the final decision will be made at that stage. As an additional point to address from the Editor is to compare your reconstruction with recent gridded temperature reconstructions based on instrumental data taking the closest gridpoints and compare with your reconstruction.

The comparison between tree-ring based temperature was put in the new Fig. 6C and in Table 4. We put the comparisons between JJA temperature and the tree-ring based reconstructions of AS (North Eastern Mediterranean and central and southern Italy) and JAS (Greece) temperature in the Online Material 1, being the comparisons between different variables and between different dataset.

We did not use the spring and autumn gridded dataset of Xoplaki et al. (2005) and the precipitation gridded dataset of Pauling et al. (2006), as our dataset of AS, is more linked to summer temperature.

The comparison between tree-ring based temperature is now performed in Table 4 and in the new version of Fig. 6C that was also enhanced with information on past volcanic eruptions (Referee#1) and mean hemispheric values of incoming solar radiation. The comparisons between Luterbacher et al. (2004) JJA temperature and the tree-ring based reconstructions of AS (North Eastern Mediterranean and central and southern Italy) and JAS (Greece) temperature is presented in the Online Material 1, as it concerns different variables and different datasets. It is performed considering also JJA, JAS and As temperature records from Brunetti et al. (improved version of Brunetti et al., 2006 dataset), whereas we do not use the spring and autumn gridded dataset of Xoplaki et al. (2005) and the precipitation gridded dataset of Pauling et al. (2006), as our dataset of AS, is more linked to summer temperature.

We do not discuss the differences between the Luterbacher et al. and the Brunetti et al records (both based on instrumental data) as this issue is outside the goals of this paper. We underline however that the Brunetti et al. records are much more appropriate for the area covered by our chronologies and that they have been homogenized taking into account the warm-bias problem which seems to affect many early instrumental temperature series (see Böhm et al., 2010, Climatic Change, 101, 41–67).

The Authors, 26 June 2017

Climate signals in a multispecies tree-ring network from central and southern Italy and reconstruction of the late summer temperatures since the early 1700s

Giovanni Leonelli[1], Anna Coppola[2], Maria Cristina Salvatore[2], Carlo Baroni[2,3], Giovanna Battipaglia[4,5], Tiziana Gentilesca[6], Francesco Ripullone[6], Marco Borghetti[6], Emanuele Conte[7], Roberto Tognetti[7], Marco Marchetti[7], Fabio Lombardi[8], Michele Brunetti[9], Maurizio Maugeri[9,10], Manuela Pelfini[11], Paolo Cherubini[12], Antonello Provenzale[3], Valter Maggi[1,3]

[1] Università degli Studi di Milano–Bicocca — Dept. of Earth and Environmental Science
[2] Università degli Studi di Pisa — Dept. of Earth Science
[3] Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Pisa
[4] Università della Campania — Dept. DiSTABiF
[5] University of Montpellier 2 (France) — PALECO EPHE
[6] Università degli Studi della Basilicata — School of Agricultural, Forestry, Food and Environmental Sciences, Potenza
[7] Università degli Studi del Molise — Dept. of Bioscience and Territory
[8] Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria — Dept. of Agronomy
[9] Istituto di Scienze dell'Atmosfera e del Clima, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Bologna
[10] Università degli Studi di Milano — Dept. of Physics
[11] Università degli Studi di Milano — Dept. of Earth Science
[12] Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL (Switzerland)

Correspondence to: Giovanni Leonelli (giovanni.leonelli@unimib.it)

Abstract. A first assessment of the main climatic drivers that modulate the tree-ring width (RW) and maximum latewood density (MXD) along the Italian Peninsula and northeastern Sicily was performed using 27 forest sites, which include conifers (RW and MXD) and broadleaves (only RW). Tree-ring data were compared using the correlation analysis of the monthly and seasonal variables of temperature, precipitation and standardized precipitation index (SPI, used to characterize meteorological droughts) against each species-specific site chronology and against the highly sensitive to climate (HSTC) chronologies (based on selected indexed individual series). We find that climate signals in conifer MXD are stronger and more stable over time than those in conifer and broadleaf RW. In particular, conifer MXD variability is directly influenced by the late summer (August, September) temperature and is inversely influenced by the summer precipitation and droughts (SPI at a timescale of 3 months). The MXD sensitivity to AS temperature and to summer drought is mainly driven by the latitudinal gradient of summer precipitation amounts, with sites in the northern Apennines showing stronger climate signals than sites in the south. Conifer RW is influenced by the temperature and drought of the previous summer, whereas broadleaf RW is more influenced by summer precipitation and drought of the current growing season. The reconstruction of the late summer temperatures for the Italian Peninsula for the past 300 yr, based on the HSTC chronology of conifer MXD, shows a stable model performance that underlines periods of climatic cooling (and likely also wetter conditions) in 1699, 1740, 1814, 1914, 1938 and well follows the variability of the instrumental record and of other tree-ring based reconstructions in the region. Considering a 20 yr low-pass filtered series, the reconstructed temperature record consistently deviates <1°C from the instrumental record. This divergence may be due also to the precipitation patterns and drought stresses that influence the tree-ring MXD at our study sites. The reconstructed temperature variability is valid for the west-east oriented region including Sardinia, Sicily and the western Balkan area along the Adriatic coast.

1 Introduction

Reconstructions of climate for periods before instrumental records rely on proxy data from natural archives and on the ability to date them. Among the various available proxies, tree rings are one of the most used datasets for reconstructing past climates with annual resolution in continental areas and are often from the temperature-limited environments with high latitudes and altitudes (e.g., Briffa et al., 2004; Rutherford et al., 2005). They can be used at the regional to global scales (IPCC, 2013) and long chronologies that can cover millennia, back as far as the early Holocene are available (for Europe: Becker, 1993; Friedrich et al., 2004; Nicolussi et al., 2009).

The reconstruction of past climate variability and the analysis of its effects on forest ecosystems are crucial for understanding climatic processes and for predicting what responses should be expected in ecosystems under the ongoing climatic and global changes. In particular, the Mediterranean region is a prominent climate change hot spot (Giorgi, 2006; Turco et al., 2015), and by the end of this century, it will likely experience a regional warming higher than the global mean (up to +5 °C in summer) and a reduction of the average summer precipitation (up to -30 %; Somot et al., 2007; IPCC, 2013). As a consequence of the poleward expansion of the subtropical dry zones (e.g., Fu et al., 2006), subtropical environments under climate change are already facing strong hydroclimatic changes due to fewer precipitation and human exploitation (e.g., in southwestern north America, Seager et al., 2007; Seager and Vecchi, 2010). Moreover, in these environments (also comprising the Mediterranean region), soil moisture will likely drop resulting in a contraction by a third of temperate drylands extents (converting into subtropical drylands), and longer periods of drought in deep soil layers are expected (Schlaepfer et al., 2017). The increase in droughts during the growing season is already negatively impacting tree growth, especially at xeric sites in the southwestern and eastern Mediterranean (e.g., Galván et al., 2014). At the ecosystem level, in the near future, the responses to climate changes will impact different forest species differently, depending on their physiological ability to acclimate and adapt to new environmental conditions (e.g., Battipaglia et al., 2009; Ripullone et al., 2009), and on their capacity to grow, accumulate biomass, and contribute as sinks in the terrestrial carbon cycle. Natural summer fires in the Mediterranean area are also expected to increase in frequency over the coming decades as a response to increasingly frequent drought conditions, assuming a lack of additional fire management and prevention measures (Turco et al., 2017).

1.1 Tree-ring Response to Climate

Climate-growth relationships have been studied for several species in the Mediterranean region, with different objectives: forest productivity (e.g., Biondi, 1999; Boisvenue and Running, 2006; Nicault et al., 2008; Piovesan et al., 2008; Babst et al., 2013), trees ecophysiology, wood formation and related dating issues (Cherubini et al., 2003; Battipaglia et al., 2014) sustainability of forest management (e.g., Boydak and Dogru, 1997; Barbati et al., 2007; Marchetti et al., 2010; Castagneri et al., 2014), provision of ecosystem services (e.g., Schröter et al., 2005) such as carbon sequestration (e.g., Scarascia-Mugnozza and Matteucci, 2014; Calfapietra et al., 2015; Borghetti et al., 2017), effective biodiversity conservation (e.g., Todaro et al., 2007; Battipaglia et al., 2009), and climate reconstruction (see next heading), which has led to a variety of associations between climate variables and growth responses in conifers and broadleaves from different environments and ecosystems. Mainly considering the species of this study, we report the main findings on the climate-growth responses found in this region.

— *Conifers.* Studies on silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) growth in the Italian Peninsula reveal a distinct high sensitivity to the climate of the previous summer, August_{-1} in particular, positive correlations with precipitation and negative correlations with temperature (Carrer et al., 2010; Rita et al., 2014). Moreover, tree growth in this region is moderately negatively correlated to the temperature of the current summer (unlike that in stands located in the European Alps; Carrer et al., 2010), namely, high temperatures in July and August negatively affect tree growth. A dendroclimatic network of pines (*Pinus nigra* J.F. Arnold and *P. sylvestris* L.) in east-central Spain shows that drought (namely, the Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index - SPEI; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010) is the main climatic driver of tree-ring growth (Martin-Benito et al., 2013). In a *P. uncinata* network from the Pyrenees, an increasing influence of summer droughts (SPEI) on tree-ring widths (RW) during the 20th century and the control of May temperatures on maximum latewood density (MXD) is found (Galván et al., 2015). However, in the above-mentioned analyses, the possible influences of the summer climate variables from the year prior to the growth were not considered. Elevation, and particularly the related moisture regime, in the eastern Mediterranean region is the main driver of tree-ring growth patterns in a multispecies conifer network comprised of *P. nigra*, *P. sylvestris* and *P. pinea* L. specimens (Touchan et al., 2016). A dipole pattern in tree-ring growth variability is reported for Mediterranean pines ranging from Spain to Turkey, with a higher sensitivity to summer drought in the east than in the west, and with a higher sensitivity to early summer temperature in the west (Seim et al., 2015). A strong correlation between autumn-to-summer precipitation and between summer drought and tree-ring growth is reported for sites (mainly of conifers) in northern Africa-western Mediterranean, with trees from Morocco also responding to the North Atlantic Oscillation Index (Touchan et al., 2017).

— *Broadleaves*. In the western Mediterranean (northern Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Italy and southern France), deciduous oaks, including *Quercus robur* L., reveal a direct response of tree-ring growth to summer precipitation and an inverse response to summer temperature (Tessier et al., 1994). Beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) is particularly sensitive to soil moisture and air humidity, and in past decades, long-term drought has been shown to be the main factor causing a growth decline in the old-growth stands in the Apennines (Piovesan et al., 2008). Moreover, beech shows different responses to climate at high- vs. low-altitude sites (Piovesan et al., 2005), with these latter, being positively affected by high May temperatures. Despite an expected higher drought sensitivity stress close to the southern limit of the distribution area, a late twentieth century tree-ring growth increase in beech has been reported in Albania (Tegel et al., 2014), thus underlining the different climate-growth responses in the Mediterranean region. Beech, indeed, presents complex climate growth-responses and also appears to be a less responsive species in the Mediterranean area when compared to conifers such as *P. sylvestris, P. nigra, P. uncinata* or *A. alba* (as found in south-east France; Lebourgeois et al., 2012).

1.2 Tree-ring Based Climate Reconstructions

One of the most powerful tools in terrestrial paleoclimatology is obtaining dated information about the past climate and past environmental conditions in a region by analyzing the tree rings. However, in the Mediterranean region, the low temporal stability of the recorded climatic signals (e.g., Lebourgeois et al., 2012; Castagneri et al., 2014), the scarcity of long chronologies, and the high variability of climatic and ecological conditions (Cherubini et al., 2003) often make this analysis difficult. Ring widths are among the most used variables for climate reconstruction but usually show a higher temporal instability in their relationship with climate than that of maximum latewood density (for the Pyrenees, see Büntgen et al., 2010).

The potential to analyze relatively long chronologies in the Mediterranean region has allowed for the reconstruction of the past climate (mainly precipitation and droughts). Several reconstructions of May-June precipitation have been performed, mainly over the last 300-400 yr, in a region comprising northern Greece-Turkey-Georgia: in northern Aegean-northern Anatolia a tree-ring network of oaks was used for reconstructing precipitation variability since 1089 CE (Griggs et al., 2007); in the Anatolian Peninsula a mixed conifer-broadleaf tree-ring network (mainly *P. nigra*, *P. sylvestris* and oaks; Akkemik et al., 2008), a *P. nigra* network (Köse et al., 2011) and a multi-species conifer network (mainly *P. nigra*, *P. sylvestris* and *Abies nordmanniana* (Steven) Spach; Köse et al., 2013) were used. In western Mediterranean, in central Spain, a higher frequency of exceptionally dry summers has been detected since the beginning of the 20th century using a mixed tree-ring network of *Pinus sylvestris* and *P. nigra* ssp. *salzmannii* covering the past four centuries (Ruiz-Labourdette et al., 2014), whereas a 800 yr temperature reconstruction from southeastern Spain using a site of *P. nigra* underlined predominantly higher summer temperatures during the transition between the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Dorado Liñán et al., 2015). A recent reconstruction of spring-late summer temperature from the Pyrenees by means of a *P. uncinata* MXD network dating back to 1186 (Büntgen et al., 2017), underlines warm conditions around 1200 and 1400 and after 1850.

Reconstructions of past droughts and wet periods over the Mediterranean region have been created using climatic indices such as the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI; McKee et al., 1995) in Spain (modeling 12-month July SPI using several species of the *Pinus* genre; Tejedor et al., 2016), and in Romania (modeling 3-month August standardized SPI using *P. nigra*; Levanič et al., 2013), which allows for the identification of common large-scale synoptic patterns. Droughts have been reconstructed using the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI; Palmer 1965). Using actual and estimated multispecies tree-ring data, Nicalut et al. (2008) found that the drought episodes at the end of the 20th century are similar to those in the 16th-17th century for the western Mediterranean, whereas in the eastern parts of the region, the droughts seem to be the strongest recorded in the past 500 yrs.

Early summer temperature has been reconstructed for 400 yr in Albania, from a *P. nigra* tree-ring network, finding stable climate-growth relationships over time and a spatial extent of the reconstruction spanning over the Balkans and southern Italy (Levanič et al., 2015). Currently, two summer temperature reconstructions close to the study area and based on maximum latewood density (MXD) chronologies are available: one, a reconstruction of AS temperature published by Trouet (2014), covers the period 1675–1980, is centered on the northeastern Mediterranean-Balkan region, and includes sites from the Italian Peninsula (used in this paper), the Balkan area, Greece and sites from the central and eastern European Alps to central Romania and Bulgaria, the latter being areas characterized by continental climates; the other, a reconstruction of JAS temperature published by Klesse et al. (2015), covers the period 1521–2010 and is based on a chronology from Mt. Olympus (Greece).

After carefully testing the climatic signals recorded in the tree-ring RW and MXD from different sites and different species, the reconstruction that is proposed in this study is the first one including only forest sites from the Italian Peninsula.

Overall, the main objectives of this paper are:

(i) to identify the most important climatic drivers modulating tree-ring width (RW) and tree-ring maximum latewood density (MXD) variability in forest sites from central and southern Italy. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt performed in Italy with the clear objective to find common response patterns in conifer and broadleaf species using a multispecies tree-ring network and site-specific historical climatic records;

(ii) to estimate the temporal stability of the climate-growth and climate-density relationships;

(iii) to perform a climatic reconstruction based only on trees *highly sensitive to climate* (HSTC); and

(iv) to estimate the spatial coherence of the obtained reconstruction in the region.

2. Data and Methods

2.1 Study area and study sites

The study region includes the whole Italian Peninsula and eastern Sicily and covers a latitudinal range from 37° 46’ N to 44° 43’ N (Fig. 1). The peninsula is roughly oriented NW-SE and its longitudinal axis is characterized by the Apennines that reach their maximum altitude at their center (Corno Grande Mt., 2912 m a.s.l., Gran Sasso Massif); a higher altitude is reached in eastern Sicily by the Etna Volcano (3350 m a.s.l.). The study region is surrounded by the Tyrrhenian and Adriatic Seas and is characterized by a typical Mediterranean climate, with high temperatures and low precipitation during the summer (from June to September), and by a Mediterranean-temperate regime at the higher altitudes of the Apennines (Fig. 2). Considering the climatic means at all of the study sites (at a mean elevation of 1225±520 m a.s.l.) over the period of 1880-2014, the temperatures over the study region range from 0.2 °C (January) to 17.6 °C (in July and in August) and only 11 % of the total annual precipitation falls during the summer (from June to August: 155 mm), whereas 34 % falls during winter (from December$_{-1}$ to February: 493 mm). Autumn is the second wettest season (31 % of total annual precipitation) and spring is the third wettest (24 % of total annual precipitation) (Fig. 2).

The total forest cover in Italy, excluding the regions including the European Alps, is approximately 5.8 M hectares (Corpo Forestale dello Stato, 2005) which is 28 % of the surface. Forests characterize the landscape of the inner portion of the

Apennine range, at mid to high elevations, and an additional 1.4 M hectares are covered by woodlands and shrublands, which are the so called Mediterranean 'macchia' that border the forests at low elevations and in areas relatively close to the sea. Overall, broadleaf species are much more abundant in the study region than conifer species, accounting for approximately ¾ of the forest cover (Dafis, 1997).

The study sites are distributed along the whole latitudinal range of the Italian Peninsula and tree-ring proxies include both RW and MXD series collected within the NEXTDATA project, from Italian Universities, and from the ITRDB (www.ncdc.noaa.gov site consulted on September 2015; see Table 1 for full bibliographic references). The dataset is based on 27 forest sites composed of several species (conifers at 16 sites, and broadleaves at 11 sites), from which tree-ring series of conifers (RW and MXD) and of broadleaves (RW) were prepared (Fig. 1, Table 1).

2.2 Climate variables

The availability of long and reliable time series of meteorological variables, possibly from very close to forest sites, is crucial for estimating the climate-growth relationships. However, global or regional climatological datasets frequently lack local resolution, especially in remote sites. We, therefore, reconstructed synthetic records of monthly temperature and precipitation series to be representative of the sampled sites using the anomaly method (New et al., 2000; Mitchell and Jones, 2005), as described in Brunetti et al. (2012). Specifically, we reconstructed independently climatological normals (following the procedure described in Brunetti et al. (2014) and Crespi et al. (2017), by estimating a local temperature (precipitation) - elevation relationship, and exploiting a very high density data-set of at least 30-year long series), and the deviations from them by means of a weighted average of neighboring series, by exploiting the great amount of very long and high quality temperature and precipitation series available for Italy over the past 200/250 years (obtained from an improved version of Brunetti et al. (2006)). Finally, by the superimposition of the two fields, we obtained temporal series in absolute values for each sampling site. The climate series start in different years due to data availability; however, most of the series start around the mid-19th century. Finally, in order to characterize meteorological drought conditions, we calculated the monthly Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) at timescales of 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months for all of the sites, based on the monthly values of precipitation, using the SPI_SL_6 code of the National Drought Mitigation Center at the University of Nebraska (http://drought.unl.edu).

2.3 Chronology construction, climate sensitivity and climate reconstructions

— *Raw data.* We examined all individual series of RW and MXD for correct dating using visual and statistical crossdating. In particular, we used statistical techniques to remove potential dating errors by comparing each individual series from one site against the mean site chronology, which was constructed excluding the analyzed individual series. Using the COFECHA software (www.ldeo.columbia.edu), the individual series are moved forward and backward 10 yr from their initial positions, and similarity indices are calculated over a 50-yr time window, thus highlighting the potential dating errors.

— *Site chronologies.* We used the Regional Curve Standardization approach (RCS; Briffa et al., 1992; Briffa and Melvin, 2011; Esper et al., 2003) both with the RW and MXD series to preserve the low-frequency variability in the site chronologies. We used the ARSTAN software (ver. 44 h3, www.ldeo.columbia.edu) and did not consider the pith offset estimates between the first measured ring and the actual first year of growth (Esper et al., 2009; Leonelli et al., 2016). The regional curve (RC) for the mean chronology, which was obtained after the series alignment to the first measured ring, was smoothed using a cubic spline with a width of 10 % of the chronology length (Büntgen et al., 2006). We computed ratios of raw measurements vs. the values of growth predicted by the RC for all years of the individual series, and the resulting indexed series were averaged by a biweight robust mean to obtain the site chronologies of RW and of MXD. We constructed the RW and MXD site chronologies only for sites with at least 10 individual series fulfilling the following conditions: i) the individual series length was >100 yr; ii) the individual series correlation with the respective site chronology had r > 0.3; iii) the mean interseries correlation (MIC) had $r > 0.3$; and iv) the expressed population signal (EPS; Wigley et al., 1984; Briffa and Jones, 1990) was > 0.7. We used only the individual series fulfilling these conditions to construct the site chronologies. However, we accepted some exceptions in order to maximize the number of sites and chronologies available for analysis (see exceptions in Table 1).

— *Climate sensitivity*. We assessed species-specific climate sensitivity for the constructed RW and MXD site chronologies over the common period of 1880-1980 using correlation analysis and the site-specific monthly variables of temperature, precipitation and Standardized Precipitation Index, from March of the year prior to growth to September of the year of growth. We computed correlations using the DENDROCLIM software (Biondi and Waikul, 2004), applying a bootstrap with 1,000 iterations, and the obtained results were analyzed by grouping together conifer and broadleaf species.

— *Testing for climate-growth relationships at the site level*

To assess the influence of environmental settings on climate-growth relationships, for the MXD site chronologies (i.e. the chronologies holding the strongest climatic signal; see Results), we performed a redundancy analysis (RDA) selecting as response variables the bootstrapped correlation coefficients of climate-growth relationships (Fig. 3) and as explanatory variables the environmental variables (geographical characteristics and climatic averages over the period 1880-1980). In order to attenuate co-variation within the environmental variables, we ran a PCA before the RDA and the following variable were finally chosen: Elevation (co-varying with Longitude: our sites are placed at higher elevation at increasing longitude (Table 1); average AS temperature; average JJA precipitation (co-varying with Latitude: higher latitude means higher precipitation amounts); average JJAS SPI_3 (at timescale of 3 months, i.e., the timescale resulting most significant; see Results). Moreover, for each of the MXD site chronologies, we calculated the Site Fitness (SF; Leonelli et al., 2016) in presenting HSTC trees, calculated as the percentage of selected HSTC series of conifer MXD with respect to the total of series available at each site.

We used the results of the climate sensitivity analysis to detect the *driving climate variables* (DCV; of temperature, precipitation and SPI) for each of the three groups of chronologies: MXD conifer, RW conifer and RW broadleaf. Specifically, for each group of chronologies and for each climate variable, we identified the months with significant correlations at most sites (>50 %) and with mean correlation values of $|\overline{r}| > 0.25$ (black-filled squares in Fig. 3). Then, from these months, we constructed six DCV by creating yearly records of regionalized monthly climate variables; these records where then averaged between two to four consecutive months (according to what was obtained; see the black-filled squares in Fig. 3), finally obtaining the six DCV.

—*HSTC chronologies*. Based on the available RW and MXD indexed individual series from all of the sites, we constructed six HSTC chronologies, as in Leonelli et al. (2016). However, given the smaller number of datasets available in this study and the shortness of the time series, a modified version of the method was applied. Specifically, we tested all of the RW (conifer and broadleaf) and MXD (only conifer) indexed individual series against each of the above-defined six DCV, and we used only the individual tree-ring indexed series with correlation values of $|\overline{r}| > 0.25$ in both of the 100 yr subperiods of the climatic dataset (1781-1880 and 1881-1980) for building each of the six HSTC chronologies (which was done by simply averaging together the selected indexed series). We constructed the six HSTC chronologies starting from all of the indexed individual series of conifer MXD (148 series), of conifer RW (245) and of broadleaf RW (140), which were previously obtained, while constructing the site chronologies (also, the indexed individual series from sites not meeting the fixed quality standards for a site chronology were included at the beginning of the selection).

— *Climate sensitivity through time*. To test the stability of the climate signals recorded in the HSTC chronologies, we conducted a moving correlation analysis between the six HSTC chronologies and their respective DCV, computing bootstrapped correlation coefficients with DENDROCLIM over 60 yr time windows that were moved one year per iteration over the longest available periods.

— *Climate reconstruction*. We used only the HSTC chronology showing the highest absolute values of correlation and the most stable signal over time (i.e., the conifer MXD for late summer temperature; see Results) for the climate reconstruction. To extend this HSTC chronology as far back in time as possible, we also added the oldest available individual MXD indexed series with correlations of $|\bar{r}| > 0.25$ with this chronology and that had a minimum length of 100 yr. We calibrated and verified linear regression and scaling models (Esper et al., 2005) over the 100 yr periods 1781-1880 and 1881-1980, respectively, and then the same was done over the inverted periods, in order to estimate model performances and stability. We computed Reduction of Error (RE; Fritts, 1976) and Coefficient of Efficiency (CE; Briffa et al., 1988) statistics to assess the quality of the reconstructions. We then used the reconstructed series of late summer temperatures over the period 1901-1980 to build a spatial correlation map with the KNMI Climate Explorer (http://www.climexp.knmi.nl; Trouet and Oldenborgh, 2013), using the 0.5° grid of August-September average temperature (CRU TS/E-OBS 13.1; 1901–2009; Mitchell and Jones, 2005; Haylock et al., 2008) and considering only the grid points with over 30% valid values. We used this independent dataset instead of the Italian one, as our primary goal was to analyze how far from the Italian Peninsula the reconstructed climatology is still representative.

3 Results

— *Site chronologies.* We obtained fifteen RW site chronologies (11 from conifers and 4 from broadleaves) and eight MXD site chronologies (from conifers) and we used them to estimate climate sensitivity at the site level and to detect the most important climatic drivers over the study region (for species percentages, see boxes in Fig. 3A, 3A’ and 3A”). We performed the construction of the HSTC chronologies (for the analysis of the temporal stability of climate signals and for climate reconstruction) using also the individual series from the twelve sites (5 from conifer and 7 from broadleaves; see Table 1, gray-shaded areas in Table 2 and Methods) for which the site chronologies did not meet the quality standards. The maximum time span of tree-ring data covers the period from 1415 (ITRDBITAL015) to 2013 (QFIMP1 and QFIMP2). However, the mean chronology length is 215±130 yr for conifers and 175±25 yr for broadleaves (values rounded to the nearest 5 yr; Table 2). Over the common period considered (1880-1980 for all MXD and RW chronologies), the mean series intercorrelation and expressed population signal are approximately 0.5 and 0.8, respectively.

— *Tree-ring sensitivity to climate.* The site-specific sensitivity analysis performed over the common period of 1880-1980 reveals that MXD in conifers records stronger climatic signals than RW in either conifers or broadleaves, in terms of the average correlation coefficient, the number of months showing statistically significant values ($p < 0.05$) and the fraction of chronologies (over the maximum number available) responding to the same climatic variable (Fig. 3). In particular, all conifer MXD chronologies are positively influenced by late summer temperatures (August and September), whereas precipitation from June to August is negatively correlated with most of them (Fig. 3A and 3B). In terms of SPI, the highest correlations (for both MXD and RW) were obtained for the indices calculated at the timescales of 2 and mainly of 3 months (SPI_3; only the latter is reported in the Results), while longer timescales showed fewer significant correlation values. Most conifer MXD are negatively correlated with SPI_3 from June to September, highlighting that low index values, i.e., drought periods, are associated with high MXD in the tree rings, and vice versa (Fig. 3C).

For conifer RW, significant correlation coefficients, i.e., those exceeding the mean value of $|\bar{r}| > 0.25$ for more than 50 % of the available chronologies, were obtained only for the August temperatures of the year prior to growth (a negative correlation; Fig. 3A’). In the other months, correlations are generally low and sometimes show opposite signs for the same climatic variable. However, a slightly stronger influence from the climatic variables for the summer months prior to growth is noted (black areas in Fig. 3A’, 3B’ and 3C’).

Broadleaf RW are positively influenced by high precipitations and low drought occurrences (high SPI_3 values) during the summer months (June and July precipitation and June to August SPI_3; Fig. 3B” and 3C”), whereas the temperature does not show a significant influence (Fig. 3A”).

— *Influence of environmental settings on climate-growth relationships & Site Fitness.* The RDA analysis evidences that the strength of the AS temperature signal recorded in the MXD chronologies depends also on summer precipitation amounts (co-varying with Latitude, in our dataset of MXD) and Elevation (co-varying with Longitude, in our dataset of MXD): positive and negative correlation, respectively (Fig. 4A). Summer precipitation amounts and elevation correlate negatively in our dataset of MXD, underlining the prevalence of the latitudinal gradient of higher precipitation at north over the expected altitudinal gradient of higher precipitation at higher altitudes: sites at north, even if at lower altitudes, receive more summer precipitation than sites at south, at higher altitude. The RDA analysis shows that summer precipitation amounts and elevation are the variables most influencing the MXD sensitivity to AS temperature: the F1 axis alone explains up to 72% of the variance in response variables, and especially in AS temperature and JJAS SPI_3 signals. For what concerns the Site Fitness, it is especially sites located at higher latitudes, in particular above 42° N (all of *Abies alba*), that present values of SF > 65%, and up to 86% (Fig. 4B). Below 42° N, all sites (comprising also two sites of *Abies alba*) present a SF of approximately 20%, with *Pinus leucodermis* presenting the highest SF value (38%).

— *Stability of the climatic signal over time.* The six comparisons performed between the HSTC chronologies and the DCV were deemed important to understand the influence of the climate over time on conifers MXD and RW and on broadleaf RW (Fig. 5). The moving-window correlation analysis reveals that the HSTC conifer MXD chronology holds the strongest and most stable climatic signal of late summer temperature over time, with values of correlation coefficient ranging from approximately 0.4 to nearly 0.8 in the more modern periods analyzed (#1 in Fig. 5). In the other two HSTC chronologies based on conifer MXD (#2 and #3 in Fig. 5), starting from the time window 1881-1940 up to recent periods, we always find higher absolute values for SPI_3 than for precipitation, with values of correlation reaching approximately -0.7 and -0.6, respectively, (#3 and #2 in Fig. 5). For the conifer RW, a strong change in the temperature signal of August prior to growth is found (#4 in Fig. 5), with correlation values shifting from positive (and statistically non-significant) in the early period of analysis to negative (approximately -0.5) in the mid to late period of analysis. The two HSTC chronologies of broadleaf RW show nearly the same correlation values and similar patterns with both the June and July precipitation and the June to August SPI_3, with values at approximately +0.5 (#5 and #6 in Fig. 5).

— *Climate reconstruction.* The reconstruction of the late summer temperature for the Italian Peninsula was, therefore, based on the HSTC chronology of conifer MXD, while the conifer RW chronology was disregarded due to its low signal stability over time. The reconstructed series based on the scaling approach starts in 1657 and has a minimum sample replication of ten trees since 1713 (Fig. 6A); it well reproduces the variability of the instrumental record and underlines the periods of climatic cooling (and likely also wetter conditions) in the years 1699, 1740, 1814, 1914, 1938. The low-pass filtered series emphasize the mid-length fluctuations and show evidence of periods of temperature underestimations (centered around 1799, 1925 and 1952) and of overestimations (around 1846) (Fig. 6B); however, the differences from the instrumental record are always within 1° C for both scaling and regression approaches. The two models show similar statistics for RE, which tends to have higher values when the models are calibrated for the period 1781-1880 and lower values when they are calibrated for the period 1881-1980 (Table 3). The CE statistics show similar patterns of RE, are always positive for the regression model, whereas for scaling, CE has a slightly negative value when the model is calibrated for the 1881-1980 period.

— *Spatial coherence of the reconstruction.* The spatial coherence of the late summer temperature reconstruction of the Italian Peninsula performed over the Mediterranean region shows that, for the period of 1901-1980 (defined by the beginning of the CRU TS/E-OBS 13.1 climate series and the end of the MXD series), the reconstructed series well predict the temperature variability in the west-east region around the Apennines (Fig. 7), whereas just a few kilometers north of the Apennines (in the Po Plane) and west the Balkan area (in Slovenia and Hungary), and eastwards, the correlation drops below 0.6. In detail, the reconstructed temperature highly correlates westward up to Sicily and Sardinia, and eastward to the western Balkan area along the Adriatic Sea up to northern Greece, whereas r values are already lower than 0.5 in a wide arch including northern Tunisia, southern France, the inner range of the European Alps, Turkey and southern Anatolia (Fig. 7).

4 Discussion

The climate signals recorded in the multispecies and multiproxy tree-ring network from the Italian Peninsula reveal a general coherence with other climate-growth analyses performed in Mediterranean environments. As found in the Pyrenees for a conifer tree-ring network (Büntgen et al., 2010), we find generally strong and coherent signals between species when considering their MXD. In particular, in our record, the late summer temperature is well recorded in MXD chronologies, and the correlations with climate are stable over time. The MXD chronologies are mainly related to temperature; however, we found clear signals of the influence of summer precipitation and droughts. In the Mediterranean area, especially during summer, high temperature is often associated with low precipitation and drought; therefore, when interpreting the temperature reconstructions based on tree-ring MXD in the Mediterranean area, also the associated influence of precipitation and droughts on MXD should be taken in account. The SPI, which is used here to represent drought conditions, was found to have higher correlations with both MXD and RW for the index calculated at the timescales of 2 and mainly of 3 months (whereas lower correlations are found at lower (1 month) and higher (6, 9 and 12 months) timescales); trees respond to the drought signal at this time timescale, which reflects soil moisture droughts in the root zone (the SPI_3 is also the index used for modeling agricultural droughts, see e.g., WMO, 2012). On the other hand, trees do not respond to the signal of hydrological droughts at the catchment level (SPI at timescales of above 6 months).

The reconstructed series of the late summer temperatures for the Italian Peninsula show a strong coherence with the instrumental record and with both the reconstruction of AS temperature proposed by Trouet (2014) for the northeastern Mediterranean-Balkan region, and of JAS temperature proposed by Klesse et al. (2015), (Fig. 6C and Table 4). The three reconstructions are highly consistent, and the reconstruction of Trouet (2014) also includes the sites used in this paper. However, there are some differences between our and Trouet's (2014) reconstruction: our reconstructed AS temperature in the Italian Peninsula tends to generally show less negative fluctuations over time than the reconstruction from the Balkan area. While periods of climatic cooling were recorded in both areas in 1741 and 1814, similar events were seen in 1913 and in 1977 in the Balkan area alone. Interestingly, the periods of the larger differences between the reconstructed AS temperature and the instrumental record (around 1799, 1846, 1925 and 1952) are also those with strong coherences between the two reconstructions, suggesting a regional consistency in the responses to climate, possibly facilitated by similar precipitation patterns in the two regions during the late summer. We also compared all these tree-ring based temperature reconstructions (of AS and JAS) with the summer (JJA) temperature gridded dataset of Luterbacher et al. (2004) (based on proxy, documentary, and instrumental data), for the gridpoints containing our MXD sites and over the common period covered by instrumental data from Italy used in the present work, i.e. since 1763 (Online Material 1). Both the instrumental data for Italy and the proxy based reconstructions show a good coherence with Luterbacher et al. (2004) at the decadal scale, however in the 1790-1810 period they both show opposite trends (with generally lower temperatures than in Luterbacher et al. (2004)) and more marked negative fluctuations in the 1810s.

Another late summer temperature reconstruction from Corsica based on tree-ring stable carbon isotopes (Szymczak et al., 2012), contrary to what found in our reconstruction and in northeastern Mediterranean, shows periods of high temperature at the end of 1600-beginning of 1700 and a very slight cooling during the 1810s, probably due to the effect of the surrounding seas.

An important factor influencing the tree-ring MXD is volcanism, especially in correspondence of highly explosive eruptions that can change the intensity of the incoming solar radiation and that are able to change circulation patterns and to cool the climate at hemispheric to global scale (e.g., Briffa et al., 1998). The largest explosive eruptions (Volcanic Explosivity Index ≥ 6; Siebert et al., 2011) correspond to local minimum densities in the tree rings (Fig. 6C and 6D), and some of them are well known years of famine and low crop yields. The year 1699 and the proceeding decade is known for being related to recurrent explosive eruptions in Iceland and Indonesia (Le Roy Ladurie, 2004), inducing great famines around Europe and North America (Mitchison, 2002). The 1809 eruption of source unknown (Guevara-Murua et al., 2014) and the 1815 eruption of

Mount Tambora induced a decade of very low summer temperature and high precipitation (Luterbacher and Pfister, 2015). This was the coldest decade of the so called Little Ice Age (Lamb, 1995), corresponding also to glacier advance phases in the Alpine glaciers, that reached their first maximum extent of the Holocene (the second and last, being around 1850; e.g., Matthews and Briffa, 2005). In 1883 Mount Krakatoa and in 1914 Mount Pinatubo eruptions correspond to local minima in the MXD. But a straightforward correspondence between minimum values of MXD densities and large eruption is lacking: some differences at the regional scale with respect to global scale may occur due to local circulation patterns or the presence of seas, as it is the case of the 1783 Grímsvötn Volcano eruption (Iceland), that corresponds to unexpected high MXD densities in the tree rings from the Mediterranean area (Fig. 6) but not at the global scale (see Fig. 1 in Briffa et al., 1998), or the local minimums of MXD density of 1740 and 1938 found in this paper that are not linked to any particular large eruption. The Apennines and the European Alps often show similar annual changes in precipitation amounts. However, in some periods, they show opposite decadal trends, such as after 1830, when precipitation was increasing in the north of Italy but decreasing in the south, and after 2000, when the opposite behavior was observed (Brunetti et al., 2006). In the Italian Peninsula, the summer (JJA) and the autumn (SON) precipitation in 1835-1845 showed local minimum values in the instrumental record, likely inducing higher densities in the tree-ring latewood and, therefore, overestimations in model temperature values (Fig. 6B). Moreover, uncertainties between the instrumental records and MXD may rise given that trees do not respond linearly to high temperatures, resulting in divergences between climatological and MXD records (e.g., for the Alps and Europe, Battipaglia et al., 2010). As found in this study, MXD is influenced by both late-summer temperature and summer precipitation and drought. In the Mediterranean, these variables are usually negatively correlated. Therefore, in some periods, a given value of MXD could have been caused either by temperature and less by drought or vice versa. Of the considered explanatory environmental variables, it is especially the latitudinal regime of summer precipitation amounts that modulates the MXD sensitivity to AS temperature and to summer drought (Fig. 4A): sites at north (more mesic and at lower elevation) show stronger climate signals than sites at south (more xeric and at higher elevation). Even if southern sites are at higher elevation (and this is usually supposed to give trees a higher sensitivity to temperature) they are less sensitive to the selected climate variables. MXD sites from southern Italy present a markedly lower SF than sites from central-northern Apennines. Considering the responses related to the type of species, it is evident that in our dataset the influence of AS temperature on MXD, in *Abies alba* is more affected by summer precipitation amounts than in *Pinus lucodermis* and *P. nigra*. On the other hand, the influence of summer drought on MXD, in pines is more affected by elevation.

Climatic signals recorded in RW tree-ring chronologies of conifers and broadleaves show fewer clear common patterns in their correlations with climate variables than conifer MXD, although some climatic signals, which are valuable for climate reconstructions and for understanding climate impacts on tree-ring growth, were detected. In our records, the summer drought signal was clearly recorded at all broadleaf sites (Fig. 3C''), with moist periods (low recurrence of drought, i.e., high SPI_3 values) positively affecting tree-ring growth. The drought signal (as well as the precipitation signal) was fairly stable over time (#6 and #5 in Fig. 5), suggesting the possibility for climate drought (and precipitation) reconstructions in the Italian Peninsula with the availability of longer dendrochronological series. Differently from Levanič et al. (2015), we did not find a stable signal in conifer RW for what concerns the temperature signal, even though our correlations are related only to August$_{-1}$ temperatures (#4 in Fig. 5). The signal of previous August temperatures recorded in conifer chronologies (Fig. 3A') is too variable over time to allow for a reconstruction (Fig. 5). Here, the change in sensitivity is probably related to the negative effect of droughts in the summer and autumn (June to October) prior to growth (see SPI_3 correlations; Fig. 3C'). The question of the temporal stability of climate-growth relationships is sometimes underestimated in climate reconstructions, even though changes of climate signals over time have been identified in the Mediterranean region (Lebourgeois et al., 2012; Castagneri et al., 2014) and in the European Alps (Leonelli et al., 2009; Coppola et al., 2012).

Tree-ring growth may be affected also by large-scale climate variability, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the prominent mode of atmospheric circulation in the North Atlantic that affects temperature and precipitation patterns in Europe (D'Arrigo et al., 1993; Cook et al., 2002). In the eastern Mediterranean region, a teleconnection with summer climate conditions in the British Isles has been found in a summer temperature reconstruction for Bulgaria (Trouet et al., 2012), where tree-ring growth patterns are strongly linked to drought conditions. For Greece and the region eastward (Klesse et al., 2015), a prominent dipole pattern of summer NAO was found, whereas in Italy a major effect on tree growth was found for winter NAO, that correlates negatively with winter precipitation amounts, responsible of soil moisture during the growing season (Piovesan and Schirone, 2000). Temporal instabilities of tree growth with climatic variables may be linked to several environmental and physiological factors that may influence tree growth processes and tree-ring sensitivities to climate, such as the still-debated fertilization effect due to increasing CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere (e.g., Brienen et al., 2012). On the other hand, biomass production and tree growth in Mediterranean forests seem to be linked to nutrient availability and environmental constraints rather than to the availability of CO_2 (e.g., Jacoby and D'Arrigo, 1997; Körner, 2003; Palacio et al., 2013). Local low-energy geomorphological processes such as sheetfloods (e.g., Pelfini et al., 2006) may impact tree-ring growth as well as the presence of an active volcano and its direct influence on local climate and atmospheric conditions (such as the Vesuvio Volcano, Battipaglia et al., 2007, or the Etna Volcano, Sailer et al., 2017), or air/soil pollution linked to SO_2, NO_2, or O_3 depositions and dust depositions from industrial plants or mines (in central Europe; Elling et al., 2009, Kern et al., 2009; Sensula et al., 2015): these environmental factors may lower the tree-ring sensitivity to climate. Emissions from car traffic may also alter the tree-ring stable isotope signals and the related climatic signals (Saurer et al., 2004; Leonelli et al., 2012). The species-specific physiological responses of tree growth to climate variability may be non-linear when high summer temperatures and low soil moistures exceed specific physiological thresholds, and can interrupt tree-ring growth during the growing season in Mediterranean climates (Cherubini et al., 2003). In terms of ecological factors, the recurrent attacks of defoliator insects (e.g., the pine processionary moth; Hódar et al., 2003), the occurrence of forest fires (e.g., San-Miguel-Ayanz et al., 2013) or herbivory grazing and land abandonment (Herrero et al., 2011; Camarero and Gutiérrez, 2004) may influence vegetation dynamics and tree growth in Mediterranean forests, thus potentially introducing non-climatic effects into the chronologies.

Our reconstruction of the late summer temperature based on conifer MXD shows a clear stable climatic signal over time, and we could define the spatial coherence of the temperature reconstruction, thus allowing for the determination of the regions that could be included to extend the reconstruction further back in time. The late-summer temperature reconstruction of Trouet (2014) is more valid for the region comprising the southern and inner Balkans; our reconstruction is the first fully coherent late summer temperature reconstruction for Mediterranean Italy, extending in a west-east direction from Sardinia and Sicily to the Western Balkan area. This spatial approach allows for the definition of areas responding to climatic forcing in homogenous ways, which may also help predict the forest response to future climate change in the Mediterranean region.

5 Conclusion

The climate sensitivity analysis of a multispecies RW and MXD tree-ring network from the Italian Peninsula reveals that conifer MXD chronologies record a strong and stable signal of late summer temperatures and, to a lesser extent, of summer precipitation and drought. In contrast, the signals recorded by both conifer and broadleaf RW chronologies are less stable over time but are still linked to the summer climates of the year prior to growth (conifer) and the year of growth (broadleaves). The MXD sensitivity to AS temperature and to summer drought is mainly driven by the latitudinal gradient of summer precipitation amounts, with sites at north (above 42° N, all silver fir sites, at lower altitudes) showing stronger climate signals than sites at south (below 42°N, mainly *P. leucodermis* and silver fir sites at higher altitudes).

The reconstruction of the late summer temperatures over the past 300 yr (up to 1980), based on the conifer MXD chronologies, reveals a strong coherence with the reconstruction performed by Trouet (2014) for the northeastern

Mediterranean-Balkan region and by Klesse et al. (2015) for Greece and the eastward region. With respect to the former reconstruction, however, the temperatures reconstructed in our study show less negative fluctuations during the last century, likely because all of our sites are located along the Italian Peninsula and are relatively close to the sea. According to our reconstruction, 1699, 1740, 1814, 1914, and 1938 were years of particularly low late summer temperatures over the study region (with some of them linked to large volcanic eruptions affecting climate at the global scale), whereas the highest temperature was found in 1945. The reconstruction is representative of a wide area covering the Italian Peninsula, Sardinia, Sicily and the Balkan area close to the Adriatic Sea, which are areas that could be considered to further enhance the regional reconstruction we performed and to better assess climate change impacts on forests in homogenous areas within the Mediterranean hot spot.

Data availability. Data will be available in the Online Material 2.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by the project of strategic interest NEXTDATA (PNR National Research Programme 2011-2013; project coordinator A. Provenzale CNR-IGG, WP leader V. Maggi UNIMIB and CNR-IGG), and by the following PRIN 2010-2011 projects (MIUR - Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research): grant no. 2010AYKTAB_006 (national leader C. Baroni), and grant no. B21J12000560001 ‘CARBOTREES’.

This study is also linked to activities conducted within the following COST Actions (European Cooperation in Science and Technology), financially supported by the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation HORIZON 2020: FP1106 ‘STReESS’ (Studying Tree Responses to extreme Events: a SynthesiS), and CA15226 CLIMO (Climate-Smart Forestry in Mountain Regions). We acknowledge the E-OBS dataset from the EU-FP6 project ENSEMBLES (http://ensembles-eu.metoffice.com) and the data providers in the ECA&D project (http://www.ecad.eu). We thank the several researchers who uploaded their raw data onto the ITRDB.

References

Akkemik, Ü., D’Arrigo, R., Cherubini, P., Köse, N., and Jacoby, G.C.: Tree-ring reconstructions of precipitation and streamflow for north-western Turkey. Int. J. Climatol., 28, 173–183, doi:10.1002/joc.1522, 2008.

Babst, F., Poulter, B., Trouet, V., Tan, K., Neuwirth, B., Wilson, R., Carrer, M., Grabner, M., Tegel, W., Levanic, T., Panayotov, M., Urbinati, C., Bouriaud, O., Ciais P., and Frank D.: Site- and species-specific responses of forest growth to climate across the European continent. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 22, 706–717, 2013.

Barbati, A., Corona, P., and Marchetti, M.: A forest typology for monitoring sustainable forest management: The case of European forest types. Plant Biosyst., 141, 93–103, 2007.

Battipaglia, G., Cherubini, P., Saurer, M., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Strumia, S., and Cotrufo F.: Volcanic explosive eruptions of the Vesuvio decrease tree-ring growth but not photosynthetic rates in the surrounding forests. Glob. Change Biol. 13(6), 1122–1137, 2007.

Battipaglia, G., De Micco, V., Brand, W.A., Saurer, M., Aronne, G., Linke,P., and Cherubini, P.: Drought impact on water use efficiency and intra-annual density fluctuations in *Erica arborea* on Elba (Italy). Plant Cell Environ 37, 382-391, 2014.

Battipaglia, G., Saurer, M., Cherubini, P., Siegwolf, R.T.W., and Cotrufo, M.F.: Tree rings indicate different drought resistance of a native (*Abies alba* Mill.) and a nonnative (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) species co-occurring at a dry site in Southern Italy. Forest Ecol. Manag., 257, 820–828, 2009.

Battipaglia, G., Frank, D., Büntgen, U., Dobrovolný, P., Brázdil, R., Pfister, C., and Esper, J.: Five centuries of Central

European temperature extremes reconstructed from tree-ring density and documentary evidence. Global Planet. Change, 72, 182–191, 2010.

Becker, B.: An 11,000-year German oak and pine dendrochronology for radiocarbon calibration. Radiocarbon 35(1), 201-213, 1993.

Biondi, F.: Comparing tree-ring chronologies and repeated timber inventories as forest monitoring tools. Ecol. Appl., 9, 216–227, 1999.

Biondi, F., and Waikul, K.: DENDROCLIM2002: a C++ program for statistical calibration of climate signals in tree-ring chronologies. Comput. Geosci., 30, 303–311, 2004.

Boisvenue, C., and Running, S.W.: Impacts of climate change on natural forest productivity – evidence since the middle of the 20th century. Glob. Change Biol., 12, 862–882, 2006.

Boydak, M., and Dogru, M.: The exchange of experience and state of the art in sustainable forest management (SFM) by ecoregion: Mediterranean forests. Ecoregional review. In: Proceedings of the XI World Forestry Congress, 13-22 October 1997, Antalya, 6, 179–204, 1997.

Borghetti, M., Gentilesca, T., Leonardi, S., van Noije, T., and Rita, A.: Long-term temporal relationships between environmental conditions and xylem functional traits: a meta-analysis across a range of woody species along climatic and nitrogen deposition gradients. Tree Physiol., 37(1), 4-17, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpw087, 2017.

Brienen, R.J.W., Gloor, E., and Zuidema, P.: Detecting evidence for CO_2 fertilization from tree ring studies: The potential role of sampling biases. Global Biogeochem. Cy., 26, GB1025, doi:10.1029/2011GB004143, 2012.

Briffa, K.R., Jones, P.D., Pilcher, J.R., and Hughes, M.K.: Reconstructing summer temperatures in Northern Fennoscandia back to A.D. 1700 using tree-ring data from scots pine. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 20, 385–394, 1988.

Briffa, K.R., and Jones, P.D.: Basic chronology statistics and assessment. In: E. R. Cook, and L. A. Kairiukstis (Eds.), Methods of Dendrochronology: Applications in the Environmental Sciences: 137–152. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1990.

Briffa, K.R., Jones, P.D., Bartholin, T.S., Eckstein, D., Schweingruber, F.H., Karlén, W., Zetterberg, P., and Eronen, M.: Fennoscandian summers from AD 500: temperature changes on short and long timescales. Clim. Dynam., 7, 111–119, 1992.

Briffa, K.R., Jones P.D., Schweingruber, F.H., and Osborn, T.J.: Influence of volcanic eruptions on Northern Hemisphere summer temperature over the past 600 years. Nature, 393, 450-455, 1998.

Briffa, K.R., Osborn, T.J., and Schweingruber, F.H.: Large-scale temperature inferences from tree rings: a review. Global Planet. Change, 40, 11–26, 2004.

Briffa, K.R., and Melvin, T.M.: A closer look at regional curve standardisation of tree-ring records: justification of the need, a warning of some pitfalls, and suggested improvements in its application. In: Diaz HF, Swetnam TW (eds) Hughes MK. Dendroclimatology, Progress and Prospects. Springer Verlag, pp. 113–145, 2011.

Brunetti, M., Maugeri, M., Monti, F., and Nanni, T.: Temperature and precipitation variability in Italy in the last two centuries from homogenised instrumental time series. Int. J. Climatol., 26, 345–381, 2006.

Brunetti, M., Lentini, G., Maugeri, M., Nanni, T., Simolo, C., and Spinoni, J.: Projecting North Eastern Italy temperature and precipitation secular records onto a high resolution grid. Phys. Chem. Earth, 40–41, 9–22, doi:10.1016/j.pce.2009.12.005, 2012.

Brunetti, M., Maugeri, M., Nanni, T., Simolo, C., and Spinoni, J.: High-resolution temperature climatology for Italy: interpolation method intercomparison. Int. J. Climatol., 34, 1278–1296, doi:10.1002/joc.3764, 2014.

Büntgen, U., Frank, D.C., Schmidhalter, M., Neuwirth, B., Seifert, M., and Esper, J.: Growth/climate response shift in a long subalpine spruce chronology. Trees, 20, 99–110, doi:10.1007/s00468-005-0017-3, 2006.

Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Trouet, V., and Esper, J.: Diverse climate sensitivity of Mediterranean tree-ring width and density.

Trees, 24, 261–273, doi 10.1007/s00468-009-0396-y, 2010.

Büntgen, U., Krusic, P.J., Verstege, A., Sangüesa-Barreda, G., Wagner, S., Camarero, J.J., Charpentier Ljungqvist, F., Zorita, E., Oppenheimer, C., Konter, O., Tegel, W., Gärtner, H., Cherubini, P., Reinig, F., and Esper, J.: New Tree-Ring Evidence from the Pyrenees Reveals Western Mediterranean Climate Variability since Medieval Times. J. Climate, 30, 5295-5318, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0526.1, 2017.

Calfapietra, C., Barbati, A., Perugini, L., Ferrari, B., Guidolotti, G., Quatrini, A., and Corona, P.: Carbon stocks and potential carbon sequestration of different forest ecosystems under climate change and various management regimes in Italy. Ecosyst. Health Sustain., 1(8), 25, doi:10.1890/EHS15-0023, 2015.

Camarero, J.J. and Gutiérrez, E.: Pace and pattern of recent treeline dynamics: response of ecotones to climatic variability in the Spanish Pyrenees. Climatic Change, 63, 181–200, 2004.

Carrer, M., Nola, P., Motta, R., and Urbinati, C.: Contrasting tree-ring growth to climate responses of *Abies alba* toward the southern limit of its distribution area. Oikos, 119, 1515–1525, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18293.x, 2010.

Castagneri, D., Nola, P., Motta, R., and Carrer, M.: Summer climate variability over the last 250 years differently affected tree species radial growth in a mesic *Fagus–Abies–Picea* old-growth forest. Forest Ecol. Manag., 320, 21–29, 2014.

Cherubini, P., Gartner, B.L., Tognetti, R., Bräker, O.U., Schoch, W., and Innes, J.L.: Identification, measurement and interpretation of tree rings in woody species from Mediterranean climates. Biol. Rev., 78, 119–148, doi:10.1017/S1464793102006000, 2003.

Cook, E.R., D'Arrigo, R.D., and Mann, M.E.: A well-verified, multiproxy reconstruction of thewinter North Atlantic Oscillation index since AD 1400. J. Climate 15:1754-1764, 2002.

Coppola, A., Leonelli, G., Salvatore, M.C., Pelfini, M., and Baroni , C.: Weakening climatic signal since mid-20th century in European larch tree-ring chronologies at different altitudes from the Adamello-Presanella Massif (Italian Alps). Quaternary Res., 77, 344–354, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2012.01.004, 2012.

Corpo Forestale dello Stato: Secondo inventario nazionale delle foreste e dei serbatoi forestali di carbonio (INFC 2005). Available at http://www.sian.it (site consulted on September 2016), 2005.

Crespi, A., Brunetti, M., Lentini, G., and Maugeri, M.: 1961-1990 high-resolution monthly precipitation climatologies for Italy". Int. J. Climatol., submitted, 2017.

D'Arrigo R.D., Cook, E.R., Jacoby, G.C., and Briffa K.R.: NAO and sea surface temperature signatures in tree-ring records from the North Atlantic sector. Quat. Sci. Rev., 12(6), 431-440, 1993.

Dafis, S.: The Mediterranean Forest and its protection. Sci. Ann. Dept. Forest. Nat. Environ., 37, 159–170, 1997.

Dorado Liñán, I., Zorita, E., Fidel Gonzalez-Rouco, J., Heinrich, I., Campelo, F., Muntán, E., Andreu-Hayles, L., and Gutiérrez, E.: Eight-hundred years of summer temperature variations in the southeast of the Iberian Peninsula reconstructed from tree rings. Climate Dyn., 44(7-8), 2329-2329, 2015.

Elling, W., Dittmar, C., Pfaffelmoser, K., and Roetzer, T.: Dendroecological assessment of the complex causes of decline and recovery of the growth of silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) in Southern Germany. For. Ecol. Manage., 257, 1175–1187, 2009.

Esper, J., Cook, E.R., Krusic, P.J., Peters, K., and Schweingruber, F.H.: Tests of the RCS method for preserving low frequency variability in long tree-ring chronologies. Tree-Ring Res., 59, 81–98, 2003.

Esper, J., Frank, D.C., Wilson, R.J.S., and Briffa, K.R.: Effect of scaling and regression on reconstructed temperature amplitude for the past millennium. Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L07711, doi:10.1029/2004GL021236, 2005.

Esper, J., Frank, D., Büntgen, U., and Kirdyanov, A.: Influence of pith offset on tree-ring chronology trend. In: Kaczka, R., Malik, I., Owczarek, P., Gärtner, H., Helle, G., Heinrich, I. (eds) TRACE - Tree Rings in Archaeology, Climatology and Ecology Vol. 7. GFZ Potsdam, Scientific Technical Report STR 09/03, Potsdam, pp. 205–210, 2009.

Friedrich, M., Remmele, S., Kromer, B., Hofmann, J., Spurk, M., Kaiser, K.F., Orcel, C., and Küppers, M.: The 12,460-year Hohenheim oak and pine tree-ring chronology from central Europe — a unique annual record for radiocarbon calibration and paleoenvironment reconstructions. Radiocarbon, 46(3), 1111–1122, 2004.

Fritts, H.C.: Tree rings and climate. Academic Press, New York, 1976.

Fu, Q., Johanson C.M.,Wallace, J.M., Reichler, T.: Enhanced Mid-Latitude Tropospheric Warming in Satellite Measurements. Science, 312(5777), pp. 1179, doi: 10.1126/science.1125566, 2006.

Galván, J.D., Camarero, J.J., Ginzler, C., and Büntgen, U.: Spatial diversity of recent trends in Mediterranean tree growth. Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 084001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/8/084001, 2014.

Galván, J.D., Büntgen, U., Ginzler, C., Grudd, H., Gutiérrez, E., Labuhn, I., and Camarero, J.J.: Drought-induced weakening of growth–temperature associations in high-elevation Iberian pines. Global Planet. Change, 124, 95–106, 2015.

Giorgi, F.: Climate change hot-spots. Geophys. Res. Lett.,. 33, L08707, doi:10.1029/2006gl025734, 2006.

Griggs, C., DeGaetano, A., Kuniholm, P., and Newton, M.: A regional high-frequency reconstruction of May–June precipitation in the north Aegean from oak tree rings, A.D. 1809–1989, Int. J. Clim., 27, 1075–1089, 2007.

Guevara-Murua, A., Williams, C.A., Hendy, E.J., Rust, A.C., and Cashman, K.V.: Observations of a stratospheric aerosol veil from a tropical volcanic eruption in December 1808: is this the Unknown ~ 1809 eruption? Clim. Past, 10, 1707–1722, 2014.

Haylock, M.R., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A.M.G., Klok, E.J., Jones, P.D., and New, M.: A European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and precipitation. J. Geophys. Res., 113, D20119, doi:10.1029/2008JD10201, 2008.

Herrero, A., Zamora, R., Castro, J., and Hódar, J.A.: Limits of pine forest distribution at the treeline: herbivory matters. Plant Ecol., 213, 459–469, 2011.

Hódar, J.A., Castro, J., and Zamora, R.: Pine processionary caterpillar *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* as a new threat for relict Mediterranean Scots pine forests under climatic warming. Biol. Conserv., 110, 123–129, 2003.

IPCC: Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324, 2013.

Jacoby, G.C., and D'Arrigo, R.D.: Tree rings, carbon dioxide, and climatic change. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 8350–8353, 1997.

Kern, Z., Popa, I., Varga, Z., and Széles, É.: Degraded temperature sensitivity of a stone pine chronology explained by dendrochemical evidences. Dendrochronologia, 27, 121–128, 2009.

Klesse, S., Ziehmer, M., Rousakis, G., Trouet, V., and Frank, D.: Synoptic drivers of 400 years of summer temperature and precipitation variability on Mt. Olympus, Greece. Clim. Dyn., 45, 807–824, doi: 10.1007/s00382-014-2313-3, 2015.

Körner, C.: Carbon limitation in trees. J. Ecol., 91, 4–17, 2003.

Köse, N., Akkemik, Ü., Dalfes, H. N., and Özeren, M. S.: Tree-ring reconstructions of May–June precipitation of western Anatolia, Quaternary Res., 75, 438–450, 2011.

Köse, N., Akkemik Ü., Güner H.T., Dalfes H.N., Grissino-Mayer H.D., Özeren M.S., and Kındap T.: An improved reconstruction of May–June precipitation using tree-ring data from western Turkey and its links to volcanic eruptions. Int. J. Biometeorol., 57, 691–701, doi:10.1007/s00484-012-0595-x, 2013.

Le Roy Ladurie, E.: *Histoire humaine et comparée du climat: Canicules et glaciers (XIIIe-XVIIIe siècles)*, Fayard, 748 pp., 2004.

Lebourgeois, F., Merian, P., Courdier, F., Ladier, J., and Dreyfus, P.: Instability of climate signal in tree-ring width in Mediterranean mountains: a multi-species analysis. Trees-Struct. Funct., 26, 715–729, 2012.

Leonelli, G., Pelfini, M., Battipaglia, G., and Cherubini, P.: Site-aspect influence on climate sensitivity over time of a high-altitude *Pinus cembra* tree-ring network. Climatic Change, 96(1-2), 185–201, doi:10.1007/s10584-009-9574-6, 2009.

Leonelli, G., Battipaglia, G., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Saurer, M., Morra di Cella, U., Cherubini, P., and Pelfini, M.: Climatic isotope signals in tree rings masked by air pollution: A case study conducted along the Mont Blanc Tunnel access road (Western Alps, Italy). Atmos. Environ., 61, 169–179, 2012.

Leonelli, G., Coppola, A., Baroni, C., Salvatore, M.C., Maugeri, M., Brunetti, M., and Pelfini, M.: Multispecies dendroclimatic reconstructions of summer temperature in the European Alps enhanced by trees highly sensitive to temperature. Climatic Change, 137, 275–291, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1658-5, 2016.

Levanič, T., Popa, I., Poljanšek, S., and Nechita, C.: A 323-year long reconstruction of drought for SW Romania based on black pine (*Pinus nigra*) tree-ring widths. Int. J. Biometeorol., 57(5), 703–714, 2013.

Levanič, T., Poljansek, S., Toromani, E.: Early summer temperatures reconstructed from black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arnold) tree-ring widths from Albania. Holocene, 25(3), 469-481, 2015.

Lamb, H H.: "The little ice age". Climate, history and the modern world. London: Routledge, 464 pp., 1995.

Luterbacher, J., Dietrich, D., Xoplaki, E., Grosjean, M., and Wanner, H.: European seasonal and annual temperature variability, trends, and extremes since 1500. Science, 303 (5663), 1499-1503, doi: 10.1126/science.1093877, 2004.

Luterbacher, J., and Pfister, C.: The year without a summer. Nat. Geosci., 8, 246–248, doi:10.1038/ngeo2404, 2015.

Marchetti, M., Tognetti, R., Lombardi, F., Chiavetta, U., Palumbo, G., Sellitto, M., Colombo, C., Iovieno, P., Alfani, A., Baldantoni, D., Barbati, A., Ferrari, B., Bonacquisti, S., Capotorti, G., Copiz, R., and Blasi, C.: Ecological portrayal of old-growth forests and persistent woodlands in the Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park (southern Italy). Plant Biosystems, 144, 130–147, 2010.

Martin-Benito, D., Beeckman, H., and Cañellas, I.: Influence of drought on tree rings and tracheid features of *Pinus nigra* and *Pinus sylvestris* in a mesic Mediterranean forest. Eur. J. Forest Res., 132, 33–45, doi 10.1007/s10342-012-0652-3, 2013.

Matthews, J.A., and Briffa K.R.: The 'Little Ice Age': re-evaluation of an evolving concept. Geogr. Ann., 87A(1), 17–36, 2015.

McKee, T.B., Doesken, N.J., and Kleist, J.: Drought monitoring with multiple time scales. Ninth Conference on Applied Climatology, American Meteorological Society, Jan15-20, 1995, Dallas TX, pp.233–236, 1995.

Mitchell, T.D., and Jones, P.D.: An improved method of constructing a database of monthly climate observations and associated high-resolution grids. Int. J. Climatol., 25(6), 693–712, 2005.

Mitchison, R.: *History of Scotland*, London, Routledge, 3rd edn., 145 pp., 2002.

New, M., Hulme, M., and Jones, P.: Representing twentieth-century space-time climate variability. Part II: Development of 1901–96 monthly grids of terrestrial surface climate. J. Climate, 13, 2217–2238, 2000.

Nicault, A., Alleaume, S., Brewer, S., Carrer, M., Nola, P., and Guiot, J.: Mediterranean drought fluctuation during the last 500 years based on tree-ring data. Clim. Dyn., 31, 227–245, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0349-3, 2008.

Nicolussi, K., Kaufmann, M., Melvin, T.M., van der Plicht, J., Schießling, P., and Thurner, A.: A 9111 year long conifer tree-ring chronology for the European Alps: a base for environmental and climatic investigations. Holocene, 19(6), 909–920, doi:10.1177/0959683609336565, 2009.

Palacio, S., Hoch, G., Sala, A., Körner, C., and Millard, P.: Does carbon storage limit tree growth? New Phytol., 201, 1096–1100, 2013.

Palmer, W.C.: Meteorological drought. US Weather Bureau. Research paper n 45, Washington DC, 58 p, 1965.

Pelfini, M., Leonelli, G., and Santilli, M.: Climatic and environmental influences on mountain pine (*Pinus montana* Miller) growth in the Central Italian Alps. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 38(4), 614–623, 2006.

Piovesan, G., and Schirone, B.: Winter North Atlantic oscillation effects on the tree rings of the Italian beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). Int. J. Biometeorol.,. 44, 121–127, 2000.

Piovesan, G., Biondi, F., Bernabei, M., Di Filippo, A., and Schirone, B.: Spatial and altitudinal bioclimatic zones of the

Italian peninsula identified from a beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) tree-ring network. Acta Oecol., 27, 197–210, 2005.

Piovesan, G., Biondi, F., Di Filippo, A., Alessandrini, A., and Maugeri, M.: Drought-driven growth reduction in old beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) forests of the central Apennines, Italy. Glob. Change Biology, 14, 1–17, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01570.x, 2008.

Ripullone, F., Borghetti, M., Raddi, S., Baraldi, R., Nolè, A., Guerrieri, M.R., and Magnani, F.: Physiological and structural changes in response to altered precipitation regime in an evergreen Mediterranean macchia. Trees-Struct. Funct., 23, 823–834, 2009.

Rita, A., Gentilesca, T., Ripullone, F., Todaro, L., and Borghetti, M.: Differential climate-growth relationships in *Abies alba* Mill. and *Fagus sylvatica* L. in Mediterranean mountain forests. Dendrochronologia, 32, 220–229, 2014.

Ruiz-Labourdette, D., Génova, M., Schmitz, M.F., Urrutia, R., and Pineda, F.D.: Summer rainfall variability in European Mediterranean mountains from the sixteenth to the twentieth century reconstructed from tree rings. Int. J. Biometeorol., 58, 1627–1639, doi:10.1007/s00484-013-0766-4, 2014.

Rutherford, S.D., Mann, M.E., Osborn, T.J., Bradley, R.S., Briffa, K.R., Hughes, M.K., and Jones, P.D.: Proxy-based Northern Hemisphere surface temperature reconstructions: sensitivity to method, predictor network, target season and target domain. J. Climate, 18, 2308–2329, 2005.

Saurer, M., Cherubini, P., Ammann, M., De Cinti, B., and Siegwolf, R.T.W.: First detection of nitrogen from NOx in tree rings: a ^{15}N/^{14}N study near a motorway. Atmos. Environ., 38, 2779–2787, 2004.

San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., Moreno, J.M., and Camia, A.: Analysis of large fires in European Mediterranean landscapes: Lessons learned and perspectives. Forest Ecol. Manag., 294, 11–22, 2013.

Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., and Matteucci, G.: The impact of temperature and drought on the carbon balance of forest ecosystems: the case of a beech forest in central Italy. Agrochimica, 58, 34–39, 2014.

Schlaepfer, D.R., Bradford, J.B., Lauenroth, W.K., Munson, S.M., Tietjen, B., Hall, S.A., Wilson, S.D., Duniway, M.C., Jia, G., Pyke, D.A., Lkhagva, A., and Jamiyansharav, K.: Climate change reduces extent of temperate drylands and intensifies drought in deep soils. Nature Comm., 8, 14196, doi:10.1038/ncomms14196, 2017.

Schröter, D., et al.: Ecosystem service supply and vulnerability to global change in Europe. Science, 5752, 1333–1337, 2005.

Seager, R., Ting, M., Held, I., Kushnir, Y., Lu, J., Vecchi, G., Huang, H.-P., Harnik, N., Leetmaa, A., Lau, N.-C., Li, C., Velez, J., and Naik, N.: Model projections of an imminent transition to a more arid climate in southwestern North America. Science, 316, 1181-1184, 2007.

Seager, R., and Vecchi, G.A.: Greenhouse warming and the 21st century hydroclimate of southwestern North America. PNAS, 107(50), 21277–21282, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0910856107, 2010.

Seiler, R., Kirchner, J.W., Krusic, P.J., Tognetti, R., Houlié, N., Andronico, D., Cullotta, S., Egli, M., D'Arrigo, R., and Cherubini, P.: Insensitivity of tree-ring growth to temperature and precipitation sharpens the puzzle of enhanced pre-eruption NDVI on Mt. Etna (Italy). PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0169297, 2017.

Seim, A., Treydte K., Trouet, V., Frank, D., Fonti, P., Tegel, W., Panayotov, M., Fernández-Donado, L., Krusic, P., and Büntgen, U.: Climate sensitivity of Mediterranean pine growth reveals distinct east–west dipole. Int. J. Climatol., 35, 2503–2513, 2015.

Sensuła, B., Wilczyński, S., and Opała, M.: Tree growth and climate relationship: dynamics of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) growing in the near-source region of the combined heat and power plant during the development of the pro-ecological strategy in Poland. Water Air Soil Pollut., 226, 220, doi:10.1007/s11270-015-2477-4, 2015.

Siebert, L., Simkin, T., and Kimberly, P.: *Volcanoes of the World*, 3rd edn., University of California Press, 568 pp., 2011.

Somot, S., Sevault, F., Déqué, M., and Crépon, M.: 21st century climate change scenario for the Mediterranean using a coupled atmosphere-ocean regional climate model. Global Planet. Change, 63, 112–126, 2007.

Szymczak, S., Joachimski, M.M., Brauning, A., Hetzer, T., and Kuhlemann, J.: A 560 yr summer temperature reconstruction for the Western Mediterranean basin based on stable carbon isotopes from *Pinus nigra* ssp. laricio (Corsica/France). Clim. Past, 8, 1737–1749, doi:10.5194/cp-8-1737-2012, 2012.

Tegel, W., Seim, A., Hakelberg, D., Hoffmann, S., Panev, M., Westphal, T., and Büntgen, U.: A recent growth increase of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) at its Mediterranean distribution limit contradicts drought stress. Eur. J. Forest. Res., 133, 61–71, doi: 10.1007/s10342-013-0737-7, 2014.

Tejedor, E., de Luis, M., Cuadrat, J.M., Esper, J., and Saz, M.A.: Tree-ring-based drought reconstruction in the Iberian Range (east of Spain) since 1694. Int. J. Biometeorol., 60, 361–372, doi:10.1007/s00484-015-1033-7, 2016.

Tessier, L., Nola, P., and Serre-Bachet, F.: Deciduous *Quercus* in the Mediterranean region: tree-ring/climate relationships. New Phytol., 126, 355–367, 1994.

Todaro, T., Andreu, L., D'Alessandro, C.M., Gutiérrez, E., Cherubini P., and Saracino A.: Response of *Pinus leucodermis* to climate and anthropogenic activity in the National Park of Pollino (Basilicata, Southern Italy). Biol. Cons., 137, 507–519, 2007.

Touchan, R., Shishov, V.V, Tychkov, I.I., Sivrikaya, F., Attieh, J., Ketmen, M., Stephan, J., Mitsopoulos, I., Christou, A., and Meko, D.M.: Elevation-layered dendroclimatic signal in eastern Mediterranean tree rings. Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 044020, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/4/044020, 2016.

Touchan. R., et al.: Climate controls on tree growth in the Western Mediterranean. Holocene, doi: 10.1177/0959683617693, 2017.

Trouet, V., and Oldenborgh, G.J. van: KNMI Climate Explorer: a web-based research tool for high-resolution paleoclimatology. Tree-Ring Res., 69(1), 3–13, 2013.

Trouet, V.: A tree-ring based late summer temperature reconstruction (AD 1675–1980) for the northeastern Mediterranean. Radiocarbon, 56(4), S69–S78, doi:10.2458/azu_rc.56.18323, 2014.

Trouet, V., Panayotov, M.P., Ivanova, A., and Frank, D.: A pan-European summer teleconnection mode recorded by a new temperature reconstruction from the northeastern Mediterranean (ad 1768–2008), Holocene, 22, 887–898, 2012.

Turco, M., Palazzi, E., von Hardenberg, J., and Provenzale, A.: Observed climate change hot-spots. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL063891, 2015.

Turco, M., von Hardenberg, J, AghaKouchak, A., Llasat, M.C., Provenzale, A., and Trigo, R.M.: On the key role of droughts in the dynamics of summer fires in Mediterranean Europe. Nature, *in press* 2017.

Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Beguería, S., and López-Moreno, J.I.: A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. J. Clim., 23(7), 1696–718, 2010.

Wigley, T.M.L., Briffa, K.R., and Jones, P.D.: On the average value of correlated time series, with applications in dendroclimatology and hydrometeorology. J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol., 23, 201–213, 1984.

WMO, World Meteorological Organization: Standardized Precipitation Index - User Guide. No. 1090. ISBN 978-92-63-11091-6, 2012.

Table 1: References for all the dendrochronological data used in this research, information on site locations, types of parameter used at each site and the tree species. Sites are ordered along a decreasing latitudinal gradient, after differentiating between conifers and broadleaves (dotted line).

Database information and site location								**Type of tree-ring parameter**			
Dataset name	Database Source	Orignal contributor	Bibliographic reference	Location Name	Latitude N	Longitude E	Elevation (m a.s.l.)	RW chr.	RW series	MXD chr.	Species
ITRDBITAL017	ITRDB	Ori, G.G.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4079*	Monte Cantiere	44° 16' 48''	10° 48' 00''	800	x			*Pinus sp.*
ITRDBITAL009	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4301*	Abetone	44° 07' 12''	10° 42' 00''	1400		x	x	*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL004	ITRDB	Biondi, F.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2753*	Campolino	44° 06' 45''	10° 39' 44''	1650		x		*Picea abies*
ITRDBITAL008	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4540*	Mount Falterona	43° 52' 12''	11° 40' 12''	1450	x		x	*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL003	ITRDB	Biondi, F.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2760*	Pineta San Rossore	43° 43' 12''	10° 18' 00''	5	x			*Pinus pinea*
ITRDBITAL022	ITRDB	Becker, B.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2706*	Pratomagno Bibbiena - Appennini	43° 40' 12''	11° 46' 12''	1050		x		*Abies sp.*
ITRDBITAL012	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4374*	Ceppo Bosque di Martense	42° 40' 48''	13° 25' 48''	1700	x		x	*Abies alba*
Abies-Abeti-Soprani	UNIMOL			Colle Canalicchio-Abeti Soprani	41° 51' 40''	14° 17' 51''	1350		x		*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL016	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4536*	Monte Mattone	41° 46' 48''	14° 01' 48''	1550	x		x	*Pinus nigra*
ITRDBITAL001	ITRDB	Biondi, F.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2752*	Camosciara Mt. Amaro	41° 46' 12''	13° 49' 12''	1550	x			*Pinus nigra*
ITRDBITAL002	ITRDB	Biondi, F.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2759*	Parco del Circeo	41° 19' 48''	13° 03' 02''	5	x			*Pinus pinea*
AAIBA	UNIBAS			Ruoti (PZ)	40° 42' 04''	15° 43' 43''	925		x		*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL011	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4541*	Mount Pollino	39° 54' 00''	16° 12' 00''	1720	x		x	*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL015	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4644*	Sierra de Crispo	39° 54' 00''	16° 13' 48''	2000	x		x	*Pinus leucodermis*
ITRDBITAL010	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4420*	Gambarie Aspromonte	38° 10' 12''	15° 55' 12''	1850	x		x	*Abies alba*
ITRDBITAL013	ITRDB	Schweingruber, F.H.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4304*	AetnaLinguaglossa	37° 46' 48''	15° 03' 00''	1800	x		x	*Pinus nigra*
ITRDBITAL019	ITRDB	Nola, P.	*https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/4042*	Corte Brugnatella	44° 43' 12''	09° 19' 12''	900	x			*Quercus robur*
Fagus-Parco-Abruzzo	UNIMOL			Val Cervara	41° 49' 00''	13° 43' 00''	1780		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
Fagus-Gargano	UNIMOL			Parco Nazionale del Gargano Riserva Pavari	41° 49' 00''	16° 00' 00''	775		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
Fagus-Montedimezzo	UNIMOL			Riseva MaB Unesco Collemeluccio-Montedimezzo	41° 45' 00''	14° 12' 00''	1100	x			*Fagus sylvatica*
Cervialto-FASY	UNINA2			Monti Picentini	40° 50' 23''	15° 10' 03''	800		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
Fagus-Cilento	UNIMOL			Parco Nazionale del Cilento Ottati	40° 28' 00''	15° 24' 00''	1130		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
QCIBG	UNIBAS			Gorgoglione (MT)	40° 23' 09''	16° 10' 04''	820		x		*Quercus cerris*
QFIMP1	UNIBAS			San Paolo Albanese (PZ)	40° 01' 20''	16° 20' 26''	1050	x			*Quercus frainetto*
QFIMP2	UNIBAS			Oriolo (CS)	40° 00' 10''	16° 23' 29''	960	x			*Quercus frainetto*
Fagus-Sila	UNIMOL			Parco Sila	39° 08' 00''	16° 40' 00''	1680		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
Fagus-Parco-Aspromonte	UNIMOL			Aspromonte	38° 11' 00''	15° 52' 00''	1560		x		*Fagus sylvatica*
							1235 mean elevation	**15 sites**	**12 sites**	**8 sites**	

Table 2: Main characteristics of the chronologies used in this research, separating RW (comprised of both broadleaf and conifer species) and MXD (only conifer species). For each site and parameter, the total number of series available and the number of series showing a correlation value 0.2 < r < 0.3 with the respective master chronology is reported. Gray-shaded areas depict values that do not exceed the fixed thresholds of MIC > 0.3, EPS > 0.7 and a number of series > 10, determining the exclusion of the chronology from further analyses. Sites ordered as in Table 1.

a = Mean Interseries Correlation of raw series, calculated using the maximum period available at each site.

b = Expressed Population signal of indexed series in the common period of 1880-1980.

* series up to 80 yr included.

** chronology built with less than 10 series (good EPS).

*** common period with later Start date or earlier End date.

**** sites without chronology [....] are not included in the computation.

	RW series characteristics							**MXD series characteristics on the maximum period available**						
Dataset name	Start date	End date	Time span	MIC[a]	EPS[b]	# series	*# series 0.2< r <0.3 vs. master*	Start date	End date	Time span	MIC1	EPS2	# series	# series 0.2< r <0.3 vs. master
ITRDBITAL017	1856	1989	134	0.43	0.76	14	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL009	[1846]	[1980]	[135]	[0.73]	[0.66]	13	0	1846	1980	135	0.76	0.86	21	0
ITRDBITAL004	[1836]	[1988]	[153]	[0.51]	[0.49]	11	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL008	1827	1980	154	0.62	0.70	12	0	1827	1980	154	0.66	0.87	12	0
ITRDBITAL003*; **	1861	1988	128	0.51	0.72	9	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL022***	[1539]	[1972]	[434]	[0.45]	[0.67]	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL012	1654	1980	327	0.57	0.85	26	0	1654	1980	327	0.59	0.91	25	0
Abies-Abeti-Soprani*	[1838]	[2005]	[168]	[0.53]	[0.50]	11	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL016	1844	1980	137	0.54	0.84	17	0	1844	1980	137	0.43	0.75	15	0
ITRDBITAL001	1750	1987	238	0.52	0.77	16	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL002*	1878	1988	111	0.51	0.72	16	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAIBA*	[1866]	[2007]	[142]	[0.51]	[0.55]	13	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITRDBITAL011	1800	1980	181	0.58	0.85	20	0	1800	1980	181	0.54	0.84	18	0
ITRDBITAL015	1415	1980	566	0.58	0.95	22	0	1441	1980	540	0.50	0.76	21	0
ITRDBITAL010	1790	1980	191	0.53	0.76	19	0	1790	1980	191	0.50	0.85	18	0
ITRDBITAL013	1773	1980	208	0.57	0.88	20	0	1795	1980	186	0.44	0.78	18	0
ITRDBITAL019	1779	1989	211	0.54	0.82	16	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Parco-Abruzzo	[1716]	[2008]	[293]	[0.36]	[0.73]	3	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Gargano	[1821]	[2009]	[189]	[0.23]	[0.42]	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Montedimezzo	1844	2005	162	0.67	0.85	15	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cervialto-FASY	[1828]	[2003]	[176]	[0.39]	[0.52]	10	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Cilento	[1837]	[2007]	[171]	[0.41]	[0.26]	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QCIBG*; ***	[1897]	[2013]	[117]	[0.60]	[0.66]	9	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QFIMP1	1851	2013	163	0.50	0.78	34	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QFIMP2	1854	2013	160	0.55	0.79	34	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Sila	[1854]	[2009]	[156]	[0.30]	[0.21]	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fagus-Parco-Aspromonte	[1874]	[2009]	[136]	[0.27]	[-0.42]	5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	**1785******	**1989******	**205******	**0.55******	**0.80******	**385**	**10**	**1750**	**1980**	**231**	***0.55***	**0.83**	***148***	**0**
	mean	mean	mean	mean r	mean EPS	sum (all sites)	sum (all sites)	mean	mean	mean	mean r	mean EPS	*sum*	sum (all sites)

Table 3: Reconstruction statistics computed for both regressions and scaling over the inverted subperiods of calibration and verification. RE = Reduction of error; CE = Coefficient of efficiency.

			Regression		Scaling	
		R^2	RE	CE	RE	CE
Calib.	1781-1880	0.377				
Verif	1881-1980		0.472	0.289	0.543	0.384
Calib.	1881-1980	0.510				
Verif	1781-1880		0.390	0.206	0.214	-0.023

Table 4: Intercorrelation between reconstructed temperature series of late summer (AS; Trouet, 2014; Leonelli et al., this study) and of summer (JAS; Klesse et al., 2015) based on tree-ring MXD in the study region. The correlation coefficients were calculated over the maximum common period 1711-1980, for both z-scores and 20 yr filtered series.

	AS Temp - TROUET_MXD		**AS Temp** - LEONELLI_MXD_scaling	
	z-scores	20 yr gaussian	z-scores	20 yr gaussian
AS Temp - LEONELLI_MXD_scaling	0.86	0.77	-	-
JAS Temp - KLESSE_MXD	0.73	0.67	0.58	0.71



Figure 1: Distribution of the tree-ring sites from central and southern Italy available to the NEXTDATA project and used in this study. Sites were subdivided by the type of tree (conifer or broadleaf), the type of parameter (RW or MXD) and the type of data used (site chronology or only tree-ring series).



Figure 2: Monthly mean temperatures and precipitations over the period of 1880-2014 for all sites considered in this study. For both temperature and precipitation, the error bars indicate one standard deviation; for precipitation, the seasonal percentages of precipitation with respect to the mean annual value (= 1433 mm) are reported.


MXD conifer
RW conifer
RW broadleaf
A
B
C
A'
B'
C'
A''
B''
C''
1
2
3
4
5
6
62.5% Abies alba
25.0% Pinus nigra
12.5% Pinus leucodermis
36.4% Abies alba
27.2% Pinus nigra
18.2% Pinus pinea
9.1% Pinus leucodermis
9.1% Pinus sp.
50.0% Quercus frainetto
25.0% Quercus robur
25.0% Fagus sylvatica
correlation coefficient (r)
# of sites with significant r values
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
-0.2
-0.4
-0.6
-0.8
-1
10
0
MAR T
APR T
MAY T
JUN T
JUL T
AUG T
SEP T
OCT T
NOV T
DEC T
Jan T
Feb T
Mar T
Apr T
May T
Jun T
Jul T
Aug T
Sep T
MAR P
APR P
MAY P
JUN P
JUL P
AUG P
SEP P
OCT P
NOV P
DEC P
Jan P
Feb P
Mar P
Apr P
May P
Jun P
Jul P
Aug P
Sep P
MAR S
APR S
MAY S
JUN S
JUL S
AUG S
SEP S
OCS S
OCT S
NOV S
DEC S
Jan S
Feb S
Mar S
Apr S
May S
Jun S
Jul S
Aug S
Sep S

Figure 3: Bootstrapped correlation analysis performed over the common period of 1880-1980, considering chronologies of conifer MXD (left column; 3A, 3B and 3C), of conifer RW (center; 3A', 3B' and 3C') and of broadleaf RW (right; 3A'', 3B'' and 3C'') vs. monthly temperature (A letters, first row), precipitation (B letters) and SPI_3 (C letters) from March of the year prior to growth to September of the year of growth. In A, A' and A'' the percentages of the species composing the pool for each site used for the analysis is reported.

Means of statistically significant ($p<0.05$) correlation coefficient values (r) are depicted with squares, whereas maximum and minimum significant r values are indicated with gray lines; the blue lines depict the total number of sites in each comparison and the green lines indicate the total number of sites with statistically significant r values. Black-filled squares are given for those variables that show significant correlation values for at least 50 % of the total sites and have $|\overline{r}| > 0.25$; where both conditions occur, a circled number in the plot is given and the comparisons are selected for the following moving correlation analysis (Fig. 5). In each plot the climate variables with the highest number of sites with significant r values and nearby variables showing up to ¼ of this number are depicted with a black area.



Figure 4: Ordination biplot (RDA analysis) of climate-growth relationships (response variables, Y) and environmental settings (explanatory variables X: elevation and climatic averages over the period 1880-1980) (4A). Site fitness (SF; Leonelli et al., 2016) and total series per site (grey line) (4B). Sites are ordered with decreasing latitude along the x-axis. Mean SF values for each species, and the respective standard deviations, are also reported. ABAL = *Abies alba*; PILE = *Pinus lucodermis*; PINI = *Pinus nigr*a.



Figure 5: Bootstrapped moving correlation analysis with a 60 yr time window, performed over the maximum period available for the HSTC chronologies and their respective climate variables (temperature, precipitation and SPI_3) selected in the previous analysis (circled numbers as in Fig. 3). The statistically significant values ($p<0.05$) of r are depicted by bold lines.



Figure 6: Reconstruction of late summer (August and September) temperature using the conifer MXD chronology with the scaling approach for the period 1650-1980 (6A). The bold black line indicates the total number of series (composed by a number of *Abies alba* (thin black line), *Pinus leucodermis* (dashed line) and *P. nigra* (dotted line) specimens). The low-pass filtered series with a 20 yr Gaussian smoother for both the reconstructions based on scaling and regression are also depicted (6B). The reconstructions were truncated when there was fewer than 5 trees (EPS < 0.7 in the conifer MXD chronology), and the gray areas in the graphs depict the periods where the reconstruction is based on less than 9 trees (prior to 1711, when the conifer MXD chronology shows an EPS < 0.8; EPS > 0.85 since 1729). A comparison of the reconstructed late summer temperature (this paper) with the ones of Trouet (2014) and Klesse et al. (2015) using z-scores series (calculated over the common period 1711-1980 with EPS > 0.8 in all the original chronologies), filtered with a 20 yr Gaussian low-pass filter (6C). At the bottom the annual mean of stratospheric aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 550 nm for the Northern Hemisphere is reported (6D); dataset available at https://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/strataer/ ; site accessed 2017-05-30; the red triangles mark major volcanic eruptions (Volcanic Explosivity Index ≥ 6): in chronological order Kolumbo-Santorini, Grímsvötn, Source unknown, Mount Tambora, Krakatau, Santa María, Novarupta, Mount Pinatubo.



Figure 7: Spatial correlation pattern of the reconstructed late summer temperature (using the MXD chronology from the Italian Peninsula) versus the 0.5° grid CRU TS/E-OBS 13.1 August-September mean temperature, over the period of 1901-1980; correlation coefficient values of $r > 0.29$ are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$).