Author responses to reviews and edits to Climate of the Past manuscript titled "Sources and characteristics of terrestrial carbon in Holocene-scale sediments of the East Siberian Sea"

by K.Keskitalo, T.Tesi, L. Bröder, A. Andersson, C. Pearce, M. Sköld, I.P. Semiletov, O.V. Dudarev and Ö. Gustafsson

We are grateful to all the three reviewers for their comments on the manuscript. These constructive and overall positive comments have improve the manuscript during revisions. All the referee comments with our responses are detailed below. The referee comments are given in italics and our response in regular font. All references to line numbers refer to the revised track-changes document.

Reviewer #1, anonymous

GENERAL COMMENTS

"This paper uses novel proxy analyses to identify the provenance of the organic carbon in marine sediments during the Holocene. The results show that total organic carbon flux was high during the early Holocene and that it was primarily from terrestrial Pleistocene permafrost and mostly from shoreline erosion during the sea level transgression."

RESPONSE

Thank you for your comment. This is exactly what we are trying to show.

Reviewer #2, Thomas Cronin

GENERAL COMMENTS

"This is an excellent, well-written paper. Minor queries are made as inserted comments in the attached PDF. Minor revision is needed, but an organic geochemist should also read the paper."

RESPONSE

Thank you for the positive and supportive comment.

SPECIFIC POINTS

1) *"This sentence "The CuO-derived lignin and cutin product" sounds like all readers will know what you are talking about, can you expand and clarify a little." (L24-26)*

An explanation about lignin and cutin products has been added to the text (L25). There is also a method description given in Sect 2.5 and a more detailed explanation of lignin and cutin compounds in lines 283–286.

2) *"hemisphere" (L34)*

The word hemisphere has been added to the text for clarification (L34).

3) *"cite Tesi 2016 a before 2016 b ?" (L44)*

Thank you for your comment. Citations regarding the Tesi et al. (2016) papers have been changed accordingly (i.e. Tesi et al. 2016a cited first).

4) *"Also new papers in CP by Jakobsson and Cronin" (L101)*

Thank you for the reference, we have added Cronin et al. (2017).

5) *"less sea ice than when? where?" (L104)*

The word less is referring to the period when the sea is covered in ice compared to summer months. The sentence has been rephrased (L110–112).

6) *"due to its..." (L380)*

The change has been made accordingly.

7) *"perhaps since the authors make a direct comparison between early Holocene and future, additional text is needed discusssing other aspects of this comparison [a widely studied topic in terms of the EH Thermal maximum, Kaufman, others]. For example, atm/ CO2, rate of SL rise, Arctic early holocene temperature and sea ice regimes. I'm not asking for a big review, just a little more appreciation of the literature on this topc." (L432-434)*

We agree and decided to add background information regarding the rate of the sea level rise, temperature and sea ice conditions in the beginning of the Holocene to the background and study area section (Sect 2.1) of the manuscript (L102–106). We have left the conclusion part as it originally was in the manuscript.

8) *"here and in the text it would be nice to discuss the rate of SL rise in the early Holocene since few papers address this important time when, globally, the final 20-25 meters of SL rise was happening [versus MWP1a, MWP1b]" (Figure 3).*

Thank you for your comment. We have added a sea level rise rate during the early Holocene in the text to provide this background information (L102–103).

Reviewer #3, anonymous

GENERAL COMMENTS

"In many ways, this manuscript is similar to the Tesi et al 2016 (Nature Comms) paper (which was about the Laptev Sea) but this is for the East Siberian Sea. It is a reasonable contribution to furthering our knowledge about this part of the East Siberian Sea during the Holocene. Towards the end of the manuscript, several arguments are made that are not supported by the data, and need to be changed or fixed. There are some gaps in the methods that need to be filled."

"This manuscript is fine. It is a bit dull, but it is fine. I can tell that a great deal of work went into the sample analysis; and this research group is well known for their extensive and detailed use of biomarkers in sediments, this aspect of the manuscript is a great display of their talents. The worst part of this manuscript is some acute form of overcitation disease, and high levels of self-citation, at times choosing a self-citation even when it is the incorrect citation. The introduction alone has 65 citations–and about 37 of those references are to the same research group that produced this manuscript. I am well aware that this group is active in this research area, but many of these seem unneeded. The over-citation is part of what makes the manuscript dull to read."

"I believe the manuscript can be made suitable for the journal, but there needs to be considerable cleanup first."

RESPONSE

Thank you for your comments. To the best of our knowledge, Tesi et al. (2016) is the first sedimentary record studied in detailed to understand the land-to-ocean remobilisation of PF-C during the last deglacial period. The current paper is thus only the second one available to provide this type of new information, and from a different setting/shelf sea. Of course, there are similarities in methodology and study design between our study and the study by Tesi et al. (2016). We believe this is a plus as it is thus allows to compare the outcomes from these two systems. We also find this East Siberian Sea (ESS) study to be a worthy contribution as, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies from its shelf area involving gravity/piston cores. We have found a completely different signal in terms of carbon fingerprint compared to Tesi et al. 2016 study. Thus, our results are valuable.

We have gone through the citations and decreased their amount (in total 12 were removed), especially to studies performed by us and/or collaborating partners. Hopefully this will make the manuscript more pleasant to read while still giving appreciation to the relevant literature. We have also made improvements to the figures and tables by matching the font styles and revised some of the figure captions.

SPECIFIC POINTS

1) *"line 39-40: I don't believe it is necessary to cite 7 papers for the permafrost carbon feedback. Also, the 3 Shakhova et al references are primarily about methane emissions from the sea, and are absolutely NOT primary sources for describing the permafrostcarbon feedback, and should definitely not be cited at this point."*

The number of citations has been reduced to four as the three papers by Shakova et al. have been removed.

109   *2) "line 43-45: Are 14 references really needed to prove the statement that there have been recent*
110   *studies on carbon cycling in/between the sea and land in the Arctic? Again, I'm well aware this*
111   *group is active in this research area, but at 14 references, it starts to look like h-index padding.*
112   *Besides, this reviewer is aware of plenty of additional papers on the topic–many co-authored by*
113   *authors of this manuscript–which are NOT listed here. I am of the opinion that actually ZERO*
114   *references are needed at this point."*

115 Thank you for your opinion. Here we wanted to give examples of recent studies that have been done
116 on carbon cycling. We are also aware that there are many excellent studies about this topic that could
117 have been cited here which is why the current number of citations was as high as 14. We have removed
118 all the references from here as the text works also without any citations.

119   *3) "line 48: "profoundly destabilized"? How is that different from "destabilized"?"*

120 We understand that it is not easy to define where is the difference between destabilised and
121 profoundly destabilised but referring to the large remobilisation of permafrost that followed the
122 destabilisation we chose to use the word profoundly to emphasise the extent of permafrost
123 destabilisation at that time.

124   *4) "line 66-72: Are you writing a paper about the ESS or Laptev Sea? I'm not sure what the internecine*
125   *citation battle on these lines, showing conflicting and agreeing results from the same group in the*
126   *Laptev Sea has to do with the ESS. I think most of this can be removed. Besides, the same topic is*
127   *covered adequately beginning on line 371. No need to repeat it here."*

128 To keep the focus on the ESS we have removed the last phrase of the paragraph that was covering only
129 the Laptev Sea (L71–73).

130   *5) "line 84: I don't think you need to redefine ESS again here."*

131 Text has been changed.

132   *6) "line 104: "Today the period with less sea ice in the ESS"... less than what? do you mean the ice-free*
133   *season?*

134 The word less was referring to the period when there is sea ice present. We have rephrased the
135 sentence to make it clearer (L110–112).

136   *7) "line 110: I/B not i/b"*

137 The ship designation has been changed to I/B.

138   *8) "line 110: This reader would rather know when the core was collected than the months of the entire*
139   *cruise."*

140 The collection month of the core has been specified in the text (L116).

141   *9) "line 121-122: I'm a little surprised that there is no acknowledgement to the Swedish Museum of*
142   *Natural History."*

143 We are very grateful to Karin Wallner from the Swedish Museum of Natural History who helped us with
144 the ^{210}Pb analysis and she has been acknowledged for this. We have now added her affiliation to the
145 acknowledgements as well as affiliations of the other technical personnel who have assisted us in our
146 work (L469–470).

147 *10) "line 129: Is "Stackebo, Sweden" a company or a place?"*

148 Stackebo refers to a mine in Västergötland province in Sweden from where the reference material has
149 been retrieved.

150 *11) "lines 138-141: The Pearson et al 1998 reference is basically how NOSAMS works. That's fine, but*
151 *you need to say more here about molluscs. How were these molluscs retrieved? How were they*
152 *processed and prepared BEFORE being sent to NOSAMS? What was actually sent to NOSAMS? (And*
153 *I'm fairly certain these are mollusc shells, not complete molluscs). Also, the Pearson et al reference*
154 *isn't about dating cores, or anything, with molluscsâ˘Tplease provide a reference for that. The*
155 *entire analysis and ˘ conclusions rely on this mollusc dating, and the authors have unfortunately*
156 *breezed over it as if they were seashell collecting."*

157 Thank you for your comment. We have clarified in the text that we have analysed mollusc shells and
158 not complete molluscs. The mollusc shells were handpicked from the core, rinsed with MilliQ water and
159 sonicated before sending them to NOSAMS for ^{14}C analysis. It is common practice to analyse these kind
160 of samples at the NOSAMS facility which is why we have not specified the process in
161 detail. Correct, Pearson et al. (1998) paper is about accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS)
162 measurements at NOSAMS which is why we think it is a suitable reference here. We do explain the age
163 model construction (using the results from the ^{14}C analysis of the shells) for the core GC58 in the
164 following paragraphs of the same section (Sect 2.4).

165 *12) "line 144: include a reference here for Marine13 calibration curve: Reimer et al 2016,*
166 *https://doi.org/10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947 "*

167 Thank you for pointing out the lack of this reference. This reference has been added accordingly to the
168 text (L151) and also to the Table 1 caption (L769) and to the Figure 2 caption (L787).

169 *13) "line 147: just make it easier to read, say "(calendar years before present)" and provide the*
170 *abbreviated form (cal yrs BP) if needed."*

171 The text has been changed accordingly (L154).

172 *14) "line 175: trimethylsilyl is misspelled."*

173 Thank you for pointing this out. The spelling has been corrected.

174 *15) "line 206: "effectively estimate" doesn't make any sense, unless you're trying to say that you were*
175 *not able to make an estimate. I think "estimate" is adequate here."*

176 We have removed the word effectively from the text.

177 *16) "line 207: Wasn't this method was used in a number of other studies from the SAME research group*
178 *before Tesi et al 2016a–indeed, even before Anderson et al 2015. Although Anderson et al 2015 is*
179 *an adequate reference."*

Correct, the source apportionment method has been used in several studies before but the studies by Anderson et al. 2015 and Tesi et al. 2016a use Markov Chain Monte Carlo method for the source apportionment as the previous studies are based on random sampling.

17) "line 212: this is an odd reference for the endmembers, because the end members are not first used or defined in the Bröder et al or Tesi et al paper; they are USED in these papers, but the end members come from earlier work, at least that is my understanding."

Thank you for pointing this out. To give credit to previous and original work the citation has been changed to a paper by Vonk et al. (2012) which compiles end-member data from several studies for the ICD-PF and topsoil-PF and Smith et al. (2002) for the Marine OC end-member. Also, we noticed that the end-member values were reported slightly incorrectly here and have changed them to the actual values that were used in the analysis. This did not affect any of the conclusions.

*18) "line 214: "=-26.3±0.63 ‰Perhaps sometime (perhaps not in the present manuscript), ¨ this research group could explain how *all* the carbon in ice complex deposits has such a precise, narrowly-constrained d13C? That seems spectacular."*

We agree that a discussion of the precision of the δ^{13}C end-member does not fit the scope of this manuscript. This range reflects a compilation of individual samples (n=374) with an average value of -26.3‰ and a standard deviation of 0.63‰ (Vonk et al., 2012). With growing knowledge on ICD-PF we also hope to learn more about the variability of its δ^{13}C values

19) "line 221: hexametaphosphate is misspelled."

Thank you for pointing this out. The spelling has been corrected.

20) "line 236-237: "Although any actual sediment transport"... is not a complete sentence."

This sentence has been rephrased (L245–246).

21) "line 241: The ice scouring argument would be more convincing if the authors provide an estimated sea depth at the time of the putative scouring event. Shallow water depths make it more likely, correct?"

The water depth of the coring site in ~1,700 cal yrs BP would have been similar to the current water depth (52 m) and around 34 m in ~8,500 cal yrs BP (Lambeck et al., 2014). According to Ananyev et al. (2016) most ice scouring events happen in <40 m sea depth though ice scour marks have been observed in greater depths as well. We have now changed the wording to present ice scouring as one possible, but not the exclusive explanation as the age gaps could have been caused by some other event as well (L249–251). Also the respective water depths have been added to the text.

22) "line 247, line 260, line 268 etc: "East Siberian Sea" – should be ESS throughout manuscript once it has been introduced."

The changes have been made accordingly throughout the manuscript.

23) "line 259: needs a comma. "period, but has a similar"."

Thank you for pointing this out. The missing comma has been added to the text (L270).

216 *24) "line 276: "These compounds have been widely used in recent studies of terrestrial OC in the*
217 *Arctic"–curious selection of citations here. There are so many possibilities here that I wonder why*
218 *you chose these four? Also, this is simply saying "everyone is doing it, so we did the same thing"–*
219 *popularity isn't the same thing as a method being "good" or suitable. So skip that sort of argument–*
220 *better to cite the original method papers.*

221 Thank you for your comment. The idea behind the argument was to point out that the method has
222 been widely used as it is a good and working method to study terrestrial OC, not so much to say that it
223 is popular. This also regarding the citations, they are meant to present a few recent studies that have
224 successfully used the method. We have rephrased the sentence (L287–288).

225 *25) line 313: I would say it is a matter of opinion whether the parameters shown in figure 3 are "near-*
226 *continuous" across the 6500 year hiatus. They don't all look that way to me. Another possibility*
227 *besides bioturbation is that the values are similar because...they are similar. That simple*
228 *explanation is not outside the realm of possibilities."*

229 Thank you for your opinion. This is indeed also a possibility and it has been added to the text as an
230 explanation (L327).

231 *26) "line 322-330: so why bother to tell the reader about lignin phenols acid/aldehyde ratios, only to*
232 *conclude with saying that they're not a useful degradation proxy? (This also applies to Figure 4,*
233 *which seems to be based on this proxy that we were just told is not useful.)"*

234 We have shortened the text regarding the acid to aldehyde ratios and moved the Figure 4 to
235 Supplementary information (Supplementary Figure S3). Even though the proxy might not be useful in
236 our study, the data could be useful in future study designs regarding which proxies to use and not to
237 use. It also supports the argument from the studies of Goñi and Hedges (1995) and Tesi et al. (2014). In
238 addition, we want to show the degradation proxy data as these kind of data have not been reported in
239 Holocene scale from the ESS.

240 *27) "line 335-336: "The only source of 3,5-Bd in the marine environment is from brown algae which are*
241 *not common in the study area (Goñi and Hedges, 1995; Tesi et al., 2014)." Tesi et al 2014 is NOT an*
242 *appropriate reference here, as that paper ONLY mentions brown algae ONCE, and then only when*
243 *referencing Goni and Hedges 1995."*

244 We have left only the citation to Goñi and Hedges (1995) to the text.

245 *28) "line 348: "The longer distance from the coast allows more time for organic matter to degrade*
246 *before burial". Why, exactly, is it surprising that it takes longer to transport organic matter farther*
247 *from the coast than near the coast? This seems self-evident."*

248 The longer distance here is linked to the previous phrase about how the coast line has shifted during
249 the past ~9,500 yrs due to the sea level rise thus making the transport time longer. The statement
250 could be self-evident but yet we want to underline it to explain why the amount of lignin declines in the
251 core.

252 *29) "line 354: "suggests that with longer transport time lignin degradation is more extensive"... This is*
253 *again self-evident, right? With longer transport time, pineapples also degrade more. I'm well aware*

254 *that this research group has written papers about this, but what I don't understand is what's the*
255 *opposite scenario the authors are arguing against here? That shorter transport time could somehow*
256 *result in more degraded lignin? Why would anyone even consider that?"*

257 This part maybe self-evident, but it may nevertheless be the best explanation why the lignin in the core
258 is more degraded at the top of the core than at the bottom. We state how we interpret our data and do
259 not argue against opposite scenarios.

260 *30) "line 366: "East Siberian Arctic Shelf" – you defined this as ESAS a long time ago."*

261 We have revised to abbreviate East Siberian Arctic Shelf to ESAS both here as well as on line 247.

262 *31) "line 367-368: "The proportion of old terrestrial organic matter might also be greater in Arctic*
263 *sediments due to generally low primary production in the area (Stein and Macdonald, 2004)." This is*
264 *really confusing. This sounds like you're saying that primary production is low (in the sea?). But this*
265 *is about the core, so it's really the sea in the past, correct? And what about this paper: East Siberian*
266 *Sea, an Arctic region of very high biogeochemical activity, Leif Anderson et al 2011, Biogeosciences.*
267 *Or are you arguing that Stein and Macdonald say that the part of the ESS where the core was*
268 *collected is different than that discussed in the Anderson et al paper?"*

269 With low primary production we meant that the primary production in the Arctic regions is relatively
270 low in a global scale regarding its seasonality, long sea ice cover and cold temperatures. We see that
271 the phrase is a bit ambiguous and have removed it.

272 *32) "line 382: "When the shoreline was farther seaward during the early Holocene, the core PC23 from*
273 *the Laptev Sea experienced" No, the core didn't exist then. You mean that the location where the*
274 *core was collected experienced... "*

275 Thank you for pointing this out. The wording has been changed to refer to the location instead of the
276 core (L397).

277 *33) "line 385-389: "Although the record of GC58 does not go back in time to the glacialinterglacial*
278 *transition at the very onset of the Holocene, our results suggest that coastal erosion was likely the*
279 *dominant process affecting the permafrost carbon supply and deposition also at that time. This*
280 *seems likely, especially when considering the location of the core GC58 in between the rivers, and as*
281 *has been observed in modern day shallower sediments in the East Siberian Sea (Bröder et al., 2016b;*
282 *Vonk et al., 2012)." This would be an interesting argument, but the results of this study don't*
283 *suggest this! As is plainly stated, the GC58 core does not extend to the glacial-interglacial transition!*
284 *Therefore results from that core cannot suggest anything about coastal erosion at that time. This*
285 *needs to be removed or changed."*

286 We have changed this paragraph. We suggest that coastal erosion was likely an important process at
287 glacial-interglacial transition supplying permafrost carbon to the ESS. This is presented as a hypothesis
288 which has been made clear in the text (L400–405).

289 *34) "line 418: I see the regime shift, but there could have been many regime shifts in the missing years*
290 *on both sides of 8400 years BP."*

It is correct that we do not know what happened in the period from ~8,200 to 1,700 cal yrs BP and from ~9,300 to ~8,500 cal yrs BP. We have changed the text (L432–433).

35) "line 421: "The source apportionment data highlights the importance of coastal erosion as a terrestrial carbon source to this region of the ESS throughout the Holocene." "throughout the Holocene"? The core is missing 6500 years of the Holocene (more than half!) This core is not suitable for any "throughout the Holocene" pronouncements. Remove or change this statement."

This statement has been changed to be more accurate regarding the Holocene time periods that we are referring to (L436–438).

36) "line 441: IB/RV is not a ship designation. Try "I/B Oden" or just IB."

The ship designation has been changed accordingly here (L458) and also in L467.

37) "line 507: please update if this paper has been accepted or published."

This paper has not been accepted or published yet.

Tables and Figures

38) "Table 1: What is "NOSAMS Accession Number" and why is it important to include here? I see that these numbers appear on Figure 2, but WHY? How is this important to the study?"

The NOSAMS Accession Number is used for archiving and traceability of samples analysed at NOSAMS. It might be useful in the future if there is a need to go back to the raw data reports from the NOSAMS laboratories which is why we report it.

39) "Line 717: again here, you mean mollusc shells, not molluscs (presumably) There is general sloppiness in the figures. For instance, Figure 2 uses a serif font, while others are sans-serif. At least use the same font style throughout. No reason to use italics in Figure 1. Figure 5 has bold axis labels–which is fine, but none of the other figures are boldface. Be consistent."

Thank you for your comment. The word mollusc have been changed to mollusc shells both in the Table 1 as in its caption (L767 and 770) and also in the Fig 2 caption (L785). The italics in Fig 1 have been changed to normal font. The bold axis labels in Fig 5 are not boldface anymore.

40) "Figure 2 is going to have unnecessarily minuscule text unless it is printed or viewed at full-page size. Increase the font size."

The font size has been increased.

41) "Also in Figure 2 caption, tell the reader what the tiny curves are on the figure. I think I know what they are, but explain it, or remove it."

The Figure 2 caption has been updated (L785–791).

42) "In Figure 4, the more degraded- less degraded triangle is ugly; the same thing is implemented much more elegantly in Karlsson et al 2016–from the same research group."

Thank you for your opinion. We have changed the color of the triangle and present two triangles instead of one to make the figure more pleasant to look at. Also, the figure has been moved to the Supplementary Information (Supplementary Figure S3).

43) "These figure problems do not change the content, but give a bad impression to the reader, as if the manuscript was prepared in a hurry."

Thank you for pointing out the inconsistencies within some of the figures. We have made revisions and minor changes to make them more pleasant for the readers to look at.

44) "Line 764: "Lignin composition of the sediment core GC58 (black circles)." There are no black circles in this figure! (blue circles?)"

Thank you for pointing this out. The text has been corrected to blue circles (L843).

45) "Line 769: "and with an orange square (±standard deviation)" There are no orange squares! (red square?)"

Thank you for pointing this out. The text has been corrected to red square (L848).

46) "Line 776: "Yedoma" — yedoma is not a proper noun and should not be capitalized."

Thank you for the grammar correction. The word yedoma is not capitalised in the text anymore (L836).

47) "Line 777: NO, these end members values are NOT from Bröder et al 2016b and Tesi et al 2016a! Those are simply two recent papers from this research group that used the same end members! The "literature" these are based on is a whole different set of papers."

The citation has been changed to a study by Vonk et al. 2012 (ICD-PF and topsoil-PF) which compiles end-member values from different studies and Smith et al. 2002 (Marine OC) (L837–839).

48) "Figure 7: Why is the green arrow jagged? Why not just a straight arrow? Or did I miss something?"

The arrow follows the direction of the dual isotope values in the GC58 core from the bottom of the core to the top. The arrow is jagged to show that there is a drop in the $\Delta^{14}C$ values in the middle of the core.

49) "Figure 7: the ICD-PF error bars extend BELOW D14C=-1000 per mille. This is not physically possible."

Thank you for pointing this out. We have corrected the ICD-PF error bar. We have also changed the y-axis so that it ends at -1000 ‰.

50) "Figure 7: It should be made clear that PC23 is the core from Tesi et al 2016, NOT THIS MANUSCRIPT."

A reference to Tesi et al. (2016a) has been added to the caption to clarify that PC23 is from a different study.

363   Supplement

364   *51) "Line 41: "An estimation of the lateral transport time of sediments shown as ..." I think you mean*
365   *"An estimate of lateral transport time ..."*"

366   Thank you for pointing this out. The word estimation has been changed to the word estimate.

367   **ADDITIONAL CHANGES**

368   In addition to the comments from the referees we have made the following changes during our revision
369   process.

370   Tables and Figures

371   Regarding Table 1 we have specified that the calibrated age is a mean age and present 2 sigma error
372   instead of 1 sigma. We have also added the median calibrated age. To the caption of the Table 1 we have
373   added the ΔR value and the calibration curve used.

374   We have changed the order of the Figures 5 and 7 (now 5 and 6) so that the Figure 5 presenting dual-
375   carbon isotope composition of the sediment cores GC58 and PC23 comes before Figure 6 presenting lignin
376   composition of the core GC58. These figures were presented in a wrong order in the original manuscript.

References

Ananyev, R., Dmitrevskiy, N., Jakobsson, M., Lobkovsky, L., Nikiforov, S., Roslyakov, A. and Semiletov, I.: Sea-ice ploughmarks in the eastern Laptev Sea, East Siberian Arctic shelf, Atlas Submar. Glacial Landforms Mod. Quat. Ancient, Geol. Soc. London, Mem., 46(1), 301–302, doi:10.1144/M46.109, 2016.

Cronin, T. M., Regan, M. O., Pearce, C., Gemery, L., Toomey, M. and Jakobsson, M.: Deglacial sea-level history of the East Siberian Sea Margin, , (March), doi:10.5194/cp-2017-19, 2017.

Goñi, M. A. and Hedges, J. I.: Sources and reactivities of marine-derived organic matter in coastal sediments as determined by alkaline CuO oxidation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59(14), 2965–2981, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(95)00188-3, 1995.

Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y. and Sambridge, M.: Sea level and global ice volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 111(43), 15296–15303, doi:10.1073/pnas.1411762111, 2014.

Smith, S. L., Henrichs, S. M. and Rho, T.: Stable C and N isotopic composition of sinking particles and zooplankton over the southeastern Bering Sea shelf, , 49, 6031–6050, 2002.

Tesi, T., Semiletov, I., Hugelius, G., Dudarev, O., Kuhry, P. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Composition and fate of terrigenous organic matter along the Arctic land-ocean continuum in East Siberia: Insights from biomarkers and carbon isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 133, 235–256, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.045, 2014.

Tesi, T., Muschitiello, F., Smittenberg, R. H., Jakobsson, M., Vonk, J. E., Hill, P., Andersson, A., Kirchner, N., Noormets, R., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Massive remobilization of permafrost carbon during post-glacial warming, Nat. Commun., 7, 13653, doi:10.1038/ncomms13653, 2016.

Vonk, J. E., Sánchez-García, L., van Dongen, B. E., Alling, V., Kosmach, D., Charkin, a., Semiletov, I. P., Dudarev, O. V., Shakhova, N., Roos, P., Eglinton, T. I., Andersson, a. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Activation of old carbon by erosion of coastal and subsea permafrost in Arctic Siberia, Nature, 489(7414), 137–140, doi:10.1038/nature11392, 2012.

Sources and characteristics of terrestrial carbon in Holocene-scale sediments of the East Siberian Sea

Kirsi Keskitalo[1], Tommaso Tesi[1,3,4], Lisa Bröder[1,3], August Andersson[1,3], Christof Pearce [2,3], Martin Sköld[5], Igor P. Semiletov[6,7,8], Oleg V. Dudarev[7,8] and Örjan Gustafsson[1,3,*]

[1]Department of Environmental Science and Analytical Chemistry, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE 10691, Sweden

[2]Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE 10691, Sweden

[3]Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE 10691, Sweden

[4]CNR-National Research Council of Italy, ISMAR-Marine Science Institute, Bologna, IT 40129, Italy

[5]Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE 10691, Sweden

[6]International Arctic Research Center, University Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA

[7]Pacific Oceanological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, RU 690041, Russia

[8]Tomsk National Research Polytechnical University, Tomsk, RU 634050, Russia

Correspondence to: Örjan Gustafsson (orjan.gustafsson@aces.su.se)

Abstract. Thawing of permafrost carbon (PF-C) due to climate warming can remobilise considerable amounts of terrestrial carbon from its long term storage to the marine environment. PF-C can be then buried in sediments or remineralised to CO_2 with implications for the carbon-climate feedback. Studying historical sediment records during past natural climate changes can help to understand the response of permafrost to current climate warming. In this study, two sediment cores collected from the East Siberian Sea were used to study terrestrial organic carbon sources, composition and degradation during the past ~9,500 cal yrs BP. The CuO-derived lignin and cutin products (i.e. compounds solely biosynthesised in terrestrial plants) combined with $\delta^{13}C$ suggest that there was a higher input of terrestrial organic carbon to the East Siberian Sea between ~9,500 and 8,200 cal yrs BP than in all later periods. This high input was likely caused by marine transgression and permafrost destabilisation in the early Holocene climatic optimum. Based on source apportionment modelling using dual-carbon isotope ($\Delta^{14}C$, $\delta^{13}C$) data, coastal erosion releasing old Pleistocene permafrost carbon was identified as a significant source of organic matter translocated to the East Siberian Sea during the Holocene.

1 Introduction

The amount of organic carbon (OC) stored in the northern circumpolar permafrost (PF) amounts to ~1300 Pg OC of which ~800 Pg OC is perennially frozen (the remaining 500 Pg is non-permafrost, seasonally thawing active-layer permafrost or taliks) (Hugelius et al. 2014). Northern hemisphere circumpolar soils thereby hold roughly half of the global soil OC pool (Tamocai et al., 2009). Modelled future climate scenarios predict continued amplified warming in the Arctic for the coming 100 years (IPCC, 2013). This will further destabilise permafrost, leading to increased delivery of terrestrial OC to the Arctic Ocean. The potential decomposition of this relict permafrost carbon (PF-C) and its subsequent release to the atmosphere as CO_2 or CH_4 constitutes a positive feedback to global warming (IPCC, 2013; Koven et al., 2011; Schuur et al., 2015; Shakhova et al., 2013, 2015, 2009; Vonk and Gustafsson, 2013). Considering the size of the Arctic PF-C pool it is important to better understand the dynamics and extent of its vulnerability to remobilisation in response to climate warming.

Many recent studies have focused on current carbon cycling in the Arctic land-ocean continuum (Anderson et al., 2009, 2011; Bröder et al., 2016a; Goñi et al., 2013; Goñi et al., 2000; Karlsson et al., 2015; Semiletov et al., 2016, 2011, 2012; Shakhova et al., 2010; Tesi et al., 2014, 2016b; Vonk et al., 2010; Winterfeld et al., 2015b) with possible linkages to climate change. Constraining how this system responded to earlier climate warming may help us to better predict the future response of PF-C and its climate couplings. The last glacial-interglacial transition constituted a major climate rearrangement on Earth. The increase in mean temperature coupled with sea level rise is thought to have profoundly destabilised PF-C and further released CO_2 to the atmosphere (Ciais et al. 2013; Crichton et al. 2016; Köhler et al. 2014, Tesi et al. 2016a). Several studies have suggested that there was a warming-coupled translocation of terrestrial carbon during the climate warming that ended the latest glacial period (e.g., Bauch et al. 2001; Ciais et al. 2013; Mueller-Lupp et al. 2000; Tesi et al. 2016a) similar to what is predicted to happen as a consequence of the anthropogenic climate change (Barnhart et al. 2014; Vonk and Gustafsson 2013).

Many of the previous Holocene timescale studies in the East Siberian Arctic Shelf (ESAS) have focused on the Laptev Sea (e.g., Bauch et al., 2001b; Mueller-Lupp et al., 2000; Tesi et al., 2016a). This study focuses on the East Siberian Sea (ESS) which has not yet been extensively studied in this aspect especially for the historical reconstruction of PF-C dynamics.. The ESS receives terrestrial OC by coastal erosion, fluvial inflow and possibly sea bed erosion (Karlsson et al., 2016; Semiletov et al., 2005; Stein and Macdonald, 2004; Tesi et al., 2014, 2016b; Vonk et al., 2010). The coast of the ESS is dominated by carbon-rich Ice Complex Deposits (ICD) consisting of old Pleistocene material (Schirrmeister et al. 2011; Semiletov 1999a, 1999b; Vonk et al. 2012). These large ICD bluffs are vulnerable to coastal erosion (Semiletov et al., 2013; Stein and Macdonald 2004; Schirrmeister et al. 2011; Vonk et al. 2012). Coastal erosion can be further intensified with warming enhanced processes like loss of sea ice cover, increasing frequency of storms, degradation of ice-bonded coasts and sea level rise (Barnhart et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2009; Stein and Macdonald, 2004). The largest rivers directly emptying into the ESS are Indigirka and Kolyma with suspended matter discharge of 11.1 x 10^{12} g yr^{-1} and 123±19 x 10^9 g yr^{-1} (Gordeev, 2006; McClelland et al., 2016, respectively), with an input also from the Lena River. The Lena River drains into the Laptev Sea but its exported terrestrial OC is also transferred to the ESS via the Siberian Coastal Current (e.g., Alling et al., 2012; Sánchez-García et al., 2011). However, studies by Vonk et al. (2010, 2012) suggest that the contribution of ICD-PF erosion to the ESS sediment OC dominates over river discharge (ranging from 36 to 76 % in comparison to 5–35 %, respectively). Similar observation have been made in the Laptev Sea by Semiletov et al., (2005, 2011, 2012) and Vonk et al.,

72 (2012, 2014) concluding that the effect of the Lena River input is overall smaller than that from the coastal
73 erosion.

74   In this study we investigate land-to-ocean transfer and fate of PF-C from during the latest state of the

75 last post-glacial eustatic sea level rise until the present day. Our main objectives are to determine the sources

76 and remobilisation fluxes of terrestrial OC as well as the composition and degradation status of the OC that was

77 buried in ESS sediments during the Holocene. We characterise the OC composition by quantifying lignin

78 phenols, cutin acids and other compounds yielded upon CuO oxidation to constrain the sources and degradation

79 status of PF-C as well as the contribution of marine OC. Furthermore, we use a mixing model based on the

80 isotopic composition (Δ^{14}C, δ^{13}C) of the deposited OC to quantify the contribution of three different sources:

81 topsoil-PF from active-layer deepening, ICD-PF and marine plankton. Additionally, we study how OC

82 deposition fluxes have changed over time in response to the sea level rise and Holocene warming.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Background and study area

The East Siberian Sea (ESS) is located off the northeast Siberian coast between the Laptev Sea and the Chukchi Sea (Fig. 1). The ESS is one of the largest shelf seas (987,000 km^2) in the Arctic Ocean as well as one of the shallowest (mean depth 52 m) (Jakobsson, 2002).

Thermokarst landscapes (i.e. thawing ice-rich permafrost) cover ~20 % (3.6 x 10^6 km^{-2}) of the northern circumpolar permafrost region (Olefeldt et al., 2016). Ice Complex Deposit and thermokarst landscapes cover 2,400 km of the ESS coastline (Grigoriev and Rachold 2003). The modern average rate of coastal retreat in the ESS and the adjacent Laptev Sea is 1–10 m yr^{-1} (Grigoriev 2010), though locally, even higher retreat rates (up to 24 and 30 m yr^{-1}) have been reported in the most actively eroding parts (Kanevskiy et al., 2016; Romanovskii et al., 2004). The coastal erosion rates have increased in the Arctic in recent decades (Barnhart et al., 2014; Günther et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2009). According to recent studies (e.g., Bröder et al., 2016a; Semiletov et al., 2013; Tesi et al. 2016b; Vonk et al. 2012) a large fraction of the remobilised PF-C is degraded during cross-shelf transport and released back to the contemporary carbon cycle. To better predict the consequences of the permafrost thaw, it is important to understand both the amount of remobilised organic carbon as well as its fate.

The shelf of the East Siberian SeaESS contains terrestrial permafrost formed during the sea level low of last glacial maximum (Jakobsson et al. 2014). During the Pleistocene-Holocene transition the ESAS was flooded when the sea level rose rapidly (Lambeck et al., 2014; Mueller-Lupp et al., 2000). This global marine transgression started ~20,000 cal yrs BP (Lambeck et al., 2014) and flooded the ESAS between ~11,000 to ~7,000 cal yrs BP (Bauch et al. 2001a; Mueller-Lupp et al. 2000). The rate of the sea level rise was in order of 1 cm yr^{-1} or more (Cronin et al., 2017; Stanford et al., 2010) in the early Holocene. The sampling site of the sediment core investigated in this study was flooded around 11,000 cal yrs BP (Lambeck et al., 2014). The early Holocene temperatures in the Arctic regions were on average 1.6±0.8°C higher than today (Kaufman et al., 2004) and the sea ice was at a low (Fisher et al., 2006).

Post-glacial sea level rise with warming and wetting of the climate caused a major relocation of permafrost carbon from land to the Arctic Ocean (Bauch et al. 2001; Tesi et al. 2016a). Today the period with less sea ice in the ESS is on average 3 months per year which is one of the reasons why the area remains fairly unstudied (Stein and Macdonald, 2004; Vetrov and Romankevich, 2004). Today the period when the ESS is only partially covered with sea ice is on average 3 months per year which is one of the reasons why the area remains fairly unstudied (Stein and Macdonald, 2004; Vetrov and Romankevich, 2004).

2.2 Sampling

A gravity core (called GC58) was collected in the East Siberian SeaESS at 54 m water depth as a part of the international SWERUS-C3 research expedition on i/bI/B Oden in July August 2014. The coring site (Leg 1, station 58, 74.4387° N, 166.0467° E) is located ~500 km from the modern shoreline (Fig. 1). An additional sediment core was collected at the same site (MUC58) using a sediment multicorer (Oktopus GmbH, Germany), which is specifically designed to preserve the sediment-water interface. The total length of GC58 was 78 cm while MUC58 was 32 cm long. The GC58 core was split in half during the expedition and kept refrigerated (+4° C). In the laboratory at Stockholm University, one half was subsampled at 1 cm intervals and kept frozen at -18° C. The multicore was sliced during the expedition at 1 cm intervals and then immediately frozen (-18° C). Prior to analyses, the samples were freeze-dried at the Department of Environmental Science and Analytical Chemistry, Stockholm University, Sweden.

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

125

2.3 ^{210}Pb dating

126

127 Radiogenic ^{210}Pb was analysed with a gamma-ray spectrometer (GRS) at the Department of Geology of the

128 Swedish Museum of Natural History in Stockholm, Sweden. The GRS determines the decay energy of

129 radioisotopes in counts per second by measuring gamma emission of the sample at a known energy level.

130 Prior to the GRS analysis, a subsample of approximately 10 g was homogenised and placed in a plastic

131 container for at least three weeks to reach secular equilibrium between the radioisotopes of lead and radium

132 (^{210}Pb and ^{226}Ra, respectively). The samples were analysed for ^{210}Pb (46.51 keV), ^{226}Ra (186.05 keV) and ^{137}Cs

133 (661.66 keV) on an EG&G ORTEC® co-axial low energy photon spectrometer containing a High-Purity

134 Germanium detector. The counting period for each sample lasted from 1–3 days depending on the amount of

135 ^{210}Pb in the sample. An externally calibrated U-series standard (pitchblende, Stackebo, Sweden) was used to

136 determine the relative efficiency of the gamma detector system. For each sample a minimum of 350 counts was

137 acquired. A blank (empty container) sample was measured to correct for the background activity. The original

138 method is described in detail by Elmquist et al., (2007).

139 Two different models were used for the ^{210}Pb dating: CRS (constant rate of supply) model which

140 assumes a constant rate of supply of excess ^{210}Pb fallout, and CIC (constant initial concentration) model which

141 assumes constant initial concentration of excess ^{210}Pb (Appleby and Oldfield, 1977).

142

2.4 Bayesian modelling of ^{14}C ages for the chronology

143

144 For the age-depth model construction, molluscs retrieved (handpicked) from GC58 were analysed for their

145 radiocarbon (^{14}C) content at the US-NSF National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS)

146 Facility at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), MA, USA. Prior to the analysis the mollusc

147 shells were rinsed with MilliQ water and sonicated. The analysis followed their standard procedures of

148 NOSAMS (Pearson et al., 1998) (Table 1).

149 To account for natural differences in the amount of ^{14}C in the atmosphere as well as differences

150 between the marine environment and the atmosphere (e.g., Stuiver and Braziunas 1993), all ^{14}C data were

151 calibrated with the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013). The offset in the local reservoir age was

152 taken into account by using a ΔR of 50±100 years. Since there are no ΔR values for the ESS in the literature,

153 this ΔR value was taken from a study in the Laptev Sea (Bauch et al. 2001a). The radiocarbon dates are reported

154 in conventional radiocarbon ages calendar years before present (cal yrs BP) (Stuiver and Polach 1977).

155 The age model of the core was built with the OxCal v4.2 program based on the radiocarbon dated

156 molluscs and a depositional model (P_sequence, k = 0.5) (Bronk Ramsey 2008; Bronk Ramsey and Lee 2013).

157 Also, the base of the adjacent multicore dated with ^{210}Pb was used in the model. The ^{210}Pb date used was an

158 average age (50 yrs BP) from the two ^{210}Pb dating models (CRS, CIC) for the bottom layer (12.5 cm) of the

159 multicore (Supplementary Table S3). The age model of GC58 was constructed with a Bayesian statistics

160 approach using the reservoir age (ΔR) and the depth as a prior model and measured radiocarbon dates as

161 likelihoods. The posterior probability densities were acquired with a Markov Chain Monte Carlo procedure

162 which calculates possible distributions in order to date each sediment layer using the given prior model and

163 likelihoods (Bronk Ramsey 2008).

164 Sampling with a heavy gravity corer often disturbs the sediment-water interface and thereby causes

165 losses of the surface sediments. The organic carbon (OC) content of GC58 was therefore compared to the OC

166   content of the adjacent MUC58 to identify for the possible loss. According to the comparison, the top 3 cm were

167   likely lost in GC58 (Supplementary Fig. S1), and thus corrected for.

2.5 Alkaline CuO oxidation

Microwave assisted alkaline CuO oxidation was carried out using the method by Goñi and Montgomery (2000). Each homogenised subsample of around 300 mg was mixed with 300 mg of cupric oxide (CuO) and 50 mg of ammonium iron (II) sulphate hexahydrate ($(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2\cdot6H_2O$). After thorough mixing, nitrogen-purged 2M NaOH was added to each sample. Alkaline oxidation was performed with an UltraWAVE Milestone 215 microwave digestion system at 150˚C for 90 min.

A known amount of internal recovery standards (ethyl-vanillin, cinnamic acid) was added to the CuO reaction products and then acidified to pH 1 with concentrated HCl (35 %). The CuO reaction products were repeatedly extracted using ethyl acetate (EtOAc). Anhydrous sodium sulphate ($NaSO_4$) was added to remove the remaining water. The extracts were dried in a CentriVap (Christ RVC 2-25) at 60° C, re-dissolved in pyridine and stored in a freezer (-18° C) until further analysis.

Finally, the samples were analysed with a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (GC-MS, Agilent 7820A) using a DB5-MS capillary column (60 m x 250 μm, 0.25 μm stationary phase thickness, Agilent J&W) at an initial temperature of 60° C, followed by a ramp of 5° C/min until reaching 300° C. Prior to the GC-MS analysis, the extracts were derivatised with *bis*-~~trimehtylsilyl~~ trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetoamide (BSTFA) + 1 % trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS) to silylate exchangeable hydrogens. The quantification of the samples was based on the comparison of the key ions to commercially available standards. Concentrations of CuO oxidation products were normalised to the organic carbon content of the sample and are reported as mg g^{-1} OC.

2.6 Bulk organic carbon and stable carbon isotope analyses

For the total organic carbon content (TOC), the total nitrogen content (TN) and the stable carbon isotope analysis (δ^{13}C) of TOC, subsamples of 10–15 mg were homogenised and placed in silver capsules, acidified with 1.5M HCl to remove carbonates and then dried at 60˚ C. The TOC, TN and δ^{13}C-TOC were quantified with an elemental analyser Carlo Erba NC2500 connected via a split interface to a Finnigan MAT Delta V mass spectrometer at the Stable Isotope Laboratory of the Department of Geological Sciences at Stockholm University.

For radiocarbon (^{14}C) analysis of the bulk organic carbon, subsamples of sediment were acidified with 1.5M HCl and sent to NOSAMS. To account for the time between the deposition and the measurement, the ^{14}C dates were calibrated with the Eq. (1) using the age data derived from the age model. The bulk radiocarbon data are reported as Δ^{14}C (Stuiver and Polach 1977).

$$\Delta^{14}C = (Fm \times e^{\lambda(1950-Y_c)} - 1) \times 1000 \qquad (1)$$

where Fm is the Fraction Modern, λ is 1/mean life of radiocarbon= 1/8267 and Yc is the year of collection derived from the age model (Stuiver and Polach, 1977).

2.7 Source apportionment

The carbon isotope fingerprint of OC (Δ^{14}C, δ^{13}C) can be used to quantitatively diagnose the relative contribution of topsoil-PF, ICD-PF and marine OC assuming isotopic mass balance (e.g., Vonk et al., 2012). In other words, the carbon isotopic signatures may help to understand whether the OC comes from coastal erosion as a result of the post-glacial warming and sea level rise, active-layer deepening of permafrost carbon in the

209   watershed (as a response to the post-glacial warming) or sedimentation of marine phytoplankton. These different

210   sources have a natural variability in their isotopic composition (end-members). This variability needs to be taken

211   into account to correctly estimate the relative source contributions and the associated uncertainties (e.g.,

212   Andersson, 2011). In previous studies a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) driven approach has

213   been used to ~~effectively~~ estimate the relative source contributions for individual data points (Andersson et al.,

214   2015; Tesi et al., 2016a). Here, we expand this approach to include the time-dependence of the down-core

215   isotopic signatures, taking an advantage of the relatively small variability of the 78 δ^{13}C data points, whilst also

216   using the 10 Δ^{14}C points. The time-dependence of different proportions was taken into account by following the

217   approach of Parnell et al. (~~2012~~2013). The method is described in detail in the Supplementary Methods.

218   The end-member values for the three source classes were taken from the literature ~~(Bröder et al.,~~

219   ~~2016b; Tesi et al., 2016a)~~ (ICD-PF and topsoil-PF values compiled in Vonk et al., 2012; marine OC from Smith

220   et al., 2002) topsoil-PF (~~Δ^{14}C= 232±147 ‰, δ^{13}C= 26.95±1.17 ‰;~~ (Δ^{14}C=-126±54 ‰, δ^{13}C=-28.2±1.96 ‰;

221   mean ± standard deviation), representing thaw of the active-layer of permafrost; marine OC ~~(Δ^{14}C=-50±12 ‰,~~

222   ~~δ^{13}C= 20.97±2.56 ‰)~~ (Δ^{14}C=-60±60 ‰, δ^{13}C=-21±1 ‰), resulting from primary production of phytoplankton;

223   and ICD-PF ~~(Δ^{14}C= 940±31 ‰, δ^{13}C = 26.3±0.63 ‰)~~ (Δ^{14}C=-940±84 ‰, δ^{13}C =-26.3±0.63 ‰), resembling the

224   old Pleistocene material from coastal erosion. The end-member value for ICD-PF was corrected with Eq. (1) to

225   account for the age of the deposition.

226

227   **2.8 Grain size analysis**

228   Prior to the grain size analysis subsamples of sieved (500 μm) sediments from GC58 were homogenised. The

229   grain size analysis was done with a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 laser diffraction particle size analyser, which can

230   measure particles between 10 nm and 3.5 mm. Sodium ~~hexametaphospate~~ hexametaphosphate (10 %) was used

231   to disaggregate the particles suspended in deionised water. To further aid the disaggregation, all samples were

232   exposed to ultrasound for 60 s and allowed to disperse in continuous flow for 3 min in total (including 60 s of

233   ultrasonication) prior to the measurements. To control the concentration of the sample in the flow during the

234   measurements, the obscurity was kept between 5–15 %. High sample obscurity (i.e. high concentration) would

235   cause multiple light scatterings, thus distorting the results. Each sample was analysed in five replicates. The

236   measurements were carried out at the Department of Geological Sciences at Stockholm University, Sweden.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Age chronology of the core

The deepest part of the sediment core GC58 dates back ~9,500 cal yrs BP i.e. to the early Holocene. The age-depth model shows an evident hiatus in the middle of the core between 39.5 cm and 40.5 cm resulting in an age gap of ~6,500 years (~8,200–1,700 cal yrs BP) (Fig. 2). In addition, there is a shorter gap in the chronology between ~9,300 and ~8,500 cal yrs BP. In studies from the adjacent Laptev Sea such age discrepancies have not been observed (Bauch et al. 2001a; Bauch et al. 2001b; Tesi et al. 2016a). It therefore seems likely that there has been a local event causing the removal of sediment layers. There might not have been accumulation during those periods, or the age gap could be a condensed unit of sediment. Although any An actual sediment transport processes giving rise to such a putative total halt in the sedimentation rate is rather elusive and unlikely. Since the whole East Siberian Arctic Shelf (ESAS) is a very shallow shelf where sea ice is formed (Conlan et al., 1998; Jakobsson, 2002), a likely another explanation for an age gap is ice scouring as observed in the Laptev Sea (Ananyev et al., 2016), especially at ~8,500 cal yrs BP when the sea level was around 18 m lower (Lambeck et al., 2014) than today and the water depth at the coring site was around 32 m. At the time of the second age gap (~1,700 cal yrs BP) the water depth at the coring site was approximately 52 m. An ice scouring event could have formed a gouge at the sea bottom that later was re-filled with sediment (Barnes et al. 1984).

The accumulation rates of GC58 obtained from the ^{14}C measurements vary between 0.2 and 1.4 mm yr^{-1} (17.0–138.9 cm kyr[-1]) and mass accumulation rates (MAR) spanned 0.02–0.1 g cm^{-2} yr^{-1}. Bauch et al. (2001a) have reported similar sedimentation rates (0.1–2.6 mm yr^{-1}) from the outer shelf of the Laptev Sea around the same time period. The linear sedimentation rate for the adjacent sediment core MUC58 derived from ^{210}Pb dating is 1.3 mm yr[1] and an average MAR 0.03 g cm^{-2} yr^{-1}. Similar accumulation rates with ^{210}Pb dated sediment cores have been reported in other studies from the East Siberian Sea ESS: 1.1–1.6 mm yr^{-1} (Vonk et al. 2012) and 1.4–1.5 mm yr^{-1} (Bröder et al., 2016b). The slight difference in accumulation rates using ^{210}Pb chronology compared to ^{14}C may be due to active biological mixing giving higher accumulation rates for the shorter time scale of more surficial sediments (Baskaran et al. 2016; Boudreau 1994).

3.2 Sediment grain size, stable carbon isotopes and biomarker composition of organic matter

Grain size can be used to describe the depositional environment. The sediment core GC58 consists mostly of clay and silt, with a fraction of sand (Supplementary Fig. S2). The higher sand content that is observed at ~8,500 cal yrs BP may reflect a higher-energy depositional regime likely due to proceeding marine transgression and energetic coastal dynamics. Bauch et al. (2001a) have reported a shift from sandy silt to clayey silt around 7,400 cal yrs BP from a sediment core collected in the eastern Laptev Sea. They attribute this change to the end of the sea level rise and the establishing of more stable conditions. The GC58 sediment core has a hiatus at that time period, but has a similar clayey silt composition at the top part of the core (~1,700 cal yrs BP until today). This may indicate comparably similar stable conditions in the East Siberian Sea ESS in the last 1,700 cal yrs BP.

The total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations in GC58 vary from 0.5 to 1.1% (Supplementary Table S1) with the highest TOC content in the surface sediments. These data agree with average TOC contents reported for the ESS East Siberian Sea (Semiletov et al., 2005; Stein and Macdonald, 2004; Vetrov and Romankevich, 2004; Vonk et al., 2012). The OC fluxes for GC58 calculated with the ^{14}C age-model (covering ~9,500 cal yrs BP) range between 1.2 and 10.9 g m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Fig. 3a). The OC fluxes for MUC58 calculated with the ^{210}Pb chronology (covering the most recent ~100 yrs) are similar and vary from 0.4 to 6.1 g m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Supplementary Table S2). The OC fluxes show an increasing trend from the bottom of the core toward the top

Field Code Changed

279 in both cores. A similar trend has been reported by (Bröder et al., 2016b) from the ESS ~~East Siberian Sea~~ using

280 two ^{210}Pb-dated sediment cores. For GC58, the high OC flux at the very top of the core is likely related to the

281 merging of the two dating systems (^{14}C and ^{210}Pb), which causes a higher sediment accumulation rate at the top

282 of the core and thus higher fluxes.

283 Lignin phenols and cutin acids are useful proxies for tracing carbon of terrestrial origin because both

284 compounds are solely biosynthesised in terrestrial plants. Lignin is an essential component in cell walls of

285 vascular plants (Higuchi, 1971), while cutin is a lipid polyester, which forms a protective wax layer on

286 epidermal cells of leaves and needles with other lipids (e.g., Kunst and Samuels 2003). ~~These compounds have~~

287 ~~been widely used in recent studies of terrestrial OC in the Arctic~~These compounds have been demonstrated to be

288 useful in studying terrestrial OC in the Arctic (e.g., Amon et al., 2012; Bröder et al., 2016b; Goñi et al., 2013;

289 Tesi et al., 2014). Both lignin and cutin fluxes show a similar trend with the highest fluxes at the bottom of the

290 core (~9,500 cal yrs BP) indicating a high proportion of terrestrial organic matter (Fig. 3b). The large variability

291 in the fluxes between ~9,500 and ~8,200 cal yrs BP compared to the latest ~1,700 cal yrs BP suggests that the

292 system was more dynamic at that time. The rapid decrease in both lignin and cutin fluxes proposes a change

293 from terrestrially dominated to marine dominated input at ~8,400 cal yrs BP in this part of the ESS ~~East~~

294 ~~Siberian Sea~~. Bauch et al. (2001b) suggested a similar regime shift from terrestrial to marine in the Laptev Sea

295 between ~8,900 and ~8,400 cal yrs BP based on the occurrence of bivalves and benthic foraminiferal species.

296 The same process affecting OC fluxes is likely causing also higher lignin and cutin fluxes at the top of GC58.

297 The overall decrease in lignin and cutin fluxes as well as concentrations (Supplementary Table S3) in time is

298 likely due to increasing hydrodynamic sorting and degradation during transport as transport times from the coast

299 became longer because of the marine transgression (Fig. 3a). Bröder et al., (2016a) have observed a similar

300 strong decrease in the amount of terrestrial organic carbon depositions with increasing distance from the coast in

301 the Laptev Sea. A recent study by Tesi et al. (2016b) shows that the largest particles, rich in lignin (i.e. plant

302 debris), tend to be preferentially buried close to the shore and with cross-shelf transport of lignin occurring

303 overwhelmingly bound to fine particles (with low settling velocities) (i.e. of the total lignin deposited to the

304 marine environment only a fraction, ~4–5 %, travels across the shelf).

305 Other useful indicators of the marine input in organic matter are CuO oxidation derived low-molecular

306 weight fatty acids (LMW-FA). They are mainly found in phytoplankton but also in other organisms such as

307 bacteria and algae (Goñi and Hedges, 1995). Especially C16FA:1 together with C14FA and C16FA serve as

308 proxies for marine OC as they are highly abundant in marine sediments and very low in concentrations in ICD-

309 PF and topsoil-PF (Goñi and Hedges, 1995; Tesi et al., 2014). The highest fluxes of LMW-FA are observed for

310 the very top of the core (Fig. 3c), indicating a larger proportion of marine OC. The values decrease rapidly

311 down-core as marine FA are readily degraded (e.g., Bröder et al., 2016b; Canuel and Martens, 1996). This trend

312 may also be influenced by the change in input from terrestrial to marine dominated sources.

313 The stable isotopic composition of bulk OC (δ^{13}C) may be used to distinguish between marine and

314 terrestrial organic matter (Fry and Sherr, 1984). The δ^{13}C values for C3-photosynthesised terrestrial carbon are

315 between -23 to -30 ‰, whereas marine carbon has a less depleted δ^{13}C signature between -18 ‰ and 24 ‰ (e.g.,

316 Fry and Sherr, 1984). However, these end-member values may differ depending on the region, especially in the

317 Arctic where open water and sea ice phytoplankton exhibits different isotopic fingerprints (Kohlbach et al.,

318 2016). The δ^{13}C values for GC58 range from -23 to -25 ‰ (Fig. 3d) with the most depleted values (i.e. most

319 terrestrial) between ~9,500 and ~8,200 cal yrs BP, and the least depleted values (i.e. most marine) from ~1,700

cal yrs BP until the modern time. Mueller-Lupp et al. (2000 and references within) have argued that $\delta^{13}C$ values in sediments of the Arctic Ocean can have a terrestrial overprint in $\delta^{13}C$ composition caused by the rapid degradation of planktonic organic matter i.e. the amount of marine organic matter of the total organic matter pool in the Arctic is relatively low. Yet, the gradual change in $\delta^{13}C$ indicates that the contribution of marine organic matter is greater at the top of the core where the $\delta^{13}C$ values are less depleted.

It is notable that the values for all the different parameters shown in Fig. 3 on both sides of the age gap (between ~8,200 and ~1,700 cal yrs BP) are near-continuous in spite of the ~6,500 year hiatus (except for the bulk $\Delta^{14}C$ OC values). Either the values actually are similar in both sides of the hiatus or alternatively tThis could be explained by bioturbation, mixing the older part of the core with the newer deposits, thus resulting in an apparent continuity in property values across the hiatus. The $\Delta^{14}C$ values suggest that there was more ^{14}C depleted material deposited ~1,600 cal yrs BP ago, causing a drop in the $\Delta^{14}C$ values. Though more likely, as the $\Delta^{14}C$ values are dependent on time, any uncertainty in the age model would have an effect on the $\Delta^{14}C$ values.

3.3 Degradation status of terrestrial organic matter

Lignin phenols provide insight into the degradation status of the deposited terrestrial organic matter. The acid-to-aldehyde ratios of lignin phenols, syringic acid to syringaldehyde (Sd/Sl) and vanillic acid to vanillin (Vd/Vl), have been used to study degradation of lignin (e.g., Benner and Opsahl and Benner, 1995; Hedges et al., 1988). As acids are more abundant in relation to aldehydes in degraded lignin, higher ratios mean more degraded lignin (Goñi et al., 1993). Both Sd/Sl and Vd/Vl ratios show great variability throughout the core (Fig. 4a), especially for the top part of the core. The variability at the core top may reflect the analytical uncertainty caused by very low lignin concentrations. In addition, However, Goñi et al. (2000) and Tesi et al. (2014) have argued that the acid to aldehyde ratios of lignin phenols might not serve as good degradation proxy for Arctic Ocean sediments as the material entering the marine environment might have experienced degradation prior to entering the marine system. This is supported by our data as both Sd/Sl and Vd/Vl ratios show great variability throughout the core (Supplementary Fig. S3).

The ratio of 3,5-dihydrobenzoic acid to vanillyl phenols (3,5-Bd/V) is another proxy used to constrain the degradation status of terrestrial organic matter in sediments (e.g., Hedges et al. 1988; Tesi et al. 2014; Tesi et al. 2016a). Specifically, this proxy is used to distinguish diagenetically-altered mineral soil OC from relatively fresh vascular plant debris (Farella et al. 2001; Louchouarn et al. 1999; Prahl et al. 1994). The only source of 3,5-Bd in the marine environment is from brown algae which are not common in the study area (Goñi and Hedges, 1995; Tesi et al., 2014). The low 3,5-Bd/V ratio at the bottom of the core (~9,500– 8,200 cal yrs BP) implies that the organic matter that was deposited in that period was relatively undegraded (Supplementary Fig. S3) (Fig. 4b). The extent of degradation gradually increases toward the top of the core. However, hydrodynamic sorting may affect the degradation values as the largest particles of fresh vascular plant debris are likely buried close to the coast (Tesi et al., 2016b). The input of organic matter was higher before ~8,200 cal yrs BP, presumably due to coastal erosion caused by the marine transgression. When sediments are quickly buried they can serve as a more effective sink for terrestrial organic matter (Hilton et al., 2015). As the material is less degraded and the sedimentation rates are high in GC58 between ~9,500 and ~8,200 cal yrs BP, the input of organic matter was likely high causing it to be quickly buried. Similar high input of terrestrial material has been observed in the Laptev Sea ~11,000 cal yrs BP (Tesi et al. 2016a).

Field Code Changed

361   The location of the study site is currently ~500 km offshore so transport time and thereby the oxygen

362 exposure time of the organic matter in the benthic compartment is now longer than in the earlier phase of the

363 Holocene. The longer distance from the coast allows more time for organic matter to degrade before burial

364 (Bröder et al., 2016a). Hartnett et al. (1998) have also shown that the burial efficiency of organic carbon

365 decreases as a function of oxygen exposure time. The same trend can be seen in the fraction remaining lignin

366 ($f_{lignin/terrOC}$) i.e. the amount of lignin as a ratio of the observed and expected (assuming conservative mixing i.e.

367 no degradation) concentrations of lignin and terrestrial OC (terrOC) (see Supplementary Methods for details). In

368 GC58 the $f_{lignin/terrOC}$ decreases down-core likely as a result of the proceeding marine transgression

369 (Supplementary Fig. S4~~3~~). This trend suggests that with longer transport time the lignin degradation is more

370 extensive due to the protracted oxygen exposure time and hydrodynamic sorting (Keil et al., 2004; Tesi et al.,

371 2016a). We estimated this lateral transport time to be ~1.4 kyr longer at modern times than at the beginning of

372 the Holocene for the station GC58 (Supplementary Fig. S5~~4~~). To model the lateral transport times, we used the

373 $f_{lignin/terrOC}$ with individual degradation rates for terrOC and lignin (Bröder et al. 2017, submitted) (see

374 Supplementary Methods).

375

3.4 Dual-isotope based source apportionment of OC

377 The source apportionment results show that most of the organic matter originates from coastal erosion since

378 ICD-PF material is the largest fraction (41–91 %) throughout the core (Fig. 5). Earlier studies demonstrated that

379 the decay of fresh marine organic matter is more rapid compared to degradation of terrestrial organic matter

380 (Karlsson et al., 2011, 2015; Salvadó et al., 2016; Vonk et al., 2010). This may lead to selective preservation of

381 terrestrial organic matter in the sediments of the ESAS ~~East Siberian Arctic Shelf~~ (Karlsson et al. 2011, 2015;

382 Vonk et al. 2010). ~~The proportion of old terrestrial organic matter might also be greater in Arctic sediments due~~

383 ~~to generally low primary production in the area (Stein and Macdonald, 2004).~~ The contribution of topsoil-PF is

384 fairly low throughout the core (3–23 %). This may be due to the location of GC58 between the two major rivers

385 (Kolyma and Indigirka) resulting in relatively low amounts of fluvial inflow depositing topsoil permafrost.

386   To further interpret our results within a larger context of PF-C destabilisation during post-glacial

387 warming, we compared our results with another transgressive deposit collected in the Laptev Sea (PC23, Fig. 1,

388 Tesi et al. 2016a). For the Laptev Sea (PC23), there was a predominant influence of watershed-sourced material

389 via river discharge during the onset of the Holocene, followed by a similar contributions of marine OC and ICD-

390 PF fractions (both sources varying between 31 and 56 %) from ~8,300 cal yrs BP to present. For the ~~East~~

391 ~~Siberian Sea~~ ESS (GC58), the contribution of ICD-PF is more prominent for the same time period, indicating a

392 higher significance of coastal erosion for the ~~East Siberian Sea~~ESS compared to the Laptev Sea (Fig. 6~~5~~),

393 especially when compared to the early Holocene signature. Topsoil-PF fractions in PC23 are slightly higher (8–

394 25 %) than in GC58 (3–23 %) from ~8,300 cal yrs BP to current day. The difference is likely caused by a strong

395 influence of the Lena River at the sampling location of PC23 and less fluvial inflow to GC58 due to its location

396 farther away from the mouths of the Lena, Kolyma and Indigirka rivers.

397   When the shoreline was farther seaward during the early Holocene, the location of the core PC23 from

398 the Laptev Sea experienced a large influence of Lena River derived material (80–90 %) (Tesi et al. 2016a). This

399 material was supplied to the Laptev Sea in response to the deglaciation and associated active-layer deepening in

400 the watershed (Tesi et al. 2016a). Although the record of GC58 does not go back in time to the glacial-

401 interglacial transition at the very onset of the Holocene, ~~our results~~ we suggest that coastal erosion was likely an

important ~~the dominant~~ process affecting the permafrost carbon supply and deposition also at that time. This seems possible ~~likely~~, especially when considering the location of the core GC58 in between the rivers, and as has been observed in modern day shallower sediments in the ~~East Siberian Sea~~ESS (Bröder et al., 2016b; Vonk et al., 2012).

3.5 ~~Biomarker indications of s~~Sources of terrestrial organic matter

The lignin fingerprint of organic matter sources in GC58 is consistent with the dual-carbon isotope modelling. Here we focus on the cinnamyl to vanillyl phenols and syringyl to vanillyl phenols ratios (C/V and S/V, respectively). The C/V ratio can be used to differentiate between woody (i.e. shrubs and trees) and non-woody (i.e. leaves, needles, grasses) plant tissues as origin of the terrestrial OC since cinnamyl phenols are produced only in non-woody vascular plant tissues (Hedges et al., 1988). Moreover, the S/V ratio differentiates between gymnosperms (conifers) and angiosperms (flowering plants) as syringyl phenols are produced solely in angiosperms (Hedges et al., 1988). Thereby higher S/V ratios mean more contribution from angiosperm plants.

The S/V and C/V ratios in GC58 show that the terrestrial material transported to the ESS originates mainly from soft tissue material (i.e. grasses and leaves) both from angiosperm and gymnosperm plants (Fig. 6~~7~~). The lignin fingerprint of old Pleistocene material (ICD-PF) is characterised by high ratios of both C/V and S/V i.e. a high abundance of soft plant tissues from the tundra steppe vegetation (e.g. grass-like material) (Tesi et al. 2014; Winterfeld et al. 2015). Observations from the Laptev Sea (sediment core PC23, Fig. 1) reveal a much stronger influence from woody material indicating a watershed source, likely from the Lena River, rather than from coastal erosion (Fig. 6~~7~~). It should be noted that the lignin phenols are susceptible to degradation. Cinnamyl phenols in particular are known to degrade fairly fast, which may lower the C/V ratios (Opsahl and Benner, 1995). However, even considering degradation effects, the relatively high C/V and S/V values that characterise GC58, indicate grass-type material typical of tundra/steppe biome and ICD-PF deposits (Tesi et al., 2014; Winterfeld et al., 2015).

4 Conclusions

This down-core study provides new insights into terrestrial carbon dynamics in the ~~East Siberian Sea~~ (ESS~~)~~ from the early Holocene warming period until the present. Our results suggest a high input of terrestrial organic carbon to the ESS during the last glacial-interglacial period caused by permafrost destabilisation. This material was mainly characterised as relict Pleistocene permafrost ~~deposited~~ released via coastal erosion as a result of the sea level ingression.

The flux rates of both lignin and cutin compounds show a declining trend in ~~from~~ the early Holocene ~~until today~~, suggesting a change from mainly terrestrial to marine dominated input. The same change can be seen in the stable carbon isotope (δ^{13}C) data, which imply a regime shift from terrestrial to more marine dominated sediment input at ~8,400 cal yrs BP.

The source apportionment data highlight~~s~~ the importance of coastal erosion as a terrestrial carbon source to ~~this region of~~ the ESS ~~throughout the Holocene.~~ during the Holocene time periods of ~9,500–9,300 cal yrs BP, ~8,500–8,200 cal yrs BP and from ~1,700 cal yrs BP to the modern day. This is supported by the lignin composition~~,~~ which suggests ~~that the terrestrial carbon in the sediment core GC58 consists mainly of~~ a deposition of ~~soft tissues of plants (i.e. grasses), typical for~~ tundra/steppe vegetation (i.e., grasses) grown during the Pleistocene. Both the biomarker and grain size data imply that the conditions have been more stable in the ESS in the past ~1,700 cal yrs BP compared to the early Holocene.

The comparison of the source apportionment results (δ^{13}C, Δ^{14}C) and the lignin fingerprint (C/V and S/V ratios) for the sediment cores GC58 and PC23 show~~s~~ a difference in the carbon sources between the ~~East Siberian Sea~~ESS ~~and~~ the adjacent Laptev Sea. The relict Pleistocene permafrost, mostly originating from coastal erosion, may be more dominant in the ESS than in the Laptev Sea. Data for the sediment core PC23 show that the Laptev Sea instead had a relatively high input of terrestrial carbon from the watershed, which is likely due to the influence of the Lena River.

The accelerating coastal erosion rates along the Siberian coast and amplified warming in the Arctic predicted by many climate models are likely to cause permafrost destabilisation and remobilisation of terrestrial carbon to the marine environment, as observed in the beginning of the Holocene. To better understand the consequences of the permafrost thawing processes, the extent of degradation of terrestrial carbon in the marine environment should be better constrained. Also, to improve the understanding of the processes in the ESS and in the whole Arctic region more historical down-core studies would be needed.

Author contributions

456   **Author contributions**

457   T. Tesi and Ö. Gustafsson conceived and designed the research project. T. Tesi, L. Bröder, I. Semiletov, O.

458   Dudarev and Ö. Gustafsson collected the samples with the help from the ~~IB/RV~~ I/B _Oden_ crew. C. Pearce and

459   K. Keskitalo developed the age-depth model of GC58. K. Keskitalo carried out all chemical and geological

460   analyses on GC58 and MUC58. M. Sköld and A. Andersson ran the MCMC simulation for the OC source

461   apportionment. A. Andersson estimated the lateral transport times. K. Keskitalo wrote the paper and produced

462   the figures with input from all the co-authors.

463

464   **Competing interests**

465   The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

466

467 We thank the crew and personnel of ~~IB/RV~~ I/B ~~Oden~~ *Oden*. We thank Rienk Smittenberg for the use of the

468 microwave extraction facilities. We also thank Carina Jakobsson, Heike Siegmund and Karin Wallner for their

469 help with the laboratory analyses~~.~~ at the Department of Geological Sciences at Stockholm University and at the

470 Department of Geology of the Swedish Museum of Natural History. This study was supported by the Knut and

471 Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW contract 2011.0027), the Swedish Research Council (VR contract 621-

472 2004-4039 and 621-2007-4631), the Nordic Council of Ministers Cryosphere-Climate-Carbon Initiative (project

473 Defrost, contract 23001) and the European Research Council (ERC-AdG project CC-TOP #695331).

474 Additionally, I. Semiletov thanks the Russian Government for financial support (mega-grant under contract

475 #14.Z50.31.0012). O. Dudarev thanks the Russian Science Foundation for financial support (No. 15-17-20032).

476 T. Tesi acknowledges EU financial support as a Marie Curie fellow (contract no. PIEF-GA-2011-300259).

477 Contribution no. 1916 of ISMAR-CNR Sede di Bologna. L. Bröder acknowledges financial support from the

478 Climate Research School of the Bolin Centre for Climate Research. C. Pearce received funding from the Danish

479 Council for Independent Research / Natural Science (project DFF-4002-00098/FNU). M. Sköld acknowledges

480 financial support from the Swedish Research Council (Grant 2013:05204). We also want to thank the editor

481 Thomas Cronin and two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments.

References

Alling, V., Porcelli, D., Mörth, C. M., Anderson, L. G., Sanchez-Garcia, L., Gustafsson, Ö., Andersson, P. S. and Humborg, C.: Degradation of terrestrial organic carbon, primary production and out-gassing of CO2 in the Laptev and East Siberian Seas as inferred from ??13C d13C values of DIC, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 95, 143–159, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.028, 2012.

Amon, R. M. W., Rinehart, A. J., Duan, S., Louchouarn, P., Prokushkin, A., Guggenberger, G., Bauch, D., Stedmon, C., Raymond, P. A., Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Walker, S. A. and Zhulidov, A. V.: Dissolved organic matter sources in large Arctic rivers, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 94, 217–237, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.015, 2012.

Ananyev, R., Dmitrevskiy, N., Jakobsson, M., Lobkovsky, L. and., Nikiforov, S., Roslyakov, A. and Semiletov, I.: Sea-ice ploughmarks in the eastern Laptev Sea, East Siberian Arctic shelf, Atlas Submar. Glacial Landforms Mod. Quat. Ancient, Geol. Soc. London, Mem., 46(1), 301–302, doi:10.1144/M46.109, 2016.

Anderson, L. G., Jutterström, S., Hjalmarsson, S., Wåhlström, I. and Semiletov, I. P.: Out-gassing of CO2 from Siberian Shelf seas by terrestrial organic matter decomposition, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(20), doi:10.1029/2009GL040046, 2009.

Anderson, L. G., Björk, G., Jutterström, S., Pipko, I., Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I. and Wåhlstrm, I.: East Siberian Sea, an Arctic region of very high biogeochemical activity, Biogeosciences, 8(6), 1745–1754, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1745-2011, 2011.

Andersson, A.: A systematic examination of a random sampling strategy for source apportionment calculations, Sci. Total Environ., 412–413, 232–238, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.10.031, 2011.

Andersson, A., Deng, J., Du, K., Zheng, M., Yan, C., Sköld, M. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Regionally-varying combustion sources of the january 2013 severe haze events over eastern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(4), 2038–2043, doi:10.1021/es503855e, 2015.

Barnhart, K. R., Anderson, R. S., Overeem, I., Wobus, C., Clow, G. D. and Urban, F. E.: Modeling erosion of ice-rich permafrost bluffs along the Alaskan Beaufort Sea coast, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 119(5), 1155–1179, doi:10.1002/2013JF002845, 2014.

Baskaran, M., Bianchi, T. S. and Filley, T. R.: Inconsistencies between 14 C14C and short-lived radionuclides-based sediment accumulation rates: Effects of long-term remineralization, J. Environ. Radioact., 1–7, doi:10.1016/j.jenvrad.2016.07.028, 2016.

Bauch, H. a., Kassens, H., Naidina, O. D., Kunz-Pirrung, M. and Thiede, J.: Composition and Flux of Holocene Sediments on the Eastern Laptev Sea Shelf, Arctic Siberia, Quat. Res., 55(3), 344–351, doi:10.1006/qres.2000.2223, 2001a.

Bauch, H. A., Mueller-Lupp, T., Taldenkova, E., Spielhagen, R. F., Kassens, H., Grootes, P. M., Thiede, J., Heinemeier, J. and Petryashov, V. V.: Chronology of the holocene transgression at the north siberian margin, Glob. Planet. Change, 31(1–4), 125–139, doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(01)00116-3, 2001b.

Benner, R. and Opsahl, S.: Early diagenesis of vascular plant tissues : Lignin and cutin decomposition and biogeochemical implications, , 59(23), 1995.

Boudreau, B. P.: Is burial velocity a master parameter for bioturbation?, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 58(4), 1243–1249, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)90378-6, 1994.

Bröder, L., Tesi, T., Salvadó, J. A., Semiletov, I. P. and., Dudarev, O. V. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Fate of terrigenous organic matter across the Laptev Sea from the mouth of the Lena River to the deep sea of the Arctic interior, Biogeosciences, 13(17), 5003–5019, doi:10.5194/bg-13-5003-2016, 2016a.

Bröder, L., Tesi, T., Andersson, A., Eglinton, T. I., Semiletov, I. P., Dudarev, O. V~,.,~ Roos, P. and Gustafsson,
Ö.: Historical records of organic matter supply and degradation status in the East Siberian Sea, Org. Geochem.,
91, 16–30, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.10.008, 2016b.

Bröder, L., Tesi, T., Andersson, A., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Bounding cross-shelf transport time and
degradation in land-ocean carbon transfer. 2017. (submitted)

Bronk Ramsey, C.: Deposition models for chronological records, Quat. Sci. Rev., 27(1–2), 42–60,
doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.01.019, 2008.

Bronk Ramsey, C. and Lee, S.: Recent and Planned Developments of the Program OxCal, Radiocarbon, 55(2),
720–730, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16215, 2013.

Canuel, E. A. and Martens, C. S.: Reactivity of recently deposited organic matter : near the sediment-water
Degradation interface of lipid compounds, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 60(10), 1793–1806, 1996.

Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Paris, J. D., Caldeira, K., Raupach, M. R., Canadell, J. G., Patwardhan, A., Friedlingstein,
P., Piao, S. L. and Gitz, V.: Attributing the increase in atmospheric CO2 to emitters and absorbers, Nat. Clim.
Chang., 3(10), 926–930, doi:10.1038/nclimate1942, 2013.

Conlan, K. E., Lenihan, H. S., Kvitek, R. G. and Oliver, J. S.: Ice scour disturbance to benthic communities in
the Canadian High Arctic, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 166, 1–16, doi:10.3354/meps166001, 1998.

Crichton, K. A., Bouttes, N., Roche, D. M., Chappellaz, J. and Krinner, G.: Permafrost carbon as a missing link
to explain CO 2 changes during the last deglaciation, ~,~Nat. Geosci., 9(~August),~9), 683–686,
doi:10.1038/~NGEO2793~ngeo2793, 2016.

Cronin, T. M., Regan, M. O., Pearce, C., Gemery, L., Toomey, M. and Jakobsson, M.: Deglacial sea-level
history of the East Siberian Sea Margin, , (March), doi:10.5194/cp-2017-19, 2017.

Elmquist, M., Zencak, Z. and Gustafsson, Ö.: A 700 year sediment record of black carbon and polycyclic
aromatic hydrocarbons near the EMEP air monitoring station in Aspvreten, Sweden, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
41(20), 6926–6932, doi:10.1021/es070546m, 2007.

Farella, N., Lucotte, M., Louchouarn, P. and Roulet, M.: Deforestation modifying terrestrial organic transport ´s
, Brazilian Amazon in the Rio Tapajo, ~Org. Geochem.,,~ 32, 1443–1458, 2001.

Fisher, D., Dyke, A., Koerner, R., Bourgeois, J., Kinnard, C., Zdanowicz, C., de Vernal, A., Hillaire-Marcel, C.,
Savelle, J. and Rochon, A.: Natural Variability of Arctic Sea Ice Over the Holocene, Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys.
Union, 87(28), 273–275, 2006.

Fry, B. and Sherr, E. B.: d13C Measurements as indicators of carbon flow in marine and freshwater ecosystems,
Contrib. Mar. Sci., 27, 13–49, 1984.

Goñi, M. A. and Hedges, J. I.: Sources and reactivities of marine-derived organic matter in coastal sediments as
determined by alkaline CuO oxidation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59(14), 2965–2981, doi:10.1016/0016-
7037(95)00188-3, 1995.

Goñi, M. A. and Montgomery, S.: Alkaline CuO oxidation with a microwave digestion system: Lignin analyses
of geochemical samples, Anal. Chem., 72(14), 3116–3121, doi:10.1021/ac991316w, 2000.

~Goñi, M. A., Nelson, B., Blanchette, R. A. and Hedges, J. I.: Fungal degradation of wood lignins: Geochemical~
~perspectives from CuO-derived phenolic dimers and monomers, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 57(16), 3985-~
~4002, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(93)90348-Z, 1993.~

Goñi, M. A., Yunker, M. B., MacDonald, R. W. and Eglinton, T. I.: Distribution and sources of organic
biomarkers in arctic sediments from the Mackenzie River and Beaufort Shelf, Mar. Chem., 71(1–2), 23–51,
doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00037-2, 2000.

566 Goñi, M. A., O'Connor, A. E., Kuzyk, Z. Z., Yunker, M. B., Gobeil, C. and Macdonald, R. W.: Distribution and
567 sources of organic matter in surface marine sediments across the North American Arctic margin, J. Geophys.
568 Res. Ocean., 118, 4017–4035, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20286, 2013.

569 Gordeev, V. V.: Fluvial sediment flux to the Arctic Ocean, Geomorphology, 80(1–2), 94–104,
570 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.09.008, 2006.

571 Grigoriev, M.: Coastal sediment and organic carbon flux to the Laptev and East Siberian Seas, , 12, 8763, 2010.

572 Grigoriev, M. N. and Rachold, V.: The degradation of coastal permafrost and the organic carbon balance of the
573 Laptev and East Siberian Seas, , (1987),Permafr. Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Permafrost, 21-25 July 2003, Zurich,
574 Switz., (1987), 319–324, 2003.

575 Günther, F., Overduin, P. P., Yakshina, I. A., Opel, T., Baranskaya, A. V. and Grigoriev, M. N.: Observing
576 Muostakh disappear: Permafrost thaw subsidence and erosion of a ground-ice-rich Island in response to arctic
577 summer warming and sea ice reduction, Cryosphere, 9(1), 151–178, doi:10.5194/tc-9-151-2015, 2015.

578 Hartnett, H., Keil, R., Hedges, J. and Devol, A.: Influence of oxygen exposure time on organic carbon
579 preservation in continental margin sediments, Nature, 391(February), 572–575, doi:10.1038/35351, 1998.

580 Hedges, J. I., Blanchette, R. A., Weliky, K. and Devol, A. H.: Effects of fungal degradation on the CuO
581 oxidation products of lignin: A controlled laboratory study, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 52(11), 2717–2726,
582 doi:10.1016/0016-7037(88)90040-3, 1988.

583 Higuchi, T.: Formation and biological degradation of lignins, Adv Enzym. Relat Areas Mol Biol, 34, 207–283,
584 1971.

585 Hilton, R. G., Galy, V., Gaillardet, J., Dellinger, M., Bryant, C., O'Regan, M., Gröcke, D. R., Coxall, H.,
586 Bouchez, J. and Calmels, D.: Erosion of organic carbon in the Arctic as a geological carbon dioxide sink,
587 Nature, 524(7563), 84–87, doi:10.1038/nature14653, 2015.

588 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse,
589 G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J.
590 and Kuhry, P.: Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and
591 identified data gaps, Biogeosciences, 11(23), 6573–6593, doi:10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014.

592 IPCC Working Group 1, I., Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J.,
593 Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P. M.: IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
594 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
595 Climate Change, IPCC, AR5, 1535, 2013.

596 Jakobsson, M.: Hypsometry and volume of the Arctic Ocean and its constituent seas, Geochemistry Geophys.
597 Geosystems, 3(5), 1–18, 2002.

598 Jakobsson, M., Andreassen, K., Bjarnadóttir, L. R., Dove, D., Dowdeswell, J. A., England, J. H., Funder, S.,
599 Hogan, K., Ingólfsson, Ó., Jennings, A., Krog Larsen, N., Kirchner, N., Landvik, J. Y., Mayer, L., Mikkelsen,
600 N., Möller, P., Niessen, F., Nilsson, J., O'Regan, M., Polyak, L., Nørgaard-Pedersen, N. and Stein, R.: Arctic
601 Ocean glacial history, Quat. Sci. Rev., 92, 40–67, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.07.033, 2014.

602 Jones, B. M., Arp, C. D., Jorgenson, M. T., Hinkel, K. M., Schmutz, J. A. and Flint, P. L.: Increase in the rate
603 and uniformity of coastline erosion in Arctic Alaska, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(3), 1–5,
604 doi:10.1029/2008GL036205, 2009.

605 Kanevskiy, M., Shur, Y., Strauss, J., Jorgenson, T., Fortier, D., Stephani, E. and Vasiliev, A.: Patterns and rates
606 of riverbank erosion involving ice-rich permafrost (yedoma) in northern Alaska, Geomorphology, 253, 370–
607 384, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.10.023, 2016.

608 Karlsson, E., Gelting, J., Tesi, T., Dongen, B., Andersson, A., Semiletov, I., Charkin, A., Dudarev, O. and
609 Gustafsson, Ö.: Different sources and degradation state of dissolved, particulate, and sedimentary organic matter
610 along the Eurasian Arctic coastal margin, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, (30), 898–919,
611 doi:doi:10.1002/2015GB005307, 2016.

612 Karlsson, E. S., Charkin, A., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I., Vonk, J. E., Sánchez-García, L. Andersson, A. and,
613 Gustafsson, ÖO. and Arctic, I.: Carbon isotopes and lipid biomarker investigation of sources-, transport and
614 degradation of terrestrial organic matter in the Buor-Khaya Bay, SE Laptev Sea, -Biogeosciences, 8(7), 1865–
615 1879, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1865-2011, 2011.

616 Karlsson, E. S., Brüchert, V., Tesi, T., Charkin, A., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Contrasting
617 regimes for organic matter degradation in the East Siberian Sea and the Laptev Sea assessed through microbial
618 incubations and molecular markers, Mar. Chem., 170, 11–22, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.12.005, 2015.

619 Kaufman, D. S., Ager, T. A., Anderson, N. J., Anderson, P. M., Andrews, J. T., Bartlein, P. J., Brubaker, L. B.,
620 Coats, L. L., Cwynar, L. C., Duvall, M. L., Dyke, A. S., Edwards, M. E., Eisner, W. R., Gajewski, K.,
621 Geirsdóttir, A., Hu, F. S., Jennings, A. E., Kaplan, M. R., Kerwin, M. W., Lozhkin, A. V., MacDonald, G. M.,
622 Miller, G. H., Mock, C. J., Oswald, W. W., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Porinchu, D. F., Rühland, K., Smol, J. P., Steig,
623 E. J. and Wolfe, B. B.: Holocene thermal maximum in the western Arctic (0-180??W), Quat. Sci. Rev., 23(5–6),
624 529–560, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.09.007, 2004.

625 Keil, R. G., Dickens, A. F., Arnarson, T., Nunn, B. L. and Devol, A. H.: What is the oxygen exposure time of
626 laterally transported organic matter along the Washington margin?, Mar. Chem., 92(1–4 SPEC. ISS.), 157–165,
627 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2004.06.024, 2004.

628 Kohlbach, D., Graeve, M., A. Lange, B., David, C., Peeken, I. and Flores, H.: The importance of ice algae-
629 produced carbon in the central Arctic Ocean ecosystem: Food web relationships revealed by lipid and stable
630 isotope analyses, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61(6), 2027–2044, doi:10.1002/lno.10351, 2016.

631 Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P. and., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G. and
632 Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming, , (15),Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,
633 108(36), 14769–14774, doi:10.1073/pnas.1103910108, 2011.

634 Kunst, L. and Samuels, A. L.: Biosynthesis and secretion of plant cuticular wax, Prog. Lipid Res., 42(1), 51–80,
635 doi:10.1016/S0163-7827(02)00045-0, 2003.

636 Köhler, P., Knorr, G. and Bard, E.: Permafrost thawing as a possible source of abrupt carbon release at the onset
637 of the Bølling/Allerød, Nat. Clim. Chang., doi:10.1038/ncomms6520, 2014.

638 Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y. and Sambridge, M.: Sea level and global ice volumes from the
639 Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 111(43), 15296–30315303,
640 doi:10.1073/pnas.1411762111, 2014.

641 Louchouarn, P., Lucotte, M. and Farella, N.: Historical and geographical variations of sources and transport of
642 terrigenous organic matter within a large-scale coastal environment, Org. Geochem., 30(7), 675–699,
643 doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(99)00019-4, 1999.

644 McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Peterson, B. J., Raymond, P. A., Striegl, R. G., Zhulidov, A. V, Zimov, S.
645 A., Zimov, N., Tank, S. E., Spencer, R. G. M., Staples, R., Gurtovaya, T. Y. and Griffin, C. G.: Particulate
646 organic carbon and nitrogen export from major Arctic rivers, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, doi:10.1002/
647 2015GB005351, 2016.

648 Mueller-Lupp, T., Bauch, H. A., Erlenkeuser, H., Hefter, J., Kassens, H. and Thiede, J.: Changes in the
649 deposition of terrestrial organic matter on the Laptev Sea shelf during the Holocene: evidence from stable

carbon isotopes, Int. J. Earth Sci., 89(3), 563–568, doi:10.1007/s005310000128, 2000.

Olefeldt, D., Goswami, S., Grosse, G., Hayes, D., Hugelius, G., Kuhry, P., McGuire, A. D., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K., Schuur, E. A. G. and Turetsky, M. R.: Circumpolar distribution and carbon storage of thermokarst landscapes, Nat. Commun., 7, 13043, doi:10.1038/ncomms13043, 2016.

Opsahl, S. and Benner, R.: Early diagenesis of vascular plant tissues: Lignin and cutin decomposition and biogeochemical implications, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59(23), 4889–4904, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(95)00348-7, 1995.

Parnell, A. C., Phillips, D. L., Bearhop, S., Semmens, B. X., Ward, E. J., Moore, J. W., Jackson, A. L~., Grey, J., Kelly, D. J. and Inger, R.: Bayesian Stable Isotope Mixing Models, ~arXiv Prepr. arXiv …, 16~, Environmetrics, 24(6), 387–399, doi:10.1002/env.2221, ~2012~2013.

Pearson, A., Mcnichol, A. P., Schneider, R. J., Von Reden, K. F. and Zheng, Y.: Microscale AMS 14C measurements at NOSAMS, Radiocarbon, 40(1), 61–75, 1998.

Prahl, F. G., Ertel, J. R., Goni, M. A., Sparrow, M. A. and Eversmeyer, B.: Terrestrial organic carbon contributions to sediments on the Washington margin, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 58(14), 3035–3048, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)90177-5, 1994.

Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Buck, C. E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T. J., Hoffmann, D. L., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kaiser, K. F., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Niu, M., Reimer, R. W., Richards, D. A., Scott, E. M., Southon, J. R., Staff, R. A., Turney, C. S. M. and van der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP, Radiocarbon, 55(4), 1869–1887, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947, 2013.

Romanovskii, N. N., Hubberten, H. W., Gavrilov, A. V, Tumskoy, V. E. and Kholodov, A. L.: Permafrost of the east Siberian Arctic shelf and coastal lowlands, ~in Quaternary Science Reviews, vol.~Quat. Sci. Rev., 23, ~pp.~(11–13), 1359–1369~.,~ doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.12.014, 2004.

Salvadó, J. A., Tesi, T., Sundbom, M., Karlsson, E., Kruså, M., Semiletov, I. P., Panova, E. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Contrasting composition of terrigenous organic matter in the dissolved, particulate and sedimentary organic carbon pools on the outer East Siberian Arctic Shelf, Biogeosciences, 13(22), 6121–6138, doi:10.5194/bg-13-6121-2016, 2016.

Sánchez-García, L., Alling, V., Pugach, S., Vonk, J., Van Dongen, B., Humborg, C., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Inventories and behavior of particulate organic carbon in the Laptev and East Siberian seas, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25(2), 1–13, doi:10.1029/2010GB003862, 2011.

Schirrmeister, L., Kunitsky, V., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Meyer, H., Schwamborn, G., Babiy, O., Derevyagin, A. and Siegert, C.: Sedimentary characteristics and origin of the Late Pleistocene Ice Complex on north-east Siberian Arctic coastal lowlands and islands - A review, Quat. Int., 241(1–2), 3–25, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.04.004, 2011.

Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D. and Kuhry, P.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 520(January 2016), 171–179, doi:10.1038/nature14338, 2015.

Semiletov, I., Dudarev, O., Luchin, V., Charkin, A., Shin, K. H. and Tanaka, N.: The East Siberian Sea as a transition zone between Pacific-derived waters and Arctic shelf waters, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(10), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2005GL022490, 2005.

~Semiletov, I., Pipko, I., Gustafsson, Ö., Anderson, L. G., Sergienko, V., Pugach, S., Dudarev, O., Charkin, A.,~

Gukov, A., Bröder, L., Andersson, A., Spivak, E. and Shakhova, N.: Acidification of East Siberian Arctic Shelf
waters through addition of freshwater and terrestrial carbon, Nat. Geosci., 9(April), 361–365,
doi:10.1038/NEGO2695, 2016.

Semiletov, I. P.: Aquatic Sources and Sinks of CO2 and CH4 in the Polar Regions, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 1999a.

Semiletov, I. P.: Destruction of the coastal permafrost ground as an important factor in biogeochemistry of the
Arctic Shelf waters, Trans. [Doklady] Russ. Acad. Sci., 368(6), 679–682, 1999b.

Semiletov, I. P., Pipko, I. I., Shakhova, N. E., Dudarev, O. V., Pugach, S. P., Charkin, A. N., Mcroy, C. P.,
Kosmach, D. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Carbon transport by the Lena River from its headwaters to the Arctic Ocean,
with emphasis on fluvial input of terrestrial particulate organic carbon vs. carbon transport by coastal erosion,
Biogeosciences, 8(9), 2407–2426, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2407-2011, 2011.

Semiletov, I. P., Shakhova, N. E., Sergienko, V. I., Pipko, I. I. and Dudarev, O. V: On carbon transport and fate
in the East Siberian Arctic land–shelf–atmosphere system, Environ. Res. Lett., 7(1), 15201, doi:10.1088/1748-
9326/7/1/015201, 2012.

Semiletov, I. P., Shakhova, N. E., Pipko, I. I., Pugach, S. P., Charkin, A. N., Dudarev, O. V., Kosmach, D. A.
and Nishino, S.: Space-time dynamics of carbon and environmental parameters related to carbon dioxide
emissions in the Buor-Khaya Bay and adjacent part of the Laptev Sea, Biogeosciences, 10(9), 5977–5996,
doi:10.5194/bg-10-5977-2013, 2013.

Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Leifer, I., Salyuk, A., Rekant, P. and Kosmach, D.: Geochemical and geophysical
evidence of methane release over the East Siberian Arctic Shelf, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 115(8), 1–14,
doi:10.1029/2009JC005602, 2010.

Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Leifer, I., Sergienko, V., Salyuk, A., Kosmach, D., Chernykh, D., Stubbs, C.,
Nicolsky, D., Tumskoy, V. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Ebullition and storm-induced methane release from the East
Siberian Arctic Shelf, Nat. Geosci., 7(January), 64–70, doi:10.1038/ngeo2007, 2013.

Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Sergienko, V., Lobkovsky, L., Yusupov, V., Salyuk, A., Salomatin, A., Chernykh,
D., Kosmach, D., Panteleev, G., Nicolsky, D., Samarkin, V., Joye, S., Charkin, A., Dudarev, O., Meluzov, A.
and Gustafsson, O.: The East Siberian Arctic Shelf: Towards further assessment of permafrost-related methane
fluxes and role of sea ice, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A, 373(2052), 20140451–, doi:10.1098/rsta.2014.0451, 2015.

Shakhova, N. E., Sergienko, V. I. and Semiletov, I. P.: The contribution of the East Siberian shelf to the modern
methane cycle, Her. Russ. Acad. Sci., 79(3), 237–246, doi:10.1134/S101933160903006X, 2009.

Smith, S. L., Henrichs, S. M. and Rho, T.: Stable C and N isotopic composition of sinking particles and
zooplankton over the southeastern Bering Sea shelf, , 49, 6031–6050, 2002.

Stanford, J. D., Hemingway, R., Rohling, E. J., Challenor, P. G., Medina-elizalde, M. and Lester, A. J.: Sea-
level probability for the last deglaciation : A statistical analysis of far- field records, Glob. Planet. Change, 1–11,
doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.11.002, 2010.

Stein, R. and Macdonald, R. W.: The organic carbon cycle in the Arctic Ocean., 2004.

Stuiver, M. and Braziunas, T. F.: Modeling Atmospheric 14C Influences and 14C Ages of Marine Samples to
10,000 BC, Radiocarbon, 35(1), 137–189, doi:10.1017/S0033822200013874, 1993.

Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. a: Radiocarbon, Radiocarbon, 19(3), 355–363, doi:10.1021/ac100494m, 1977.

Tamocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G. and Zimov, S.: Soil organic carbon
pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 23(2), 1–11,
doi:10.1029/2008GB003327, 2009.

Tesi, T., Semiletov, I., Hugelius, G., Dudarev, O., Kuhry, P. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Composition and fate of

terrigenous organic matter along the Arctic land-ocean continuum in East Siberia: Insights from biomarkers and carbon isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 133, 235–256, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.045, 2014.

Tesi, T., Muschitiello, F., Smittenberg, R. H., Jakobsson, M., Vonk, J. E., Hill, P., Andersson, A., Kirchner, N., Noormets, R., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Massive remobilization of permafrost carbon during post-glacial warming, Nat. Commun., 7, 13653, doi:10.1038/ncomms13653, 2016a.

Tesi, T., Semiletov, I. P., Dudarev, O. V, Andersson, A. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Matrix association effects on hydrodynamic sorting and degradation of terrestrial organic matter during cross-shelf transport in the Laptev and East Siberian shelf seas, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 121(3), 731–752, doi:10.1002/2015JG003067.Received, 2016b.

Vetrov, A. and Romankevich, E.: Carbon Cycle in the Russian Arctic Seas, Springe-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg., 331 p., doi:10.1007/978-3-662-06208-1, 2004.

Vonk, J. E. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Permafrost-carbon complexities, Nat. Geosci., 6(9), 675–676, doi:10.1038/ngeo1937, 2013.

Vonk, J. E., Sánchez-García, L., Semiletov, I., Dudarev, O., Eglinton, T., Andersson, A. and Gustafsson, O.: Molecular and radiocarbon constraints on sources and degradation of terrestrial organic carbon along the Kolyma paleoriver transect, East Siberian Sea, Biogeosciences, 7(10), 3153–3166, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3153-2010, 2010.

Vonk, J. E., Sánchez-García, L., van Dongen, B. E., Alling, V., Kosmach, D., Charkin, a., Semiletov, I. P., Dudarev, O. V., Shakhova, N., Roos, P., Eglinton, T. I., Andersson, a. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Activation of old carbon by erosion of coastal and subsea permafrost in Arctic Siberia, Nature, 489(7414), 137–140, doi:10.1038/nature11392, 2012.

Vonk, J. E., Semiletov, I., Dudarev, O., Eglinton, T. I., Andersson, A., Shakhova, N., Charkin, A., Heim, B. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Preferential burial of permafrost-derived organic carbon in Siberian-Arctic shelf waters, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 8410–8421, doi:10.1002/2014JC010261, 2014.

Winterfeld, M., Goñi, M. A., Just, J., Hefter, J. and Mollenhauer, G.: Characterization of particulate organic matter in the Lena River delta and adjacent nearshore zone , NE Siberia – Part 2 : Lignin-derived phenol compositions, , 2261–2283, doi:10.5194/bg-12-2261-2015, 2015a2015.

Winterfeld, M., Laepple, T. and Mollenhauer, G.: Characterization of particulate organic matter in the Lena River delta and adjacent nearshore zone , NE Siberia – Part I : Radiocarbon inventories, , 3769–3788, doi:10.5194/bg-12-3769-2015, 2015b.

    Figures and captions

766

767   **Table 1. Radiocarbon (^{14}C) ages of the molluscs shells retrieved from the sediment core GC58. The ^{14}C ages are**
768   **shown in years BP with an age error (yrs) and as calibrated ^{14}C ages (cal yrs BP) with twoone standard deviations**
769   **(±2+σ) of the individual ^{14}C dates. The calibration curve used was Marine13 (Reimer et al., 2013) and a ΔR value of**
770   **50±100 yrs (Bauch et al., 2001a). Also shown the δ^{13}C (‰) values of the molluscs shells.**

Corrected depth* (cm)	NOSAMS Accession nr.	Type	Age ^{14}C (yrs BP)	Age error (yrs)	δ^{13}C (‰)	Age ^{14}C (Cal yrs BP) median	Age ^{14}C (Cal yrs BP) mean	2+σ
3.5	OS-119395	Mollusc shell, fragments	895	25	0.55	462	455	92184
8.5	OS-120688	Mollusc shell, fragments	>Modern	-	1.70	39	45	3264
34.5	OS-120689	Mollusc shell, fragments	2,260	20	1.55	1,807	1,806	125250
39.5	OS-120690	Mollusc shell, fragments	2,210	15	1.55	1,748	1,746	122244
47.5	OS-123161	Mollusc shell, fragments	7,960	35	0.90	8,372	8,372	110220
51.5	OS-119396	Mollusc shell, fragments	8,010	25	1.06	8,426	8,429	112224
54.5	OS-120691	Mollusc shell, fragments	8,020	20	0.49	8,437	8,441	113226
65.5	OS-119397	Mollusc shell, *Macoma calcarea*	8,780	25	-2.46	9,384	9,372	117234
72.5	OS-120692	Mollusc shell, fragments	8,880	20	-0.91	9,493	9,499	122244
78.5	OS-120693	Mollusc shell, fragments	8,950	25	-0.79	9,579	9,595	132264

771   *Corrected depth is the original depth + 3 cm to account for core top loss during sampling (Sect 2.4).

772

773

774

775 **Figure 1. Map of the Eastern Siberian Arctic Shelf showing the location of the sampling site (Station SWERUS C3-1-**

776 **58) (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, http://odv.awi.de, 2015). Also shown in the map is the location of the sediment**

777 **core PC23 (Station SWERUS C3-1-23, Tesi et al., 2016a). The red line marks the isobath (34 m water depth) which is**

778 **approximately where the coast line was in the beginning of the sediment archive (GC58) ~9,500 cal yrs BP (Lambeck**

779 **et al., 2014).**

780

Figure 2. An age-depth model of the sediment core GC58 based on radiocarbon (^{14}C) dated molluscs shells (see Table 1) and [210]Pb (base of a multicore collected at the same location, see Supplementary Information Table S2). All the modelled dates were calibrated with Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013). A ΔR value of 50±100 yrs was used to account for the differences in the local reservoir age based on (Bauch et al., 2001a). The core GC58 dates back ~9,500 cal yrs BP. The calibrated age probability distributions are plotted for each radiocarbon date in grey. Outliers

790   are colored red. The blue shading incidates the modelled 2σ probability intervals for the entire depth range of the
791   core and the tiny black curves 2σ for the individual measurements.
792

793

Figure 3. Organic matter composition of the sediment core GC58. The x-axis has breaks due to gaps in the sediment chronology. (a) Organic carbon fluxes (g m^{-2} yr^{-1}) were high at the bottom of the core. The high fluxes at the top of the core are likely related to the merging of two dating system (^{210}Pb and ^{14}C, see Sect. 3.2). The sea level rose rapidly in the early Holocene (Lambeck et al., 2014). (b) Both lignin and cutin fluxes (mg m^{-2} yr^{-1}) decrease toward the core top. High fluxes at the top of the core are influenced by the OC fluxes and likely do not show an actual increase in the fluxes of lignin and cutin (see Sect. 3.2). (c) Low molecular weight fatty acids (LMW-FA) show an influence of marine organic matter at the top of the core. (d) The δ^{13}C (‰) values illustrate a gradual shift from terrestrial dominated to more marine dominated input of organic matter towards the core top. The Δ^{14}C (‰) values (corrected for the time between the deposition and the measurement) show that the bulk organic carbon is older at the bottom of the core than at the core top. The drop in the Δ^{14}C values ~1,700 cal yrs BP is likely an artefact caused by the age model used to correct for the Δ^{14}C values.

806

807 Figure 4. Degradation proxies for terrestrial organic carbon in the sediment core GC58. The x-axis has breaks due to
808 gaps in the sediment chronology. (a) Syringyl acid to syringaldehyde (Sd/Sl) and vanillic acid to vanillin (Vd/Vl)
809 ratios are a lignin phenol based degradation proxy. (b) Also the ratio of 3,5-dihydrobenzoic acid to vanillyl phenols
810 (3,5-Bd/V) provides information on degradation of terrestrial organic carbon. Higher values imply more degraded
811 material for all the ratios as illustrated with the turquoise arrow. The 3,5-Bd/V values suggest a gradual increase in
812 degradation from the bottom of the core to the top.

813

814

815

816 **Figure ~~5~~4. Dual-carbon isotope (δ^{13}C, Δ^{14}C) based source apportionment of organic carbon (OC) illustrates fractions**

817 **(%; mean ± SD) of old Pleistocene permafrost (ICD-PF) in brown, thaw of active-layer permafrost (topsoil-PF) in**

818   **green and primary production (marine OC) in blue of the sediment core GC58. The ICD-PF is the dominant fraction**

819   **throughout the core.**

820

821

822

823 Figure 6. Lignin composition of the sediment core GC58 (black circles). The ratio between cinnamyl and vanillyl
824 phenols (C/V) is used as a proxy to distinguish between soft and woody plant tissues. The ratio of syringyl to vanillyl
825 phenols (S/V) indicates the difference between gymnosperm and angiosperm plants. The boxes indicate typical values
826 for S/V and C/V ratios characterising different plant material (ranges from Goñi and Montgomery, 2000). Measured
827 S/V and C/V ratios for Ice Complex Deposit permafrost (ICD-PF) are shown with green triangles (Winterfeld et al.,
828 2015a) and with an orange square (±standard deviation) (Tesi et al., 2014). Measured S/V and C/V ratios for topsoil
829 PF (Lena River POC) are illustrated with orange diamonds (Winterfeld et al., 2015a). Also shown the lignin
830 composition of the sediment core PC23 (blue diamonds) from the Laptev Sea.

831

Figure 57. Dual-carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$, $\Delta^{14}C$) composition of the sediment cores GC58 (this study) and PC23 (Tesi et al., 2016a). Topsoil-PF refers to organic matter from the active-layer of permafrost, ICD-PF to relict Pleistocene Ice Complex Deposit permafrost (~~y~~Yedoma) and marine OC to organic matter from primary production. ~~The end-member values for different sources are taken from the literature (Bröder et al., 2016b; Tesi et al., 2016a).~~ The end-member values for different sources are taken from a dataset compiled by Vonk et al., (2012) and a study by (Smith et al., 2002). The green arrow points to the direction from the bottom to the top of the core (GC58).

841

842 **Figure 6. Lignin composition of the sediment core GC58 (~~black~~ blue circles). The ratio between cinnamyl and vanillyl**

843 **phenols (C/V) is used as a proxy to distinguish between soft and woody plant tissues. The ratio of syringyl to vanillyl**

844 **phenols (S/V) indicates the difference between gymnosperm and angiosperm plants. The boxes indicate typical values**

845 **for S/V and C/V ratios characterising different plant material (ranges from Goñi and Montgomery, 2000). Measured**

846 **S/V and C/V ratios for Ice Complex Deposit permafrost (ICD-PF) are shown with green triangles (Winterfeld et al.,**

847 **2015) and with an red ~~orange~~ square (±standard deviation) (Tesi et al., 2014). Measured S/V and C/V ratios for**

848 **topsoil-PF (Lena River POC) are illustrated with orange diamonds (Winterfeld et al., 2015). Also shown the lignin**

849 **composition of the sediment core PC23 (~~blue~~ black diamonds) from the Laptev Sea (study by Tesi et al., 2016a).**

850

1   **Supplementary information**

3   **Tables and figures**

5   **Figure S1. Comparison between the organic carbon (OC) content (%) of the sediment cores GC58 and**
6   **MUC58. Based on the comparison, we deduced that the top 3cm of GC58 were lost during sampling.**

Table S1. Total organic carbon (TOC) content, stable carbon isotopes (δ13C) and calibrated radiocarbon isotopes of bulk carbon (cal Δ^{14}C) in the sediment core GC58 that were used for source apportionment calculations.

Corrected depth* (cm)	TOC (%)	δ¹³C (‰)	Cal Δ^{14}C
3.5	1.12	-23.23	-400.17
4.5	1.10	-23.07	
5.5	0.99	-23.25	
6.5	0.78	-23.10	
7.5	0.91	-23.16	
8.5	0.99	-23.32	
9.5	1.01	-23.12	
10.5	1.00	-23.42	
11.5	0.98	-23.48	
12.5	0.94	-23.39	
13.5	0.94	-23.19	-568.02
14.5	0.90	-23.32	
15.5	0.94	-23.38	
16.5	0.87	-23.49	
17.5	0.90	-23.61	
18.5	0.88	-23.56	
19.5	0.91	-23.61	
20.5	0.94	-23.44	
21.5	0.93	-23.62	
22.5	0.89	-23.57	
23.5	0.80	-23.50	-604.39
24.5	0.90	-23.56	
25.5	0.92	-23.59	
26.5	0.84	-23.76	
27.5	0.86	-23.57	
28.5	0.86	-23.88	
29.5	0.84	-23.61	
30.5	0.83	-24.33	
31.5	0.78	-24.08	
32.5	0.77	-24.22	
33.5	0.78	-23.89	-679.07
34.5	0.78	-24.14	
35.5	0.78	-24.24	
36.5	0.72	-24.73	
37.5	0.70	-24.54	
38.5	0.71	-24.63	-747.85
39.5	0.75	-24.37	
40.5	0.77	-24.82	
41.5	0.81	-24.75	
42.5	0.75	-24.95	
43.5	0.77	-25.07	-586.93
44.5	0.79	-24.91	

45.5	0.78	-24.91	
46.5	0.79	-24.93	
47.5	0.73	-24.86	-607.58
48.5	0.72	-24.93	
49.5	0.74	-25.01	
50.5	0.79	-24.85	
51.5	0.71	-25.08	
52.5	0.73	-25.05	
53.5	0.72	-25.11	-680.66
54.5	0.74	-24.85	
55.5	0.69	-25.34	
56.5	0.72	-25.44	
57.5	0.73	-25.51	
58.5	0.65	-25.66	
59.5	0.51	-25.65	
60.5	0.53	-25.68	
61.5	0.66	-25.55	
62.5	0.59	-25.65	
63.5	0.62	-25.74	-771.72
64.5	0.71	-25.59	
65.5	0.73	-25.68	
66.5	0.74	-25.66	
67.5	0.74	-25.66	
68.5	0.79	-25.53	
69.5	0.77	-25.51	
70.5	0.78	-25.63	
71.5	0.76	-25.60	
72.5	0.77	-25.59	
73.5	0.74	-25.66	-764.43
74.5	0.73	-25.60	
75.5	0.70	-25.59	
76.5	0.72	-25.70	
77.5	0.76	-25.65	
78.5	0.73	-25.84	
79.5	0.72	-25.75	
80.5	0.73	-25.58	

10 *Corrected depth is the original depth + 3 cm to account for core top loss during sampling (Sect. 2.4).

12   **Table S2. The amount of excess ^{210}Pb (Bq g^{-1}) and natural logarithm (ln) of excess^{210}Pb in the sediment**
13   **core MUC58 and age chronology (CRC and CIC models) presented as age (yr) and resulting year of**
14   **deposition. The CRC model assumes a constant rate of supply of ^{210}Pb fallout, as the CIC assumes the**
15   **initial concentration of ^{210}Pb to be constant (Appleby & Oldfield, 1977). The highest ^{137}Cs peak was in 4.5**
16   **cm depth.**

Depth (cm)	Excess ^{210}Pb (Bq g^{-1})	Ln of excess ^{210}Pb	Age (yr) with CRC model	Resulting year with CRC model	Age (yr) with CIC model	Resulting year with CIC model	OC flux (g m^{-2} yr^{-1})
0.5	0.062	-2.78	0	2014	4	2010	6.1
1.5	0.061	-2.79	6	2008	12	2002	4.6
2.5	0.054	-2.92	14	2000	20	1994	4.4
3.5	0.049	-3.02	23	1991	28	1986	4.3
4.5	0.024	-3.73	35	1979	36	1978	3.9
5.5	0.016	-4.11	42	1972	44	1970	3.8
6.5	0.014	-4.24	49	1965	52	1962	3.6
7.5	0.021	-3.86	55	1959	60	1954	3.4
8.5	0.016	-4.145	69	1945	68	1946	3.5
9.5	0.006	-5.16	85	1929	75	1939	3.6
10.5	0.001	-6.67	94	1920	83	1931	3.5
11.5	0.012	-4.41	96	1918	91	1923	3.6
12.5	0.005	-5.25	134	1880	99	1915	0.4

17 **Table S3. Biomarker data for the sediment core GC58. S = syringyl phenols, V = vanillyl phenols, C = cinnamyl phenols, 3,5-Bd = 3,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid, Cut =**

18 **sum of all cutin acids, FA = sum of all CuO oxidation-derived fatty acids, Lig = sum of all lignin phenols, Sd = syringic acid, Sl = syringaldehyde, Vd = vanillic acid,**

19 **Vl = vanillin (see Supplementary Table S4 for full list of compounds and their origin).**

Corrected depth*	S	V	C	3,5-Bd	Cut	FA	Lig	S/V	C/V	Sd/Sl	Vd/Vl	3,5-Bd/V
cm	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$	mg g OC $^{-1}$					
3.5	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.1225	0.27	5.35	0.2244	0.42	0.31	0.59	0.95	0.94
4.5	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.0898	0.30	3.17	0.2700	0.66	0.60	0.64	0.95	0.75
5.5	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.1148	0.24	2.55	0.1770	0.90	0.37	0.59	0.00	1.47
6.5	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.1008	0.30	2.55	0.2318	0.59	0.26	0.63	0.78	0.81
7.5	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.1486	0.30	2.06	0.2222	0.59	0.23	0.52	0.88	1.22
8.5	0.05	0.12	0.03	0.1055	0.27	1.38	0.2017	0.39	0.24	0.66	1.55	0.85
9.5	0.04	0.13	0.04	0.0696	0.27	1.26	0.2071	0.28	0.28	0.69	2.10	0.52
10.5	0.05	0.14	0.04	0.1043	0.28	1.41	0.2349	0.37	0.31	0.74	1.66	0.75
11.5	0.10	0.21	0.09	0.1083	0.34	1.31	0.4051	0.49	0.43	0.59	1.12	0.51
12.5	0.05	0.15	0.03	0.0978	0.34	1.53	0.2304	0.33	0.22	0.75	1.17	0.66
13.5	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.0866	0.29	1.35	0.1603	0.43	0.32	0.64	1.92	0.95
14.5	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.1276	0.35	1.43	0.2386	0.39	0.33	0.75	1.30	0.92
15.5	0.05	0.10	0.03	0.0917	0.27	1.12	0.1763	0.48	0.35	0.66	1.35	0.95
16.5	0.05	0.16	0.03	0.1005	0.28	1.40	0.2383	0.33	0.19	0.60	1.32	0.64
17.5	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.1092	0.35	1.43	0.2356	0.39	0.34	0.78	1.59	0.80
18.5	0.05	0.12	0.04	0.0741	0.33	1.32	0.2125	0.45	0.38	0.64	1.35	0.64
19.5	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.0831	0.30	1.14	0.2190	0.38	0.29	0.71	1.46	0.63
20.5	0.05	0.09	0.02	0.0969	0.30	1.20	0.1668	0.52	0.25	0.77	1.93	1.03
21.5	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.0928	0.34	1.28	0.2033	0.50	0.46	0.76	1.78	0.90
22.5	0.06	0.16	0.05	0.1101	0.37	1.56	0.2716	0.40	0.29	0.72	1.41	0.69
23.5	0.07	0.17	0.05	0.1140	0.38	1.76	0.2973	0.41	0.29	0.76	1.62	0.65
24.5	0.06	0.15	0.05	0.0931	0.33	1.19	0.2595	0.38	0.30	0.80	2.10	0.60
25.5	0.06	0.15	0.04	0.0830	0.37	1.16	0.2581	0.41	0.26	0.73	2.45	0.54
26.7	0.07	0.15	0.05	0.1321	0.41	1.31	0.2686	0.49	0.33	0.78	1.66	0.90
27.5	0.06	0.15	0.05	0.0829	0.31	0.95	0.2650	0.41	0.32	0.79	1.81	0.54

28.5	0.08	0.18	0.05	0.0812	0.16	1.01	0.3152	0.46	0.27	0.63	1.07	0.44
29.5	0.08	0.19	0.06	0.1247	0.40	1.28	0.3308	0.41	0.29	0.85	1.87	0.64
30.5	0.12	0.26	0.09	0.1479	0.52	1.22	0.4713	0.46	0.34	0.82	1.67	0.56
31.5	0.14	0.29	0.11	0.0942	0.60	1.31	0.5506	0.50	0.39	0.70	1.46	0.32
32.5	0.15	0.31	0.13	0.1060	0.55	1.19	0.5871	0.51	0.41	0.70	1.60	0.35
33.5	0.15	0.33	0.13	0.1388	0.54	1.29	0.6118	0.45	0.41	0.79	1.55	0.42
34.5	0.16	0.35	0.10	0.1264	0.57	1.44	0.6116	0.44	0.28	0.71	1.35	0.36
35.5	0.23	0.46	0.13	0.1608	0.70	1.49	0.8146	0.49	0.29	0.67	1.24	0.35
36.5	0.22	0.44	0.09	0.1100	0.49	0.90	0.7432	0.50	0.20	0.75	1.46	0.25
37.5	0.21	0.39	0.09	0.0997	0.66	0.79	0.6890	0.53	0.23	0.70	1.51	0.25
38.5	0.28	0.55	0.17	0.1922	0.82	1.28	1.0034	0.50	0.32	0.73	1.45	0.35
39.5	0.25	0.33	0.16	0.2636	0.91	1.27	0.7434	0.77	0.49	0.70	0.00	0.80
40.5	0.25	0.48	0.17	0.1434	0.71	1.20	0.8986	0.51	0.35	0.70	1.58	0.30
41.5	0.24	0.47	0.18	0.1524	0.82	0.97	0.8947	0.50	0.39	0.70	1.59	0.32
42.5	0.28	0.53	0.17	0.1501	0.87	0.95	0.9828	0.52	0.32	0.70	1.46	0.28
43.5	0.27	0.55	0.23	0.1655	0.90	1.04	1.0439	0.49	0.42	0.80	1.62	0.30
44.5	0.24	0.59	0.15	0.1357	0.52	0.83	0.9758	0.40	0.24	0.68	1.22	0.23
45.5	0.31	0.57	0.21	0.1540	1.02	1.00	1.0923	0.53	0.37	0.72	1.33	0.27
46.5	0.28	0.61	0.17	0.2329	0.86	1.17	1.0583	0.46	0.27	0.75	1.45	0.38
47.5	0.28	0.60	0.20	0.1796	0.89	1.07	1.0789	0.46	0.34	0.79	1.54	0.30
48.5	0.33	0.63	0.24	0.1880	1.03	1.11	1.1976	0.52	0.38	0.70	1.63	0.30
49.5	0.26	0.53	0.16	0.1326	0.84	0.87	0.9443	0.49	0.31	0.69	1.36	0.25
50.5	0.27	0.53	0.17	0.1713	0.81	0.91	0.9745	0.51	0.33	0.79	1.61	0.32
51.5	0.33	0.68	0.20	0.1953	0.95	1.32	1.2145	0.49	0.30	0.76	1.34	0.29
52.5	0.40	0.71	0.18	0.2140	0.94	1.05	1.2953	0.57	0.25	0.69	1.39	0.30
53.5	0.29	0.60	0.24	0.1764	0.92	0.93	1.1337	0.49	0.40	0.82	1.56	0.29
54.5	0.31	0.60	0.15	0.1932	0.97	1.01	1.0656	0.51	0.25	0.76	1.75	0.32
55.5	0.53	0.99	0.32	0.2323	1.15	0.96	1.8391	0.53	0.33	0.67	1.34	0.24
56.5	0.61	1.27	0.36	0.1990	0.72	0.88	2.2406	0.48	0.29	0.57	1.19	0.16
57.5	1.10	2.16	0.93	0.2084	1.58	0.86	4.1854	0.51	0.43	0.58	1.03	0.10
58.5	1.23	2.14	0.79	0.2092	1.69	0.87	4.1617	0.57	0.37	0.55	1.09	0.10
59.5	1.21	2.33	0.93	0.1797	1.18	1.38	4.4684	0.52	0.40	0.57	1.07	0.08

60.5	1.23	2.18	1.02	0.2039	1.73	1.04	4.4373	0.57	0.47	0.58	1.14	0.09
61.5	1.35	2.77	0.79	0.2295	1.64	1.04	4.9075	0.49	0.28	0.61	1.05	0.08
62.5	1.51	2.74	1.18	0.2587	1.90	1.27	5.4277	0.55	0.43	0.53	0.95	0.09
63.5	1.80	3.20	1.22	0.2237	1.74	0.97	6.2276	0.56	0.38	0.47	0.85	0.07
64.5	1.27	2.40	0.93	0.2790	1.81	1.26	4.6095	0.53	0.39	0.53	0.96	0.12
65.5	1.15	2.07	0.94	0.2470	1.79	0.92	4.1586	0.55	0.45	0.56	1.07	0.12
66.5	1.27	2.38	0.74	0.2618	1.79	1.02	4.3928	0.53	0.31	0.54	1.07	0.11
67.5	1.00	1.79	0.85	0.1983	1.57	0.71	3.6389	0.56	0.48	0.61	1.21	0.11
68.5	1.19	2.18	0.84	0.2506	1.76	0.91	4.1993	0.55	0.38	0.52	0.98	0.12
69.5	0.85	1.71	0.53	0.1673	0.84	0.68	3.0966	0.50	0.31	0.61	1.18	0.10
70.5	1.31	2.46	0.92	0.3261	1.78	0.97	4.7013	0.53	0.38	0.54	1.01	0.13
71.5	1.25	2.32	1.02	0.2840	1.73	1.01	4.5828	0.54	0.44	0.58	1.09	0.12
72.5	1.20	2.19	0.96	0.2213	1.81	0.93	4.3449	0.55	0.44	0.58	1.14	0.10
73.5	1.04	2.07	0.72	0.2024	1.13	0.80	3.8276	0.50	0.35	0.55	1.03	0.10
74.5	1.41	2.68	1.22	0.2547	1.97	1.01	5.3135	0.53	0.45	0.50	0.87	0.09
75.5	1.39	2.62	1.16	0.3377	1.97	1.29	5.1729	0.53	0.44	0.61	1.08	0.13
76.5	1.30	2.48	1.09	0.2550	1.93	1.23	4.8662	0.52	0.44	0.53	0.95	0.10
77.5	1.14	2.00	1.07	0.2116	1.66	0.93	4.2050	0.57	0.53	0.54	1.06	0.11
78.5	1.25	2.30	1.02	0.2549	1.81	1.06	4.5619	0.54	0.44	0.53	1.00	0.11
79.5	1.23	2.15	1.02	0.2753	1.94	1.26	4.4096	0.57	0.48	0.55	1.17	0.13
80.5	1.22	2.22	1.09	0.2613	1.99	1.02	4.5331	0.55	0.49	0.56	1.11	0.12

21 * Corrected depth is the original depth + 3 cm to account for core top loss during sampling (Sect. 2.4)

Table S4. CuO-derived compounds (adapted from Tesi et al. 2014 and references therein). MS means that the
compound has multiple sources but is relatively abundant in the source mentioned.

Group name	Name	Abbreviation	Source
Lignin phenols	Vanillyl phenols	V	Cell walls of angiosperm/gymnosperm vascular plants
	Vanillin	Vl	
	Acetovanillone	Vn	
	Vanillic acid	Vd	
	Syringyl phenols	S	Cell walls of angiosperm vascular plants
	Syringaldehyde	Sl	
	Acetosyringone	Sn	
	Syringic acid	Sd	
	Cinnamyl phenols	C	
	p-Coumaric acid	pCd	Non-woody vascular plant tissues
	Ferulic acid	Fd	
Cutin products	Hydroxyhexadecanoic acid	ω-C16	Leaves; blades and needles of vascular plants
	Hexadecan-1,16-dioic acid	C16DA	
	18-Hydroxyoctadec-9-enoic acid	ω-C18:1	
	7 or 8-Dihydroxy C16 x, ω-dioic acids	x-OH, C16DA	
	8, 9 or 10-Dihydroxy C16 acids	x,ω-OH C16	
Hydroxy benzene products	Benzoic acid	Bd	Phytoplankton and soil (MS)
	m-Hydroxybenzoic acid	m-Bd	
	3,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	3,5-Bd	Abundant in soil
Fatty acids	Octanoic acid	C8FA	Phytoplankton (MS)
	Decanoic acid	C10FA	Bacteria
	Dodecanoic acid	C12FA	Bacteria (MS)
	Tetradecanoic acid	C14FA	Phytoplankton (MS)
	Hexadecanoic acids	C16FA:1, C16FA	Phytoplankton and soil (also bacteria for C16FA:1) (MS)
	Octadecanoic acids	C18FA:1, C18FA	Phytoplankton and soil (also bacteria for C18FA:1) (MS)

26   Table S5. Source apportionment data from the Monte Carlo Mixing Model based on carbon isotopes (Δ^{14}C, δ^{13}C) as fractions (mean and median, %) for the
27   sediment core GC58, including 5th (q05) and 9th (q95) percentiles and standard deviation (sd). Topsoil-PF (topsoil permafrost) refers to thaw of the active-layer
28   permafrost, ICD-PF (Ice Complex Deposit permafrost) represents old Pleistocene material from coastal erosion and marine OC (organic carbon) to primary
29   production of phytoplankton.

Corrected depth (cm)	ICD-PF					Topsoil-PF					Marine OC				
	mean	median	sd	q05	q95	mean	median	sd	q05	q95	mean	median	sd	q05	q95
3.5	0.407	0.406	0.050	0.328	0.489	0.230	0.210	0.120	0.066	0.450	0.363	0.377	0.094	0.196	0.498
4.5	0.422	0.421	0.048	0.347	0.503	0.212	0.194	0.111	0.062	0.416	0.366	0.377	0.088	0.207	0.492
5.5	0.437	0.435	0.047	0.363	0.516	0.196	0.179	0.103	0.057	0.386	0.367	0.377	0.083	0.217	0.487
6.5	0.451	0.449	0.046	0.379	0.529	0.181	0.165	0.095	0.053	0.358	0.368	0.378	0.079	0.225	0.481
7.5	0.464	0.462	0.046	0.394	0.542	0.167	0.152	0.089	0.049	0.334	0.369	0.377	0.075	0.233	0.477
8.5	0.476	0.474	0.046	0.407	0.555	0.155	0.141	0.084	0.045	0.312	0.369	0.376	0.071	0.240	0.472
9.5	0.499	0.497	0.045	0.431	0.578	0.133	0.120	0.074	0.038	0.273	0.368	0.374	0.065	0.251	0.462
10.5	0.519	0.517	0.045	0.452	0.597	0.116	0.104	0.067	0.031	0.241	0.365	0.370	0.059	0.258	0.453
11.5	0.536	0.534	0.045	0.470	0.615	0.101	0.090	0.061	0.025	0.216	0.362	0.366	0.055	0.264	0.444
12.5	0.552	0.550	0.045	0.487	0.630	0.090	0.078	0.057	0.021	0.195	0.358	0.362	0.052	0.267	0.436
13.5	0.597	0.595	0.044	0.534	0.674	0.060	0.050	0.045	0.010	0.142	0.342	0.346	0.045	0.265	0.412
14.5	0.625	0.623	0.045	0.561	0.702	0.046	0.036	0.040	0.006	0.115	0.329	0.331	0.044	0.255	0.397
15.5	0.642	0.641	0.046	0.575	0.719	0.038	0.029	0.037	0.004	0.101	0.319	0.321	0.044	0.246	0.388
16.5	0.651	0.650	0.047	0.583	0.728	0.035	0.026	0.035	0.003	0.094	0.314	0.315	0.044	0.241	0.384
17.5	0.654	0.653	0.047	0.586	0.732	0.034	0.025	0.035	0.003	0.092	0.312	0.313	0.044	0.238	0.382
18.5	0.653	0.652	0.047	0.587	0.731	0.035	0.025	0.035	0.003	0.093	0.312	0.314	0.044	0.238	0.381
19.5	0.650	0.648	0.046	0.586	0.728	0.037	0.027	0.036	0.003	0.098	0.313	0.315	0.044	0.239	0.381
20.5	0.645	0.643	0.046	0.583	0.724	0.040	0.030	0.037	0.004	0.105	0.314	0.317	0.044	0.239	0.382
21.5	0.641	0.638	0.046	0.579	0.720	0.044	0.034	0.039	0.005	0.114	0.314	0.317	0.044	0.239	0.382
22.5	0.639	0.635	0.045	0.578	0.718	0.049	0.039	0.041	0.005	0.123	0.312	0.315	0.044	0.236	0.380
23.5	0.638	0.634	0.045	0.577	0.717	0.054	0.044	0.043	0.006	0.131	0.308	0.311	0.044	0.232	0.376
24.5	0.639	0.635	0.045	0.578	0.719	0.059	0.049	0.044	0.008	0.140	0.302	0.305	0.044	0.226	0.370
25.5	0.641	0.637	0.045	0.581	0.722	0.064	0.055	0.046	0.010	0.148	0.294	0.297	0.044	0.218	0.362
26.5	0.646	0.641	0.045	0.585	0.727	0.070	0.061	0.047	0.011	0.156	0.285	0.287	0.044	0.209	0.353
27.5	0.651	0.647	0.045	0.591	0.733	0.075	0.067	0.048	0.014	0.163	0.274	0.275	0.044	0.198	0.343

28.5	0.658	0.654	0.045	0.598	0.740	0.081	0.073	0.049	0.016	0.170	0.261	0.263	0.044	0.185	0.331
29.5	0.667	0.662	0.045	0.607	0.750	0.086	0.079	0.049	0.017	0.177	0.247	0.249	0.045	0.170	0.318
30.5	0.676	0.670	0.045	0.618	0.760	0.091	0.084	0.050	0.019	0.183	0.233	0.235	0.045	0.155	0.304
31.5	0.687	0.680	0.046	0.629	0.772	0.095	0.089	0.051	0.021	0.190	0.218	0.220	0.046	0.139	0.291
32.5	0.699	0.691	0.046	0.642	0.786	0.099	0.093	0.052	0.021	0.196	0.202	0.205	0.047	0.122	0.277
33.5	0.711	0.703	0.047	0.654	0.800	0.102	0.096	0.054	0.021	0.200	0.187	0.190	0.049	0.104	0.264
34.5	0.724	0.716	0.047	0.668	0.817	0.103	0.097	0.055	0.020	0.205	0.172	0.175	0.050	0.087	0.251
35.5	0.738	0.729	0.048	0.681	0.833	0.104	0.098	0.057	0.017	0.209	0.158	0.161	0.051	0.070	0.239
36.5	0.753	0.743	0.049	0.695	0.849	0.103	0.097	0.059	0.015	0.211	0.144	0.147	0.052	0.055	0.227
37.5	0.767	0.756	0.049	0.709	0.865	0.102	0.095	0.060	0.012	0.211	0.131	0.134	0.053	0.041	0.217
38.5	0.781	0.770	0.050	0.722	0.879	0.099	0.092	0.061	0.009	0.213	0.120	0.121	0.054	0.030	0.207
39.5	0.794	0.784	0.054	0.728	0.894	0.098	0.089	0.066	0.006	0.218	0.109	0.109	0.054	0.021	0.200
40.5	0.606	0.633	0.141	0.328	0.789	0.141	0.091	0.146	0.011	0.448	0.253	0.253	0.076	0.132	0.376
41.5	0.638	0.644	0.092	0.477	0.774	0.123	0.097	0.096	0.024	0.315	0.239	0.240	0.063	0.137	0.341
42.5	0.654	0.652	0.066	0.552	0.762	0.116	0.102	0.068	0.032	0.248	0.230	0.231	0.057	0.137	0.322
43.5	0.662	0.658	0.060	0.574	0.759	0.112	0.104	0.060	0.030	0.225	0.226	0.226	0.054	0.137	0.313
44.5	0.666	0.664	0.061	0.576	0.766	0.108	0.101	0.059	0.025	0.218	0.225	0.225	0.053	0.139	0.312
45.5	0.671	0.669	0.061	0.580	0.772	0.101	0.093	0.058	0.021	0.211	0.227	0.227	0.052	0.144	0.313
46.5	0.679	0.676	0.060	0.590	0.778	0.092	0.083	0.055	0.017	0.196	0.229	0.229	0.051	0.149	0.313
47.5	0.690	0.686	0.060	0.602	0.788	0.081	0.072	0.051	0.014	0.179	0.229	0.228	0.050	0.151	0.311
48.5	0.699	0.695	0.060	0.610	0.797	0.075	0.066	0.049	0.012	0.169	0.227	0.226	0.049	0.150	0.308
49.5	0.709	0.706	0.060	0.621	0.809	0.068	0.059	0.047	0.011	0.159	0.222	0.221	0.048	0.146	0.302
50.5	0.722	0.719	0.061	0.633	0.824	0.062	0.053	0.045	0.009	0.150	0.215	0.214	0.048	0.140	0.294
51.5	0.738	0.734	0.061	0.649	0.840	0.057	0.047	0.043	0.008	0.139	0.205	0.205	0.048	0.130	0.283
52.5	0.753	0.749	0.062	0.664	0.855	0.052	0.042	0.041	0.006	0.132	0.195	0.194	0.047	0.121	0.272
53.5	0.769	0.765	0.062	0.679	0.872	0.048	0.038	0.039	0.005	0.125	0.183	0.182	0.047	0.109	0.259
54.5	0.786	0.783	0.063	0.696	0.887	0.044	0.034	0.038	0.004	0.118	0.170	0.169	0.047	0.096	0.246
55.5	0.819	0.817	0.064	0.725	0.918	0.038	0.028	0.036	0.003	0.107	0.143	0.142	0.046	0.071	0.219
56.5	0.848	0.850	0.065	0.749	0.944	0.034	0.023	0.037	0.002	0.104	0.118	0.116	0.045	0.048	0.194
57.5	0.871	0.876	0.066	0.768	0.962	0.032	0.019	0.040	0.001	0.105	0.097	0.094	0.044	0.032	0.173
58.5	0.888	0.896	0.067	0.782	0.976	0.031	0.017	0.042	0.001	0.105	0.081	0.076	0.042	0.020	0.155
59.5	0.900	0.909	0.067	0.792	0.983	0.031	0.016	0.044	0.001	0.107	0.070	0.064	0.041	0.013	0.142

60.5	0.906	0.915	0.066	0.798	0.988	0.032	0.017	0.044	0.000	0.111	0.063	0.057	0.040	0.009	0.133
61.5	0.906	0.912	0.065	0.801	0.991	0.034	0.021	0.043	0.000	0.112	0.060	0.055	0.040	0.006	0.131
62.5	0.897	0.899	0.064	0.797	0.992	0.041	0.030	0.042	0.000	0.119	0.062	0.057	0.042	0.004	0.136
63.5	0.870	0.863	0.073	0.768	0.992	0.061	0.052	0.052	0.000	0.159	0.069	0.065	0.047	0.003	0.152
64.5	0.718	0.832	0.281	0.075	0.981	0.180	0.072	0.235	0.002	0.738	0.102	0.076	0.092	0.010	0.294
65.5	0.751	0.844	0.244	0.177	0.979	0.155	0.065	0.206	0.003	0.642	0.095	0.076	0.076	0.013	0.247
66.5	0.765	0.842	0.222	0.253	0.980	0.142	0.065	0.188	0.002	0.575	0.093	0.078	0.071	0.012	0.230
67.5	0.778	0.840	0.200	0.336	0.982	0.129	0.063	0.167	0.002	0.500	0.093	0.080	0.067	0.010	0.219
68.5	0.794	0.841	0.175	0.427	0.983	0.113	0.061	0.144	0.002	0.415	0.093	0.082	0.063	0.010	0.207
69.5	0.811	0.843	0.147	0.518	0.982	0.097	0.057	0.119	0.002	0.334	0.092	0.084	0.059	0.010	0.194
70.5	0.827	0.845	0.120	0.608	0.980	0.083	0.054	0.093	0.002	0.260	0.090	0.085	0.054	0.011	0.184
71.5	0.840	0.846	0.097	0.679	0.980	0.073	0.054	0.071	0.002	0.201	0.087	0.083	0.050	0.011	0.173
72.5	0.851	0.847	0.080	0.730	0.980	0.066	0.055	0.055	0.002	0.168	0.083	0.081	0.047	0.011	0.163
73.5	0.856	0.848	0.074	0.756	0.982	0.064	0.055	0.050	0.002	0.159	0.079	0.077	0.045	0.010	0.156
74.5	0.857	0.854	0.081	0.730	0.984	0.068	0.056	0.058	0.002	0.176	0.076	0.072	0.045	0.009	0.153
75.5	0.851	0.862	0.101	0.673	0.986	0.076	0.055	0.078	0.002	0.221	0.073	0.067	0.047	0.007	0.155
76.5	0.840	0.867	0.128	0.590	0.988	0.088	0.055	0.103	0.001	0.283	0.071	0.064	0.050	0.006	0.161
77.5	0.826	0.869	0.158	0.501	0.990	0.102	0.056	0.130	0.001	0.361	0.072	0.061	0.055	0.005	0.173
78.5	0.809	0.869	0.187	0.402	0.992	0.117	0.057	0.154	0.001	0.442	0.074	0.060	0.061	0.005	0.189
79.5	0.779	0.861	0.229	0.253	0.992	0.139	0.059	0.187	0.001	0.561	0.083	0.064	0.074	0.004	0.229
80.5	0.724	0.836	0.282	0.090	0.995	0.171	0.067	0.225	0.000	0.687	0.105	0.079	0.101	0.002	0.313

30   * Corrected depth is the original depth + 3 cm to account for core top loss during sampling (Sect 2.4)

Figure S2. The grain size of the sediment core GC58. The GC58 core consists mainly of clay and silt with a fraction of sand around 60 cm of depth.

Figure S3. Degradation proxies for terrestrial organic carbon in the sediment core GC58. The x-axis has breaks due to gaps in the sediment chronology. (a) Syringyl acid to syringaldehyde (Sd/Sl) and vanillic acid to vanillin (Vd/Vl) ratios are a lignin-phenol based degradation proxy. The variability at the core top may also reflect the analytical uncertainty caused by very low lignin concentrations. (b) Also the ratio of 3,5-dihydrobenzoic acid to vanillyl phenols (3,5-Bd/V) provides information on degradation of terrestrial organic carbon. Higher values imply more degraded material for all the ratios as illustrated with the blue triangle. The 3,5-Bd/V values suggest a gradual increase in degradation from the bottom of the core to the top.

47   **Figure S~~3~~4. The ratio between the observed and expected lignin and terrestrial organic carbon (terrOC)**
48   **ratios (f$_{\text{lig/terrOC}}$) with interquartile range (IQR) for the sediment core GC58. The expected lignin values i.e.**
49   **non-degraded lignin are taken from Tesi et al., (2016). See Supplementary Methods for details.**

Figure S45. An estimate estimation of the lateral transport time of sediments shown as the degradation time (kyr) against the core depth (cm) in the sediment core GC58. See Supplementary Methods for lateral transport time calculations.

Supplementary methods

Source apportionment calculations

In the model for smoothly varying source proportions, data is split into three time-segments of contiguous observations. For each segment, observed $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ are modelled as

$$\delta^{13}C_i = \delta^{13}C^{ICD-PF} \times p_i^{ICD-PF} + \delta^{13}C^{TS-PF} \times p_i^{TS-PF} + \delta^{13}C^{M\,OC} \times p_i^{M\,OC} + \epsilon_i^{13},$$

$$\Delta^{14}C_i = \Delta^{14}C^{ICD-PF} \times p_i^{ICD-PF} + \Delta^{14}C^{TS-PF} \times p_i^{TS-PF} + \Delta^{14}C^{M\,OC} \times p_i^{M\,OC} + \epsilon_i^{14},$$

where ϵ_i^{13} and ϵ_i^{14} are independent zero-mean normally distributed residuals with variances σ_{13}^2 and σ_{14}^2 respectively. Residual variances are assumed equal for the three time-segments. ICD-PF refers to Ice Complex Deposit permafrost, TS-PF to topsoil permafrost and M OC to marine organic carbon.

The end-member values $\delta^{13}C^{ICD-PF}$, $\delta^{13}C^{TS-PF}$, $\delta^{13}C^{M\,OC}$, $\delta^{14}C^{ICD-PF}$, $\delta^{14}C^{TS-PF}$ and $\delta^{14}C^{M\,OC}$ are assumed random effects shared within each time-segment and independent between. With the exception of $\delta^{14}C^{ICD-PF}$ they are assumed normally distributed, with means and standard deviations reported earlier. To avoid values below -1000, $\delta^{14}C^{ICD-PF} + 1000$ is instead assumed to be exponentially distributed. Due to different times of deposit, $\delta^{14}C^{ICD-PF}$ means are set to -933, -833 and -800 for the younger, middle and older segment respectively.

In order to account for the time-dependence between proportions, we follow an approach related to that of Parnell et al. (201~~2~~3) by modelling p_i^{ICD-PF}, p_i^{TS-PF} and $p_i^{M\,OC}$ using Bayesian cubic B-splines after a transformation to the real plane using the additive log-ratio transform,

$$p_i^{ICD-PF} = \frac{\exp(s_1(y_i))}{\exp(s_1(y_i)) + \exp(s_2(y_i)) + 1},$$

$$p_i^{TS-PF} = \frac{\exp(s_2(y_i))}{\exp(s_1(y_i)) + \exp(s_2(y_i)) + 1},$$

and $p_i^{M\,OC} = 1 - p_i^{ICD-PF} - p_i^{TS-PF}$. The functions s_1 and s_2 are the spline-functions and y_i estimated years BP for observation i. Separate/independent splines are used for each of the three time-segments and knots are placed at the centres and endpoints. The model is fitted using rjags (Plummer, 2016) within the R computing environment (R Core Team, 2016). The code for the model is available at https://github.com/mskoldSU/Keskitalo_et_al.

Lateral transport time estimation

The GC58 core spans over a time period of ~ 9,500 cal yrs BP, during which the study area experienced a significant sea level rise (34 m in water depth). This means that the time for lateral transport of the terrestrial organic carbon (terrOC) from the shore to the site of sedimentation increased. To model this transport time the ratio of lignin/terrOC was used as a molecular clock. The fraction remaining lignin/terrOC ($f_{lig/terrOC}$) from remineralisation depends on the degradation of both lignin and terrOC. Bröder et al. (2017, submitted) established the following relation for the Laptev Sea (time t in kyrs):

$$f_{lig/terrOC}(t) = \frac{e^{-2.6 \cdot t}}{0.87 \cdot e^{-2.2 \cdot t} + 0.13} \tag{2}$$

89   The observational concentration terrOC can be established as OC/(1 - f_{marine}), where the fraction marine is derived

90   from the source apportionment results. To obtain the observational $f_{lig/terrOC}$ we need to consider the expected

91   lignin/terrOC signal for the sources that have not been degraded. For ICD-PF the lignin/terrOC ratio is 17.4±8.3 mg

92   g^{-1} and for topsoil-PF 20.9±6.4 mg g^{-1} (Tesi et al., 2016). Since the relative proportions of ICD-PF and topsoil-PF

93   for each data point is known from the source apportionment, the non-degraded lignin/terrOC signatures may be

94   estimated, using MCMC techniques to account for the end-member variability. By computing the ratio of the

95   observed and non-degraded lignin/terrOC ratios we can calculate the fraction remaining lignin/terrOC and further,

96   estimate the lateral transport times using Eq. (2).

97

98   **References**

99   Bröder, L., Tesi, T., Andersson, A., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Bounding cross-shelf transport time and

100 degradation in land-ocean carbon transfer. 2017. (submitted)

101 Parnell, A. C., Phillips, D. L., Bearhop, S., Semmens, B. X., Ward, E. J., Moore, J. W., Jackson, A. L. Kelly, D. J

102 and Inger, R.: Bayesian Stable Isotope Mixing Models, ~~arXiv Prepr. arXiv …, 16,~~ Environmetrics, 24(6), 387–399,

103 doi:10.1002/env.2221, 2013~~2~~.

104 Plummer, M.: rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC., 2016.

105 R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing, 2016.

106 Tesi, T., Semiletov, I., Hugelius, G., Dudarev, O., Kuhry, P. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Composition and fate of

107 terrigenous organic matter along the Arctic land-ocean continuum in East Siberia: Insights from biomarkers and

108 carbon isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 133, 235–256, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.045, 2014.

109 Tesi, T., Muschitiello, F., Smittenberg, R. H., Jakobsson, M., Vonk, J. E., Hill, P., Andersson, A., Kirchner, N.,

110 Noormets, R., Dudarev, O., Semiletov, I. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Massive remobilization of permafrost carbon during

111 post-glacial warming, Nat. Commun., 7, 13653, doi:10.1038/ncomms13653, 2016.

112