

#cp-2016-50

Sensitivity of Pliocene climate simulations in MRI-CGCM2.3 to respective boundary conditions

by Youichi Kamae, Kohei Yoshida, and Hiroaki Ueda

General response to reviewers

We appreciate reviewers' careful reading of our manuscript and their helpful suggestions for its improvement. We have carefully considered their comments in order to improve our manuscript. The revised parts are highlighted in blue in the marked-up manuscript (attached below). Major points of the current revision are summarized as follows.

According to reviewer's comment, we incorporate additional discussions on physical processes responsible for the simulated Pliocene climate anomalies (e.g. precipitation, overturning circulation, and heat transport) and roles of individual boundary conditions. By comparing imposed forcings and associated feedbacks (e.g. orography and albedo) and changes in thermodynamic and dynamic atmospheric and oceanic properties (e.g. meridional temperature gradient, overturning circulation) in the individual sensitivity experiments and comparing them with previous modelling studies, possible physical mechanisms can be discussed. Additional discussions facilitate further systematic, process-based evaluations of the Pliocene AMOC, monsoons and atmospheric circulations, that are planned to conduct under the PlioMIP2 framework.

We also clarify experimental setups and diagnosis method used in this study, according to reviewers' comments. We also improve colour schemes, titles and captions of figures. For details, please see response to individual comments listed below.

Reply to comments by the reviewer #1

We thank the reviewer for his/her careful reading of the manuscript. The manuscript has been revised following the review comments (*Italic fonts* are the review comments and blue fonts are item-by-item responses).

Reviewer #1:

This manuscript addresses the pertinent question of what is the sensitivity of the climate to Pliocene boundary conditions, which is an area of research relevant to the scope of Climate of the Past. The title clearly reflects the research study and contents. The paper aims to bridge the gap in understanding of how the climate responds to Pliocene boundary conditions by performing a thorough model analysis using recently revised boundary data. It's a significant contribution to the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project phase 2 (PlioMIP2), where other models also test this sensitivity. The literature review is comprehensive and clearly identifies the current state of the science. The manuscript contents are organized in a logical manner and the novelty of the research (i.e., newly updated boundary conditions) is clearly described in the introduction. The experiment is well designed and sufficient to answer the questions posed in the manuscript. By using the same version of the model and only changing the boundary conditions, it allows for an adequate analysis of the sensitivity of the data on climate. The amount of ensembles and spin up equilibrium time of the model is sufficient for the robustness of the results, and the adherence to the PlioMIP2 protocol allows for an future model intercomparison. The model results are presented with an analysis of the mechanisms driving them, and the conclusions drawn are consistent with the interpretation of the results. While the conclusions are substantial, the research requires additional analysis to better explain the responsible physical mechanisms. However, this is clearly identified in the manuscript and may be sufficiently covered in another manuscript. Furthermore, the use of a higher resolution model or earth system model with dynamic vegetation would be a very useful companion study to further evaluate the interactions and feedbacks in the climate system. Overall, the quality of the paper is good and recommended for publication with minor issues addressed below.

We appreciate your careful reading of our manuscript and your helpful suggestions for its improvement. I'm happy to incorporate all of your suggestions to our manuscript.

1. *The color scheme used in Figure 1 (page 19) to illustrate the prescribed land cover for the modern and Pliocene periods makes it hard to distinguish between certain types. For example, deciduous broadleaf+evergreen conifer (03), tundra (10) and land ice (13) are too similar in color and difficult to decipher. A broader range of color scheme is recommended for this figure.*

2. The color scheme for Figure 2C (page 20) also makes it difficult to see the single grid cell light blue pixels, in particular, in North America and Asia. I recommend either contouring the small lake areas or using a bolder color to enhance them.

We improve colour schemes in Figures 1 and 2c in the revised version of our manuscript for clarity: i.e. we modify colours for land ice (grey) and tundra (aquamarine; Fig. 1), and colour for no-lake regions (dark grey; Fig. 2c).




Figure 1: Prescribed land cover (SiB2 classification) for (a) modern and (b) Pliocene conditions.




Figure 2c: Prescribed lake area fraction over land. Pliocene minus modern.

3. For consistency, I recommend using the same surface air temperature (SAT) units throughout the figures and manuscript. For example, Figure 4 uses C for SAT while Figure 5 uses K.

In our revised manuscript, we use "°C" consistently in main text and Figures 4-6, 11-12 and Tables according to your suggestion. For reference, the revised parts are highlighted in blue in the mark-up manuscript (attached).

4. To better follow the naming convention, I recommend reordering the text on page 4 line 30 to be consistent with the "OVL" acronym (e.g., "orography, vegetation, and lakes").

5. Since "OVL" is used throughout the text, I recommend adding it to the label ("Orog+Veg+Lake (OVL)") for Figures 4C, 4G (page 22), Figure 6C (page 24), Figure 7C (page 25), Figure 8C (page 26), and Figure 9C (page 27).

We modify the order of the words in main text, and use "OVL" consistently in figure labels (Figures 5c, g, 6, 7c, 8c, 9c, 10c). Thank you for your kind suggestions.

The end of reply for #1

Reply to comments by the reviewer #2

We thank the reviewer for his/her careful reading of the manuscript. The manuscript has been revised following the review comments (*Italic fonts* are the review comments and blue fonts are item-by-item responses).

Reviewer #2:

*Based on several experiments performed with the model MRI-CGCM2.3, the authors have analyzed the climate response to the updated boundary conditions of PlioMP2, including the CO₂ concentration, the orography+vegetation+lake (OVL) as a whole, and the ice sheets. They have also compared their results with those obtained during PlioMP1 by the same model and with proxy data. This work is helpful for understanding better the origin of the Pliocene warm climate and the individual contributions of CO₂, OVL and ice sheets. The manuscript is well organized and the introduction and method are well explained. The research topic is quite suitable for publication in *Climate of the Past*. However, this manuscript is lack of depth due to insufficient explanation on mechanisms. I would recommend to reinforce the analysis and discussion on physical mechanisms before publication by taking into account my following comments.*

Thank you for your careful reading and many constructive suggestions. Firstly ice sheet effect is estimated by changing (1) land cover and (2) topography. There are no overlaps between estimated OVL and Ice Sheet effects, so one of the reviewer's concerns (comments #5 and #6) is not true in this case.

According to your comments, we add discussions on physical processes responsible for the derived climate anomalies in Eoi400 (Pliocene) run and sensitivity runs. In the revised version of our manuscript, we clarify physical meaning of “nonlinear” residual term estimated in this study. Responses to individual comments are listed below.

1. The authors have described the impact of OVL and ice sheets on sea surface temperature, sea ice, AMOC and the Hadley circulation, but almost no explanation is given on the physical mechanisms. I would recommend to add explanations on how the changes in OVL and ice sheets cause the changes in these climatic variables.

We agree to the comment. In our original manuscript, we only introduced general characteristics and individual roles of boundary forcings in climate responses to the Pliocene boundary conditions.

In response to the ice sheet reduction, land surface warms up locally (Fig. 5d) due to lower

albedo (Fig. 7d) and lower orography (Fig. 3). The regional warming may result in sea ice reduction and sea surface warming over the surrounding regions (Figs. 5h, 6b, f). Here secondary changes in atmospheric and ocean circulations are generally smaller than the responses to other forcings (Figs. 6d, g, h, 9d, 10d). The change in atmospheric northward heat transport (Fig. 6g) may be related to mid-latitude atmospheric eddy (due to changes orography and meridional temperature gradient in the troposphere), but more detailed analyses are needed to examine quantitatively physical processes contributing to the meridional heat transport.

OVL effect contains variety of forcing-feedback processes: direct influences of altered orography, vegetation, lakes and secondary influences/feedbacks initiated by them. For vegetation, previous studies pointed out that change in vegetation cover can result in local and global climate response through biogeophysical (albedo and evapotranspiration; Davin and de Noblet-Ducoudré 2010; Willeit et al. 2013; Zhang and Jiang 2014) effect (and biogeochemistry effect; but this is not considered in this study because the model does not treat carbon cycle). Generally, large-scale vegetation change over the high latitude has substantial impact on temperature through change in albedo (Fig. 1; Davin and de Noblet-Ducoudré 2010). Decrease in albedo over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude due to northward shift of boreal forest (Fig. 1) can be a trigger for climate feedback (e.g. ice albedo feedback including sea ice reduction; Fig. 6f) and Arctic warming amplification (Fig. 6a), similar to previous studies (e.g. Zhang and Jiang 2014). The resultant meridional warming gradient induced by OVL effect (discussed in Sect. 4) can influence on global atmospheric circulation including strength and position of the mean meridional circulation including Hadley circulation (Figs. 6d, 9c), mid-latitude synoptic eddies, and associated atmospheric heat transport (Fig. 6g; see response to comment #3), and precipitation pattern (Figs. 6c, 8c; see response to comment #4). Change in sea water density flux over the North Atlantic, a driving factor for the AMOC, can be drastically changed in response to OVL forcing via surface latent and sensible heat flux, radiative (longwave and shortwave) flux, and salinity budget (see response to comment #2).

In the revised version of our manuscript, we add explanations and discussions on the derived climate responses to the boundary forcings to the main text. In Sect. 3.2, for example, we add explanations on the Northern Hemisphere high latitude warming.

Page 7 line 19: Over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude, the reduced ice sheets (Figs. 1, 3) and a reduction of surface albedo (Figs. 6e, 7c) due to northward shift of boreal forest (deciduous conifer, tundra and bare soil regions over northern Canada and northeastern Eurasia; Fig. 1) result in regional warming (Fig. 5c, d). Surface snow cover (not shown) also affects partly the land surface albedo. The high latitude SAT is more sensitive to imposed albedo change due to the altered vegetation cover

(Fig. 1) than low latitude (Davin and de Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010), consistent with the substantial Arctic warming found in current study (Fig. 5) and previous studies (Willeit et al., 2013; Zhang and Jiang, 2014).

We also revise the manuscript according to your comments below. Please see responses below for details.

2. The OVL is the major contributor for a stronger AMOC. What is the mechanism?

Change in sea surface density flux can be one of the contributing factors for the change in AMOC (Speer and Tziperman, 1992). Warmer surface air and sea surface water, changes in humidity, cloud cover, and surface wind speed can influence on surface sensible flux, latent heat flux, and longwave and shortwave radiation at sea surface over the North Atlantic. In addition, changes in river runoff, sea ice melt, and precipitation minus evaporation can affect sea surface salinity over this region. Such heat and salinity forcings can result in stronger/weaker AMOC in a perturbed climate (Speer and Tziperman, 1992). More detailed analyses will be conducted and reported in a separated paper, as described in the original manuscript. In revised version of our manuscript, we add possible factors for the AMOC change due to OVL forcing to the main text.

Page 8 line 32: Here change in sea surface density flux over the North Atlantic (Speer and Tziperman, 1992) is one of possible controlling factors for the OVL-induced AMOC change. In response to the prescribed boundary conditions, changes in air and surface water temperature, atmospheric humidity, cloud cover, and surface wind speed can influence on sea surface heat fluxes (sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, and longwave and shortwave radiative flux). In addition, changes in river runoff, sea ice melt, and precipitation minus evaporation can affect sea surface salinity. These heat and salinity fluxes possibly modulate AMOC strength in the Pliocene climate. We plan to address physical processes contributing to the OVL-induced stronger AMOC in a separated paper.

3. Page 8, line 29: how do the ice sheet and OVL enhance northward heat transport?

The anomalous atmospheric northward heat transports in Ice Sheet effect and OVL effect are found over 50N-70N. Here these anomalous transports are not attributed to zonal-mean meridional circulation because these changes are quite small (Fig. 6d). Here the mid-latitude eddy transport may play an important role in this anomalous heat transport. Ulbrich et al. (2009)

summarized physical processes responsible for change in mid-latitude eddy activity in projected future climate. They revealed that changes in meridional temperature gradient in the middle-upper troposphere and near the surface can result in changes in jet stream and the mid-latitude eddies. Li et al. (2015) showed substantial changes in meridional temperature gradient in the troposphere in selected PlioMIP1 models. Actually, change in tropospheric meridional temperature gradient in our simulation is similar to Li et al. (2015). Such changes in meridional temperature gradient (Fig. 6a) can be one of factors for the anomalous meridional heat transport due to the atmosphere. In addition, anomalous orography over Greenland as a part of Ice Sheet effect may also contribute to changes in jet stream and mid-latitude heat transport. We add discussion on the physical processes associated with the enhanced heat transport.

Page 9 line 20: Over 50° N–70° N, OVL and Ice Sheet enhance northward heat transport, contributing to the Arctic warming (70° N–80° N). Here changes in MMC over 50° N–70° N are limited in these experiments (Fig. 6d), implying an important role of mid-latitude eddies. Changes in meridional temperature gradient in the upper troposphere and near the surface (e.g. Li et al., 2015) are possible factors for the anomalous mid-latitude eddy activity (e.g. Ulbrich et al., 2009). OVL effect contributes to an enhanced (a reduced) meridional temperature gradient in the upper troposphere (near the surface; Fig. 6a), similar to results of PlioMIP1 AOGCMs (Figs. 6 and 7 in Li et al., 2015). Such temperature changes imply a possible intensification of mid-latitude eddy activity (e.g. Mizuta, 2012). In addition, orography changes as parts of OVL and Ice Sheet effects (Fig. 3) can also affect mid-latitude atmospheric circulation and associated meridional heat transport.

4. The OVL causes significant change in the tropical precipitation. Any idea about which factor contributes the most, orography, vegetation or lake?

In our opinion, vegetation effect is substantially important for the tropical precipitation anomaly. Willeit et al. (2013) and Zhang and Jiang (2014) showed that change in vegetation cover from pre-industrial to Pliocene condition results in significant change in tropical precipitation. Albedo reduction over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude due to land cover change (e.g. northward shift of boreal forest; Figs. 1, 6e, 7c) contributes to the Arctic warming amplification (Figs. 5c, 6a). Associated feedback processes (e.g. snow and sea ice) amplify the high latitude warming, leading to the substantial change in meridional warming gradient (discussed in Sect. 4). Resultant inter-hemispheric warming asymmetry (larger warming over the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern Hemisphere; found in OVL effect; Fig. 6a) can lead to change in

strength and position of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ)-related precipitation including the increase in tropical North Atlantic-North African precipitation (Fig. 8c; e.g. Zhang and Delworth 2006; Braconnot et al. 2007). Other processes (evaporation change over tropical and subtropical land due to changes in vegetation and lakes, and orography-induced atmospheric circulation changes) may also play roles in the tropical precipitation change. We reinforce discussion on the physical processes in the main text.

From a regional perspective, tropical precipitation changes in the Pliocene run may strongly be related to changes in individual monsoon systems. In the PlioMIP2, systematic investigations of regional monsoon behaviors during the late Pliocene are planned to conduct. Detailed physical processes (e.g. Zhang R. et al. 2013) will be examined in future studies.

Page 7 line 19: Over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude, the reduced ice sheets (Figs. 1, 3) and a reduction of surface albedo (Figs. 6e, 7c) due to northward shift of boreal forest (deciduous conifer, tundra and bare soil regions over northern Canada and northeastern Eurasia; Fig. 1) result in regional warming (Fig. 5c, d). Surface snow cover (not shown) also affects partly the land surface albedo. The high latitude SAT is more sensitive to imposed albedo change due to the altered vegetation cover (Fig. 1) than low latitude (Davin and de Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010), consistent with the substantial Arctic warming found in current study (Fig. 5) and previous studies (Willeit et al., 2013; Zhang and Jiang, 2014).

Page 7 line 32: The anomalous middle and high latitude warming associated with the altered boundary conditions (e.g. darker land surface due to northward shift of boreal forest; Fig. 1) could affect the large-scale precipitation pattern via changing atmospheric circulations (Sect. 3.3). For example, tropical precipitation associated with Intertropical Convergence Zones (ITCZs) is sensitive to inter-hemispheric warming asymmetry (e.g. Braconnot et al., 2007). In the tropical Atlantic, inter-hemispheric warming gradient (warmer in the North Atlantic than the South Atlantic; Fig. 5g) affects the Atlantic and African ITCZ precipitation (Fig. 8c; e.g. Zhang and Delworth, 2006).

Page 8 line 8: The tropical precipitation changes may also be associated with changes in regional land-sea temperature contrast and seasonal monsoon circulations (e.g. R. Zhang et al., 2013).

5. In the ice sheet experiment, how is the ice sheet defined? By changes in albedo and

topography? In the lake experiment, how is the lake defined in the model? These should be explicitly explained in the paper.

6. The effect of ice sheets should include the effect of its topography. In the OVL experiment, the effect of the ice sheet topography seems to be also included. In this case, there should be an overlap of the effect of ice sheet topography in these two experiments. Is it true?

In this study, we estimated the ice sheet effect by comparing results of simulations with and without the ice sheets over the part of Greenland and Antarctic Continent (Figs. 1, 3). Here the anomalous ice sheets were prescribed as anomalous orography and land cover, so the resultant ice sheet effect contains both of the orography and land cover effects. The derived ice sheet effect is not overlapped with OVL effect because “orography effect” in OVL does not include the anomaly in ice sheet orography.

In our original manuscript, details of the prescribed boundary conditions were not sufficiently explained. We revise the explanations on the experimental setups.

Page 4 line 21: We prescribed the Pliocene ice sheets by changing land orography (Fig. 1) and land cover (Fig. 3) over the ice sheets regions.

Page 5 line 2: pre-industrial run but with Pliocene ice sheets (Ei280 run); and pre-industrial run but with Pliocene orography, vegetation, and lakes (OVL) except over the ice sheets regions (hereafter Eo280 run)

The lakes are treated in land surface model as inland water in grid boxes with a drainage basin unconnected to oceans (Yukimoto et al. 2006). The subgrid lake parameterization included in land surface model predicts water budget, but the lake surface temperature is predicted by the heat budget at the water surface, assuming a slab with a thickness of 50m, as described in Sect. 2.1. In the control run, five lakes were modeled as inland waters (Fig. 2a; Yukimoto et al. 2006). In the Pliocene run, additional lake areas are implemented in land surface (Fig. 2b). We add explanations of the treatment of lakes to Sect. 2.1.

Page 3 line 21: Lakes are treated in the land surface model as inland water in grid boxes with a drainage basin unconnected to oceans.

Page 4 line 29: We prescribe the Pliocene lakes (Fig. 2b; Table 2) by adding anomalous areas of lakes (Fig. 2c) to model’s modern lakes (the Caspian Sea, the Aral Sea, Lake Balkhash, Lake Chad and Lake Eyre; Fig. 2a).

7. Page 9, line 19: Please explain what the “nonlinear residual” means in terms of physics.

The nonlinear residual term defined as Eq. 6 in this study means a departure from ‘linear additivity of forcing-response relationships’ (e.g. Shiogama et al. 2013). If external forcing A and B were added to system separately or together, climate response to “A+B” forcing is not necessarily identical to sum of climate responses in A forcing run and B forcing run. In case for discussion in Page 9 line 19, sea ice reduction and surface warming are larger in Sum than All (Fig. 6f). Over the regions with the Arctic sea ice edge, climatological sea ice concentration is limited. Sum of sea ice reductions due to strong forcings A and B (for example, 12% and 8%) can be larger than climatological sea ice concentration (for example, 15%), resulting in nonlinear forcing-sea ice reduction relationship (-20% is larger than -15%) because sea ice concentration is always larger than or equal to 0%. We add explanation on possible physical processes responsible for the nonlinear residual term discussed here.

Page 10 line 9: Note that Sum overestimates the sea ice reduction and surface warming over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude simulated in All (Fig. 6a, b, f). In response to the strong external forcing including CO₂ and OVL, sea ice concentration can be 0 % at the edge of sea ice cover in the control climate (Howell et al., 2015). The limited sea ice concentration in the pre-industrial run is one of the possible reasons for the nonlinear relationship between forcing and sea ice reduction.

8. Page 5, line 10: would there be any difference between with and without the addition of deep ocean temperature to the initial condition?

The anomalous deep ocean temperature imposed in PlioMIP1 run may play some roles, but general ocean warming pattern and AMOC change are generally similar between PlioMIP2 and PlioMIP1 (Figs. 5e, 6h in this paper, and Fig. 8e in Kamae and Ueda 2012), suggesting that the imposed deep ocean temperature as initial condition is not the dominant contributor for the simulated Pliocene climate anomaly, at least after the long-term integrations (500 years). We add a note on influence of the imposed deep ocean temperature as initial condition.

Page 5 line 17: Despite the difference in the initial deep ocean temperature, ocean circulation and SST after the 500-yr integrations are generally similar between the two (see Sects. 3.3, 4, 5).

9. Page 5, line 26: what does the “nonlinear” mean exactly?

The “nonlinear” effect stated here means nonlinear forcing-response relationship. Please see response to comment #7. We add meaning of “nonlinear” effect to Sect. 2.3.

Page 6 line 4: Residual (Eq. 6) indicates a nonlinear effect of combination of the boundary conditions associated with nonlinearity in forcing-response relationship (e.g. Shiogama et al., 2013).

10. Page 5, line 29: does it suggest no interactive effect of the CO₂, OVL and ice sheets?

The interactive effect suggested by Residual term is generally minor to All, but it is not negligible for regional climate anomalies. We add a note to Sect. 6 to clarify that Residual can be found in regional scale.

Page 11 line 15: However, linear additivity does not hold so well for regional climate responses including sea ice reduction over the high latitude oceans.

11. Page 8, line 12: isn't better to change “suggesting” to “resulting from”?

We revise as “resulting from” according to your comment.

12. Fig11: how was the confidence level (medium, high, very high) defined?

The confidence level shown here was derived from Dowsett et al. (2013). In their paper, confidence level of proxy-suggested climate anomaly was defined by chronology, sampling density, sampling quality and performance of quantitative method. Details of confidence scheme used in the PRISM SST reconstruction can be found in Dowsett et al. (2013). We add a note on definition of confidence level to the caption.

Figure 11 caption: Sizes of plots indicate confidence levels of SST estimate based on chronology, sampling density, sampling quality and performance of quantitative method (Dowsett et al., 2013).

13. Page 10: lines 2-3: PlioMP2 is cooler than PlioMP1 over N. Atlantic, so the underestimation of the warming there is actually increased but not reduced as written by the

authors. And why A.Atlantic is cooler in PlioMP2 than PlioMP1?

We guess that this is a reviewer's misunderstanding on the meaning of Fig. 11. SST in PlioMP2 run is warmer than PlioMP1 run (Figs. 6b, 11a), so the underestimation of the warming is reduced (Fig. 11b). Generally PlioMP2 run shows larger warming than PlioMP1 run (Table 3). Both the underestimations of warming over the North and South Atlantic middle and high latitude (Fig. 11a) are reduced in the PlioMP2 run (Fig. 11b). We revise main text in section 5 to avoid confusing.

Page 10 line 27: Figures 11 and 12 compare SST biases found in the PlioMP2 and 1. Generally, both the PlioMP2 and 1 tend to underestimate the mid-to-high-latitude warming suggested by proxy records (blue circles in Fig. 11a; Haywood et al., 2013). However, the large part of underestimation of the mid-to-high-latitude warming is reduced substantially in the PlioMP2 run (green circles in Fig. 11b).

14. Page 10, line 15: the linear additivity of the Pliocene climate simulation is not necessarily obvious at regional scale (see fig6).

We agree to your comment. Global-mean response shows good linear additivity (Table 3), but the linear additivity is limited for the regional climate responses. We add a note to this section.

Page 11 line 15: However, linear additivity does not hold so well for regional climate responses including sea ice reduction over the high latitude oceans.

15. Page 10, line 21: Please comment what are the possible reasons that the model fails to reproduce the extremely warm condition over the Arctic to high-latitude North Atlantic region.

This underestimation of the high latitude North Atlantic warming was also found in PlioMP1 multiple climate models. Haywood et al. (2013) pointed out that almost all the PlioMP1 AOGCMs underestimated this extreme warming. Possible reasons why the model cannot reproduce the extremely warm condition over the high latitude North Atlantic may be associated with (1) AMOC biases in models, (2) sea ice biases in models, and/or (3) uncertainty in SST estimate based on proxy records (Robinson 2009; Haywood et al. 2013). Haywood et al. (2013) noted that this large model/data discord was highly dependent on geochemically-based proxy mean annual temperature estimate and was not derived from faunal based estimates of cold/warm month means. They suggested that we should not rely on this model/data discord too

much until more variety of proxy records is available from more locations in the high latitude North Atlantic and the Arctic. We add discussion on this issue to section 5.

Page 11 line 2: Note that SST bias (8.9–12 °C in 69° N–81° N) over the North Atlantic high latitude (open blue circles in Figs. 11 and 12) is still not reduced in this simulation. This data–model discord was also consistently found in PlioMIP1 multiple climate models (Dowsett et al., 2013). Haywood et al. (2013) noted that this substantial data–model discord is highly dependent on mean annual temperature estimate based on geochemically-based proxy data and is not derived from faunal based estimates of cold/warm month means. They suggested that we should not rely on this data–model discord too much until more variety of proxy records is available from more locations in the high latitude North Atlantic. In addition to the possible issue on the proxy-based estimate, modelled biases in AMOC and/or sea ice can also contribute to the North Atlantic data–model discord.

Additional references:

- Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, Th., Hewitt, C. D., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Loutre, M.-F., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum – Part 2: feedbacks with emphasis on the location of the ITCZ and mid- and high latitudes heat budget, *Clim. Past*, 3, 279–296, doi:10.5194/cp-3-279-2007, 2007.
- Davin, E. L. and de Noblet-Ducoudré, N.: Climatic impact of global-scale deforestation: Radiative versus nonradiative processes, *J. Clim.*, 23, 97–112, 2010.
- Shiogama, H., Stone, D. A., Nagashima, T., Nozawa, T., and Emori, S.: On the linear additivity of climate forcing-response relationships at global and continental scales, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 33, 2542–2550, 2013.
- Speer, K., and Tziperman, E.: Rates of water mass formation in the North Atlantic Ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 22, 93–104, 1992.
- Ulbrich, U., Leckebusch, G. C., and Pinto, J. G.: Extra-tropical cyclones in the present and future climate: A review, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 96, 117–131, 2009.
- Willeit, M., Ganopolski, A., and Feulner, G.: On the effect of orbital forcing on mid-Pliocene climate, vegetation and ice sheets, *Clim. Past*, 9, 1749–1759, 2013.
- Zhang, R., and Jiang, D.: Impact of vegetation feedback on the mid-Pliocene warm climate, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 31, 1407–1416, 2014.

The end of reply for #2

Sensitivity of Pliocene climate simulations in MRI-CGCM2.3 to respective boundary conditions

Youichi Kamae^{1,2}, Kohei Yoshida³, Hiroaki Ueda¹

¹Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8572, Japan

5 ²Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, 92093-0206, USA

³Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, 305-0052, Japan

Correspondence to: Youichi Kamae (kamae.yoichi.fw@u.tsukuba.ac.jp)

Abstract. Accumulations of global proxy data are essential steps for improving reliability of climate model simulations for the Pliocene warming climate. In the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project phase 2 (PlioMIP2), a part project of the
10 [Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project phase 4](#), boundary forcing data have been updated from the PlioMIP phase 1 due to recent advances in understanding of oceanic, terrestrial and cryospheric aspects of the Pliocene paleoenvironment. In this study, sensitivities of Pliocene climate simulations to the newly archived boundary conditions are evaluated by a set of simulations using an atmosphere-ocean coupled general circulation model, MRI-CGCM2.3. The simulated Pliocene climate is warmer than pre-industrial condition for 2.4 °C in global mean, corresponding to 0.6 °C warmer than the
15 PlioMIP1 simulation by the identical climate model. Revised orography, lakes and shrunk ice sheets compared with the PlioMIP1 lead to local and remote influences including snow and sea ice albedo feedback, and poleward heat transport due to the atmosphere and ocean that result in additional warming over middle and high latitudes. The amplified higher-latitude warming is supported qualitatively by the proxy evidences, but is still underestimated quantitatively. Physical processes responsible for the global and regional climate changes should be further addressed in future studies under systematic
20 intermodel and data-model comparison frameworks.

1 Introduction

Atmosphere-ocean coupled general circulation models (AOGCMs) have widely been used for climate projections on decadal to centennial timescales since the late 20th century. Recently, representations of large-scale climate state, its variability, and parameterized processes in the AOGCMs (e.g. cloud and convection) have been improved substantially (e.g. Reichler and
25 Kim, 2008; Klein et al., 2013; Bellenger et al., 2014). A large ensemble of multiple climate models has contributed to addressing robust climate trends in the future projections (e.g. Xie et al., 2015). Model intercomparisons of the past climate changes and data-model comparisons are powerful frameworks for understanding physical processes responsible for climate change and variability and assessing reliability of the climate model projections (e.g. Braconnot et al., 2012; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2014).

Since the 1990s, globally warmed climate during the Pliocene (~5 to 3 Ma) has attracted much attention as a potential analogue for the ongoing global climate change (e.g. Masson-Delmotte et al., 2014). Previous modelling and proxy-based studies revealed that the Pliocene climate can be characterized by substantial global warming (2.7 to 4.0 °C) with anomalous zonal and meridional temperature gradients (Dowsett et al., 1992, 2010; Wara et al., 2005; Fedorov et al., 2013; Haywood et al., 2013, 2016a). Under the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project phase 1 (PlioMIP1; Haywood et al., 2010, 2011), a part project of the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project phase 3 (PMIP3), nine AOGCMs provided results of mid-Pliocene (3.264 to 3.025 Ma) climate simulations. A paleoenvironmental reconstruction project named the Pliocene Research Interpretation and Synoptic Mapping (PRISM) for PlioMIP1 (PRISM3D; Dowsett et al., 2010) provided global boundary condition dataset that is needed for performing the mid-Pliocene climate simulations. Through intercomparisons of multiple model results and data-model comparisons, large-scale climate pattern (Haywood et al., 2013), East Asian monsoon behaviour (R. Zhang et al., 2013), Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC; Z.-S. Zhang et al., 2013), terrestrial climate (Salzmann et al., 2013) and oceanic conditions (Dowsett et al., 2012, 2013) were examined systematically. The PlioMIP1 was the first model intercomparison project comparing past modelled climate prescribed with proxy-based vegetation pattern that is distinct from the present-day condition. Numerous independent proxy data for the Pliocene (Haywood et al., 2016a) suggested predominant warming over the North Atlantic and the Arctic region and wetter climate over land (Salzmann et al., 2008). The climate simulations under the PlioMIP1 tended to underestimate the warming over the Northern Hemisphere middle and high latitudes (Salzmann et al., 2013; Dowsett et al., 2012, 2013) although the latitudinal warming gradient was reproduced qualitatively. Hill et al. (2014) pointed out a robust contribution of surface albedo due to changes in vegetation and ice sheets and ice-albedo feedbacks (sea ice and snow) to the warming amplifications over the polar regions.

Since the PRISM3D/PlioMIP1, newly archived proxy evidences have been integrated as PRISM4 dataset (Dowsett et al., 2016; hereafter D16) that is planned to be used for an ongoing modelling intercomparison project, PlioMIP phase 2 (PlioMIP2; Haywood et al., 2016b; hereafter H16b), a part project of PMIP4 (Kageyama et al., 2016). In the PRISM4/PlioMIP2, boundary conditions for the Pliocene climate simulations including orography and ice sheets have been updated. In addition, global lakes and soil data were newly included in the PRISM4 dataset. Numerous mega-lakes over land associated with the wetter terrestrial climate during the Pliocene were suggested to be important for simulating local and large-scale anomalous climate (Pound et al., 2014).

In the PlioMIP2, dynamical predictions of vegetation and lakes, changes in land and ocean topography, and change in the land-sea mask are recommended for the Pliocene climate simulations. However, the respective roles of the revised boundary conditions in the Pliocene climate simulations have not been addressed sufficiently. A set of sensitivity experiments with different combinations of modern and Pliocene boundary conditions is planned to be performed in the PlioMIP2. The respective roles of the boundary conditions can be evaluated by comparing results of the sensitivity runs. In this study, we conduct PlioMIP2 climate simulations by using an AOGCM, MRI-CGCM2.3, that was also used in the PlioMIP1 (Kamae

and Ueda, 2012; hereafter KU12). The results of the PlioMIP1 run, the PlioMIP2 run, and the PlioMIP2 sensitivity runs with swapped boundary conditions are used to examine respective roles of the updated boundary conditions. This study reports that the revised ice sheets in the high latitudes and global land properties [including vegetation](#) result in an amplified high latitude warming via direct influence, radiative feedback, and atmospheric and oceanic heat transports. The anomalous warming simulated in the PlioMIP2 protocol is more consistent with the proxy evidences than the PlioMIP1 run. Section 2 describes the data and methods including proxy-based boundary conditions and modelling strategy. Section 3 presents general characteristics of simulated Pliocene climate and compares it with the PlioMIP1 results. Section 4 examines the roles of atmospheric and oceanic meridional heat transports in the simulated middle and high latitude warming in the model. Section 5 compares modelled and reconstructed [sea surface temperature \(SST\)](#) and assess SST reproducibility of the model simulation. In Sect. 6, we present a summary and discussion of this study.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Climate model

An AOGCM named MRI-CGCM2.3 (Yukimoto et al., 2006) was used for performing the PlioMIP2 experiments in this study. The model is identical to that used in the PlioMIP1 (KU12). Atmospheric model has a horizontally T42 resolution (~2.8°) and vertically 30 layers (model top is 0.4 hPa). Oceanic component is a Bryan-Cox-type ocean general circulation model with a horizontal resolution of 2.5° longitude and 2.0°–0.5° latitude and 23 layers (the deepest layer is 5000 m). Details of the atmosphere and ocean models can be found in Yukimoto et al. (2006) and KU12. Vegetation, lakes and atmospheric CO₂ concentration are prescribed in the model as boundary conditions (see Sect. 2.2) because the model does not predict vegetation, lakes, and carbon cycle (Table 1). Land scheme is simple biosphere model (SiB2; Sellers et al., 1986; Sato et al., 1989), which predicts soil water and surface heat budget. Parameters for the land scheme depend on 13 types of vegetation category (see Sect. 2.2). [Lakes are treated in the land surface model as inland water in grid boxes with a drainage basin unconnected to oceans](#). The model predicts water budget for lakes, but the lake surface temperature is predicted by the heat budget at the water surface, assuming a slab with a thickness of 50 m (Yukimoto et al., 2006).

Although both the AOGCM and an atmosphere-only general circulation model were used in the PlioMIP1 (Kamae et al., 2011; KU12), we perform only the AOGCM simulations in this study according to the PlioMIP2 protocol (H16b). In KU12, a set of AOGCM simulations with and without flux adjustments (heat, fresh water flux and wind stress) were performed. In the present study, we only integrated the model without any flux adjustments (similar to AOGCM_NFA run in KU12).

2.2 Experimental designs for pre-industrial and Pliocene climate simulations

In addition to two core experiments (pre-industrial and Pliocene), a set of sensitivity experiments (Table 2) is also proposed in the PlioMIP2 (Table 3 in H16b). Alterations of soil and land–sea mask (e.g. the Bering Strait and the Canadian Arctic Archipelago) were recommended in the PlioMIP2. Due to technical difficulties, these alterations were not incorporated into

the current PlioMIP2 simulations. Due to the low resolution and the simple experimental setting (i.e. low computational cost), we can conduct all the set of simulations proposed in the PlioMIP2 (totally 12-type 500-yr long simulations; H16b). We also plan to conduct higher resolution and/or higher complexity PlioMIP2 simulations with a higher-resolution AOGCM and/or an Earth system model (see Sect. 6).

- 5 We can compare results of this study with the PlioMIP1 directly because the experimental setting for the PlioMIP2 pre-industrial run (Tables 1 and 2) is identical to the PlioMIP1 (KU12). In the pre-industrial run, CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O concentrations were set to be 280 ppmv, 760 ppbv and 270 ppbv, respectively. Ozone concentration in each month was derived from climatology in Wang et al. (1995). Orbital parameters were identical to the PlioMIP1 (Table 1). Modern land orography, lakes, and ice sheets of the MRI-CGCM2.3 (KU12; Figs. 1a, 2a) were used in the pre-industrial run. Vegetation pattern was derived from PRISM3D modern map converted into 13 types of SiB2 classification (Table 3 in KU12; Fig. 1a).

To simulate the Pliocene climate, the PRISM4 global paleoenvironmental dataset (D16) including atmospheric trace gases, orography, vegetation covers, ice sheets, and lakes are prescribed in the model. Pliocene orography was updated from the PRISM3D (Sohl et al., 2009) by considering mantle flow (Rowley et al., 2013) and glacial isostatic response of ice sheet loading (Raymo et al., 2011). We added anomalous orography (Pliocene minus modern) to the model's modern orography (Fig. 3) according to the “anomaly method” recommended in the PlioMIP2 (H16b). Direct proxy evidences for the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the Pliocene were not available. The PRISM4 provided Greenland ice sheet data that is confined to high elevations in the East Greenland Mountains suggested by results of Pliocene Land Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project (Dolan et al., 2015; Koenig et al., 2015). According to proxy data for the Antarctic ice sheet (Naish et al., 2009; Pollard and DeConto, 2009), ice-free condition and the identical ice sheet estimate to the PRISM3D were assumed in the West and East Antarctica, respectively (D16; Figs. 1b, 3). The resultant prescribed ice sheets over the Greenland and Antarctica are smaller than that in KU12. [We prescribed the Pliocene ice sheets by changing land orography \(Fig. 1\) and land cover \(Fig. 3\) over the ice sheets regions.](#) Because the model does not predict dynamic vegetation, we prescribe vegetation pattern (Fig. 1b) that is identical to the PRISM3D/PlioMIP1 (Salzmann et al., 2008), according to the recommendation in H16b.

- 25 In addition to vegetation, global lake distribution during the Pliocene was also suggested to be distinct from the present day. Schuster et al. (2001, 2006) and Griffin (2006) suggested the existence of the African Megalakes existed during the Miocene and the Pliocene. Contoux et al. (2013) and Pound et al. (2014) pointed out an important role of the Pliocene lakes in the global climate through local atmosphere-land interaction and remote influences. The PRISM4 provides global lake area data (Pound et al., 2014) for the PlioMIP2 (Fig. 2). We prescribe the Pliocene lakes (Fig. 2b; Table 2) by adding anomalous areas of lakes (Fig. 2c) to model's modern lakes ([the Caspian Sea, the Aral Sea, Lake Balkhash, Lake Chad and Lake Eyre](#); Fig. 2a).

In this paper, the results of six PlioMIP2 experiments (Table 2) are reported: pre-industrial run; Pliocene run; pre-industrial runs but with CO₂ concentration of 400 and 560 ppmv (hereafter E400 and E560 runs); pre-industrial run but with Pliocene ice sheets (Ei280 run); and pre-industrial run but with Pliocene orography, [vegetation, and lakes](#) (OVL) [except over the ice sheets regions](#) (hereafter Eo280 run). CH₄, N₂O, ozone, solar constant, orbital parameters are identical to the pre-industrial run (Table 1). Land orography, lake area, vegetation, land ice, atmospheric CO₂ concentration are altered in the Pliocene run from the pre-industrial run. Combinations of the boundary conditions are changed in the sensitivity runs so that the impacts of the individual components can be evaluated (Table 2; Sect. 2.3). A large spread remains in the assessment of the Pliocene CO₂ concentration (e.g. Raymo et al., 1996; Seki et al., 2010; D16). Although 400 ppmv of CO₂ concentration is prescribed in the Pliocene run, other concentrations are also used in the other sensitivity runs (H16b). In this paper, we examine the results of simulations with CO₂ concentration of 280, 400 and 560 ppmv (Table 2).

The results are also compared with PlioMIP1 run conducted in KU12 (Table 2). Pliocene lakes were set to be identical to the modern. The PRISM3D-based vegetation (Salzmann et al., 2008) was also prescribed in the PlioMIP1 Pliocene run (Fig. 1b). A prescribed CO₂ concentration of 405 ppmv was slightly higher than the PlioMIP2.

The initial condition for the PlioMIP2 runs is identical to the pre-industrial run: 31 December of the PlioMIP1 control run (in NFA_AOGCM) after 500-yr spin up (Fig. 3b in KU12). The model is integrated for another 500 years with swapped boundary conditions and the last 50 years are used for analyses. Note that reconstructed deep ocean temperature was added to the initial condition of the PlioMIP1 Pliocene run (KU12), distinct to the PlioMIP2. [Despite the difference in the initial deep ocean temperature, ocean circulation and SST after the 500-yr integrations are generally similar between the two \(see Sects. 3.3, 4, 5\).](#)

2.3 Respective roles of boundary conditions

In the PlioMIP2, relative contributions of the boundary conditions to climate anomalies (e.g. global mean warming) can be evaluated by comparing the set of sensitivity experiments (H16b). In this study, we use the six PlioMIP2 simulations (Table 2) and evaluate the respective contributions by following Eqs. (1–6):

$$All = [Eoi400] - [E280] , \quad (1)$$

$$CO2 = [E400] - [E280] , \quad (2)$$

$$OVL = [Eo280] - [E280] , \quad (3)$$

$$Ice\ Sheet = [Ei280] - [E280] , \quad (4)$$

$$Sum = CO2 + OVL + Ice\ Sheet , \quad (5)$$

$$Residual = All - Sum , \quad (6)$$

where [] represents the experiment name (Table 2). E280 and Eoi400 indicate the pre-industrial and Pliocene runs, respectively. Difference of results between Eo280 and E280 run corresponds to effect of differences in OVL. Sum of Eqs. (2–4) is used as a reconstruction of Eq. (1). By Eqs. (5, 6), we can compare the simulated climate anomaly between the Pliocene run and the pre-industrial and its reconstruction. *Residual* (Eq. 6) indicates a nonlinear effect of combination of the boundary conditions associated with nonlinearity in forcing-response relationship (e.g. Shiogama et al., 2013). This decomposition method is not identical to that recommended in H16b. While decomposed relative contributions could be dependent on the choice of quantifying methods, *Residual* term shown in this study is generally minor to *All* (see Sect. 3), suggesting an effectiveness of the decomposing method used in this study.

3 Results

3.1 Global mean warming in Pliocene run

First, we compare global mean surface air temperature (SAT) change between the simulations. Figure 4 shows the time evolution of the global mean SAT during the 500-yr model integrations. Compared with the pre-industrial run, identical to the PlioMIP1 control simulation (KU12), all the experiments show higher global mean SAT. The Pliocene run shows a more stable long-term trend (year 70–500) than other sensitivity runs prescribed with the OVL (Eo280) or CO₂ (E400). The doubling CO₂ experiment (E560) shows a long-term warming trend and the resultant warming for the last 50 years accounts for 2.8 °C (Table 3). In the E400 run prescribed with CO₂ concentration of 400 ppmv, global mean warming accounts for 1.7 °C that is the largest contributor to the Pliocene warmth among the boundary conditions (68 %; Table 3), consistent with Willeit et al. (2013). Here *Sum* (2.5 °C) can reconstruct *All* (2.4 °C) quantitatively. Contributions of *Ice Sheet* and *OVL* are 12 and 20 %, respectively. Compared with the PlioMIP1 run (1.8 °C), the PlioMIP2 Pliocene run shows a larger warming (+39 %, 0.7 °C) although the prescribed CO₂ concentration (400 ppmv) is slightly lower (405 ppmv in PlioMIP1). In the next section, we compare spatial patterns of the results and decompose respective contributions of the individual boundary conditions.

3.2 Regional changes in temperature and precipitation

Figure 5 shows spatial distributions of annual mean SAT anomalies averaged over the last 50 years. Similar to the PlioMIP1 run (KU12; Haywood et al., 2013), the Pliocene anomaly exhibits a polar amplification of surface warming (i.e. warming peaks over the high latitudes including Greenland and the Antarctica). While land surface warming is generally larger than the ocean surface warming (in response to CO₂ forcing; Fig. 5b; Manabe et al., 1991; Kamae et al., 2014), regional differences in SAT change (weak warming compared with surrounding areas) are found over southern North America, tropical Africa, Indian subcontinent, and eastern Siberia, similar to the PlioMIP1 (KU12). Zonally averaged SAT change exhibits (1) minimum warming over the Southern Hemisphere middle latitude; (2) moderate warming over the tropics; and (3) warming peaks over the Southern and Northern Hemisphere high latitudes (Fig. 6a). *SST* also exhibits the inter-

hemispheric warming asymmetry (Figs. 5e, 6b; see Sect. 3.3) and warming peak in the Northern Hemisphere mid-to-high-latitude (particularly in the eastern North Pacific and North Atlantic; Figs. 5e, 6b). Here *Sum* of zonal mean SAT, SST and other variables (e.g. precipitation) are similar to *All* (Fig. 6), indicating a limited *Residual* term in the zonal mean (Eq. 6). The effectiveness of reconstruction of *All* by *Sum* suggests that characteristics of the Pliocene climate anomaly can be decomposed into the individual contributions by Eq. (5). Note that *Sum* tends to underestimate (overestimate) the Southern (Northern) Hemisphere middle and high latitude warming, suggesting an importance of nonlinear effects (see Sect. 4).

Compared to the PlioMIP1, the Pliocene run exhibits a larger warming over Antarctica and the Northern Hemisphere middle and high latitudes (Fig. 6a), resulting in the larger increase in global mean SAT (Sect. 3.1). In addition to the less ice sheets over Greenland and West Antarctica, other factors also contribute to the polar amplification of surface warming (Figs. 5, 6a). The decomposition based on the sensitivity runs (Sect. 2.3) indicates that all the boundary conditions contribute to the polar warming (Figs. 5, 6a). Contributions of *OVL* and *CO2* to the zonal mean polar warming are dominant (Figs. 5b, c, 6a). Here *OVL* is the largest contributor to the latitudinal difference in the Northern Hemisphere warming and the inter-hemispheric warming contrast (Fig. 6a). Although spatially smooth *CO2* radiative forcing also leads to the polar amplification (Fig. 6a; e.g. Serreze and Barry, 2011; Hill et al., 2014), *OVL* effect dominates the meridional warming contrast. Note that *CO2* is the largest contributor to the global mean Pliocene warming (Sect. 3.1). In Sect. 5, we assess reproducibility of the SST in the PlioMIP2 and 1 runs by comparing with proxy-based estimate.

Figures 7 and 6e show change in surface albedo and its zonal mean. Increasing albedo over North America middle latitude and eastern Siberia due to change in vegetation (boreal forest in modern but grassland in the Pliocene; Fig. 1; Haywood et al., 2013; Hill et al., 2014), a part of *OVL* effect, contributes to the regional difference in the SAT change (Figs. 5c, 7c). Over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude, the reduced ice sheets (Figs. 1, 3) and a reduction of surface albedo (Figs. 6e, 7c) due to northward shift of boreal forest (deciduous conifer, tundra and bare soil regions over northern Canada and northeastern Eurasia; Fig. 1) result in regional warming (Fig. 5c, d). Surface snow cover (not shown) also affects partly the land surface albedo. The high latitude SAT is more sensitive to imposed albedo change due to the altered vegetation cover (Fig. 1) than low latitude (Davin and de Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010), consistent with the substantial Arctic warming found in current study (Fig. 5) and previous studies (Willeit et al., 2013; Zhang and Jiang, 2014). Decreasing albedo over the high latitude ocean (the Arctic and Antarctic Ocean) corresponds to sea ice reductions (Fig. 6e, f) that are larger than the PlioMIP1 (Fig. 6f; Howell et al., 2016). Sea ice and snow albedo feedback contributes to the differential polar and global-mean warming between the two runs. Over semi-arid and arid land regions, local SAT change corresponds well with precipitation change (Fig. 8; e.g. Kamae et al., 2011). *OVL* leads to increased precipitation over western North America, tropical Africa, and Indian subcontinent (Fig. 8c), resulting in surface cooling (Fig. 5c) via changing surface heat fluxes (not shown).

The precipitation response in the PlioMIP2 run, dominated by *OVL* effect, is generally larger than the PlioMIP1 run (Figs. 6c, 8; Fig. 7g in KU12). The anomalous middle and high latitude warming associated with the altered boundary conditions (e.g. darker land surface due to northward shift of boreal forest; Fig. 1) could affect the large-scale precipitation pattern via

changing atmospheric circulations (Sect. 3.3). For example, tropical precipitation associated with Intertropical Convergence Zones (ITCZs) is sensitive to inter-hemispheric warming asymmetry (e.g. Braconnot et al., 2007). In the tropical Atlantic, inter-hemispheric warming gradient (warmer in the North Atlantic than the South Atlantic; Fig. 5g) affects the Atlantic and African ITCZ precipitation (Fig. 8c; e.g. Zhang and Delworth, 2006). In addition, regional alterations of land surface condition can also affect local precipitation. The expansions of the lakes over tropical Africa and mid-latitude western North America (Fig. 2c) reduce surface sensible heat flux and enhance local hydrological cycle (e.g. surface evaporation and precipitation; Pound et al., 2014). The enhanced precipitation over the semi-arid regions is an important factor for simulating the Pliocene vegetation pattern (Kamae and Ueda, 2011; Contoux et al., 2013; Pound et al., 2014). The tropical precipitation changes may also be associated with changes in regional land–sea temperature contrast and seasonal monsoon circulations (e.g. R. Zhang et al., 2013).

3.3 Meridional overturning circulation

The surface temperature (SAT and SST) shows warming peaks over the middle and high latitudes and the inter-hemispheric warming asymmetry (Figs. 5, 6a, b). The warming peaks in the PlioMIP2 are larger than that the PlioMIP1 (Fig. 6a). Both changes in precipitation (Figs. 6c, 8) and cloud amount (not shown) show inter-hemispheric asymmetries (larger increase in the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern Hemisphere), suggesting a change in large-scale atmospheric circulation (e.g. Kang et al., 2009). Figure 9 shows changes in atmospheric mean meridional circulation (MMC) determined by mass stream function (MSF). The MMC, one of the important factors for the Pliocene climate anomaly (Chandler et al., 1994; Brierley et al., 2009; Brierley and Fedorov, 2010; Kamae et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015), shows a larger change compared with the PlioMIP1 (Fig. 6d). The MMC change is largely characterized as enhanced (weakened) Southern (Northern) Hemisphere Hadley cell, northward shift of the tropical Hadley cells, and enhanced mid-latitude cell over the Northern Hemisphere (Figs. 6d, 9a). The boundary of the two Hadley cells and northern edge of the northern cell (determined by signs of MSF at 500 hPa level; Fig. 6d) shift northward for 7.2° and 2.0°, respectively, and the mid-latitude cell is enhanced for 41 %, largely according to the *OVL* effect (Figs. 6d, 9c). The change in the Hadley circulation is consistent with the PlioMIP1 qualitatively but larger quantitatively, suggesting an anomalous meridional heat transport due to the MMC (see Sect. 4).

The simulated SST anomaly shows the remarkable meridional warming gradient, particularly over the Atlantic (Fig. 5), resulting from a substantial anomaly in the AMOC. Climatological AMOC in the pre-industrial run shown in Fig. 10 (4.2 Sv) is weaker than observations (e.g. Buckley and Marchall, 2016) and other PlioMIP1 models (Z.-S. Zhang et al., 2013). Note that AMOC simulated in MRI-CGCM2.3 shown in Z.-S. Zhang et al. (2013) is a result of simulation with the flux adjustments (KU12). The Pliocene AMOC simulated in the PlioMIP2 (without any flux adjustments) is quite stronger (+15 Sv) than the pre-industrial run, suggesting an intensified northward heat transport due to the Atlantic. The AMOC change dominates in *OVL* (+14.5 Sv; Fig. 10c) and enhancement due to *CO2* is moderate. Here change in sea surface density flux

over the North Atlantic (Speer and Tziperman, 1992) is one of possible controlling factors for the *OVL*-induced AMOC change. In response to the prescribed boundary conditions, changes in air and surface water temperature, atmospheric humidity, cloud cover, and surface wind speed can influence on sea surface heat fluxes (sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, and longwave and shortwave radiative flux). In addition, changes in river runoff, sea ice melt, and precipitation minus evaporation can affect sea surface salinity. These heat and salinity fluxes possibly modulate AMOC strength in the Pliocene climate. We plan to address physical processes contributing to the *OVL*-induced stronger AMOC in a separated paper. In the next section, we discuss possible factors contributing to the substantial higher latitude warming found in the Pliocene run.

4 Mid- and high-latitude warming and meridional heat transport

The PlioMIP2 run shows the larger middle and high latitude warming over the Northern Hemisphere (9°C at 75°N ; Fig. 6a) compared with the PlioMIP1 run. Hill et al. (2014) evaluated the contributions of factors for the polar amplification by using eight PlioMIP1 models. Despite substantial inter-model spreads, strong warming due to reduced surface albedo was robustly found in all the models and relative contribution of meridional heat transport (due to the atmosphere and ocean) was minor. Figure 6g shows anomalous northward heat transport due to the atmosphere. Over the Southern Hemisphere high latitude and tropics to Northern Hemisphere middle latitude, anomalous southward heat transport can be found while the atmospheric heat transport is positive (northward) over the Southern Hemisphere middle latitude (30°S – 55°S). The tropical southward heat transport is largely consistent with the MMC change (i.e. the intensified and weakened Southern and Northern Hemisphere Hadley cells; Figs. 6d, 9). The southward heat transport over the Southern Hemisphere high latitude contributes to the Antarctic amplification in the Pliocene run (6 – 7°C over 70°S – 90°S).

Generally, *OVL* effect dominates and *CO2* and *Ice Sheet* effects are minor to the total change in the atmospheric heat transport. Over 50°N – 70°N , *OVL* and *Ice Sheet* enhance northward heat transport, contributing to the Arctic warming (70°N – 80°N). Here changes in MMC over 50°N – 70°N are limited in these experiments (Fig. 6d), implying an important role of mid-latitude eddies. Changes in meridional temperature gradient in the upper troposphere and near the surface (e.g. Li et al., 2015) are possible factors for the anomalous mid-latitude eddy activity (e.g. Ulbrich et al., 2009). *OVL* effect contributes to an enhanced (a reduced) meridional temperature gradient in the upper troposphere (near the surface; Fig. 6a), similar to results of PlioMIP1 AOGCMs (Figs. 6 and 7 in Li et al., 2015). Such temperature changes imply a possible intensification of mid-latitude eddy activity (e.g. Mizuta, 2012). In addition, orography changes as parts of *OVL* and *Ice Sheet* effects (Fig. 3) can also affect mid-latitude atmospheric circulation and associated meridional heat transport. Note that nonlinear *Residual* term is remarkable in the northward heat transport. The northward heat transport is quite limited in the PlioMIP1 run (Fig. 6g), consistent with the difference in the high latitude warming between the PlioMIP2 and 1 (PlioMIP2 run shows stronger warming than PlioMIP1; Fig. 6a).

The simulated AMOC is much stronger than the pre-industrial run (Sect. 3.3), suggesting a substantial role in the North Atlantic warming during the Pliocene. Figure 6h shows northward heat transport due to the Atlantic Ocean. In contrast to

divergent responses among the PlioMIP1 models (Z.-S. Zhang et al., 2013), northward heat transport is enhanced substantially over the Northern Hemisphere (EQ–60° N). The enhanced heat transport is dominated by the *OVL* effect, consistent with the stronger AMOC found in the *OVL* (Fig. 10c). The stronger AMOC is also consistent with the substantial meridional SST gradient over the Atlantic (Fig. 5e), contributed by *OVL* and *CO2* (Fig. 5g, f). The enhanced mid-to-high-
5 latitude warming is supported qualitatively by proxy-based SST reconstruction (Sect. 5).

The anomalous mid-to-high-latitude warming in the PlioMIP2 run is forced by the altered boundary conditions (Sect. 2.2) and is amplified/dampened by climate feedbacks and anomalous heat transports. As shown above, the impacts of *CO2* forcing and the Greenland ice sheet are *relatively* limited (Figs. 5d, 6a, b), suggesting the importance of *OVL* in the mid-to-high-latitude warming including the North Atlantic and the eastern North Pacific (Fig. 5g). Note that *Sum* overestimates the
10 sea ice reduction and surface warming over the Northern Hemisphere high latitude simulated in *All* (Fig. 6a, b, f). In response to the strong external forcing including *CO2* and *OVL*, sea ice concentration can be 0 % at the edge of sea ice cover in the control climate (Howell et al., 2015). The limited sea ice concentration in the pre-industrial run is one of the possible reasons for the nonlinear relationship between forcing and sea ice reduction. Further analyses of relative contributions and inter-model consistency of cloud and surface albedo, longwave radiation, meridional heat transport due to atmosphere and
15 individual ocean basins by using PlioMIP2 multi models may contribute to improve understanding of the physical mechanisms responsible for the Pliocene polar amplification.

5 Data–model comparison of SST

The Pliocene AMOC is apparently distinct from the pre-industrial run (Fig. 10), resulting in the anomalous northward heat transport due to the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 6h). Here the larger North Atlantic warming (3–7 °C in 30° N–70° N; Fig. 5e) in
20 the PlioMIP2 Pliocene run than the PlioMIP1 implies a better reproducibility of the mid-to-high-latitude SST warming that was robustly underestimated among the PlioMIP1 multi models (Dowsett et al., 2013). Figure 11 shows comparison of simulated SST and PRISM3D proxy-based SST reconstruction during the Pliocene (Dowsett et al., 2009). Note that the PRISM4 SST reconstruction is not updated (Dowsett et al., 2016) since PRISM3D. The SST reconstruction is characterized as extremely high SST in the North Atlantic high latitude, low-to-mid-latitude warming gradient (limited change in the
25 tropics and warming in the middle latitude, respectively), and remarkable warming in mid-latitude coastal areas (off the west coast of North America and South America, and off the east coast of the Eurasian Continent; Dowsett et al., 2009, 2013).

Figures 11 and 12 compare SST biases found in the PlioMIP2 and 1. Generally, both the PlioMIP2 and 1 tend to underestimate the mid-to-high-latitude warming suggested by proxy records (blue circles in Fig. 11a; Haywood et al., 2013). However, the large part of underestimation of the mid-to-high-latitude warming is reduced substantially in the PlioMIP2 run
30 (green circles in Fig. 11b). In contrast to the remarkable underestimation (SST bias is larger than 4 °C; Fig. 12) of the mid-latitude warming (the North Atlantic, off the west coast of North America and South America, and off the east coast of the Eurasian Continent) in the PlioMIP1 (black circles in Figs. 11 and 12), the SST biases are much reduced in the PlioMIP2 run

(Figs. 11b and 12). From a zonal-mean perspective, the larger mid-to-high-latitude warming (Fig. 6a, b) in the PlioMIP2 run is more consistent with the proxy evidences than the PlioMIP1 (Figs. 11b, 12). Note that SST bias (8.9–12 °C in 69° N–81° N) over the North Atlantic high latitude (open blue circles in Figs. 11 and 12) is still not reduced in this simulation. This data–model discord was also consistently found in PlioMIP1 multiple climate models (Dowsett et al., 2013). Haywood et al. (2013) noted that this substantial data–model discord is highly dependent on mean annual temperature estimate based on geochemically-based proxy data and is not derived from faunal based estimates of cold/warm month means. They suggested that we should not rely on this data–model discord too much until more variety of proxy records is available from more locations in the high latitude North Atlantic. In addition to the possible issue on the proxy-based estimate, modelled biases in AMOC and/or sea ice can also contribute to the North Atlantic data–model discord.

6 Summary and discussion

The PlioMIP2 simulations are conducted by using MRI-CGCM2.3 and prescribing the updated Pliocene paleoenvironmental dataset, called PRISM4. The Pliocene climate simulation with the identical model but with slightly revised boundary conditions from the PlioMIP1 results in the remarkable global-mean warming with the anomalous mid-to-high-latitude warming. The sensitivity experiments with swapped boundary conditions can largely reconstruct the modelled Pliocene climate anomalies, suggesting the linear additivity of the Pliocene climate simulation. However, linear additivity does not hold so well for regional climate responses including sea ice reduction over the high latitude oceans. The anomalous Northern Hemisphere higher-latitude warming can be understood as sum of direct response to the external forcing and associated climate feedbacks. The prescribed external forcing including CO₂, reduced ice sheets, and shortwave absorption due to the Arctic boreal forest contribute substantially to the higher-latitude warming. In addition, the anomalous northward heat transport associated with the large-scale atmospheric circulations and intensified AMOC, and snow and sea ice albedo feedback are also essential factors. The resultant anomalous warming over the mid-latitude ocean is more consistent with the proxy data than the PlioMIP1 simulation. However, the extremely warm condition over the Arctic to high-latitude North Atlantic region is not reproduced in this model.

The relative contributions to the polar amplification diverged substantially among multi models except those of surface albedo and CO₂ (Hill et al., 2014). In the PlioMIP1, the respective roles of the atmospheric and oceanic heat transports in the latitudinal warming gradient were not evaluated sufficiently. The intensified southern Hadley cell and a northward shift of the tropical cells can be confirmed in most of the PlioMIP1 models (Sun et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015). Both the PlioMIP1 multi models and the MRI-CGCM2.3 PlioMIP2 run show the predominant latitudinal contrast of surface warming over the Northern Hemisphere and the meridionally asymmetric change in the Hadley cells. However, the anomalous Hadley circulation transports heat southward, indicating that the change in the Hadley circulation is not a factor but can be understood as a result of the change in atmospheric meridional warming gradient (Li et al., 2015). Further analyses of the surface processes over land and ocean and three-dimensional atmospheric and oceanic processes (e.g. oceanic heat transport,

atmospheric heat transport due to mean circulations, stationary eddies, transient eddies, feedback associated with ice albedo, water vapour, cloud, and lapse rate; e.g. Serreze and Barry, 2011; Pithan and Mauritsen, 2014; Yoshimori et al., 2014) are needed to evaluate the respective contributions to the polar amplified climate in the Pliocene.

The simulated enhancement of the AMOC contributes to the North Atlantic warming in the Pliocene run. Z.-S. Zhang et al.

5 (2013) revealed that none of the PlioMIP1 models simulated the substantial enhancement of the AMOC implied by the proxy records (e.g. Raymo et al., 1996; Robinson, 2009). This is inconsistent with the current study because Z.-S. Zhang et al. (2013) introduced the results of flux-adjusted version of the MRI-CGCM2.3 model run (KU12). The MRI-CGCM2.3 without any flux adjustments simulates the much enhanced AMOC both in the PlioMIP1 and 2 settings (Fig. 6h). The current study points out the importance of *OVZ* effect to the enhanced AMOC, but does not identify physical processes
10 contributing to the drastic change. We plan to clarify the physical mechanisms by comparing spatial and vertical distribution of salinity, heat and fresh water budget at sea surface, and its role in the AMOC strengths in the Pliocene run. Results of such analyses will be presented in a separated paper. Recent studies suggested that oceanic gateways (e.g. the Bering Strait; Hu et al., 2015) and bathymetry potentially contribute to past warming/cooling climate anomalies (e.g. Motoi et al., 2005; Robinson et al., 2011; Brierley and Fedorov, 2016). Sensitivity of simulated Pliocene climate to the PRISM4-based
15 reconstructions of land–sea mask and bathymetry (D16) should be further evaluated in multi-model frameworks.

Assessment of regional climate properties (e.g. the Asian monsoon; R. Zhang et al., 2013) in the PlioMIP2 results is one of the remaining issues. Detailed data–model comparison of the oceanic and terrestrial climate should also be conducted to evaluate systematic biases in the PlioMIP2 model ensemble. The model experiment presented in the current study did not implement changes in the land–sea mask, soil, dynamic vegetation, and dynamic lakes. Implementation of the proxy-based
20 soil properties as a boundary condition potentially affects the simulated Pliocene climate via changing surface and atmospheric energy and water budget (Pound et al., 2014). Low resolution models are not suitable for simulating the regional atmospheric circulation and hydrological cycle associated with land orography (e.g. Xie et al., 2006). We plan to conduct more complex PlioMIP2 simulations that are more consistent with the proxy-based reconstructions by incorporating all the requested boundary conditions to an Earth system model or a high resolution AOGCM. Further complex and fine resolution
25 modelling, multiple model intercomparison, and data–model comparisons could advance understanding of the factors for the Pliocene warming climate.

Acknowledgements

[We thank anonymous reviewers for giving constructive comments.](#) The authors acknowledge PRISM4 and PRISM3D project members for archiving and providing global paleoenvironmental datasets for the Pliocene climate model simulations.

30 We also thank A. M. Haywood and A. M. Dolan for coordinating the model intercomparison project, PlioMIP2.

References

- Bellenger, H., Guilyardi, E., Leloup, J., Lengaigne, M., and Vialard, J.: ENSO representation in climate models: from CMIP3 to CMIP5, *Clim. Dyn.*, 42, 1999–2018, 2014.
- Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, Th., Hewitt, C. D., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Loutre, M.-F., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum – Part 2: feedbacks with emphasis on the location of the ITCZ and mid- and high latitudes heat budget, *Clim. Past*, 3, 279–296, doi:10.5194/cp-3-279-2007, 2007.
- Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data, *Nature Clim. Change*, 2, 417–424, 2012.
- Brierley, C. M. and Fedorov, A. V.: Relative importance of meridional and zonal sea surface temperature gradients for the onset of the ice ages and Pliocene-Pleistocene climate evolution, *Paleoceanography*, 25, PA2214, 2010.
- Brierley, C. M. and Fedorov, A. V.: Comparing the impacts of Miocene–Pliocene changes in inter-ocean gateways on climate: Central American Seaway, Bering Strait, and Indonesia, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 444, 116–130, 2016.
- Brierley, C. M., Fedorov, A. V., Lui, Z., Herbert, T., Lawrence, K., and LaRiviere, J. P.: Greatly expanded tropical warm pool and weakened Hadley circulation in the early Pliocene, *Science*, 323, 1714–1718, 2009.
- Buckley, M. W. and Marshall, J.: Observations, inferences, and mechanisms of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation: A review, *Rev. Geophys.*, 54, 5–63, 2016.
- Chandler, M. A., Rind, D., and Thompson, R. S.: Joint investigations of the middle Pliocene climate II: GISS GCM Northern Hemisphere results, *Global Planet. Change*, 9, 197–219, 1994.
- Contoux, C., Jost, A., Ramstein, G., Sepulchre, P., Krinner, G., and Schuster, M.: Megalake Chad impact on climate and vegetation during the late Pliocene and the mid-Holocene, *Clim. Past*, 9, 1417–1430, 2013.
- Davin, E. L. and de Noblet-Ducoudré, N.: Climatic impact of global-scale deforestation: Radiative versus nonradiative processes, *J. Clim.*, 23, 97–112, 2010.
- Dolan, A. M., Hunter, S. J., Hill, D. J., Haywood, A. M., Koenig, S. J., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler, M. A., Contoux, C., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D. J., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N. A., Sohl, L., Stepanek, C., Ueda, H., Yan, Q., and Zhang, Z.: Using results from the PlioMIP ensemble to investigate the Greenland Ice Sheet during the mid-Pliocene warm period, *Clim. Past*, 11, 403–424, 2015.
- Dowsett, H. J., Cronin, T. M., Poore, R. Z., Thompson, R. S., Whatley, R. C. and Wood, A. M.: Micropaleontological evidence for increased meridional heat transport in the North Atlantic Ocean during the Pliocene, *Science*, 258, 1133–1135, 1992.
- Dowsett, H., Robinson, M., and Foley, K.: Pliocene three-dimensional global ocean temperature reconstruction, *Clim. Past*, 5, 769–783, 2009.

- Dowsett, H. J., Robinson, M. M., Haywood, A. M., Salzmann, U., Hill, D., Sohl, L., Chandler, M., Williams, M., Foley, K. and Stoll, D. K.: The PRISM3D paleoenvironmental reconstruction, *Stratigraphy*, 7, 123–139, 2010.
- Dowsett, H. J., Robinson, M. M., Haywood, A. M., Hill, D. J., Dolan, A. M., Stoll, D. K., Chan, W.-L., Abe-Ouchi, A., Chandler, M. A., and Rosenbloom, N. A.: Assessing confidence in Pliocene sea surface temperatures to evaluate predictive models, *Nature Clim. Change*, 2, 365–371, 2012.
- Dowsett, H. J., Foley, K. M., Stoll, D. K., Chandler, M. A., Sohl, L. E., Bentsen, M., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Bragg, F. J., Chan, W.-L., Contoux, C., Dolan, A. M., Haywood, A. M., Jonas, J. A., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D. J., Nisancioglu, K. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ramstein, G., Riesselman, C. R., Robinson, M. M., Rosenbloom, N. A., Salzmann, U., Stepanek, C., Strother, S. L., Ueda, H., Yan, Q., and Zhang, Z.: Sea surface temperature of the mid-Piacenzian Ocean: A data-model comparison, *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 2013, 2013.
- Dowsett, H. J., Dolan, A., Rowley, D., Pound, M., Salzmann, U., Robinson, M., Chandler, M., Foley, K., and Haywood, A.: The PRISM4 (mid-Piacenzian) palaeoenvironmental reconstruction, *Clim. Past Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/cp-2016-33, 2016.
- Fedorov, A. V., Brierley, C. M., Lawrence, K. T., Liu, Z., Dekens, P. S., and Ravelo, A. C.: Patterns and mechanisms of early Pliocene warmth, *Nature*, 496, 43–49, 2013.
- Griffin, D. L.: The late Neogene Sahabi rivers of the Sahara and their climatic and environmental implications for the Chad Basin, *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.*, 163, 905–921, 2006.
- Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., Otto-Bliesner, B., Chandler, M. A., Dolan, A. M., Hill, D. J., Lunt, D. J., Robinson, M. M., Rosenbloom, N., Salzmann, U., and Sohl, L. E.: Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP): experimental design and boundary conditions (Experiment 1), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 227–242, 2010.
- Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., Robinson, M. M., Stoll, D. K., Dolan, A. M., Lunt, D. J., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Chandler, M. A.: Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP): experimental design and boundary conditions (Experiment 2), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4, 571–577, 2011.
- Haywood, A. M., Hill, D. J., Dolan, A. M., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler, M. A., Contoux, C., Dowsett, H. J., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D. J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Pickering, S. J., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N. A., Salzmann, U., Sohl, L., Stepanek, C., Ueda, H., Yan, Q., and Zhang, Z.: Large-scale features of Pliocene climate: results from the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project, *Clim. Past*, 9, 191–209, 2013.
- Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., and Dolan, A. M.: Integrating geological archives and climate models for the mid-Pliocene warm period, *Nature Comm.*, 7, 10646, 2016a.
- Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., Dolan, A. M., Rowley, D., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., Chandler, M. A., Hunter, S. J., Lunt, D. J., Pound, M., and Salzmann, U.: The Pliocene Model Intercomparison (PlioMIP) Phase 2: scientific objectives and experimental design, *Clim. Past*, 12, 663–675, 2016b.
- Hill, D. J., Haywood, A. M., Lunt, D. J., Hunter, S. J., Bragg, F. J., Contoux, C., Stepanek, C., Sohl, L., Rosenbloom, N. A., Chan, W.-L., Kamae, Y., Zhang, Z., Abe-Ouchi, A., Chandler, M. A., Jost, A., Lohmann, G., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Ramstein,

- G., and Ueda, H.: Evaluating the dominant components of warming in Pliocene climate simulations, *Clim. Past*, 10, 79–90, 2014.
- Howell, F. W., Haywood, A. M., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler, M. A., Contoux, C., Kamae, Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Rosenbloom, N. A., Stepanek, C., and Zhang Z.: Arctic sea ice simulation in the PlioMIP ensemble, *Clim. Past*, 12, 749–767, 2016.
- Hu, A., Meehl, G. A., Han, W., Otto-Bliesner, B., Abe-Ouchi, A., and Rosenbloom, N.: Effects of the Bering Strait closure on AMOC and global climate under different background climates, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 132, 174–196, 2015.
- Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Haywood, A. M., Jungclauss, J., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., Brierley, C., Crusifix, M., Dolan, A., Fernandez-Donado, L., Fischer, H., Hopcroft, P. O., Ivanovic, R. F., Lambert, F., Lunt, D. J., Mahowald, N. M., Peltier, W. R., Phipps, S. J., Roche, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Tarasov, L., Valdes, P. J., Zhang, Q., and Zhou, T.: PMIP4-CMIP6: the contribution of the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project to CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-106, 2016.
- Kamae, Y. and Ueda, H.: Evaluation of simulated climate in lower latitude regions during the mid-Pliocene warm period using paleovegetation data, *SOLA*, 7, 177–180, doi:10.2151/sola.2011-045, 2011.
- Kamae, Y. and Ueda, H.: Mid-Pliocene global climate simulation with MRI-CGCM2.3: set-up and initial results of PlioMIP Experiments 1 and 2, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 793–808, 2012.
- Kamae, Y., Ueda, H., and Kitoh, A.: Hadley and Walker circulations in the mid-Pliocene warm period simulated by an atmospheric general circulation model, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan*, 89, 475–493, 2011.
- Kamae, Y., Watanabe, M., Kimoto, M., and Shiogama, H.: Summertime land-sea thermal contrast and atmospheric circulation over East Asia in a warming climate–Part II: Importance of CO₂-induced continental warming, *Clim. Dyn.*, 43, 2569–2583, 2014.
- Kang, S. M., Frierson, D. M. W., and Held, I. M.: The tropical response to extratropical thermal forcing in an idealized GCM: the importance of radiative feedbacks and convective parameterization, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 66, 2812–2827, 2009.
- Klein, S. A., Zhang, Y., Zelinka, M. D., Pincus, R., Boyle, J., and Gleckler, P. J.: Are climate model simulations of clouds improving? An evaluation using the ISCCP simulator, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 1329–1342, 2013.
- Koenig, S. J., Dolan, A. M., de Boer, B., Stone, E. J., Hill, D. J., DeConto, R. M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Lunt, D. J., Pollard, D., Quiquet, A., Saito, F., Savage, J., and van de Wal, R.: Ice sheet model dependency of the simulated Greenland Ice Sheet in the mid-Pliocene, *Clim. Past*, 11, 369–381, 2015.
- Lisiecki, L. E. and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records, *Paleoceanography*, 20, PA1003, 2005.
- Li, X., Jiang, D., Zhang, Z., Zhang, R., Tian, Z., and Qing, Y.: Mid-Pliocene westerlies from PlioMIP simulations, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 32, 909–923, 2015.
- Manabe, S., Stouffer, R. J., Spelman, M. J., and Bryan, K.: Transient responses of a coupled ocean-atmosphere model to gradual changes of atmospheric CO₂. Part I: annual mean response, *J. Clim.*, 4, 785–818, 1991.

- Masson-Delmotte, V., Schulz, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Beer, J., Ganopolski, A., González Rouco, J. F., Jansen, E., Lambeck, K., Luterbacher, J., Naish, T., Osborn, T., Otto-Bliesner, B., Quinn, T., Ramesh, R., Rojas, M., Shao, X., and Timmermann, A.: Information from Paleoclimate Archives. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., et al. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 383–464, 2014.
- 5 Mizuta, R.: Intensification of extratropical cyclones associated with the polar jet change in the CMIP5 global warming projections, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L19707, doi:10.1029/2012GL053032, 2012.
- Motoi, T., Chan, W.-L., Minobe, S., and Sumata, H.: North Pacific halocline and cold climate induced by Panamanian Gateway closure in a coupled ocean-atmosphere GCM, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L10618, doi:10.1029/2005GL022844, 2005.
- 10 Naish, T., Powell, R., Levy, R., Wilson, G., Scherer, R., Talarico, F., Krissek, L., Niessen, F., Pompilio, M., and Wilson, T.: Obliquity-paced Pliocene West Antarctic ice sheet oscillations, *Nature*, **458**, 322–328, 2009.
- Pithan, F. and Mauritsen, T.: Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary climate models, *Nature Geosci.*, **7**, 181–184, 2014.
- Pollard, D. and DeConto, R. M.: Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse through the past five million years, *Nature*, **458**, 329–332, 2009.
- 15 Pound, M. J., Tindall, J., Pickering, S. J., Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., and Salzmann, U.: Late Pliocene lakes and soils: a global data set for the analysis of climate feedbacks in a warmer world, *Clim. Past*, **10**, 167–180, 2014.
- Raymo, M. E., Grant, B., Horowitz, M., and Rau, G. H.: Mid-Pliocene warmth: Stronger greenhouse and stronger conveyor, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, **27**, 313–326, 1996.
- 20 Raymo, M. E., Mitrovica, J. X., O’Leary, M. J., DeConto, R. M., and Hearty, P. J.: Departures from eustasy in Pliocene sea level records, *Nature Geosci.*, **4**, 328–332, 2011.
- Reichler, T. and Kim, J.: How well do coupled models simulate today’s climate? *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **89**, 303–311, 2008.
- Robinson, M. M.: New quantitative evidence of extreme warmth in the Pliocene Arctic, *Stratigraphy*, **6**, 265–275, 2009.
- 25 Robinson, M. M., Valdes, P. J., Haywood, A. M., Dowsett, H. J., Hill, D. J., and Jones, S. M.: Bathymetric controls on Pliocene North Atlantic and Arctic sea surface temperature and deepwater production, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol.*, **309**, 92–97, 2011.
- Rowley, D. B., Forte, A. M., Moucha, R., Mitrovica, J. X., Simmons, N. A., and Grand, S. P.: Dynamic topography change of the eastern United States since 3 million years ago, *Science*, **340**, 1560–1563, 2013.
- 30 Salzmann, U., Haywood, A. M., Lunt, D., Valdes, P., and Hill, D.: A new global biome reconstruction and data-model comparison for the middle Pliocene, *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.*, **17**, 432–447, 2008.
- Salzmann, U., Dolan, A. M., Haywood, A. M., Chan, W.-L., Voss, J., Hill, D. J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., Bragg, F. J., Chandler, M. A., Contoux, C., Dowsett, H. J., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D. J., Pickering, S. J., Pound, M.

- J., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N. A., Sohl, L., Stepanek, C., Ueda, H., and Zhang, Z.: Challenges in quantifying Pliocene terrestrial warming revealed by data-model discord, *Nature Clim. Change*, 3, 969–974, 2013.
- Sato, N., Sellers, P. J., Randall, D. A., Schneider, E. K., Shukla, J., Kinter, J. L., Hou, Y.-Y., and Albertazzi, E.: Effects of implementing the simple biosphere model in a general circulation model, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 46, 2757–2782, 1989.
- 5 Schuster, M., Düringer, P., Ghienne, J.-F., Bernard, A., Brunet, M., Vignaud, P., and Mackaye, H. T.: The Holocene Lake MegaChad: extension, dynamic and palaeoenvironmental implications since upper Miocene, 11th European Union of Geoscience Meeting, Strasbourg, 2001.
- Schuster, M., Düringer, P., Ghienne, J.-F., Vignaud, P., Mackaye, H. T., Likius, A., and Brunet, M.: The age of the Sahara desert, *Science*, 311, 821–822, 2006.
- 10 Seki, O., Foster, G. L., Schmidt, D. N., Mackensen, A., Kawamura, K., and Pancost, R. D.: Alkenone and boron-based Pliocene pCO₂ records, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 292, 201–211, 2010.
- Sellers, P. J., Mintz, Y., Sud, Y. C., and Dalcher, A.: A simple biosphere model (SiB) for use within general circulation models, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 43, 505–531, 1986.
- Serreze, M. C. and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, *Global Planet. Change*, 15 77, 85–96, 2011.
- Shiogama, H., Stone, D. A., Nagashima, T., Nozawa, T., and Emori, S.: On the linear additivity of climate forcing-response relationships at global and continental scales, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 33, 2542–2550, 2013.
- Sohl, L. E., Chandler, M. A., Schmunk, R. B., Mankoff, K., Jonas, J. A., Foley, K. M., and Dowsett, H. J.: PRISM3/GISS topographic reconstruction: U. S. Geological Survey Data, Series 419, 6 pp., 2009.
- 20 Speer, K., and Tziperman, E.: Rates of water mass formation in the North Atlantic Ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 22, 93–104, 1992.
- Sun, Y., Ramstein, G., Contoux, C., and Zhou, T.: A comparative study of large-scale atmospheric circulation in the context of a future scenario (RCP4.5) and past warmth (mid-Pliocene), *Clim. Past*, 9, 1613–1627, 2013.
- Ulbrich, U., Leckebusch, G. C., and Pinto, J. G.: Extra-tropical cyclones in the present and future climate: A review, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 96, 117–131, 2009.
- 25 Wang, W.-C., Ling, X.-Z., Dudek, M. P., Pollard D., and Thompson, S. L.: Atmospheric ozone as a climate gas, *Atmos. Res.*, 37, 247–256, 1995.
- Wara, M., Ravelo, A. C., and Delaney, M. L.: Permanent El Niño conditions during the Pliocene warm period, *Science*, 309, 758–761, 2005.
- 30 Willeit, M., Ganopolski, A., and Feulner, G.: On the effect of orbital forcing on mid-Pliocene climate, vegetation and ice sheets, *Clim. Past*, 9, 1749–1759, 2013.
- Xie, S.-P., Xu, H., Saji, N. H., Wang, Y., and Liu, W. T.: Role of narrow mountains in large-scale organization of Asian monsoon convection, *J. Clim.*, 19, 3420–3429, 2006.

- Xie, S.-P., Deser, C., Vecchi, G. A., Collins, M., Delworth, T. L., Hall, A., Hawkins, E., Johnson, N. C., Cassou, C., Giannini, A., and Watanabe, M.: Towards predictive understanding of regional climate change, *Nature Clim. Change*, 5, 921–930, 2015.
- Yoshimori, M., Watanabe, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Shiogama, H., and Ogura, T.: Relative contribution of feedback processes to Arctic amplification of temperature change in MIROC GCM. *Clim. Dyn.*, 42, 1613–1630, 2014.
- Yukimoto, S., Noda, A., Kitoh, A., Hosaka, M., Yoshimura, H., Uchiyama, T., Shibata, K., Arakawa, O., and Kusunoki, S.: Present-day and climate sensitivity in the meteorological research institute coupled GCM version 2.3 (MRI-CGCM2.3), *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan*, 84, 333–363, 2006.
- Zhang, R. and Delworth, T. L.: Impact of Atlantic multidecadal oscillations on India/Sahel rainfall and Atlantic hurricanes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L17712, doi:10.1029/2006GL026267, 2006.
- Zhang, R., and Jiang, D.: Impact of vegetation feedback on the mid-Pliocene warm climate, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 31, 1407–1416, 2014.
- Zhang, R., Yan, Q., Zhang, Z. S., Jiang, D., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Haywood, A. M., Hill, D. J., Dolan, A. M., Stepanek, C., Lohmann, G., Contoux, C., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler, M. A., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N. A., Sohl, L., and Ueda, H.: Mid-Pliocene East Asian monsoon climate simulated in the PlioMIP, *Clim. Past*, 9, 2085–2099, 2013.
- Zhang, Z.-S., Nisancioglu, K. H., Chandler, M. A., Haywood, A. M., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Ramstein, G., Stepanek, C., Abe-Ouchi, A., Chan, W.-L., Bragg, F. J., Contoux, C., Dolan, A. M., Hill, D. J., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D. J., Rosenbloom, N. A., Sohl, L. E., and Ueda, H.: Mid-pliocene Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation not unlike modern, *Clim. Past*, 9, 1495–1504, 2013.

Table 1: Summary of model and experimental setting.

Model	MRI-CGCM2.3
Paleogeography	Standard
Dynamic vegetation	No
Carbon cycle	No
Dynamical lake	No
CH ₄	760 ppbv
N ₂ O	270 ppbv
Ozone	Wang et al. (1995)
Solar constant	1365 W m ⁻²
Eccentricity	0.016724
Obliquity	23.446°
Perihelion	102.04°
Integration length	500 years

Table 2: Details of six PlioMIP2 experiments examined in this study. PlioMIP1 Pliocene run represents AOGCM_NFA run in Kamae and Ueda (2012). Soil and land-sea mask are identical among all the experiments.

Experiments	Orography, lakes	Vegetation	Ice sheet	CO ₂ (ppmv)
E280 (Pre-industrial)	Modern	Modern	Modern	280
E400	Modern	Modern	Modern	400
E560	Modern	Modern	Modern	560
Ei280	Modern	Modern	Pliocene	280
Eo280	Pliocene	Pliocene	Modern	280
Eoi400 (Pliocene)	Pliocene	Pliocene	Pliocene	400
PlioMIP1 Pliocene	PlioMIP1 orography, modern lake	Pliocene	PlioMIP1	405

Table 3: Anomalies in global-mean surface air temperature (ΔSAT ; °C) in the PlioMIP2 runs relative to E280. The anomalies are calculated by averages for the last 50-yr of the individual runs.

Experiments	Global-mean ΔSAT (°C)
E400	1.7
E560	2.8
Ei280	0.3
Eo280	0.5
Eoi400	2.4
PlioMIP1	1.8




Figure 1: Prescribed land cover (SiB2 classification) for (a) modern and (b) Pliocene conditions.




Figure 2: Prescribed lake area fraction over land. (a) Modern, (b) Pliocene, and (c) Pliocene minus modern.




Figure 3: Prescribed anomaly in land orography (m) for Pliocene relative to modern.

5




Figure 4: Time evolution of annual-mean global-mean surface air temperature (SAT; °C) in each run.





Figure 5: (a) Anomaly in SAT ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) in Pliocene run relative to pre-industrial run (hereafter *All*). (b) E400 run minus pre-industrial run (*CO2*), (c) Eo280 run minus pre-industrial run (*OVL*), and (d) Ei280 run minus pre-industrial run (*Ice Sheet*), respectively. The anomalies are calculated by averages for the last 50-yr of the individual runs. (e–h) Similar to (a–d), but for sea surface temperature (SST; $^{\circ}\text{C}$). Intervals of grey contours are 1.5°C .

5




Figure 6: Zonal-mean anomalies in (a) SAT ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) SST ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (c) precipitation (mm day^{-1}), (d) mass stream function of mean meridional circulation at 500 hPa level ($10^{10} \text{ kg s}^{-1}$), (e) surface albedo, (f) sea ice concentration (%), (g) northward heat transport due to atmosphere (PW) and (h) the Atlantic (PW). Black, yellow, green, and blue lines represent *All*, *CO2*, *OVL*, and *Ice Sheet*, respectively. Grey line represents *Sum*. Dashed red lines represent results of AOGCM_NFA run conducted in the identical model in PlioMIP1 (Kamae and Ueda, 2012). Dotted grey line in (g) represents climatology in pre-industrial run multiplied by 0.5.




Figure 7: Similar to Fig. 5, but for surface albedo.




Figure 8: Similar to Fig. 5, but for precipitation (mm day^{-1}).




Figure 9: Anomalies in mass stream function of mean meridional circulation (10^9 kg s^{-1}). (a) *All* (shading). Contours represent climatological mass stream function in pre-industrial run ($\pm 10, 40, 70 \text{ } 10^9 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$). Solid and dashed contours represent positive and negative anomalies, respectively. (b) *CO2*, (c) *OVL*, and (d) *Ice Sheet*, respectively.




Figure 10: Anomalies in Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC; shading; Sv). Contours represent climatological overturning circulation in pre-industrial run ($\pm 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ Sv). (a) *All*, (b) *CO2*, (c) *OVL*, and (d) *Ice Sheet*, respectively.




Figure 11: (a) SST bias (°C) in PlioMIP2 Pliocene run compared with PRISM3D proxy-based SST reconstruction (Dowsett et al., 2009). Coloured circles and triangles represent cool and warm biases, respectively. Sizes of plots indicate confidence levels of SST estimate **based on chronology, sampling density, sampling quality and performance of quantitative method** (Dowsett et al., 2013). Thick black and blue open circles indicate proxy sites in which estimated SST anomalies are large (between 4.0 and 8.9 °C) and extremely large (> 8.9 °C), respectively. (b) Comparison of SST biases in Pliocene runs between PlioMIP2 and 1. Coloured plots indicate differences in absolute biases between the two.




Figure 12: Scatter diagram of proxy-based SST reconstruction (°C) and simulated SST (°C). Red and blue circles represent Pliocene runs in PlioMIP2 and 1, respectively. Thick black and blue open circles are identical to Fig. 11. Dashed grey line represents one-by-one line.