Reply to Dmitry Divine

Major comments

1) My first major comment concerns the method the authors used to estimate the isotope to temperature gradient and its STD on the smoothed data. More specifically, it is not demonstrated that a reduced number of degrees of freedom (DOF) in the data due to smoothing is taken into account. The same applies to significance of the correlation coefficients reported for the smoothed series. For a 27-year low pass filtered instrumental series of a length of about 60 years one have to expect about 5 independent data points only, implying that a simple sample variance (or STD) of the slope presented in the manuscript is a biased estimator of an underestimated true variance. For a very simplified case of AR(1) model of serial correlation in the data, taking the effect of autocorrelation into account to estimate the confidence intervals (CI) on the slope estimate was summarized in Nychka et al., 2000 (available from http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=A30C325B3A1E36EAB30B126EF74F974E?doi=10.1.1.33.6828&rep=rep1&type=pdf). To reassess the significance of correlation coefficients simple adjustment for a number of independent samples in the t-distribution quantile can be applied as a simplistic remedy of the problem.

We agree with this comment. The text was changed as following:

Section 3.3.:

The stacked dD record (built from low-pass filtered individual records) is now compared with the filtered PEL temperature composite (Fig. 4a). We observe a positive correlation with r = 0.66. **Although the length of the series is 52 years, the number of degree of freedoms is only 4, due to the 27-year filtering. The uncertainty of the correlation is ± 0,4, so it is statistically insignificant (p = 0,17)**.

We also modified the error bars in Figure 5b according to the larger uncertainty of the isotope-temperature slope.

2) Some discussion on precipitation types/seasonality, and moisture origin that can be different for the coastal and inland locations in the study area would be highly relevant in the context of the observed discrepancies between the core series and the instrumental data.

We added the following text in Section 3.3.:

This invokes a discussion of the factors that may disturb the correlation between the local air temperature and the stable water isotopic composition of precipitation in Antarctica (Jouzel et al., 2003).

Firstly, isotopic composition of precipitation is not a function of local air temperature, but of the temperature difference between the evaporation area and the condensation site, which defines the degree of heavy water molecules distillation from an air mass. The study of the moisture origin for this sector of Antarctica (Sodemann and Stohl, 2009) demonstrates that different parts of the PEL differ in their moisture origin. Coastal areas receive moisture from higher latitudes (46-52° S) and from more western longitudes (0-40° E) than inland areas (34-42° S and 40-90° E). It means that even if our sector is climatically uniform, as was shown above, the temporal variability of the precipitation isotopic content may differ in the different parts of the sector due to varying moisture origin.

Secondly, we should define which temperature is actually recorded in the isotopic composition of precipitation. For central Antarctica, where much (or most) of precipitation is "diamond dust" from clear sky (Ekaykin, 2003), the effective condensation temperature is conventionally considered equal to the temperature on the top of the inversion layer. But it is definitely not true for the coastal areas, where most precipitation falls from clouds. Thus, the difference between near-surface and condensation temperature may vary in space and time.

Thirdly, the precipitation seasonality is another factor that may change the relationship between the air temperature and stable isotope content in precipitation. At Vostok the precipitation amount is evenly distributed throughout the year (Ekaykin et al., 2003), so the snow isotopic content corresponds well to the mean annual air temperature, but we don't have robust information neither about the other parts of the PEL, nor about the seasonality changes in the past.

Yet we believe that the main factor that affects the isotope-temperature relationship is the "stratigraphic noise". Indeed, even when we study the ice cores obtained in a short distance one from another (Ekaykin et al., 2014), the correlation between the individual isotopic records is still small, despite the same climatic conditions.

This is why we argue that constructing the stacked isotopic record is an optimal way to reduce the amount of noise in the series and to highlight the variability that is common for the whole studied region, provided that the region is climatically uniform.

Other comments

Page 1 last line: “the only source of climatic data”. Please use “primary” instead; there are alternative though sparse sources of instrumental data such as earlier expeditions to Antarctica, observations from ships logbooks etc.

Done

Page 2 Line 5: “…moreover unevenly distributed…”, “.reflecting heterogeneous efforts…”, “still remain white spots”. Awkward sentences, please check the language.

We changed the text:

The network of ice core records spanning the last centuries is **distributed highly unevenly**. A quite extensive coverage of some regions of Antarctica, such as West Antarctica (Kaspari et al., 2004) or Dronning Maud Land (Altnau et al., 2015;Oerter et al., 2000) contrasts with other regions that **still remain poorly studied**.

Page 2, Line 15: "Classically" can be omitted

Done

Page 2, Line 29: "…down to a 150 m depth…"

Done

Page 2: "Individual records" can be modified to "ice core data"

Done

"Pages 2-3, Section 2.1: Q. on ice core dating. Did the authors use, wherever possible, counting the seasonal peaks in d18O to establish and/or support their core chronologies?"

The counting of the seasonal peaks was only possibly for the "105 km" ice core, where it was used as the basis of the dating. In other records the seasonal signal is not preserved. We added the following text in order to make it clearer:

This core is the only one where accumulation rate allowed the annual layers to be preserved in the snow thickness, so the core was dated by layer counting.

"Page 3, Line 16: The age uncertainty associated with the Nye model alone can also be estimated directly from the Nye formula, please see Divine et al., 2011 (*Polar Research*, 30, 7379, DOI: 10.3402/polar.v30i0.7379, on page 3) for details."

We added the following text:

The uncertainty of the dating, estimated with the Nye model, mainly comes from the error of the accumulation rate estimate and is evaluated as about 10 %.

Page 3, Line 27: "…values were reduced in terms of mean and STD…". Awkward sentence, better to refer to the procedure as a "mean and variance adjustment" or a "variance scaling" (see e.g. Esper etal., 2005, GRL 32, L07711, doi: 10.1029/2004GL021236.

Page 3, Line 27: "…to avoid an artificial dominating…", please check the language

We changed the text as follows:

As for the short series (NVFL-3 and PV-10), they were normalized over 1978-2009 period, and then **the mean and variance of** the normalized values were **adjusted to those of the long series** for the corresponding period **of time**, in order to avoid **an overestimated contribution** of the short records in the stacked series.

"Page 3, Line 29: "…to cut off the variability with periodicities lower than 27 years…". Use "shorter" rather than "lower". Please provide some more detail on the filtering procedure you have actually used."

We changed this text accordingly:

We then applied **a rectangular-shaped** low-pass filter to cut off the variability with periodicities **shorter** than 27 years (**i.e., frequencies > 0.037**).

Page 4, Line 2: "…due to a very low SNR…" …and non-temperature effects on isotopes in precipitation including post-depositional alterations.

Done

Page 4, Line 4: “…despite (some) common features…

Done

Page 4, Line 8: “…observed discrepancies do not arise from chronological uncertainties alone…”

Done

Page 4, Line 9: “…significant level of noise event in the filtered series”. …and other than the ambient temperature -related controls on the isotopic composition of precipitation.

Done

Page 4 Section 2.3. Subsection title can be changed to “Instrumental temperature data”

Done

Page 4 Line 17. “The data are available from…”. Please mention explicitly that the annual means were constructed from the monthly means.

Done

Page 5 Line 2: “…considered as a prevailing mode of atmospheric circulation in the SH representing about 35% of the extratropical SH climate variability”.

Done

Page 5 Line 2: “The monthly AAO index is available from…”

Done

Page 5 Line 22: “…to assess whether uniform climate variability pattern is monitored…”. Awkward sentence, consider revision.

We changed the text as follows:

Here, we first consider the surface air temperature recorded at the meteorological stations in Princess Elisabeth Land, to assess whether **the studied sector is characterized by** uniform climate variability, and to provide a reference regional temperature record for comparison with the ⍰D stacked record.

"Page 5 Line 26. High correlation coefficient reported for AWS LGB59, is it based on 5 annual values only or the authors used the monthly means for this particular case? If the latter is correct did the authors subtract the annual cycle from the data?"

Yes, the correlation between LGB59 with Vostok and Mirny is 0.95 and 0.96, but is only based on 5-year record. Although it is statistically significant with a 0,05 confidence level, I realize that the conclusion made on 5-year series does not look very solid. But I included this in the manuscript, since this information is supplementary (not main) evidence that the climatic variability is uniform within the whole studied sector. Indeed, we have already demonstrated that climatic record at Vostok correlates with those at Mirny and Davis, so we may expect a high correlation between a point located in the middle of the sector with the mentioned sites.

Page 5 Line 27: “…that the region encompasses between these 3 stations…”. Please check the language and consider revision.

Corrected

"Page 5 Line 28: Just a comment: principal component analysis commonly used in climate sciences, could be considered a reasonable alternative to a cluster analysis"

We agree that PC analysis could be used as well, but in this case we prefer to use the cluster analysis as it gives the result in a simple and intuitively understandable way.

Page 6 Line 14: "…have a 30-year periodicity…". Due to a shortness of the data being analyzed, referring to a "quasi-periodic variability" would be more appropriate. Mind also the edge effects of any filtering procedure that in the zone of influence equal to a filter length at a specified timescale.

We changed the text as follows:

Both Vostok and Mirny **demonstrate a qasi-periodical variability with a period of about 30 years**

Page 7 Line 5: "…reflects a larger pressure gradient…"

Done

Page 7 Line 15: please see my major comment 1.

I re-estimated the significance of the correlations and changed the text accordingly:

However, different results emerge when considering the low-pass filtered time series. At multi-decadal time scales, a strong positive correlation (r = 0.8, **significant with a 0,06 confidence level**) relates PEL temperature and the AAO (Fig. 4a and 4b), and a very strong positive correlation appears between PEL temperature and the IOD index (r = 0.93, **p < 0,05**).

"Page 8 Lines 3-5: since the presented slope estimate is based on the low-pass filtered series, a decreased number of DOF needs be taken into account. The STD on the estimated slope is presently underestimated and should be corrected; some more details on the method the uncertainty of the slope was calculated should be provided too."

In our case, it was not possible to derive the isotope-temperature slope directly from the regression of the PEL2016 stacked series with the instrumental temperature record, since PEL2016 consists of normalized values.

Thus, to calculate the isotope-temperature slope we used well-known relationship:

slope (y,x) = r(y,x) * std(y)/std(x).

where std(x) is the STD of temperature record, and std(y) is the mean STD of individual isotope records

As an estimate of the uncertainty of the slope, we used the uncertainty of the mean STD value of individual isotopic records (as indicated in Page 8, Line 3). But this estimate does not take into account the uncertainty of the correlation coefficient. So, the revised value of the isotope temperature slope will be 9±6 ‰/ºC. We changed the text accordingly, and also modified the error bars in Figure 5b.

"Page 9 Line 23: "…the IOD is expected to affect the inland Antarctic climate…" can the authors provide any relevant reference pointing to a link between IOD and cyclonic activity in the coastal Antarctica?"

The heat and moisture is brought to Antarctica by cyclones, this is why we suggested that the correlation between isotopic content of precipitation and IOD could be due to modulation of cyclonic activity by IOD mode. But so far we could not find a proof of it in literature (which does not necessarily means that our supposition is wrong), this is why we used air pressure at the coastal stations as a rough proxy of cyclonic activity.

"Page 10, Line 4: A similar divergence in the longer term trends in d18O and accumulation was also observed for the coastal DML (see Divine et al., 2009, JGR,114, D11112, doi:10.1029/2008JD010475) but not on the plateau where both d18O and SMB showed positive trends (Altnau et al., 2015)."

We added the following text into the manuscript:

Similar divergence of the centennial trends of snow isotopic composition and accumulation rate was observed by Divine et al. (2009) at the coastal sites of Dronning Maud Land, but not at the inland sites (Altnau et al., 2015).

Page 10, Line 27: "…suggested to modulate…"

Done

Page 11, Line 8: please provide STD on the estimated slope.

Done

Page 11, Line28: “field technicians” or “field engineers” would be a more appropriate term

Done

Page 12, Line 1. “…in the framework…” , please indicate what abbreviation “LIA” stands for

Done

"Figure 5: please use different colors for 5b. The lines are difficult to discriminate with the presently used color palette. Correct the uncertainty interval on the reconstruction by adjusting for the number of DOFs."

The figure was modified accordingly.

Reply to Elisabeth Thomas

General comments:

Page 2 Line 1- some might consider borehole or historical records. Perhaps reword to "primary" or "a valuable source"

Done

Line 13 – word missing "we find evidence of : : :", or "we observe a : : :"

This part of text is re-written completely:

We **note a not perfect correlation between the stacked isotopic record and regional surface air temperature variations, underlying the fact that the isotopic content of precipitation is not simply a proxy of temperature, but rather a parameter that covary with the local climate in a manner similar to temperature (Steig et al., 2013)**.

Page 4, Line 4 – suggest remove "clear"

Done

Page 4, Line 8 – suggest replace "only" with "solely"

Done

"Page 4, Line 21 – are all the correlations done on de-trended data?"

No, but in these series the variance related to trend is significantly less than variance related to the short-term variability. We also tested the correlation on the de-trended series: interestingly, in this case the correlation is stronger. It means that on the short-term scale the temperature records are closely related than on the decadal scale (as discussed in section 3.1 and shown in Figure S2).

Page 5, Line 1 - I know you are choosing to use the term AAO but perhaps an "also known as the SAM" would be helpful. The structuring of this paragraph could be improved. Consider using "the AAO index is available from NOAA (include web link in brackets) and the British Antarctic Survey"

We modified the text as follows:

AAO index, **also known as SAM (Southern Annular Mode)**, is defined as a mean latitudinal difference of sea level pressure at 40 °S and 65 °S, and is considered as a prevailing mode of Atmospheric circulation in the Southern Hemisphere **representing about 35% of the extratropical SH climate variability** (Marshall, 2003). The **monthly** AAO index is available **from NOAA**:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/aao/monthly.aao.index.b79.current.ascii.table (since 1979) **and** British Antarctic Survey (http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/met/gjma/sam.html) since 1957, although data for the 1957-1978 period is considered to be less robust.

"Page 5, Line 11 – not sure if this was a mistake but should PDO be IPO? You are justifying the use of IPO because of a previous teleconnection with IPO?"

We wanted to say that previously we found the relationship between the Vostok climate record and PDO, this is why we decided to check the link between the PEL2016 and PDO. But instead of PDO we took IPO, as it should better work for the Southern Hemisphere.

I re-wrote this part of text:

We use IPO data because in the previous study **we found** a teleconnection between **the climate variability in the central Antarctic and tropical Pacific** (Ekaykin et al., 2014).

Page 5, Line 15 – reference to SOI that is not defined in the text

We added the full name of SOI.

Page 5, Line 22 – suggest changing "monitored" to "observed"

We changed the text as follows:

Here, we first consider the surface air temperature recorded at the meteorological stations in Princess Elisabeth Land, to assess whether **the studied sector is characterized by** uniform climate variability, and to provide a reference regional temperature record for comparison with the dD stacked record.

Page 5, results and discussion

"Somewhere in this section is would be good to include reference to the moisture source regions or airmass transport routes. Has any backtracjectory work been done in this region that you could reference? This might aid the discussion about the differences between stations?"

We added the following text to the Section 3.3.:

This invokes a discussion of the factors that may disturb the correlation between the local air temperature and the stable water isotopic composition of precipitation in Antarctica (Jouzel et al., 2003).

Firstly, isotopic composition of precipitation is not a function of local air temperature, but of the temperature difference between the evaporation area and the condensation site, which defines the degree of heavy water molecules distillation from an air mass. The study of the moisture origin for this sector of Antarctica (Sodemann and Stohl, 2009) demonstrates that different parts of the PEL differ in their moisture origin. Coastal areas receive moisture from higher latitudes (46-52° S) and from more western longitudes (0-40° E) than inland areas (34-42° S and 40-90° E). It means that even if our sector is climatically uniform, as was shown above, the temporal variability of the precipitation isotopic content may differ in the different parts of the sector due to varying moisture origin.

Secondly, we should define which temperature is actually recorded in the isotopic composition of precipitation. For central Antarctica, where much (or most) of precipitation is "diamond dust" from clear sky (Ekaykin, 2003), the effective condensation temperature is conventionally considered equal to the temperature on the top of the inversion layer. But it is definitely not true for the coastal areas, where most precipitation falls from clouds. Thus, the difference between near-surface and condensation temperature may vary in space and time.

Thirdly, the precipitation seasonality is another factor that may change the relationship between the air temperature and stable isotope content in precipitation. At Vostok the precipitation amount is evenly distributed throughout the year (Ekaykin et al., 2003), so the snow isotopic content corresponds well to the mean annual air temperature, but we don't have robust information neither about the other parts of the PEL, nor about the seasonality changes in the past.

Yet we believe that the main factor that affects the isotope-temperature relationship is the "stratigraphic noise". Indeed, even when we study the ice cores obtained in a short distance one from another (Ekaykin et al., 2014), the correlation between the individual isotopic records is still small, despite the same climatic conditions.

This is why we argue that constructing the stacked isotopic record is an optimal way to reduce the amount of noise in the series and to highlight the variability that is common for the whole studied region, provided that the region is climatically uniform.

"Page 8, Line 20 – can you add a short description of the little ice age? E.g. Cold period observed in northern hemisphere? I am a little nervous about defining LIA periods for Antarctic records. The pages 2k paper you cite states "There were no globally synchronous multi-decadal warm or cold intervals that define a worldwide Medieval Warm Period or Little Ice Age". Concluding that "a cold period is observed at approximately the same time interval as the little ice age reported in other regions" may be safer."

We changed the text as follows:

A colder period is identified in 1750-1860 - **i.e., approximately at the same time interval as the** "Little Ice Age" **reported in the other regions** (PAGES 2k network, 2013).

"Page 8, Line 21 – Just for interest and comparison we also see a cold phase during the 1840s in the isotope record from Ferrigno (coastal Ellsworth Land). Might add evidence to it being a continental scale event. Thomas, E. R., T. J. Bracegirdle, J. Turner, and E. W. Wolff (2013), A 308 year record of climate variability in West Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, doi:10.1002/2013GL057782"

We changed the text accordingly:

This minimum was also identified in an Antarctic temperature stack record (Schneider et al., 2006) – see Fig. 5d, as well as an ice core drilled in the Ross Sea sector (Rhodes et al., 2012) **and in the isotope record from Ferrigno (coastal Ellsworth Land) (Thomas et al., 2013)**.

"Page 9 - Snow accumulation variability. This section is lacking information on the thinning functions applied to the records. You mention the Nye model was used for the

400km core but nothing about the 105 and 200km records. Please just specify which thinning method was used in the text."

We added the following text at the end of Section 2.1:

We also use the accumulation data from the site “200 km” (Fig. 1), spanning the period 1640-1987, as published in (Ekaykin et al., 2000). **The accumulation values from sites "150 km" and "400 km" were corrected both for layer thinning with depth and for the advection of ice from upstream of the glacier to account for the spatial gradient of the snow accumulation rate**.

Page 10, Line 18 – suggest changing “has evidenced” for “demonstrates”

Done

Page 11, Line 10 - suggest changing “evidenced” for “observed”

Done

"Table 1 – Suggest “this study” instead of “this work” For the sample resolution can you give an indicator of the number of samples per year? Or per decade for 400 km?"

The Table was modified accordingly

"Figure 1 – Just a style issue but I found it hard to see the ice core locations on my screen. Consider changing the orange used."

The figure was corrected accordingly

Climatic variability in Princess Elizabeth Land (East Antarctica) over the last 350 years

Alexey A. Ekaykin[1,2], Diana O. Vladimirova[1,2*], Vladimir Ya. Lipenkov[1] and Valérie Masson-Delmotte[3]

1 – Climate and Environmental Research Laboratory, Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia.

2 – Institute of Earth Sciences, Saint Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

3 - Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement - IPSL, UMR 8212, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ-Université Paris Saclay, Gif sur Yvette, France.

* now at Center for Ice and Climate, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Juliane Maries Vej 30, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

Correspondence to: Alexey A. Ekaykin (ekaykin@aari.ru)

Abstract

We use isotopic composition (δD) data from 6 sites in Princess Elisabeth Land (PEL) in order to reconstruct the air temperature variability in this sector of East Antarctica for the last 350 years. First, we use the present-day instrumental mean annual surface air temperature data to demonstrate that the studied region (between Russian research stations Progress, Vostok and Mirny) is characterized by uniform temperature variability. We thus construct the stacked record of the temperature anomaly for the whole sector for the period 1958-2015. A comparison of this series with the Southern Hemisphere climatic indices shows that the short-term inter-annual temperature variability is primarily governed by Antarctic Oscillation (AAO) and Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) modes of atmospheric variability. However, the low-frequency temperature variability (with period > 27 years) is mainly related to the anomalies of Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) mode. Then we construct the stacked record of δD for the PEL for the period 1654-2009 from individual normalized and filtered isotopic records obtained at 6 different sites ('PEL2016' stacked record). We use a ~~significant relationship~~linear regression ~~between~~ of this record and the stacked PEL temperature record (with an apparent slope of 9±5.4 ‰ °C^{-1}) to convert 'PEL2016' into temperature scale. Analysis of 'PEL2016' shows a 1±0.6 °C warming in

this region over the last three centuries, with a particularly cold period from mid-18th to mid-19th century. A peak of cooling occurred in the 1840s - a feature previously observed in other Antarctic records. We reveal that 'PEL2016' correlates with a low-frequency component of IOD. We suggest that the IOD mode influences the Antarctic climate by modulating the activity of cyclones that bring heat and moisture to Antarctica. We also compare 'PEL2016' with other Antarctic stacked isotopic records. This work is a contribution to PAGES and IPICS Antarctica 2k projects.

1 Introduction

While understanding the behavior of Antarctic climate system is crucial in context of the present-day global environmental changes, key gaps arise from limited observations. Prior to the International Geophysical Year epoch (1955-1957) ~~most of the Antarctic continent lies in records extracted from firn and ice cores~~the primary source of the climatic data are ice core records. Deep ice cores have provided a wealth of climatic and environmental information covering glacial-interglacial variations of the past 800,000 years (EPICA, 2004). However, the spatio-temporal characteristics of Antarctic climate variability of the most recent centuries remains poorly known or understood (Jones et al., in press;PAGES_2k_network, 2013).

The network of ice core records spanning the last centuries is ~~moreover unevenly~~ distributed highly unevenly~~, reflecting heterogeneous efforts for extracting these records~~. A quite extensive coverage of some regions of Antarctica, such as West Antarctica (Kaspari et al., 2004) or Dronning Maud Land (Altnau et al., 2015;Oerter et al., 2000) contrasts with other regions that still remain ~~white spots~~poorly studied. As a result, attempts to reconstruct the climatic variability of the whole Antarctic continent (Jones et al., in press;PAGES_2k_network, 2013;Schneider et al., 2006;Frezzotti et al., 2013) are limited by the lack of available data.

In our previous work we summarized available isotopic data for the vicinity of Vostok Station in order to construct a robust stack climatic record over the past 350 years (Ekaykin et al., 2014). Here we present a new stacked climate record for Princess Elisabeth Land (PEL), the territory located between the Russian stations of Progress, Vostok and Mirny, East Antarctica. This record is based on water stable isotope data from 6 sites, and spans the last 350 years (Fig. 1). We note a not perfect correlation between the stacked isotopic record and regional surface air temperature variations, underlying the fact that the isotopic content of precipitation is not simply a proxy of temperature, but rather a parameter that covary with the local climate in a manner similar to temperature (Steig et al., 2013).

Отформатировано: английский (США)

Отформатировано: английский (США)

~~evidence a close relationship between the stacked isotopic record and regional surface air temperature variations, and~~ We also highlight significant relationships between regional climate and large-scale modes of variability of the Southern Hemisphere.

~~Classically,~~ Section 2 describes our data and methods, and Section 3 is focused on these results and their discussion, before a conclusion in Section 4.

2 Methods

2.1 ~~Individual records~~Ice core data

In this study we use data from 6 individual records obtained in Princess Elisabeth Land (Figure 1, Table 1).

"105 km" (67.433 ºS and 93.383 ºE, time interval 1757-1987) is a 727-m ice core drilled in 1988 by specialists of St. Petersburg Mining Institute, about 105 km inland from Mirny station. The isotopic content was measured late in the 1980s at Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE) with resolution of 1 m. In 2013, the upper 109 m of the core were re-measured at Climate and Environmental Research Laboratory (CERL), with a depth resolution of 5 cm. This core is the only one where accumulation rate allowe~~d~~s the annual layers to be preserved in the snow thickness, so ~~The~~ the core was dated by layer counting~~,~~. ~~and t~~The initial dating was then adjusted using the reference horizon of the 1816 Tambora volcanic eruption, identified from Electrical Conductivity Measurements (ECM) (Vladimirova and Ekaykin, 2014). As a result, a record of annual accumulation rate is available.

"400 km" (69.95 ºS and 95.617 ºE, 1254-1987) refers to an ice core drilled in 1988 at the 400th km from Mirny station, down to a 150 m depth. Isotopic measurements were performed at LSCE on 1 m samples. The core was dated according to the simple Nye depth-age model, taking into account the average accumulation rate at the drilling site (Lipenkov et al., 1998) and the density profile of the core. The uncertainty of the dating, estimated with the Nye model, mainly comes from the error of the accumulation rate estimate and is evaluated as about 10 %. As a result, no record of annual accumulation rate is available.

"VRS 2013" (78.467 ºS and 106.84 ºE, 1654-2010) is a stack of 15 individual isotopic records from snow pits and shallow cores recovered in the vicinity of Vostok Station (Ekaykin et al., 2014). The data on temporal variability of snow accumulation rate ~~data~~ is also available for this site.

"NVFL-1" (77.11 ºS and 95.072 ºE, 1711-1944) is a 18.3-m firn core drilled from the bottom of a 2.5-m snow pit in 2008 close to the Dome B. The chronology was established using the firn density data and the 1816 Tambora volcano ECM peak as a reference horizon.

"NVFL-3" (76.405 ºS and 102.167 ºE, 1978-2009) is a 3.1-m snow pit dug in 2010 in the northern part of subglacial Lake Vostok. It is dated based on snow stratigraphy and identification of 1993 Pinatubo volcano peak in SO_4^{2-} vertical profile. Chemical measurements were performed at Limnological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Irkutsk, Russia.

"PV-10" (72.805 ºS and 79.934 ºE, 1976-2009) is a 7.55-m firn core drilled in 2010 about 400 km inland from Progress Station. It was dated using firn density data and taking into account the ECM peak associated with the 1993 deposition from the Pinatubo eruption.

We estimated the dating uncertainty by comparing age calculated using only firn density data and average snow accumulation rate for a given site with age of the reference age markers and came to a conclusion that the age errors do not exceed 10 %. For the reference years (1816 and 1993, where we have absolute dating), the error tends to zero. The largest error is expected for the "400 km" series, where we do not have a reference age markers. However, if we use the prominent 1840 cold event (see Section 3.3), observed in all records, as such a marker, then we may estimate a relative dating error for this series as < 6%.

We also use the accumulation data from the site "200 km" (Fig. 1), spanning the period 1640-1987, as published in (Ekaykin et al., 2000). The accumulation values from sites "150 km" and "400 km" were corrected both for layer thinning with depth and for the advection of ice from upstream of the glacier to account for the spatial gradient of the snow accumulation rate.

2.2 Stacked records

Fig. 2 displays the individual δD time-series from all 6 sites. Differences between mean values reflect well-known differences in isotopic distillation along a gradient of inland elevation (e.g.(Masson-Delmotte et al., 2008)). In order to investigate temporal variations only, we calculated normalized values for each series using interval 1757-1944 as a reference period. As for the short series (NVFL-3 and PV-10), they were normalized over 1978-2009 period, and then the mean and variance of the normalized values were ~~reduced in terms of mean and STD to~~adjusted to those of the long series for the corresponding period of ~~the long series~~time, in order to avoid an ~~artificial dominating~~overestimated contribution of the short records in the stacked series.

We then applied a rectangular-shaped low-pass filter to cut off the variability with periodicities ~~lower~~ shorter than 27 years (i.e., frequencies > 0.037). (~~Note that a~~All spectral analyses and filtering ~~was~~ were performed with the use of Analyseries software (Paillard et al., 1996)). This is motivated by the fact that one single record in inland Antarctica cannot provide reliable climatic information on a short-term time scale, due to a very low signal-to-nose ratio (Ekaykin et al., 2014) and non-temperature effects on isotopes in precipitation including post-depositional alterations. Moreover, the latter study also highlighted multi-decadal climatic variability in this sector of central Antarctica, with a period of 30-50 years.

The normalized and filtered time series are displayed in Figure 3. Despite some common features, this comparison ~~clear~~ shows significant discrepancies between individual records. One reason for such mismatches may lie in age scale uncertainties. However, this hypothesis is ruled out by the comparison of individual series around 1816 and 1993 (dates of firn layers containing Tambora and Pinatubo volcanic eruption debris, denoted by vertical dashed lines in Figure 3), when the relative dating error tends to zero: observed discrepancies do not ~~only~~ solely arise from chronological ~~problems~~uncertainties alone. Alternatively, this mismatch may arise from a significant level of noise even in the filtered series, and other than the local temperature-related controls of the isotopic composition of precipitation.

In order to isolate the climatic signal from the noise, we constructed a stacked climatic record for the PEL region, hereafter named PEL2016 (grey line in Figure 3). For a given year, the value of this record consists of the average of the values of individual records available for ~~a~~ this year~~; the standard deviation within individual records is also reported on Figure 3~~.

2.3 ~~Meteorological information available for the studied sector~~Instrumental temperature data

A number of research stations have been established in the PEL area, as indicated in Fig. 1. Unfortunately, most of them have very short (if any) meteorological records. Relatively long records are available only for 5 stations: Australian station Davis (1957-1964 and 1969-2015), Chinese station Zhong Shan (1989-2015), Russian stations Progress (1989, 1991 and 2003-2015), Mirny (1956-2015) and Vostok (1958-2015 with gaps in 1962, 1994, 1996 and 2003). The monthly data were downloaded from https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/READER/ (Turner et al., 2004) and then the annual means were calculated.

The correlation between Progress, Zhong Shan and Davis annual mean temperature datasets, located very close to each other, is 0.96-0.98 (note that only statistically significant correlation coefficients with a confidence level > 95 % are reported in the paper, unless otherwise mentioned). Hereafter, we only used data from the station with the longest record (Davis).

We also use data from automatic weather station (AWS) LGB59 located at the slope of the Antarctic ice sheet inland from Progress station (Fig. 1), available for the period from 1994 to 1999, as well as surface air temperature data from Casey and Mawson.

2.4 Climatic indices of Southern Hemisphere

In order to investigate possible relationships between PEL climate multi-decadal variations and large-scale modes of variability, we use data on the indices of the Antarctic Oscillation (AAO), the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) and the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD).

AAO index, also known as SAM (Southern Annular Mode), is defined as a mean latitudinal difference of sea level pressure at 40 ºS and 65 ºS, and is considered as a prevailing mode of Atmospheric circulation in the Southern Hemisphere representing about 35% of the extratropical SH climate variability (Marshall, 2003). The ~~data on~~monthly AAO index is available ~~here~~from NOAA:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/aao/monthly.aao.index.b79.current.ascii.table (since 1979) and ~~. Also, at the site of~~ British Antarctic Survey ~~(there is AAO data since 1957:~~

http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/met/gjma/sam.html) since 1957, ~~,~~ although data for the 1957-1978 period is considered to be less robust.

IPO is defined as a sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly over the Pacific Ocean. The positive phase of IPO is characterized by relatively warm central and eastern tropical Pacific, and relatively cold north-western and south-western Pacific (Henley et al., 2015;Dong and Dai, 2015). IPO index is closely related to PDO (Pacific Decadal Oscillation), but PDO better characterizes Northern Pacific, while IPO is better applicable to the whole Pacific region. We use IPO data because in the previous study we found a teleconnection between the climate variability in the ~~PDO mode and~~ central ~~Antarctic~~ Antarctic and tropical Pacific ~~climate was discovered~~ (Ekaykin et al., 2014).

The data on IPO index since 1870 is available here:

http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/IPOTPI/

IOD is characterized by Dipole Mode Index (DMI) that is defined as the SST gradient between the western equatorial Indian Ocean (50 ºE - 70 ºE and 10 ºS - 10 ºN) and the south eastern equatorial Indian Ocean (90 ºE - 110 ºE and 10 ºS - 0 ºN). Thus, IOD is an analogue of SOI (Southern Oscillation Index), but for Indian Ocean. The data on DMI index since 1870 could be found at:

http://www.jamstec.go.jp/frsgc/research/d1/iod/iod/dipole_mode_index.html.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface air temperature variability in the Princess Elisabeth Land during the period of instrumental observations (1958-2015)

Here, we first consider the ~~variability of~~ surface air temperature recorded at the meteorological stations in Princess Elisabeth Land, to assess whether the studied sector is characterized by uniform climate variability ~~pattern is monitored~~, and to provide a reference regional temperature record for comparison with the δD stacked record.

Correlation coefficients between annual mean surface air temperature data at Vostok, Mirny and Davis vary between 0.6 and 0.9 (Table 2). Correlation coefficients between Automatic Weather Station LGB59 (located between Davis and Vostok, Fig. 1) and these 3 stations vary between 0.86 and 0.96. Despite the short record at LGB59, they are also significant at 95% confidence level. These results demonstrate that the region ~~encompasses~~ encompassed between these 3 stations has experienced similar climatic variability. This is further confirmed by a cluster analysis of surface air temperature data from 12 Antarctic stations (see Supplementary Figure S1), showing that Vostok, Mirny, Casey, Mawson and Davis data form a single cluster in terms of climatic variability.

Interestingly, the correlation coefficient between Mirny and Vostok data is significantly weaker in 1958-1976 (R=0.53) than in 1976-2015 (R=0.74). This suggests that, before the so-called “1976 climate shift” (Giese et al., 2002) Vostok experienced a higher influence from the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (Ekaykin et al., 2014) not encompassed at Mirny. Indeed, the correlation coefficient between temperature data from Vostok and Mc Murdo Station (located in the Pacific sector) was higher before the 1976 shift (R=0.46) than after 1976 (R=0.35).

During the whole period of instrumental observations, the strongest relationships observed for temperature at Vostok were with temperature data at Mirny and Mawson coastal stations from the Indian Ocean sector, and more precisely the sector between Davis Sea and Cooperation Sea.

As a result, Figure 4a shows the average temperature anomaly from Vostok, Mirny and Davis stations. Hereafter, we use this stacked temperature record as an estimate of the temperature anomaly for the whole PEL sector.

We now compare the low frequency variations in these various temperature records, using the 27-year low pass filter (Figure S2). Both Vostok and Mirny demonstrate a qasi-periodical variability with a period of about 30 years~~have a 30-year periodicity~~, maxima in the late 1970s and the late 2000s, and demonstrate a very high similarity at low frequency. While Davis data have the same periodicity, their maxima are shifted to the early 1970s and early 2000s. If we consider other Antarctic stations, we see a complex behavior of air temperature in different sectors of Antarctica: most stations also show a 30-year cycle, but with a significant phase shift relative to PEL region.

In the Indian Ocean sector, temperature peaks appear more and more delayed when moving from west to east. For example, the first maximum occurred late in the 1960s at Mawson, early in the 1970s at Davis, in the second half of the 1970s at Mirny, and late in the 1970s at Casey. This feature may reflect a low-frequency component of the Antarctic Circumpolar Wave (Carril and Navarra, 2001).

With respect to multi-decadal trends, contrasted patterns emerge: some stations (Esperanza, Novolazarevskaya, Davis, Vostok, Mirny, McMurdo) display warming trend, while a cooling trend emerges at Halley or Dumont d'Hurville (Figure S2).

This comparison of instrumental temperature records highlights different patterns of multi-decadal variability across different sectors of Antarctica, which is important for interpreting paleoclimate records, and for combining various proxy records for temperature reconstructions (Jones et al., in press). Our analysis nevertheless demonstrates coherency within Princess Elisabeth Land, where we will use the stacked temperature record from Vostok, Mirny and Davis as a reference regional signal (hereafter named PEL temperature anomaly) for calibration of δD records.

3.2 Relationships between Princess Elisabeth Land instrumental temperature records and Southern Hemisphere modes of variability

Here, we compare the PEL temperature anomaly with indices that characterize climatic variability in the Southern Hemisphere. First, as expected, a very strong negative relationship with the AAO index (r = -0.68) is observed in 1979-2015 (Fig. 4b). The Antarctic Oscillations is the predominant mode of climatic variability in Antarctica: a strong AAO index reflects a larger pressure gradient between low and high latitudes, associated with a more zonal circulation around Antarctica, and colder conditions in East Antarctica. We note that no correlation between PEL and AAO is identified prior to 1979, which could be an artifact due to poor estimate of AAO before 1979, when few instrumental records are assimilated in atmospheric reanalyses.

The correlation coefficient of PEL temperature anomaly with the IPO index is weak (Fig. 4c), but the residuals of the PEL temperature regression with AAO are negatively correlated with IPO index (r = -0.47).

A multiple linear regression approach leads to the conclusion that combined variations in AAO and IPO explain 59% of the temperature variance, at the inter-annual scale. While such tele-connection between Pacific and central Antarctic climate had previously been reported from Vostok data (Ekaykin et al., 2014), the underlying mechanism is not known. Finally, no significant correlation was identified between PEL temperature and the IOD index (Fig. 4d).

However, different results emerge when considering the low-pass filtered time series. At multi-decadal time scales, a strong positive correlation (r = 0.8, significant with a 0,06 confidence level) relates PEL temperature and the AAO (Fig. 4a and 4b), and a very strong positive correlation appears between PEL temperature and the IOD index (r = 0.93, $p < 0,05$). We suggest that the Indian Ocean Dipole affects the Antarctic climate through a modulation of cyclonic activity. This is indirectly confirmed by a negative correlation (r = -0.56) between the IOD index and the pressure anomaly at Mirny and Davis (not shown). The positive relationship between AAO and temperature in the low frequency band could then be an “induced correlation” caused by a very strong positive correlation between AAO and IOD (r = 0.8-0.9) at these time-scales.

3.3 Climatic variability in Princess Elisabeth Land over the last 350 years

The stacked δD record (built from low-pass filtered individual records) is now compared with the filtered PEL temperature composite (Fig. 4a). ~~A significantly~~We observe a positive correlation ~~is observed~~ with ~~(~~r = 0.66~~)~~. Although the length of the series is 52 years, the number of degree of freedoms is only 4, due to the 27-year filtering. The uncertainty of the correlation is ±0,4, so it is statistically insignificant (p = 0,17).

This invokes a discussion of the factors that may disturb the correlation between the local air temperature and the stable water isotopic composition of precipitation in Antarctica (Jouzel et al., 2003).

Firstly, isotopic composition of precipitation is not a function of local air temperature, but of the temperature difference between the evaporation area and the condensation site, which defines the degree of heavy water molecules distillation from an air mass. The study of the moisture origin for this sector of Antarctica (Sodemann and Stohl, 2009) demonstrates that different parts of the PEL differ in their moisture origin. Coastal areas receive moisture from higher latitudes (46-52° S) and from more western longitudes (0-40° E) than inland areas (34-42° S and 40-90° E). It means that even if our sector is climatically uniform, as was shown above, the temporal variability of the precipitation isotopic content may differ in the different parts of the sector due to varying moisture origin.

Secondly, we should define which temperature is actually recorded in the isotopic composition of precipitation. For central Antarctica, where much (or most) of precipitation is "diamond dust" from clear sky (Ekaykin, 2003), the effective condensation temperature is conventionally considered equal to the temperature on the top of the inversion layer. But it is definitely not true for the coastal areas, where most precipitation falls from clouds. Thus, the difference between near-surface and condensation temperature may vary in space and time.

Thirdly, the precipitation seasonality is another factor that may change the relationship between the air temperature and stable isotope content in precipitation. At Vostok the precipitation amount is evenly distributed throughout the year (Ekaykin et al., 2003), so the snow isotopic content corresponds well to the mean annual air temperature, but we don't have robust information neither about the other parts of the PEL, nor about the seasonality changes in the past.

Yet we believe that the main factor that affects the isotope-temperature relationship is the "stratigraphic noise". Indeed, even when we study the ice cores obtained in a short distance one from another (Ekaykin et al., 2014), the correlation between the individual isotopic records is still small, despite the same climatic conditions.

This is why we argue that constructing the stacked isotopic record is an optimal way to reduce the amount of noise in the series and to highlight the variability that is common for the whole studied region, provided that the region is climatically uniform.

Despite the statistically insignificant correlation coefficient, we ~~We note a discrepancy in the timing of the most recent maximum (2000 according to the isotopic series and 2008 according to the temperature record), while the late 1970s maximum is in phase in the both records. This discrepancy could be explained by biases in the stack isotopic series caused by "edge effect" at the end margin of the record due to varying number of individual records used in the stacked one; or by phase shifts in climatic variability in different sectors of Antarctica (as reported for Davis and Mirny, Figure S2).~~

~~A~~assum~~inge~~ that the stacked δD record is a proxy of surface air temperature in the PEL region (or, following Steig and others (2013) a proxy that "covaries with atmospheric circulation in a manner similar to temperature~~,~~"). Thus we estimate the ~~corresponding~~ calibration coefficient between these two parameters as ~~by the~~a ratio of the standard deviation of the δD composite record to the standard deviation of the PEL low-pass filtered temperature record, which allows us to assign a temperature scale to the isotopic record. The apparent isotope-temperature gradient, obtained as a standard deviation of isotopic values divided by standard deviation of temperature values, is 13,8±2,5 ‰ $°C^{-1}$ (the uncertainty is due to different standard deviation of isotopic values in individual records). Such an approach implicitly suggests a perfect correlation between the compared series. If we correct the apparent slope by the observed correlation coefficient, 0.66, it becomes 9±5.4 ‰ $°C^{-1}$. The latter value is still ~~considerably~~ higher than the corresponding slopes observed in other regions of Antarctica (see a review in (Stenni et al., 2016)), but corresponds nicely to an isotope-condensation temperature slope predicted by simple isotope model (Salamatin et al., 2004). Actually, ~~a~~ low apparent isotope-temperature slopes obtained based on ice-core data may be due to significant amount of noise in the isotopic records, while in our case we considerably removed noise by filtering and constructing the stacked record.

The temperature reconstruction is displayed in Fig. 5b as a temperature anomaly relative to the 1980-2009 period. We also show the instrumentally obtained air temperature anomaly in Fig. 5b on the same temperature scale.

Following (Ekaykin et al., 2014), who reported a closer relationship between Vostok isotopic data and summer temperature than with annual mean temperature, we performed additional analyses of relationships between our stacked isotope record and other temperature time series (e.g. monthly or seasonal temperature anomalies), but this did not improve the isotope-temperature correlation.

Despite discrepancies in the individual isotopic records (Fig. 3), common signal identified in the stacked record lead to several conclusions about PEL climate variability over the past 350 years During this time interval, regional surface air temperature shows a long-term increasing trend, and an overall warming by about 1±0.~~2~~6°C. Superimposed on this multi-centennial trend, quasi-periodical variability occurs with periods of 30-40 and about 60 years. A colder period is identified in 1750-1860 - i.e., approximately at the same time interval as the ~~, corresponding to the end of the~~ "Little Ice Age" reported in the other regions (PAGES 2k network, 2013).

A remarkable cold phase is observed during the 1840s, during which PEL temperature could fall 1.2±0.7 °C below present-day (defined as the average value of the last 30 years). As seen in Fig. 3, this event is a robust feature, observed in all 4 individual records available for this time interval. This minimum was also identified in an Antarctic temperature stack record (Schneider et al., 2006) – see Fig. 5d, as well as in an ice core drilled in the Ross Sea sector (Rhodes et al., 2012) and in the isotope record from Ferrigno (coastal Ellsworth Land) (Thomas et al., 2013).

Further studies are needed to understand whether such remarkable cold conditions arise from internal variability or are driven by the response of regional climate to an external perturbation. A possible candidate could be a response to volcanic forcing (Sigl et al., 2015). A moderate event is associated with the eruption of Cosigüina~~Cosigu□ina~~ in 1835. According to the inventory of volcanic events recorded in the Vostok firn cores (Osipov et al., 2014), there was an eruption of an unknown volcano in 1840; however, the amount of deposited sulfate was about 15% of that of Tambora, so it is not expected to have a major effect on climate system. So far, the influence of volcanic forcing on Antarctic climate, and the response time remains poorly known. By contrast, recent studies have stressed the delayed response of the North Atlantic Oscillation (Ortega et al., 2015) to major volcanic eruptions, as well as their role as pace-makers of bidecadal variability in the North Atlantic (Swingedouw et al., 2015).

The period before 1700 is probably the coldest part of the record, but this is not a robust result as the 2 records spanning this time interval show somewhat different behaviors (Fig. 3). However, another stack of 5 East Antarctic cores from ~~PAGES2K~~ PAGES 2k (Fig. 5e) also highlights that the 1690s could have been the coldest decade of the last 350 years.

We also compare the PEL2016 record with other Antarctic temperature reconstructions. (Schneider et al., 2006) used high-resolution isotopic records from 5 Antarctic sites (a stack of Law Dome records, Siple Station, a stack of Dronning Maud Land records, and two ITASE sites from West Antarctica). Although ~~His~~ this record is not ~~statistically~~ significantly correlated with PEL2016 (r = 0.36), we note ~~. This suggests that multi-decadal temperature variability of the~~

~~relatively small PEL region has~~ some common features ~~with the whole Antarctic continent~~ in both records (warming in the 1820s and 1890s, cold events in the 1840s and 1900s, etc.).

We also investigated the similarities between PEL2016 and the filtered stack normalized isotopic East Antarctic record based on 5 East Antarctic ice cores (Fig. 5e; data are available in Supplementary materials of (PAGES_2k_network, 2013)). The correlation with PEL2016 is weak (r = 0.13) ~~but~~ and insignificant, and so is ~~. T~~the correlation with the stack from Schneider et al (2006) ~~is again~~ (r = 0.36).

The main difference between our PEL2016 record and the other isotopic stacked records for the whole Antarctica (Fig. 5d) and for East Antarctica (Fig. 5e) appears for long-term trends, with a long-term increase in PEL2016 but no similar feature in the other reconstructions. We suggest that contrasted regional long-term trends may disappear in continental-scale reconstructions (see Fig. S2).

Finally, we compare our PEL2016 record with an IOD time-series since 1870, also processed with a low-pass filter. The strong correlation coefficient (r=0.79) confirms the tight relationship between multi-decadal variations in surface air temperature in this sector of Antarctica and IOD. The Indian Dipole Ocean oscillation appears as the predominant climatic mode affecting multi-decadal climate variability in this part of East Antarctica. While the exact mechanisms underlying this relationship are not known, the IOD is expected to affect the inland Antarctic climate by modulating the cyclonic activity that brings heat and moisture to Antarctic continent.

3.4 Snow accumulation rate variability

We now investigate the low-pass filtered values of snow accumulation rate, available at sites “105 km”, “200 km” and Vostok (the latter is a stack curve from 3 deep snow pits), normalized over the period from 1952 to 1981 (Fig. 6). All of them exhibit a negative trend, more prominent for “200 km” series. This result contradicts the stacked Antarctic snow accumulation rate record (Frezzotti et al., 2013) showing an overall increase of the accumulation rate during the last 200 years. Our finding is also not supported by the accurate assessment of average accumulation rate change between successive reference horizons at Vostok, showing a slight but significant increase of snow accumulation rate since 1816 (Ekaykin et al., 2004). Our results moreover stress the fact that, during the last centuries, opposite long-term trends may have occurred in temperature and accumulation. This is counter-intuitive with respect to atmospheric thermodynamics and to the expected co-variation of heat and moisture advection towards inland

Antarctica. Similar divergence of the centennial trends of snow isotopic composition and accumulation rate was observed by Divine et al. (2009) at the coastal sites of Dronning Maud Land, but not at the inland sites (Altnau et al., 2015).

Processes other than snowfall deposition may however affect the ice core records. In the vicinity of "105 km", large "transversal" snow dunes have recently been evidenced (Vladimirova and Ekaykin, 2014). Such features may lead to a strong non-climatic variability in the snow accumulation rate in a given point, due to dune progagation propagation effects. Blowing snow events may also have a significant influence on mass balance in the coastal zone of Antarctica (Scarchilli et al., 2010), potentially introducing additional post-deposition noise.

As a result, we are not confident that the datasets reported in Figure 6 can be interpreted in terms of climate (snowfall) variations, and further work is needed to decipher the large-scale climate effect (snowfall deposition) from the non-climatic effects potentially associated with post-deposition (wind erosion, dune propagation etc).

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented an analysis of the recent variability in snow isotopic composition (δD) data from 6 snow pits and ice cores recovered in the region of Princess Elisabeth Land (PEL), East Antarctica.

To interpret this data, we have investigated the present-day mean annual surface air temperature variability using the instrumental temperature measurements at stations Mirny, Davis and Vostok located at the margins of the studied sector. It was shown that inter-annual climatic variability strongly covariates at these three stations. Cluster analysis has evidenceddemonstrated coherent variations for these stations, together with the nearby stations of Casey and Mawson. However, we have stressed phase shifts between multi-decadal temperature variations along the coastal stations: temperature maxima and minima at Vostok and Mirny are delayed by a few years compared to those at Davis. At a broader geographical scale, temperature records from different sectors of Antarctica exhibit different climatic variability at decadal scale in terms of periodicities, phasing and trends.

We then compared recent temperature variability in the PEL region with indices of Southern Hemisphere modes of variability, and highlight the importance of the Annular Antarctic Oscillation and the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation that in total explain 59% of the temperature variance in this Antarctic region. At the multi-decadal time-scale, however, temperature variations appear most closely related with the Indian Ocean Dipole mode, ~~understood~~ suggested to modulate the cyclonic activity bringing heat and moisture to Princess Elisabeth Land.

Given limitations of ice core data for inter-annual variations, we have processed our isotopic time-series with a low-pass filter to cut off variability expressed at timescales <27 years. Both common features and significant discrepancies emerge from individual filtered time-series. These differences may arise from true differences in regional climate variations, and/or by non-climatic noise.

In order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio, we constructed a stacked isotopic record for the Princess Elisabeth Land based on data from all 6 sites. We then used the ~~significant correlation~~linear regression between this record and instrumentally obtained air temperature record in order to convert the isotopic composition scale into air temperature scale. The apparent isotope-temperature slope is 9±5.4 ‰ °C^{-1}.

The newly obtained temperature reconstruction covers the period from 1654 to 2009. During this period, temperature appears to have gradually increased by about 1±0.6 °C, from a relatively cold period ~~evidenced~~ observed from the mid-17th to mid-19th centuries~~ ("Little Ice Age")~~. The coldest decade is identified in the 1840s, a feature common to several Antarctic isotopic composite signals. By contrast, long-term temperature trends were not identified previously in pan-Antarctic stacked records, possibly due to averaging effects of different regional trends. We found a weak~~, though significant,~~ positive correlation of our temperature reconstruction with reconstructions previously obtained for the whole Antarctic continent and/or East Antarctica. A poor correlation between different Antarctic temperature records based on ice core data from different (but partly overlapping) regions requires further improvements of the ice core-based climate reconstructions.

Finally, our PEL record appears closely related to the low-frequency component of the Indian Ocean Dipole mode.

The three accumulation time series depict decreasing long-term trends and large inter-site differences. Further investigations of non-climatic drivers (including wind erosion and dune effects) are needed prior to confident climatic interpretation.

Our time-series is provided as supplementary information to this manuscript. Understanding the cause for the reconstructed changes will require to compare the PEL record with other regional Antarctic records, expanding the work of Jones et al (in press), and combining simulations and reconstructions in order to better understand the mechanisms of regional climate multi-decadal to centennial variations, and to explore the potential response of Antarctic climate to external forcing factors (e.g. volcanic eruptions).

This study finally stresses the importance of obtaining a dense network of highly resolved ice core records in order to document the complexity of spatio-temporal variations in Antarctic climate, a key focus of the Antarctic ~~2K~~ 2k project (http://www.pages-igbp.org/ini/wg/antarctica2k/intro).

Acknowledgement

This work is a contribution to PAGES and IPICS "Antarctica 2k" project. We are grateful to all the ~~participants~~ field technicians of Russian Antarctic Expedition (RAE) and drillers from St. Petersburg Mining University for providing us with the high-quality ice cores. We thank RAE for logistical support of our works in Antarctica. The Russian-French collaboration in the field of ice cores and paleoclimate studies is carried out in the frames of ~~LIA~~ International Associated Laboratory "Vostok". We thank the CERL's staff for the isotopic analyses. The chemical analyses of the samples were performed at Irkutsk's Limnological Institute of RAS in frames of Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 15-55-16001. One of the authors (VMD) was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche in France, grant ANR-14-CE01-0001.

This study was completed with a financial support from Russian Science Foundation, grant 14-27-00030.

References

Altnau, S., Schlosser, E., Isaksson, E., and Divine, D. V.: Climatic signals from 76 shallow firn cores in Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 9, 925-944, 2015.

Carril, A. F., and Navarra, A.: Low-frequency varibility of the Antarctic Circumpolar Wave, Geophys. Res. Let., 28, 4623-4626, 2001.

Divine, D.V., Isaksson, E., Kaczmarska, M., Godtliebsen, F., Oerter, H., Schlosser, E., Johnsen, S.J., van den Broeke, M., and van de Wal, R.S.W.: Tropical Pacific - high latitude south Atlantic teleconnections as seen in δ^{18}O variability in Antarctic coastal ice cores, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D11112, 2009.

Dong, B., and Dai, A.: The influence of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation on temperature and precipitation over the globe, Clim. Dyn., 15, DOI 10.1007/s00382-015-2500-x, 2015.

Ekaykin, A. A.: Meteorological regime of central antarctica and its role in the formation of isotope composition of snow thickness, Universite Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, 136 pp., 2003.

Ekaykin, A. A., Lipenkov, V. Y., Barkov, N. I., Petit, J. R., and Stievenard, M.: The snow accumulation variability over the last 350 years at the slope of Antarctic ice sheet at 200 km from the Mirny observatory (in Russian), Kriosfera Zemli, 4, 57-66, 2000.

Ekaykin, A. A., Lipenkov, V. Y., Kuzmina, I. N., Petit, J. R., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Johnsen, S.: The changes in isotope composition and accumulation of snow at Vostok station over the past 200 years, Ann. Glaciol., 39, 569-575, 2004.

Ekaykin, A. A., Kozachek, A. V., Lipenkov, V. Y., and Shibaev, Y. A.: Multiple climate shifts in the Southern Hemisphere over the past three centuries based on central Antarctic snow pits and core studies, Ann. Glaciol., 55, 259-266, 2014.

EPICA: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, Nature, 429, 623-628, 2004.

Frezzotti, M., Scarchilli, C., Becagli, S., Proposito, M., and Urbini, S.: A synthesis of the Antarctic surface mass balance during the last 800 yr, The Cryosphere, 7, 303-319, 10.5194/tc-7-303-2013, 2013.

Giese, B. S., Urizar, S. C., and Fuckar, N. S.: Southern hemisphere origins of the 1976 climate shift, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1 1-4, 2002.

Henley, B. J., Gergis, J., Karoly, D. J., Power, S., Kennedy, J., and Folland, C. K.: A tripole index for the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation, Clim. Dyn., 15, 10.1007/s00382-015-2525-1, 2015.

Jones, J. M., Gille, S. T., Goosse, H., Abram, N. J., Canziani, P. O., Charman, D. J., Clem, K. R., Crosta, X., de Lavergne, C., Eisenman, I., England, M. H., Fogt, R. L., Frankcombe, L. M., Marshall, G. J., Masson- Delmotte, V., Morrison, A. K., Orsi, A. J., Raphael, M. N., Renwick, J. A., Schneider, D. P., Simpkins, G. R., Steig, E. J., Stenni, B., Swingedouw, D., and Vance, T. R.: Assessing recent trends in high-latitude Southern Hemisphere surface climate, Nature Climate Change, in press.

Jouzel, J., Vimeux, F., Caillon, N., Delaygue, G., Hoffmann, G., Masson- Delmotte, V., and Parrenin, F.: Magnitude of isotope/temperature scaling for interpritation of central antarctic ice cores, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 1-10, 2003.

Kaspari, S., Mayewski, P. A., Dixon, D. A., Spikes, V. B., Sneed, S. B., Handley, M. J., and Hamilton, G. S.: Climate variability in West Antarctica derived from annual accumulatiuon-rate records from ITASE firn/ice cores, Ann. Glaciol., 39, 585-594, 2004.

Lipenkov, V. Y., Ekaykin, A. A., Barkov, N. I., and Pourchet , M.: On the relation of surface snow density in Antarctica to wind speed (in Russian), Materialy Glyatsiologicheskih Issledovaniy, 85, 148-158, 1998.

Marshall, G. J.: Trends in the Southern Annular Mode from observations and reanalysis, J. Clim., 16, 4134-4143, 2003.

Отформатировано: английский (США)

Отформатировано: Шрифт: Symbol

Отформатировано: надстрочные

Masson-Delmotte, V., Hou, S., Ekaykin, A. A., Jouzel, J., Aristarain, A., Bernardo, R. T., Bromwich, D., Cattani, O., Delmotte, M., Falourd, S., Frezzotti, M., Gallee, H., Genoni, L., Isaksson, E., Landais, A., Helsen, M., Hoffmann, G., Lopez, J., Morgan, V., Motoyama, H., Noone, D., Oerter, H., Petit, J. R., Royer, A., Uemura, R., Schmidt, G. A., Schlosser, E., Simoes, J. C., Steig, E., Stenni, B., Stievenard, M., van den Broeke, M., van de Wal, R., van den Berg, W.-J., Vimeux, F., and White, J. W. C.: A review of Antarctic surface snow isotopic composition: Observations, atmospheric circulation and isotopic modelling, J. Clim., 21, 3359-3387, 2008.

Oerter, H., Wilnelms, F., Jung-Rothenhausler, F., Goktas, F., Miller, H., Graf, W., and Sommer, S.: Accumulation rates in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, as revealed by dielectric-profiling measurements of shallow firn cores, Ann. Glaciol., 30, 27-34, 2000.

Ortega, P., Lehner, F., Swingedouw, D., Masson- Delmotte, V., Raible, C. C., Casado, M., and Yiou, P.: A model-tested North Atlantic Oscillation reconstruction for the past millennium, Nature, 523, 71-77, 10.1038/nature14518, 2015.

Osipov, E. Y., Khodzher, T. V., Golobokova, L. P., Onischuk, N. A., Lipenkov, V. Y., Ekaykin, A. A., Shibaev, Y. A., and Osipova, O. P.: High-resolution 900 year volcanic and climatic record from the Vostok area, East Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 8, 843-851, 2014.

PAGES_2k_network: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, Nature Geoscience, 6, 339-346, 10.1038/ngeo1797, 2013.

Paillard, D., Labeyrie, L., and Yiou, P.: Macintosh program performs time-series analysis, Eos Trans. AGU, 77, 379, 1996.

Rhodes, R. H., Bertler, N. A. N., Baker, J. A., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Sneed, S. B., Morgenstern, U., and Johnsen, S. J.: Little Ice Age climate and oceanic conditions of the Ross Sea, Antarctica from a coastal ice core record, Clim. Past, 8, 1223-1238, 10.5194/cp-8-1223-2012, 2012.

Salamatin, A. N., Ekaykin, A. A., and Lipenkov, V. Y.: Modelling isotopic composition in precipitation in central antarctica, Materialy Glyatsiologicheskih Issledovaniy, 97, 24-34, 2004.

Scarchilli, C., Frezzotti, M., P., G., De Silvestri, L., Agnoletto, L., and Dolci, S.: Extraordinary blowing snow transport events in East Antarctica, Clim. Dyn., 34, 1195-1206, 10.1007/s00382-009-0601-0, 2010.

Schneider, D. P., Steig, E., Van Ommen, T., Dixon, D. A., Mayewski, P. A., Jones, J. M., and Bitz, C. M.: Antarctic temperatures over the past two centuries from ice cores, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, 1-5, 2006.

Sigl, M., Winstrup, M., McConnell, J. R., Welten, K. C., Plunkett, G., Ludlow, F., Buntgen, U., Caffee, M., Chellman, N., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, S., Kostick, C., Maselli, O. J., Mekhaldi, F., Mulvaney, R., Muscheler, R., Pasteris, D. R., Pilcher, J. R., Salzer, M., Schupbach, S., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M., and Woodruff, T. E.: Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruption for the past 2,500 years, Nature, 1-7, 10.1038/nature14565, 2015.

Steig, E., Ding, Q., White, J. W. C., Kuttel, M., Rupper, S. B., Neumann, T. A., Neff, P. D., Gallant, A. J. E., Mayewski, P. A., Taylor, K. C., Hoffmann, G., Dixon, D. A., Schoenemann, S. W., Markle, B. R., Fudge, T. J., Schneider, D. P., Schauer, A. J., Teel, R. P., Vaughn, B. H., Burgener, L., Williams, J., and Korotkikh, E.: Recent climate and ice-sheet changes in West Antarctica compared with the past 2,000 years, Nature Geoscience, 6, 372-375, 2013.

Stenni, B., Scarchilli, C., Masson- Delmotte, V., Schlosser, E., Ciardini, V., Dreossi, G., Grigioni, P., Bonazza, M., Cagnati, A., Karlicek, D., Risi, C., Udisti, R., and Valt, M.: Three-year monitoring of stable isotopes of precipitation at Concordia station, East Antarctica, The Cryosphere ~~Discussions~~, ~~1-30~~10, ~~10.5194/tc-2016-142~~2415-2428, 2016.

Swingedouw, D., Ortega, P., Mignott, J., Guilyardi, E., Masson- Delmotte, V., Butler, P. G., Khodri, M., and Seferian, R.: Bidecadal north Atlantic ocean circulation variability controlled by timing of volcanic eruptions, Nature Communications, 6, 1-12, 10.1038/ncomms7545, 2015.

Thomas, E.R., Bracegirdle, T.J., Turner, J., and Wolff, E.W.: A 308 year record of climate variability in West Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., doi:10.1002/2013GL057782, 2013.

Turner, J., Colwell, S. R., Marshall, G. J., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Carleton, A. M., Jones, P. D., Lagun, V., Reid, P. A., and Iagovkina, S.: The SCAR READER project: Toward a high-quality database of mean Antarctic meteorological observations, J. Clim., 17, 2890-2898, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2890:TSRPTA>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Vladimirova, D. O., and Ekaykin, A. A.: Climatic variability in Davis Sea sector (East Antarctica) for the last 250 years based on geochemical investigations of "105 km" ice core, Probl. Arktiki i Antarktiki, 1, 102-113, 2014.

Table 1. Information on sites where individual time-series were obtained

Site / series	Coordinates		Alt., m above s.l.	Time interval, years AD	Acc. rate, mm w.e.	Sample resolution, cm / Number of samples per year	δD measurements	Accumulation record available	Reference
	Lat., °S	Long., °E							
105 km	67.433	93.383	1407	1757-1987	310	5 / 15	LSCE, mass spectrometry; CERL, laser spectroscopy	Yes	(Vladimirova and Ek 2014)
400 km	69.95	95.617	2777	1254-1987	170	100 / 0.4	LSCE, mass spectrometry	No	this ~~work~~study
VRS 2013 stack (Vostok)	78.467	106.84	3490	1654-2010	21	1-7 / 1-6	LSCE, mass spectrometry; CERL, laser spectroscopy	Yes	(Ekaykin et al., 2014
NVFL-1	77.11	95.072	3775	1711-1944	31	10 / 1	CERL, laser spectroscopy	No	this ~~work~~study
NVFL-3	76.405	102.167	3528	1978-2009	34	10 / 1	CERL, laser spectroscopy	No	this ~~work~~study
PV-10	72.805	79.934	2800	1976-2009	103	2 / 12	CERL, laser	No	this ~~work~~study
200 km	68.25	94.083	1990	1640-1987	271	NA	no	Yes	(Ekaykin et al., 2000

NA = not applicable

Table 2. Correlation matrix between individual surface air temperature records from meteorological stations in the Princess Elisabeth Land.

	Casey	Mirny	Davis	Mowson	Vostok
Casey	1	0.82	0.60	0.53	0.54
Mirny		1	0.86	0.77	0.67
Davis			1	0.86	0.58
Mowson				1	0.62
Vostok					1

All the correlation coefficients are statistically significant with 95 % confidence level.


lake Vostok shore line
sampling sites
-25
-28
-31
-34
-37
-40
-43
-46
-49
-52
-55
-58
Soyuz
Drughnaya-4
Zhongshan
Progress
Davis
Pole of Inaccessibility
LGB59
PV-10
Dome A
Kunlun
Sovetskaya
Ridge B
NVFL-1
NVFL-3
Vostok
Komsomolskaya
Vostok-1
400km
200km
105km
Mirny
Pionerskaya
-55
-50
-45
-40
-35
0 100 200 300 400 500 600 km



Figure 1. The Princess Elisabeth Land sector of East Antarctica. Blue iso-contours display the spatial pattern of surface snow $\delta^{18}O$ (Vladimirova et al., in preparation). The light blue contour shows the shoreline of subglacial Lake Vostok. Yellow dots mark the location of individual records used here. Stars depict the location of former or present research stations.

Отформатировано: Шрифт: Times New Roman, 12 пт, английский (США)



1074 Figure 2. δD records from 6 individual series used in this study.



Figure 3. Normalized and low-pass filtered individual records (with a cut-off for variations on timescales shorter than 27 years)., displayed using the same colors as in Figure 2.

The thick grey line is the stacked record (PEL2016). The dashed grey lines show the less robust marginal parts of the stack.

Vertical dashed lines mark reference horizons that contain the debris of Tambora (1815) and Pinatubo (1991) volcanic eruptions, respectively deposited until 1816 and 1993 in Antarctica.



Figure 4. Climatic variability in the Southern Hemisphere in 1958-2015.

a – Composite temperature anomaly in the Princess Elisabeth Land (based on records from Mirny, Davis and Vostok). The red shading displays ± 1 standard error of mean.

b – Antarctic Oscillation Index from NOAA (solid line), and BAS (dashed line). See text for details.

c – Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation Index.

d – Indian Ocean Dipole Index.

Thick lines are low-pass filtered (with a cut-off for variations on timescales shorter than <27 years).

1097



number
of records
5
4
3
2
a
b
0.5
0
-0.5
-1
-1.5
-2
Temperature anomaly (°C)
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
-0.1
-0.2
-0.3
IOD index
c
d
0.4
0.2
0
-0.2
-0.4
Temp.
anomaly (°C)
0.8
0.4
0
-0.4
-0.8
Normalized
isotopic composition
e
1650
1700
1750
1800
1850
1900
1950
2000
Time (years AD)



Отформатировано: Шрифт: Times New Roman, 12 пт, английский (США)

Figure 5. Antarctic climatic variability over the past 350 years.

a – Number of individual records in the stacked isotopic record;

b – Temperature anomaly relative to 1980-2009, based on Princess Elisabeth Land meteorological records (~~red~~blue) and reconstructed from the stacked isotopic record (PEL2016 – ~~magenta~~red). Shading is ±1 standard error of mean. Dashed lines denote less robust marginal parts of the PEL2016 record.

c – Low-pass filtered values of the IOD index.

d – Antarctic temperature anomaly from (Schneider et al., 2006).

e – Normalized and low-pass filtered stacked isotopic record for East Antarctica (data from (PAGES_2k_network, 2013)).



Figure 6. Normalized (relative to period 1952-1981) and low-pass filtered records of snow accumulation rate at sites “200 km” (purple), “105 km” (magenta) and Vostok (orange).