Clim. Past Discuss., 8, C2342–C2343, 2012 www.clim-past-discuss.net/8/C2342/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



CPD

8, C2342–C2343, 2012

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "A biomarker record of Lake El'gygytgyn, far east Russian Arctic: investigating sources of organic matter and carbon cycling during marine isotope stages 1–3" by A. R. Holland et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 13 November 2012

The manuscript describes a biomarker record from Lake E in Siberia, spanning the last ${\sim}60$ kyr. The authors find that the biomarker record does not change abruptly as the bulk geochemical indicators do, indicating both subtlety and complexity in the system that needs further study to understand. They also do not find indicators of anoxia, which was expected during the perennially ice-covered intervals.

Overall, I find the MS well written and suitable for Climate of the Past. The authors are careful not to over-interpret the data, and do a good job of explaining the potential influences on their biomarker proxies, particularly the GDGT record. I find the significance



Discussion Paper

good, and the presentation and quality to be excellent.

I suggest they take a look at the significant subunits regression for branched GDGT temperature reconstructions used in Loomis et al., EPSL 2012, it may be useful in their study.

Also, I think they have undersold the idea of use of CO2 from recycled OM as the cause of the C isotope depletion. This is something that we know happens, and this seems to me to be the perfect system for it. I don't know how "perennial" the ice cover is, but in this ultra-oligotrophic system, recycling is likely to happen during periods of ice cover, and any short period of ice free time would allow mixing of deep water nutrients and CO2 into surface waters, stimulating aquatic production in the short term. Furthermore, given the oligotrophic nature of the system, methanogenesis, which surely happens in deeper sediments currently, is simply not likely to be a major factor on the isotopic systematics. There are many lakes that have active methane cycles that are not influenced isotopically (such as the African lakes that Castaneda worked on). I do think it is better to err on the side of caution as the authors have done, but this seems to me to be the most likely scenario.

Little things:

You should define acronyms like "IRSL" dating and "GDGTs", the first time used

Did you mean to use "BAMEs" on page 4634 line 19 and the legend of figure 3? I think that should be FAMES, right? Oh, I see on page 4645 where you defined it as bacterial FAMES. Please define earlier.

Is it allowed to cite in prep manuscripts such as D'Anjou et al 2012 in this journal?

CPD

8, C2342–C2343, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 8, 4625, 2012.