

Interactive comment on “Simulated European stalagmite record and its relation to a quasi-decadal climate mode” by G. Lohmann et al.

G. Lohmann et al.

gerrit.lohmann@awi.de

Received and published: 24 December 2012

Answer to referee #2

related to the manuscript “Simulated European stalagmite record and its relation to a quasi-decadal climate mode” (Clim. Past Discuss., 8, 3513-3533, 2012) by Gerrit Lohmann, Anne Wackerbarth, Petra M. Langebroek, Martin Werner, Jens Fohlmeister, Denis Scholz, Augusto Mangini

We thank the referee Francesco Pausata for the constructive review. The main criticisms from the referee was related to the analysis of the data but also about the way is written. We therefore rewrote some texts and we have re-done most of the analysis. The presentation of the input and output is now more clear. We now show the time

C2949

series of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, precipitation, evaporation in Fig. 1. The decadal variability in the temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ can be seen by the spectra for the input and output in Fig. 4. The local temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{precip}}$ indicate pronounced interannual variability, whereas $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{drip}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calc}}$ exhibit pronounced decadal variability (Figs. 3, 4). The spectra of the temperature, speleothem $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calc}}$ as well as the local $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{precip}}$ values show interannual (with peaks at about 3 and 5 years) and quasi-decadal variability (at about 14 years). The decadal peak is not significant for temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{precip}}$ (Fig. 4a, c), in contrast to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{drip}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calc}}$ where the interannual variability in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{drip}}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calc}}$ is suppressed (Fig. 4b, d) and the power spectra emphasise pronounced peaks at about 14 years. The pronounced quasi-decadal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal is introduced into the stalagmite already in the input series. The lag of about 3-5 years (Fig. 5) is related to the infiltration of a water parcel and its inflow into the cave. This value is consistent with earlier work at Bunker cave (Kluge et al., 2010; Wackerbarth et al., 2010).

In the following, we provide details to the referee's points:

1) We have re-written the abstract, included the coordinates, and are not explicit in the approach. Furthermore, we eliminated some unnecessary sentences (e.g., the SST tripole).

2) We inserted more citations of relevant literature. Thanks for the hints. We are more explicit about the Baker et al. Paper. Furthermore, the discussion of SST and atmospheric circulation is included (as suggested). We emphasize that changed boundary conditions may change the large-scale teleconnections.

3) We reformulated the results section, since we include more input and output of the stalagmite model. We followed your suggestions in including the power spectra for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation as well in drip water. We removed the lag composite analysis and use now the correlation (composites always have some subjectiveness). We rewrote now the figure captions.

C2950

Minor comments: We define d18O_prec and calc. We followed your suggestions.
We attach as pdf the new version of the manuscript. We refer to the new figures here.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<http://www.clim-past-discuss.net/8/C2949/2012/cpd-8-C2949-2012-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 8, 3513, 2012.

C2951