

## Response File – Climate of the Past Manuscript cp-2017-33

---

My co-authors and I would like to thank the Reviewer #1 and Reviewer #2 for their comments and suggestions on our submitted manuscript. Here, I would like to provide a point-by-point response and addition details on the comments. Author responses are shown in *bold italics*.

### RAPPORT #1

1. Page 2, line 28, change "dynamic: to dynamics"

*Response: This is corrected in the revised version.*

2. Page 2, line 32, is "Wei et Lohmann" correct?

*Response: This is corrected.*

3. Page 4, line 6, what is no9? Is this correct? There are a few other "no?" If this is correct, it is fine. Otherwise, please correct them.

*Response: "no9" seems to be a problem with the conversion to PDF. This is corrected in the revised version.*

4. Page 4, line 32, add space between "The" and "climate"

*Response: This is added in the revised version.*

5. Page 5, line 40, no38, no39, check

*Response: "no38" and "no39" seem to be a problem with the conversion to PDF. This is corrected in the revised version.*

### RAPPORT #2

1. The authors combine archives/proxies (ice core, tree rings, lake cores, a speleothem and some marine records) that respond to different seasons (winter, spring, summer, annual) and different climate variables (temperature, precipitation, SST, ice cover, p-e etc.). The authors acknowledge the weak relationship with mean annual temperature in many of these proxies, and consequently choose to use the raw uncalibrated data. This data is then normalized (see point 4 below) and then combined into 3 regional time-series. By mixing seasons and climate variables into one metric for 'climate', it is not clear what the resulting combined record represents, or how it should be interpreted. The authors appear to discuss the combined

record as if it were comparable to mean annual temperature, but it seems more likely that the 3 composite records reflect the complex amalgamation of temporal, spatial and variable bias of the component records of each dataset. I agree that major impacts on the climate system such as greenhouse gas induced global warming can be found in many different elements of the climate system, over large regions and through different seasons, but this type of forcing is quite exceptional over the last 2000 years. This makes the last 100 years a poor analogue for justifying this type of approach over longer time scales in the past, and explains why records that show good coherence over the last 100 years show much greater variance over longer time periods.

***Response: We agree with Referee #2 that the used of archives/proxies, that respond to different seasons to propose only one annual mean record, may have impact on reconstructed signal and its interpretation. Seasonality of the palaeoclimate time series is an important issue, especially in the Arctic-subarctic area for which climate is mainly linked to the variability of seasonal sea-ice cover (e.g. Deser et al., 2010; Screen et al., 2013). Because we are aware of this problem, we actually work on the impact of mixing time series with different seasonality into a global record. This work will be the subject of a paper that will be published in a close future.***

***References:***

- Deser, C., Tomas, R., Alexander, M., & Lawrence, D. (2010). The seasonal atmospheric response to projected Arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century. Journal of Climate, 23(2), 333-351.***
- Screen, J. A., Simmonds, I., Deser, C., & Tomas, R. (2013). The atmospheric response to three decades of observed Arctic sea ice loss. Journal of Climate, 26(4), 1230-1248.***

2. The authors combine these sites/records into 3 regional records. It is not clear how and why these regions were chosen. A basic assumption is that all of the sites in the one area should be responding in the same way to the same climate phenomena. The problem is that this assumption may not hold true, especially if changes in atmospheric or ocean circulation are involved that involve positive and negative responses within the same region. For instance, the NAO is the primary mode of temperature variability in the authors 'Atlantic' region. A positive (negative) NAO would result in a positive (negative) temperature anomaly at sites located in Scandinavia, and a negative (positive) temperature anomaly for sites located in Greenland and NE Canada. By combing sites from both these areas into one

region, the overall climate response when viewed through the composite of the records will be neutralized. The authors show a similar example for the late Holocene trend in their Siberian data, where sites show contrary trends.

*Response: Since the publication of the Arctic 2k database (McKay and Kaufman, 2014), reconstructions of climate variability obtained from the database were published either at the global arctic scale either for a specific region (Scandinavia) (Linderholm et al., 2015). This both spatial scale do not allowed to take into account the role of climatic processes on arctic climate that are well known to have regional climatic impacts nowadays (e.g. climatic oscillations as AMO or PDO). We choose a regional approach to refine the spatial comprehension of climate variability in the Arctic area.*

*The spatial density of the dataset was the first argument to group the series into three regions, but the regional impact of climatic oscillation observed in instrumental data and found the literature allows to justify that this grouping has a currently climatic reality.*

*We agree with Referee #2 indeed the assumption: “all sites in the one area should be responding in the same way to the same climate phenomena” is not hold true. That’s why the study of spatial expression of the climate variability into each region will be made, especially in the North Atlantic sector, but in another paper.*

#### **References:**

*Linderholm H.W., Björklund J., Seftigen K., Gunnarson B.E. and Fuentes M.: Fennoscandia revisited: a spatially improved tree-ring reconstruction of summer temperatures for the last 900 years, *Climate Dynamics* , 45(3), 933-947, doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2328-9 2015.*

*McKay, N.P. and Kaufman, D.S.: An extended Arctic proxy temperature database for the past 2,000 years, *Sci. Data* 1:140026, doi: 10.1038/sdata.2014.26, 2014.*

3. Another problem is the varying length of the records, more especially the annual records (Figure 1). Combining sites of different record lengths across a spatial network will generate variability irrespective of any actual climate change, simply through variable spatial sampling. The authors do not appear to have addressed this problem, perhaps by monte-carlo sampling of the dataset to show the robustness of the reconstruction to the recombination of the sites from which it is composed. This problem may also have an impact on the variance (Figure 2). Averaging together more sites will generally decrease the variance, so therefore

the number of site/records will influence the variance as well as the climate. Most of the time series appear to show increased variance in the past when fewer records were available.

*Response: We agree with this comment. Combining more records will result in reduction of variance as noise tends to cancel out. Only one time-series (Alaska record) seems to display more variance during the period when a lower number of records are available (yet, we agree that the same effect may also affect the other signals even if it is not visible from the figure, as the variance may have been lower initially in the “real” global-scale signal). Another issue which occurs when producing a mean record is related to the different sampling frequencies among the constitutive individual records. In order to account for heterogeneous sampling among the individual records and test the robustness of the “mean record” approach, one solution might be to add noise to fill gaps in the data. However, choosing the more consistent statistical characteristics of the noise to be added remains uneasy. Either red or white noise could be used, but such a choice should be driven by the data itself, e.g. by simulating ARIMA process based on the data. Yet, one would need high-frequency data available during a certain period of time for all individual records in order to determine appropriate parameters for each individual record ARIMA model. In the case of this study, lack of data in some individual records prevents from consistently develop this approach in our opinion, unless noise simulated from other records would be used to fill gaps in shorter/lower frequency sampled records, which would also be questionable. This would need to address properly as an entire work specifically dedicated to the question of database consistency of palaeoclimate records. We do not think this can be developed in the current version of this paper.*

*To alert the reader concerning the interpretation of a regional record which combine series with different length and resolution, two sentences are added in the new version of the manuscript: “The 0-750 AD period of the Alaska regional averaged record is also the result of low number of time series. This period should be interpret with caution.” (p. 4 - L.17) and “This study clearly underline the necessity to increase the number of series, especially in Alaska and Siberian areas.” (p.9 – L.14).*

4. The use of normalization. The authors first normalize the records before combining them. This allows proxies that reflect different climate parameters (temperature, precipitation etc) to be combined based on the same units, but at the same time this removes information about

the magnitude of change. For instance, is it right to view (for instance) a 20mm increase in precipitation in one record as the same as a 1C increase in temperature in another record? Similarly, should one site that shows a 1C increase have the same weight as another record that shows a 10C increase for the same event?

***Response: It is not possible to view a precipitation proxy serie combined with another temperature serie into a same regional record because all proxy series used in this study are from the Arctic 2k database. All the series contains into this database respond to criteria, especially to be sensitive to temperature variations (McKay and Kaufman, 2014). Concerning the loss of information about the magnitude of changes, the aim of the study is not to produce climatic reconstruction but the understanding of the variability in terms of frequencies, not amplitude. Because our interest is the timing of the events to see if a global pacing is available, we used the original proxy data and not the climatic reconstruction associated to produce our regional records. Moreover, using normalized data and so working with qualitative records allows us to eliminate amplitude errors that can occur due to the use of transfer functions to transform proxy series into quantitative climatic reconstruction. For example, the problem of amplitude errors in reconstruction is highlighted by Bernard et al. (in press) with marine paleotemperatures proxies.***

This also has consequences for when these records are combined, since the sign of the response may not be in the same direction. For instance if a climatic event causes warming and drying in a certain area, a temperature sensitive record will show a (positive) increase in temperature, but a precipitation sensitive record will show a (negative) decrease in precipitation, potentially cancelling each other out when these records are combined into the 'regional' record. As a result of these issues, I am not sure what if anything we can make of the variability recorded in the composite records

***Response: We agree with the reviewer concerning the potentially cancellation of fluctuation due to the opposite sign of the proxies response. However, we only study the timing of the events, not the amplitude or the phase, and our regional mean records highlight fluctuations frequencies that occurring at multidecadal scales, especially during the last two centuries. It would be interesting to study the spatial and temporal expression of the climatic patterns associated but this will be do into another study.***

***References:***

*Bernard S., Daval D., Ackerer P., Pont S., Meibom A. Burial-induced oxygen-isotope re-equilibration of fossil foraminifera explains ocean paleotemperature paradoxes. Nature Communications, in press.*

*McKay, N.P. and Kaufman, D.S.: An extended Arctic proxy temperature database for the past 2,000 years, Sci. Data 1:140026, doi: 10.1038/sdata.2014.26, 2014.*

More specific issues:

1. Page 1, row 19-20: Cooling is visible in the Siberian region at two sites, and warming at the others.

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

2. Page 1, row 24-25: ..did not [a] show {a} relationship..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

3. Page 1, row 29: [apparently] {probably}

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

4. Page 4, row 12: ..due to local effect{s}

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

5. Page 6, paragraph 1: Alkenone v Diatom records also show substantial divergence during the Holocene, other factors include sensitivity to different depths (Jansen et al 2009 The Early to Mid-Holocene Thermal Optimum in the North Atlantic, Hessler et al 2014 Implication of methodological uncertainties for Mid-Holocene sea surface temperature reconstructions).

***Response: We would like to thanks the referee #2 for his help to understand the trend difference between Alkenone and Diatom records. This remark is included in the revised version.***

6. Page 7, row 15: ..difficulty [to] {in} distinguishing..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

7. Page 7, row 17: ..to [the] {a} negative..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

8. Page 7, row 19: ..in [a] palaeohydrological..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

9. Page 7, row 27: ..in all region[s]..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

10. Page 8, paragraph 2: The instrumental period barely covers a couple of oscillations of periodicity 50-90 years. This is the advantage of this type of study if it can actually demonstrate periodicities are persistent on longer timescales.

***Response: A sentence about the necessity to extend the study throughout the last 2000 years is added in the conclusion.***

11. Page 8, row 18: ..which [an] {are} important..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

12. Page 8, row 21: ..in [the] sea ice..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

13. Page 8, row 22: ..in [the] sea ice..

***Response: This is corrected in the revised version.***

14. Page 9, paragraph 3: I think you should make it clearer that the dataset is a mix of climate variables and seasonal sensitivities, and that while at time similar to the mean annual temperature trend, it cannot be said that it is a record of annual mean temperature.

***Response: A new sentence about the mix of archives/proxies with different climatic sensitivity is added.***

15. Page 9, row 20: As far as I understand it, the AMO is a marine phenomena and it has not been shown to be recorded in the terrestrial record.

***Response: Yes the AMO corresponds to a sea surface temperature anomaly but the link between instrumental AMO index and Arctic surface temperature was highlighted by Fyfe et al. (2013). The authors found that “the observed AMO signal explains about 16% of the standard deviation of Arctic-average monthly-average surface temperature”. Here we show that this observed AMO signal can also be recorded in paleoclimate data.***

***Reference: Fyfe, J. C., Von Salzen, K., Gillett, N. P., Arora, V. K., Flato, G. M., & McConnell, J. R. (2013). One hundred years of Arctic surface temperature variation due to anthropogenic influence. Scientific reports, 3.***

## **DATA STEWARDHIP TEAM RECOMMENDATION**

1. Expand the data availability section to explain that: Citations to the persistent identifiers for the original data used in this study are listed in Table S1.

***Response: The Data Availability section is extended.***

2. Add data citations or URLs to the original dataset for each of the records used in this study (Table S1). These can be found in version 2 of the global dataset (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2017, Scientific Data).

***Response: Table S1 is modified: list of original references and data URL are added.***

3. Submit the primary outcome of this study, the composite time series by region (Fig. 2) to a public repository and include the Data Citation/URL in "Data Availability".

***Response: The three regional time series presented are submit to a public repository and are now available at doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.5616310. The Data Availability section is extended and include the URL link to obtain the dataset.***

**List of relevant changes made in the manuscript:**

All reviewers' comments are taking into account in the new version of the manuscript.

Supplementary material was also modified to respond to the data team comments.

# Climate variability in the subarctic area for the last two millennia

Marie Nicolle<sup>1</sup>, Maxime Debret<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Massei<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Colin<sup>2</sup>, Anne deVernal<sup>3</sup>, Dmitry Divine<sup>4,5</sup>, Johannes P. Werner<sup>6</sup>, Anne Hormes<sup>7</sup>, Atte Korhola<sup>8</sup> and Hans W. Linderholm<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, UNICAEN, CNRS, M2C, 76000 Rouen, France

5 <sup>2</sup> GEOPS, CNRS, University of Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

<sup>3</sup> Centre de recherche en géochimie et géodynamique (Geotop), Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø, Norway

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

<sup>6</sup> Bjerknes Center for Climate Research and Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

10 <sup>7</sup> University of Gothenburg, Department of Earth Sciences, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>8</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Environmental Change Research Unit (ECRU), University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 65, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

<sup>9</sup> Regional Climate Group, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, 40530 Gothenburg, Sweden

*Correspondence to:* Marie Nicolle (marie.nicolle2@univ-rouen.fr)

15 **Abstract.** To put recent climate change in perspective, it is necessary to extend the instrumental climate records with proxy data from palaeoclimate archives. Arctic climate variability for the last two millennia has been investigated using statistical and signal analyses from three regionally averaged records from the North Atlantic, Siberia and Alaska based on many types of proxy data archived in the Arctic 2k database. In the North Atlantic and Alaska areas, the major climatic trend is characterized by long-term cooling interrupted by recent warming that started at the beginning of the 19th century. This cooling  
20 ~~trend is not clearly~~ visible in the Siberian region at two sites, warming at the others. The cooling of the Little Ice Age (LIA) was identified from the individual series, but it is characterized by wide range spatial and temporal expression of climate variability, in contrary to the Medieval Climate Anomaly. The LIA started at the earliest by around 1200 AD and ended at the latest in the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The widespread temporal coverage of the LIA did not show regional consistency or particular spatial distribution and did not ~~a~~ show a relationship with archive/proxy type either. A focus on the last two centuries  
25 shows a recent warming characterized by a well-marked warming trend paralleling with increasing greenhouse gas emissions. It also shows a multi-decadal variability likely due to natural processes acting on the internal climate system on a regional scale. A ~16-30 years cycle is found in Alaska and seems to be linked to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, whereas ~20-30 and ~50-90 years periodicities characterize the North Atlantic climate variability, likely in relation with the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. These regional features are ~~apparently~~ probably linked to the sea-ice cover fluctuations through ice-temperature  
30 positive feedback.

## 1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the Industrial era, the global average temperature has increased by about 1°C and recent decades have been the warmest in the last 1400 years (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013; IPCC, 2013). The warming is more pronounced at high

latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere than in other parts of the Earth (Serreze and Barry, 2011; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013), being more than twice the rate and magnitude in the Arctic than the global average (Cohen et al., 2014). To place this warming in the perspective of long-term natural climate variability, the instrumental time series are not sufficient and it is necessary to extend the meteorological measurements back in time with proxy data from in palaeoclimate archives (ice cores, tree-rings, lake sediments, speleothems, marine sediments and historical series).

Over the last decade, extensive efforts have been made to collect and compile palaeoclimate available data to reconstruct past climate variability on regional, hemispheric and global scales. Most temperature reconstructions include different types of archives and proxies (Morberg et al., 2005; Mann et al., 2009; Kaufman et al., 2009; Ljungqvist, 2010; Marcott et al., 2013) and some studies focused on a single palaeoclimate archive type and/or area (e.g. McGregor et al., 2015 for oceans; Weissbach et al., 2016 for ice core; Wilson et al., 2016 for tree rings). In the Arctic and Subarctic area (90-60°N), several multi-proxy reconstructions of temperatures encompassing the last two millennia were published on a global (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013; McKay and Kaufman, 2014; Werner et al., 2017) and regional scale (Hanhijärvi et al., 2013). The annual resolution of these reconstructions allows the study of the climate variability from low frequencies (i.e., millennial and multi-centennial fluctuations) to high frequencies such as decadal variations.

Climatic reconstructions highlighted a millennial cooling trend associated with the monotonic reduction in summer insolation at high northern latitudes, and a reversal marked by an important warming of more than 1°C consistent with the increase of greenhouse gas since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century (e.g. Kaufman et al., 2009; Pages 2k Consortium, 2013). The long-term cooling trend correlates with the millennial-scale summer insolation reduction at high northern latitudes (Kaufman et al., 2009) but an increased frequency of volcanic events during the last millennium may also have concurred and contributed to the cooling episodes that occurred after 1000 AD (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013; Sigl et al., 2015).

Superimposed to the long-term climate fluctuation, continental-scale temperature reconstructions in the Northern Hemisphere highlight major climatic warming and cooling pulses during the last millennium, with relatively warm conditions during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA, 950-1250 AD, Mann et al., 2009) and a cold Little Ice Age (LIA, 1400-1700 AD, Mann et al., 2009) period. The LIA is, however, characterized by an important spatial and temporal variability, particularly visible on a more regional scale (e.g. Pages 2k Consortium, 2013). It has been attributed to a combination of natural external forcings (solar activity and large volcanic eruptions) and internal sea-ice/ocean feedback, which fostered long-standing effects of short-lived volcanic events (Miller et al., 2012).

Arctic-subarctic multidecadal climate variability is also influenced by internal climatic system dynamics such as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) or the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), which may impact temperatures and sea-ice cover fluctuations (Chylek et al., 2009). The reconstruction of these oscillations with paleoclimate records offers the possibility to explore the linkages between the internal climate variability and the Arctic-subarctic climate over the last two millennia (e.g. Knudsen et al., 2014; Miles et al., 2014; Wei and Lohmann, 2012).

In this study, we explore the regional expression of the Arctic-subarctic climate variability during the last two millennia using statistical and wavelet analysis. To do so, we define three regions, North Atlantic, Alaska and Siberia, from which we

calculated climatic variations. Hence, the regional mean records allowed us to determine if the timing of the long-term and secular (MCA and LIA) climatic fluctuations which occur at the global Arctic-subarctic scale are also characteristic of the regional climate variability. Special attention is given to the last two centuries, with the comparison between the three regional mean records and instrumental climate index, to determine the influence of internal climate variability but also the ability of  
5 paleoclimate series to reproduce decadal to multidecadal variability observed in instrumental data.

## 2. Paleoclimate data

The records used in this study were compiled by the Arctic 2k working group of the Past Global Changes (PAGES) research program. This working group released a database comprising 56 proxy records for the Arctic area (version 1.1.1, McKay and Kaufman, 2014). The database contains all available records that meet data quality criteria concerning location (from north of  
10 60°N), time coverage (extending back to at least 1500 A.D.), mean resolution (better than 50 years), and dating control (at least one age control point every 500 years) (Fig. 1a). See Table S1 in supplementary material for more information about each site (cf. also McKay and Kaufman, 2014).

Proxy records are from different archive types. Most are continental archives with very reliable chronologies (16 ice cores, 13 tree rings, 19 lake sediment cores and 1 speleothem). Six records are from marine archives and one is a historic record (months  
15 of ice cover). Among the 56 records, 35 have an annual resolution (Fig. 1b). Hence, the high temporal resolution of the Arctic 2k database series offers the possibility to study the high frequency climate variability of the last two millennia, assuming that the proxy record climate variability and the archiving process do not induce a bias in the multi-annual to centennial frequencies analyzed.

The database has been built from palaeoclimate proxy series with demonstrated relationship to temperature variability. All the  
20 proxy data used have been published in peer-reviewed journals and the sensitivity of each proxy record to temperature was evidenced either statistically (e.g. correlation with instrumental temperature data) or mechanistically with the description of the processes through which the proxy has shown its sensitivity to temperature change (McKay and Kaufman, 2014).

Our review of the original publications presenting the data used to develop the Arctic 2k database led us to raise some concerns about the actual temperature controls on proxy. In some case, the correlation between proxy measurements and instrumental  
25 temperatures is significant but weak, with a correlation coefficient lower than 0.5 (e.g. Bird et al., 2009; D'Arrigo et al., 2005; D'Arrigo et al., 2009, Spielhagen et al., 2011; Wiles et al., 2014). Such weak relationships suggest that the variability recorded by the proxies are not exclusively linked to the mean annual temperature but probably also relate to other parameters, climatic or not. In some cases, the authors clearly state that the relationship is not strong enough for reconstructing high resolution variations (D'Arrigo et al., 2005). As there are such uncertainties in the assumed temperature control on proxy, whatever the  
30 archive type, we choose to work on the original proxy records directly and not on temperature reconstructions derived from them.

### 3. Regional approach

The spatial distribution of the series highlights heterogeneity (Fig. 1a). among the 56 series of the Arctic 2k database, 40 (71%) are from the North Atlantic sector, including Scandinavia, Iceland, Greenland and Canadian Arctic, while ~~9 (16%)~~ represent the Alaska region and 5 (9%) the Siberia. This high spatial discrepancy raises the question of the influence of the over-weighting of the North Atlantic sector on the global Arctic signal. Therefore, to avoid a regional bias, we divide the Arctic area into three sectors (Fig. 2a). The ~~Arc 9 record~~ located in the central part of the Canadian Arctic, between the North Atlantic and Alaska, was finally included to the North Atlantic regional mean record due to the correlation between ring width and Canadian-North American temperatures highlighted by the authors (D'Arrigo et al., 2009). ~~The number of time series used for the Siberian regional averaged record is very low, with only 5 series for a large area and the statistical representativeness of the data is thus questionable.~~

Calculating regionally averaged records allows us to investigate the common spatial climate signal of each region and reduce the noise of individual records due to local effects (e.g. Weissbach et al., 2016). Before calculating each regional mean record, all records were standardized over the whole record to report the variations in terms of the standard deviation, which permits comparison of the records with each other, regardless of the parameters and unit values of independent records. The number of data points used to calculate each regional mean record is also indicated (Fig. 2 e-g). The number of time series used for the Siberian regional averaged record is very low, with only 5 series for a large area and the statistical representativeness of the data is thus questionable. The 0-750 AD period of the Alaska regional averaged record is also the result of low number of time series. This period should be interpret with caution.

The three regional mean records based on the spatial distribution of the series were calculated and then compared with a global Arctic mean record presented in Figure 3.

The correlation between the global Arctic record and the mean North Atlantic record shows a particularly strong relationship (Figure 4b,  $r^2 = 0.81$ , p-value  $\ll 0.05$ ). Correlations are weaker between the Arctic mean and the regional average Alaska record (Figure 4b,  $r^2 = 0.23$ , p-value  $\ll 0.05$ ) or the regional mean Siberian record (Figure 4c,  $r^2 = 0.16$ , p-value  $\ll 0.05$ ). The strong influence of the spatial distribution of data on the global mean Arctic record is also highlighted by the wavelet coherence analysis (see Appendix A for the method description). Wavelet coherence spectra revealed much stronger coherence between the North Atlantic sector and the global Arctic mean than for the two other regions, particularly at low-frequency with common variabilities for periods around 200 (170-220 years) and 500 years (395-540 years), which occur during the last two millennia (Fig. 4d). The coherence spectra between global Arctic mean record and the Alaska regional record shows a significant periodicity around 200 years, for an interval mostly spanning from 1330 to 1900 AD (Fig. 4e). The coherence wavelet spectra between the global Arctic mean and the Siberian mean record does not highlight significant periodicity around the centennial scale except after 1680 AD (Fig. 4f). The comparison between regional mean records and the global Arctic subarctic record highlights climate variability dominated by the North Atlantic signal, which is normal because of the much higher number of

time series available in this area. For this reason, we decided to study the Arctic-subarctic climate variability for the last two millennia with a regional approach.

The grouping into the three regions (i.e. North Atlantic, Alaska and Siberia) is justified by present day regional climate. The climate of the Arctic-subarctic is influenced by the Atlantic and the Pacific oceans, which experience internal variability on different timescales with specific regional climate impacts. In the North Atlantic sector, instrumental sea surface temperature (SST) variations since 1860 AD highlight low-frequency oscillations known as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (Kerr, 2000). The AMO corresponds to the alternation of warm and cool anomalies, which have considerable impact on the regional climate over the Atlantic, North America and Western Europe (e.g. Enfield et al., 2001; Sutton and Hodson, 2005; Knight et al., 2006; Assani et al., 2011). In the North Pacific, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) drives the multidecadal variability (Mantua et al., 1997). It is defined as the leading principal component of monthly SST in the North Pacific Ocean (poleward of 20°N) (Mantua et al., 1997; Mantua and Hare, 2002). Positive phases of PDO are associated with precipitation deficit and positive temperature anomalies in the northwest United States (U.S.) and precipitation increases in southern Alaska and south western U.S. (Mantua and Hare 2002; Zhang and Delworth, 2015). Conditions are reversed during negative PDO phases.

## 15 4. Regional climate variability during the last two millennia

### 4.1. Long-term tendencies

Regional mean records for the three sectors and their corresponding 50-year LOESS filtering are presented in Figures 2 and 3. The North Atlantic and Alaska regional records show well-marked and significant decrease before the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century:  $\tau=-0.28$  ( $p<<0.01$ ; Fig. 5b) and  $\tau=-0.42$  ( $p<<0.01$ ; Fig. 5c), respectively. In the Siberian region, no decrease is recorded (20  $\tau=-0.02$ ,  $p=0.20$ ; Fig. 5d). These trends are also shown from the analysis of all individual records from that region (Fig. 5a and table S2). All the regions are characterized by significant warming after the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century:  $\tau=0.40$  ( $p<<0.01$ ) for the North Atlantic,  $\tau=0.48$  ( $p<<0.01$ ) for Alaska and  $\tau=0.45$  ( $p<<0.01$ ) for Siberia.

The Subarctic North Atlantic regional record is characterized by two different trends. The first millennium does not show long-term fluctuations. However, it is marked by a cold event pulse at ~675 AD, which is depicted in the multi-proxy reconstruction of Hanhijärvi et al. (2013) from the Arctic Atlantic region and coincided with the occurrence of volcanic events (Sigl et al., 2015). The second millennium is characterized by a well-marked decrease, particularly clear after ~1250 AD, and ending at ~1810 AD with the onset of the recent warming phase (Fig. 5b).

The first millennium in the Alaska region is characterized by a pronounced decrease in temperatures until ~660 AD followed by an increase until the beginning of the second millennium (Fig. 5c). The cold minimum at ~ 660 AD is recorded in three 30 time series over the five available. During the interval between ~1000 and ~1530 AD, temperatures decreased markedly, followed by a period of slight increase, before the recent warming starting at ~1840 AD in the Alaska area.

Contrary to the subarctic North Atlantic and the Alaska regional mean records, the Siberian regional mean record does not show apparent differences in temperature trend between the first and the second millennium (Fig. 5d). The recent warming is well-marked in the Siberian area and started at ~1820 AD. Notable warm events occurred at ~250 AD, ~990 AD and ~1020 AD.

- 5 In the subarctic North Atlantic, the analysis of individual time series revealed inconsistencies between the data from the marine [Arc 38](#) record-n°38, which is based on diatoms (Berner et al., 2011) and that of [Arc 39](#) record-n°39, which is based on alkenones (Calvo et al., 2002). The two data sets are from the same marine core (MD 95-2011) but suggest opposite trends before 1810 AD (Table S2). Data from record n°38 shows a significant decrease ( $\tau=-0.18$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) whereas those from record 39 presents a slight, but non-significant increase ( $\tau=0.14$ ,  $p=0.14$ ). Different sensitivity to seasonal temperatures possibly
- 10 explains the difference between the two records as previously reported from the Nordic Seas (van Nieuwenhove et al., 2016). In the Arctic-subarctic areas, diatoms often relate to spring bloom, whereas alkenones are produced by coccolithophorids, which develop during the warmest part of the summer (e.g. Andruleit, 1997). [Divergence between Alkenone and Diatom records was also observed during the Holocene and related to sensitivity to different depths \(Jansen et al., 2008; Hessler et al., 2014\).](#)
- 15 Except for some time series that record warming trends, which can be explained by local effects or differential seasonal responses, most individual series and regional mean records show decreasing trends before the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. The millennial-scale cooling trend is consistent with previously published reconstructions from the North Atlantic (Hanhijärvi et al., 2013), Arctic (Kaufman et al., 2009; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013; McKay and Kaufman, 2014) and the Northern Hemisphere (e.g. Morberg et al, 2005; Mann et al., 2008). A robust global cooling trend ending at about 1800 AD was also
- 20 observed in regional paleoceanographic reconstructions (McGregor et al., 2015). The millennial cooling trend has been attributed to the reduction in summer insolation at high northern latitudes since the beginning of the Holocene (Kaufman et al., 2009), and associated with volcanic and solar forcings, notably during the last millennia (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013; Stoffel et al., 2015). Whereas previous studies date the transition between the long-term cooling and the recent warming at the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (e.g. Mann et al., 2008; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013), we identified here that the cooling trend
- 25 ended between 1810 and 1840 AD. The evidence of Industrial-era warming starting earlier at the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century was proposed by Abram et al. (2016) for the entire Arctic area. However, the intense volcanic activity of 19<sup>th</sup> century (1809, 1815, and around 1840, Sigl et al., 2015) may also explain the apparent early warming trend suggesting that it may have been recovery from an exceptionally cool phase. At the scale of the Holocene, internal fluctuations occurring at a millennial scale have been identified in the subarctic North Atlantic area and were tentatively related to ocean dynamics (Debret et al., 2007,
- 30 Mjell et al., 2015). Therefore, to better understand the cooling trend of the last two millennia in a larger temporal context, taking into account the role of oceanic variability on the long-term temperature variations, a longer time series encompassing the entire Holocene would be useful.

## 4.2. Secular variability

Long-term change is not the only variability mode that defines the last two millennial climate, which was also characterized by long-standing climatic events such as the LIA and the MCA. Here, we intend to summarize the expression of the LIA and the MCA in the Arctic-subarctic area based on the Arctic 2k records. The timing of these two periods, which we identified in most but not all of the series used in this study, is taken from the original publications. The tables that list the beginning and end of the LIA and the MCA are available in the supplementary material.

The MCA corresponds to a relatively warm period occurring between 950 and 1250 AD (Mann et al., 2009). The starting year of this relatively warm period in the Arctic-subarctic area ranges between 900-950 AD in Siberia and 900-1000 AD in Alaska, which is consistent with the overall records of the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 6a). In the North Atlantic sector, the MCA began between 800 and 1050 AD, except in two lake sediments records located in the Canadian Arctic in which the MCA started at the end of the 12<sup>th</sup> century (Arc\_25, Moore et al., 2001; Arc\_54, Rolland et al., 2009). The end of the MCA ranges between 1100 and 1550 AD (Fig. 6b). The majority of the records highlight a transition between warmer and colder periods around the 14<sup>th</sup> century. Two records are characterized by an ending point after the 15<sup>th</sup> century (Arc\_49, Linge et al., 2009; Arc\_38, Berner et al., 2011). The time coverage of the MCA is about ~200-250 years in most records (Fig. 6c).

The duration and timing of the LIA in the Arctic-subarctic area are more variable from site to site than the MCA, particularly for the starting year (Fig. 7a). The earliest starting point is dated around 1200 AD (Esper, 2002; Melvin et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2011) and the earliest ending point is reported to be as late as 1900 AD (e.g. Gunnarson et al., 2011; Isaksson et al., 2005; Linge et al., 2009, Massa et al., 2012) (Figs. 7a and 7b). The time coverage of the LIA ranges between ~100 years (Kirchhefer, 2001) and ~700 years (Melvin et al., 2013). It does not seem to depend upon the location of the data set in space nor the type of archive or proxy (Fig. 7c). The large range of possible timing for the LIA is consistent with the results of previous study in this area (Wanner et al., 2011). It points to difficulty ~~to~~ in distinguishing the LIA cooling in subarctic settings. Actually, individual palaeoclimate series from the northern Greenland area did not clearly record the LIA, but a stack of these series highlighted a cold pulse between the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> century (Weissbach et al., 2016). Although the LIA corresponds to ~~the~~ a negative temperature anomaly, it is difficult to identify the Arctic area solely based on temperature proxies. Evidence of the LIA might also be found in ~~a~~ palaeohydrological time series (Nesje and Dahl, 2003). For example, Lamoureux et al. (2001) highlighted the evidence of rainfall increase during the LIA in a varved lake sediment core from the Canadian Arctic. Therefore, it would be relevant to study the LIA by using palaeoclimate series sensitive to hydrological variability (Linderholm et al., this issue). This would contribute to a better understanding of secular climate variability in the Arctic area and the role of internal climatic system fluctuations on secular variation during the last millennia.

## 4.3. Recent warming and internal climate oscillations

Studying the climate of the last centuries is a means to examine the important issue of distinguishing anthropogenic influences from natural variability and the response of an ocean/atmosphere coupled system. The last two centuries were characterized

in all regions by a well-marked warming trend (North Atlantic sector:  $\tau=0.40$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; Alaska:  $\tau=0.48$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; Siberia:  $\tau=0.45$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) (Fig. 8). The temperature increase recorded over the last two centuries is consistent with the increase of greenhouse gas emissions (Shindell and Faluvegi, 2009). However, the recent warming was not linear, as it included different phases of increase highlighted by the 50-year LOESS filtering. This is particularly the case in the subarctic North Atlantic sector, where different periods are distinguished with a pronounced warming transition phase between 1920 and 1930 AD (Fig. 8a). These results suggest the occurrence of multi-decadal variability superimposed on the increasing anthropogenic trend during the last centuries and which can be linked with a natural internal climate variability mode.

To determine the origin of the multi-decadal variability in each region, we compared the three regional mean records with two instrumental climate indices: the AMO (Enfield et al., 2001) and the PDO (Mantua et al., 1997), using the wavelet coherence (Figs. 9 and 10, Appendix A). Because one of the main objectives of the paper is to determine the ability of the Arctic 2k database series to mimic the climate variability recorded in the observation data, we did not use the non-instrumental AMO and PDO records to go farther back in time. The analyses were thus performed on the time intervals used to define the AMO and PDO indices, which are 1856-2000 AD and 1900-2000 AD, respectively.

Persistent multi-decadal variability with period of 50-90 years are consistent between the subarctic North Atlantic mean record and the AMO over the last two centuries (1856-2000 AD; Fig. 9c). However, this scale of variability is located in the cone of influence. Comparison of the reconstruction of the 50-90 years oscillation with the original data for each series allowed us to verify if this fluctuation truly characterizes the original signal (Fig. 9a and 9b). It also revealed that fluctuations are in phase and continuous throughout the last two centuries. In the subarctic North Atlantic sector, the 1920-1930 AD transition also coincides with the occurrence of multi-decadal variability with a 20-30 years period similar to the AMO index. Comparison with the instrumental PDO index revealed a 16-30 years oscillation common to the Alaska area and the instrumental index during the 1900-2000 AD interval (Fig. 10b). Wavelet reconstruction of the 16-30 years oscillation for the Alaska palaeoclimate mean record and instrumental PDO index revealed that these scales of fluctuation are in phase. However, while they were continuous throughout the last century for the instrumental index (Fig. 10b), the 16-30 years oscillations only appear after ~1940 AD in the Alaska record (Fig. 10a).

Internal climate fluctuations are also linked with sea-ice cover fluctuations, which ~~are~~ ~~is~~ ~~an~~ important component of the climate system at high latitude (Miles et al., 2014; Sha et al., 2015; Screen et al., 2016). The relationship between the AMO, the sea-ice extent fluctuations and climate variability recorded in the North Atlantic is well-illustrated from 1979 to 2000 (Figure 11). The decline in ~~the~~ sea ice cover was marked by a decrease in ~~the~~ sea ice extent (4% per decade since the end of the 1970's, Cavalieri and Parkinson, 2012), but also ice thickness (50% since 1980 in the central Arctic, Kwok and Rothrock, 2009) and the length of the ice season (a three-month longer summer ice-free season, Stammerjohn et al., 2012). It was accompanied by heat and moisture transfer to the atmosphere owing to the increase of open water surface (Stroeve et al., 2012). This is associated with an increase of surface air temperature, especially in coastal and archipelago areas surrounding the Arctic Ocean (Polyakov et al., 2012). Therefore, while the climate warming in the Arctic accelerates sea ice decline, the sea ice decline simultaneously amplifies and accelerates the recent warming (ice-temperature positive feedback).

Comparison between our three regional mean records and climate index shows the ability of regional proxy-based records to reproduce variability that occurs at multidecadal scales in instrumental data, but also the importance of the role of internal variability on the climate in the Arctic Area during the last centuries.

## 5. Conclusion

5 With the publication of the PAGES Arctic 2k database, which contains proxy time series that respond to several quality criteria, it was possible to describe the climate in the Arctic-subarctic region over the last 2000 years from low to high frequency variabilities. Long-term tendency, secular variability, and multidecadal fluctuations with a focus on the last 200 years were described using statistical and signal analysis methods.

We presented three new regional mean records for the North Atlantic, Alaska and Siberia regions. Owing to the uncertainties  
10 concerning the relationship between several proxy measurements and instrumental temperatures, climate variability has been studied based on proxy time series rather than temperature reconstructions. A large number of proxy time series in the PAGES Arctic 2k database are from the North Atlantic region, but the Siberia region, and to a lesser extent the Alaska region, are underrepresented. Therefore, the global Arctic-subarctic record is probably biased toward the North Atlantic climate variability. This study clearly underline the necessity to increase the number of series, especially in Alaska and Siberian areas.

15 Increasing the number of series in the Pacific Arctic, western North America and Siberia would be relevant to gain a better understanding of the global Arctic-subarctic climate variability over the last two millennia.

Despite the spatial heterogeneity of the database, we found regional long-term tendencies similar to the millennial cooling trend recorded at the global Arctic-subarctic spatial scale, except in the Siberia region. Nevertheless, the three regions are characterized by a recent warming starting at the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. However, it is important to notice that because regional records corresponds to mix of climate variables and seasonal sensitivities, they cannot be consider as annual mean temperature reconstructions. Future effort should be made to study the impact of mix proxy seasonality and transfer function biases on paleoclimate signal reconstructed, whatever the studied climate parameter (e.g. temperature, precipitation)..

20 Synthesis of the expression of secular fluctuations has shown the spatial and temporal variability of the cold LIA. The definition of the LIA as a major climate event is therefore equivocal, unlike the warm MCA, which seems more evenly represented.

25 The focus on the last two centuries led to highlight that the recent warming was marked by a global increasing temperature trend linked to the anthropogenic forcing. It was also punctuated by climatic fluctuations related to regional internal climate oscillations that occur at multidecadal scales, especially the AMO in the North Atlantic region and the PDO in the Alaska region. It would be interesting to extend the study throughout the last 2000 years and determine if these scale of variability are persistent on longer timescales, especially during the warm MCA and cold LIA major climatic periods.-The identification of

30 this variability in the proxy-based records raises the important issue of the need to better understand regional past climate variability in the Arctic-subarctic area. Comparison between regional proxy-based records and instrumental climate index also lead to propose the linkage between paleoclimate series on one side and instrumental data on the other.

## Acknowledgments

This is a contribution to the PAGES 2k Network [through the Arctic 2k working group]. Past Global Changes (PAGES) is supported by the US and Swiss National Science Foundations. M.N. was supported by the French Ministry. MD, MN, NM, AD are financed by France Canada research fund and MD, MN and C.C has received funding from the HAMOC project (Grant ANR-13-BS06-0003). We also thanks the FED CNRS 3730: SCALE. We acknowledge all the reviewers for their constructive suggestions and comments.

## Data availability

All the series used to produce the regional time series are from the PAGES Arctic 2k database. Citations and URL for the original data used in this study are listed in Table S1. The PAGES Arctic 2k database used in this study (v1.1.1) is archived at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's World Data Center for Paleoclimatology (WDC-Paleo) and available at <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/16973>. The database is also archived on Figshare and available at [https://figshare.com/articles/Arctic\\_2k\\_v1\\_1/1054736/5](https://figshare.com/articles/Arctic_2k_v1_1/1054736/5). The three regional time series calculated and used in the paper are available at doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.5616310.

## Appendix A. Wavelet analysis

The Wavelet Transform (WA) is particularly adapted for the study of non-stationary processes, i.e. discontinuities and changes in frequency or magnitude (Torrence and Compo, 1998). Wavelet analysis corresponds to a band-pass filter, which decomposes the signal on the base of scaled and translated versions of a reference wave function. Each wavelet has a finite length and is highly localized in time. The reference wavelet  $\psi$  comprises two parameters for time-frequency exploration, i.e. scale parameter  $a$  and time-localization parameter  $b$ , so that:

$$\psi_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \quad (7)$$

The parameter  $a$  can be interpreted as a dilation ( $a>1$ ) or contraction ( $a<1$ ) factor of the reference wavelet corresponding to the different scales of observation. The parameter  $b$  can be interpreted as a temporal translation or phase shift.

The continuous wavelet transform of a signal  $s(t)$  producing the wavelet spectrum is defined as:

$$S_{a,b} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \cdot dt \quad (8)$$

The so-called local wavelet spectrum allows description and visualization of power distribution (z-axis) according to frequency (y-axis) and time (x-axis).

In this study, the Morlet wavelet was chosen as wavelet reference. Several types of wavelets are available, but the Morlet wavelet offers a good frequency resolution and is most often used with a wavenumber of 6, for which wavelet scale and Fourier period are approximately equal.

All series were zero-padded to twice the data length to prevent spectral leakages produced by the finite length of the time series. Zero-padding produces edge effects and the lowest frequencies, and the area near the edges of the series is underestimated. This area is known as the cone of influence. For this reason, fluctuations that occur in this area have to be interpreted with caution.

Detected fluctuations are statistically tested at  $\alpha = 0.05$  significance level against an appropriate background spectrum, i.e. a red noise (autoregressive process for  $AR(1)>0$ ) or a white noise (autoregressive process for  $AR(1)=0$ ) background (Torrence and Compo, 1998). Autoregressive modelling is used to determine the  $AR(1)$  stochastic process for each time series. The detected components can be extracted and reconstructed in the time domain by either inverse Fourier or wavelet transform of selected energy bands in the spectrum.

The cross-wavelet spectrum  $W_{XY}(a, T)$  between two signals  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  is calculated according to Eq.(9), where  $C_X(a, T)$  and  $C_Y^*(a, T)$  are the wavelet coefficient of the signal  $x(t)$  and the conjugate of the coefficient of the wavelet of  $y(t)$ , respectively:

$$W_{XY}(a, T) = C_X(a, T)C_Y^*(a, T) \quad (9)$$

The wavelet coherence is a method that evaluates the correlation between two signals according to the different scales (frequencies) over time. It corresponds to a bivariate extension of wavelet analysis that describes the common variabilities between two series. The wavelet coherence is analogous to the correlation coefficient between two series in the frequency domain. For two signals  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  the wavelet coherence is calculate as follows:

$$WC(a, T) = \frac{|SW_{XY}(a, T)|}{\sqrt{[|SW_{XX}(a, T) \cdot SW_{YY}(a, T)|]}} \quad (10)$$

where  $S$  is a smoothing operator.

The wavelet coherence spectrum allows description and visualization of wavelet coherence (z-axis) according to frequency (y-axis) and time (x-axis). Wavelet coherence ranges between 0 and 1, indicating no relationship and a linear relationship between  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$ , respectively.

Wavelet analysis were performed with the software R (Team, 2008) using the packages biwavelet (Gouhier et al., 2012).

## Appendix B.

The locally weighted regression (Cleveland and Delvin, 1988; Cleveland and Loader, 1996) was used to investigate systematic features and patterns in the data. It is a method used for smoothing a scatterplot. Contrary to the moving average filtering method, LOESS-filtering allows a well-conservation of the analyzed signal variance. The polynomial adjustment is locally performed on the whole series of data: a point  $x$  is adjusted by the neighboring points, and weighted by the distance in  $x$  of

these points. The relative weight of each point depends on its distance from  $x$ : the closer the  $x$ , the more important its influence on the shape of the regression, and vice versa. For this study, we chose a 50 years window analysis, which allows us to investigate long-term fluctuations and multi-decadal to centennial variability.

- 5 For each individual record, a Mann-Kendall test (Mann, 1945 and Kendall, 1975) was used to detect trends in proxy-inferred climate data. It is a non-parametric test commonly employed to detect monotonic trends in climatologic data because it does not require the data to be normally distributed and has low sensitivity to abrupt breaks due to inhomogeneous time series. The null hypothesis,  $H_0$ , is that the data are independent and randomly ordered. The alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is that the data follow a monotonic trend over time. For  $n > 10$ , the statistic  $S$  is approximately normally distributed and positive values of  $Z_S$  indicate increasing trends while negative  $Z_S$  values show decreasing trends. Testing trends is done at the ~~specific~~  $\alpha$  significance level. When  $|Z_S| > |Z_{1-\alpha/2}|$ , the null hypothesis is rejected and a significant trend exists in the time series. In this study, significance levels  $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$  and  $\alpha = 0.01$  were tested. A statistic ~~that~~ is closely related to  $S$  is Kendall's tau. It will take a value between -1 and +1. Positive values indicate that the ranks of both variables increase together, meaning an increasing trend, while a negative correlation indicates a decreasing trend. The closer to +1 or -1 the value of Kendall's tau, 15 the more significant the trend in the time series.

## References

- Abram, N. J., McGregor, H. V. , Tierney, J. E., Evans, M. N. McKay, , N. P., Kaufman, D. S. and the PAGES2k Consortium: Early onset of industrial-era warming across the oceans and continents, *Nature*, 536, 411-418, doi: 10.1038/nature19082, 2016.
- Andrulleit H.: Coccolithophore fluxes in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea: Seasonality and assemblage alterations, *Marine* 20 *Micropaleontology*, 31, 45–64, 1997.
- Assani, A.A., Landry, R. and Laurencelle, M.: Comparison of interannual variability modes and trends of seasonal precipitation and streamflow in southern Quebec (Canada). *River Res. Appl.*, 2011.
- Berner, K. S., Koç, N., Godtlielsen, F. and Divine, D.: Holocene climate variability of the Norwegian Atlantic Current during high and low solar insolation forcing, *Paleoceanography*, 26, 245–255, 2011.
- 25 Bird, B., Abbott, M., Finney, B. and Kutcho, B.: A 2000 year varve-based climate record from the central Brooks Range, Alaska., *J. Paleolim.*, 41, 25–41, 2009.
- Calvo, E., Grimalt, J. and Jansen, E.: High resolution U37K sea surface temperature reconstruction in the Norwegian Sea during the Holocene, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 21(12-13), 1385-1394, doi: 10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00096-8, 2002.
- Cavalieri, D.J., Parkinson, C.L.: Arctic sea ice variability and trends, 1979-2010. *Cryosphere*, 6, 881–889, 2012.
- 30 Chylek, P., Folland, C.K, Lesins, G., Manvendra, K.D. and Wang, M.: Arctic air temperature change amplification and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, 13, L14801, 2009.

- Cleveland, W., and S. Devlin (1988), Locally weighted regression: an approach to regression analysis by local fitting, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 83(403), 596-610.
- Cleveland, W., and C. Loader (1996), Smoothing by local regression: Principles and methods, *Statistical theory and computational aspects of smoothing*, 1049.
- 5 Cohen, J., Screen, J. A., Furtado, J. C., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., Francis, J., Dethloff, K., Entekhabi, D., Overland, J. and Jones, J.: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather, *Nature Geoscience*, 7(9), 627-637, 2014.
- D'Arrigo, R., Mashig, E., Frank, D., Wilson, R. and Jacoby, G.: Temperature variability over the past millennium inferred from Northwestern Alaska tree rings. *Clim. Dyn.*, 24, 227–236, 2005.
- 10 D'Arrigo, A., Jacoby, G., Buckley, B., Sakulich, J., Frank, D., Wilson, R., Curtis, A. and Anchukaitis, K.: Tree growth and inferred temperature variability at the North American Arctic treeline, *Global Planet. Change*, 65, 71–82, 2009.
- Debret, M., Bout-Roumazeilles, V., Grousset, F., Desmet, M., Mcmanus, J. F., Massei, N., Sebag, D., Petit, J.-R., Copard, Y. and Trentesaux, A.: The origin of the 1500-year climate cycles in Holocene North-Atlantic records, *Clim. Past*, 3, 569-575, 2007.
- 15 Enfield, D.B., Mestas-Nunez, A. M. and Trimble P.J: The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and it's relation to rainfall and river flows in the continental U.S., *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, Vol. 28, 2077-2080, doi: 10.1029/2000GL012745, 2001.
- Esper, J: Low-frequency signals in long tree-ring chronologies for reconstructing past temperature variability, *Science*, 295, 2250–2253, 2002.
- Gouhier, T. and Grinsted, A.: biwavelet: Conduct univariate and bivariate wavelet analyses. R package version 0.20.11, Available at : <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/biwavelet/biwavelet.pdf>, ~~Accessed~~ ~~Accessed~~ September 2016.
- 20 Gunnarson, B. E., Linderholm, H. W. and Moberg, A.: Improving a tree-ring reconstruction from west-central Scandinavia: 900 years of warm-season temperatures, *Clim. Dyn.*, 36, 97–108, 2011.
- Hanhijärvi, S. and Tingley, M.P.: Pairwise comparisons to reconstruct mean temperature in the Arctic Atlantic Region over the last 2,000 years, *Clim. Dyn.*, 41, 2039-2060, doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1701-4, 2013.
- 25 [Hessler, I., Harrison, S. P., Kucera, M., Waelbroeck, C., Chen, M.-T., Anderson, C., de Vernal, A., Fréchet, B., Cloke-Hayes, A., Leduc, G., and Londeix, L.: Implication of methodological uncertainties for mid-Holocene sea surface temperature reconstructions, \*Clim. Past\*, 10, 2237-2252, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-2237-2014>, 2014.](https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-2237-2014)
- Isaksson, E., Divine D., Kohler, J., Martma, T., Pohjola, V., Motoyama, H. and Watanabe, O.: Climate oscillations as recorded in Svalbard ice core  $\delta 18O$  records between AD 1200 and 1997, *Geog. Ann. A*, 87, 203–214, 2005.
- 30 IPCC Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA, 2013.
- Kaufman D.S., Schneider, D.P., McKay, .N.P., Ammann, C.M., Bradley, R.S., Briffa, K.R., Miller, G.H., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Overpeck, J.T., Vinther, B.M. and Arctic Lakes 2k Project Members: recent warming reverses long-term Arctic cooling, *Science*, 325, issue 5945, 1236-1239, doi: 10.1126/science.1173983, 2009.

[Jansen, E., Andersson, C., Moros, M., Nisancioglu, K. H., Nyland, B. F. and Telford, R. J.: The Early to Mid-Holocene Thermal Optimum in the North Atlantic. \*Natural Climate Variability and Global Warming: A Holocene Perspective\*, 123-137, doi: 10.1002/9781444300932.ch5, 2008.](#)

Kendall, M.G.: Rank Correlation Methods, Griffin, London, UK, 1975.

- 5 Kerr, R.A.: A North Atlantic climate pacemaker for the centuries, *Science*, 288(5473), 1984-1985, 2000.

Kirchhefer, A.: Reconstruction of summer temperatures from tree-rings of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in coastal northern Norway, *Holocene*, 11, 41–52, 2001.

Knight, J.R., Folland, C.K., Scaife, A.A., 2006. Climate impacts of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, 1–4, doi: 10.1029/2006GL026242.

- 10 Knudsen, M.F., Jacobsen, B.H., Seidenkrantz, M.S and Olsen, J.: Evidence for external forcing of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation since termination of the Little Ice Age. *Nature com.*, 5, 2014.

Kwok, R. and Rothrock, D.A.: Decline in Arctic sea ice thickness from submarine and ICE Sat records: 1958–2008, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L15501, 2009.

- 15 Lamoureux, S.F., England, J.H., Sharp, M.J. and Bush, A.B.G.: A varve record of increase “Little ice Age” rainfall associated with volcanic activity, *Arctic Archipelago, Canada, The Holocene*, 11(2), 243-249, 2001.

Larsen, D. J., Miller, G. H., Geirsdóttir, Á. and Thordarson, T.: A 3000–year varved record of glacier activity and climate change from the proglacial lake Hvítárvatn, Iceland. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 30, 2715–2731, 2011.

- Linderholm, H.W., Nicolle, M., Francus, P., Gajewski, K., Helama, S., Korhola, A., Solomina, O., Yu, Z., Zhang, P., Debret, M., Divine, D., Kauffman, D., Loader, N., Gunnarson, B.E., Routson, C., Seftifgen, K. and Werner, J.: Arctic hydroclimate variability during the last 2000 years – current understanding and research challenges, *Clim. Past.*, Submitted.

Linge H., Lauritzen, S.-E., Andersson, C., Hansen, J.K., Skoglung, R. Ø. And Sundqvist, H.S.: Stable isotope records for the last 10000 years from Okshola cave (Fauske, northern Norway) and regional comparisons, *Clim. Past*, 5, 667–682, 2009.

Ljungqvist, F. C.: A new reconstruction of temperature variability in the extra-tropical Northern Hemisphere during the last two millennia. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 92(3), 339-351, 2010.

- 25 Mann, H.B.: Nonparametric test against trend, *Econometrica*, 13, 245-259, 1945.

Mann, M. E., Zhang, Z., Hughes, M., Bradley, R.S., Miller, S.K., Rutherford, S. and Ni, F.: Proxy-based reconstructions of hemispheric and global surface temperature variations over the past two millennia. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 105, 13252–13257, 2008.

- 30 Mann, M. E., Zhang, Z., Rutherford, S., Bradley, R. S., Hughes, M.K., Shindell, D., Ammann, C., Faluvegi, G., and Ni, F.: Global Signatures and Dynamical Origins of the Little Ice Age and Medieval Climate Anomaly, *Science*, 326, 1256–1260, 2009.


Mantua, N.J and Hare S.R.: The Pacific decadal oscillation, *J. Oceanogr.*, 58, 35-44 2002.

Mantua, N.J., Hare, S.R., Zhang, Y., Wallace, J.M. and Francis, R.C.: A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78, 1069-1079, 1997.

- Marcott S.A., Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U. and Mix, A.C.: A reconstruction of regional and global temperature for the past 11,300 years, *Science*, 339, 1198-1201, doi: 10.1126/sciences.1228026, 2013.
- Massa, C., Perren, B.B., Gauthier, E., Bichet, V., Petit, C. and Richard, H.: A multiproxy evaluation of Holocene environmental change from Lake Igaliku, South Greenland. *J. Paleolim.* 48, 241–258, 2012.
- 5 McGregor H.V., Evans, M.N., Gosse, H., Leduc, G., Martrat, B., Addison, J.A., Mortyn, P.G., Oppo, D.W., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Sicre, M.-A., Phipps, S.J., Selvaraj, K., Thirumalai, K., Filipsson, H.L. and Ersek, V.: Robust global ocean cooling trend for the pre-industrial Common Era, *Nature Geosci.*, 8, 671-677, doi:10.1038/NGEO2510, 2015.
- McKay, N.P. and Kaufman, D.S.: An extended Arctic proxy temperature database for the past 2,000 years, *Sci. Data* 1:140026, doi: 10.1038/sdata.2014.26, 2014.
- 10 Melvin, T. M., Grudd, H. and Briffa, K. R.: Potential bias in updating tree-ring chronologies using regional curve standardization: Re-processing 1500 years of Torneträsk density and ring-width data, *Holocene*, 23, 364–373, 2013.
- Miles, M.W., Divine, D.V., Furevik, T., Jansen, E., Moros, M. and Ogilvie, A.E.: A signal of persistent Atlantic multidecadal variability in Arctic sea ice, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 463-469, doi: 10.1002/2013GL058084, 2014.
- Miller, G. H., Geirsdottir, A., Zhong, Y., Larsen, D.J., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Holland, M.M., Bailey, D.A., Refsnider, K.A.,
- 15 Lehman, S.J., Southon, J.R., Anderson, C., Björnsson, H. and Thordarson, T.: Abrupt onset of the Little Ice Age triggered by volcanism and sustained by sea-ice/ocean feedbacks, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39, L02708, doi: 10.1029/2011GL050168, 2012.
- Mjell, T. L., Ninnemann, U. S., Eldevik, T., & Kleiven, H. K. F.: Holocene multidecadal-to millennial-scale variations in Iceland-Scotland overflow and their relationship to climate, *Paleoceanography*, 30(5), 558-569, 2015.
- Moberg, A., Sonechkin, D.M., Holmgren, K., Datsenko, N.M. and Karlen, W.: Highly variable northern hemisphere
- 20 temperatures reconstructed from low- and high-resolution proxy data, *Nature*, 433, 613–617, doi:10.1038/nature03265, 2005.
- Moore, J., Hughen, K., Miller, G. and Overpeck, J.: Little Ice Age recorded in summer temperature reconstruction from varved sediments of Donald Lake, Baffin Island, Canada. *J. Paleolim.*, 25, 503-5017, 2001.
- Nesje, A. and Dahl, S.O.: The ‘Little Ice Age’ – Only temperature? *The Holocene*, 13, 139–145, 2003.
- PAGES 2k Consortium: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, *Nature Geosci.*, 6, 339-346,
- 25 doi: 10.1038/NGEO1797, 2013.
- Polyakov, I.V., Walsh, J.E. and Kwok R.: Recent changes of Arctic multiyear sea ice coverage and the likely causes, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93, 145–151, 2012.
- Rolland, N., Larocque, I., Francus, P., Pienitz, R. and Laperrière, L. : Evidence of a warmer period during the 12th and 13th centuries AD from chironomid assemblages in Southampton Island, Nuvanut, Canada. *Quat. Res.*, 72, 27-37, 2009.
- 30 Screen, J. A., Deser, C. and Sun, L.: Projected changes in regional climate extremes arising from Arctic sea ice loss. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 10, 084006, 2015.
- Serreze, M. C. and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, *Glob. Planet. Change*, 77(1), 85-96, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.03.004, 2011.


- Sha L., Jiang, H., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Muscheler, R., Zhang, X., Knudsen, M. F., Olsen, J., Knudsen, K. L. and Zhang, W.: Solar forcing as an important trigger for West Greenland sea-ice variability over the last millennium, *Quat. Sci. rev.*, 13, 148–156, doi: 10.1016/quascirev.2015.11.002, 2015.
- Shindell, D. and Faluvegi, G.: Climate response to regional radiative forcing during the twentieth century. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 294–300, doi: 10.1038/NGEO473, 2009.
- 5 Sigl, M., Winstrup, M., McConnell, J. R., Welten, K. C., Plunkett, G., Ludlow, F., Buening, U., Caffee, M., Chellman, N., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, S., Kostick, C., Maselli, O. J., Mekhaldi, F., Mulvaney, R., Muscheler, R., Pasteris, D. R., Pilcher, J. R., Salzer, M., Schuepbach, S., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M., Woodruff, T. E. : Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years, *Nature*, 523, 7562, 543-549, doi:10.1038/nature14565, 2015.
- 10 Spielhagen, R.F, Werner, K., Sørensen, S.A., Zamelczyk, K., Kandiano, E., Budeus, G., Husum, K., Marchitto, T.M. and Hald, M.: Enhanced modern heat transfer to the Arctic by warm Atlantic water, *Science*, 331, 450-453, doi: 10.1126/science.1197397, 2011.
- Stammerjohn, S., Massom, R., Rind, D. and Martinson, D.: Regions of rapid sea ice change: an interhemispheric seasonal comparison, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L06501, 2012.
- 15 Stoffel, M., Khodri, M., Corona, C., Guillet, S., Poulain, V., Bekki, S., Guiot, J., Luckman, B.H., Oppenheimer, C., Lebas, N., Beniston, M. and Masson-Delmotte, V.: Estimates of volcanic-induced cooling in the Northern Hemisphere over the past 1,500 years, *Nature Geosci.*, 8, 784-788, doi: 10.1038/NGEO2526, 2015.
- Stroeve, J.C., Serreze, M.C., Holland, M.M., Kay, J.E., Maslanik, J. and Barrett, A.P.: The Arctic’s rapidly shrinking sea ice cover: a research synthesis, *Clim. Change*, 110, 1005–1027, 2012.
- 20 Sutton, R.T. and Hodson, D. L. R.: Atlantic Ocean forcing of North American and European summer climate, *Science*, 309(5731), 115-118, 2005.
- Team, R. D. C. (2008) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: URL <http://www.r-project.org/>, Accessed February 2015.
- Torrence, C. and Compo, G.P.: A practical guide to wavelet analysis, *Bull. Am. Meteorol.*, 79, 61-78, 1998.
- 25 Van Nieuwenhove, N., Baumann, A., Matthiessen, J., Bonnet, S., de Vernal, A.: Sea surface conditions in the southern Nordic Seas during the Holocene based on dinoflagellate cyst assemblages, *The Holocene*, DOI: 10.1177/0959683615618258, 2016.
- Wanner, H., Solomina, O., Grosjean, M., Ritz, S.P. and Jetel, M.: Structure and origin of Holocene cold events, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 30, 3109-3123, 2011.
- Wei W. and Lohman G.: Simulated Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation during the Holocene. *Journal of Climate*, 25(20), 6989-7002, 2012.
- 30 Weissbach, S., Wegner, A., Opel, T., Oerter, H., Vinther, B.M. and Kipfstuhl, S.: Spatial and temporal oxygen isotope variability in northern Greenland – implications for a new climate record over the past millennium , *Climate of the Past*, 12 ,171-188, doi: 10.5194/cp-12-171-2016, 2016.

- Werner, J. P., Divine, D. V., Ljungqvist, F. C., Nilsen, T., & Francus, P. (2017). Spatio-temporal variability of Arctic Summer Temperatures over the past two Millennia: an overview of the last major climate anomalies.
- Wiles, G. C., D'Arrigo, R., Barclay, D., Wilson, R.S., Jarvis, S.K., Vargo, L. and Frank, D.: Surface air temperature variability reconstructed with tree rings for the Gulf of Alaska over the past 1200 years, *Holocene*, 24, 198–208, 2014.
- 5 Wilson, R., Anchukaitis, K., Briffa, K.R., Büngten, U., Cook, E., D'Arrigo, R., Davi, N., Esper, J., Frank, D., Gunnarson, B., Hegerl, G., Helama, S., Klesse, S., Krustic, P.J., Linderholm, H.W., Myglan, V., Osborn, T.J., Rydval, M., Schneider, L., Schurer, A., Wiles, G., Zhang, P. and Zorita, E.: Last millennium northern hemisphere summer temperatures from tree rings: Part I: The long term context, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 134, 1-18, 2016.
- Zhang L. and Delworth, T.L.: Analysis of the characteristics and mechanisms of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation in a suite of  
10 coupled models from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory. *Journal of Climate*, 28(19), 7678-7701, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00647.1, 2015.




**Figure 1.** Palaeoclimate series used for this study. (a) Polar projection of the proxy records location contained in the PAGES Arctic 2k database (from McKay and Kaufman, 2014). (b) Temporal coverage and resolution (A: annual, SD: Subdecadal, D: Decadal, MD: Multidecadal) of the records from 0 to 2000 AD. Letters with an asterisk indicate a mean temporal resolution. Colours corresponds to archive type and refers to the map legend and numbers in the Arctic 2k database index.


5




5 **Figure 2.** (a) Map of record location (modified from McKay and Kaufman, 2014). Dashed lines show selected area used for calculated the three regional mean records (NA: North Atlantic, A: Alaska and S: Siberia) presented at the (b), (c) and (c) curves respectively. n corresponds to the number of records available in each area. Each regional mean record is associated to it corresponding number of records available for each year.



**Figure 3.** Global Arctic mean record obtained from the paleoclimate series contained in the PAGES Arctic 2k database.




**Figure 4.** *Left.* Correlation between the global mean based on proxy data and the three regional mean records for (a) North Atlantic (b) Alaska and (c) Siberia areas. Correlations is significant at the 95% confidence level. *Right.* (e) Wavelet coherence between global and North Atlantic mean records, (f) global and Alaska mean records, (g) global and Siberia mean records. Colors represent the amplitude of the signal at given time and spectral period (red equals highest power, blue lowest). White line corresponds to cone of influence on wavelet coherence spectrum. Confidence level of 95% ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) is indicated on wavelet spectrum with the black line.




**Figure 5.** (a) Individual trends for each records before recent warming. White dot highlighted inconsistency between two tendencies for the same archive. North Atlantic (b), Alaska (c) and Siberia (d) regional 50-years LOESS. Blue colors indicate decreasing tendency whereas red colors indicate increasing trends. Dashed black lines correspond to the 95% confidence interval.


5



**Figure 6.** Expression of the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) of the Arctic 2k series based on references paper (see McKay and Kaufman, 2014): starting (a), ending (b) and length (c). Symbols in grey correspond to series for which the MCA is not mentioned by the authors. More details concerning the temporal expression of the LIA are available in Table S4 and Figure S2.




**Figure 7.** Spatial expression of the Little Ice Age (LIA) of the Arctic 2k series based on references paper (see McKay and Kaufman, 2014): starting (a), ending (b) and length (c). Symbols in grey correspond to series for which the LIA is not mentioned by the authors in the original publication. More details concerning the temporal expression of the LIA are available in Table S3 and Figure S1.




**Figure 8.** Regional mean records of the last two century showing the recent warming period Red dashed lines correspond to linear trend obtained from Mann-Kendall test and black curve to ~50-years loess filtering. Dashed lines correspond to the 95% confidence level interval.


5



5 **Figure 9.** Wavelet coherence analysis between subarctic North Atlantic mean record and instrumental AMO index during the 1856-2000 AD period. (a) Subarctic North Atlantic mean record (this study) and (b) instrumental AMO index (Enfield et al., 2001). Grey lines and dashed lines corresponds to filtered and wavelet reconstructed of the ~50-90 years and ~20-30 years periodicities highlighted on wavelet coherence spectrum (c). Colours on coherence wavelet spectrum represent correlation in both time and frequency domain. (Red equals highest correlation and blue lowest). White line corresponds to the cone of influence. Confidence level of 95% ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) is indicated with the black line.



5 **Figure 10.** Wavelet coherence analysis between Alaska mean record and instrumental PDO index during the 1900-2000 AD period. (a) Alaska mean record (this study) and (b) instrumental PDO index (Mantua et al., 1997). Grey lines corresponds to filtered and wavelet reconstructed of the ~16-30 years periodicity highlighted on wavelet coherence spectrum (c). Colours on coherence wavelet spectrum represent correlation in both time and frequency domain. (Red equals highest correlation and blue lowest). White line corresponds to the cone of influence. Confidence level of 95% ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) is indicated with the black line.



**Figure 11.** Comparison between the North Atlantic mean record based on proxy data, the AMO index and the global Arctic sea-ice extent during their common period (1978-2000).