Clim. Past Discuss., 9, C346–C347, 2013 www.clim-past-discuss.net/9/C346/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Bayesian parameter estimation and interpretation for an intermediate model of tree-ring width" by S. E. Tolwinski-Ward et al.

## J. Guiot (Editor)

guiot@cerege.fr

Received and published: 4 April 2013

## Dear authors,

I think that both reviewers agree on the importance of your paper, but both underline some shortcuts that it is necessary to remove. A few of them are

- 1) the necessity to be more explicit with the temporal and spatial correlations : taking both into account is really an important point
- 2) the definition of the priors
- 3) the number of samples for the MCMC algorithm, is there convergence? how is it C346

## checked?

With my best regards

- 4) a better taking into account of previous literature on MCMC calibration with tree-rings and overall a better explanation of the context of calibration
- 5) the validation of the method in a Bayesian framework, the ability to estimate sigma2w
- 6) evidence that the method better fits data with posterior medians than with prior medians (or with a more standard method)
- 7) the effect of noise and uncertainties on the estimation of parameters
- 8) a better evaluation of the limits of the method
- 9) in the conclusion, some discussion on future planned work is necessary

I am expecting now that you post a point by point reply to the comments of the reviewers and a new version where you clearly state the changes you made.

| _    | , | - 3 |  |  |
|------|---|-----|--|--|
| Joel |   |     |  |  |
|      |   |     |  |  |

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 9, 615, 2013.