

## ***Interactive comment on “Holocene hydrological changes of the Rhone River (NW Mediterranean) as recorded in the marine mud belt” by M. A. Bassetti et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 27 April 2016

This manuscript presents new data from a marine sediment core from the Gulf of Lions (W Mediterranean Sea) that allows to evaluate the Holocene evolution of river discharges with an exceptional high resolution for a marine record. The data set covers the Holocene period with an accurate chronology based on 18 AMS  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages. The presented data correspond to XRF-scanner element ratios combined with grain-size and element (C and N) measurements. They are interpreted in terms of changes in the intensity of river runoff, concretely from the Rhone river (south France). The manuscript discusses the results in the context of other paleoclimatic records, providing a very wide and well documented perspective of the currently published literature in the subject. I consider that the quality of the data and the well documented discussion

C1

are good to be published in Climate of the Past, but I think that the discussion needs some modification before its publication in Climate of the Past. There are few minor aspects that I mention below but in general there are two important elements that I consider the discussion would need to include before its final publication:

One of the main conclusions of the manuscript is that from 11 to 4 ka the terrigenous input/humidity progressively increased reaching maximum values at 7ka (see below my specific comments on this particular event). Looking to the presented data, this evolution is particularly clear in the Ca/Ti and  $\text{CaCO}_3$  data. Other proxies such as K/Ti present a rather stable base line with several interesting low frequency oscillation but, overall, it shows higher values (more humid, according to author's interpretation) during early Holocene. I think that authors need to argue better why they believe that low "detritic" carbonate is a good proxy for more humid conditions. The Ti/Ca interpretation as a terrestrial proxy is clear in areas where the Ca signal is dominated by primary productivity but here the authors interpret that Ca has mostly a detritic origin. This point is also relevant for the grainsize measurements (see my specific comments below). It is particularly relevant to support well the interpretation of the humidity proxies in this case, since Holocene humidity evolution in this region is a matter of a current debate. The interpreted evolution in this manuscript is contrasting with the overall view of Holocene humidity evolution in the Mediterranean region, with more humid conditions during the early than the late Holocene (see as a reviews: Sadori et al., 2011; Jalut et al., 2009). This is a view mostly based in pollen sequences including some from the South of France. Nevertheless, this overall Holocene humidity pattern is currently under discussion since some lake level records (some shown in Fig 5) indicate a rather humid late Holocene (Magny et al., 2013). This is a still open discussion and this different proxy/regional trends in humidity along the Holocene should be discussed also in the manuscript and better argued the interpretation of the chosen proxy.

The second aspect that should be considered in the discussion is the about the general focus around the global events defined by Wanner et al. (2011). Some of these events

C2

occurred during dry, other during wet or during transient conditions when they are compared with the new presented data. This absence of consistency in patterns also occurs when these “global” events are compared with the SST record, they do not always match with cold conditions in the Gulf of Lions. This is not surprising if we consider the enormous geographical heterogeneity in the time distribution of these events according to Figure 2a in Wannan et al (2011). The definition of these events is an interesting and useful exercise to try to determine the most robust patterns at global scale but they are not good templates to explain regional variability. I say this because focussing the discussion so much in these “global” events the manuscript often ignores the actual and interesting signal of the records presented in the manuscript. This is a real problem in figures 4 and 5 where the blue bars concentrate the attention and they do not actually highlight the relevant patterns in the presented records, not even in fig 5 when the new records are compared with others, these blue bars become a noise that difficult the comparison of the actual data presented in the manuscript. That becomes even more complex with other black lines drawn in figures 4 and 5 which do not necessarily coincide with the blue bars. Figure caption does not help with the bars/line reading since do not describe what they are. After the manuscript reading black lines seems to highlight the wet episodes interpreted from the Ca/Ti and/or K/Ti records. These should be the events to be well highlighted in the figures. It is not so relevant to locate the CR from Wannan et al. (2011), particularly when any coherent pattern is presented in the region, these were temperature events no precipitation events. It is not a problem that there is not a coherent pattern between these “global” events and the studied records. It is far more relevant to better compare the found wet events in the new records with the other regional humidity records presented in Fig 5. One aspect that is not consider in the manuscript and could be explored is to analyse the frequency of the humidity changes, particularly with the K/Ti record, the good chronology and high resolution would allow to do a solid analyse, similar analyses in other W Mediterranean records have proposed an middle Holocene change in the frequency of humidity events (Fletcher et al. 2012). That could be further explored with the presented records since the presented records

C3

have high resolution and solid chronology to test this hypothesis. In this line, figures also highlight very much the three “formal” Holocene periods. I agree with authors that it is important to show them in the figure but I would probably not mark them so much with different grey bars over the graphs. Again, the major changes in the record evolution are not happening within these sub-stages limits and they disturb the attention looking for these limits in the records.

Specific comments:

150: “The Mediterranean hydrology is controlled by the seasonality of precipitation as well as the catchment geology, vegetation type and geomorphology of the region.”

This sentence is not entirely true, catchment geology, vegetation and geomorphology will control the characteristics of the sedimentary charge of the rivers and thus transport and sedimentation of terrigenous sediments in the ocean but they won't control the hydrology of the Mediterranean.

182: *ibid.* What does it means?

Section 3: According to the methodological description samples were not decarbonated for grain-size analysis, according to figure 4, the Ca signal is interpreted to reflect mostly detritic carbonate. It would be useful in this section to do a brief description about the richness and characteristic of the biogenic fraction in these sediments. According with the manuscript assumptions they should be negligible or very low in relation with the detritic carbonate, but that should be described in this section.

275: Here the CR1 and CR6 are introduced, but they need to be defined “Cold Relapses??” and indicate the source (Wannan et al 2011??). They are actually defined later on in line 284 but they need to be defined the first time they are used and referenced properly.

380: Note that the full reference of Jalali et al is not included in the reference list.

384: It is not clearly described why the Ca/Ti is used as a proxy for terrigenous input.

C4

It is indeed the given interpretation in several oceanographic settings but in this case is not so clear since according to figure 4v the carbonate in this core is interpreted to be detritic carbonate. It should be argued somewhere why it is believed that Ti/Ca can be used in this case as a proxy of terrestrial input since both elements are supposed to have the same terrestrial source.

388: Here the discussion focuses very much the attention in an event at 7-6.4 kyr, which is tentatively correlated to the SHP (Saharan Humid Period). This term was new to me and I have searched in the cited literature to check where it was previously defined and I could not find it. I guess that authors refer to the African Humid Period (AHP) but it was not an event from 7-6.4 ky as appears in the text and figures, it was a far longer period (11.7-5 ky). One of the used references (Castañeda et al., 2016) describes a peak maximum for this AHP but its chronology and duration is also different (9-7 ka) to that of this manuscript event. I would suggest to remove the reference to this Sahara Humid Period which does not exist in the literature with this name and chronology. The discussion focuses some attention in this event which only appears as a relevant event in the Ca/Ti record. K/Ti record does not show any relevant structure, neither Zr/Rn, not D50 nor the SST. The text argues that this was a period of finer material (D50) but it is not particularly finer in the record when it is compared with other previous or later events. From the chronological point of view does not make much sense to connect this event with the AHP which was far longer in time extend, but it is also an unclear the proposed connection from the mechanistic point of view. It is very true that, at orbital time scales, changes in the seasonal/latitude energy valance have been interpreted to stimulate both African monsoon and precipitation over the Mediterranean at different seasons. But this is not a direct cause-effect between this two rain regimes, it is a response to the orbital forced changes in insolation (Bosmans et al., 2015 and Kutzbach et al., 2013). Orbital driven changes should not be claimed to explain centennial scale events.

417: Why focussing the attention in what is not in the record? Better concentrate in

C5

what is actually present in the record.

448: MCA in the Mediterranean region has been described as an overall dry period, and this sentence here gives the impression that in this record is wet. Looking carefully to the record it appears that the wet conditions refer to the short episodes before, after and one in the middle, but overall records indicate rather dry conditions. This sentence should probably be rephrased to avoid confusion giving the wrong impression that the MCA here was a wet episode.

Used references: Bosmans, J. H. C., Drijfhout, S. S., Tuenter, E., Hilgen, F. J., Lourens, L. J., & Rohling, E. J. (2015). Precession and obliquity forcing of the fresh-water budget over the Mediterranean. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 123, 16–30. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.06.008

Castañeda, I. S., Schouten, S., Pätzold, J., Lucassen, F., Kasemann, S., Kuhlmann, H., & Schefuß, E. (2016). Hydroclimate variability in the Nile River Basin during the past 28,000 years. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 438, 47–56. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.12.014

Fletcher, W. J., Debret, M., & Sanchez Goñi, M. F. (2012). Mid-Holocene emergence of a low-frequency millennial oscillation in western Mediterranean climate: Implications for past dynamics of the North Atlantic atmospheric westerlies. *The Holocene*. doi:10.1177/0959683612460783

Jalut, G., Dedoubat, J. J., Fontugne, M., & Otto, T. (2009). Holocene circum-Mediterranean vegetation changes: Climate forcing and human impact. *Quaternary International*, 200(1-2), 4.

Kutzbach, J. E., Chen, G., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., & Liu, Z. (2013). Potential role of winter rainfall in explaining increased moisture in the Mediterranean and Middle East during periods of maximum orbitally-forced insolation seasonality. *Climate Dynamics*, 42(3), 1079–1095. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1692-1

C6

Magny, M., Combourieu-Nebout, N., de Beaulieu, J. L., Bout-Roumazielles, V., Colombaroli, D., Desprat, S., . . . Wirth, S. (2013). North-south palaeohydrological contrasts in the central Mediterranean during the Holocene: tentative synthesis and working hypotheses. *Climate of the Past*, 9(5), 2043–2071. doi:10.5194/cp-9-2043-2013

Sadori, L., Jahns, S., & Peyron, O. (2011). Mid-Holocene vegetation history of the central Mediterranean. *The Holocene*, 21(1), 117–129. doi:10.1177/0959683610377530

Wanner, H., Solomina, O., Grosjean, M., Ritz, S. P., & Jetel, M. (2011). Structure and origin of Holocene cold events. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30(21–22), 3109–3123. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.07.010

---

Interactive comment on *Clim. Past Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/cp-2016-8, 2016.