Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2017-147-RC4, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Re-evaluating the link between the Laacher See volcanic eruption and the Younger Dryas" by James U. L. Baldini et al.

Anonymous Referee #4

Received and published: 16 January 2018

The subject of the Younger Dryas cooling is one of considerable interest and fascination in the scientific community. Here, most research has been dominated by one themethat the cooling was triggered by a freshwater flood, or rerouting of meltwater, to the North Atlantic ocean. The idea that the YD cooling might have been triggered by a volcanic eruption has received much less attention and is very interesting.

Overall, I really enjoyed the paper. It's very well written, easy to follow, and provides a nice break from the more typical meltwater-trigger hypothesis. Indeed, I found the discussion about the sensitive of climate to intermediate ice volume conditions, and the alignment of this 'ideal' configuration, to the timing of the YD very enlightening. But whether a volcano actually triggered the YD is hard to tell from this paper. Yes, there was an eruption around the time of the YD cooling, but did it really produce a 1000-yr

C1

cooling? As such, the manuscript would have been vastly improved if the authors had done their own climate modeling. I think it would have been fantastic to try and see whether a volcano could have triggered a YD-like cooling. Indeed, the authors note that previous studies (fig 2) released 10-time LESS SO2 to the atmosphere than what is estimated here. Whether these experiments should be undertaken, I will leave that up to the authors, but I'm not going to rejecting this paper simply because they were not carried out.

Finally, I wasn't sure if the MWP-1b discussion was really needed. The existence of this period of rapid sea level rise is still very much debated, as is its source, with various camps arguing back-and-forth over an Antarctic or Laurentide contribution.

Anyway, my overall opinion is that this is a very interesting paper and it should be published with minor corrections/edits.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2017-147, 2017.