Manuscript prepared for Clim. Past with version 2015/09/17 7.94 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 1 August 2016

Mid-to-late Holocene Temperature Evolution and Atmospheric Dynamics over Europe in Regional Model Simulations

Emmanuele Russo¹ and Ulrich Cubasch¹ ^{Institute of Meteorology - FU-Berlin}Karl-Heinrich-Becker-Weg 6-10, 12165 Berlin (DE) *Correspondence to:* Emmanuele Russo (emmanuele.russo@met.fu-berlin.de)

Abstract. The improvement in resolution of climate models has always been mentioned as one of the most important factors when investigating past climatic conditions, especially in order to evaluate and compare the results against proxy data. Despite this, only a few studies have tried to directly estimate the possible advantages of highly resolved simulations for the study of past climate change.

5 Motivated by such considerations, in this paper we present a set of high-resolution simulations for different time slices of the mid-to-late Holocene performed over Europe using the state-of-the-art Regional Climate Model COSMO-CLM.

After proposing and testing a model configuration suitable for paleoclimate applications, the aforementioned mid-to-late Holocene simulations are compared against a new pollen-based climate

- 10 reconstruction dataset, covering almost all of Europe, with two main objectives: testing the advantages of high-resolution simulations for paleoclimatic applications, and investigating the response of temperature to variations in the seasonal cycle of insolation during the mid-to-late Holocene. With the aim of giving physically plausible interpretations of the mismatches between model and reconstructions, possible uncertainties of the pollen-based reconstructions are taken into consideration.
- 15 Focusing our analysis on near surface temperature, we can demonstrate that concrete advantages arise in the use of highly resolved data for the comparison against proxy-reconstructions and the investigation of past climate change.

Additionally, our results reinforce previous findings showing that summertime temperatures during the mid-to-late Holocene were driven mainly by changes in insolation and that the model is too

- 20 sensitive to such changes over Southern Europe, resulting in drier and warmer conditions. However, in winter, the model does not correctly reproduce the same amplitude of changes evident in the reconstructions, even if it captures the main pattern of the pollen dataset over most of the domain for the time periods under investigation. Through the analysis of variations in atmospheric circulation we suggest that, even though the wintertime discrepancies between the two datasets in some areas are most likely due to high pollen uncertainties, in general the model seems to underestimate
- 25 areas are most likely due to high pollen uncertainties, in general the model seems to underestimate the changes in the amplitude of the North Atlantic Oscillation, overestimating the contribution of secondary modes of variability.

1 Introduction

Climate has a direct effect on all living organisms and so has always, and always will have an influ-

- 30 ence on human affairs (Wigley et al., 1981). From antiquity to the present day, human life and civilization have been affected by the availability of natural resources such as water, food, construction materials, etc. Under the current threat of global warming, understanding how climate will change in the next century has become of fundamental importance for the impact it could have on the life of our planet. Useful instruments for the study of climate change and its possible consequences are
- 35 climate models. In general terms, a climate model can be defined as a mathematical representation of the climate system based on well-established physical principles (Randall et al., 2007). Many uncertainties still affect climate models, particularly regarding their sensitivity to changes in the external forcings (Collins and Allen, 2002; Yip et al., 2011). To improve our predictions of the future climate it is necessary to better understand such a response: this can be accomplished through
- 40 the application of climate models for the study of changes in past climatic conditions. An important case study is represented by the evolution of European climate during the mid-tolate Holocene (from 6000 years ago to present day). The large number of proxy data available and the particular configuration of the Earth astronomical parameters, make it a useful period for the evaluation of the models' response to changes in insolation (De Noblet et al., 1996; Kutzbach et al.,
- 45 1996; Masson et al., 1999; Vettoretti et al., 2000; Bonfils et al., 2004; Braconnot et al., 2007a, b; Mauri et al., 2014). During the mid-to-late Holocene, over northern latitudes in general, changes in the total amount of insolation during the year (with respect to present day conditions) were negligible ($\leq 4.5 W/m^2$) when compared to the seasonal variations (up to more than $30 W/m^2$ for summer insolation at high latitudes) (Fischer and Jungclaus, 2011). Indeed, relevant variations in the seasonal
- 50 values of surface variables would be expected. However, evidence shows that reconstructed climatic parameters, such as surface temperature, over Europe, did not always follow directly the astronomical forcings (Cheddadi et al., 1997; Davis et al., 2003; Bonfils et al., 2004; Braconnot et al., 2007a, b; Mauri et al., 2014), but their signal seems to have also been influenced by other complex processes such as atmospheric circulation, geography, or land-surface interactions with the atmosphere.
- 55 Different studies have been conducted in order to understand the mechanisms driving the seasonal 55 behaviour of European surface variables during the mid-to-late Holocene. Cheddadi et al. (1997) showed that the results of a pollen-based reconstruction dataset constrained by lake-level data, indicated that summer and winter temperatures were different over Northern and Southern Europe at the mid Holocene in comparison to present-day values: winters, in particular, were warmer over North-
- 60 ern Europe even if the insolation was reduced, while summers were colder over Southern Europe, despite the higher insolation. Similar results were obtained by Davis et al. (2003) who proposed an updated database of European pollen reconstructions for the entire Holocene. Bonfils et al. (2004), within the PMIP (Paleoclimate Model Intercomparison Project (Joussaume and Taylor, 1995)) collaboration, hypothesized that winter atmospheric patterns and summer soil conditions had an impor-

- 65 tant influence on seasonal changes of temperature and precipitation. This has also been highlighted by a study from Starz et al. (2013) who performed a simulation for the mid-Holocene with a coupled soil-ocean-atmosphere circulation model and dynamic vegetation, better reproducing soil water storage and heat fluxes. They found that changes in the soil's physical properties of the model led to improved model results and hampered anomalies in surface variables, with respect to proxy-data.
- 70 Fischer and Jungclaus (2011) studied the evolution of the European seasonal temperature cycle in a transient mid-to-late Holocene simulation with an ocean-atmosphere global climate model, although they were unable to reproduce correctly the reconstructed data over the entire region of study. In particular, their results presented only a weak shift to a positive phase of the NAO at mid Holocene in winter, resulting in colder conditions over Northern Europe and warmer over Southern Europe, with
- 75 respect to the values of reconstructions. In summer, again, the signal seemed to be mainly driven by changes in insolation, resulting in generally warmer conditions over the entire domain and period of study. Conversely, in their recent work, Mauri et al. (2014) suggested that the different response of surface variables at the mid Holocene was highly related to changes in atmospheric circulation both in winter and in summer. Specifically, they proposed that in summer a major incidence of the
- 80 "Scandinavian High" was most probably the reason for colder temperatures over Southern Europe 6000 years ago. In winter, on the contrary, a more positive phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation would have been responsible for warmer and wetter conditions over Northern Europe and an opposite behaviour in the South. Although these interpretations are all physically plausible, still general consensus is still missing on the correct explanation of the response of the climate system to changes
- 85 in insolation for this period. Within the mentioned studies, all the climate model applications have been conducted with transient simulations or considering a single time slice with Global Circulation Models. In many cases the resolution of these simulations was not high enough to allow for an assessment of the climate behaviour on a regional scale. As suggested by Renssen et al. (2001), if we want to evaluate the data against climatic reconstructions based on pollen data or any other record,
- 90 an improvement in the resolution is required (Bonfils et al., 2004; Masson et al., 1999). Additionally, higher resolution is expected to lead to an improvement of the results (Fischer and Jungclaus, 2011), allowing the representation of small-scale processes and more detailed information on surface and soil features (Feser et al., 2011).
- Bearing this in mind, in recent years the application of regional climate models for paleoclimate studies has become more frequent. For example, Prömmel et al. (2013) used the COSMO-CLM in order to address the effect of changes in orography and insolation on African precipitation during the last interglacial. Fallah et al. (2015) investigated precipitations and dry periods during the Little Ice Age and the Medieval Warm Period over central Asia. Wagner et al. (2012) compared the mid Holocene and pre-industrial climate over South America, while Felzer and Thompson (2001) evalu-
- 100 ated a regional climate model for paleoclimate applications in the Arctic.In several studies, regional simulations of European climate during different times of the mid-to-late

Holocene have been performed (Gómez-Navarro et al., 2011),(Gómez-Navarro et al., 2012, 2013, 2015; Schimanke et al., 2012; Renssen et al., 2001; Strandberg et al., 2014). Nevertheless, they either focused on a singular time-slice, or covered a more recent period of time, for which changes in insolation due to astronomical forcings were negligible.

In this paper we employ for the first time a regional climate model, the COSMO-CLM (CCLM), for the investigation of the main climatic changes that characterized Europe during multiple timeslices of the Mid-to-Late Holocene, with three main objectives:

- Propose and test a model configuration suitable for paleoclimate studies
- 110

105

 Investigate the possible added value of highly resolved simulations arising in the comparison against proxy-reconstructions

- Analyse proxy and model mismatches, providing plausible physical interpretations of the dynamical processes responsible for them
- Our discussion is structured as follows: in section 2 the employed methodology, including a brief 115 description of the models and the proxy datasets, is presented. Results are illustrated and discussed in section 3: first a validation of the data for present-day conditions is conducted in order to test the performances of the model with the changes necessary for paleoclimate applications; then the midto-late Holocene simulations are compared against pollen-based reconstructions, trying, in a first instance, to highlight the advantages of the performance of highly resolved simulations specifically
- 120 for this case of study; finally, physically plausible interpretation of the mismatches between the CCLM results and the reconstructions are proposed; the results of other studies are additionally discussed.

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental Setup

125 In this work we perform a set of climate simulations, covering several time slices of mid-to-late Holocene, employing models at different resolution.

The modus operandi consists of three parts and is based on the so-called *time-slice* technique (Cubasch et al., 1995):

- 1. First a transient continuous simulation is performed with the coupled atmosphere-ocean cir-
- 130 culation model ECHO-G, composed by the ECHAM4 (Roeckner et al., 1996) and the ocean model HOPE (Wolff et al., 1997), at a spectral resolution of T30 ($\sim 3.75^{\circ} \times 3.75^{\circ}$). Further information on the simulation realization are provided in Wagner et al. (2007).
 - 2. We then select seven different time slices, at a temporal distance of approximately 1000 years from each other, from 6000 years ago down to the pre-industrial period, 200 years before

- 135 present, in accordance to the time slices for which the pollen reconstructions are available. For every time slice, a simulation is conducted, for a 30-year period, with the atmosphere-only global circulation model ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2003) at a spectral resolution of T106 $(\sim 1.125^{\circ} \times 1.125^{\circ})$, using prescribed sea ice fraction and sea surface temperatures derived from the ECHO-G continuous run.
- 140 3. Finally the ECHAM 5 outputs are further downscaled with the regional climate model COSMO-CLM model version 4.8 clm 19 at a horizontal resolution of 0.44 longitude degrees, using 40 vertical levels. The CCLM model is a non-hydrostatic RCM with rotated geographical coordinates and a terrain following height coordinate (Rockel et al., 2008), developed from the COSMO model by the German weather service (DWD) (Doms and Schättler, 2003).
- 145 In a first step we want to test whether the RCM setup and the applied model's code modifications, required for implementing values of GHGs and astronomical forcings, are suitable for paleoclimate studies. In order to set the values of astronomical parameters for the corresponding investigation periods, we apply the routine of Prömmel et al. (2013) that allows the estimation of latitudinal and seasonal insolation at the top of the atmosphere based on Earth's astronomical parameters calculated
- 150 by Berger (1978). In Fig.1 the anomalies of zonal mean insolation on top of the atmosphere (**TOA**) between the pre-industrial period **PI** and 6000 years BP are presented. Additionally, the winter and summer mid-to-late Holocene evolution of TOA insolation for 60° and 30° North are also shown in the same figure (*Right*). Additional changes to the original model code are required in order to set the values of equivalent CO_2 concentration, representing variations in CH_4 , CO_2 and N_2O .
- 155 These data are deduced from air trapped in ice cores (Flückiger et al. (2002)). The contribution of the mid-to-late Holocene changes in GHGs concentration to the radiative balance is negligible (less than $2W/m^2$) in comparison to the effects of changes in insolation, and only the latter are considered in our discussion.

The setup of the COSMO-CLM is based upon the work of Hollweg et al. (2008) within the Euro-160 CORDEX Downscaling experiment (Jacob et al., 2014). A more detailed description of the model configuration used is provided in Table 1. For this study the model has been employed coupled to a Soil Vegetation Atmosphere Transfer scheme, the **TERRA ML**, a multi-layer model with a constant temperature lower boundary condition that allows to reproduce the fluxes of heat, water and momentum between the soil-surface and the atmosphere. Recent data of the physical parameters of

- 165 the Earth's surface (e.g., orography, land use, vegetation fraction, and land-sea mask) are employed for the simulations. The model domain, shown in Fig.2, is the one used for the Euro-CORDEX simulations (Jacob et al., 2014), extending from Southern Greenland to Western Russia in the North and from the Western Atlantic coast of Morocco to the Red Sea in the South. Each simulation includes a 5-year spinup period used to let the model reach a semi-equilibrium state as suggested by
- 170 Hollweg et al. (2008).

2.2 Observations

For the model validation for present climate, the **E-OBS** (Haylock et al., 2008) and the Climate Research Unit (**CRU**) (Harris et al., 2014) observational datasets are used as benchmarks for the comparison with the results of a COSMO-CLM control run covering the period 1991-2000 and

175 driven by the ERAInterim (ERAInt) dataset (Dee et al., 2011). The validation is conducted with respect to the total precipitation and 2 meter temperature winter and summer seasonal means. Additionally, CCLM heat fluxes and evapotranspiration values, from the same simulation, are validated against the GLDAS (Global Land Data Assimilation System Version 1 Products) dataset.

2.3 Proxy-Reconstructions

- 180 Subsequently, the results of the mid-to-late Holocene simulations are compared against the dataset of Mauri et al. (2015). This is the latest updated pollen-based climate reconstruction dataset for Europe and constitutes an upgrade of the results of Davis et al. (2003). It is derived with the same methodology, but with a wider number of fossil and surface-samples, following a more rigorous quality control. The data cover a time slice every millennium for the entire Holocene and are de-
- 185 rived through a 4-dimensional spline-interpolation in time and space. They are deduced with an analogue transform method and corrected with postglacial isostatic readjustment. Along with the data, a standard error estimate derived from the transform and the interpolation methods is also provided. Reconstructions contain information on seasonal (winter and summer) and annual values of precipitation and temperature, as well as a measure of moisture balance and of growing degree days
- 190 over 5 degrees, and are provided on a regular grid with a resolution of 1×1 longitude degrees. The choice of the dataset of Mauri et al. (2015) has been done for several reasons. First of all, it allows us to perform a comparison against the model results over most of the simulation domain, considering different variables (even if we only focus on temperature in our discussion). Then, it covers exactly the same time slices of our model simulations: no other dataset has this temporal and
- 195 spatial coverage at such high spatial resolution. Additionally, the robustness of the data has been thoroughly tested, in Mauri et al. (2015), against other proxies (including chironomids, $\delta 18O$ from speleothems and lake ostracods, bog-oaks, glacio-lacustrine sediments, wood anatomy and other pollen reconstructions based on different reconstruction methods) leading to satisfactory results. Nonetheless, similar pollen-based climatic reconstructions have been extensively employed in other
- 200 data-model comparisons, and, most recently, for the evaluation of the PMIP3/CMIP5 climate models included in the last IPCC report ((Stocker et al., 2013; Harrison et al., 2015)).

3 Results and Discussion

210

215

3.1 Model Validation and Evaluation for Present Day

As a first step a control simulation has been performed with present values of orbital parameters and greenhouse gases (sec.2), in order to test the ability of the CCLM, modified accordingly to our purposes, to properly reproduce present-day climate. Additionally, this provides further knowledge about the spatial distribution of the model performances.

The simulation covers a 10-year period, between 1991 and 2000. Even if the length of this simulation can be considered as "critical" for the model's validation, we want to acknowledge that, due to computational reasons, it was not possible to cover a longer period.

In Fig.3 and Fig.4, winter and summer seasonal means of temperature (left panel) and precipitation (right panel) from the CCLM simulations are compared against the CRU and the E-Obs observational datasets. In the first column of each panel, the climatology of the different datasets is shown: the model is able to correctly reproduce, within a certain degree of accuracy, the climatology of the observations for both temperature and precipitation in winter and in summer.

In the right column of every panel, Temperature and Precipitation values from the present-day control run are directly validated, through a Student's T-test, against the CRU and the E-Obs datasets. The same test is conducted for evaporation and heat fluxes but against the GLDAS dataset in Fig.5. In these figures the black dots represent the grid cells where the null hypothesis of the T-test, assuming

- 220 that the data being sampled could be drawn from the same underlying distribution, is not rejected at a significance level of 5%. The biases between the CCLM results and the observations are represented with different colours. The results show that, for temperature, the model performs well over Northern Europe in both winter and summer. Winter-time results are in particularly good agreement with observations over Northeastern Europe and Scandinavia (Fig.3II). However, larger deviations (up to
- 225 4°C in some cases) are present over Central Europe, Turkey and Northern Africa. In particular the model tends to simulate generally colder conditions over these regions. Winter precipitation results seem to be in good agreement over a major part of the domain, with some deviations from the observations over regions with particularly complex orography, in regions that are normally highly affected by westerlies and in the Northern African coasts of the Mediterranean Sea (where the biases
- 230 are particularly pronounced, and the model results diverge by almost 100% from the values of the observations) (Fig.3IV). In summer, instead, the main discrepancies are found over Southern Europe both for temperature and precipitation (Fig.4). In particular the temperature anomalies exceed 3°C over most of the Mediterranean region. It has been shown in previous works (Hagemann et al., 2004; Christensen et al., 2008; Kotlarski et al., 2014; Jerez et al., 2010, 2012) that, in general, regional
- 235 climate models poorly simulate southern European summer conditions. This seems to be most likely related to deficiencies in soil-atmosphere coupling (Seneviratne et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2007; Seneviratne et al., 2010). In soil moisture-controlled evaporative regimes, such as the Mediterranean

basin, low soil moisture contents (due probably to an underestimation of spring-time precipitation or badly represented soil properties in consequence of complex orography) limit the amount of energy

240 transferred by the latent heat flux. This increases the sensible heat flux, ultimately leading to an increase of air temperature, on the one-hand, and to a decrease of local precipitation on the other (Zveryeav and Allan, 2010).

Based on these considerations, we suggest that the model reproduces anomalously warm and dry conditions over a wide part of Southern Europe and the Mediterranean basin, during summer, as a consequence of a wrong conversion of energy towards latent heat in these regions. This hypothesis

- 245 consequence of a wrong conversion of energy towards latent heat in these regions. This hypothesis is supported by the heat fluxes and evapotranspiration maps (Fig5) presenting a spatial distribution of the anomalies resembling the ones of temperatures and precipitation. In particular, the model underestimates latent heat flux and evapotranspiration, while overestimating sensible heat over corresponding area.
- 250 Nevertheless the performances of the model with the applied changes are in good agreement with the results of other works focusing on the same region ((Hollweg et al., 2008; Kotlarski et al., 2014; Schimanke et al., 2012; Gómez-Navarro et al., 2011, 2013), having in general the same features and spread of the anomalies. Indeed the applied changes and configuration appear to be exploitable for paleoclimate applications.

255 3.2 Possible added Value of Highly Resolved Simulations for Paleoclimate Studies

In a successive step, we conduct a comparison of the three models at different resolution in order to estimate possible advantages in the use of highly resolved simulations for paleoclimate studies. According to Solomon et al. (2007): "Paleoclimate data are key to evaluating the ability of climate models to simulate realistic climate change". In particular, since the details added by high resolution

- 260 models can help in the interpretation of proxy data that are often influenced by processes taking place on smaller scales than the ones resolved in coarser models, they are supposed to be a particularly suitable tool for paleoclimate studies. Within this context, in our discussion we try to highlight the importance of using high resolution models, and in particular Regional Climate Models, for the simulation of past climate change.
- Aiming at investigating the value added by highly resolved simulations for the comparison of changes in near surface temperatures against proxy-reconstructions, we follow a two steps approach:
 - 1. Firstly, we conduct a qualitative analysis of the simulations performed with three models at different resolution in order to detect visible differences in the reproduced signals.
- Secondly, we employ a quantitative approach in order to estimate the skills of the RCM, in comparison to the driving GCM, in reproducing the same mid-to-late Holocene changes in temperature as derived from proxy-reconstructions.
 - 8

As a benchmark for such comparison we use the pollen-based temperature reconstructions of Mauri et al. (2015). In this way, we aim at establishing whether the representation of smaller scale processes and improved orographic features of the region of study, could lead to results that are in better agreement with the mentioned proxy-based reconstructions.

In Fig.6 we present the anomalies of temperature summer and winter seasonal means between 6000BP and the Pre-industrial period, as reproduced by the different models and the pollen-based reconstructions. From these maps we first notice, in both the seasons, that a similar signal of climate change is present in all the simulations. This is expected, being, in every case, the simulation

- 280 constrained by the coarser resolution models. Nevertheless, while the highly resolved simulations allow us to detect a warmer anomaly over Northern Europe in winter, also present in the proxy data, the ECHO-G does not present such behaviour. Additionally, the land-sea area in the ECHO-G is considerably different than the ones of the other models. Regions such as Southern Spain, the Black Sea area, Southern Italy and Scandinavia are partly or completely masked-out in this case.
- 285 Consequently, we focus further analysis on the comparison between the ECHAM5 and the CCLM results. In both seasons additional details are easily detectable in the CCLM pattern. The coastline is also better reproduced in this case, resulting in a better detailed representation of the land-sea contrast, a more precise reproduction of surface processes and, consequently, leading to more suitable information for possible comparison against proxy-data. Nonetheless, the CCLM shows better
- 290 defined patterns as a consequence of higher resolution, being able to discriminate higher spatial variability.

On the basis of such analysis, in the successive step, we try to quantify how better the CCLM reproduces the reconstructed temperatures in comparison to the ECHAM5. For this purpose we use an approach similar to the one employed by Zhang et al. (2010) and based on the work of Goosse et al. (2006). After regridding, by bilinear interpolation, the CCLM and the ECHAM5 results on the

295

275

 $CF_{mod}^{k} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{k} (T_{rec\,i}^{k} - T_{mod\,i}^{k})^{2}}$

reconstructions grid, we introduce a Cost Function defined as:

where
$$CF_{mod}^k$$
 is the value of the cost function for each considered time slice of mid-to-late

(1)

300

Holocene k and each model *mod*. The parameter n represents the number of the reconstructions' grid boxes. $T_{rec,i}^k$ is the temperature of the proxy-data at every location i, while $T_{mod,i}^k$ is the corresponding temperature of the model simulation. Additionally, the parameter w_i^k takes into account the uncertainties of the reconstructions at every location and time period. Its value is given by:

$$\omega_i^k = \frac{1}{(SE_i^k)^2 + 1} \tag{2}$$

where SE_i represents the standard error of the reconstructions at every grid box *i*. The corre-

- sponding uncertainties of the model results are considerably small ($\sim 0.01^{\circ}C$) in comparison to the ones of the reconstructions, similarly to Goosse et al. (2006), and are indeed neglected. In this way reconstructions with higher uncertainties will contribute less in the calculation of the Cost Function. The values of the Cost Function for the two models are provided in Tab.2. Values closer to 0 indicate a better agreement with proxy reconstructions.
- As we can notice, even if not particularly large differences are present, the Cost Function computed for the CCLM is in almost all the cases smaller than the one for ECHAM5. In particular the CCLM results are, in some cases, closer by more than 10% to the reconstructions. It is important to mention that the scale of the pollen-based reconstructions, considered for our analysis, is closer to the resolution of the ECHAM5 than of the CCLM. As suggested by Di Luca et al. (2015), given that
- 315 the main difference between the GCM and the RCM is related to their horizontal resolution, it seems natural that the results depend on the spatial scale of the analysis. Additionally, it is key to state that the evinced results are relative to this case study and other comparisons should be performed, considering different couples of RCM-GCM, in order to derive more robust conclusions on the suitability of higher-resolution models for the comparison against proxy-reconstructions. Nonetheless,
- 320 the motivation behind producing higher resolution climate simulations is not only related to scientific arguments of the type described above. From a different perspective, such results, due to the greater level of detail, could be preferable for applications in studies in which human adaptation or environmental response to past climatic changes would be investigated. Accordingly, the need for climate information at very fine scales, for applications such as archaeology or vegetation reconstructions,
- 325 constitutes a strong incentive to perform higher-resolution climate simulations (Di Luca et al., 2015; Rummukainen, 2016). The evinced results and the proposed discussion, give us concrete motivation for the choice of conducting RCM simulations for this particular case study.

3.3 The CCLM results and their Anomalies in the Comparison with Reconstructions

Finally we focus on the comparison between the CCLM results and the pollen-based reconstructions.
After analysing the differences between the two datasets and their temporal evolution, we propose, by means of correlations with trends of insolation and changes in atmospherical circulation patterns, physically plausible interpretation of the evinced mismatches.

Fig.7 and Fig.8 present the temperature biases between the two datasets for winter and summer seasonal means, respectively. These are calculated, after upscaling the CCLM results on the

335 grid of the pollen-based reconstructions by bilinear interpolation, for every time slice of mid-to-late Holocene. Additionally, they are accompanied by the maps of the corresponding pollen uncertainties.

In winter, generally colder conditions are reproduced by the model over northern continental Europe, with slightly warm biases over most of the South (Fig.7). In Scandinavia a negative bias is present

340 for the first two millennia, after which the situation then reverses. The largest anomalies (in some cases up to $\sim 4^{\circ}C$) are present over Northeastern Europe (likely related to high pollen-data uncertainty partly due to the fact that seasonal values derived from pollen in this area are biased towards the winter season) and Turkey.

In summer, instead, CCLM results present positive anomalies over most of the domain, with particularly pronounced values (in some case larger than ~ 4°C) over different parts of Southern Europe and the Mediterranean basin (Fig.8).

In addition to the previous analyses, the maps of temperature temporal evolution are presented in Fig. 9. They show the slope of the mid-to-late Holocene linear trends of temperature anomalies with respect to the pre-industrial period, calculated, at every grid box, by means of a weighted least

350 squares method, taking into account the contribution of the different uncertainties. The points for which the trends are not significant, according to a F-test at a significance level of 10%, are masked out in grey.

From these maps we see that in winter, even if over part of Southern Europe the two datasets present similar trends, their behaviour is different in the North: CCLM results show no significant

- 355 trend (Fig.9a), while the pollen-based reconstructions present significantly decreasing temperatures over a considerable part of the domain (Fig.9b). In particular, over Scandinavia, while the pollen-based reconstructions show a strong, significant cooling trend, no significant trend is evident for the model results. Conversely, in summer, the model results are characterized by a negative trend over most of the domain (Fig.9c), highly correlated to changes in insolation. The pollen data, in-
- 360 stead, show a significant negative trend similar to the CCLM results over part of Northern Europe only, and an opposite positive trend over most of Southern Europe (Fig.9d). Since changes in atmospheric circulation have often been suggested as possible drivers of temperature evolution during mid-to-late Holocene winters and summers (Bonfils et al., 2004; Braconnot et al., 2007a; Fischer and Jungclaus, 2011; Mauri et al., 2014), in order to obtain further insights into the causes of the evinced
- 365 biases, we conduct a Canonical Correlation Analysis (**CCA**) of model's mean sea level pressure and temperature anomalies, with respect to the pre-industrial period, for winter and summer seasons. The Canonical Correlation Analysis is particularly suitable for our purposes since it helps to identify spatial patterns of maximum correlation between climate variables, indicating potential underlying physical mechanisms (Wilks, 1995; von Storch and Zwiers, 1995). In CCA, according to
- 370 Gómez-Navarro et al. (2015), "from a physical point of view, the leading patterns should show similar characteristics when the mechanisms leading to the relationships between the climate fields are controlled by the same processes".

In our analysis we adopt the method of Barnett and Preisendorfer (1987) in which a EOF analysis is conducted prior to the CCA, retaining only a few leading EOFs, in order to remove part of the

375 random noise from the data. More specifically, after conducting the EOF analysis on the anomalies, with respect to the pre-industrial period, of MSLP and T2M, we select the first eight principal components of both the variables in winter, and the first eight and twelve principal components of, respectively, MSLP and T2M in summer. In this way, in both the cases, the selected PCs will explain approximately 80% of the total variance in the original datasets. We then apply the CCA analysis on the retrieved PCs

380 the retrieved PCs.

over this region.

Fig.10 and Fig.11 show the first two canonical pairs of patterns with the largest canonical correlation for both winter and summer.

The MSLP pattern explaining most of the variance, in winter, resembles the NAO (Fig.10c). The model seems to reproduce well the spatial pattern of the NAO when compared to other studies

- 385 (Gómez-Navarro et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the trend of the temporal evolution of its expansion coefficients (Fig.12c), seems not to be pronounced enough in order to reproduce a response in temperatures comparable with the respective results of pollen data. Additionally, the value of the canonical correlation, even if high, is slightly smaller than the one of a secondary mode of atmospheric variability, in this case represented by a blocking system centered over the Baltic Sea. The trend of
- 390 the expansion coefficients of this pattern is slightly positive but again not particularly pronounced. As a result of the combined effects of the evinced patterns of atmospheric variability, the CCLM temperature trends will be significant only over part of Southern Europe.

In summer, instead, the first CCA pair (Fig.11 a,b) seems to be highly related to changes in insolation (Fig.13 a,b). It is key to note that, the first canonical pattern of summer MSLP anomalies and 395 its structure, seems to be a proper product of this particular case of study. Even if it implies changes in circulation, we do not see any particularly prominent dipole structure characteristic of other wellknown circulation patterns for the region. Its effects on temperature are particularly high on the Atlantic coast of continental Europe, resulting in a smoothing of the trend of summer temperature

400 In the second CCA pair, the pattern of the mean sea level pressure (Fig.11 c) resembles the positive phase of the Summer North Atlantic Oscillation (SNAO) (Folland et al., 2009). The trend (Fig.13 c) of its expansion coefficients is again not particularly pronounced. As a consequence, the changes in the corresponding temperature pattern (Fig.13 d), are also not remarkable.

Consequently, we suggest that in summer, during mid-to-late Holocene, the changes in circula-405 tion alone would not have been enough to explain the variations in surface temperature, as reconstructed from the proxies. While over Northern Europe the relatively good agreement between the temperature of the two datasets over part of the domain suggests that for this region the insolation is probably the main driver of changes, for Southern Europe, however, the role of land-atmosphere coupling needs to be considered (Seneviratne et al., 2006). According to Bonfils et al. (2004) and

410 Starz et al. (2013), over Southern Europe, the presence of more moisture in the soil during mid-Holocene summer, due probably to more winter and early spring precipitation, is responsible, as a direct effect of higher insolation, for cooler conditions due to stronger latent heat transfer. According to the mentioned studies and to the previously presented analyses of model's heat-fluxes, we support this interpretation and suggest that the reason why the model does not manage to capture the

- 415 reconstruction temperature trend, could most probably be related to a wrong reproduction of soilatmosphere heat exchanges. As previously discussed, model's deficiencies in the representation of soil-atmosphere fluxes for this area, leads to an underestimation of evaporation and, consequently, to drier and warmer conditions. Further experiments, with improved soil properties, are indeed necessary in order to better reproduce soil moisture content, and to obtain more robust results for the
- comparison with reconstructions. 420

It is important to mention that the behaviour of mid-to-late Holocene's summer temperature over Europe has been highly debated during recent years. While a dipole behaviour between Southern and Northern Europe has been suggested by several studies based on pollen analyses (Huntley and Prentice, 1988; Cheddadi et al., 1997; Prentice et al., 1998; Davis et al., 2003; Mauri et al., 2015) and

- others relying on a combination of different proxies, such as the one of Magny et al. (2013), which 425 suggested a North-South paleoclimatic contrast in the central Mediterranean during the Holocene, other studies argued against such hypothesis. In particular Osborne et al. (2000) proposed that reconstructions of summer temperature based on pollen could be erroneous for the Mediterranean region, since here the vegetation distribution is mainly limited by effective precipitation, rather than
- 430 by summer temperature. The latest hypothesis should be taken into account for the comparison between pollen-based reconstructions and model simulations. Nevertheless, additional investigations have shown that, when directly compared to the pollen record, the mid-Holocene vegetation simulated from the output of climate models is way too dry over Southern Europe, with an expansion of Mediterranean and steppe/desert vegetation and contraction in forest cover, a direct consequence of
- simulated warmer conditions (Prentice et al., 1998; Wohlfahrt et al., 2004; Gallimore et al., 2005; 435 Benito Garzon et al., 2007; Kleinen et al., 2010).

Based on these considerations, recognizing the dataset of Mauri et al. (2015) as a valuable source for the investigation of European temperature evolution during mid-to-late Holocene, we acknowledge the fact that joint efforts from specialists of different disciplines are still required in order to further clarify possible uncertainties.

3.3.1 Other Modelling Studies

440

445

An important benchmark for the comparison of our results against other modelling studies is represented by the outcomes of the PMIP3 experiment (Braconnot et al., 2011), for which several simulations have been performed, with different coupled circulation models, for the mid-Holocene and the pre-industrial time. Here we focus on the results of twelve of the PMIP3 simulations. Specifically, we perform a direct comparison of the regional mean of winter and summer near surface temperature

calculated for Northern and Southern Europe for the PMIP3 simulations as well as each of ours The results are presented in two tables, provided as supplementary material, in which the corresponding values derived from the pollen-based reconstructions are also included. Two main features arise

- 450 from such analysis: first of all common positive anomalies (~ +1°C) over Southern Europe in summer for all the models is evident, while the reconstructions present a negative value (~ −1.2°C). This indicates that the temperature differences are positive in the model simulations as a result of the higher summer insolation at mid-Holocene than at the pre-industrial period. Additionally, another feature that seems to be common to all the models is represented by the failure in representing
- winter anomalies in both the regions and it is attributable to a wrong reproduction of changes in the amplitude of NAO (Fischer and Jungclaus, 2011; Strandberg et al., 2014). While some models present a value similar to the one of reconstructions for Southern Europe (~ +0.5°C), in the North the differences are significant, with the pollen-based reconstructions presenting a warm anomalies (~ +2.5°C), and the models having slightly positive values (between 0 and +1°C) in some cases,
 and negative (up to ~ −1°C) in the others.
 - 4 Summary and Conclusions

475

In this work we performed for the first time a set of highly resolved climate simulations over Europe for different time-slices of mid-to-late Holocene, by means of the state-of-the-art regional climate model COSMO-CLM.

- As a first step, using the CRU and the E-OBS observational datasets as benchmarks, a model setup suitable for paleoclimate investigations has been tested for the reference period 1991-2000. The results show that the RCM is able to reproduce realistic climatology with respect to the observations. The largest biases arise in summer over Southern Europe where the model reproduces warmer and drier conditions ($\sim +4^{\circ}C$ for temperature and < -50% for precipitation), likely related to a
- 470 wrong conversion of energy towards latent heat over this area. Nevertheless, the results are in good agreement with the ones of other studies for the same region, and the employed configuration can be considered a valid reference for future applications.

Successively, the results of mid-to-late Holocene simulations have been compared against a new pollen-based climate reconstruction dataset. Winter and summer seasonal means of near surface temperature have been considered for our analysis.

To begin with, the possible advantages of higher resolution models for paleoclimate applications have been investigated. The RCM seems to better reproduce the signal of the climate-reconstruction when compared to the driving GCMs, with a more detailed reproduction of the coast-line and better defined patterns. Additionally, using a quantitative approach, we have demonstrated that the results

480 of the RCM are closer to the values of the reconstructions in comparison to the driving GCM, in some cases by more than 10 %. Considering also the final user perspective, the evinced results gave us concrete reasons for choosing to conduct highly resolved simulations for this particular case study. Finally, the CCLM results are used in order to investigate the response of the climate system to changes in the seasonal cycle of insolation, with the aim of proposing plausible physical interpretations of the mismatches arising in the comparison against the reconstructions.

485

The results show that, in winter, over Southern Europe temporal behaviour and spatial distribution of temperature in the two datasets are comparable. Conversely, the model tends to reproduce generally colder conditions over central and northern continental Europe. Through the analysis of atmospheric circulation patterns we argue that this bias is due to a different representation by the

- 490 model of the expected changes in circulation, as a result of reduced influence of westerly winds and an increased importance of secondary modes of atmospheric variability. Additionally, larger differences are present in Northeastern Europe, likely related to high uncertainties of pollen data over this area. In summer, the simulated northern conditions agree well with the proxy data over part of the domain. Their behaviour seems to be a direct response to insolation changes. Conversely, while the
- 495 model produces warmer summer conditions over Southern Europe at mid-Holocene, in comparison to pre-industrial times, again mainly due to insolation changes, the pollen data exhibit an opposite trend. According to the results of previous works and to the analysis of atmospheric dynamics, we suggest that this behaviour is mainly due to a higher partition of radiation towards latent heat, resulting in a cooling effect of the surface that the model is not able to reproduce due to deficiencies in the
- 500 representation of soil-atmosphere heat fluxes over this area. Nonetheless, it is important to mention that the validity of reconstructions of European summer temperature over the Mediterranean region based on pollen data has been highly questioned in recent years. Even though several evidences confirm an increasing trend of temperature over the area from 6000BP to present day, joint efforts from specialists of different disciplines are still required in order to further clarify possible uncertainties.
- 505 This paper sets the basis for further investigations: in particular a set of new simulations with improved radiation schemes, soil properties and land use, could lead to important contributions to climate modelling and, consequently, to the improvement of future climate change projections.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the two anonymous referees for their constructive comments that helped to considerably improve the manuscript. This paper was supported by the Cluster of Excellence

- 510 "Topoi The Formation and Transformation of Space and Knowledge in Ancient Civilizations". The computational resources were made available by the German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ) and the Freie Universität Berlin (ZEDAT). We would like to thank Achille Mauri and Basil Davis for providing the pollen-based reconstructions and for their continuous support and constructive discussions. We would also like to express our sincere appreciation to Janina Körper for designing and conducting the ECHAM5 climate simulations. A partic-
- 515 ular acknowledgment goes to Edoardo Mazza for his continuous support and intellectual debate. We would also like to thank Ingo Kirchner, Bijan Fallah, Nico Becker, Alexander Walter and John Walter Acevedo Valencia for the fruitful and interesting discussions. Additionally, we are particularly greatful to Jacqueline Harvey for proofreading the manuscript.

References

- 520 Barnett, T. and Preisendorfer, R.: Origins and levels of monthly and seasonal forecast skill for United States surface air temperatures determined by canonical correlation analysis., Mon. Wea. Rev., 115, 1825–1850, 1987.
 - Benito Garzon, M., Sánchez de Dios, R., and Sáinz Ollero, H.: Predictive modelling of tree species distributions on the Iberian Peninsula during the Last Glacial Maximum and Mid-Holocene, Ecography, 30, 120–134, 2007.

525

- Berger, A.: Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes, Journal of Atmospheric Science, 35(12), 2362–2367, 1978.
- Bonfils, C., de Noblet, N., Guiot, J., and Bartlein, P.: Some Mechanisms of mid-Holocene climate change in Europe, inferred from comparing PMIP models to data, Climate Dynamics, 23, 79–98, 2004.
- 530 Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Hewitt, C., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Loutre, M., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum - Part 1: experiments and large-scale features., Climate of the Past, 3, 261–277, 2007a.
- 535 Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Hewitt, C., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Loutre, M., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum - Part 2: feedbacks with emphasis on the location of the ITCZ and mid- and high latitudes heat budget., Climate of the Past, 3, 279–296, 2007b.
- 540 Braconnot, P., Harrison, S., Otto-Bliesner, B., Abe-Ouchi, A., Jungclaus, J., and Peterschmitt, J.: The Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project contribution to CMIP5, Clivar Echanges No. 56, 16, No.2, 15–19, 2011.
 - Cheddadi, R., Guiot, J., Harrison, S., and Prentice, I.: The climate of Europe 6000 years ago, Climate Dynamics, 13, 1–9, 1997.
- 545 Christensen, J., H., Boberg, F., Christensen, O., and Picher, P.: On the need for bias correction of regional climate change projections of temperature and precipitation, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, 20, 2008.
 - Collins, M. and Allen, M.: On assessing the relative Roles of initial and boundary Conditions in interannual to decadal Climate Predictability, Journal of Climate, 2002.
- Cubasch, U., Waszkewitz, J., Hegerl, G., and Perlwitz, J.: Regional climate changes as simulated in time-slice
 experiments, Climatic Change, 31, 273–304, 1995.
 - Davis, B., Brewer, S., Stevenson, A., and Guiot, J.: The temperature of Europe during the Holocene reconstructed from pollen data, Quaternary Sciences Reviews, 22, 1701–1716, 2003.
 - De Noblet, N., Braconnot, P., Joussaume, S., and Texier, D.: Sensitivity of simulated Asian and African summer monsoons to orbital induced variations in insolation 126, 115 and 6 kBP, Climate Dynamics, 15, 162–603, 1996.
- 555
 - Dee, D., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M., Balsamo,
 G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R.,
 Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hersbach, H., Holm, E., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Köh-

ler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A., Monge-Sanz, B., Morcrette, J., Park, B., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P.,

- 560 Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 553–597, 2011.
 - Di Luca, A., de Elia, R., and Laprise, R.: Challenges in the Quest for Added Value of Regional Climate Dynamical Downscaling, Curr. Clim. Change Rep., 1, 10-21, 2015.
- Doms, G. and Schättler, U.: A Description of the nonhydrostatic regional model LM, Part II: Physical Parame-565 terization, Tech. rep., Consortium for Small Scale Modelling (COSMO), 2003.
 - Fallah, B., Sodoudi, S., and Cubasch, U.: Westerly jet stream and past millennium climate change in arid central asia simulated by COSMO-CLM, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 2015.
 - Felzer, B. and Thompson, S.: Evaluation of a Regional Climate Model for paleoclimate applications in the Arctic, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 407-427, 2001.
- 570 Feser, F., Rocker, B., von Storch, H., Winterfeldt, J., and Zahn, M.: Regional Climate Models add Value to Global Model Data: a Review and Selected Examples, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 92, 1181–1192, 2011.
 - Fischer, E., Seneviratne, S., Vidale, P., Lüthi, D., and Schär, C.: Soil moisture-atmosphere interactions during the 2003 European summer heat wave, Journal of Climate, 20, 5081-5099, 2007.

Fischer, N. and Jungclaus, J.: Evolution of the seasonal temperature cycle in a transient Holocene simulation:

orbital forcing and sea-ice, Climate of the Past, 7, 1139-1148, 2011. Flückiger, J., Monnin, E., Stauffer, B., Schwander, J., Stocker, T., Chappellaz, J., Raynaud, D., and Barnola, J.: High resolution Holocene N_2O ice core record and its relationship with CH_4 and CO_2 , Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 16, 2002.

575

Past, 7, 451-472, 2011.

- Folland, C., Knight, J., Linderholm, H., Fereday, D., Ineson, S., and Hurrell, J.: The Summer North Atlantic 580 Oscillation: Past, Present, and Future., Journal of Climate, 22, 1082-1103, 2009.
 - Gallimore, R., Jacob, R., and Kutzbach, J.: Coupled atmosphere-ocean-vegetation simulations for modern and mid-Holocene climates: role of extratropical vegetation cover feedbacks, Climate Dynamics, 25, 755-776, 2005.
- Gómez-Navarro, J., Monta'vez, J., Jerez, S., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Lorente-Plazas, R., Gonzalez-Rouco, J., and 585 Zorita, E.: A regional Climate Simulation over the Iberian Peninsula for the last Millennium, Climate of the
 - Gómez-Navarro, J., Monta'vez, J., Jerez, S., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Lorente-Plazas, R., Gonzalez-Rouco, J., and Zorita, E.: Internal and External Variability in regional Simulations of the Iberian Peninsula Climate over the last Millennium, Climate of the Past, 8, 25-36, 2012.
- 590 Gómez-Navarro, J., Monta vez, J., Wagner, S., and Zorita, E.: A regional Climate Paleosimulation for Europe in the period 1500-1990-Part1: Model Validation, Climate of the Past, 9, 1667-1682, 2013.
 - Gómez-Navarro, J., Bothe, O., Wagner, S., Zorita, E., Werner, J., Luterbacher, J., Raible, C., and Montávez, J.: A regional Climate Paleosimulation for Europe in the period 1500-1990-Part2: Shortcomings and Strengths of Models and Reconstructions, Climate of the Past, 11, 1077–1095, 2015.
- 595 Goosse, H., Renssen, H., Timmermann, A., Bradley, R., and Mann, M.: Using Paleoclimate proxy-data to select optimal realisations in an ensemble of simulations of the climate of the past millennium, Climate Dynamics, 27, 165-184, 2006.

Hagemann, S., Machenhauer, B., Jones, R., Christensen, O., Déqué, M., Jacob, D., and Vidale, P.: Evaluation of water and energy budgets in regional climate models applied over Europe, Climate Dynamics, 23, 547–567, 2004.

600 2

- Harris, I., Jones, P., Osborn, T., and Lister, D.: Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observationsthe CRU TS3.10 dataset, International Journal of Climatology, 34, 623–642, 2014.
- Harrison, S., Bartlein, P., Izumi, K., Li, G., Annan, J., Hargreaves, J., Braconnot, P., and Kageyama, M.: Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections., Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 735–743, 2015.
- 605 Haylock, M., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A., Klok, E., Jones, P., and New, M.: European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950- 2006, Journal of Atmospheric Science, 112, D20 119, 2008.
 - Hollweg, H., Böhm, U., Fast, I., Hennemuth, B., Keuler, K., Keup-Thiel, E., Lautenschlager, M., Legutke, S.,Radtke, K., Rockel, B., Schubert, M., Will, A., Woldt, M., and Wunram, C.: Ensemble Simulations over
- Europe with the Regional Climate Model CLM forced with IPCC AR4 Global Scenarios, Tech. rep., Max Planck Institute für Meteorologie, 2008.

Huntley, B. and Prentice, C.: July temperatures in Europe from pollen data, Science, 241, 687–690, 1988.

- Jacob, D., Kotlarski, S., and Kröner, N.: EURO-CORDEX: New High Resolution Climate Change Projections for Europe Impact Research, Regional Environmental Change, 14-2, 563–578, 2014.
- 615 Jerez, S., Montavez, J., Gomez-Navarro, J., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Jimenez, J., and Gonzalez-Rouco, J.: Temperature sensitivity to the land-surface model in MM5 climate simulations over the Iberian Peninsula, Meteorol. Z., 19(4), 363–374, 2010.
 - Jerez, S., Montavez, J., Gomez-Navarro, J., Jimenez, P., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Lorente, R., and Gonzalez-Rouco, J.: The role of the land-surface model for climate change projections over the Iberian Peninsula,
- 620 J. Geophys. Res., 117, 2012.
 - Joussaume, S. and Taylor, K.: Status of the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project (PMIP), 92, 1995.Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V., von Bloh, W., Archer, D., and Munhoven, G.: Holocene carbon cycle dynamics,Geophysical Research Letters, 37, 2010.

Kotlarski, S., Keuler, K., Christensen, O., Colette, A., Deque, M., Gobiet, A., Goergen, K., Jacob, D., Lüthi, D.,

- 625 van Meijgaard, E., Nikulin, G., Schär, C., Teichmann, C., Vautard, R., Warrach-Sagi, K., and Wulfmeyer, V.: Regional Climate modeling on European scales: a joint standard evaluation of the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble, Geosci. Model Develop., 7, 1297–1333, 2014.
 - Kutzbach, J., Bonan, G., Foley, J., and Harrison, S.: Vegetation and soil feedbacks on the response of the African monsoon to orbital forcing in the early to middle Holocene, Nature, 384, 623–626, 1996.
- 630 Magny, M., Combourieu-Nebout, N., De Beaulieu, J., Bout-Roumazeilles, V., Colombaroli, D., Desprat, S., Francke, A., Joannin, S., Peyron, O., Revel, M., et al.: North-south palaeohydrological contrasts in the central Mediterranean during the Holocene: tentative synthesis and working hypotheses, Climate of the Past, 9, 1901–1967, 2013.
- Masson, V., Cheddadi, R., Braconnot, P., Joussaume, S., and Texier, D.: Mid-Holocene climate in Europe: what
 can we infer from PMIP model-data comparisons?, Climate Dynamics, 15, 163–182, 1999.
 - Mauri, A., Davis, B., Collins, P., and Kaplan, J.: The influence of atmospheric circulation on the mid-Holocene climate of Europe: a data-model comparison, Climate of the Past, 10, 1925–1938, 2014.

Mauri, A., Davis, B., Collins, P., and Kaplan, J.: The Climate of Europe during the Holocene: a gridded Pollenbased Reconstruction and its multi-proxy Evaluation, Quaternary Science Reviews, 112, 109-127, 2015.

Osborne, C., Mitchell, P., Sheehy, J., and Woodward, F.: Modelling the recent historical impacts of atmospheric 640 CO2 and climate change on Mediterranean vegetation, Global Change Biology, 6, 445–458, 2000.

Prentice, I., Harrison, S., Jolly, D., and Guiot, J.: The climate and biomes of Europe at 6000yr BP: comparison of model simulations and pollen-based reconstructions, Quaternary Science Reviews, 17, 659–668, 1998.

Prömmel, K., Cubasch, U., and Kaspar, F.: A regional climate model study of the impact of tectonic and orbital

- 645 forcing on African precipitation and vegetation, Paleography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology, 369, 154-162, 2013.
 - Randall, D., Wood, R., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichefet, T., Fyfe, J., Kattsov, V., Pitman, A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R., Sumi, A., and Taylor, K.: Cilmate Models and Their Evaluation. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis., Tech. rep., 2007.
- 650 Renssen, H., Isarin, R., Jacob, D., Podzun, R., and Vandenberghe, J.: Simulation of the Younger Dryas climate in Europe using a regional climate model nested in an AGCM: preliminary results, Global and Planetary Changes, 30, 41-57, 2001.
 - Rockel, B., Will, A., and Hense, A.: The regional climate model cosmo-clm(cclm), Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 17, 347-348, 2008.
- 655 Roeckner, E., Arpe, K., Bengtsson, L., Christoph, M., Claussen, M., Dumenil, L., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: The atmospheric general circulation model ECHAM4: model description and simulation of present-day climate, Tech. rep., Max Planck Institut für Meteorologie, 1996.
 - Roeckner, E., Bauml, G., Bonaventura, L., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kirchner, I., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Rhodin, A., Schlese, U., Schulzweida, U., and Tompkins, A.: The atmospheric
- General Circulation Model ECHAM5, Tech. rep., Max Planck Institut für Meteorologie, 2003. Rummukainen, M.: Added value in regional climate modeling, WIREs Climate Change, 7, 145-149, 2016. Schimanke, S., Meier, H., Kjellström, E., Strandberg, G., and Hordoir, R.: The Climate in the Baltic Sea region during the last Millennium simulated with a Regional Climate Model, Climate of the Past, 8, 1419-1433, 2012.

660

- 665 Seneviratne, S., Lüthi, D., Litschi, M., and Schär, C.: Land-atmosphere coupling and climate change in Europe, Nature, 443, 205-209, 2006.
 - Seneviratne, S., Corti, T., Davin, E., Hirschi, M., Jaeger, E., Lehner, I., Orlowsky, B., and Teuling, A.: Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate: A review, Earth-Sci. Rev., 99, 125-161, 2010.
- 670 Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K., Tignor, M., and Miller, H.: IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis., Tech. rep., 2007.
 - Starz, M., Lohmann, G., and Knorr, G.: Dynamic soil feedbacks on the climate of the mid-Holocene and the Last Glacial Maximum, Climate of the Past, 9, 2717–2730, 2013.
- Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, 675 P.: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis., Tech. rep., 2013.
- Strandberg, G., Kjellström, E., Poska, A., Wagner, S., Gaillard, M., Trondman, A., Mauri, A., Davis, B., Kaplan, J., Birks, H., Bjune, A., Fyfe, R., Giesecke, T., Kalnina, L., Kangur, M., van der Knaap, W., Kokfelt,

U., Kunes, P., Latalowa, M., Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A., Smith, B., Seppa, H., and Sugita, S.: Regional Climate model simulations for Europe at 6 and 0.2 k BP: sensitivity to changes in anthropogenic deforestation. Climate of the Past 10, 661, 680, 2014

deforestation, Climate of the Past, 10, 661–680, 2014.

695

Vettoretti, G., Peltier, W., and McFarlane, N.: The simulated response of the climate system to soil moisture perturbations under paleoclimatic boundary conditions at 6000 years before present, Can. J. Earth Sci., 17, 635–660, 2000.

von Storch, H. and Zwiers, F.: Statistical Analysis in Climate Research, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

- 685 Wagner, S., Widmann, M., Jones, J., Haberzettl, T., Lücke, A., Mayr, C., Ohlendorf, C., Schäbitz, F., and Zolitschka, B.: Transient simulations, empirical reconstructions and forcing mechanisms for the midholocene hydrological climate in Southern Patagonia., Climate Dynamics, 29, 333–355, 2007.
 - Wagner, S., Fast, I., and Kaspar, F.: Comparison of 20th century and pre-industrial climate over south America in regional model simulations, Climate of the Past, 8, 1599–1620, 2012.
- 690 Wigley, T., Ingram, M., and Farmer, G.: Climate and History: Studies in Past Climate and their Impact on Man, Cambridge University Press, 1981.

Wilks, D.: Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences, International Geophysics Series, Academic Press, 1995.

- Wolff, J., Maier-Reimer, E., and Legutke, S.: The Hamburg Primitive Equation Model HOPE, Tech. rep., German Climate Computer Service, 1997.
 - Yip, S., Ferro, C., Stephenson, D., and Hawkins, E.: A simple coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, Journal of Climate, 24(17), 4634–4643, 2011.
- 700 Zhang, Q., Sundqvuist, H., Moberg, A., Körnich, H., Nilsson, J., and Holmgren, K.: Climate change between the mid and the late Holocene in northern high latitudes - Part 2: Model-data comparisons, Climate of the Past, 6, 609–626, 2010.
 - Zveryeav, I. and Allan, R.: Summertime Precipitation Variability over Europe and its links to Atmospheric Dynamics and Evaporation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, D12 102, 2010.

Wohlfahrt, J., Harrison, S., and Braconnot, P.: Synergistic feedbacks between ocean and vegetation on mid-and high-latitude climates during the mid-Holocene, Climate Dynamics, 22, 223–238, 2004.



Figure 1. (*Left*) Anomalies of zonal mean insolation on top of the atmosphere (TOA) between pre-industrial period (PI) and 6000 years BP. (Right) Mid-to-late Holocene trends of the anomalies, with respect to presentday values, of December and June TOA incoming insolation, calculated, according to Berger (1978), for 30° and 60° North. Units are W/m^2 .

Table 1. COSMO-CLM Main model configuration parameters

Convection	Tiedke	
Time Integration	Runge-Kutta, ΔT =240s	
Robert-Aselin time filter (alphaas)	0.53	
Lateral Relaxation Layer	500Km	
Radiation	Ritter and Geleyn	
Turbulence	Implicit treatment of vertical diffusion	
	using Neumann boundary conditions	
Rayleigh Damping Layer (rdheight)	11Km	
Soil Active Layers	9	
Active Soil Depth	5.74m	



Figure 2. Orography map of the COSMO-CLM simulation domain in rotated coordinates.

Table 2. Winter (left) and summer (right)Temperature Cost Function estimates for the CCLM and the ECHAM5

 models compared to the Proxy reconstructions for each time slice of mid-to-late Holocene. Values closer to 0

 indicate a better agreement with proxy reconstructions.

Time Slice	Winter		Summer	
	CCLM	ECHAM5	CCLM	ECHAM5
6000BP	0.87	0.92	0.93	0.96
5000BP	0.88	0.92	0.72	0.72
4000BP	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.67
3000BP	0.78	0.82	0.63	0.71
2000BP	0.77	0.79	0.48	0.54
1000BP	0.61	0.61	0.43	0.48



Figure 3. Analysis of Winter seasonal means of 2 meter temperature (left panel) and Precipitation (right panel) for the period 1991-2000. The first column of each panel (I,III) shows the mean climatology for the investigated period as represented in the three considered datasets: the CCLM in the first row, the CRU in the second and the *E-OBS* at the bottom. The second columns show (II,IV), instead, the biases between the CCLM results and the respective observational datasets. The area with a point represent the grid cells where the anomalies between the two datasets are not significant, according to a Student's T-test, at a significance level of 5%.



Figure 4. As Fig3 but for Summer.



Figure 5. Biases of seasonal means of Evapotranspiration (left), Latent (center) and Sensible Heat (right) fluxes, between the CCLM simulations and the GLDAS dataset, calculated for the reference period 1991-2000. As in the previous figures, the area with a point represent the grid cells where the anomalies between the two datasets are not significant, according to a Student's T-test, at a significance level of 5%. Winter results are presented in the first row, and Summer results in the second.



Figure 6. Maps of the anomalies between 6000BP and the preindustrial period of Winter (left) and Summer (right) seasonal means of 2 meters temperature, calculated over a 25-year period. The results of the three different models and the pollen-based reconstructions are presented. From top to bottom: POLLEN-based reconstructions, CCLM, ECHAM5, ECHO-G. The results are presented on each dataset original grid: the CCLM data, in particular, are shown in rotated geographical coordinates.



Figure 7. Left: Maps of Winter 2 meters temperature anomalies between CCLM and Pollen-based Reconstructions for the different time slices of mid-to-late Holocene. **Right**: Standard error of winter temperature seasonal mean derived from the pollen-based reconstructions for each time slice of mid-to-late Holocene.



Figure 8. As in Fig.7 but for Summer seasonal means.



Figure 9. *Mid-to-late Holocene temporal Evolution of the anomalies, with respect to the pre-industrial period, of near surface temperature winter (first row) and summer (second row) seasonal means, derived from the CCLM simulations (left) and the pollen-based reconstruction (right). The maps show the slopes of the linear trends calculated, for every grid box, taking into consideration the uncertainties associated to the two datasets, by means of a weighted least squares method. The area masked out in grey, are the area where the trends are not significant, according to a F-test at a significance level of 10%.*



Figure 10. Canonical correlation pattern pairs of MSLP (left) and T2M (right) in Winter, calculated accordingly to the Barnett and Preisendorfer (1987) method. Each panel illustrates the percentage of variance explained by the patterns and the canonical correlation associated with the pair. The results are calculated for the mid-tolate Holocene, from 6000BP to Pre-industrial times. Note that the MSLP has been obtained directly from the driving GCM, since the window of interest lies outside the RCM domain. For both the variables the analysis has been conducted on the standardized anomalies with respect to the pre-industrial period. Red (blue) areas indicate positive (negative) correlations, for each grid point, between the data and the corresponding canonical score series.



Figure 11. As in Fig. 10 but for Summer season.



Figure 12. Canonical score series of the first two pairs of Canonical Correlation patterns of, respectively, *MSLP* (left column) and 2 meter temperature (right column) winter seasonal mean anomalies



Figure 13. As in Fig. 12 but for summer