Last Interglacial climate and sea-level evolution from a coupled ice sheet-climate model

3 H. Goelzer¹*, P. Huybrechts¹, Marie-France Loutre², Thierry Fichefet²

4

¹Earth System Sciences & Departement Geografie, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels,
Belgium

²Université catholique de Louvain, Earth and Life Institute, Georges Lemaître Centre for
Earth and Climate Research (TECLIM), Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.

9 *now at: Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the

10 Netherlands

11 Correspondence to: H. Goelzer (heiko.goelzer@vub.ac.be)

- 12
- 13

14 **1 Abstract**

15 As the most recent warm period in Earth's history with a sea-level stand higher than present, the Last Interglacial (~130 to 115 kyr BP) is often considered a prime example to study the 16 17 impact of a warmer climate on the two polar ice sheets remaining today. Here we simulate the Last Interglacial climate, ice sheet and sea-level evolution with the Earth system model of 18 19 intermediate complexity LOVECLIM v.1.3, which includes dynamic and fully-coupled 20 components representing the atmosphere, the ocean and sea ice, the terrestrial biosphere and 21 the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. In this set-up, sea-level evolution and climate-ice 22 sheet interactions are modelled in a consistent framework.

Surface mass balance change governed by changes in surface meltwater runoff is the dominant forcing for the Greenland ice sheet, which shows a peak sea-level contribution of 1.4 m at 123 kyr BP in the reference experiment. Our results indicate that ice sheet-climate feedbacks play an important role to amplify climate and sea-level changes in the Northern Hemisphere. The sensitivity of the Greenland ice sheet to surface temperature changes considerably increases when interactive albedo changes are considered. Southern Hemisphere 29 polar and sub-polar ocean warming is limited throughout the Last Interglacial and surface and sub-shelf melting exerts only a minor control on the Antarctic sea-level contribution with a 30 31 peak of 4.4 m at 125 kyr BP. Retreat of the Antarctic ice sheet at the onset of the LIG is 32 mainly forced by rising sea-level and to a lesser extent by reduced ice shelf viscosity as the 33 surface temperature increases. Global sea level shows a peak of 5.3 m at 124.5 kyr BP, which includes a minor contribution of 0.35 m from oceanic thermal expansion. Neither the 34 individual contributions nor the total modelled sea-level stand show fast multi-millennial time 35 36 scale variations as indicated by some reconstructions.

37

38 2 Introduction

39 The climate and sea-level evolution of past warm periods in the history of the Earth can give important insights into expected changes in the future. The Last Interglacial (LIG) in 40 41 particular is often considered as a prime candidate for a potential, albeit limited, analogue for a warmer future world, due to a wealth of available reconstructions of climate and sea level 42 43 for this period ~130-115 thousand years (kyr) ago (e.g. Dutton et al., 2015). Problems for the direct comparison between LIG and future climates arise mainly from the different forcing 44 45 responsible for the warming, which can be ascribed to orbital variations during the LIG and to elevated levels of greenhouse gases in the future. During the LIG, global mean annual surface 46 temperature is thought to have been 1°C to 2°C higher and peak global annual sea surface 47 48 temperatures $0.7^{\circ}C \pm 0.6^{\circ}C$ higher than pre-industrial (e.g. Turney and Jones, 2010; McKay 49 et al., 2011), with the caveat that warmest phases were assumed globally synchronous in these data syntheses (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013). These numbers are largely confirmed by a 50 recent compilation, which resolves the temporal temperature evolution (Capron et al., 2014). 51 52 Due to polar amplification, high latitude surface temperatures, when averaged over several 53 thousand years, were at least 2°C higher than present (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013) and 54 were up to 5°C higher over the ice sheets (EPICA community members, 2004; Masson-55 Delmotte et al., 2015). These high temperatures had severe consequences for the evolution of 56 the ice sheets at the onset and during the LIG as evidenced in large variations of sea level 57 (Rohling et al., 2014; Grant et al., 2012). Coming out of the penultimate glaciation with a sea-58 level depression of up to 130 m, the global sea level has peaked during the LIG, estimated at 5.5 to 9 m higher than today (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Kopp et al., 2009; 2013), with a 59 60 current best estimate of 6 m above the present level (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013).

A higher-than-present sea-level stand almost certainly implies a complete melting of the Laurentide and Fennoscandian ice sheets and a contribution from the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS), from the Antarctic ice sheet (AIS), or from both. However, ice sheet retreat should not be assumed synchronous in the Northern and Southern hemispheres and between individual ice sheets. Fluctuations in global sea-level during the LIG period (Thompson et al., 2011; Kopp et al., 2013) could be a consequence of differences in the timing of retreat and regrowth between the GrIS and AIS.

68 Because thus far direct evidence for an AIS contribution to the LIG sea-level high-stand is elusive. support for a contribution from the AIS is usually given as a residual of total sea-level 69 70 stand minus contributions from the GrIS, thermal expansion (THXP) and glaciers and small 71 ice caps. This illustrates that the attribution problem is so far largely underdetermined. It appears that the lower bound of 5.5 m for the LIG sea-level high-stand (Dutton and Lambeck, 72 2012; Kopp et al., 2013) could be fully explained by maximum values given in the IPCC AR5 73 74 (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013) for the contributions of the GrIS (1.4 - 4.3 m), glaciers and 75 small ice caps $(0.42 \pm 0.11 \text{ m})$ and THXP $(0.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ m})$ combined. However, assuming central estimates for all individual components and the total would indicate an Antarctic contribution 76 77 of ~ 3 m, which would be in line with the contribution estimated for a collapse of the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS) alone (Bamber et al., 2009). An Antarctic component is generally 78 79 assumed to have foremost come from the WAIS, which is thought to be vulnerable due to its marine-based character. It is often speculated to be sensitive to ocean warming and increased 80 81 sub-shelf melting (e.g. Duplessy et al., 2007; Holden et al., 2010), possibly caused by the interhemispheric see-saw effect (Stocker, 1998). However, a combination of partial WAIS 82 83 collapse and some East Antarctic ice sheet (EAIS) retreat is also a possibility due to the large 84 size of the latter. High-end estimates of sea-level change can only be reconciled with an 85 additional EAIS contribution, supposedly from marine-based sectors in the Wilkes and Aurora basins (Pollard et al., 2015; DeConto and Pollard, 2016). One issue complicating the 86 87 residual argument is the aforementioned possibility of different timing of the GrIS and AIS contributions. Indirect evidence of a WAIS reduction or collapse may come from climate 88 89 modelling studies that attempt to explain stable-isotope ratios from ice (core) records (Holden et al., 2010; Steig et al., 2015). 90

91 The GrIS evolution is somewhat better constrained than the AIS evolution by ice core records
92 both in the central part (GRIP, NGRIP, NEEM) and at the periphery (Dye-3, Camp Century),

93 even if interpretation of the lower parts of the records remains ambiguous. To this date, none 94 of the Greenland ice cores shows continuous and undisturbed information back in time 95 through the LIG and into the penultimate glacial maximum. The relatively high temperatures 96 during the LIG as reconstructed from the folded lower parts of the NEEM ice core (NEEM 97 community members, 2013; Landais et al., 2016) seem to be incompatible with the general view that the ice sheet has lost rather little volume during the LIG (e.g. Robinson et al., 2011; 98 99 Colville et al., 2011). Several studies have therefore attempted to identify possible biases in the NEEM reconstructions (e.g. van de Berg et al., 2013; Merz et al., 2014; Sjolte et al., 2014; 100 101 Steen-Larsen et al., 2014; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2015; Merz et al., 2016; Rasmus et al., 102 2016). Furthermore, the minimum extent and margin position of the northeastern part of the 103 ice sheet is not well constrained, leaving room for alternative retreat scenarios (e.g. Born and 104 Nisancioglu, 2012).

Modelling studies of the GrIS for the entire LIG period so far often use parameterised 105 106 representations of the climate forcing (e.g. Huybrechts, 2002), forcing based on time slice 107 climate experiments (e.g. Born and Nisancioglu, 2012; Stone et al., 2013; Langebroek and 108 Nisancioglu, 2016) or asynchronous coupling (Helsen et al., 2013), while full coupling 109 between ice and climate models is still a challenge and limited to models of intermediate 110 complexity (e.g. Robinson et al., 2011). Ice sheet modelling studies with specific focus on the 111 AIS during the LIG are rare due to the aforementioned lack of climate and geomorphological constraints for that period. However, some results on the AIS during the LIG have been 112 113 presented in studies with main focus on other time periods (e.g. Huybrechts, 2002) or with interest on longer time scales (e.g. Pollard and DeConto, 2009; de Boer et al., 2013, 2014). A 114 115 recent study by DeConto and Pollard (2016) utilizes simulations of the AIS during the LIG to 116 constrain future sea-level projections.

Despite recent advances (e.g. Capron et al., 2014), the fundamental shortcoming at present for 117 118 improving modelled constraints on the LIG ice sheet contribution to sea level with physical 119 models is the sparse information on LIG polar climate and oceanic conditions. Consequently, 120 our effort is directed towards studying key mechanisms and feedback processes in the coupled 121 climate-ice sheet system during the LIG. Here, we present modelling results from the first 122 fully coupled climate-ice sheet simulation of the LIG period (135 kyr BP to 115 kyr BP) using 123 ice sheet models of the GrIS and AIS and a climate model of intermediate complexity. In this 124 set-up LIG sea-level evolution and climate-ice sheet interactions can be modelled in a

125 consistent framework. With focus on climate and ice sheet changes in Greenland and 126 Antarctica and corresponding sea-level changes, we compare results from the fully coupled 127 model to former climate simulations with prescribed ice sheet changes and uncoupled ice 128 sheet experiments. In the following, we describe the model (section 3) and the experimental 129 setup (section 4) and present results (section 5) and conclusions (section 6).

130

131 **3 Model description**

We use the Earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM version 1.3, which 132 133 includes components representing the atmosphere, the ocean and sea ice, the terrestrial 134 biosphere and the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets (Fig. 1). The model has been utilised in 135 a large number of coupled climate-ice sheet studies (e.g. Driesschaert et al., 2007; Swingedouw et al., 2008; Goelzer et al., 2011; 2012). Version 1.2 is described in detail in 136 137 Goosse et al. (2010). The present set-up of the climate model component is identical to the model used in Loutre et al. (2014) and Goelzer et al. (2016). Where in the latter study the ice 138 139 sheet components were prescribed and used as forcing for the climate model, in the present work, they are fully two-way coupled with information exchanged every full year. The model 140 141 components for the GrIS and AIS are three-dimensional thermomechanical ice-dynamic 142 models (Huybrechts and de Wolde, 1999), which have been utilised for long-term stand-alone 143 ice sheet simulations in the past (Huybrechts, 2002). Their behaviour in the coupled system 144 and detailed analysis of the ice sheet mass balance components are described in Huybrechts et 145 al. (2011). The surface mass balance model is based on the positive degree-day (PDD) method (Janssens and Huybrechts, 2000) and distinguishes between snow accumulation, 146 147 rainfall and meltwater runoff, all parameterized as a function of temperature. Surface melt is estimated based on two distinct PDD factors for ice and snow and may be retained and 148 149 refreeze in the snow pack. Melt model parameters are unmodified compared to earlier studies 150 (Goosse et al., 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2011) and have been extensively validated for the 151 present day (e.g. Vernon et al., 2013).

Because of the relatively coarse resolution of the atmosphere in LOVECLIM (T21), the higher resolution ice sheet models (10x10 km for Greenland and 20x20 km for Antarctica) are forced with temperature anomalies and precipitation ratios relative to the pre-industrial reference climate. Climate anomalies are interpolated to the ice sheet grids using Lagrange polynomials and the SMB-elevation feedback is accounted for natively in the PDD model onthe ice sheet grid.

The ice sheet models in turn provide the climate model with changing topography, ice sheet extent (albedo) and spatially and temporally variable freshwater fluxes. The coupling procedure for these variables is unmodified to earlier versions of the model (Goosse et al., 2010), while recent model improvements for the ice-climate coupling interface are described in Appendix A.

163 **3.1 Pre-industrial reference model state**

164 A pre-industrial climate state required as a reference for the anomaly forcing mode is generated by running the climate model with fixed present-day modelled ice sheet 165 configuration to a steady state. Standard settings for orbital parameters and greenhouse gas 166 167 forcing for this experiment are applied following the PMIP3 protocol (https://pmip3.lsce.ipsl.fr/). The present day ice sheet configurations for the GrIS and AIS are 168 the result of prolonging the same stand-alone ice sheet experiments used to initialise the LIG 169 170 ice sheet configuration described below towards the present day (Huybrechts and de Wolde, 1999; Huybrechts, 2002; Goelzer et al., 2016). 171

172 **3.2** Northern Hemisphere ice sheet forcing

At the onset of the LIG, large Northern Hemisphere (NH) ice sheets other than on Greenland 173 were still present and melted away over the course of several millennia. To account for these 174 175 ice sheet changes and their impact on climate and ocean evolution, a reconstruction of the 176 penultimate deglaciation of the NH is necessary for our experiments starting in 135 kyr BP. 177 Because there is very little geomorphological evidence for NH ice sheet constraints during 178 Termination II, a reconstruction of NH ice sheet evolution is made by remapping the retreat 179 after the Last Glacial Maximum according to the global ice volume reconstruction (Lisiecki 180 and Raymo, 2005) during the onset of the LIG. The same procedure was already used in earlier work to produce NH ice sheet boundary conditions for climate model simulations 181 182 (Loutre et al., 2014; Goelzer et al., 2016).

183 **3.3 Modelled sea-level change**

The modelled sea-level evolution takes into account contributions from the prescribed NH ice sheets, the GrIS and AIS and the steric contribution due to density changes of the ocean water. The only component not explicitly modelled is the contribution of glaciers and small ice caps, which have been estimated to give a maximum contribution of 0.42 ± 0.11 m during the LIG (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013) and may contain as much as 5-6 m sea-level equivalent during glacial times (CLIMAP, 1981; Clark et al., 2001).

190 The Antarctic contribution to global sea-level change is calculated taking into account 191 corrections for ice replacing seawater, ice being replaced by seawater and seawater being 192 replaced by isostatic bedrock movement. These effects are mainly of importance for the 193 marine sectors of the WAIS. Note that these effects are not considered in the climate model, 194 which operates with a fixed present-day land-sea mask. The additional correction for bedrock 195 changes is responsible for a ~3 m lower sea-level contribution at 135 kyr BP compared to 196 taking only changes in volume above floatation into account. This additional sea-level 197 depression arises from depressed bedrock under the load of the ice in the marine sectors of the 198 ice sheet.

For the GrIS, the same corrections are applied, where the marine extent of ice grounded below sea level is parameterised. However, the corrections imply only a ~30 cm lower contrast to present day sea level due to GrIS expansion at 135 kyr BP and ~15 cm higher at 130 kyr BP compared to calculations based on the entire grounded ice volume. The change in sign arises from bedrock changes in delayed response to ice loading changes coming out of the penultimate glacial period.

The steric component of global sea level considers density changes due to local changes of temperature and salinity, but global salinity is restored as often done in ocean models to guarantee stability.

208

209 4 Experimental setup

210 4.1 Model forcing

All simulations are forced by time-dependent changes in greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations and insolation running from 135 kyr BP until 115 kyr BP (Fig. 2). The radiative forcing associated with the reconstructed GHG levels is below pre-industrial values for most of this period and hardly exceeds it at ~128 kyr BP (Fig. 2b). The changes in the distribution of insolation received by the Earth are computed from the changes in the orbital configuration (Berger, 1978) and represent the governing forcing during peak LIG conditions (Fig. 2a).

218 In order to account for coastline changes and induced grounding line changes, both ice sheet 219 models are forced by changes in global sea-level stand (Fig. 2c) using a recent sea-level 220 reconstruction based on Red Sea data (Grant et al., 2012). The chronology of this data is thought to be superior compared to sea-level proxies based on scaled benthic $\delta^{18}O$ records 221 222 (Grant et al., 2012; Shakun et al., 2015). In this sea-level forcing approach, local changes due 223 to geoidal eustasy are not taken into account, which would result in lower amplitude sea-level changes close to the ice sheets, but that would not be consistent with the stand-alone spin-up 224 225 of the ice sheet models.

226 As mentioned earlier, the ice sheet models are forced with temperature anomalies relative to 227 the pre-industrial reference climate. To ensure a realistic simulation of the GrIS evolution, the 228 temperature anomaly forcing from the climate model over the GrIS needs to be rescaled. In 229 absence of such scaling, the ice sheet almost completely melts away over the course of the 230 LIG in disagreement with the ice core data, which suggests a large remaining ice sheet during 231 the LIG (Dansgaard et al., 1982; NEEM community members, 2013). In the absence of firm 232 constraints on the climate evolution over the ice sheet, the temperature scaling in the present 233 study represents a pragmatic solution to produce a GrIS evolution reasonably in line with ice 234 core constraints on minimum ice sheet extent during the LIG. The scaling is only applied for 235 the GrIS, since we have not identified a physical process that would justify a similar 236 procedure for to the AIS.

237 **4.2** Reference simulation and sensitivity experiments

Our reference simulation is a fully coupled experiment with a uniform scaling of the atmospheric temperature anomaly over Greenland with a factor of R=0.4, which was chosen to give a good match to constraints on minimum extent of the GrIS during the LIG. Additional sensitivity experiments are listed in Table 1 and are described in the following.

Two sensitivity experiments with modified scaling (R=0.5, 0.3) are added to evaluate the impact on the results. The range of parameter R is chosen to retain an acceptable agreement of the minimum GrIS extent during the LIG with reconstructions. In practice, the high scaling
factor is chosen to produce the smallest minimum ice sheet extent, which still has ice at the
NEEM site. The low scaling factor was adopted to produce the smallest minimum ice sheet
extent still covering Camp Century.

248 The three fully coupled experiments are complemented by additional sensitivity experiments, 249 in which the ice sheet models are forced with (modified) climate forcing produced by the fully coupled reference run. These experiments serve to study ice sheet sensitivity in response 250 251 to changes in the climate forcing and are also used to evaluate ice sheet-climate feedbacks by 252 comparing the coupled and uncoupled system. The ice sheet evolution in the forced reference 253 experiment (ice sheet model run offline with the recorded climate forcing of the coupled 254 reference run) should by construction be identical to the response in the fully coupled run, and only serves as a control experiment. Two additional forced experiments have been run with 255 modified temperature scaling for the GrIS (R=0.5, 0.3), which can be directly compared to the 256 257 respective fully coupled experiment.

For the AIS, an experiment with suppressed sub-shelf melting has been performed to isolate the effect of ocean temperature changes on the ice volume evolution and sea-level contribution.

261 **4.3** Initialisation of the reference simulation

262 The goal of our initialisation technique is to prepare a coupled ice sheet-climate model state for the transient simulations starting at 135 kyr BP exhibiting a minimal coupling drift. Both 263 264 ice sheet models are first integrated over the preceding glacial cycles in order to carry the long-term thermal and geometric history with them (Huybrechts and de Wolde, 1999; 265 Huybrechts, 2002; Goelzer et al., 2016). The climate model is then initialized to a steady state 266 267 with ice sheet boundary conditions, greenhouse gas forcing and orbital parameters for the 268 time of coupling (135 kyr BP). When LOVECLIM is integrated forward in time in fully 269 coupled mode, the climate component is already relaxed to the ice sheet boundary conditions. The mismatch between stand-alone ice sheet forcing and climate model forcing is 270 271 incrementally adjusted in the period 135-130 kyr BP with a linear blend between the two to 272 minimize the effect of changing boundary conditions for the ice sheet model. A small, 273 unavoidable coupling drift of the ice sheet component arises from a switch of spatially

constant to spatially variable temperature and precipitation anomalies at the time of coupling,but is uncritical to the results.

276

277 **5 Results**

The modelled LIG climate evolution and comparison with proxy reconstructions were presented in detail in two earlier publications (Loutre et al., 2014; Goelzer et al., 2016) for the same climate model setup. Differences to the work by Goelzer et al. (2016) arise from a different ice sheet evolution and from the incorporation of feedbacks between climate and ice sheets that are taken into account in our present, fully coupled approach.

283 **5.1 Climate evolution**

284 Global annual mean near-surface air temperature in the reference experiment (Fig. 3) shows a 285 distinct increase until 129 kyr BP in response to orbital and greenhouse gas forcing (Fig. 2) 286 and to an even larger extent in response to changes in ice sheet boundary conditions. The peak warming reaches 0.3 °C above the pre-industrial at 125.5 kyr BP. Thereafter, cooling sets in 287 and continues at a much lower rate compared to the rate of warming before 129 kyr BP. The 288 289 importance of ice sheet changes is illustrated by comparing the reference experiment with a 290 climate simulation (Loutre et al., 2014) forced by insolation and GHG changes only (noIS) 291 and with a one-way coupled climate model run (Goelzer et al., 2016) forced with prescribed 292 NH, Antarctic and Greenland ice sheet changes (One-way). The fully-coupled experiment 293 exhibits a global mean temperature evolution during the LIG, which is very similar to One-294 way (Fig. 3). A much larger temperature contrast at the onset of the LIG in the reference 295 experiment compared to noIS arises mainly from changes in surface albedo and melt water 296 fluxes of the Northern Hemisphere ice sheets, which freshen the North Atlantic and lead to a 297 strong reduction of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Loutre et al., 2014). All 298 three simulations show only small differences in the global mean temperature evolution after 299 127 kyr BP. The episode of relative cooling in the reference experiment with a local 300 temperature minimum at 128 kyr BP is due to cooling of the Southern Ocean (SO) and sea-ice 301 expansion in response to large Antarctic freshwater fluxes caused mainly by the retreat of the 302 WAIS. This mechanism was already described by Goelzer et al. (2016), but now occurs 2 kyr 303 later in the fully coupled experiment, due to a modified timing of the AIS retreat. The effect

of including ice-climate feedbacks by means of a two-way coupling is otherwise largely
limited to the close proximity of the ice sheets as discussed in the following.

306 5.2 Greenland ice sheet

307 The Greenland ice sheet evolution over the LIG period is largely controlled by changes in the 308 surface mass balance dominated by surface meltwater runoff (Fig. 4c). Specifically, summer 309 surface melt water runoff from the margins is the dominant mass loss of the GrIS after 130 310 kyr BP, when the ice sheet has retreated largely on land. Due to increased air temperatures 311 over Greenland, the mean accumulation rate (averaged over the ice covered area) is 312 consistently above the present-day reference level after 128 kyr BP, but increases to at most 313 18% higher (Fig. 4b). Conversely, the mean runoff rate over Greenland shows an up to threefold increase compared to the present day with consistently higher-than present rates 314 315 between 130.5 kyr to 120.5 kyr BP (Fig. 4c). Temperature anomalies responsible for the 316 increased runoff are on average above zero between 129.5 kyr to 120.5 kyr BP and peak at 1.3 317 °C (after scaling) around 125 kyr BP (Fig. 4a). The calving flux (Fig. 4d) decreases as surface melting and runoff (Fig. 4c) increase, removing some of the ice before it can reach the coast 318 319 and also as the ice sheet retreats from the coast (cf. Fig. 5), in line with decreasing area and volume (Fig. 4f). In the second half of the experiment, runoff decreases with decreasing 320 321 temperature anomalies and the calving flux increases again with increasing ice area and 322 volume. The net mass balance of the ice sheet (Fig. 4e) reflects the compounded effect of all 323 components with negative values before and positive values after the time of minimum 324 volume.

325 Entering the warm period, the furthest retreat of the ice sheet occurs in the southwest and 326 northwest (Fig. 5), accompanied by an overall retreat from the coast. At the same time, the ice 327 sheet gains in surface elevation over the central dome due to increased accumulation. By 115 328 kyr BP, the ice sheet has regrown beyond its present day area almost everywhere and contact 329 with the ocean is increasing. The GrIS volume change implies a sea-level contribution peak of 330 1.4 m at 123 kyr BP (Fig. 11a). For the two sensitivity experiments (High, Low) with 331 modified scaling (R=0.5, 0.3), the contribution changes to 2.7 m and 0.65 m, respectively, 332 crucially controlled by the scaling factor (Table 2).

333 NEEM ice core data (NEEM community members, 2013) and radiostratigraphy of the entire 334 ice sheet (MacGregor et al., 2015) indicate that the NEEM ice core site was ice covered

335 through the entire Eemian as is the case for our reference experiment. Elevation changes from that ice core are however not very well constrained and even if they were, would leave room 336 337 for a wide range of possible retreat patterns of the northern GrIS (e.g. Born and Nisancioglu, 338 2012). The Camp Century ice core record contains some ice in the lowest part with a colder 339 signature then ice dated as belonging to the Eemian period (Dansgaard et al., 1982). It is 340 likely that this ice is from before the Eemian even in view of possible disturbance of the lower levels, which was shown to exist for the NEEM core site (NEEM community members, 341 2013). In view of this evidence, the northwestern retreat of the ice sheet in our reference 342 simulation may be too far inland, as a direct result of the largely unconstrained climatic 343 forcing in this area. It was shown that a different climate forcing could produce a larger 344 northern retreat still in line with the (limited) paleo evidence (Born and Nisancioglu, 2012). 345 346 Some more thinning and retreat in the south is also possible without violating constraints on 347 minimal ice sheet extent from Dye-3 (Dansgaard et al., 1982). LIG ice cover of the Dye-3 site 348 is not a necessity when taking into consideration that older ice found at the base of the core 349 could have flowed in from a higher elevation.

350 A comparison of modelled temperatures in North-East Greenland (Fig. 6) shows differences 351 of up to 5 degrees between annual mean and summer temperatures in the reference 352 experiment. Comparison with temperature reconstructions based on the NEEM ice core 353 record indicates that the steep temperature increase marking the onset of the LIG occurs 2-3 kvr earlier in the model compared to the reconstructions. The amplitude of modelled summer 354 355 temperatures attains levels of the central estimate, while annual mean temperatures fall in the 356 lower uncertainty range of the reconstructions. Temperatures exceeding the central estimate 357 are only reached in the One-way experiment, which exhibits a somewhat different retreat 358 pattern of the GrIS due to the different climate forcing (Goelzer et al., 2016).

359 The strength of the ice-climate feedback on Greenland was examined by comparing additional 360 experiments in which the coupling between ice sheet and climate is modified. Results from 361 the fully coupled model are compared to those from forced ice sheet runs that are driven with 362 the climate forcing from the coupled reference model run (Table 2 and Fig. 7a). The scaling 363 of Greenland forcing temperature is set to a magnitude of 0.3 (Forced low), 0.4 (Forced 364 reference) and 0.5 (Forced high), respectively. When the feedback between ice sheet changes and climate is included in the coupled experiments, the warming over the margins is 365 366 considerably increased (reduced) for experiment High (Low) compared to the respective

367 forced experiments. Consequently, ice volume changes show a non-linear dependence on the temperature scaling for the fully coupled run, while they are near linear for the forced runs 368 369 (Table 2 and Fig. 7a). The dominant (positive) feedback mechanism arises from how 370 changing albedo characteristics are taken into account for a melting ice sheet surface (Fig. 371 7b). The underlying surface type with different characteristic albedo values for tundra and ice sheet is determined by the relative amount of ice cover, which is modified when the area of 372 373 the ice sheet is changing. On much shorter time scales, the albedo can change due to changes in snow depth and also due to changes of the snow cover fraction, which indicates how much 374 375 surface area of a grid cell is covered with snow (Fig. 7b). Both snow processes lead to lower 376 albedo and increased temperatures in places where the ice sheet starts melting at the surface. 377 The difference in warming between forced and fully-coupled experiments is however located 378 over the ice sheet margins and this does not have a considerable influence on the NH or 379 global temperature response. The snow albedo effects are near-instantaneous and their 380 importance for the ice sheet response underline earlier findings that a basic albedo treatment is an essential aspect of a coupled ice-climate modelling system (e.g. Robinson and Goelzer, 381 2014). A comparatively smaller effect and operating on much longer time scales arises from 382 383 the retreating ice sheet margin being replaced by tundra with a lower albedo (Fig. 7b).

384 **5.3 Antarctic ice sheet**

385 The annual mean air temperature anomaly over Antarctica (averaged over grounded ice) increases at the beginning of the experiment to reach a peak of up to 2°C at 125 kyr BP (Fig. 386 387 8a), before cooling sets in and continues until 115 kyr BP. The warming before the peak is 388 around a factor two faster than the cooling afterwards, with both transitions being near linear 389 on the millennial time scale. The surface climate over the AIS appears to be largely isolated 390 from millennial time scale perturbations occurring in the Southern Ocean in response to 391 changing freshwater fluxes in both hemispheres (Goelzer et al., 2016). While freshwater 392 fluxes from the retreating AIS itself lead to sea-ice expansion and surface cooling in the 393 Southern Ocean, freshwater fluxes from the decay of the Northern Hemisphere ice sheets are 394 communicated to the SH by the interhemispheric see-saw effect (Goelzer et al., 2016). Pre-395 industrial surface temperature levels are first reached 128 kyr BP and after cooling again at 396 118 kyr BP. The accumulation rate (averaged over grounded ice) shows an initial increase in 397 line with the higher temperatures until 130 kyr BP (Fig. 8b) but records a changing grounded 398 ice sheet area further on, which mostly indicates retreat of the ice sheet from regions of higher accumulation. Relative to the pre-industrial, accumulation increases at most 20 % in annual
values and up to 12 % for the long-term mean (grey and black lines in Fig. 8b, respectively).
As a consequence of the surface forcing, the AIS shows a small volume gain until 130.5 kyr
BP (Fig. 8f) due to increase in precipitation before a large-scale retreat of the grounding line
sets in. The average runoff rate over grounded ice equally increases with increasing
temperature (Fig. 8c) but remains of negligible importance (note difference of vertical scales
between panel b and c in Fig. 8) for the net mass balance (Fig. 8e) of the ice sheet

406 Changes in the sub-shelf melt rate play an important role for the present mass balance of the 407 AIS and are often discussed as a potential forcing for a WAIS retreat during the LIG (e.g. 408 Duplessy et al., 2007; Holden et al., 2010) and during the last deglaciation (Golledge et al., 409 2014). The average sub-shelf melt rate diagnosed for the area of the present-day observed ice 410 shelves in our reference simulation (Fig. 8d) increases to at most 20 % above the pre-411 industrial with a peak in line with the air temperature maximum (Fig. 8a, d). However, ocean 412 warming to above pre-industrial temperatures occurs already before 130 kyr BP (not shown), 413 more than 2 kyr earlier compared to the air temperature signal. This is a consequence of the 414 interhemispheric see-saw effect (Stocker, 1998), which explains SO warming and cooling in 415 the North Atlantic as a consequence of reduced oceanic northward heat transport due to a 416 weakening of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Goelzer et al., 2016).

Ice sheet area and volume (Fig. 8f) decrease rapidly between 129 and 127 kyr BP, and 417 418 indicate a gradual regrowth after 125 kyr BP, also visible in the net mass balance (Fig. 8e). 419 Those changes arise mainly from a retreat and re-advance of the WAIS (Fig. 9). In our model, 420 the ice sheet retreat exhibits characteristics of an overshoot behaviour due to the interplay 421 between ice sheet retreat and bedrock adjustment. The rebound of the bedrock, which is 422 initially depressed under the glacial ice load, is delayed compared to the relatively rapid ice 423 sheet retreat, giving rise to a grounding-line retreat well beyond the pre-industrial steady-state 424 situation. These results are in line with earlier work with a stand-alone ice sheet model 425 (Huybrechts, 2002), but also rely on a relatively large glacial-interglacial loading contrast in 426 these particular models. The sea-level contribution above the present-day level from the AIS 427 peaks at 125 kyr BP at 4.4 m (Fig. 11b).

428 Sensitivity experiments, in which specific forcing processes are suppressed, show that surface 429 melting (not shown) and sub-shelf melting play a limited role for the AIS retreat in our 430 experiments. The sea-level contribution peak in an experiment with suppressed sub-shelf 431 melting (Fig. 11b) is about 40 cm lower compared to the reference experiment and remains 432 around one meter lower between 123 kyr BP until the end of the experiment. The difference 433 between the experiments at a given point in time arises from a lower overall sea-level 434 contribution when sub-shelf melting is suppressed, but also from a difference in timing 435 between both cases. The dominant forcing for the AIS retreat in our model is a combination 436 of rising global sea level and increasing surface temperature, which leads to increasing buoyancy and reduced ice shelf viscosity, respectively. The relative timing between sea-level 437 438 forcing (Fig. 2c) and temperature forcing (Fig. 8a) is therefore of critical importance for the 439 evolution of the ice sheet at the onset of the LIG.

440 The limited effect of surface melting and sub-shelf melting on the sea-level contribution is 441 ultimately due to a limited magnitude of surface temperature and ocean temperature changes. The limited Antarctic and SO temperature response has already been highlighted in earlier 442 443 studies with the same climate component (Loutre et al., 2014; Goelzer et al., 2016) and is confirmed here with a fully-coupled model. The feedback mechanism suggested by Golledge 444 445 et al. (2014) for Termination I, which draws additional heat for sub-shelf melting from freshwater-induced SO stratification and sea-ice expansion is also active in our experiment, 446 447 but too short-lived and of too little amplitude to lead to substantially increased melt rates. Our 448 limited AIS response to climatic forcing is also in line with other modelling results for the 449 LIG period (Pollard et al., 2015), albeit with a different forcing strategy, where substantial 450 retreat of marine based sectors of the EAIS can only be achieved by including special 451 treatment of calving fronts and shelf melting, which was not included here.

452 As mentioned earlier, direct constraints of the AIS configuration during the LIG are still 453 lacking. Goelzer et al. (2016) suggested that the timing of the main glacial-interglacial retreat 454 of the AIS could be constrained by a freshwater induced oceanic cold event recorded in ocean 455 sediment cores (Bianchi and Gersonde et al., 2002). The main retreat in their one-way coupled climate model run happened ~129.5 kyr BP, a timing predating the time of retreat in 456 457 the fully coupled model by ~ 2 kyr due to the difference in atmospheric and oceanic forcing. 458 This lag is also visible in modelled temperature changes over the East Antarctic ice sheet 459 (EAIS) that have been compared to temperature reconstructions for four ice core locations 460 (Fig. 10). One-way and Reference show a larger temperature contrast, better in line with the ice core data, compared to the experiment with a fixed ice sheet (noIS). However, the timing 461 462 of warming was better matched in One-way with an earlier ice sheet retreat.

It is noteworthy in this context that the prescribed sea-level forcing imposes an important control for the timing of the Antarctic retreat and is a source of large uncertainty. We have only used the central estimate of the Grant et al. (2012) sea-level reconstruction, but propagated dating uncertainties could accommodate a shift of the forcing by up to 1 kyr either way. Former experiments (not shown) have indicated that the main retreat appears another 2 kyr later when a sea-level forcing based on a benthic δ^{18} O record (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) is used instead of the sea-level reconstruction of Grant et al. (2012).

470

471 **5.4** Thermal expansion of the ocean

472 The steric sea-level component due to ocean thermal expansion (Fig. 11c) is largely following the global temperature evolution (Fig. 3), but is also strongly modified by changes in ice sheet 473 474 freshwater input. Ocean expansion is rapid during peak input of freshwater and stagnant 475 during episodes of decreasing freshwater input. This is because the net ocean heat uptake is large when freshwater input peaks, which happens in three main episodes in our experiment. 476 477 Two episodes of freshwater input from the NH centred at 133.6 and 131.4 kyr BP are followed by an episode of combined input from the NH and the AIS centred at 128.2 kyr BP 478 479 (not shown). The anomalous freshwater input leads to stratification of the surface ocean, sea-480 ice expansion and reduction of the air-sea heat exchange, effectively limiting the ocean heat 481 loss to the atmosphere. This implies that global sea-level rise due to ice sheet melting is 482 (weakly and temporarily) amplified by the freshwater impact on ocean thermal expansion. We 483 simulate a peak sea-level contribution from thermal expansion of 0.35 m at 125.4 kyr BP, 484 which forms part of a plateau of high contribution between 127.3 and 124.9 kyr BP (Fig. 11c). The amplitude is within the range of current estimates of 0.4 ± 0.3 m (McKay et al., 2011; 485 486 Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013).

487

488 **5.5 Global sea-level change**

489 Combining contributions from GrIS, AIS and thermal expansion, global sea level peaks at 490 ~5.3 m at 124.5 kyr BP (Fig. 12c) with a slow decrease thereafter as first the AIS and 2 kyr 491 later the GrIS start to regrow. For the AIS the model indicates a clear asymmetry between 492 relatively fast retreat and much slower regrowth (Fig. 12b). 493 Modelled GrIS and AIS sea-level contributions together with prescribed NH sea level are 494 within the 67% confidence interval of probabilistic sea-level reconstructions (Kopp et al., 495 2009) for the period ~125-115 kyr BP (Fig. 12). The last 20 m rise in sea-level contributions 496 from the NH (including Greenland) is steeper and occurs $1\sim2$ kyr earlier in our model 497 compared to what the reconstructions suggest, which is consequently also the case for the rise 498 in global sea level at the onset of the LIG. The Antarctic retreat in our model is more rapid 499 compared to the reconstruction and does not show the regrowth ~131-129 kyr BP suggested 500 by the data from Kopp et al. (2009). The modelled ice sheet evolution in our reference run 501 reproduces well the global average sea-level contribution 125-115 kyr BP based on the best 502 estimate of Kopp et al. (2009) when taking into account the modelled steric contribution (0.35 503 m) and assuming a maximum possible contribution (0.42+-0.11 m) of glaciers and small ice caps (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013). The multi-peak structure of global sea-level 504 505 contributions during the LIG suggested by the median reconstructions (Kopp et al., 2009; 506 2013) is not reproduced with our model (Fig. 12c), mainly owing to the lack of such variation 507 in the climate forcing and to the long response times of the ice sheets during regrowth to 508 changing climatic boundary conditions.

509

510 6 Discussion

511 6.1 Global sea-level change

While the median projections in Kopp et al., (2009) visually suggest a double-peak structure 512 513 in the global sea-level evolution during the LIG, our results show that the uncertainty range is 514 wide enough to accommodate a global sea-level trajectory based on physical models without 515 intermediate low stand. The simulated climate forcing in our case does not favour the 516 presence of such variability, which admittedly could be due to missing processes or feedbacks 517 in our modelling. Nevertheless, based on our own modelling results and the Kopp et al., (2009) reconstruction we are not convinced reproducing a double peak structure is a given 518 519 necessity.

520 6.2 Greenland ice sheet evolution

521 The temperature anomaly over central Greenland in the coupled model shows a flat maximum 522 around 127 kyr BP (Fig. 4a), similar to the global temperature evolution, but 2 kyr earlier

523 compared to the NEEM reconstruction (NEEM community members, 2013). If assuming 524 present-day configuration and spatially constant warming, ice mass loss from the GrIS could 525 be expected to occur approximately as long as the temperature anomaly remains above zero. 526 which is the case until ~ 122 kyr BP in the model and until ~ 119 kyr BP in the NEEM 527 reconstruction. With a lower surface elevation, the time the ice sheet starts to gain mass again 528 would be further delayed. Even with considerable uncertainty due to uncertain spatial pattern 529 of the warming, which modifies this simple reasoning, we argue that the peak sea-level 530 contribution from the GrIS has to occur late during the LIG. Based on the same argument, 531 there is no evidence in the reconstructed NEEM temperature evolution suggesting a regrowth or substantial pause of melting of the GrIS any time during the LIG. 532

533 The need for scaling the temperature forcing to produce a realistic GrIS evolution would 534 equally apply when our ice sheet model were forced directly with the temperature reconstructed from the NEEM ice core record (NEEM community members, 2013). It appears 535 536 that practically any ice sheet model with (melt parameters tuned for the present day) would 537 project a near-complete GrIS meltdown, if the amplitude and duration of warming suggested 538 by the NEEM reconstructions would apply for the entire ice sheet. This problem would be 539 further amplified if insolation changes were explicitly taken into account in the melt model 540 (van de Berg et al., 2011; Robinson and Goelzer, 2014). We refer to this mismatch between 541 reconstructed temperatures and assumed minimum ice sheet extent as the "NEEM paradox". Several attempts to solve this paradox have been made by suggesting possible biases in the 542 543 interpretation of the relationship between isotope ratio and temperature, which may not be assumed temporally and spatially constant (e.g. Merz et al., 2014; Sjolte et al., 2014; Steen-544 545 Larsen et al., 2014; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2015) or may be affected by changes in the 546 precipitation regime (van de Berg et al., 2013) and sea ice conditions (Merz et al., 2016; 547 Rasmus et al., 2016). From a modelling point of view, the decisive question is over what 548 spatial extent and when during the year the temperature reconstruction (and possible future 549 reinterpretations) for the NEEM site should be assumed. A central Greenland warming of 550 large magnitude could only be reconciled with the given geometric constraints if a (much) lower warming was present over the margins and during the summer, which is where and 551 552 when the majority of the mass loss due to surface melting is taking place.

553 6.3 Antarctic ice sheet evolution

554 The main forcing for WAIS retreat during Termination II and the LIG was found to be global 555 sea-level rise, and to a lesser extent surface warming causing a gradual thinning of the ice shelves as the ice softened. These processes also played during Termination I and into the 556 Holocene in simulations with the same ice sheet model (Huybrechts, 2002), but did not 557 558 produce an overshoot. That is mainly because the speed of sea-level rise was slower and the 559 sea-level itself did not overshoot the Holocene level. Of importance to generate overshoot 560 behaviour is the speed of sea-level rise relative to the speed of bedrock rebound as both 561 control grounding-line migration because of hydrostatic equilibrium. If the sea-level rise is 562 faster than the bedrock uplift, grounding line retreat will be enhanced, as was the case during 563 Termination II in our model experiments. If on the contrary, the bedrock rebound after ice 564 unloading is faster than the sea-level rise, this will tend to dampen grounding-line retreat, as 565 shown in the sensitivity experiments discussed in Huybrechts (2002).

566 Ice shelf viscosity changes also played a role during Termination II and the LIG, but were not 567 found to be the dominant forcing. The response time of viscosity changes in the ice shelves is 568 governed by vertical heat transport, having a typical characteristic time scale of 500 years with respect to surface temperature (Huybrechts and de Wolde, 1999). The mechanism can 569 570 only be effective over longer time scales and for a limited warming such as occurred during 571 the LIG as otherwise the ice shelves would largely disintegrate from both surface and basal 572 melting. In future warming scenarios, the effect of shelf viscosity changes is therefore usually 573 too slow compared to the anticipated direct effect of increased surface and basal melting rates. 574 For instance, in the future warming scenarios performed with LOVECLIM under 4xCO₂ 575 conditions (Huybrechts et al., 2011), shelf melt rates increased 5-fold, and the ice shelves 576 were largely gone before they had a chance to warm substantially. The implication is that 577 analogies between these different time periods should be reserved on account of different 578 processes playing at different time scales.

579 **6.4** Comparison with other work

An earlier attempt to model the coupled climate-ice sheet evolution for the Greenland ice sheet over the LIG period (Helsen et al., 2013) applied an asynchronous coupling strategy to cope with the computational challenge of such long simulations. While it can be assumed that their high-resolution regional climate model provides a more accurate climate forcing

584 compared to our approach, we still lack substantial climate and ice sheet reconstructions for the LIG period to effectively validate model simulations. This applies to the simulated climate 585 586 as well as to the resulting ice sheet geometries, limiting attempts to constrain the GrIS sea-587 level contribution to arrive at relatively large and overlapping uncertainty ranges (e.g. 588 Robinson et al., 2011; Stone et al., 2013; Helsen et al., 2013; Langebroek and Nisancioglu, 589 2016). Incidentally, our range of modelled GrIS sea-level contribution is in very close agreement with recent results from a large ensemble study of the LIG sea-level contribution 590 591 constrained against present-day simulations and elevation changes at the NEEM ice core site 592 (Calov et al., 2015). Despite a possible degree of coincidence in this particular case, the 593 overlap between results reached by largely different methods is indicative of the lack of better 594 constraining data needed to arrive at much narrower uncertainty ranges.

595 6.5 Model limitations

596 Simulating the fully-coupled ice sheet-climate system for the entire duration of the LIG as 597 presented here is an important step forward for a better understanding of the Earth system 598 during this period. However, our attempt deserves a critical discussion of the limitations of 599 the model setup.

A so far unavoidable side effect to running a fully coupled model for several thousands of years is the limited horizontal resolution of the atmospheric model. The katabatic wind effect discussed by Merz et al. (2014) and other small-scale circulation patterns are therefore likely underrepresented. A quantification of how much the strength of ice sheet-climate feedbacks depends on spatial resolution of the climate model would be an interesting study, but is not something we could add to with our model set-up.

606 The applied PDD scheme has been extensively validated with results of more complex 607 Regional Climate Models for simulations of the recent past (e.g. Vernon et al., 2013), but 608 several studies point to limitations of this type of melt model when applied for periods in the 609 past with a different orbital configuration (e.g. van de Berg et. al., 2011; Robinson and Goelzer, 2014). Their results indicate that the stronger northern summer insolation during the 610 611 LIG should result in additional surface melt on the Greenland ice sheet compared to simulations based on temperature changes alone. We note that this suggests an 612 613 underestimation of LIG melt with the PDD model and increased melt if it was corrected for. 614 Thus, including an additional melt contribution due to insolation would further increase the

615 contrast of the NEEM paradox in our simulation. Our modelling therefore provides no 616 arguments to support the contention that the limited LIG warming implied over Greenland 617 would be indicative of an overly sensitive ice sheet and mass balance model.

Instead, the applied scaling of the temperature anomaly forcing for the GrIS is a necessity to keep the ice sheet from losing too much mass during the warm period and to maintain ice sheet retreat to within limits of reconstructions. Clearly, this implies a limited predictive capability of our model, which is now forced to comply with the given constraints on minimum ice extent during the LIG. However, the Antarctic simulation would not be strongly affected by changes in the melt model due to the limited role of surface melting for the evolution of the AIS during the LIG.

The sea-saw effect evoked by NH freshwater forcing leads to millennial time scale temperature variations in the SO, but the surface climate over the AIS is hardly affected in our simulations. Despite some improvement when ice sheet changes are included, the limited Antarctic temperature response appears to be a general feature of the LOVECLIM model (e.g. Menviel et al., 2015), which fails to reproduce a several degree warming during the LIG reconstructed at deep ice core locations. We suspect that the limited resolution of the atmospheric model contributes to this shortcoming but we have not been able to quantify that.

632 **6.6 Possible improvements**

Uncertainty in the age model of the Grant et al. (2012) sea-level reconstruction could in principle be used to force the AIS to an earlier retreat, better in line with the Kopp et al. (2009) reconstructions. We have not attempted that, since other uncertainties, in particular in the climate forcing are large and do not warrant to attempt a precise chronology. Earlier experiments (not shown) indicate however that using a benthic δ^{18} O-stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) would lead to an even later retreat of the AIS and thus increase the mismatch with the Kopp et al. (2009) reconstruction.

640

641 **7 Conclusion**

We have presented the first coupled transient simulation of the entire LIG period with interactive Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet components. In our results, both ice sheets contribute to the sea-level high stand during the Last Interglacial, but are subject to different

645 forcing and response mechanisms. While the GrIS is mainly controlled by changes in surface melt water runoff, the AIS is only weakly affected by surface and sub-shelf melting. Instead, 646 647 grounding line retreat of the AIS is forced by changes in sea level stand and to a lesser extent 648 surface warming, which lowers the ice shelf viscosity. The peak GrIS contribution in our 649 reference experiment is 1.4 m. However, this result is strongly controlled by the need to scale the climate forcing to match existing ice core constraints on minimal ice sheet extent. This 650 651 shortcoming in our modelling reflects the NEEM paradox, that strong warming over the ice sheet coincides with limited mass loss from the GrIS, indicative of a fundamental missing link 652 653 in our understanding of the LIG ice sheet and climate evolution. The Antarctic contribution is 4.4 m predominantly sourced from WAIS retreat. The modelled steric contribution is 0.35 m, 654 in line with other modelling studies. Taken together, the modelled global sea-level evolution 655 656 is consistent with reconstructions of the sea-level high stand during the LIG, but no evidence is found for sea-level variations on a millennial to multi-millennial time scale that could 657 658 explain a multi-peak time evolution. The treatment of albedo changes at the atmosphere-ice 659 sheet interface play an important role for the GrIS and constitute a critical element when 660 accounting for ice sheet-climate feedbacks in our fully-coupled approach. Large uncertainties in the projected sea-level changes remain due to a lack of comprehensive knowledge about 661 662 the climate forcing at the time and a lack of constraints on LIG ice sheet extent, which are limited for Greenland and virtually absent for Antarctica. 663

664

665 8 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support through the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office within its Research Programme on Science for a Sustainable Development under contract SD/CS/06A (iCLIPS). Computational resources have been provided by the supercomputing facilities of the Université catholique de Louvain (CISM/UCL) and the Consortium des Equipements de Calcul Intensif en Fédération Wallonie Bruxelles (CECI) funded by the Fond de la Recherche Scientifique de Belgique (FRS-FNRS). We thank all four reviewers and the editor for constructive comments and their follow-up of the manuscript.

673

674 Appendix A: Ice-climate coupling improvements

675 Compared to earlier versions of the model (Goosse et al., 2010), recent model improvements 676 for the coupling interface between climate and ice sheets have been included for the present 677 study. Ocean temperatures surrounding the AIS are now used directly to parameterise 678 spatially explicit sub-ice-shelf melt rates, defining the flux boundary condition at the lower 679 surface of the AIS in contact with the ocean. The sub-shelf basal melt rate M_{shelf} is 680 parameterised as a function of local mid-depth (485-700 m) ocean-water temperature T_{oc} 681 above the freezing point T_f (Beckmann and Goosse, 2003):

682
$$M_{shelf} = \rho_w c_p \gamma_T F_{melt} (T_{oc} - T_f) / L \rho_i;$$

where $\rho_i = 910 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ and $\rho_w = 1028 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ are ice and seawater densities, $c_p = 3974 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ °C}^{-1}$ ¹ is the specific heat capacity of ocean water, $\gamma_T = 10^{-4}$ is the thermal exchange velocity and L=3.35 x 10⁵ J kg⁻¹ is the latent heat of fusion. The local freezing point is given (Beckmann and Goosse, 2003) as

687
$$T_f = 0.0939 - 0.057 \cdot S_0 + 7.64 \times 10^{-4} z_b$$

with a mean value of ocean salinity $S_0 = 35$ psu and the bottom of the ice shelf below sea level 688 z_{k} . A distinction is made between protected ice shelves (Ross and Ronne-Filchner) with a 689 melt factor of $F_{melt} = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{m s}^{-1}$ and all other ice shelves with a melt factor of $F_{melt} =$ 690 7.4x10⁻³m s⁻¹. The parameters are chosen to reproduce observed average melt rates (Depoorter 691 692 et al., 2013) under the Ross, Ronne-Filchner and Amery ice shelves for the pre-industrial 693 LOVECLIM ocean temperature and Bedmap2 (Fretwell et al., 2013) shelf geometry. For ice 694 shelves located inland from the fixed land-sea mask of the ocean model, mid-depth ocean 695 temperature from the nearest deep-ocean grid point in the same embayment is used for the 696 parameterisation.

In addition, surface melting of the Antarctic ice shelves has been taken into account, compared to earlier model versions where all surface meltwater was assumed to refreeze at the end of summer. The surface mass balance of ice sheet and ice shelf are now treated consistently with the same positive-degree-day model including capillary water and refreezing terms. The same melting schemes for basal and surface melt have been used for the AIS model version that participated in the PlioMIP intercomparison exercise of de Boer et al. (2015).

704 The atmospheric interface for the GrIS was redesigned to enable ice sheet regrowth from a (semi-) deglaciated state given favourable conditions. This is accomplished by calculating 705 706 surface temperatures independently for different surface types (ocean, ice sheet, tundra), 707 which most importantly prevents tundra warming to affect proximal ice sheet margins. At the 708 same time, the full range of atmospheric forcing is taken into account by allowing the ice 709 sheet forcing temperature to exceed the melting point at the surface. This provides an in 710 principle unbounded temperature anomaly forcing for increasing atmospheric heat content for the positive-degree-day melt scheme. 711

712

713 9 References

- 714 Bamber, J. L., Riva, R. E. M., Vermeersen, B. L. A., and LeBrocq, A. M.: Reassessment of
- the Potential Sea-Level Rise from a Collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, Science, 324,
- 716 901-903, doi:10.1126/science.1169335, 2009.
- Beckmann, A., and Goosse, H.: A parameterization of ice shelf-ocean interaction for climate
 models, Ocean Modell., 5, 157-170, doi:10.1016/S1463-5003(02)00019-7, 2003.
- Berger, A.: Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes, Journal
 of Atmospheric Sciences, 35, 2362-2367, doi:10.1175/15200469(1978)035<2362:LTVODI>2.0.CO;2, 1978.
- Bianchi, C., and Gersonde, R.: The Southern Ocean surface between Marine Isotope Stages 6
 and 5d: Shape and timing of climate changes, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
 Palaeoecology, 187, 151-177, doi:10.1016/S0031-0182(02)00516-3, 2002.
- Born, A., and Nisancioglu, K. H.: Melting of Northern Greenland during the last
 interglaciation, Cryosphere, 6, 1239-1250, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1239-2012, 2012.
- Brovkin, V., Ganopolski, A., and Svirezhev, Y.: A continuous climate-vegetation
 classification for use in climate-biosphere studies, Ecol. Model., 101, 251-261,
 doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(97)00049-5, 1997.
- Calov, R., Robinson, A., Perrette, M., and Ganopolski, A.: Simulating the Greenland ice sheet
 under present-day and palaeo constraints including a new discharge parameterization, The
 Cryosphere, 9, 179-196, doi:10.5194/tc-9-179-2015, 2015.

- Capron, E., Govin, A., Stone, E. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Mulitza, S., Otto-Bliesner, B.,
 Rasmussen, T. L., Sime, L. C., Waelbroeck, C., and Wolff, E. W.: Temporal and spatial
 structure of multi-millennial temperature changes at high latitudes during the Last
 Interglacial, Quat. Sci. Rev., 103, 116-133, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.08.018, 2014.
- Clark, P., Marshall, S., Clarke, G., Hostetler, S., Licciardi, J., and Teller, J.: Freshwater
 forcing of abrupt climate change during the last glaciation, Science, 293, 283-287,
 doi:10.1126/science.1062517, 2001.
- 740 CLIMAP project members: Seasonal reconstruction of the earth's surface at the last glacial
 741 maximum, Geol.Soc.Am.Map Chart Ser., MC-36, 1981.
- 742 Colville, E. J., Carlson, A. E., Beard, B. L., Hatfield, R. G., Stoner, J. S., Reyes, A. V., and
- 743 Ullman, D. J.: Sr-Nd-Pb Isotope Evidence for Ice-Sheet Presence on Southern Greenland
- 744 During the Last Interglacial, Science, 333, 620-623, doi:10.1126/science.1204673, 2011.
- 745 Dansgaard, W., Clausen, H. B., Gundestrup, N., Hammer, C. U., Johnsen, S. F., Kristinsdottir,
- P. M., and Reeh, N.: A New Greenland Deep Ice Core, Science, 218, 1273-1277,
 doi:10.1126/science.218.4579.1273, 1982.
- de Boer, B., van de Wal, R. S. W., Lourens, L. J., Bintanja, R., and Reerink, T. J.: A
 continuous simulation of global ice volume over the past 1 million years with 3-D ice-sheet
 models, Clim. Dyn., 41, 1365-1384, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1562-2, 2013.
- de Boer, B., Stocchi, P., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: A fully coupled 3-D ice-sheet-sea-level
 model: algorithm and applications, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2141-2156, doi:10.5194/gmd-72141-2014, 2014.
- de Boer, B., Dolan, A. M., Bernales, J., Gasson, E., Goelzer, H., Golledge, N. R., Sutter, J.,
- Huybrechts, P., Lohmann, G., Rogozhina, I., Abe-Ouchi, A., Saito, F., and van de Wal, R. S.
- 756 W.: Simulating the Antarctic ice sheet in the late-Pliocene warm period: PLISMIP-ANT, an
- 757 ice-sheet model intercomparison project, The Cryosphere, 9, 881-903, doi:10.5194/tc-9-881-
- 758 2015, 2015.
- 759 DeConto, R. M., and Pollard, D.: Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise,
- 760 Nature, 531, 591-+, doi:10.1038/nature17145, 2016.

- 761 Depoorter, M. A., Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., van
- 762 den Broeke, M. R., and Moholdt, G.: Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of Antarctic ice
- 763 shelves, Nature, 502, 89-+, doi:10.1038/nature12567, 2013.

- 764 Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Goosse, H., Huybrechts, P., Janssens, I., Mouchet, A.,
- 766 melting on the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation during the next millennia,

Munhoven, G., Brovkin, V., and Weber, S.: Modeling the influence of Greenland ice sheet

- 767 Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, 10707, doi:10.1029/2007GL029516, 2007.
- Duplessy, J. C., Roche, D. M., and Kageyama, M.: The deep ocean during the last interglacial
 period, Science, 316, 89-91, doi:10.1126/science.1138582, 2007.
- 770 Dutton, A., Carlson, A. E., Long, A. J., Milne, G. A., Clark, P. U., DeConto, R., Horton, B.
- P., Rahmstorf, S., and Raymo, M. E.: Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss during
- past warm periods, Science, 349, doi:10.1126/science.aaa4019, 2015.
- Dutton, A., and Lambeck, K.: Ice Volume and Sea Level During the Last Interglacial,
 Science, 337, 216-219, doi:10.1126/science.1205749, 2012.
- EPICA community members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, Nature, 429,
 623-628, doi:10.1038/Nature02599, 2004.
- 777 Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Bamber, J. L., Barrand, N. E., Bell, R.,
- 778 Bianchi, C., Bingham, R. G., Blankenship, D. D., Casassa, G., Catania, G., Callens, D.,
- 779 Conway, H., Cook, A. J., Corr, H. F. J., Damaske, D., Damm, V., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg,
- 780 R., Fujita, S., Gim, Y., Gogineni, P., Griggs, J. A., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., Holmlund, P., Holt,
- J. W., Jacobel, R. W., Jenkins, A., Jokat, W., Jordan, T., King, E. C., Kohler, J., Krabill, W.,
- 782 Riger-Kusk, M., Langley, K. A., Leitchenkov, G., Leuschen, C., Luyendyk, B. P., Matsuoka,
- 783 K., Mouginot, J., Nitsche, F. O., Nogi, Y., Nost, O. A., Popov, S. V., Rignot, E., Rippin, D.
- 784 M., Rivera, A., Roberts, J., Ross, N., Siegert, M. J., Smith, A. M., Steinhage, D., Studinger,
- 785 M., Sun, B., Tinto, B. K., Welch, B. C., Wilson, D., Young, D. A., Xiangbin, C., and
- 786 Zirizzotti, A.: Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica,
- 787 Cryosphere, 7, 375-393, doi:10.5194/tc-7-375-2013, 2013.
- 788 Goelzer, H., Huybrechts, P., Loutre, M. F., Goosse, H., Fichefet, T., and Mouchet, A.: Impact
- of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet interactions on climate sensitivity, Clim. Dyn., 37, 1005-
- 790 1018, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0885-0, 2011.

- 791 Goelzer, H., Huybrechts, P., Raper, S. C. B., Loutre, M. F., Goosse, H., and Fichefet, T.:
- 792 Millennial total sea level commitments projected with the Earth system model of intermediate
- 793 complexity LOVECLIM Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 045401, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045401,
- 794 2012.
- 795 Goelzer, H., Huybrechts, P., Loutre, M. F., and Fichefet, T.: Impact of ice sheet meltwater
- fluxes on the climate evolution at the onset of the Last Interglacial, Clim. Past. Discuss., 11,
- 797 4391-4423, doi:10.5194/cpd-11-4391-2015, 2016.
- 798 Golledge, N. R., Menviel, L., Carter, L., Fogwill, C. J., England, M. H., Cortese, G., and
- 799 Levy, R. H.: Antarctic contribution to meltwater pulse 1A from reduced Southern Ocean
- 800 overturning, Nature Communications, 5, 5107, doi:10.1038/ncomms6107, 2014.
- 801 Goosse, H., Brovkin, V., Fichefet, T., Haarsma, R., Huybrechts, P., Jongma, J., Mouchet, A.,
- 802 Selten, F., Barriat, P.-Y., Campin, J.-M., Deleersnijder, E., Driesschaert, E., Goelzer, H.,
- 803 Janssens, I., Loutre, M. F., Morales Maqueda, M. A., Opsteegh, T., Mathieu, P.-P.,
- 804 Munhoven, G., Pettersson, E. J., Renssen, H., Roche, D. M., Schaeffer, M., Tartinville, B.,
- Timmermann, A., and Weber, S. L.: Description of the Earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM version 1.2, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 603-633, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-603-2010, 2010.
- Goosse, H., and Fichefet, T.: Importance of ice-ocean interactions for the global ocean
 circulation: A model study, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 23337-23355, doi:10.1029/1999JC900215,
 1999.
- Grant, K. M., Rohling, E. J., Bar-Matthews, M., Ayalon, A., Medina-Elizalde, M., Ramsey,
 C. B., Satow, C., and Roberts, A. P.: Rapid coupling between ice volume and polar
 temperature over the past 150,000 years, Nature, 491, 744–747, doi:10.1038/nature11593,
 2012.
- Helsen, M. M., van de Berg, W. J., van de Wal, R. S. W., van den Broeke, M. R., and
 Oerlemans, J.: Coupled regional climate-ice-sheet simulation shows limited Greenland ice
 loss during the Eemian, Clim. Past., 9, 1773-1788, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1773-2013, 2013.
- Holden, P., Edwards, N. R., Wolff, E., Lang, N., Singarayer, J., Valdes, P., and Stocker, T.:
 Interhemispheric coupling, the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and warm Antarctic interglacials,
 Clim. Past., 6, 431-443, doi:10.5194/cp-6-431-2010, 2010.

- Huybrechts, P.: Sea-level changes at the LGM from ice-dynamic reconstructions of the
 Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the glacial cycles, Quat. Sci. Rev., 21, 203-231,
 doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00082-8, 2002.
- 824 Huybrechts, P., and de Wolde, J.: The dynamic response of the Greenland and Antarctic ice
- sheets to multiple-century climatic warming, J. Clim., 12, 2169-2188, doi:10.1175/1520-
- 826 0442(1999)012<2169:TDROTG>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- 827 Huybrechts, P., Goelzer, H., Janssens, I., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Goosse, H., and
- 828 Loutre, M. F.: Response of the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets to Multi-Millennial
- 829 Greenhouse Warming in the Earth System Model of Intermediate Complexity LOVECLIM,
- 830 Surv. Geophys., 32, 397-416, doi:10.1007/s10712-011-9131-5, 2011.
- Janssens, I., and Huybrechts, P.: The treatment of meltwater retention in mass-balance
 parameterizations of the Greenland ice sheet, Ann. Glaciol., 31, 133-140, 2000.
- Kopp, R. E., Simons, F. J., Mitrovica, J. X., Maloof, A. C., and Oppenheimer, M.:
 Probabilistic assessment of sea level during the last interglacial stage, Nature, 462, 863-867,
 doi:10.1038/nature08686, 2009.
- Kopp, R. E., Simons, F. J., Mitrovica, J. X., Maloof, A. C., and Oppenheimer, M.: A
 probabilistic assessment of sea level variations within the last interglacial stage, Geophys. J.
 Int., 193, 711-716, doi:10.1093/gji/ggt029, 2013.
- 839 Landais, A., Masson-Delmotte, V., Capron, E., Langebroek, P. M., Bakker, P., Stone, E. J.,
- 840 Merz, N., Raible, C. C., Fischer, H., Orsi, A., Prié, F., Vinther, B., and Dahl-Jensen, D.: How
- warm was Greenland during the last interglacial period?, Clim. Past Discuss., 2016, 1-27,
 doi:10.5194/cp-2016-28, 2016.
- 843 Langebroek, P. M., and Nisancioglu, K. H.: Moderate Greenland ice sheet melt during the last
- interglacial constrained by present-day observations and paleo ice core reconstructions, The
 Cryosphere Discuss., 2016, 1-35, doi:10.5194/tc-2016-15, 2016.
- Lisiecki, L. E., and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed
 benthic delta O-18 records, Paleoceanography, 20, PA1003, doi:10.1029/2004pa001071,
 2005.

- 849 Loutre, M. F., Fichefet, T., Goosse, H., Huybrechts, P., Goelzer, H., and Capron, E.: Factors
- 850 controlling the last interglacial climate as simulated by LOVECLIM1.3, Clim. Past., 10,
- 851 1541-1565, doi:10.5194/cp-10-1541-2014, 2014.
- MacGregor, J. A., and Fahnestock, M. A.: Radiostratigraphy and age structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, J Geophys Res-Earth, 120, 212–241, doi:10.1002/2014JF003215, 2015.
- 854 Masson-Delmotte, V., Schulz, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Beer, J., Ganopolski, A., González Rouco,
- 855 J., Jansen, E., Lambeck, K., Luterbacher, J., Naish, T., Osborn, T., Otto-Bliesner, B., Quinn,
- 856 T., Ramesh, R., Rojas, M., Shao, X., and Timmermann, A.: Information from paleoclimate
- 857 archives, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
- 858 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
- 859 edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J.,
- 860 Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 861 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 383-464, 2013.
- 862 Masson-Delmotte, V., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Ortega, P., Swingedouw, D., Popp, T., Vinther, B.
- 863 M., Oerter, H., Sveinbjornsdottir, A. E., Gudlaugsdottir, H., Box, J. E., Falourd, S., Fettweis,
- X., Gallee, H., Garnier, E., Gkinis, V., Jouzel, J., Landais, A., Minster, B., Paradis, N., Orsi,
- 865 A., Risi, C., Werner, M., and White, J. W. C.: Recent changes in north-west Greenland
- climate documented by NEEM shallow ice core data and simulations, and implications for
 past-temperature reconstructions, Cryosphere, 9, 1481-1504, doi:10.5194/tc-9-1481-2015,
 2015.
- 869 Mckay, N. P., Overpeck, J. T., and Otto-Bliesner, B. L.: The role of ocean thermal expansion 870 Last Interglacial level rise, 38, L14605, in sea Geophys. Res. Lett., 871 doi:10.1029/2011GL048280, 2011.
- Menviel, L., Spence, P., and England, M. H.: Contribution of enhanced Antarctic Bottom
 Water formation to Antarctic warm events and millennial-scale atmospheric CO2 increase,
- 874 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 413, 37-50, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.050, 2015.
- Merz, N., Born, A., Raible, C. C., Fischer, H., and Stocker, T. F.: Dependence of Eemian Greenland temperature reconstructions on the ice sheet topography, Clim. Past, 10, 1221-
- 877 1238, doi:10.5194/cp-10-1221-2014, 2014.

- 878 Merz, N., Born, A., Raible, C. C., and Stocker, T. F.: Warm Greenland during the last 879 interglacial: the role of regional changes in sea ice cover, Clim. Past Discuss., 2016, 1-37,
- doi:10.5194/cp-2016-12, 2016.
- NEEM community members: Eemian interglacial reconstructed from a Greenland folded ice
 core, Nature, 493, 489-494, doi:10.1038/nature11789, 2013.
- Opsteegh, J. D., Haarsma, R. J., Selten, F. M., and Kattenberg, A.: ECBILT: a dynamic alternative to mixed boundary conditions in ocean models, Tellus, 50, 348-367, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0870.1998.t01-1-00007.x, 1998.
- Pedersen, R. A., Langen, P. L., and Vinther, B. M.: Greenland warming during the last
 interglacial: the relative importance of insolation and oceanic changes, Clim. Past Discuss.,
 2016, 1-20, doi:10.5194/cp-2016-48, 2016.
- Pollard, D., and Deconto, R. M.: Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse
 through the past five million years, Nature, 458, 329-332, doi:10.1038/nature07809, 2009.
- Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M., and Alley, R. B.: Potential Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by
 hydrofracturing and ice cliff failure, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 412, 112-121,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.035, 2015.
- Robinson, A., Calov, R., and Ganopolski, A.: Greenland ice sheet model parameters
 constrained using simulations of the Eemian Interglacial, Clim. Past., 7, 381-396,
 doi:10.5194/cp-7-381-2011, 2011.
- Robinson, A., and Goelzer, H.: The importance of insolation changes for paleo ice sheet
 modeling, The Cryosphere, 8, 1419-1428, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1419-2014, 2014.
- Rohling, E. J., Foster, G. L., Grant, K. M., Marino, G., Roberts, A. P., Tamisiea, M. E., and
 Williams, F.: Sea-level and deep-sea-temperature variability over the past 5.3 million years,
- 901 Nature, 508, 477–482, doi:10.1038/nature13230, 2014.
- 902 Shakun, J. D., Lea, D. W., Lisiecki, L. E., and Raymo, M. E.: An 800-kyr record of global
- 903 surface ocean delta O-18 and implications for ice volume-temperature coupling, Earth Planet.
- 904 Sci. Lett., 426, 58-68, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.05.042, 2015.
- 905 Sjolte, J., and Hoffmann, G.: Modelling stable water isotopes in monsoon precipitation during
- 906 the previous interglacial, Quat. Sci. Rev., 85, 119-135, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.12.006,
- 907 2014.

- 908 Steen-Larsen, H. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Hirabayashi, M., Winkler, R., Satow, K., Prié, F.,
- 909 Bayou, N., Brun, E., Cuffey, K. M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Dumont, M., Guillevic, M., Kipfstuhl,
- 910 S., Landais, A., Popp, T., Risi, C., Steffen, K., Stenni, B., and Sveinbjörnsdottír, A. E.: What
- 911 controls the isotopic composition of Greenland surface snow?, Clim. Past., 10, 377-392,
- 912 doi:10.5194/cp-10-377-2014, 2014.
- 913 Steig, E. J., Huybers, K., Singh, H. A., Steiger, N. J., Ding, Q. H., Frierson, D. M. W., Popp,
- T., and White, J. W. C.: Influence of West Antarctic Ice Sheet collapse on Antarctic surface
 climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 4862-4868, doi:10.1002/2015GL063861, 2015.
- 916 Stocker, T. F.: The Seesaw Effect, Science, 282, 61-62, doi:10.1126/science.282.5386.61,
 917 1998.
- 918 Stone, E. J., Lunt, D. J., Annan, J. D., and Hargreaves, J. C.: Quantification of the Greenland
- 919 ice sheet contribution to Last Interglacial sea level rise, Clim. Past., 9, 621-639,
 920 doi:10.5194/cp-9-621-2013, 2013.
- 921 Swingedouw, D., Fichefet, T., Huybrechts, P., Goosse, H., Driesschaert, E., and Loutre, M.
- F.: Antarctic ice-sheet melting provides negative feedbacks on future climate warming,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L17705, doi:10.1029/2008GL034410, 2008.
- Thompson, W. G., Curran, H. A., Wilson, M. A., and White, B.: Sea-level oscillations during
 the last interglacial highstand recorded by Bahamas corals, Nat. Geosci., 4, 684-687,
 doi:10.1038/ngeo1253, 2011.
- 927 Turney, C. S. M., and Jones, R. T.: Does the Agulhas Current amplify global temperatures
 928 during super-interglacials?, J. Quat. Sci., 25, 839-843, doi:10.1002/jqs.1423, 2010.
- 929 van de Berg, W. J., van den Broeke, M., Ettema, J., van Meijgaard, E., and Kaspar, F.:
- 930 Significant contribution of insolation to Eemian melting of the Greenland ice sheet, Nat.
- 931 Geosci., 4, 1-5, doi:10.1038/ngeo1245, 2011.
- 932 van de Berg, W. J., van den Broeke, M. R., van Meijgaard, E., and Kaspar, F.: Importance of
- 933 precipitation seasonality for the interpretation of Eemian ice core isotope records from
- 934 Greenland, Clim. Past., 9, 1589-1600, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1589-2013, 2013.
- 935 Vernon, C. L., Bamber, J. L., Box, J. E., Van Den Broeke, M. R., Fettweis, X., Hanna, E., and
- 936 Huybrechts, P.: Surface mass balance model intercomparison for the Greenland ice sheet, The
- 937 Cryosphere, 7, 599-614, doi:10.5194/tc-7-599-2013, 2013.

10 Tables

941 Table 1. Overview of all discussed model experiments. The second column gives the scale factor R for

942 temperature anomalies over the Greenland ice sheet.

Name	R	Description	
Reference	0.4	Fully coupled reference simulation	
High	0.5	Fully coupled simulation	
Low	0.3	Fully coupled simulation	
Forced reference	0.4	Forced with climate output from Reference	
Forced high	0.5	Forced with climate output from Reference	
Forced low	0.3	Forced with climate output from Reference	
No sub-shelf melting	0.4	Suppressed Antarctic sub-shelf melting	

Table 2. Peak sea-level contribution in sea-level equivalent (SLE) and timing from the Greenland ice sheet
 above present-day levels for three different parameter choices.

	Fully coupled experiments		Forced repeat experiments	
Name	SLE (m)	time of peak (kyr BP)	SLE (m)	time of peak (kyr BP)
High	+2.72	122.8	+2.01	123.6
Reference	+1.42	123.3	+1.42	123.3
Low	+0.65	124.0	+0.81	123.7

948 11 Figures



949

- 950 Fig. 1. LOVECLIM model setup for the present study including dynamic components for the Greenland
- 951 and Antarctic ice sheets and prescribed Northern Hemisphere ice sheet boundary conditions.

952





Fig. 2. Prescribed model forcing. Average monthly insolation anomaly (a) at 65° North in June (black) and
65° South in December (blue) to illustrate the spatially and temporally resolved forcing (Berger, 1978),
combined radiative forcing anomaly of prescribed greenhouse gas concentrations relative to the present
day (b) and sea-level forcing for the ice sheet components (c) derived from a Red Sea sea-level record
(Grant et al. 2012).





Fig. 3. Global annual mean near-surface air temperature evolution of the reference run (black) compared
to experiments with prescribed Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet evolution from stand-alone experiments
(One-way, red) and no ice sheet changes at all (noIS, light blue). The filled circle on the right axis indicates
the temperature for a pre-industrial control experiment of the reference model with present day ice sheet
configuration.



971 Fig. 4. Greenland ice sheet forcing characteristics for the reference run (black) and with higher (red) and 972 lower (green) temperature scaling. Climatic temperature anomaly relative to pre-industrial (a). 973 Accumulation rate (b) and runoff rate (c) given as ice sheet wide spatial averages over grounded ice. 974 Calving flux (d), net mass balance (e) and other mass balance terms (b, c) given in water equivalent. Ice 975 area (blue) and ice volume (black) for the reference run (f). All lines are smoothed with a 400 years 976 running mean except for the grey lines giving the full annual time resolution for the reference run. 977 Horizontal dashed lines give the pre-industrial reference values, except for panel e, where it is the zero 978 line.



Fig. 5. Greenland ice sheet geometry at 135 kyr BP (a), 130 kyr BP (b), for the minimum ice sheet volume
at 123 kyr BP with a sea-level contribution of 1.4 m (c) and at the end of the reference experiment at 115
kyr BP (d). The red dots indicate the deep ice core locations (from south to northwest: Dye-3, GRIP,

984 NGRIP, NEEM, Camp Century).

985



Fig. 6. Comparison of modelled North-East Greenland annual mean (solid) and summer (June-JulyAugust, dashed) surface temperature evolution (72° - 83° N and 306°33' - 317° 48' E) with reconstructed
temperature changes (grey) at deep ice core site NEEM (77°27' N, 308°56' E). The solid grey line is the
central estimate and grey dashed lines give the estimated error range for NEEM.



Fig. 7. Scaling of sea-level contribution from the Greenland ice sheet as a function of temperature changes for the full model (black) and forced model (red) in comparison (a). Schematic of the albedo parameterisation in the land model for (partially) ice-covered areas (b), which is a function of the underlying surface type, snow fraction and snow depth. See main text for details



Fig. 8. Antarctic ice sheet forcing and characteristics. Temperature anomaly relative to pre-industrial (a), average ice sheet wide accumulation rate (b), average ice sheet wide runoff rate (c), average sub-shelf melt rate diagnosed for the area of the present-day observed ice shelves (d) and net mass balance of the grounded ice sheet (e). Mass balance terms (b-e) are given in water equivalent. (f) Grounded ice sheet area (blue) and volume (black). Grey lines give full annual time resolution, while black lines (and blue in f) are smoothed with a 400 years running mean. Horizontal dashed lines give the pre-industrial reference values, except for panel e, where it is the zero line.



1009 Fig. 9. Antarctic grounded ice sheet geometry at 135 kyr BP (a), 130 kyr BP (b), for the minimum ice sheet

- 1010 volume at 125 kyr BP with a sea-level contribution of 4.4 m (c) and at the end of the reference experiment
- 1011 at 115 kyr BP (d).
- 1012
- 1013



1014

1015 Fig. 10. Comparison of modelled East Antarctic temperature evolution with reconstructed temperature

1016 changes at deep ice core sites. Modelled temperature anomalies are averaged over a region 72° - 90° S and

1017 0° - 150° E. Ice core temperature reconstructions for the sites EPICA Dronning Maud Land (EDML,

1018 75°00' S, 00°04' E), Dome Fuji (DF, 77°19' S, 39°40' E), Vostok (VK, 78°28' S, 106°48' E) and EPICA

- 1019 Dome C (EDC, 75°06' S, 123°21' E) are from Masson-Delmotte et al. (2011).
- 1020



Fig. 11. Sea-level contribution from the Greenland ice sheet for the reference run (black) and two
sensitivity experiments with higher (red) and lower (green) temperature scaling (a). Sea-level contribution
from the Antarctic ice sheet (b) from the reference run (black) and from a sensitivity experiment without
sub-shelf melting (blue). Sea-level contribution from oceanic thermal expansion from the reference run
(c).



Fig. 12. Modelled sea-level contributions from this study (colour lines) compared to probabilistic sea-level reconstructions (black lines) from Kopp et al. (2009) for the NH (a) the SH (b) and global (c). For the reconstructions, solid lines correspond to the median projection, dashed lines to the 16th and 84th percentiles, and dotted lines to the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles.