

1 **Extreme flood events reconstruction spanning the last century in the El Bibane lagoon**  
2 **(Southeast of Tunisia): a multi-proxy approach**

3 **A. Affouri<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Dezileau<sup>b</sup> and N. Kallel<sup>a</sup>**

4 a : Laboratoire Georessources, Matériaux, Environnements et changements globaux,  
5 LR13ES23 (GEOGLOB), Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP1171, Sfax 3000, Université de  
6 Sfax, Tunisie.

7 b : Geosciences Montpellier, CNRS/INSU, UMR 5243, Université Montpellier, Montpellier,  
8 France.

9 *Corresponding authors:* [aidaemna@yahoo.fr](mailto:aidaemna@yahoo.fr) (A. Affouri) and [dezileau@gm.univ-  
montp2.fr](mailto:dezileau@gm.univ-<br/>10 montp2.fr) (L. Dezileau)

11 **Abstract**

12 Climate models project that rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations will increase  
13 the frequency and the severity of some extreme weather events. The flood events represent a  
14 major risk for populations and infrastructures settled on coastal lowlands. Recent studies of  
15 lagoon sediments have enhanced our knowledge on extreme hydrological events such as  
16 paleo-storms and on their relation with climate change over the last millennium. However few  
17 studies have been undertaken to reconstruct past flood events from lagoon sediments. Here,  
18 the past flood activity was investigated using a multi-proxy approach combining  
19 sedimentological and geochemical analysis of surfaces sediments from the Southeast of  
20 Tunisia catchment in order to trace the origin of sediment deposits in the El Bibane lagoon.  
21 Three sediment sources were identified: marine, aeolian, and fluvial. When applying this  
22 multi-proxy approach on the core BL12-10, recovered from the El Bibane lagoon, we can see  
23 that finer material, high content of the clay and silt, and high content of the elemental ratios  
24 (Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca) characterize the sedimentological signature of the paleoflood levels  
25 identified in the lagoonal sequence. For the last century which is the period covered by the

1 BL12-10 short core, three paleo-flood events were identified. The age of these flood events  
2 have been determined by  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  chronology and give age of AD 1995  $\pm$  6, AD 1970  
3  $\pm$  9 and AD 1945  $\pm$  9. These results show a good temporal correlation with historical flood  
4 events recorded in the Southern of Tunisia in the last century (A.D 1932, A.D 1969, A.D  
5 1979 and A.D 1995). Our finding suggests that reconstruction of the history of the  
6 hydrological extreme events during the upper Holocene is possible in this location, by the use  
7 of the sedimentary archives.

8 **Keywords:** El Bibane Lagoon; watershed basin; surface sediments; geochemistry; grain size;  
9 paleo-floods, upper Holocene, Southeast Tunisia.

## 10 **1. Introduction**

11 The Mediterranean region has experienced numerous extreme coastal events, such as flood  
12 events which caused casualties and economic damages (Lionello et al., 2006). However, the  
13 meteorological instrumental records are limited to only a few decades, especially in Southern  
14 Mediterranean countries. Geological data offer a way to reconstruct the historical records of  
15 intense flood events. Deciphering records of extreme precipitation and damaging floods  
16 preserved in geologic archives enables society to understand and plan for floods in the future  
17 (Parris et al., 2009). The importance of studying trees, river and lake sediments has already  
18 been shown for reconstructing extreme flooding events (Baker, 1989; Ely et al., 1993; Brown  
19 et al., 2000; Benito et al., 2003; Wolfe et al., 2006; Moreno et al., 2008; Wilhelm et al., 2012;  
20 St. George and Nielsen, 2003; Gilli et al., 2013). Few studies have been undertaken to  
21 reconstruct past flood events from lagoon sediments (Raji, 2014). Most of the studies were  
22 interested to flooding associated with both hurricanes and tsunamis where overwash deposits  
23 are preserved within back-barrier lagoons and salt ponds can provide a mean for documenting  
24 previous flooding activity (Liu & Fearn, 1993; Donnelly and Woodruff, 2007; Sabatier et al.,  
25 2008; Dezileau et al., 2011, 2016; Raji et al., 2014, Degeai et al., 2015). Heavy rain flooding

1 events recorded within these lagoon environments are still poorly documented. Moreover,  
2 reconstruction of past flood events from sedimentary archives has been poorly studied in  
3 **Southern** Tunisia. Zielhofer et al. (2004) have used fluvial archives to reconstruct past fluvial  
4 activity in the northern part of Tunisia. In **this** study we tried to reveal the importance of  
5 lagoonal archives to reconstruct past flood activities under a semi-arid environment in  
6 southern part of Tunisia, **studying the** paleo-floods from high resolution geochemical and  
7 sedimentological analyses. The first aim of this study was to identify the different sediment  
8 sources and to retrace the marine, the fluvial and the aeolian contributions to the  
9 sedimentation in the El Bibane Lagoon. **The second aim was to reconstruct flood events from**  
10 **the lagoonal archives during the last century.** To reach these objectives, we **undertook** the  
11 calibration of the sedimentological and geochemical proxy data with historical flood records.

## 12 **2. Study site: El Bibane Lagoon and its watershed**

13 Morphologically, southern Tunisia known as the Tunisian platform includes two  
14 distinguished morpho-tectonic domains (Fig. 1) namely: The Djefara (**Inner domain**) and the  
15 Dahar (**Outer domain**). The Djefara extends over all the coastal plain from Gabes  
16 (Southeastern Tunisia) to the Libyan borders. It is limited to the west by the Matmata and the  
17 Dahar mountains and to the east by the Gulf of Gabes and the Mediterranean Sea. The Dahar  
18 belongs to the Saharan platform domain is constituted by successions sequences ranging in  
19 age from the Late Permian to the Late Cretaceous (**Fig.1B**). The lithostratigraphic successions  
20 could be summarized as following: The Early–Middle Triassic sequence in the Dahar plateau  
21 is mainly constituted by continental sandstone, conglomerate and clay; whereas the Late  
22 Triassic outcrops exhibit shallow marine carbonate (Busson, 1967). The Jurassic series are  
23 represented by a thick Liassic evaporitic sequence, Dogger marine carbonate and late  
24 Jurassic–Neocomian mixed facies with continental predominance (Bouaziz et al., 2002). The  
25 Cretaceous series **represents** a general **succession** from neritic, lagoonal and continental facies

1 (Mejri et al., 2006). The Late Cretaceous is characterized by thick shallow marine carbonates-  
2 marl sequences and covered by sand dunes of the Eastern Saharan Erg.

3 The Mio-Pliocene series represent the substratum of the coastal plain of Djeffara. Jedoui  
4 et al. (1998) subdivided these series into two principal facies: (1) the red coloured clays rich  
5 in gypsum and (2) the sands which locally associated with conglomerates and grey clays. The  
6 Pleistocene marine deposits of the Southeast Tunisian coastal zone assigned to the  
7 “Tyrrhenian” (under marine isotopic stage 5e: last interglacial) overly unconformably the  
8 Mio-Pliocene. These deposits form a ridge parallel to the actual coast. They show the  
9 superposition of two units described by Jedoui et al. (2002) as the lower “quartz-rich unit”  
10 and the upper “carbonate unit” with *Strombus bubonius*.

11 The study area is focused on the El Bibane Lagoon and its watershed (El Bibane Lagoon:  
12 33° 15' 01"N-11° 15' 41"E; Fig. 1). This lagoon which has an elongated elliptic form (33 x10  
13 km) and a major WNW-ESE axis covers an area of about 230 Km<sup>2</sup>. It has a maximum water  
14 depth of 6m in the middle part of the basin (Guélorget et al., 1982; Medhioub, 1984). The  
15 Eastern periphery of the EBL is partially separated from the Mediterranean Sea (Gulf of  
16 Gabes) by two peninsulas namely El Gharbi (western) and Ech Chargui (eastern), each of  
17 about twelve kilometres long (Medhioub, 1979). These two peninsulas, called slob, are cut at  
18 their mid-part by nine small islets and channels: the zone of connection with the  
19 Mediterranean waters (Medhioub & Perthuisot, 1981). The two slob are represented by  
20 emerged Tyrrhenian aeolian littoral dunes and carbonate sand beach (Jedoui, 2000; Jedoui et  
21 al., 2002). The El Bibane Lagoon has a microtidal regime where tidal amplitude varies from  
22 0.8 to 1.5 m (Davaud and Septfontaine, 1995; Sammari et al., 2006). The intertidal flats are  
23 flooded and exposed daily at regular intervals during the periodically rising and retreating  
24 tide. Supratidal flats are flooded at irregular intervals during spring tides or strong onshore  
25 winds (Bouougri & Porada, 2012). The El Bibane lagoon is relatively unaffected by human

1 activities (Pilkey, 1989; Ounalli, 2001) where it is only exploited by traditional fisheries  
2 (Guélorget et al., 1982).

### 3 **3. Climate and hydrology**

4 The southeastern Tunisia region is characterized by a pre-Saharan and arid to semi-arid  
5 climate. The hot season extends beyond the summer (Amari 1984; Ferchichi, 1996; Hamza,  
6 2003) and the number of sunny days may reach 64.4%. The rainfall is low with an annual  
7 average that does not exceed 200 mm (Hamza, 2003). Furthermore, rainfall is very  
8 fluctuating with high inter-annual variability and intensity. Most of the rainfall is  
9 concentrated within 30 days/year (Genin and Sghaier, 2003) leading to high fluctuations in  
10 water discharge. The highest precipitation occurs mainly in October to March while in the  
11 summer months there are drought conditions.

12 The annual precipitations of Medenine and Tataouine stations during the last century were  
13 obtained from the Tunisian General Administration of Water Resources (DGRE, 2010, Fig.2).  
14 Five major enhanced precipitation events were recorded from these two stations (i.e. A.D  
15 1932, A.D 1969, A.D 1979, A.D 1984 and A.D 1995). These events have induced large flood  
16 events in the Fessi River watershed (Poncet, 1970; Bonvallot, 1979; Oueslati, 1999; Boujarra  
17 and Kttita 2009; Fehri, 2014).

### 18 **4. Materials and Methods**

#### 19 **4.1. Materials**

20 Eighteen surface sediment samples were collected from the watershed (Jerba, Zarzis,  
21 Medenine, Tataouine and Ben Guerdane localities) in order to assess the origin of the material  
22 transported into lagoon (Fig. 3). The location of all sampling stations was recorded by GPS  
23 (GPSmap 60, Garmin). The main potential sediment sources were sampled in order to  
24 characterize their sedimentological and chemical signatures as follow:

- 25 - three samples from the beach area (S1, S2 and S3) representing the marine source,

- 1 - ten samples (S7 to S16) from Fessi River catchment representing the fluvial/river  
2 sources,  
3 - two dune samples (S17 and S18) representing the eolian component.  
4 - three surface samples (S4 to S6) from El Bibane lagoon have been selected to  
5 represent the present-day sedimentation. S6 represents the surface sediment sample of  
6 a lagoon sediment core (BL12-10).

7 Moreover, to reconstruct the recent flood events occurred in the studied area, a short  
8 sediment core (BL12-10, 40 cm length; Latitude: 33°14'58.7"; Longitude: 11°10'3.7" Fig.3)  
9 was recovered from the El Bibane Lagoon (EBL) by a hand corer 75mm diameter PVC tube.

## 10 **4.2. Analytical methods**

### 11 **4.2.1. Sedimentological and geochemical analysis**

12 The BL12-10 core was first split, photographed and logged in detail. Elemental  
13 geochemical analyses by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry were undertaken  
14 with a hand-held Niton XL3t. Measurements were realized on the watershed surface samples  
15 and each 2 cm along the BL12-10 core. BL12-10 core and surface samples had been covered  
16 with a 4µm thin Ultralene film to avoid contamination of the XRF measurement unit and the  
17 desiccation of the sediment (Richter et al., 2006). The elemental analyses from XRF  
18 measurement were performed in mining type ModCF prolene mode. These data show directly  
19 concentrations in ppm or percentage values. This is a semi-quantitative measurement.  
20 International powder standards (NIST2702 and NIST2781) were used to assess the analytical  
21 error and accuracy of measurement, which are lower than 5% for Ti, Cr, Fe, Zn, Pb, between  
22 5 and 15% for Ca, Mn, As, Rb, Sr, and between ca. 15 and 25% for K and Co.

23 Laser grain-size analyses were achieved with a Beckmann-Coulter LS13320 Particle  
24 Size Analyser (Geosciences Montpellier). Grain-size analyses were performed on surface  
25 samples and the BL12-10 sequence with an average interval of 1 cm. Each sample was

1 primary sieved at 1 cm, suspended in deionised water and gently shaken to achieve  
2 disaggregation. Ultrasound was used to avoid particles flocculation of sediment in the fluid  
3 module of the granulometer. For each sample, a small homogeneous amount of sediment was  
4 mixed in deionized water then sieved at 1.5 mm diameter before pouring in the Fluid Module  
5 of the Particle Sizer until to obtain an optimal obscuration rate between 7 and 12% in the  
6 Fraunhofer optical cell. The time of background and sample measurement was set to 90 s and  
7 sonication was applied during the measurement of the sample in order to improve the  
8 dispersion of fine particles in the fluid. Each sample was measured twice and the good  
9 repeatability of measurement was verified according to the statistics from the international  
10 standard ISO 13320-1.

11 GRADISTAT program version 4.0 (Blott, 2000) was used for grain size statistical  
12 analysis. The following sample statistics are calculated using the Method of Moments in  
13 Microsoft Visual Basic programming language: mean, mode(s), sorting (standard deviation),  
14 skewness and kurtosis. Grain size parameters are calculated arithmetically, geometrically (in  
15 microns) and logarithmically (using the phi scale) (Krumbein and Pettijohn, 1938). Linear  
16 interpolation is also used to calculate statistical parameters by the Folk and Ward (1957)  
17 graphical method and derive physical descriptions (such as “very coarse sand” and  
18 “moderately sorted”).

19 Finally, the percentage of the granulometric classes  $<2\mu\text{m}$ ,  $2-63\mu\text{m}$  and  $63-2000\mu\text{m}$ , which  
20 stand for clay, silt and sand fractions, respectively, were calculated.

#### 21 **4.2.2. BL12-10 core dating**

22 Dating of sedimentary layers was carried out using  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  methods on a centennial  
23 timescale. The  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$  activities analyses were performed on the fraction  $< 150\mu\text{m}$   
24 by gamma spectrometry using a CANBERRA Broad Energy Ge (BEGe) detector  
25 (CANBERRA BEGe 3825). The sediment was then finely crushed after drying, and

1 transferred into small tubes (diameter 14 mm), and stored for more than 3 weeks to ensure  
2 equilibrium between  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ . Generally, counting times of 24 to 48 h were required to  
3 reach a statistical error of less than 10% for  $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$  in the deepest samples and for the 1963  
4  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  peak. Activities of  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  were determined by integrating the area of the 46.5-keV photo-  
5 peak.  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  activities were determined from the average of values derived from the 186.2-keV  
6 peak of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and the peaks of its progeny in secular equilibrium with  $^{214}\text{Pb}$  (295 and 352  
7 keV) and  $^{214}\text{Bi}$  (609 keV). In each sample, the ( $^{210}\text{Pb}$  unsupported) $_{\text{ex}}$  activities were calculated  
8 by subtracting the ( $^{226}\text{Ra}$  supported) activity from the total ( $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ) activity. We then used the  
9 Constant Flux/Constant Sedimentation (CFCS) model and the decrease in  $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$  to calculate  
10 the sedimentation rate (Goldberg, 1963). The uncertainty of the sedimentation rate obtained  
11 by this method was derived from the standard error of the linear regression of the CFCS  
12 model.

13  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  was studied on the core BL12- 10 in order to assess sediment accumulation rates and  
14 chronology of the first 30 centimetres of the core.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 30.1$  yr) is an anthropogenic  
15 radionuclide. It entered the environment in response to atmospheric nuclear tests from 1954 to  
16 1980 AD that induced global fallouts (the first year of atmospheric releases was 1953 AD,  
17 whereas the maximum atmospheric production is reached in 1963 AD.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  depth profiles  
18 have been extensively used in various environments to assess sediment accumulation rates  
19 (Nittrouer et al., 1984; He and Walling, 1996; Radakovitch et al., 1999; Frignani et al., 2004).

#### 20 **4.2.3 Statistical analyses**

21 Statistical methods were applied to complete and refine the analysis. Principal  
22 Component Analysis (PCA) is widely used statistical techniques in environmental  
23 geochemistry. This multivariate approaches is used to reduce the large number of variable that  
24 result from XRF analysis. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to elements in  
25 order to distinguish the different sediment sources of surface sediments and link them to the



1 geochemical processes or proprieties. In the present work, the dataset contains 18 samples,  
2 each of which includes concentration of 8 elements (Ca, Sr, Fe, K, Al, Ti, Si and Zr). Data are  
3 presented in the form of elemental concentration (8 variables). In this study, a statistical  
4 analysis was performed using the STATITCF (1987) which is based on variables and it is  
5 suitable for identifying the associations of variables with a set of observations. A  
6 representation quality of the parameters (positions in the factorial plane) was then performed.

## 7 **5. Results**

### 8 **5.1. Surface sediments**

#### 9 **5.1.1. Sediment description: grain size and morphology**

10 Grain size analysis and binocular observation of the surface sediment samples have permitted  
11 to characterize these three groups of sediments as follow, depending on the environmental  
12 setting: Marine, Fluvial and Aeolian sources (Fig. 4 and 5). The first group encompasses  
13 sediment samples (S1, S2 and S3) collected along the coastal zone from Jerba to Zarzis  
14 beaches and the lido of El Bibane Lagoon. In this marine area, surface sediments are  
15 composed of a mixture of coarse sub-rounded quartz grains, mollusc shells and foraminifera  
16 (Fig. 4). The grain size analysis (Table 1) of samples S1 and S2 show unimodal distributions  
17 in 169 $\mu$ m and 203 $\mu$ m, respectively indicating moderately sorted fine sand sediments (Folk,  
18 1954; Folk and Ward, 1957; fig. 5). The sample S3 is muddy sand namely very coarse silty to  
19 coarse sand sediment with unimodal distribution in 518 $\mu$ m.

20 The second group of samples (S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 and S16) came from  
21 the El Bibane delta and the Fessi River. It is assigned as the fluvial source. Binocular  
22 observations of the samples reveal reddish-brown heterogeneous particles composed mainly  
23 of shiny angular to sub angular quartz grains. Some grains display rust colour with iron oxide  
24 (Fig. 4). Figure 5 displays that the fluvial source has a bi to multimodal distribution with two  
25 or three modes. In order to obtain the best resolution in the identification of the fluvial source,

1 we choose to use the sediment samples which were collected only along the River Fessi: S9,  
2 S10, S12 and S13. These surface sediment samples show a decrease in the mean grain size  
3 from upstream to downstream of the River Fessi watershed (Fig .6). The decrease in the mean  
4 grain size could be explained by a strong change of the topographic slope around Tataouine.  
5 Here, the coarser material is deposited and the finer material is transported further by the  
6 river. These finer sediments are deposited in the low plain of the river and in the El Bibane  
7 lagoon. Therefore, we suggest that S9 and S10 (collected between Tataouine and the lagoon)  
8 characterize the fluvial component in the lagoon. The grain size distribution for S9 is  
9 unimodal with a mean grain size around 96  $\mu\text{m}$  indicating a moderately sorted muddy sand.  
10 The corresponding size range very coarse silty/very fine sand. Sample S10 is fine silt with  
11 trimodal distribution in 7 $\mu\text{m}$ , 26 $\mu\text{m}$  and 73 $\mu\text{m}$ , and poorly sorted mud sediment type. These  
12 characteristics will serve to identify the fluvial source into the lagoon.

13 The third group consists of two samples (S17 and S18) recovered in the Aeolian sand  
14 dunes of southern Tunisia. They are composed of homogenous dark yellow sand with angular  
15 grains; some of them are coated by iron oxide (Fig. 4). Unimodal distribution in 116 $\mu\text{m}$   
16 (Table 1) characterizes the aeolian samples S17 and S18. These samples are well (S18) to  
17 very well sorted (S17) and correspond to very fine sand. The characteristics of this group will  
18 serve to identify the aeolian sand dune source.

19 The El Bibane Lagoon surface sediments samples S4, S5 and S6 were characterized by  
20 multimodal grain size distribution (Table 1, Fig. 5). The grain size distribution of sample S4  
21 shows very poorly sorted sandy mud with trimodal distribution at 154 $\mu\text{m}$ , 31 $\mu\text{m}$  and 96 $\mu\text{m}$ ,  
22 which indicates a very fine sand/very coarse silt. The sample S5 is unimodal, with a mode in  
23 116 $\mu\text{m}$ . It is moderately sorted very coarse silty/fine sand sediment with a muddy sand texture  
24 (Folk, 1954; Folk and Ward, 1957). The sample S6 is very coarse silty/very fine sand  
25 sediment, with a bimodal distribution in 106 $\mu\text{m}$  and 429 $\mu\text{m}$ , poorly sorted muddy sand.

### 1 **5.1.2. Distribution of major and trace elements**

2 The spatial distribution of major and trace elements in surface sediments collected in the  
3 El Bibane lagoon and in all the area mainly along the Fessi River are displayed in figure 7.

4 The iron (Fe) shows its highest percentages in the Fessi River samples (0.53-1.52%).  
5 Lower values characterise the aeolian dunes (0.38-0.4%) whereas this element is totally  
6 absent in marines sediments (Table 2). This same distribution pattern is also observed for Ti,  
7 K and Al. The highest contents of these elements in the Fessi River samples contrast with the  
8 lowest ones retrieved in the marine surface sediment. Aeolian dunes are characterised by  
9 intermediate values. These four elements will thus be used as indicators of terrigenous input  
10 of material to the lagoon.

11 Calcium (Ca) and Strontium (Sr) in the sediment are usually associated to the carbonate  
12 fraction, which can be either of allochthonous or autochthonous origin. In the sediments,  
13 carbonates are mainly of biogenic origin. In fact, due to its compatible ionic radius, Sr can  
14 replace Ca in calcite, but remains however as trace element (Fig.7). Nevertheless, both  
15 elements show the same distribution pattern. Marine surface sediments are associated with the  
16 highest values (Ca 14, 7%; Sr 1548 ppm) whereas the lowest values and thus the lowest  
17 calcite contents are retrieved in dune samples (Ca 0.8%; Sr 52 ppm). Intermediate  
18 concentrations are associated with the Fessi River catchment (Ca 7%; Sr 150 ppm) (Table  
19 2).

20 Silicon (Si) and Zircon (Zr) follow similar spatial distribution pattern (Fig. 7). Higher  
21 content of these elements are observed in the River catchment samples (Si 20 %; Zr 300  
22 ppm) and in the aeolian dune samples (Si 33%; Zr 400 ppm), whereas marine sediments  
23 show generally lower contents (Si 10%; Zr 41 ppm) (Table 2).

### 24 **5.1.3. Principal component analysis (PCA)**

1 Application of PCA varimax rotation has permitted to identify two components that  
2 explained 83% of the total variance (Fig. 8). Factor 1 account for 64.46% of total variance.  
3 This Factor is characterized by high positive loadings for Fe, Ti, K, and Al. On the other  
4 hand, Zr and Si display a moderate positive loading and are included in factor 1. Factor 2  
5 accounts for 17.73% of the total variance (Fig. 8). It shows positive loading for Ca, Sr, Fe and  
6 K, whereas Ti, Al, Zr and Si have negative loadings.

## 7 **5.2 Core BL12-10**

### 8 **5.2.1 Core description and grain size analysis**

9 The sediment sequence from El Bibane lagoon presented in this study come from the  
10 core BL12-10 recovered in the nearest part of the delta of Fessi River in May 2012 (Fig. 3).  
11 The first 30 cm of the core are made of coarse-grained layers of siliciclastic sand and shell  
12 fragments inter-bedded with organic rich dark grey fine grained sediment (mud) of clay and  
13 silt. These coarse layers are interbedded with three mud layers from 6 to 10 cm, 14 to 18 cm  
14 and 26 to 30 cm core depth (Fig. 9). The thickest fine grained layers are typically composed  
15 of clay and silt sediments. The core BL12-10 is dominated by the bimodal and trimodal grain  
16 size distributions. These distributions were labeled as very coarse silty to very fine sand,  
17 poorly to very poorly sorted, fine skewed with leptokurtic distribution (Table 3).

18 Our results display that these three mud layers preserved in the core are also characterized by  
19 high Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca elemental ratios (Fig. 12).

### 20 **5.2.2. $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ dating**

21 The measured  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  values in the uppermost 30 cm of the BL12-10 core range from  
22 14.5 to 0.1 mBq /g (Table 4). In general, the down core distribution of  $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$  values follows a  
23 relatively exponential decrease with depth and the “Constant flux: Constant Supply” (CF:CS)  
24 sedimentation model was applied. The calculated sedimentation rate (SR) is about 0.48 cm/  
25 year. The down core  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity profile (Fig. 10) shows a maximum at 18 cm depth (Table

1 4). We attributed this maximum to the period of maximum radionuclide fallout in the  
2 Northern Hemisphere associated with the peak of atomic weapons testing in 1963. The  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ -  
3 derived SR (0.37 cm/ year) is lower than that of the  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  (Fig. 10). The difference between  
4 the two methods could be explained by a change of the accumulation rate between the  
5 beginning and the last part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

## 6 **6. Discussion**

### 7 **6. 1. Surface sediment grain size**

8 The grain size classifications of surface sediments from the watershed and around the  
9 El Bibane Lagoon have permitted to discriminate the main three sediment sources (Fig. 5).  
10 Aeolian sand dune source samples show homogeneous grain size particles of quartz grains as  
11 revealed by their unimodal distribution and binocular observations. Alternatively, the fluvial  
12 transported material source is relatively heterogeneous in grain size. It is likely to have a  
13 mixture of clays, silt and quartz grains of fluvial and aeolian particles which were eroded and  
14 transported from the watershed by flood and/or sand storm. On the other hand, the marine  
15 source samples from the beach and the lido localities were predominately composed of  
16 quartz grains and shell fragments.

17 The El Bibane Lagoon samples S4 and S5 show obviously a mixture between the  
18 different modal distributions with at least a great contribution of fluvial source (Fig. 5). The  
19 delta of the Fessi River sample S6 grain size distribution looks more likely of the fluvial  
20 source. Furthermore, the lagoon samples showed a higher variability in the grain size due to  
21 the presence of shell fragments.

### 22 **6. 2. Principal component analysis (PCA)**

23 We used PCA to identify the main factors controlling the chemical composition of the  
24 catchment and El Bibane lagoon surface sediments and to identify different groups of  
25 common origin and process. The application of PCA varimax rotation has permitted to

1 identify two factors that explained 83% of the total variance (Fig.8). The high positive  
2 loadings for Fe, Ti, K, and Al on Factor 1 would indicate the dominance of aluminosilicates  
3 minerals in surface sediments (Spagnoli et al., 2008; Plewa et al., 2012). These elements are  
4 thus prevailing in the river surface samples and their granulometric distributions display that  
5 their grain sizes are in the range of clay and silt. On the other hand, Zr and Si which display a  
6 moderate positive loading in factor 1 and are high in the Aeolian surface sediments. Silicon is  
7 on one hand structural element of terrigenous aluminosilicates, but it is also abundant as  
8 quartz grains. Therefore, the Si abundance derives from accumulation of quartz grains  
9 (Shankar et al., 1987; Nath et al., 1989). These silicates originate either from adjacent desert  
10 areas by erosion or from western Saharan dunes by storms. By contrast, the Ca and Sr  
11 carbonate related elements show a positive loading with Factor 2. Ca in the marine samples is  
12 high. The high percentage of Ca in these samples is related to both the significant presence of  
13 biogenic material, but also probably the precipitation of authigenic carbonate. These results  
14 corroborate the marine origin of these sediments as revealed by the binocular observations  
15 mainly due to the existence of shell debris and confirmed by the grain size distributions.  
16 Therefore, we suggested that the first component agreed with the fine fraction of the  
17 sediment, which is mainly composed of various types of clay minerals, usually abundant in  
18 surface sediments (De Lazzari et al., 2004). On the other hand, factor 2 (Fig. 8) provides a  
19 better definition of the relatively carbonate fraction of the sediments. Consequently, these two  
20 factors differentiated carbonates from both sand and clay sediments.

### 21 **6.3. El Bibane lagoon: Main sediment sources**

22 Geochemical parameters as well as grain size data are useful indicators for the  
23 detection of significant facies changes in the stratigraphical record (Vött et al., 2002, Zhu &  
24 Weindorf, 2009). Statistical analyses of geochemical data have permitted to characterise the  
25 different sediment sources around El Bibane lagoon. Ca, Ti and Fe elements have been

1 chosen in order to recognize the contribution of these sources to the surface sediments of the  
2 Lagoon. Ca displays its highest abundances in marine area and is lower in sand dunes and  
3 river samples. By contrast, Ti characterises the continental source (see section 5.1.2) and  
4 shows low contents in marine samples. On the other hand, Fe is present as a maximum in the  
5 river samples and as a trace element in marine samples. Taking into account this geographic  
6 distribution, Fe/Ca as well as Ti/Ca ratios values would be higher in the continental supply  
7 (fluvial and aeolian samples) and lower in the marine source. High Fe/Ca values due to high  
8 iron content may also reflect dominating subaerial weathering and oxidation. The Fe/Ca and  
9 Ti/Ca ratio values and the position on a Fe/Ca vs. Ti/Ca diagram (Fig. 11) of El Bibane  
10 Lagoon surface sediments (samples S4, S5 and S6) are intermediate between the marine and  
11 fluvial source. Accordingly, higher Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca ratio in the lagoon sediments would be a  
12 signal of more sediment contribution from fluvial source to the lagoon during flooding.

#### 13 **6.4. Identification of flood layers in the El Bibane Lagoon.**

14 In order to identify the paleo-flood events of the El Bibane Lagoon, we applied these  
15 previously discussed proxies to BL12-10 core samples. The BL12-10 core shows 3 mud  
16 layers (clay and silt mixture) preserved in the core which seems to be flood layers, i.e.,  
17 coming from fluvial incursions during intense flood events. Multiproxy analysis on these mud  
18 layers show that they are characterized by high content in clay+silt, as well as high Fe/Ca and  
19 Ti/Ca elemental ratios which represent the sedimentological signature of the River Fessi. The  
20 combination of geochemical and grain size data suggest that the BL12-10 core deposits had  
21 registered flood event. Three floods events namely FL1, FL2 and FL3 have been identified in  
22 the core (Fig. 12). FL1 deposit corresponds to a 5cm thick level of finer grained silty + clay  
23 sediment. Moreover, it shows high Ti/Ca and Fe/Ca ratio. FL2 is also interpreted as a finer  
24 grained flood and is composed of 4cm thick silty-clay sediment layer. Their geochemical  
25 composition is characterized by a high Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca ratio (Fig.12). FL2 show a good

1 correlation between the grain size and the geochemical proxies. FL3 is also representing  
2 another fine-grained flood which is composed of a 2.5cm thick silty-clay and their  
3 geochemical proxies reveal a good correlation with the grain size signature.

4 Based on our age model, FL1 would have occurred around AD  $1995 \pm 6$  yrs (Fig. 12).  
5 This sediment deposit could correspond probably to the 1995 flood event recorded in  
6 hydrological data (Fehri, 2014) and which affected Tataouine region. This flood reached a  
7 maximum discharge of  $1200 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  (11 to 24 hours of heavy precipitation; Boujarra and Kttita,  
8 2009) which provoked heavy losses in human lives and agricultural goods (Boujarra and  
9 Kttita, 2009).

10 Using the same approach, FL2 would have occurred around AD  $1970 \pm 9$  yrs, i.e. between AD  
11 1965 to 1980 (Fig. 12). Between these dates, two historical extreme flood events are known  
12 (AD.1969 and AD.1979) (24 to 48 hours of heavy rainfall for the 1969 flood event; Pias et  
13 Stuckmann, 1970 and Kallel et al.,1972; 4 days of heavy rainfall of the 1979 flood event;  
14 Bonvallet, 1979) and one flood event of lower magnitude (AD.1972). Only one horizon  
15 corresponds to these events in the BL12-10 core. Consequently, we assume that this unique  
16 flood deposit registers a period during which these three high precipitation events occurred  
17 (i.e. AD.1969, AD.1972 and AD.1979). The most suspended sediment from the river Fessi  
18 during these heavy precipitation events could have been deposited in the inundation plain, in  
19 the lagoon and probably transported to the Mediterranean Sea through the passes. The  
20 sedimentation rate corresponding to these events in the lagoon is not very high. Bottom  
21 currents in the lagoon have probably smoothed the signal. Lastly, these three extreme flood  
22 events very close together in time are registered as only one deposit in our sedimentary  
23 archive.

24 Finally, the third flood event FL3 was dated at A.D  $1945 \pm 9$  (Fig. 12). It could be  
25 associated to the 1932 flood occurrence registered in southern Tunisia historical records



1 (Fehri, 2014). A heavy rainfall has been recorded in Medenine region during the flood of  
2 1932 (449 mm during few days). Bonvallet, 1979 demonstrated that this event presents a  
3 similar characteristic than that of 1979.

4 The results show temporal correspondence of flood layers to historical heavy precipitation  
5 events. Considering the historical data, we can assume that FL3 flood deposit corresponds to  
6 A.D 1932 flood. FL2 flood deposit is associated to A.D 1969, A.D 1972 and A.D 1969 flood  
7 events. FL1 flood deposit could be associated to the A.D 1995 flood event (Fig. 12). In this  
8 lagoonal environment, one flood deposit is not always associated to a single event but  
9 sometimes to two or three events especially when heavy precipitation events are close  
10 together in time (i.e. FL2 flood deposit).

11 These results indicate that finer material, high content of mud (clay+silt), as well as high  
12 ratios of Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca are associated to flood events in the lagoonal sequence. The  
13 association of these proxies in the sedimentary sequence of the El Bibane lagoon can  
14 therefore be used to reconstruct flood activities in Southeastern Tunisia during the upper  
15 Holocene.

## 16 **Conclusion**

17 This study focuses on the sedimentological and geochemical characterization of the main  
18 surface sediments sources of El Bibane Lagoon (southeast Tunisia) and its watershed in order  
19 to identify the specific signature of paleoflood events recorded in the sedimentary core  
20 archives. We used PCA to identify the main factors controlling the chemical composition of  
21 the catchment and El Bibane lagoon surface sediments and to discriminate between the  
22 sources of detrital inputs into the lagoon. Three sediments sources were identified: Aeolian,  
23 fluvial and marine. Our results display that El Bibane Lagoon surface sediment characteristics  
24 are situated between marine and river sources. The application of this multi-proxy analysis on  
25 the BL12-10 core shows that finer material, high content of mud (clay+silt), as well as high

1 elemental ratios (Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca) typify the sedimentological signature of flood events in the  
2 lagoonal sequence. The BL12-10 age model based on  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity profiles have  
3 allowed us to identify three periods of past flood events dated at AD 1995±6, AD 1970±9,  
4 and 1945±9. The good agreement between our estimated ages and the historical flood events  
5 suggests that sedimentological and geochemical data of lagoon sediment cores could be used  
6 to reconstruct paleoflood history in South-eastern Tunisia in arid and semi-arid environment  
7 during the upper Holocene.

### 8 **Acknowledgments**

9 Our thanks go to Dr. M. Ouaja, Ph. Blanchemache and J.P. Degai for their help on the field.  
10 We also thank Pr. Y. Jedoui and G. Siani for their fruitful suggestions in the discussions. This  
11 study is funded by the MISTRALS PALEOMEX and the PHC-UTIQUE N° 14G1002  
12 projects.

### 13 **References**

- 14 Amari, A. : Contribution à la connaissance hydrologique et sédimentologique de la plateforme  
15 des îles Kerkennah Thèse de 3ème cycle. Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Tunis, 1984.
- 16 Baker, V.R.: Magnitude and frequency of paleofloods. In: Beven, K., Carling, P. (Eds.),  
17 Floods: Hydrological, Sedimentological, and Geomorphological Implications. Wiley,  
18 Chichester, pp, 171-183, 1989.
- 19 Benito, G., Díez-Herrero, A., and Fernández de Villalta, M.: Magnitude and Frequency of  
20 Flooding in the Tagus Basin (Central Spain) over the Last Millenium', *Clim. Change*,  
21 58, 171–192, 2003.
- 22 Blott, S.J.: Gradistat version 4.0 - A Grain Size Distribution and Statistics Package for the  
23 Analysis of unconsolidated sediments by Sieving or Laser Granulometer. Surface  
24 Processes and Modern Environments Research Group, Department of Geology, Royal  
25 Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, 2000.

- 1 Bonvallot, J.: Comportement des ouvrages de petite hydraulique dans la région de Médenine  
2 (Tunisie du Sud) au cours des pluies exceptionnelles de mars 1979, les Cahiers de  
3 l'O.R.S.T.O.M, Série Sciences Humaines XVI, 3, 233-249, 1979.
- 4 Bouaziz, S., Barrier, E., Souissi, M., Turki, M.M., and Zouari, H.: Tectonic evolution of the  
5 northern African margin in Tunisia from paleostress data and sedimentary record,  
6 Tectonophysics 357, 227-253, 2002.
- 7 Boujarra, A., and Kttita, A.: Les facteurs de l'amplification de l'inondation de la ville de  
8 Tataouine le 24 septembre 1995 (SUD EST TUNISIEN), Risques naturelles en  
9 Méditerranée occidentale, p 195-206, 2009.
- 10 Bouougri, E.H. and Porada, H.: Wind-induced mat deformation structures in recent tidal flats  
11 and sabkhas of SE-Tunisia and their significance for environmental interpretation of  
12 fossil structures, Sedimentary Geology, 263–264, 56–66, 2012.
- 13 Busson, G. : Le mésozoïque saharien 1<sup>ère</sup> partie : l'Extrême Sud tunisien. Centre National de la  
14 Recherche Scientifique, Paris, Géologie, 8, 204 p, 1967.
- 15 Brown, S.L., Bierman, P.R., Lini, A., and Southon, J.: 10 000 yr records of extreme  
16 hydrologic events. Geology, 28, 335-338, 2000.
- 17 Davaud, E. and Septfontaine, M.: Post-mortem onshore transportation of epiphytic  
18 foraminifera: recent example from the Tunisian coastline, Journal of Sedimentary  
19 Research 65, 136-142, 1995.
- 20 Degeai, J.P., Devillers, B., Dézileau, L., Oueslati, H., and Bony, G.: Major storm periods and  
21 climate forcing in the Western Mediterranean during the Late Holocene, Quaternary  
22 Science Reviews, 129, 37-56, 2015.
- 23 Dezileau, L., Sabatier, P., Blanchemanche, P., Joly, B., Swingedouw, D., Cassou, C.,  
24 Castaings, J., Martinez, P., and Von Grafenstein, U.: Intense storm activity during the

1 Little Ice Age on the French Mediterranean coast, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol.*, 299, 289-297,  
2 2011.

3 Dezileau, L., Perez-Ruzafa, A., Blanchemanche, P., Martinez, P., Marcos, C., Raji, O., Van  
4 Grafenstein, U. : Extreme storms during the last 6,500 years from lagoonal sedimentary  
5 archives in Mar Menor (SE Spain), *Climate of the Past*, 12, 1389-1400, 2016.

6 De Lazzari, A., Rampazzo, G., and Pavoni, B.: Geochemistry of sediments in the Northern  
7 and Central Adriatic Sea, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Sciences* 59, 429-440, 2004.

8 Direction Générale des Ressources en Eaux (DGRE): *Annuaire hydrométéorologiques 1976*  
9 2010, Ministère de l'Agriculture, l'Environnement et les ressources en eau, Tunisie,  
10 2010.

11 Donnelly, J. P. and Woodruff, J. D.: Intense hurricane activity over the past 5,000 years  
12 controlled by El Nino and the West African monsoon, *Nature*, 447, 465–468, 2007.

13 Ely, L.L., Enzel, Y., Baker, V.R., and Cayan, D.R.: A 5000-year record of extreme flood and  
14 climatechange in the southwestern United States. *Science*, 262,410–412, 1993.

15 Fehri, N. : L'aggravation des risques d'inondation en Tunisie : éléments de réflexion. *Physio-*  
16 *Géo. Géographie, physique et environnement*, Volume 8, 149-175, 2014.

17 Ferchichi, A., 1996. : Etude climatique en Tunisie présaharienne : proposition d'un nouvel  
18 indice de subdivision climatique des étages méditerranéens aride et saharien. *Medit*  
19 *(italy)*, 3/96: 46-53.

20 Folk, R.L.: The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary rock  
21 nomenclature. *Jour. Geology* 62, 344-359,1954.

22 Folk, R. L., and Ward, W. C.: Brazos river bar: A study in the significance of grain size  
23 parameters, *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 27, 3-26, 1957.

- 1 Frignani, M., Sorgente, D., Langone, L., Albertazzi, S., and Ravaoli, M. : Behaviour of  
2 Chernobyl radiocesium in sediments of the Adriatic Sea off the Po River delta and the  
3 Emilia-Romagna coast. *J. Environ. Radioactivity* 71, 299-312, 2004.
- 4 Genin, D. and Sghaier, M.: Pratiques et usages des ressources, techniques de lutte et devenir  
5 des populations rurales, Rapport scientifique final de synthèse, IRA, IRD (Projet Jeffara),  
6 20, 2003.
- 7 Gilli, A., Anselmetti, F.S., Glur, L., and Wirth, S.B.: Lake Sediments as Archives of  
8 Recurrence Rates and Intensities of Past Flood Events, Dating Torrential Processes on  
9 Fans and Cones, *Advances in Global Change Research* 47, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-  
10 4336-6 15, 2013.
- 11 Goldberg, E.: Geochronology with lead-210, International Atomic Energy Agency, 121–131,  
12 1963.
- 13 Guélorget, O., Frisoni, G.F., and Perthuisot, J.P.: Contribution à l'étude biologique de la  
14 Bahiret el Biban : lagune du Sud-Est Tunisien, *Mémoires de la Société Géologique de*  
15 *France* 144, 173-186, 1982.
- 16 Hamza, A. : Le statut du phytoplancton dans le golfe de Gabès. Thèse de Doctorat, Université  
17 de Sfax, 298, 2003.
- 18 He and Walling.: Use of fallout Pb-210 measurements to investigate longer-term rates and  
19 patterns of overbank sediment deposition on the floodplains of lowland rivers *Earth Surf.*  
20 *Proc. Land.*, 21 pp. 141–154, 1996.
- 21 Jedoui, Y. : Sédimentologie et géochronologie des dépôts littoraux quaternaires:  
22 reconstitution des variations des paléoclimats et du niveau marin dans le Sud-Est  
23 tunisien. Thèse d'Etat es. Sciences., Fac. Sc. Tunis, Université de Tunis El Manar, 338,  
24 2000.
- 25 Jedoui, Y., Kallel, N., Fontugne, M., Ben Ismail, M.H., M'Rabet, A., and Montacer, M.: A

- 1 relative sea-level stand in the middle Holocene of southeastern Tunisia: *Marine Geology*,  
2 147, 123–130, 1998.
- 3 Jedoui, Y., Davaud, E., Ben Ismaïl, H., and Reyss, J.L.: Analyse sédimentologique des dépôts  
4 marins pléistocènes du Sud-Est tunisien: mise en évidence de deux périodes de haut  
5 niveau marin pendant le sous-stade isotopique marin 5e (Eémien, Tyrhénien), *Bulletin*  
6 *de la Société Géologique de France* 173, 63-72, 2002.
- 7 Kallel, R., Colombani, J., Eoche duval, J.M. : Les précipitations et les crues exceptionnelles  
8 de l'automne 1969 en Tunisie, publication de la division des ressources en eau,  
9 *Ressouces en eau de Tunisie*, 1972.
- 10 Krumbein, W.C. and Pettijohn, F.J.: *Manual of Sedimentary Petrography*. Appleton-Century-  
11 Crofts, New York, 1938.
- 12 Lionello, P., Bhend, J., Buzzi, A., Della-Marta, P., Krichak, S., Jansa, A., Maheras, P., Sanna,  
13 A., Trigo, I., and Trigo, R.: Cyclones in the Mediterranean region: climatology and  
14 effects on the environment, *Developments in Earth and Environmental Sciences*, 4, 325–  
15 372, 2006.
- 16 Liu, K.B. and Fearn, M. L.: Lake-sediment record of late Holocene hurricane activities from  
17 coastal Alabama, *Geology*, 21, 793– 796, 1993.
- 18 Medhioub, K. : La Bahiret El Bibane. Etude géochimique et sédimentologique d'une lagune  
19 du Sud-Est tunisien, *Travail du laboratoire de Géologie*, Presse de l'école Normale  
20 Supérieure, Paris, 13, 150, 1979.
- 21 Medhioub, K. : Etude géochimique et sédimentologique du complexe paralique de la  
22 dépression de ben Guirden: Bahira el Biban, Sabkha bou J'Mel et Sabkha el Medina.  
23 Thèse Doctorat es- Sciences, Ecole Normale Supérieur, Paris, 400, 1984.

- 1 Medhioub, K. and Perthuisot, J.P.: The influence of peripheral sabkhas on the geochemistry  
2 and sedimentology of a Tunisian lagoon: Bahiret el Biban, *Sedimentology*, 28, 679–688.  
3 1981.
- 4 Mejri, F., Buroillet, P.F., and Ben Ferjani, A.: Petroleum geology of Tunisia: A renewed  
5 synthesis, *Memoir ETAP* 22, 233, 2006.
- 6 Moreno, A., Valero-Garcés, B., Gonzales-Sampériz, P., and Rico, M.: Flood response to  
7 rainfall variability during the last 2000 years inferred from the Taravilla Lake record  
8 (Central Iberian Range, Spain). *Journal of Paleolimnology* 40, 943–961, 2008.
- 9 Nath, B.N., Rao, V.P., and Becker, K.P.: Geochemical evidence of terrigenous influence in  
10 deep-sea sediments up to 8° S in the central Indian basin, *Marine Geology.*, 87, 301-313.  
11 1989.
- 12 Nittrouer, C.A., DeMaster, D.J., Kuehl, S.A., McKee, B.A., Thorbjarnarson, K.W.: Some  
13 questions and answers about the accumulation of fine-grained sediments in continental  
14 margin environments. *Geo-Marine Letters*, 4, 211-213. 1984- 1985
- 15 Ounalli, A. : Projet de dessalement d'eau de mer à El Bibane. *Desalination*, 137, 293-296,  
16 2001.
- 17 Oueslati, A.: Les inondations en Tunisie. Publication à compte d'auteur, p. 206, 1999.
- 18 Parris, A.S., Bierman, P.R., Noren, A.J., Prins, M.A., Lini, A.: Holocene paleostorms  
19 identified by particle size signatures in lake sediments from the northeastern United  
20 States, *J. Paleolimnol* 43,29–49, 2009.
- 21 Poncet, J.: La catastrophe climatique de l'automne 1969 en Tunisie, *Annales de Géographie*,  
22 vol 79, n°435, p.581-595,1970.
- 23 Pias, J. and Stuckmann, G.: Les inondations de septembre- octobre 1969 en Tunisie, Partie 2  
24 Etude morphologique, UNESCO, Paris, 1970.
- 25 Pilkey, O.H.: A thumbnail method for beach communities: estimation of long-term beach

1 replenishment requirements, *Shore and Beach*, July, 1988. 23 - 31. 1989.

2 Plewa, K., Meggers, H., Kuhlmann, H., Freudenthal, T., Zabel, M., and Kasten, S.:  
3 Geochemical distribution patterns as indicators for productivity and terrigenous input off  
4 NW Africa. *Deep Sea Research*, I 66, 51-66, 2012.

5 Raji, O., Dezileau, L., Von Grafenstein, U., Niazi, S., Snoussi, M., and Martinez, P.: Sea  
6 extreme events during the last millennium in north-east of Morocco, *Natural Hazards*  
7 *Earth, Systems Science Discussion*, 2, 2079-2102, 2014.

8 Raji, O.: Événements extrêmes du passé et paleo-environnements: reconstruction à partir des  
9 archives sédimentaires de la lagune Nador, Maroc, Thèse de Doctorat, Université  
10 Mohammed V de Rabat. 2014.

11 Radakovitch, O., Charmasson, S., Arnaud, M., Bouisset, and P.: 210Pb and caesium  
12 accumulation in the Rhône delta sediments. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci* 48, 77–92. 1999.

13 Richter, T.O., Van der Gaast, S., Koster, B., Vaars, A., Gieles, R., de Stigter, H.C., De Haas,  
14 H., and Van Weering, T.C.E.: The Avaatech XRF Core Scanner: technical description  
15 and applications to NE Atlantic sediments, In: Rothwell, R.G. (Eds.), *Techniques in*  
16 *Sediment Core Analysis: Geological Society of London, Special Publications*, 39–50.  
17 2006.

18 Sabatier, P., Dezileau, L., Condomines, M., Briquieu, L., Colin, C., Bouchette, F., Le Duff,  
19 M., and Blanchemanche, P.: Reconstruction of paleostorm events in a coastal lagoon  
20 (Herault, South of France), *Marine Geol.*, 251, 224–232, 2008.


21 Sammari, C., Koutitonsky, V.G., Moussa, M.: Sea level variability and tidal resonance in the  
22 Gulf of Gabes, Tunisia, *Continental Shelf Research*, 26, 338-350, 2006.

23 Shankar R., Subbarao, K.V., and Kolla, V.: Geochemistry of surface sediments from the  
24 Arabian Sea. *Marine Geology*, 76, 253-279, 1987.




- 1 Spagnoli, F., Bartholinia, G., Dinelli, E., and Giordano, P.: Geochemistry and particle size of  
2 surface sediments of Gulf of Manfredonia (Southern Adriatic Sea), Estuarine, Coastal and  
3 Shelf Science 80, 21–30, 2008.
- 4 St. George, S and E. Nielson.: Paleoflood records for the Red River, Manitoba, Canada,  
5 derived from anatomical tree-ring signatures: The Holocene 13 (4), 547-555, 2003.
- 6 STATIT-CF: Services des études statistiques de l'I.T.C.F.
- 7 Vött, A., Handl, M. and Brückner, H. Rekonstruktion holozäner Umweltbedingungen in  
8 Akarnanien (Nordwestgriechenland) mittels Diskriminanzanalyse von geochemischen  
9 Daten. *Geologica et Palaeontologica* 36: 123-147, 2002.
- 10 Wilhelm, B., Arnaud, F., Sabatier, P., Crouzet, Ch., Elodie, B., Eric, Ch., Jean-Robert, D.,  
11 Frederic, G., Emmanuel, M., Jean-Louis, R., Kazuyo, T., Edouard, B., and Jean-Jacques,  
12 D.: 1400 years of extreme precipitation patterns over the Mediterranean French Alps and  
13 possible forcing mechanisms, *Quaternary Research*; 78, 1-12, 2012.
- 14 Wolfe, B.B., Hall, R.I., Last, W.M., Edwards, T.W.D., English, M.C., Karst-Riddoch, T.L.,  
15 Paterson, A., and Palmi, R.: Reconstruction of multi-century flood histories from  
16 oxbow lake sediments, Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada. *Hydrol. Process*, 20, 4131- 4153,  
17 2006.
- 18 Zielhofer, C., Faust, D., Baena, R., Diaz del Olmo, F., Kadereit, A., Moldenhauer, K.-M.,  
19 Porras, A.: Centennial-scale late Pleistocene to mid-Holocene synthetic profile of the  
20 Medjerda floodplain (Northern Tunisia). *The Holocene* 14, 851–861, 2004.
- 21 Zhu, Y. & Weindorf, D.: Determination of soil calcium using field portable X-ray fluores-  
22 cence. *Soil Science*, 174 (3), 151-155, 2009.


## 23 **Figures**



- 1 Figure.1. Location of the study area of El Bibane Lagoon (EBL) South East of Tunisia (A)
- 2 and the geological map of South Eastern Tunisia (Modified from the Geological map of
- 3 Tunisia 1/500000 after Ben Haj Ali et al., 1985) (B).



- 4
- 5 Figure.2. Variation of the annual precipitations of the Medenine and Tataouine
- 6 meteorological stations during the period between 1900 and 2000 (DGRE, 2010). Dashed
- 7 line: mean annual precipitation.




1

2 Figure.3. Location of the investigated surface samples from the catchment basin and from the  
 3 El Bibane Lagoon.

4







1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

Figure.4. Microtextural photos under binocular observation of five representative samples from the catchment basin of El Bibane Lagoon. **S3** Marine sample; S8 and S11: Fessi River samples; S17 and S18: Dunes samples (Diameter of the photos: 3 cm; G x 6.5).


**MARINE SAMPLES**




**FLUVIAL SAMPLES**




**AEOLIAN DUNES SAMPLES**



**LAGOONAL SAMPLES**











1  
 2 Figure.5. Particle size distributions (<2000μm) of representative samples from the catchment  
 3 basin and the El Bibane Lagoon.




1

2 **Figure.6:** Distribution of the mean size of the samples collected in the Fessi River






- 1 Figure.7. Distribution map of major and trace elements in surface sediments from catchment
- 2 basin and the El Bibane lagoon.



- 3
- 4 Figure.8. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loadings plot of major and trace elements
- 5 concentrations contrasting the three main sources: marine, fluvial and a eolian sand dune.




1

2

3 Figure.9. Sand and silt+ clay fractions depth profiles in core BL12-10.

4




1

2 Figure.10.  $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity-depth profiles in core BL12-10. SR: sedimentation rate  
 3 ( $\text{cm yr}^{-1}$ )

4

5




1

2 Figure.11. Location of the investigated surface samples from the watershed and the El Bibane  
 3 Lagoon on a cross-plot Fe/Ca versus Ti/Ca.

4

5



1

2 Figure.12. Fe/Ca and Ti/Ca ratios, clay + silt (fraction <63 $\mu$ m) abundances (%) profiles, <sup>137</sup>Cs  
 3 ages in the BL12-10 core (a) and their equivalent last century historical rainfall of the

1 Tataouine and Medenine stations (b see fig. 2). Three periods of high rainfall were observed  
 2 at A.D 1932, A.D 1969/1979 and A.D 1995. FL1, FL2 and FL3 represent flood deposits  
 3 registered in the sediments archive of the El Bibane Lagoon

4

5 Tables

6 Table 1. Grain size statistical analysis of surface samples from the watershed of the El Bibane  
 7 Lagoon.

Sample name	Sampling Locality	SAMPLE TYPE	TEXTURAL GROUP	SEDIMENT NAME
S1	Beach	Unimodal, Moderately Sorted	Sand	Moderately Sorted Fine Sand
S2		Unimodal, Moderately Sorted	Sand	Moderately Sorted Fine Sand
S3		Unimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Coarse Sand
S4	Surface sediments El Bibane Lagoon	Polymodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Sandy Mud	Very Fine Sandy Very Coarse Silt
S5		Unimodal, Moderately Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Fine Sand
S6		Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
S9	Fessi River	Unimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
S10		Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Mud	Fine Silt
S11		Unimodal, Well Sorted	Sand	Well Sorted Very Fine Sand
S12		Unimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
S13		Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Coarse Sand
S17	Sand dune	Unimodal, Very Well Sorted	Sand	Very Well Sorted Very Fine Sand
S18		Unimodal, Well Sorted	Sand	Well Sorted Very Fine Sand

8

9 Table 1. Continued

10

Sample name	FOLK AND WARD METHOD ( $\mu\text{m}$ )				MODE 1 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	MODE 2 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	MODE 3 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
	MEAN	SORTING	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS			
S1	196.2	1.793	0.234	1.308	169.1		
S2	249.1	1.808	0.181	1.108	203.7		
S3	204.2	4.233	-0.658	1.027	517.8		
S4	43.46	4.683	-0.027	0.931	154.0	31.54	96.60
S5	112.5	1.813	-0.221	1.203	116.4		
S6	80.39	3.156	-0.246	1.701	106.0	429.7	
S9	54.69	2.237	-0.569	1.490	96.60		
S10	7.133	3.891	0.001	0.845	7.092	26.17	73.02
S11	102.5	1.343	-0.245	1.218	116.4		
S12	56.17	2.248	-0.573	1.421	96.60		
S13	370.9	3.902	-0.410	0.883	825.4	106.0	

<b>S17</b>	110.5	1.260	-0.127	1.008	116.4	
<b>S18</b>	106.4	1.286	-0.132	1.039	116.4	

1

2 Table.2. XRF analysis results of the major and trace element in studied samples. ppm: parts  
3 per million.

Sample name	Locality	Zr (ppm)	Sr (ppm)	Ca (%)	Fe (%)	Ti (%)	K (%)	Al (%)	Si (%)
S1	Beach	113	1497	14.67	0.00	0.03	0.14	0.00	9.71
S2	Beach	41	1548	14.51	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.00	6.85
S3	Beach	24	899	13.36	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.00	8.38
S4	Lagoon	133	1035	17.35	0.75	0.13	0.74	0.40	15.00
S5	Lagoon	85	747	9.00	0.47	0.10	0.47	0.18	8.70
S6	Lagoon	203	418	7.90	0.27	0.07	0.56	0.69	12.00
S7	River	134	358	17.35	0.75	0.13	1.10	2.08	15.00
S8	River	488	90	9.00	0.53	0.10	0.81	2.60	8.70
S9	River	178	97	7.90	0.98	0.07	1.13	2.76	12.00
S10	River	235	105	7.30	1.52	0.21	1.36	4.20	26.16
S11	River	704	92	6.00	0.59	0.16	0.56	2.20	26.93
S12	River	275	173	7.37	1.22	0.21	1.12	3.60	27.43
S13	River	391	123	7.35	1.28	0.18	0.93	2.60	27.13
S14	River	458	186	7.16	0.79	0.20	0.87	2.70	26.18
S15	River	350	102	3.95	0.59	0.17	0.77	2.40	29.08
S16	River	263	73	3.22	0.62	0.11	0.74	1.80	25.62
S17	Aeolian	473	52	0.80	0.40	0.10	0.75	2.50	33.38
S18	Aeolian	357	54	0.81	0.38	0.12	0.74	2.40	33.09

4 Table 3. Grain size statistical analysis of BL12-10 core samples

DEPTH (cm)	Sample name	SAMPLE TYPE	TEXTURAL GROUP	SEDIMENT NAME
1	<b>BL12-10-1</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
2	<b>BL12-10-2</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
3	<b>BL12-10-3</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
4	<b>BL12-10-4</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
5	<b>BL12-10-5</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
6	<b>BL12-10-6</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
7	<b>BL12-10-7</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
8	<b>BL12-10-8</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
9	<b>BL12-10-9</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
10	<b>BL12-10-10</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
11	<b>BL12-10-11</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
12	<b>BL12-10-12</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand

13	<b>BL12-10-13</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
14	<b>BL12-10-14</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
15	<b>BL12-10-15</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
16	<b>BL12-10-16</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
17	<b>BL12-10-17</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
18	<b>BL12-10-18</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
19	<b>BL12-10-19</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
20	<b>BL12-10-20</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
21	<b>BL12-10-21</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
22	<b>BL12-10-22</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
23	<b>BL12-10-23</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
24	<b>BL12-10-24</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
25	<b>BL12-10-25</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
26	<b>BL12-10-26</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
27	<b>BL12-10-27</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
28	<b>BL12-10-28</b>	Trimodal, Very Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
29	<b>BL12-10-29</b>	Trimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand
30	<b>BL12-10-30</b>	Bimodal, Poorly Sorted	Muddy Sand	Very Coarse Silty Very Fine Sand

1

2 Table 3. continued.

DEPTH (Cm)	Sample name	FOLK AND WARD METHOD ( $\mu\text{m}$ )						
		MEAN	SORTING	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	MODE 1 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	MODE 2 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	MODE 3 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
1	<b>BL12-10-1</b>	83.47	3.322	-0.179	1.633	106.0	429.7	-----
2	<b>BL12-10-2</b>	78.84	4.101	-0.173	1.438	106.0	429.7	825.4
3	<b>BL12-10-3</b>	73.43	3.905	-0.239	1.302	106.0	429.7	825.4
4	<b>BL12-10-4</b>	93.13	4.060	-0.120	1.440	106.0	391.4	825.4
5	<b>BL12-10-5</b>	83.41	3.989	-0.171	1.362	106.0	391.4	825.4
6	<b>BL12-10-6</b>	105.8	3.491	-0.099	1.687	106.0	391.4	751.9
7	<b>BL12-10-7</b>	104.5	3.591	-0.055	1.795	106.0	429.7	825.4
8	<b>BL12-10-8</b>	68.15	3.817	-0.262	1.278	106.0	429.7	-----
9	<b>BL12-10-9</b>	68.85	3.797	-0.239	1.451	106.0	429.7	-----
10	<b>BL12-10-10</b>	124.1	3.860	0.001	1.451	106.0	429.7	825.4
11	<b>BL12-10-11</b>	116.0	3.969	-0.050	1.460	106.0	391.4	825.4
12	<b>BL12-10-12</b>	100.0	4.323	-0.080	1.275	106.0	429.7	825.4
13	<b>BL12-10-13</b>	95.97	3.921	-0.098	1.452	106.0	429.7	825.4
14	<b>BL12-10-14</b>	81.56	4.213	-0.124	1.282	106.0	429.7	825.4
15	<b>BL12-10-15</b>	67.56	3.879	-0.201	1.328	106.0	429.7	825.4
16	<b>BL12-10-16</b>	51.25	4.110	-0.212	1.130	96.60	429.7	825.4
17	<b>BL12-10-17</b>	90.27	4.755	-0.080	1.155	106.0	429.7	825.4
18	<b>BL12-10-18</b>	95.70	4.271	-0.078	1.288	106.0	429.7	825.4
19	<b>BL12-10-19</b>	89.09	4.107	-0.109	1.296	106.0	429.7	825.4



20	<b>BL12-10-20</b>	65.02	3.779	-0.259	1.250	106.0	429.7	-----
21	<b>BL12-10-21</b>	68.97	3.463	-0.235	1.387	106.0	429.7	-----
22	<b>BL12-10-22</b>	79.14	3.994	-0.160	1.366	106.0	429.7	825.4
23	<b>BL12-10-23</b>	77.19	3.736	-0.196	1.448	106.0	429.7	825.4
24	<b>BL12-10-24</b>	74.94	3.526	-0.226	1.408	106.0	429.7	-----
25	<b>BL12-10-25</b>	82.29	3.753	-0.160	1.415	106.0	429.7	825.4
26	<b>BL12-10-26</b>	126.4	3.867	-0.028	1.262	106.0	391.4	751.9
27	<b>BL12-10-27</b>	66.68	4.242	-0.172	1.157	106.0	391.4	825.4
28	<b>BL12-10-28</b>	67.57	4.017	-0.198	1.216	106.0	429.7	825.4
29	<b>BL12-10-29</b>	84.27	3.865	-0.154	1.393	106.0	429.7	825.4
30	<b>BL12-10-30</b>	63.32	3.673	-0.262	1.390	106.0	429.7	-----

1

2 Table.4. Activities of radionuclides  $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  in core BL12-10.

Depth (cm)	$^{226}\text{Ra}$ (dpm/g)			$^{210}\text{Pb}$ (mbq/g)			$^{137}\text{Cs}$ (mbq/g)		
		±			±			±	
0	0,586	±	0,007	14,584	±	1,157	0,507	±	0,081
3	0,556	±	0,009	11,486	±	1,202	0,655	±	0,098
6	0,592	±	0,008	12,142	±	0,924	0,872	±	0,085
9	0,574	±	0,008	11,066	±	1,221	0,908	±	0,096
12	0,596	±	0,008	6,729	±	1,048	0,883	±	0,080
15	0,598	±	0,003	7,466	±	1,175	1,782	±	0,104
18	0,582	±	0,008	8,877	±	1,103	2,375	±	0,115
21	0,592	±	0,005	6,110	±	1,005	1,060	±	0,084
40	0,659	±	0,011	1,058	±	1,476	0,365	±	0,101

3

4