Author's Response to Reviewer 3; May 2018

We appreciate the considered review of Mike Clare, and have sought to address his comments with revisions and refinements to the manuscript text. Below we summarize these changes in relation to each of his main comments.

1) "Results" are not distinctly separated from "Discussion":

In the revised version we have reorganized the main sections to more clearly distinguish the presentation of new data from the Zumaia section, and its subsequent discussion in relation to other key sections. This includes revised subheading detailing "Results" from "Discussion". Given the nature of this work, however, there needs to be more cross-reference to other sections within the results than would normally be expected. We have retained the cGENIE modeling section as this develops from the previous discussion of the Zumaia record in the context of other key reference sections.

2) Recognise previous studies (re. age model):

This phrasing has been altered in the revised text. We fully agree that this study builds on the substantial and high quality efforts to constrain the stratigraphic framework of the Zumaia section over several decades. Our hope was to extend these analyses by providing improved constraints through the Siliciclastic Unit.

3) Significant threshold for spectral analysis is not explained or justified:

These thresholds are now explained in the main text and in the appropriate figure captions.

4) Provide context for comparative studies:

Reading the text we believe that we give adequate cross-reference to these other key sections, such as those in the Big Horn Basin. We judge it to be beyond the scope of this paper to provide a more extensive discussion of these other sites, which can be better found within the primary literature relating to these sites.

Response to Reviewer 1 and 2:

As there were no further technical issues raised by either Reviewer 1 or 2, we include below our original response to these reviewers.

We appreciate the extensive discussion with Anonymous Reviewer 1 and Victoriano Pujalte within the *Climates of the Past* open review and discussion phase. In summary, we agree with the *Climates of the Past* Editor's summary of the Reviewers' concerns, which can be simply stated in her words as "concerns with respect to the origin of the Si/Fe cycles" that we report.

To address these concerns we have substantially rewritten our manuscript, such that the focus and weight of argument has been taken away from the point of contention - namely the Si/Fe cycles within the PETM. Instead, we have inserted a new detailed correlation between Zumaia and both terrestrial and marine records, based on 1)

carbon isotope stratigraphy, and 2) cyclostratigraphy – but only using the lithological cycles for Zumaia for the intervals below and above the body of the PETM. This is independent of the presence or absence of Si/Fe cycles.

We do, however, retain the analysis of these cycles within the PETM – which are statistically significant and fit with the wider correlation of the Zumaia PETM to other locations – so that readers can make their own assessment of this data and correlations. To this end, and in line with the Editor's recommendations, we have also substantially revised the title of the manuscript, the abstract, introduction, discussion and conclusions, to allow the majority of the paper – which is not concerned with these Si/Fe cycles – to be clearly separated from any arguments over Si/Fe cycles.

We would, however, like to take this opportunity to address the reviewers major comments with regards the origin of Si/Fe cyclicity at Zumaia. These can be separated into two:

1. *That the Zumaia record was heavily impacted by stochastic depositional events with a length scale large enough to cause the observed Si/Fe cycles (~0.4 m scale) and/or that the Zumaia section represented incomplete or discontinuous deposition.*

Despite our request for any evidence of such events within the Zumaia section itself, none was forthcoming. Within the discussion phase we repeatedly cited all the published work on the Zumaia section that document that such stochastic events, in the form of turbidites, essentially disappear through the PETM interval at Zumaia (Clare et al., 2015; Schmitz et al., 2001). Victoriano Pujalte citied extensive sedimentary evidence from other sections in the Basque Basin as evidence that there was a large-scale sedimentary response to the PETM. This is exactly what we see in Zumaia – that sedimentation rates dramatically increased. There is, however, no evidence *from Zumaia* that this was coincident with any increase in siliciclastic sediment grade - in fact the published evidence indicates a *fining* of sediment grade (Schmitz et al., 2001) - or the impact of high-energy flows or erosive contacts. In this respect, we can but report the evidence observed, and concur with previous studies, that the lithological succession through the PETM interval at Zumaia is continuous and no unconformities have been found (Schmitz et al., 1997).

This is, in fact, supported by Victoriano's own assessments in his previous published papers of the nature of Paleocene to Eocene sedimentation at Zumaia. I'm not clear why he is now vigorously arguing the opposite:

Pujalte et al. (2015; *Climates of the Past,* page 1655): "*The Zumaia section* **is the most complete and representative section** *of the deep-water Basque Basin across the P–E interval*"

Pujalte et al. (2015; *Climates of the Past,* page 1659): "*The Paleocene Epoch is represented in the Basque Basin* **by two contrasting and mutually exclusive groups of deposits**, *autochthonous hemipelagic and allochthonous turbidites and breccias.* **Hemipelagic deposits are represented by cyclic vertical alternations of marls and limestones, with minor intercalations of thin-bedded turbidites. These deposits have been intensively studied, particularly in the Zumaia section**"

Pujalte et al. (2015; *Climates of the Past,* page 1662): "*However, **while successions of the basin floor (typified by the Zumaia section) are continuous** and up to 130 m thick, the thickness of successions of the channel wall becomes progressively reduced towards the channel axis due to internal hiatuses (e.g., the Balcón de Bizkaia and Trabakua pass west sections, Fig. 8).*"

I also draw attention to the Storme et al. (2012) paper, on which Victoriano was a co-author, which explicitly attempted to undertake correlations between the Zumaia section and other deep-sea sections using magnetic susceptibility data (60 samples over ~20 m). Again, I'm surprised that in 2012, the Zumaia section was an appropriate section for this type of study based on ferrous magnetic minerals, but in 2017, in Victoriano's opinion, is now unsuitable for a significantly higher-resolution investigation based on XRF-derived bulk sediment Fe concentrations.

2. *After their initial contention that Zumaia was impacted by turbidite deposition was refuted, Anonymous Reviewer 1 went on to claim that the Si/Fe cycles were potentially weathering, and that we had a "mystical" trust in "magic" Si/Fe cycles.*

Apart from the use of inappropriate language for a scientific review, the use of bulk sediment elemental iron content is one of the fundamental approaches used for the generation of Paleogene cyclostratigraphic age models for both terrestrial and marine systems (e.g. Röhl et al., 2007; Westerhold et al., 2007; Westerhold et al., 2014; Westerhold et al., 2008; Westerhold et al., 2018; Zachos et al., 2010). Records of orbitally-paced Fe cycles are a significant component of the widely accepted cyclostratigraphic tuning of Paleocene time (Westerhold et al. 2008). Such cycles are interpreted as representing subtle variations in the relative abundance of the clay-fractions and/or of redox conditions during deposition. We see no reason why such processes should not be responsible for the observed Si/Fe variations within the Zumaia section as at other deep-ocean locations. This is supported by the correlation of Si/Fe variability with the accepted precession-forced lithological changes both above and below the PETM within the Zumaia succession. The substantial changes made to our manuscript, including the independent carbon isotope and cyclostratigraphic correlations with other sites above and below the body of the PETM, however, allow the readers to make there own judgment on the use and origin of this Si/Fe cyclicity within the SU.

Clare, M. A., Talling, P. J., and Hunt, J. E., 2015, Implications of reduced turbidity current and landslide activity for the Initial Eocene Thermal Maximum - evidence from two distal, deep-water sites: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 420, p. 102-115.

Röhl, U., Westerhold, T., Bralower, T., and Zachos, J., 2007, On the duration of the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM): Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 8, no. 12, p. 1-13.

Schmitz, B., Asaro, F., Molina, E., Monechi, S., Salis, K. v., and Speijer, R. P., 1997, High-resolution iridium, d13C, d18O, foraminifera and nannofossil profiles across the latest Paleocene benthic extinction event at Zumaya: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 133, p. 49-68.

Schmitz, B., Pujalte, V., and Nunez-Betelu, K., 2001, Climate and sea-level perturbations during the Initial Eocene Thermal Maximum: evidence from siliciclastic units in the Basque Basin (Ermua, Zumaia and Trabakua Pass), northern Spain: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 165, no. 3-4, p. 299-320.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Laskar, J., Raffi, I., Bowles, J., Lourens, L., and Zachos, J., 2007, On the duration of magnetochrons C24r and C25n and the timing of early Eocene global warming events: Implications from the Ocean Drilling Program Leg 208 Walvis Ridge depth transect: Paleoceanography, v. 22, no. 2.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Pälike, H., Wilkens, R., Wilson, P. A., and Acton, G., 2014, Orbitally tuned timescale and astronomical forcing in the middle Eocene to early Oligocene: Climates of the Past, v. 10, p. 955-973.

Westerhold, T., Rohl, U., Raffi, I., Fornaciari, E., Monechi, S., Reale, V., Bowles, J., and Evans, H. F., 2008, Astronomical calibration of the Paleocene time: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 257, no. 4, p. 377-403.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Wilkens, R. H., Gingerich, P. D., Clyde, W. C., Wing, S. L., Bowen, G. J., and Kraus, M. J., 2018, Synchronizing early Eocene deep-sea and continental records – cyclostratigraphic age models for the Bighorn Basin Coring Project drill cores: Climates of the Past, v. 14, p. 303-319.

Zachos, J. C., Mccarren, H., Murphy, B., Röhl, U., and Westerhold, T., 2010, Tempo and scale of late Paleocene and early Eocene carbon isotope cycles: Implications for the origin of hyperthermals: Earth and planetary science letters, v. 299, no. 1-2, p. 242-249.

Dynamics of sediment flux to a bathyal continental margin section through the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum

Tom Dunkley Jones[1]*, Hayley R. Manners[2,3], Murray Hoggett[1], Sandra Kirtland Turner[4], Thomas Westerhold[5], Melanie J. Leng[6], Richard D. Pancost[7], Andy Ridgwell[4], Laia Alegret[8], Rob Duller[9], Stephen T. Grimes[2]

[1] School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.
[2] School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Drake Circus, Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA, UK.
[3] School of Ocean and Earth Sciences, National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK.
[4] Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
[5] MARUM — Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Leobener Strasse, 28359 Bremen, Germany.
[6] NERC Isotope Geosciences Facilities, British Geological Survey, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK & Centre for Environmental Geochemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.
[7] Organic Geochemistry Unit, The Cabot Institute, School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK.
[8] Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra & Instituto Universitario de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain.
[9] Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3GP

Correspondence to: Tom Dunkley Jones (t.dunkleyjones@bham.ac.uk)

Abstract. The response of the Earth System to greenhouse-gas driven warming is of critical importance for the future trajectory of our planetary environment. Hyperthermal events - past climate transients with global-scale warming significantly above background climate variability - can provide insights into the nature and magnitude of these responses. The largest hyperthermal of the Cenozoic was the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM ~56 Ma). Here we present new high-resolution bulk sediment stable isotope and major element data for the classic PETM section at Zumaia, Spain. With this data we provide a new detailed stratigraphic correlation to other key deep ocean and terrestrial PETM reference sections. With this new correlation and age model we are able to demonstrate that detrital sediment accumulation rates within the Zumaia continental margin section increased more than four-fold during the PETM, representing a radical change in regional hydrology that drove dramatic increases in terrestrial to marine sediment flux. Most remarkable is that detrital accumulation rates remain high throughout the body of the PETM, and even reach peak values during the recovery phase of the characteristic PETM carbon isotope excursion (CIE). Using a series of Earth System Model inversions, driven by the new Zumaia carbon isotope record, we demonstrate that the silicate weathering feedback alone is insufficient to recover the PETM CIE, and that active organic carbon burial is required to match the observed dynamics of the CIE. Further, that the period of maximum organic carbon sequestration coincides with the peak in detrital accumulation rates observed at Zumaia.

Based on these results, we hypothesize that orbital-scale variations in sub-tropical hydro-climates, and their subsequent impact on sediment dynamics, may contribute to the rapid climate and CIE recovery from peak-PETM conditions.

1 Introduction

5 The PETM is a marked interval of climate warming (Dunkley Jones et al., 2013), shoaling of oceanic carbonate saturation horizons (Zachos et al., 2005) and a large global negative Carbon Isotope Excursion (CIE) in all rapidly exchangeable marine and terrestrial carbon reservoirs (McInerney and Wing, 2011). The most consistent explanation for these coupled perturbations is the release of carbon from a large shallow lithospheric reservoir, with a depleted carbon isotopic (δ^{13}C) signature ($\sim$ –20 to –
10 60 ‰), on a multi-millenial timescale (Bowen et al., 2015; Dickens et al., 1995; Gutjahr et al., 2017; Kirtland Turner and Ridgwell, 2016; Zeebe et al., 2016). Although there is still no confidence on the identity of such a large (>4000 Pg C) and unstable carbon reservoir, its release and oxidation within the ocean-atmosphere system caused rising atmospheric CO_2 concentrations, warming and a range of Earth System perturbations associated with pronounced global warming (Sluijs et al., 2007).

Although considerable attention has been paid to constraining the rates of carbon release, based on deep-ocean carbonate dissolution (Panchuk et al., 2008; Zachos et al., 2005; Zeebe et al., 2009), rates of warming (Meissner et al., 2014; Zeebe et al., 2016), carbon isotope profiles (Bowen et al., 2015; Kirtland Turner and Ridgwell, 2016) and surface ocean pH (Gutjahr et al., 2017) the mechanisms
20 responsible for both the climatic and isotope recovery at the end of this transient event are still not well constrained (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). The timescales of silicate weathering and carbonate burial (~100-200 ka) are suggested to be too long to drive the main phase of CIE recovery, which the best records available to date indicate is an order of magnitude faster (~10-20 ka) (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). However, observed patterns of enhanced carbonate and possibly biogenic silica deposition in the
25 deep-ocean through the PETM recovery phase are interpreted as the signature of a silicate weathering-driven recovery (Penman, 2016; Penman et al., 2016). The alternative mechanism for carbon removal is the substantial burial of organic carbon within either terrestrial or marine sedimentary systems (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). One means of increasing global organic carbon burial rates is through enhanced sedimentation rates on continental margins, which might contribute significantly to C_{org} burial rates
30 with little or no rise in measured total weight percent organic carbon content of sediments (Sluijs et al., 2014). There is also direct evidence for substantial increases in the organic carbon content of syn-PETM marine sediments in the eastern Tethys, associated with increased terrestrial to marine weathering fluxes and reduced seawater oxygenation (Carmichael et al., 2017; Gavrilov et al., 1997).

35 If organic carbon burial does drive recovery from the PETM, there is no explanation for the temporal offset between increased sedimentation rates and organic carbon burial during the body of the PETM (John et al., 2008) – when models indicate no or little carbon removal from the systems – and the major phase of carbon burial required for the CIE recovery at the end of the event. Models that include a continued leakage of carbon to maintain a prolonged CIE provide a mechanism to delay recovery

(Penman et al., 2016; Zeebe et al., 2009), but this delay only exacerbates the mismatch between the observed fast recovery and the timescales of silicate weathering-driven carbon drawdown (Penman et al., 2016). As yet, there is no satisfactory model to explain the timing of the large-scale carbon drawdown required to drive the rapid CIE recovery phase ~100 ka after the onset of the PETM (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). Understanding the ultimate fate of thousands of petagrams of "excess" carbon injected into the ocean-atmosphere system during the PETM has profound implications for understanding the behaviour of the Earth's climate future over the coming millennia. Here, we present new high-resolution bulk carbonate isotopic analyses, calcium carbonate and organic carbon contents and bulk sediment elemental data from the Iberian upper continental slope (~1000m paleo-water depth), to address the linkage between climatic controls on sedimentation rates and carbon drawdown. We reference this data within a new age model, constrained by detailed stratigraphic correlations to the most complete terrestrial and marine PETM reference successions.

2 Location and Methods

Our studied PETM section is located at the Itzurun beach-front of the town of Zumaia, northern Spain (Figure 1; N 043°18´4.5", W 002°15´31.2"). Situated within the deep-basin of the Pyrenean Gulf, it is the deep-water end of a sediment distribution system that has been mapped in detail over the past two decades (see references and summary within Pujalte et al., 2015). Logged sections span terrestrial alluvial fans and plains, shallow shelf carbonates, incised channel distribution systems and a deep-water basin (Pujalte et al., 2015 and references therein). Within this, the Zumaia section is regarded as the most complete and representative end member of the deep-water depositional system, recording the distal deposition of fluvial-derived fine grained sediment plumes (Pujalte et al., 2015) at middle-lower bathyal (1000 m) paleodepths (Alegret et al., 2009).

The Zumaia section is a classic PETM locality and the subject of a series of bio-, magneto-, chemo-, and lithostratigraphic studies (Alegret et al., 2018; Alegret et al., 2009; Baceta et al., 2000; Bernaola et al., 2007; Canudo et al., 1995; Dinarès-Turell et al., 2007; Dinarès-Turell et al., 2002; Manners et al., 2013; Schmitz et al., 1997; Schmitz et al., 2001; Storme et al., 2012). The aim of this study is to build on the existing detailed depositional framework developed for the region, and to use the Zumaia section as a key recorder of the temporal patterns of climate-driven sediment flux from terrestrial systems to the deep ocean during the PETM. To do this we sought to substantially increase the resolution of existing bulk carbonate isotope records and supplement these by high-resolution bulk sediment compositional analyses by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF). This approach is consistent with a progressive shift in PETM studies, from broad characterization of the CIE as a marker of the event within wider Paleogene stratigraphy, to a focus on the millennial-scale dynamics of the carbon cycle, climate and other Earth System properties within the PETM itself (Bowen et al., 2015; Zeebe et al., 2016). For this study we took a total of 248 small "thumbnail" 1cc bulk sediment samples at ~3 to 5 cm resolution from ~4.3 m below to ~8.2 m above the onset of the characteristic "siliciclastic unit" that marks the body of the PETM at this location. The zero reference point for all sampling is taken at the top of the prominent limestone bed, located ~0.3 m below the base of the SU. In between the limestone and the SU is a 0.3 m

thick greenish-grey marl unit, which is distinct from both the limestone / marl units found above and below the SU and the typically red-brown clays and marly clays of the SU itself. The section was logged in detail, and integrated with the existing stratigraphic framework for the site (Figure 2).

5 All samples were analysed for bulk sediment elemental composition by X-ray Fluoresence (XRF) using dried, powered sediment, mounted in wax pellets. Pellets were analysed with a Bruker S8 tiger X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometer with 8-minute analysis time, at the University of Birmingham (UoB). CaO concentrations from XRF analyses were converted into high-resolution estimates of weight percent $CaCO_3$ using a calibration set of 25 discrete calcium carbonate measurements. Weight percent $CaCO_3$
10 contents were determined at UoB, based on inorganic carbon contents measured by CO_2 generation with phosphoric acid reaction within a 200ºC furnace using a Shimadzu TOC-V carbon analyser. Detrital MARs were estimated assuming that both detrital and carbonate fractions had an estimated dry bulk density of 1.8 g cm^{-3}. Organic carbon MARs were calculated following Willmott et al. (2009).

15 A subset of 129 thumbnail samples were also analyzed for the stable isotope composition ($\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{carb}$) of bulk carbonates at the NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory (NIGL, Keyworth, Nottingham). Sample material was powdered to yield CO_2 from the equivalent of 10 mg of 100% calcite and reacted with anhydrous phosphoric acid *in vacuo* overnight at a constant 25°C. Liberated CO_2 was separated from water vapour under vacuum and collected for analysis. Measurements were
20 made on a VG Optima mass spectrometer (standard reproducibility of $< \pm0.1$‰). All data are on the VPDB scale. An additional 67 bulk samples were analysed for total organic carbon content at NIGL using a Carlo Erba 1500 elemental analyser with acetanilide used as the calibration standard. Replicate analyses indicated a precision of ±0.1 wt% in well-mixed samples (1 Standard Deviation, SD).

3. Results

3.1 Carbon Isotope Stratigraphy
The new high-resolution bulk carbonate isotope stratigraphy presented here is consistent with previous
30 studies of the Zumaia section, in particular the isotopic data of Schmitz et al. (1997). The capacity for the analyses of low weight carbonate samples at NIGL has, however, provided substantial additional data within the critical phase of most rapid change at the PETM onset, including the base of the SU, between ~0.3 and 0.7 m. This new data provides information about subtle lead/lag relationships between lithological change at the base of the SU, sediment carbonate content, the CIE and benthic
35 foraminifera community change and extinction (Alegret et al., 2018). Although there is a clear negative $\delta^{13}C$ shift of ~ 1 ‰ between the prominent limestone unit (-0.7 to 0 m) and the 0.3 m thick marl unit (0 to 0.3 m) that immediately underlies the SU, this change, and the absolute $\delta^{13}C$ values concerned, are all within the background variations in $\delta^{13}C$ seen in the marl / limestone couplets of the latest Paleocene (e.g. from -4.0 to -1.0 m). For example, the carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values of ~0 ‰ within the "precursor" marl
40 unit (0 to 0.3 m) are close to the average value for the latest Paleocene succession analyzed in this study. On this basis, we take a conservative approach and do not identify this $\delta^{13}C$ shift as related to the onset of the main phase of the PETM. This is not to say that there are not significant environmental

perturbations through the limestone and precursor marl intervals. There is evidence for an early shift in both benthic (Alegret et al., 2018) and planktonic foraminiferal assemablages within this marl unit (Schmitz et al. 1997). Further the distinct precursor limestone bed is itself an unusual feature within the typically thinner, and lower $CaCO_3$ "limestone-marl" couplets of the latest Paleocene. The nature of any such precursor environmental changes are beyond the scope of this study, but they do underline the potentially great importance and utility of the Zumaia section, because, unlike many deep-ocean sites, it appears to be more continuous and expanded across the onset of the PETM.

Here, we place the base of the CIE at 0.30 m. The $\delta^{13}C$ shift between 0.25 m (0.01 ‰) and 0.30 m (-0.28 ‰) is the start of the consistent negative deviation in $\delta^{13}C$ values that continues through the base of the SU. This level is also the point of lithological change between the precursor marl and the base of the SU. The largest negative step in $\delta^{13}C$ - from -0.8 to -3.6 ‰ - is recorded between samples 0.34 and 0.38 m with a further decline to values of -4.0 ‰ or lower above 0.5 m (Figure 2). The total CIE is more than ~3.7 ‰ (Figure 2). We note that there is a slight lag between the onset of the CIE and the corresponding decline in calcium carbonate contents. Carbonate contents are stable between 0.25 and 0.30 m, at ~44 wt%, but then drop rapidly across successive samples to 26 wt% (0.34 m) and then 7 wt% (0.38 m). The placement of the onset of the CIE at 0.30 m also agrees well with the main phase of the Benthic Foraminifera Extinction Event (BEE), which was placed at ~0.3 m in the original study of Schmitz et al. (1997) as well as in detailed analyses of foraminiferal turnover (Alegret et al., 2018; Alegret et al., 2009). A gradual but rapid disappearance of benthic foraminifera is recorded through the precursor marls (0 to 0.30 m), but the main phase of the BEE coincides with the onset of the negative CIE at ~0.3 m (Alegret et al., 2018).

Although an increase in sedimentation rates at the start of the PETM in the Zumaia section limits the effects of any carbonate burn-down on the earliest stages of the CIE profile, the measured $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ data diverge from the typical PETM CIE profile between ~0.7 to 2.8m. Here, $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ values return to higher values, of ~ −3‰, which lie between those of the peak CIE and pre-excursion values (Figure 2). We attribute this to the increased relative contribution of reworked detrital carbonates, as evidenced by the presence of Cretaceous nannofossils (Dunkley Jones, pers. obs.), during periods of very low autochthonous carbonate flux. This is supported by the return of $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ to near peak-excursion values once carbonate contents increase above 2.8m. Above this level, there is a CIE recovery phase similar to other high-resolution records from terrestrial section and the deep ocean (e.g. Bowen et al., 2015; Zachos et al., 2005; Figure 2).

In order to develop a refined age model for the Zumaia section, we used our new high-resolution stable isotope stratigraphy, together with the established cyclostratigraphy for the Zumaia section, based on precession-paced lithological cycles (Dinarès-Turell et al., 2003; Westerhold et al., 2008). This combination of carbon isotope and cyclostratigraphy provided multiple direct stratigraphic ties to existing deep-ocean and terrestrial reference sections (Bowen et al., 2015; Röhl et al., 2007; Westerhold et al., 2018). These tie points include precession cycles -9 to -14 below the onset of the PETM, and cycles 6 to 14 above the onset of the PETM, as well as the CIE onset and marked inflexion point in $\delta^{13}C$ during the recovery phase (~55.81 Ma). In the latest Paleocene, distinct marl/limestone couplets are lost

with the deposition of more continuous higher carbonate lithologies between ~ -1.0 and 0 m, including the distinct marker limestone bed. This high carbonate interval may be due to clastic sediment starvation, and has previously been associated with the base of a transgressive systems tract (Storme et al., 2012). The new bulk sediment chemistry presented here is also unable to clearly identify cycles

5   within this interval, but interpolations to Chron 25n and biostratigraphic correlations between Zumaia and deep ocean sections, would suggest that this high carbonate interval is somewhat condensed relative to the rest of the succession. In this study, we associate the first clear limestone/marl couplet beneath this high carbonate interval (marl between -1.4 and -1.8 m) with the 9th precession cycle below the onset of the CIE. Above the SU, two prominent thicker carbonate beds are correlated with the 100 ka

10   eccentricity minima of Westerhold *et al.* (2007; precession cycles 6/7 and 11), which is supported by the placement of the Last Rare Occurrence of the calcareous nannofossil genus *Fasciculithus* at 8.7 m (Baceta et al., 2006; confirmed by Dunkley Jones, pers. obs.), between cycles 15 and 16, which is consistent with Forada section and ODP Site 690 (Röhl et al., 2007).

3.2 Sedimentary Cycles through the PETM

Precession-paced lithological cycles are well established within the Zumaia succession, both above and below the main body of the PETM (Figure 3; Dinarès-Turell et al., 2003; Westerhold et al., 2008). This

20   same prominent cyclicity is also resolved, both above and below the SU, in the new bulk sediment chemistry data presented here, including in calcium carbonate and SiO_2 contents, and Si/Fe ratios (Figure 3). In addition to these already established precession cycles, we note, for the first time, a prominent variation in Si/Fe ratios through the SU itself. Spectral analysis, using the "astrochron" software package (Meyers, 2014), of the new high-resolution Zumaia Si/Fe records resolve significant

25   power at frequencies of ~2.2 cycles m[-1] (~0.45 m period), above the 99 % confidence limit (Figure 4). Evolutive power spectra through the whole record shows that these cycles are most strongly developed within the body of the PETM. In total there are 10 of these cycles in Si/Fe between the CIE onset and the $\delta^{13}C$ inflection point F of Röhl et al. (2007; Figure 3). With a total CIE duration of 90-167 ka (Murphy et al., 2010; Röhl et al., 2007) this variability is on the half-precession timescale (10*10.5=105

30   ka) and bears a striking resemblance to variations observed in terrestrial palaeosol successions from the Bighorn Basin (Abdul Aziz et al., 2008; Westerhold et al., 2018). In the Bighorn Basin these cycles are related to changes in catchment hydrology (e.g. Abdul Aziz et al., 2008), resulting from the interference of both northern and southern hemisphere precession signals in tropical hydroclimates (Short et al., 1991). A similar mechanism for the origin of the Zumaia intra-PETM cycles is consistent with a strong

35   hydroclimate control on sediment erosion and transport in the Tremp-Graus basin during the PETM (Pujalte et al., 2015; Schmitz and Pujalte, 2007).

In our preferred analysis, we use these ten intra-PETM Si/Fe cycles to provide additional age constraints through the PETM at Zumaia. The use of these cycles does not impact the wider detailed

40   correlations to other locations based on carbon isotope stratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy outside of the body of the PETM as presented above. We believe these correlations are robust and provide a new context for interpreting the Zumaia record. The presence of distinct variability in Si/Fe ratios though the

Deleted: relatively

Deleted: Our new bulk sediment elemental analyses show the same p

Deleted: content but also in

Deleted: both below and above the SU

Deleted: through

Deleted: ;

body of the SU at Zumaia provides additional, fine-scale lithological and bulk rock geochemical data for an otherwise poorly resolved interval. The use of XRF-derived Fe contents of bulk sediments is established practice for the development of cyclostratigraphic models within Paleogene successions (e.g. Röhl et al., 2007; Westerhold et al., 2007; Westerhold et al., 2014; Westerhold et al., 2008; Westerhold et al., 2018; Zachos et al., 2010). Although other sections within the Basque Basin show distinct lithological variability through the PETM, including turbidite deposition at the up-dip and shallower-water section of Ermua (Schmitz et al., 2001) and the development of large deep-water distribution channels (Pujalte et al., 2015), no evidence for either turbidite deposition or any significant unconformity has been reported for the section at Zumaia. Indeed, the Zumaia section is regarded as the most complete and representative PETM succession within the Basque Basin (Pujalte et al., 2015).Throughout the main phase of the PETM the Zumaia section is dominated by fine-grained sediments, with the <28 μm fraction typically contributing 99 % or more of sediment volume during the SU (Schmitz et al., 2001). This is consistent with the absence of any recognized turbidite deposition during the PETM phase at Zumaia (Clare et al., 2015). In summary, all available evidence indicates that deposition is continuous and dominated by fine-grained hemi-pelagic clays through the PETM interval at Zumaia. It is this continuity that makes the Zumaia section an important location for the detailed study of biotic and geochemical perturbations through the PETM.

3.3 Calcium Carbonate and Detrital Sediment Mass Accumulation Rates

Based on our age model and estimated weight % $CaCO_3$, we estimate calcium carbonate, total organic carbon (TOC) and detrital mass accumulation rates (MAR) throughout the study interval (Figures 5 and 6). Carbonate MAR closely follows weight % $CaCO_3$, with a marked decline during the body of the SU. These two records of carbonate content do, however, diverge in two key intervals: first during the distinct limestone bed below the onset of the PETM, between ~ -0.8 and 0 m (~56.03 to 55.95 Ma), and then in the later stage of the CIE recovery between ~4.4 and 4.7m (55.82 to 55.81 Ma) (Figures 5 and 6). In the first of these, the distinct high carbonate content of the limestone unit is weakly represented in carbonate MAR, indicating that this is the result of clastic sediment starvation, rather than increased carbonate production. The second horizon occurs during the CIE recovery phase, when carbonate MARs nearly treble compared to pre-PETM values before stabilizing at levels ~50% higher than pre-PETM conditions during the post-PETM phase above 4.7 m (~55.81 Ma). This persistent increase in carbonate MARs above the PETM is reflected in consistently higher carbonate contents ($CaCO_3$ wt %) of sediments above the PETM than those in the latest Paleocene. This is clear evidence for significantly increased carbonate accumulation rates post-PETM, even in relatively shallow (~1000m) supra-lysoclinal depths on the continental margins. The rate and timing of this recovery in carbonate MARs is remarkable, with the recovery to, and then exceedence of, background levels all within the ~20 ka of the main phase of the CIE recovery (Figure 6).

The mass accumulation rate of non-carbonate components is here considered equivalent to the detrital MAR, in the absence of any significant contribution of biogenic silica. Before the CIE, the detrital MARs are relatively low, fluctuating around 2 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}, with a further decline during the precursor

Spectral analysis of the new high-resolution Zumaia Si/Fe records resolve significant power at frequencies of ~2.2 cycles m^{-1} (~0.45 m period) and 0.7 cycles m^{-1} (~1.4 m period) (Figure 4). This double peak in power spectra is unlikely to be due to a simple step-change in sedimentation rates at the onset of the PETM conditions – with an associated shift in the frequency of precession signals in the depth domain - as power is resolved at both frequencies within the body of the PETM where sedimentation rates are relatively stable (Figure 3). In our preferred analysis, we use these ten int [... [1]

limestone unit (–0.8 to 0 m). During the onset of the PETM (0.3 – 1.5 m) detrital fluxes increase dramatically, to between three and four times pre-PETM levels. Within the peak-PETM (1.5-1.7 m; ~55.88 to 55.85 Ma), detrital flux declines slightly and shows variability on similar timescales to Si/Fe ratios. It then increases markedly towards the end of the peak-PETM interval, above 3.7 m (~55.85 Ma), and reaches peak values for the whole PETM during the main phase of the δ^{13}C recovery interval (3.7 – 4.2 m; ~55.83 to 55.82 Ma). Both detrital and carbonate MAR increase to peak values for the whole PETM, during the main interval of CIE recovery, with detrital MARs peaking first, followed by carbonate MARs. We note that these high detrital mass accumulations rates during the body of the PETM do not coincide with a noticeable increase in grain size, with sediments dominated by clay-grade material throughout. This is consistent with the marked absence of coarser grained turbidite deposition during the PETM within the Zumaia section (Clare et al., 2015), although increased coarse-grained siliciclastic deposition during the PETM is recorded within deep-water channels within the basin (Pujalte et al., 2015). Total organic carbon MARs are relatively stable before the onset of the event, at ~0.01 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}. At the onset of the PETM there is an increase in TOC MARs, but this lags the CIE onset by ~20 ka (Figure 6). During the body of the PETM there are distinct peaks in TOC MARs at ~55.90 Ma and ~55.85 Ma, but in general values remain between 0.015 and 0.02 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}. Coincident with the CIE recovery phase, between 55.83 and 55.81 Ma, TOC MARs again increase to over ~0.02 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}

4. Inverse Modeling the Zumaia CIE and the PETM carbon source

To constrain the rates of carbon input and sequestration through the onset and termination of the PETM, based on the CIE profile and cyclostratigraphy from Zumaia, we undertook a series of PETM simulations using the late Paleocene-early Eocene configuration of the cGENIE Earth System Model (Kirtland Turner and Ridgwell, 2016). This includes a 3-D dynamic ocean model, 2-D energy balance atmospheric model, and a representation of marine biogeochemical cycling plus the preservation of carbonates in deep-sea sediments (Ridgwell and Schmidt, 2010). We also include a 0-D (global average) temperature-dependent terrestrial weathering feedback as described in (Colbourn et al., 2013). We follow a two-part spin-up procedure, first for 20 ka as a 'closed' system (i.e. weathering exactly balancing sedimentation) and with atmospheric CO$_2$ set to 834 ppm with a δ^{13}C of -4.9‰. A further 250 ka 'open system' spin-up with temperature-dependent weathering feedbacks enabled and input of CO$_2$ via volcanic outgassing (Colbourn et al., 2013) was used to bring the geological ^{13}C cycle to steady state. In this, the specified non-carbonate detrital flux to marine sediments (0.18 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}), the rain ratio of carbonate to particulate organic carbon (0.2), and the global average concentrations of Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} (29.89 and 18.22 mmol kg^{-1}, respectively) and alkalinity (1975 μmol kg^{-1}) were selected to give an average global mean sedimentary carbonate preservation of 46.75%, comparable with late Paleocene estimates (Panchuk et al., 2008).

Four inversion experiments were undertaken, all forced with carbon (CO$_2$) inputs to the atmosphere such that the δ^{13}C of surface ocean dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) followed a prescribed inversion target taken from the Zumaia δ^{13}C record, adjusted to the initial cGENIE average surface ocean DIC

Deleted: ,

Deleted: major
Deleted: s in
Deleted:
Deleted: s
Deleted: are

Deleted: recovery
Deleted: again
Deleted: between 55.83 and 55.81 Ma
Deleted: 5
Deleted: Modelling

Deleted: the
Deleted: (non-carbonate)

$\delta^{13}C$ of +2.7‰. Within the very low carbonate interval, where the primary signals appear to be lost due to the disproportionate influence of non-autochthonous carbonates, the $\delta^{13}C$ target curve was linearly interpolated between the data points at ~19 and 78 ka. Experiments included variation in the isotope composition of the source (–60‰ and –22‰) and CIE recovery driven by silicate weathering only and

5   with active carbon removal to match the CIE profile (Table 1). In order to directly compare modeled and observed carbonate contents, all experiments also include the temporally varying observed changes in detrital fluxes within the sediment model at the model grid-box corresponding to the paleolocation of Zumaia only.

10   Net input fluxes depend on the $\delta^{13}C$ composition of the source (Table 1), with smaller carbon inputs required for a source with a more negative isotope composition. Total carbon inputs are also slightly larger where no removal fluxes are allowed (Experiment 1). In all experiments, the majority of C input occurs in the first 20 ka with a low rate of C input (<0.05 Pg C yr-1) required to maintain low isotope values until 78 ka. Total modeled C input is broadly comparable to previous model-based estimates

15   constrained by deep-ocean carbonate dissolution that exceed ~4000 Pg C (Bowen et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2011; Gutjahr et al., 2017; Panchuk et al., 2008). In this case the lower bound of carbon input (4154 PgC) is generated by an inversion of the CIE isotopic profile assuming a pure biogenic methane carbon source with isotope composition of –60‰. As an independent test of the reliability of these simulations, in terms of the estimated CIE magnitude and temporal dynamics, the modeled atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$

20   excursion is compared to the best available terrestrial record of the CIE, from soil carbonates of the Bighorn Basin (Figure 7; Bowen et al., 2015). There is a strong correspondence between the two, in the shape of the onset and recovery, the magnitude of the CIE and the overall duration of the event. Although these model runs have no independent second constraint on the carbon cycle perturbation through the PETM – for example a measure of surface ocean pH change (Gutjahr et al., 2017) or deep

25   ocean carbonate saturation state (Panchuk et al., 2008; Zeebe et al., 2009) – model simulations with a mixed source input with $\delta^{13}C$ of -22 ‰ are close in total mass input across the PETM onset (11,316 Pg C) to the preferred simulations of Gutjahr et al. (2017; ~10,200 Pg C), which are constrained by surface ocean pH changes. The combination of these two records – pH from DSDP Site 401 and the CIE dynamics from Zumaia – is, however, informative. Total carbon input masses, constrained by the most

30   detailed surface ocean pH records of the PETM available to date, are modeled to be >10,000 Pg C (Gutjahr et al., 2017), but the dynamics of this release must be considerably quicker than assumed within the deep-ocean records recovered from Site DSDP Site 401, in order to satisfy the rate of CIE onset observed at Zumaia, which is ~3.3 ‰ over less than 5 ka. We argue that this CIE onset rate is robust, given that it is observed in both terrestrial (Bowen et al., 2015) and marine (this study) sections

35   where the onset of the CIE is expanded and set within a robust intra-PETM cyclostratigraphic age model. The CIE recorded at Zumaia, and within the Big Horn Basin (Bowen et al., 2015), of 4 ‰ or more, is also greater than that assumed in the favoured model simulations of Gutjahr et al. (2017) of 2.6 ‰, and is closer to their alternate run assuming a CIE of ~4 ‰, which reconstructs a source carbon source with a $\delta^{13}C$ composition of ~ -17 ‰. Both these aspects of the Zumaia record – a CIE onset less

40   than 5 ka, and a CIE with magnitude ~4 ‰ or more – requires the rapid release of carbon from a source that is more isotopically-depleted than volcanic CO_2. Whether thermogenic methane generated by sill

intrusion during the onset phase of the NAIP (Svensen et al., 2004) can meet the emission rates and carbon isotopic compositions required to generate the early stages of the CIE is yet to be resolved.

Deleted: 6

5. Discussion: Sediment Accumulation Rates and Carbon Removal During the PETM Recovery

Two fundamental unknowns remain about the recovery phase of the PETM: first, what is the ultimate
5 sink of thousands of petagrams of carbon when added to the ocean-atmopshere system as CO_2?; and, second, what controls the temporal dynamics of carbon flux into this sink, and thence controls the timing of the relatively rapid CIE and PETM climate recovery? The combination of the age-constrained CIE records from Zumaia, the inverse Earth System modeling of this CIE and the general excellent agreement between the atmospheric CIE generated in these simulations with independent records from
10 the terrestrial realm (Figure 7; Bowen et al., 2015), provide new insights into these key questions. In simulations without active carbon removal, the only process restoring atmospheric pCO_2 to pre-CIE levels is the silicate weathering feedback, where net removal depends on the size of the carbon input and associated temperature change. This "weathering only" experiment fails to match the observed CIE recovery, with minimal recovery of atmospheric CO_2 $\delta^{13}C$ even when a significant mass of carbon has
15 been removed from the atmosphere (Figure 7). This is due to the isotopic imbalance between the ultimate carbon sink in this case – marine carbonates (-1 to 4‰) – compared to the carbon source (–22 or –60 ‰). With the weathering feedback only, the rate of removal of carbon from the atmosphere (Figure 7), and associated climate recovery, also occurs on timescales significantly longer (> 100 ka) than indicated by climate proxy data, where temperatures are closely coupled to the recovery of the CIE
20 (Dunkley Jones et al., 2013). The configuration of the silicate weathering feedback within the model is thus an insufficient driver of PETM recovery on two counts: first its inability to drive recovery in the carbon isotopic composition of the exogenic carbon reservoir, and second, in its inability to match the rate of recovery of the climate system at the end of the PETM. The Zumaia record also provides evidence for a rapid climate transition at the end of the PETM, independent of carbon-cycle system
25 parameters (e.g. $\delta^{13}C$ and $CaCO_3$ accumulation), in the observed rapid switch back to pre-PETM detrital fluxes during the recovery phase. This implies a rapid climate recovery - back to a hydrological and erosive system similar to pre-event conditions - that is very closely coupled to the CIE recovery (Figure 6).

30 To correctly simulate both the CIE and the climate system (temperature, hydrology) recovery rates, the active removal of large masses of isotopically light carbon is required during the PETM recovery phase. Simple isotope mass balance requirements require a greater mass of carbon to be removed than was first released, if the isotopic composition of the sink is more positive (organic carbon -22 %) than that of the source (biogenic methane -60 %). In these methane input / organic carbon burial simulations
35 (Experiment 4), the required excess carbon removal (removal mass minus input mass) causes a remnant post-event climate cooling, with an ~60% decline in post-PETM atmospheric CO_2 levels relative to pre-PETM conditions (Figure 7), and a global mean surface temperature reduction of ~ 4ºC. At present there is no evidence for such a post-PETM cooling, which would suggest that the source and sink terms

Deleted: 1

Deleted: forcing

are relatively close in their isotopic compositions and precludes a biogenic methane input / organic carbon burial scenario.

The simulations that best match the constraints of the CIE and PETM recovery are those with the active
5 removal of carbon, focused on the main phase of the CIE recovery, and with an isotopic composition close to that of the original carbon source. The failure of the silicate weathering feedback alone to match the rate and magnitude of CIE recovery is similar to the recent inverse modeling by Gutjahr et al. (2017), where 2,500 Pg of carbon removal, with isotopic composition of -30.5 ‰, was required to match the CIE. The larger mass of carbon removal required in the simulations presented here are due to
10 the smaller magnitude CIE recorded at DSDP Site 401 and the isotopically lighter composition of the carbon sink used (-30.5 ‰) by Gutjahr et al. (2017) compared to this study (-22 ‰).

The question remains as to what is the driver of enhanced carbon burial during the CIE recovery interval? In the Zumaia records it is notable that exactly this interval – the CIE recovery phase – is
15 directly coupled with maxima in total sedimentation rates and detrital mass accumulation rates (Figure 6). There is also a close coupling between these peak detrital fluxes and a transient peak in carbonate MAR, which is exactly the coupling predicted if increased detrital accumulation is accompanied by the widespread burial of organic carbon, with a resultant rapid increase in ocean pH and carbonate saturation state (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). Inverse Earth System modeling of the CIE at this location
20 demonstrates that this interval of peak MARs (~80 to 120 ka after onset) is coincident with ~8700 Pg of carbon drawdown from the ocean-atmosphere system in the -22 ‰ $\delta^{13}C$ input and removal scenario, equivalent to ~87 % of total removal fluxes. The magnitude of increase in detrital accumulation rates at the end of the event (from <6 to >8 g cm^{-2} ka^{-1}) is also of the same order as the ~40% increase in organic carbon burial rates estimated for the CIE recovery (Bowen and Zachos, 2010). Although
25 Zumaia is a single PETM record from a single location, it has striking similarities to other Tethyan deep-water successions, such as Forada in Italy (Giusberti et al., 2016), and is likely representative of regional-scale dynamics of climate and the associated response of continental margin sedimentary systems. Within the main phase of the PETM, variability within the Forada section is interpreted to be driven by precession-paced wet and arid phases associated with a monsoonal-type subtropical climate
30 (Giusberti et al., 2016). Measured TOC MARs through the Zumaia section are elevated during the recovery interval, ~55.83 to 55.81 Ma (Figure 6), although these data are not able to discriminate between the burial fluxes of old (pre-PETM) reworked carbon and those for newly produced (intra-PETM) organic carbon – only the later will contribute to the removal of carbon from the exogenic carbon cycle. The Zumaia records cannot yet be used to trace the rates of burial of new-formed organic
35 carbon through the PETM recovery phase, however they do provide a feasible mechanism for the timing of the recovery phase of the PETM, namely a strong precession-forcing of tropical and sub-tropical hydrological regimes and associated sediment erosion, flux and accumulation. Alongside the observed precession-forced variations in sediment accumulation, there is likely a complex influence of temperature and CO_2 on the balance between rates of net primary production and organic carbon
40 remineralization during the PETM (Cotton et al., 2015). This production / remineralization balance will control the availability of newly formed sedimentary organic carbon for long-term burial in the sedimentary carbon sink (Cotton et al., 2015). During conditions of peak PETM warmth, enhanced

organic carbon remineralization in terrestrial systems may have drastically limited the flux to continental margin systems, but with even a gradual recovery from peak-warmth conditions – forced, for example, by known enhanced carbon burial in the anoxic marine basins of the eastern Tethys during the peak-PETM (Carmichael et al., 2017) - a subtle shift in the production to respiration balance

5 towards the availability of organic carbon for long-term burial, combined with a precession-forced peak in sediment flux and accumulation rates across terrestrial and continental margin systems, could account for the magnitude and timing of the sudden switch to widespread carbon sequestration required during the CIE recovery phase. The greatly enhanced detrital accumulation rates observed in continental margin settings such as Zumaia could also effectively mask patterns of enhanced burial of newly

10 formed organic matter (Sluijs et al., 2014), unless the mass accumulation rates of this "new formed" organic matter can be constrained. Future studies should focus on discriminating the relative contributions of this newly formed (intra-PETM) and reworked "fossil" (pre-PETM) organic carbon within these continental margin successions, as only the former will contribute to carbon sequestration.

6. Conclusions

15 New high-resolution stable isotopic and sediment chemistry records across the PETM interval of the Zumaia section provide new insights into the dynamics of sediment accumulation as well as their relationship to local climate dynamics and global carbon cycle perturbations. In comparison to deep-ocean locations, the Zumaia section has enhanced sediment accumulation rates across the critical phase of PETM onset. This provides a high-fidelity and high-resolution record of the PETM CIE that is

20 comparable to the most complete terrestrial and marine records. The magnitude and rate of CIE onset observed at Zumaia is in close agreement with soil carbonate nodule data from the Big Horn Basin, and imply PETM onset times of less than 5000 years, with a CIE magnitude of at least ~4 ‰. Detailed correlations of existing cyclostratigraphy from the Zumaia section, together with carbon isotope stratigraphy of the CIE, to other key marine and terrestrial locations with comparable records, provides

25 a new age framework for the Zumaia PETM section. This age model is then used to determine detrital and carbonate mass accumulation rates through the PETM interval.

During the deposition of the characteristic PETM siliciclastic unit of Zumaia, sedimentation rates double and the mass accumulation rates of detrital sediments increase by up to four times relative to

30 pre-event conditions. Dramatic increases in sedimentation rates during the main phase of the PETM are interpreted to represent significant changes in regional hydrology, most likely an increase in the magnitude and frequency of extreme rainfall and runoff events, potentially within an overall lower mean annual precipitation regime (Carmichael et al., 2017; Carmichael et al., 2016). These changes in sediment accumulation rates – and inferred perturbations to hydrology - persist throughout the PETM

35 interval, only returning towards pre-event levels during the rapid phase of CIE recovery. This supports a close coupling between the CIE and the climate system. Peak sedimentation rates and detrital accumulation rates are observed through the recovery phase of the CIE and not the onset. We suggest that this peak in sedimentation rates is coupled to the precession forcing of subtropical terrestrial hydrology and may provide a key to understanding the timing of the recovery phase of the CIE.

Earth System Model (cGENIE) inversion experiments, designed to replicate the observed CIE dynamics at Zumaia, reproduce an atmospheric CIE that is close to the best available terrestrial records of the CIE (Bowen et al., 2015), in timing and magnitudes. Depending on the $\delta^{13}C$ composition of the source of PETM carbon, estimated total carbon inputs during the onset of the PETM are ~3,400 Pg C (methane source, -60 ‰) or ~11,300 Pg C (organic carbon source, -22 ‰). Simulations with the silicate weathering feedback as the only mechanism for carbon cycle recovery fail to reproduce the observed record in two key ways: first, they are unable to recover the coupled CIE-climate system at a rate fast enough to match the observations (<20 ka); and, second, the final sink of carbon within this feedback – marine carbonates – is isotopically too heavy to provide any significant recovery of the CIE. Simulations that match the patterns of CIE recovery require active organic or methane carbon removal, of a magnitude similar to the original carbon input. Simulations with an isotopic mismatch between source carbon (methane -60 ‰) and sink carbon (organic matter -22 ‰) require significantly more carbon removal at the end of the event than originally released during the onset. This causes a marked post event cooling of ~4 ºC relative to pre-event conditions that is inconsistent with current climate records.

The results presented here demonstrate that the Earth System was capable of the sequestration of several thousand petagrams of carbon at the end of the PETM, but only after nearly one hundred thousand years within a profoundly altered climate state. The mechanism of carbon burial also appears to rely on a radical perturbation to global hydroclimates, sufficient to cause erosion and dramatically increased sediment flux rates to continental margins between three and four times pre-perturbation levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

T.DJ acknowledges funding from a Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowship, L.A. project CGL2014-58794-P (Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and FEDER funds), and R.D.P. acknowledges the Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) to T.W. We would also like to thank Kernow Analytical Technology for use of the T-SEP[TM] apparatus, Victoriano Pujalte for guidance in accessing sampling sites, Enrique Cantero and Georgia Hole for assistance in sampling, and Chris Kendrick for assistance with TOC and $d^{13}C_{TOC}$ analysis. Finally, we thank Dr Ian Bull, Alison Kuhl and James Williams of the Natural Environment Research Council Life Sciences Mass Spectrometry Facility for technical assistance.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to TDJ.

Deleted: a

Deleted: only

Deleted: nd

References

Abdul Aziz, H., Hilgen, F. J., Van Luijk, G. M., Sluijs, A., Kraus, M. J., Pares, J. M., and Gingerich, P. D., 2008, Astronomical climate control on paleosol stacking patterns in the upper Paleocene–lower Eocene Willwood Formation, Bighorn Basin, Wyoming: Geology, v. 36, no. 7, p. 531.

5 Alegret, L., Matías, R., and Pérez Manuel, V., 2018, Environmental instability during the latest Paleocene at Zumaia (Basque-Cantabric Basin): The bellwether of the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 497, p. 186-200.

Alegret, L., Ortiz, S., Orue-Etxebarria, X., Bernaola, G., Baceta, J. I., Monechi, S., Apellaniz, E., and Pujalte, V., 2009, The Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: New data on microfossil turnover at the 10 Zumaia Section, Spain: Palaios, v. 24, no. 5, p. 318-328.

Baceta, J. I., Pujalte, V., and Caballero, F., 2006, Paleocene and Early Eocene Facies and Events: a Basin-Platform-Coastal Plain Transect (South-Central and Western Pyrenees). Climate and Biota of the Early Paleogene 2006. Post Conference Field Trip Guidebook, Bilbao.

Baceta, J. I., Pujalte, V., Dinarès-Turell, J., Payros, A., Orue-Etxebarria, X., and Bernaola, G., 2000, 15 The Paleocene/Eocene boundary interval in teh Zumaia Section (Gipuzkoa, Basque Basin): Magnetostratigraphy, and high-resolution lithostratigraphy: Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España, v. 13, p. 375-391.

Bains, S., Corfield, R., and Norris, R., 1999, Mechanisms of climate warming at the end of the Paleocene: Science, v. 285, no. 5428, p. 724.

20 Bernaola, G., Baceta, J. I., Orue-Etxebarria, X., Alegret, L., Martin-Rubio, M., Arostegui, J., and Dinares-Turell, J., 2007, Evidence of an abrupt environmental disruption during the mid-Paleocene biotic event (Zumaia section, western Pyrenees): Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 119, no. 7-8, p. 785-795.

Bowen, G. J., Maibauer, B. J., Kraus, M. J., Rohl, U., Westerhold, T., Steimke, A., Gingerich, P. D., 25 Wing, S. L., and Clyde, W. C., 2015, Two massive, rapid releases of carbon during le onset of the Palaeocene-Eocene thermal maximum: Nature Geoscience, v. 8, no. 1, p. 44-47.

Bowen, G. J., and Zachos, J. C., 2010, Rapid carbon sequestration at the termination of the Palaeocene--Eocene Thermal Maximum: Nature Geoscience, v. 3, no. 12, p. 866-869.

Bralower, T. J., Kelly, D. C., Gibbs, S., Farley, K., Eccles, L., Lindemann, T. L., and Smith, G. J., 2014, 30 Impact of dissolution on the sedimentary record of the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 401, p. 70-82.

Canudo, J. I., Keller, G., Molina, E., and Oritz, N., 1995, Planktic foraminiferal turnover and d13C isotopes across the Paleocene-Eocene transition at Caravaca and Zumaya, Spain: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 114, p. 75-100.

35 Carmichael, M. J., Inglis, G. N., Badger, M. P. S., Naafs, B. D. A., Behrooz, L., Remmelzwaal, S., Monteiro, F. M., Rohrssen, M., Farnsworth, A., Buss, H. L., Dickson, A. J., Valdes, P. J., Lunt, D. J., and Pancost, R. D., 2017, Hydrological and associated biogeochemical consequences of rapid global warming during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: Global and Planetary Change, v. 157, p. 114-138.

Carmichael, M. J., Lunt, D. J., Huber, M., Heinemann, M., Kiehl, J. T., LeGrande, A., Loptson, C. A., Roberts, C. D., Sagoo, N., Shields, C., Valdes, P. J., Winguth, A., Winguth, C., and Pancost, R. D., 2016, A model-model and data-model comparison for the early Eocene hydrological cycle: Climates of the Past, v. 12, p. 455-481.

5 Clare, M. A., Talling, P. J., and Hunt, J. E., 2015, Implications of reduced turbidity current and landslide activity for the Initial Eocene Thermal Maximum - evidence from two distal, deep-water sites: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 420, p. 102-115.

Colbourn, G., Ridgwell, A., and Lenton, T., 2013, The rock geochemical model (RokGeM) v0.9: Geoscientific Model Development, v. 6, no. 5, p. 1543-1573.

10 Cotton, J. M., Sheldon, N. D., Hren, M. T., and Gallagher, T. M., 2015, Positive feedback drives carbon release from soils to atmosphere during Paleocene/Eocene warming: American Journal of Science, v. 315, no. 4, p. 337-361.

Cui, Y., Kump, L. R., Ridgwell, A. J., Charles, A. J., Junium, C. K., Diefendorf, A. F., Freeman, K. H., Urban, N. M., and Harding, I. C., 2011, Slow release of fossil carbon during the Palaeocene--Eocene
15 Thermal Maximum: Nature Geoscience, v. 4, no. 7, p. 481-485.

Dickens, G. R., O'Neil, J. R., Rea, D. K., and Owen, R. M., 1995, Dissociation of oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene: Paleoceanography, v. 10, no. 6, p. 965-971.

Dinarès-Turell, J., Baceta, J., Bernaola, G., Orue-Etxebarria, X., and Pujalte, V., 2007, Closing the Mid-
20 Palaeocene gap: Toward a complete astronomically tuned Palaeocene Epoch and Selandian and Thanetian GSSPs at Zumaia (Basque Basin, W Pyrenees): Earth and planetary science letters, v. 262, no. 3-4, p. 450-467.

Dinarès-Turell, J., Baceta, J. I., Pujalte, V., Orue-Etxebarria, X., and Bernaola, G., 2002, Magnetostratigraphic and cyclostratigraphic calibration of a prospective Palaeocene/Eocene stratotype
25 at Zumaia (Basque Basin, northern Spain): Terra Nova, v. 14, no. 5, p. 371-378.

Dinarès-Turell, J., Baceta, J. I., Pujalte, V., Orue-Etxebarria, X., Bernaola, G., and Lorito, S., 2003, Untangling the Palaeocene climatic rhythm: an astronimically calibrated Early Palaeocene magnetostratigraphy and biostratigraphy at Zumaia (Basque basin, northern Spain): Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 216, p. 483-500.

30 Dunkley Jones, T., Lunt, D. J., Schmidt, D. N., Ridgwell, A., Sluijs, A., Valdes, P. J., and Maslin, M., 2013, Climate model and proxy data constraints on ocean warming across the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum: Earth-Science Reviews, v. 125, no. 0, p. 123-145.

Gavrilov, Y. O., Kodina, L., Lubchenko, I., and Muzylev, N., 1997, The late Paleocene anoxic event in epicontinental seas of the Peri-Tethys and formation of the sapropelite unit: Sedimentology and
35 geochemistry: Lithology and Mineral Resources, v. 32, p. 427-450.

Giusberti, L., Galazzo, F. B., and Thomas, E., 2016, Variability in climate and productivity during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum in the western Tethys (Forada section): Climate of the Past, v. 12, no. 2, p. 213-240.

Gutjahr, M., Ridgwell, A., Sexton, P. F., Anagnostou, E., Pearson, P. N., Pälike, H., Norris, R. D., Thomas, E., and Foster, G. L., 2017, Very large release of mostly volcanic carbon during the Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: Nature, v. 548, p. 573-577.

John, C. M., Bohaty, S. M., Zachos, J. C., Sluijs, A., Gibbs, S., Brinkhuis, H., and Bralower, T. J., 2008, North American continental margin records of the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum: Implications for global carbon and hydrological cycling: Paleoceanography, v. 23, p. doi:10.1029/2007PA001465.

Kirtland Turner, S., and Ridgwell, A., 2016, Development of a novel empirical framework for interpreting geological carbon isotope excursions, with implications for the rate of carbon injection across the PETM: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 435, p. 1-13.

Manners, H. R., Grimes, S., Sutton, P. A., Domingo, L., Leng, M. J., Twitchett, R. T., Hart, M. B., Dunkley Jones, T., Pancost, R. D., Duller, R., and Lopez-Martinez, N., 2013, Magnitude and profile of organic carbon isotope records from the Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: evidence from northern Spain: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 377, p. 220-230.

McCarren, H., Thomas, E., Hasegawa, T., Röhl, U., and Zachos, J. C., 2008, Depth dependency of the Paleocene-Eocene carbon isotope excursion: Paired benthic and terrestrial biomarker records (Ocean Drilling Program Leg 208, Walvis Ridge): Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, v. 9, no. 10, p. doi:10.1029/2008GC002116.

McInerney, F. A., and Wing, S. L., 2011, The Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: A perturbation of carbon cycle, climate, and biosphere with implications for the future: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences.

Meissner, K. J., Bralower, T., Alexander, K., Dunkley Jones, T., Sijp, W., and Ward, M., 2014, The Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: How much carbon is enough?: Paleoceanography, v. 29, p. 946-963.

Meyers, S. R.: Astrochron: An R Package for Astrochronology, available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=astrochron, 2014.

Murphy, B. H., Farley, K. A., and Zachos, J. C., 2010, An extraterrestrial 3He-based timescale for the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM) from the Walvis Ridge, IODP Site 1266: Geochemica et Cosmochemica Acta, v. 74, p. 5098-5108.

Panchuk, K., Ridgwell, A., and Kump, L., 2008, Sedimentary response to Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum carbon release: A model-data comparison: Geology, v. 36, no. 4, p. 315.

Penman, D. E., 2016, Silicate weathering and North Atlantic silica burial during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: Geology, v. 44, no. 9, p. 731-734.

Penman, D. E., Turner, S. K., Sexton, P. F., Norris, R. D., Dickson, A. J., Boulila, S., Ridgwell, A., Zeebe, R. E., Zachos, J. C., Cameron, A., Westerhold, T., and Röhl, U., 2016, An abyssal carbonate compensation depth overshoot in the aftermath of the Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: Nature Geoscience, v. 9, no. 8, p. 575-+.

Pujalte, V., Baceta, J. I., and Schmitz, B., 2015, A massive input of coarse-grained siliciclastics in the Pyrenean Basin during the PETM: the missing ingredient in a coeval abrupt change in hydrological regime: Climate of the Past, v. 11, no. 12, p. 1653-1672.

Ridgwell, A., and Schmidt, D. N., 2010, Past constraints on the vulnerability of marine calcifiers to massive carbon dioxide release: Nature Geoscience, v. 3, no. 3, p. 1-5.

Röhl, U., Westerhold, T., Bralower, T., and Zachos, J., 2007, On the duration of the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM): Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 8, no. 12, p. 1-13.

Schmitz, B., Asaro, F., Molina, E., Monechi, S., Salis, K. v., and Speijer, R. P., 1997, High-resolution iridium, d13C, d18O, foraminifera and nannofossil profiles across the latest Paleocene benthic extinction event at Zumaya: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 133, p. 49-68.

Schmitz, B., and Pujalte, V., 2007, Abrupt increase in seasonal extreme precipitation at the Paleocene-Eocene boundary: Geology, v. 35, no. 3, p. 215-218.

Schmitz, B., Pujalte, V., and Nunez-Betelu, K., 2001, Climate and sea-level perturbations during the Initial Eocene Thermal Maximum: evidence from siliciclastic units in the Basque Basin (Ermua, Zumaia and Trabakua Pass), northern Spain: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 165, no. 3-4, p. 299-320.

Short, D. A., Mengel, J. G., Crowley, T. J., Hyde, W., and North, G. R., 1991, Filtering of Milankovitch Cycles by Earth's Geography: Quaternary Research, v. 35, p. 157-173.

Sluijs, A., Bowen, G. J., Brinkhuis, H., Lourens, L. J., and Thomas, E., 2007, The Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum super greenhouse: biotic and geochemical signatures, age models and mechanisms of global change, in Williams, M., Haywood, A. M., Gregory, J., and Schmidt, D. N., eds., Deep-time perspectives on climate change: Marrying the signal from computer models and biological proxies: London, The Micropalaeontological Society Special Publication, p. 323-350.

Sluijs, A., van Roij, L., Harrington, G. J., Schouten, S., Sessa, J. A., Levay, L. J., Reichart, G. J., and Slomp, C. P., 2014, Warming, euxinia and sea level rise during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum on the Gulf Coastal Plain: implications for ocean oxygenation and nutrient cycling: Climate of the Past, v. 10, no. 4, p. 1421-1439.

Storme, J.-Y., Devleeschouwer, X., Schnyder, J., Cambier, G., Baceta, J. I., Pujalte, V., Di Matteo, A., Iacumin, P., and Yans, J., 2012, The Palaeocene/Eocene boundary section at Zumaia (Basque-Cantabric Basin) revisited: new insights from high-resolution magnetic susceptibility and carbon isotope chemostratigraphy on organic matter (δ13Corg): Terra Nova, v. 00, p. 1-9.

Svensen, H., Planke, S., Malthe-Sorenssen, A., Jamtveit, B., Myklebust, R., Eidem, T., and Rey, S., 2004, Release of methane from a volcanic basin as a mechanism for initial Eocene global warming: Nature, v. 429, no. 6991, p. 542-545.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Laskar, J., Raffi, I., Bowles, J., Lourens, L., and Zachos, J., 2007, On the duration of magnetochrons C24r and C25n and the timing of early Eocene global warming events: Implications from the Ocean Drilling Program Leg 208 Walvis Ridge depth transect: Paleoceanography, v. 22, no. 2.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Pälike, H., Wilkens, R., Wilson, P. A., and Acton, G., 2014, Orbitally tuned timescale and astronomical forcing in the middle Eocene to early Oligocene: Climates of the Past, v. 10, p. 955-973.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Raffi, I., Fornaciari, E., Monechi, S., Reale, V., Bowles, J., and Evans, H. F., 2008, Astronomical calibration of the Paleocene time: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology, v. 257, no. 4, p. 377-403.

Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Wilkens, R. H., Gingerich, P. D., Clyde, W. C., Wing, S. L., Bowen, G. J., and Kraus, M. J., 2018, Synchronizing early Eocene deep-sea and continental records – cyclostratigraphic age models for the Bighorn Basin Coring Project drill cores: Climates of the Past, v. 14, p. 303-319.

Willmott, V., Rampen, S., Domack, E., Canals, M., Sinninghe Damste, J. S., and Schouten, S., 2009, Holocene changes in Proboscia diatom productivity in shelf waters of the north-western Antarctic Peninsula: Antarctic Science, v. 22, no. 1, p. 3-10.

Zachos, J. C., Mccarren, H., Murphy, B., Röhl, U., and Westerhold, T., 2010, Tempo and scale of late Paleocene and early Eocene carbon isotope cycles: Implications for the origin of hyperthermals: Earth and planetary science letters, v. 299, no. 1-2, p. 242-249.

Zachos, J. C., Röhl, U., Schellenberg, S. A., Sluijs, A., Hodell, D. A., Kelly, D. C., Thomas, E., Nicolo, M., Raffi, I., Lourens, L. J., McCarren, H., and Kroon, D., 2005, Rapid acidification of the ocean during the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum: Science, v. 308, no. 5728, p. 1611-1615.

Zeebe, R. E., Ridgwell, A., and Zachos, J. C., 2016, Anthropogenic carbon release rate unprecedented during the past 66 million years: Nature Geoscience, v. 9, p. 325-329.

Zeebe, R. E., Zachos, J. C., and Dickens, G. R., 2009, Carbon dioxide forcing alone insufficient to explain Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum warming: Nature Geoscience, v. 2, no. 8, p. 576-580.

Table 1. Summary details of cGENIE simulations detailing isotopic compositions of source carbon - input during the onset of the PETM to match Zumaia CIE profile – and the nature of modeled CIE recovery, whether by silicate weathering only (Experiment 1), or by active carbon removal. The isotopic composition of this carbon removal ("Sink") is also listed. Net C fluxes are calculated by the model to match the CIE profile and do not include carbon removed through silicate weathering.

Experiment	$\delta^{13}C$ composition		Net C flux (PgC)			Average Emission Rates (Pg C yr^{-1})	
	Source	Sink	0 to 19 ka	19 to 78 ka	>78 ka	0 to 19 ka	19 to 78 ka
1. Mixed source; silicate weathering	−22 ‰	Carbonate burial	+11376	+1809	0	0.60	0.03
2. Mixed source; terrestrial carbon burial	−22 ‰	−22 ‰ (C$_{org}$)	+11316	+1809	-10083	0.60	0.03
3. Methane source; methane removal	−60 ‰	−60 ‰ (CH$_4$)	+3382	+772	-3244	0.18	0.01
4. Methane source; terrestrial carbon burial	−60 ‰	−22 ‰ (C$_{org}$)	+3483	+2360	-8640	0.18	0.04

Figure 1: Location of Zumaia section and relationship to the terrestrial and shallow shelf carbonate depositional environments; after Pujalte et al. (2015).

 Figure 2. New sediment geochemistry data for the Zumaia section and correlation to existing deep ocean and terrestrial records (inter-site correlations and age model of Westerhold et al. 2018). Left hand panel against depth in the Zumaia section, from left to right: lithological log after Storme et al. (20120); lithological log produced during sample collection for this study (2011); SiO$_2$ weight percent and Si/Fe ratio based on weight percents of oxides (SiO$_2$/Fe$_2$O$_3$) from bulk sediment XRF analyses, pre-PETM and post-PETM precession cycles numbered in black; weight percent CaO and CaCO$_3$ from XRF; new bulk carbonate δ^{13}C (this
 study). Right hand panel, against age (Westerhold et al. 2018), from left to right: Polecat Bench Core (PCB) soil carbonate nodule δ^{13}C (green; Bown et al. 2015); deep ocean benthic foraminiferal δ^{13}C (Bains et al., 1999; McCarren et al., 2008); Gaussian filter of the longer 8.2 m cycle (precession) of the Fe data from PCB-B (red; Westerhold et al. 2018); ODP Site 690 XRF core scanning Fe data in red, ODP Site 1262 A* colour reflectance data in black; composite core section photos from deep ocean sites ODP 1262, 1267, 1266, 1265, 1263 and 690 and terrestrial PCB sites A and B, with the later correlated to nearby outcrop lithologies
 (Westerhold et al. 2018).

Figure 3. New sediment geochemistry data for the Zumaia section. From left to right: lithological log produced during sample collection for this study (2011); bulk carbonate δ¹³C; weight percent CaO and CaCO₃ from bulk sediment XRF analyses; SiO₂ weight percent and Si/Fe ratio based on weight percents of oxides (SiO₂/Fe₂O₃) from bulk sediment XRF analyses, pre-PETM and post-PETM precession cycles numbered in black, intra-PETM cycles numbered in red; Si/Fe ratios with Gaussian filters of the dominant cycles 0.45m (red) and 1m (blue); evolutive spectral analysis for Si/Fe.

Figure 4. MTM power spectra for Si/Fe ratios; highlighted are spectral peaks equivalent to cycles with period >1 m and ~0.45 m. Autoregressive spectral analysis undertaken using the mtmML96 routine of the "astrochron" software package (Meyers, 2014); dotted red lines represent the 90, 95 and 99 % confidence levels (CL).

30

Figure 5. New carbonate, detrital and organic carbon mass accumulation and sedimentation rates for the Zumaia section. From left to right: lithological log produced during sample collection for this study (2011); bulk carbonate δ13C; weight percent CaCO3 derived from XRF CaCO calibrated to discrete CaCO3 analyses (see methods); CaCO3 mass accumulation rate (light blue); detrital mass accumulation rate (red); organic carbon accumulation rate (purple); and, linear sedimentation rates (far right) derived from the new cyclostratigraphic framework.

Figure 6. Comparison of the Zumaia records against terrestrial (Big Horn Basin) and deep-ocean sites (Walvis Ridge, ODP Sites 1262, 1263, 1265, 1266, 1267; Maud Rise ODP Site 690) on the same cyclostratigraphic age model. From left to right: bulk carbonate δ¹³C for deep ocean sites; bulk carbonate δ¹³C for Zumaia; soil nodule δ¹³C from the Big Horn Basin; CaCO₃ records from Zumaia and the deep-ocean sites; detrital (red), CaCO₃ (blue) and organic carbon (purple, far left) mass accumulation rates from Zumaia.

Figure 7. Results of inverse modeling with cGENIE Earth System Model. From left to right: the bulk carbonate δ¹³C for Zumaia which drives model inversions (black); soil nodule δ¹³C record of the Big Horn Basin (yellow), as representative of atmospheric CO₂ δ¹³C for comparison to model results; modeled δ¹³C composition of atmospheric carbon dioxide; carbon emission rate in PgC per year; cumulative carbon emissions (cumulative input minus removal); modeled atmospheric pCO₂; modeled and actual weight % CaCO₃ content of the sediment column at Zumaia; modeled carbon emission and removal rates; cumulative modeled carbon emissions / removal; modeled atmospheric pCO₂. The model scenarios are as follows: 1) carbon input at δ¹³C of -22 ‰ during the onset, no carbon removal (green); 2) carbon input and removal with δ¹³C of -22 ‰ to follow Zumaia target CIE (red); 3) carbon input with δ¹³C of -60 ‰ and removal of carbon with δ¹³C of -22 ‰ to follow Zumaia target CIE (blue). All simulations have active temperature-dependent silicate weathering feedbacks operative throughout.

Deleted: modelling

Deleted: modelled

Deleted: modelled

Deleted: modelled

Deleted: modelled

Deleted: modelled

Deleted: modelled