Clim. Past Discuss., 8, 869–907, 2012 www.clim-past-discuss.net/8/869/2012/ doi:10.5194/cpd-8-869-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Climate of the Past (CP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in CP if available.

# Millennial-length forward models and pseudoproxies of stalagmite $\delta^{18}$ O: an example from NW Scotland

A. Baker<sup>1,\*</sup>, C. Bradley<sup>2</sup>, S. J. Phipps<sup>3</sup>, M. Fischer<sup>4</sup>, I. J. Fairchild<sup>2</sup>, L. Fuller<sup>2</sup>, C. Spötl<sup>6</sup>, and C. Azcurra<sup>5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Connected Waters Initiative Research Centre, University of New South Wales,

Water Research Laboratory, 110 King St, Manly Vale, Australia

<sup>2</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

<sup>3</sup>Climate Change Research Centre and ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Lucas Heights, Australia

<sup>5</sup>Connected Waters Initiative Research Centre, University of New South Wales, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kensington, Australia

<sup>6</sup>Department of Geology and Palaeontology, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>\*</sup>affiliated to the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, Australia





**Discussion** Paper Received: 20 February 2012 - Accepted: 6 March 2012 - Published: 12 March 2012 8, 869-907, 2012 **Millennial-length** Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union. forward models and pseudoproxies of **Discussion** Paper stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O A. Baker et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables Figures 4 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Correspondence to: A. Baker (a.baker@unsw.edu.au)

#### Abstract

The stable oxygen isotope parameter  $\delta^{18}$ O remains the most widely utilised speleothem proxy for past climate reconstructions. Uncertainty can be introduced into stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O from a number of factors, one of which is the heterogeneity of groundwater flow in karstified aguifers. Here, we present a lumped parameter hydrological model, KarstFor, which is capable of generating monthly simulations of surface water - ground water - stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O for more than thousand year time periods. Using a variety of climate input series, we use this model for the first time to compare observational with modelled (pseudoproxy) stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O series for a site at Assynt, NW Scotland, where our knowledge of  $\delta^{18}$ O systematics is relatively well understood. The 10 use of forward modelling allows us to quantify the relative contributions of climate, peat and karst hydrology, and disequilibrium effects in stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O, from which we can identify potential stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O responses to climate variability. Comparison of the modelled and actual stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O for two stalagmites from the site demonstrates that for the period of overlapping growth, the two series do not correlate with one an-15

other, but forward modelling demonstrates that this falls within the range explicable by differences in flow routing to the stalagmites. Pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O stalagmite series highlight the potential significance of peat hydrology in controlling stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O over the last 1000 years at this site.

#### 20 **1** Introduction

25

Paleoclimate reconstructions from stalagmite and peat proxy archives in NW Scotland have been the focus of research for the last decade (Proctor et al., 2000, 2002; Baker et al., 2002; Charman et al., 2001; Trouet et al., 2009), benefiting from the frequent occurrence of annually laminated stalagmites in the Uamh an Tartair cave system (Baker et al., 1993). Of additional significance is the correlation between rainfall amount in the





region and the winter North Atlantic Oscillation index (wNAO; Hurrell, 1995). Previous

speleothem studies have focused on analyses of the annual growth rate record; however, recently a high-resolution (annual to decadal) 1000 year stable oxygen isotope record has been obtained from an annually laminated stalagmite (Baker et al., 2011). This sample was deposited for ~1000 years until 1996 AD and is from the same stalagmite that has previously provided annual resolution climate reconstructions of local 5 rainfall and wNAO from variations in annual growth rate (Proctor et al., 2000; Trouet et al., 2009) and a proxy archive of severe winters (Baker et al., 2002). Cave climate and hydrological monitoring demonstrates that deposition close to isotopic equilibrium for  $\delta^{18}$ O is highly likely, and hence stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O directly reflects the composition of infiltrating water during periods of hydrologically effective precipitation (Fuller et al., 2008). 10 However, Baker et al. (2011) failed to find any correlation over either the instrumental period (the 20th Century) or that of reconstructed climate (proxy and instrumental; 17th Century to present) between stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O and precipitation, temperature or atmospheric circulation, preventing a simple paleoclimate interpretation of the stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O proxy. Hence, a major goal of this paper is to advance our understanding 15 of the  $\delta^{18}$ O proxy record by comparing stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O with pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O series generated using a hydrological forward modelling approach.

Recent developments in cave drip water hydrological modelling have quantified the uncertainty in stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O time series that arises as a result of variations in the hydrological routing of water from the surface to the cave (Baker and Bradley, 2010;

- <sup>20</sup> hydrological routing of water from the surface to the cave (Baker and Bradley, 2010; Bradley et al., 2010). This permits an alternative approach to the assessment of stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O series in the form of forward models and the generation of pseudoproxies (Mann and Rutherford, 2002; Mann et al., 2005; Moberg et al., 2008). Forward modelling is a process in which the climate series is taken as an input to a process-
- <sup>25</sup> based model, as opposed to the target of an empirical regression equation (Sturm et al., 2010). Driven by input climate data, forward models take an integrated earth systems approach to predict proxy series. As such, they are dependent on good quality (i.e. long and continuous) measured or derived series, often including  $\delta^{18}$ O of precipitation. Where measured series are unavailable, a pseudoproxy series can be generated





by a forward model in which the model input parameters have a mean, a variance and an autocorrelation of that to be expected over the model period. At the time of writing, this approach has been postulated as a suitable test of stalagmite and other proxy series but this has been rarely implemented to-date (for a review and example see Christiansen et al., 2009). More generally, attempts have been made to quantify

- see Christiansen et al., 2009). More generally, attempts have been made to quantify the uncertainty in proxy climate reconstructions using pseudoproxies which replicate the statistical properties of the proxy series (Mann et al., 2005; Christiansen et al., 2009). For example, Moberg et al. (2008) used the statistical time series properties of different proxies (used in the Moberg et al., 2005, reconstruction of the last 1000 year
- <sup>10</sup> climate) to generate a pseudoproxy reconstruction of climate over the time period, the pseudoproxy series having the same variance as the original proxy records. Such approaches may be valid for proxy climate series where their statistical properties can be readily replicated, but given that non-linear hydrological behaviour of drip water flow within karstified aquifers can lead to non-linear responses (Genty and Deflandre, 1998; Baker and Brunsdon, 2003), we prefer a modelling approach that can replicate
- <sup>15</sup> 1998; Baker and Brunsdon, 2003), we prefer a modelling approach that can replicate the actual processes of karst groundwater flow and which can be inferred from field evidence.

Stalagmite forward models published to date have focused on modelling stalagmite or major ion chemistry over 10<sup>1</sup>-10<sup>2</sup> year timescales. The first karst forward model, described by Fairchild et al. (2006a), comprised a two-layer hydrochemical model which was used to capture flow and geochemical variability in the soil and karst at Brown's Folly Mine (UK Jurassic oolitic limestone) in SW England. A linear reservoir approach was used in which matrix and macro-pore soil components routed water to a single

output draining a single reservoir. In this instance, the focus of the model was solute delivery rather than water isotopes over the 10<sup>1</sup> year timescale, although the expected oxygen isotope output from this model (derived from Wallingford rainfall input) was presented in Fairchild et al. (2006b; Fig. 14c). Baker and Bradley (2010) also used a linear reservoir approach to model speleothem  $\delta^{18}$ O over century-length simulations. In this case, a linear reservoir of total storage volume *S* was considered to





have variable capacity, initial volume of water  $(St_i)$  and outflow rate (v). Drip waters were presumed to be fed from the integrated reservoir volume. Further developments of this approach include Baker et al. (2010) who applied a single reservoir model to an Ethiopian stalagmite which was assumed to be fed by waters following two distinct

- flow-paths. Here, the first pathway was assumed to represent diffuse seepage through the karst matrix, while the second pathway comprised fracture-fed flow, on the basis of the observed characteristics of δ<sup>18</sup>O and the growth rate variability of the speleothem being modelled. Water flows in each pathway were parameterised via specified hydrological thresholds and both seepage and fracture-fed components of flow were mixed in the reservoir, and drip-water was modelled as an "overflow" type (sensu Tooth and
- Fairchild, 2003) to reflect observed seasonal drying of the drip-water.

This approach was continued by Bradley et al. (2010) who developed a monthly lumped parameter karst hydrology model (KarstHydroModel) specifically to attribute the uncertainty arising as a result of the dynamics of karst water movement and stor-

- age in the soil, epikarst and karst. Waters percolating through the karst were envisaged to move between five discrete water stores: (i) a surface store (that retained precipitation when air temperatures were <0 °C); (ii) the epikarst; (iii) a principal water store (Store 1); (iv) an underflow store; and (v) an overflow store. Water movement between stores occurred at varying rates depending upon hydrologically effective precipitation
- <sup>20</sup> in any month and the store capacity. In parallel to the hydrological model, the isotopic composition of each water store was modelled as a function of precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O, the  $\delta^{18}$ O of the water store, and the quantity of water in the store. The theoretical range in drip water and stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O were determined for three regions of contrasting climate (NW Scotland, Ethiopia and Gibraltar) using actual climate data (monthly mean <sup>25</sup> temperature; total precipitation and evapotranspiration and mean precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O)
- 25 temperature; total precipitation and evapotranspiration and mean precipitation and a common set of model parameters, over the last ~45 years.

In this paper we present a combination of the forward modelling, cave monitoring and pseudoproxy approaches to improve our understanding of the 1000 year  $\delta^{18}$ O record preserved in stalagmite SU-96-7 from Assynt in NW Scotland (Baker et al, 2011) as





well as a previously unpublished record from stalagmite SU-03-2 from the same cave (Fuller, 2007). We refine the recently developed KarstHydroModel described above (Bradley et al., 2010), to forward model stalagmite drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O over  $10^3$  year timescales for the first time. Model parameterisation is chosen to fit our process-based understanding of water movement, observations of cave climate and cave hydrological and geochemical monitoring data (undertaken occasionally between 1995–2002 and intensively between 2003 and 2005 AD (Fuller et al., 2008)). For the last 1000 years, multiple pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O series are then generated using a variety of climatologically realistic datasets. The aim of the paper is to compare these pseudoproxy series against the  $\delta^{18}$ O records from stalagmite SU-96-7 and SU-03-2, and hence improve our understanding of the different processes that determine the stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O series.

#### 2 Site description and methods

Stalagmites SU-96-7 and SU-03-2 were sampled from Uamh an Tartair (UAT; translated as "Cave of the Roaring") at Assynt, NW Scotland, in 1996. Site descriptions of
<sup>15</sup> cave environment, surface climate, and overlying soil and vegetation have been published previously (Proctor et al., 2000; Charman et al., 2001; Fuller et al., 2008), are detailed in Fuller (2007), and are summarised here and in Fig. 1. UAT is situated in the upper catchment of a peatland-dominated river basin. The geology at the site is Cambro-Ordovician dolomite, which has been completely cemented, tectonized and intruded by a sill, allowing water movement only through fractures or micro-fractures, i.e. with no matrix flow. Directly above UAT, the soil cover comprises mineral soils and localised shallow peats that have accumulated in small pockets generally <100 m<sup>2</sup> in area and with a maximum depth not exceeding ~1 m. Several sink holes occur above the cave which focus surface drainage, the largest of which approximately overlies the

<sup>25</sup> "The Grotto" where SU-96-7 and SU-03-2 were sampled. The peatland is ombrotrophic and radiocarbon dates demonstrate that it is relatively young, and that 80 cm of peat





has accumulated above the site over the last ~2300 years (approximately 1 cm/30 yr; Charman et al., 2001). A sampling well, installed in the peat immediately above the cave and monitored over 2004–2005 AD, indicated that the depth to the water table is highly variable over time and spans the entire depth of the peat profile over the hy-

- <sup>5</sup> drological year. Typically water levels rise surface of the peatland in winter but fall to the base of the peat deposit in summer. Thus, the available peat water level monitoring data suggest that periods of soil moisture deficit are likely to occur annually but only in the warmest summer months (JJA) and that subsequent rainfall produces an autumn flush of dissolved organic material to the groundwater. Although not deter-
- <sup>10</sup> mined, the peat at UAT is likely to have a high specific yield; Boelter (1965) found the specific yield (i.e. the drainable porosity) of surficial moss peats in a comparable peat-land in Minnesota to be between 0.79 and 0.52 falling to 0.22 with increasing depth and decomposition. Hence, the organic deposits represent a substantial and almost continuous store of water at this site.
- Based on records for the period 1961–1990, the climate at the site is oceanic with >1900 mm annual rainfall, with 250–270 rain days (>0.2 mm in a 24-h period), 4– 6 snow days (fresh snow lying at 09:00 LT), and an average of 77 % cloud cover over the year. Surface and cave temperature monitoring over 2003–2005 AD demonstrate a mean temperature of 7.2 °C, and within the Grotto of Uamh an Tartair cave air temper ature has an annual range of 4.7 °C (Fuller, 2008). Drip water hydrology and isotope
- <sup>20</sup> ature has an annual range of 4.7 °C (Fuller, 2008). Drip water hydrology and isotope data from a selection of drip water sites including that supplying SU-96-7 and SU-03-2 were collected over the same time period; mean drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O of all sites was identical to the weighted mean of monthly precipitation samples. The temporal variability of drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O was notable for the convergence of all monitored drip site  $\delta^{18}$ O in au-
- <sup>25</sup> tumn 2004 and autumn 2005 (Fuller et al., 2008) (Fig. 1). This would indicate a flush of homogenous water from the overlying peat each autumn, although a variability of drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O of 0.3–0.4‰ at these times suggests a more complex hydrological routing may be occurring. The timing of drip waters feeding stalagmite SU-96-7 was observed to range between 25 and 35 min per drip over the period 2003–2005 AD, while drip



waters for stalagmite SU-03-2 ranged between 2 and 25 min per drip.

The annual growth rate record from SU-96-7 provides a proxy archive of rainfall and the North Atlantic Oscillation, with higher growth rates in drier conditions that are also associated with a lowering of the water table and increased production of soil  $CO_2$ 

- <sup>5</sup> (Proctor et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). During particularly severe winters, annual growth laminae exhibit a "doublet" structure, which is interpreted as a second flush of organic matter following thawing of the peat (Baker et al., 2002). Most recently, Mariethoz et al. (2012) analysed the growth increment (acceleration) of eleven annually laminated stalagmite records, including SU-96-7, and demonstrated that all exhibit a "flickering"
- <sup>10</sup> in growth around a quasi-equilibrium value. This inability of the stalagmite to maintain continuous growth acceleration is interpreted hydrologically as demonstrating that stalagmite growth rate is controlled by a storage or reservoir, which is perturbed by variable annual inputs. Interestingly, SU-96-7 exhibits one period of non-flickering in growth, which occurs at 1615 AD and lasts ~30 years, which could be interpreted as a <sup>15</sup> change in hydrological routing to the stalagmite.

Stalagmite SU-03-2 is also annually laminated, with continuous fluorescent laminae preserved from 1679 AD to the date of sampling (2003 AD); the annual lamina series has been previously published in Baker et al. (2008). Drip water supplying the stalagmite has also been analysed (Fuller et al., 2008; Baker et al., 2011). The drip water hy-

- <sup>20</sup> drology, isotope and inorganic element geochemistry demonstrates that this stalagmite has, in comparison to SU-96-7, a higher mean discharge (0.5 µl s<sup>-1</sup> vs. 0.05 µl s<sup>-1</sup>); more variable drip rate (56 % relative st. dev); and, higher dissolved calcium and magnesium concentrations (inferred from Electrical Conductivities of 547 vs. 469 µS cm<sup>-1</sup>). This suggests that stalagmite SU-03-2 has a different flow pathway (higher dissolved calculation).
- <sup>25</sup> calcium, more variable discharge) and may potentially have a greater sensitivity to within-cave fractionation effects (correlation between discharge and  $\delta^{13}$ C of dissolved inorganic carbon; Baker et al., 2011). To obtain a high-resolution climate record, calcite samples were obtained from stalagmite SU-03-2 using a micromill at the University of Innsbruck. Samples were milled at 100 µm intervals and approximately 150 µm depth,





creating trenches 2 mm wide along the growth axis. To enable the milled samples to be aligned to the lamina chronology, every 10th sample was milled to a wider trench. Sample SU-03-2 was milled down the central growth axis from the top to within ~2 mm of a visible growth hiatus. The calcite powders were analysed by conventional aciddigestion methods as outlined in Spötl and Vennemann (2003). C and O isotope values 5 are reported on the VPDB scale. The 1  $\sigma$  error for is ±0.06 ‰ and ±0.08 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O, respectively. The annual fluorescent laminae in the milled section of the stalagmite were reimaged using identical techniques as previously published (Proctor et al., 2000, 2002) and each 100 µm isotope analysis aligned to a lamina year for the last 331 yr.

#### Comparison of stalagmite $\delta^{18}$ O series and climate correlations 3

10

The previously unpublished  $\delta^{18}$ O series for stalagmite SU-03-2 from Fuller (2007) is presented in Fig. 2, along with the published record for SU-96-7 (Baker et al., 2011). The  $\delta^{13}$ C series are also given for both stalagmites, as is the  $\delta^{18}$ O vs.  $\delta^{13}$ C relationship for each sample. Comparison of  $\delta^{18}$ O series (Fig. 2a) demonstrates that mean 15  $\delta^{18}$ O in SU-03-2 is lower than SU-96-7 over the period of overlap of the last ~330 years  $(-4.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ vs.} -4.5 \pm 0.4, \text{ statistically different at 99 \% confidence interval, Student's t$ test), and the two stalagmites exhibit a similar  $\delta^{18}$ O range (-4.0 to -5.8,% vs. -3.8 to -5.4%), despite having different temporal sampling resolutions. Figure 2a demonstrates that a divergence in  $\delta^{18}$ O occurs between the two stalagmites from ~1630 AD 20 to ~1720 AD, and ~1800 to ~1860 AD, with  $\delta^{18}$ O > 1 ‰ higher in SU-96-7 in both instances.  $\delta^{13}$ C also diverges to lower values at the same periods (Fig. 2b). Comparison of the two  $\delta^{13}$ C series demonstrates that mean  $\delta^{13}$ C for SU-03-2 is lower than SU-96-7  $(-11.5 \pm 0.6 \% \text{ vs.} -10.6 \pm 0.5 \%)$ , statistically different at the 99 % confidence interval (Student's t-test).  $\delta^{13}$ C has a greater range in SU-03-2 (-9.6 to -13.0% vs. -9.8 to 25 -12.0‰), indicative of the higher sampling resolution and likely revealing the influence of kinetic effects due to drip rate variations over inter-annual timescales. Plotting





 $\delta^{13}$ C vs.  $\delta^{18}$ O for both samples (Fig. 2c) demonstrates a correlation that is similar in strength and gradient for both SU-03-2 (r = 0.56, gradient = 0.37 ± 0.03) and SU-96-7 (r = 0.69, gradient = 0.44 ± 0.03). A correlation between  $\delta^{13}$ C vs.  $\delta^{18}$ O could indicate the dominance of a fractionation process controlling these isotopes: Baker et al. (2011) demonstrated that kinetic fractionation is relevant in SU-96-7 for  $\delta^{13}$ C, but not  $\delta^{18}$ O. Given the lower mean  $\delta^{18}$ O in SU-03-2 compared to SU-96-7, we consider the same will apply for SU-03-2.

We investigated the strength of the relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and various climate parameters. For the period 1880 to 2003 AD, we investigated the correlation with <sup>10</sup> monthly, seasonal and annual temperature and precipitation, using the local adjusted instrumental series of Proctor et al. (2000), updated to 2003 AD by Fuller et al. (2008). We also calculated monthly, seasonal and annual water excess (precipitation minus evapotranspiration) following Thornthwaite (1948). All correlations with temperature, precipitation and water excess were weak, the strongest correlation was with total an-

<sup>15</sup> nual water excess (r = -0.27) (Fig. 3a). A negative correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and effective recharge is typical of what would be expected from a precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O "amount effect". However, the correlation is not stationary with time: split analysis of the instrumental data demonstrates that this relationship was strongest in the earlier half of the instrumental series.

<sup>20</sup> We undertook further analyses using the 20th Century Reanalysis data provided by NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA (from their Web site: http://www.esrl. noaa.gov/psd/, (Compo et al. 2006)), which allowed us to investigate additional climate relationships with atmospheric pressure and zonal and meridional winds. Again, correlations were weak, with the strongest correlations being between both local sea-level pressure and 500 mb geopotential height and stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O. Figure 3b presents the spatial correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and sea level pressure, demonstrating a positive relationship between sea-level pressure and  $\delta^{18}$ O. This is in agreement with the west-east

 $\delta^{18}$ O gradient observed across European in Holocene stalagmites (McDermott et al., 2011) and reflects the importance of Atlantic vs. continental sourced moisture for the



isotopic composition of precipitation in the region. Figure 3c shows the correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and sea-level pressure in the region 60–65° N, 0–10° W. Interestingly, correlations with sea-level pressure were less strong when other reanalysis products of shorter time duration (post 1948 AD) were used, indicating that the correlation is not stationary over time.

Finally, we also considered the relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and averaged climate data to investigate possible water mixing and storage in both soil and groundwater. Figure 3d demonstrates that the best correlations between  $\delta^{18}$ O and annual water excess and mean annual sea-level pressure occurred with an average of the previous 3 to 6 years of the climate series, with correlations of up to ~0.5 between sea level pressure and  $\delta^{18}$ O. More complex mixing models (Baker and Bradley, 2010; Jex et al., 2010) did not improve any of the correlations with sea-level pressure or water excess, nor did a multiple regression of water excess and sea-level pressure against  $\delta^{18}$ O.

Overall, comparison with instrumental and reanalysis product data suggests that  $\delta^{18}$ O in stalagmite SU-03-2 does contain a climate signal that can be related to rainfall source (sea-level pressure), and amount (water excess), but that this explains <15% of the data at an annual timescale and <25% at a 3–6 year timescale, and is also nonstationary over time. A similar lack of climate correlation was observed in the  $\delta^{18}$ O record of stalagmite SU-96-7 (Baker et al., 2011), suggesting that for this region and this time period at least, stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O is not sensitive to climate variability. Additionally, stalagmites SU-03-2 and SU-96-7 have different mean  $\delta^{18}$ O and exhibit different time series over their period of overlap during the last ~330 years, which requires further investigation. Therefore in this paper, we develop a forward modelling approach to generate stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O pseudoproxy series which we can use to investigate sources

<sup>25</sup> of uncertainty in stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O.





#### 4 KarstFor forward model

The setting of UAT differs in a number of respects from the generalised context envisaged in the karst hydrology forward models described by Baker and Bradley (2010), Baker et al. (2010) and Bradley et al. (2010), and the model configuration was revised

- <sup>5</sup> to correspond with our understanding of the hydrology of the UAT cave system. In particular, stalagmite SU-96-7 is characterised by a slow but continuous drip rate. This indicates the importance of water storage within the epikarst which is very possibly within fine fractures, the abundance of which is apparent from visual observation of a dense network of soda-straw stalactites in the cave. Both stalagmites also contained
- <sup>10</sup> continuous annual fluorescent laminae, inferring continuous hydrological connectivity to the overlying blanket bog (Proctor et al., 2000). Moreover, for discrete annual fluorescent laminae to be preserved, this suggests the seasonally distinct movement of infiltrating waters from the overlying peat, and hence water flow to the epikarst is likely to be maintained by the substantial storage capacity of the surficial peat. The combi-
- nations of these lines of evidence suggest that the stalagmite is predominantly fed by a small epikarst water store, probably associated with dissolutionally enhanced microfractures. This store receives inflows from the overlying peat, which transport fluorescent organic matter produced by microbial degradation in the peatland during periods of summer soil moisture deficit.
- <sup>20</sup> Given the detailed information available for UAT, the karst hydrology model described by Bradley et al. (2010) was modified to derive the model structure summarised in Fig. 4 and Table 1. The hydrological system is envisaged to comprise two principal water stores: the epikarst, and a surface peatland, with water storage in both stores determined by a water balance equation. The sole water inflow to the peatland is pre-<sup>25</sup> cipitation, with outflows of evapotranspiration (ET), and two flow pathways (F1 and F2) representing infiltration to the karst. F1, describes a flush from the peat store which occurs every autumn, two months after the observation of seasonal peak evapotranspiration (i.e.  $PET_t < PET_{t-1} < PET_{t-2} > PET_{t-3}$ ). F1 occurs annually, but only during one





autumn month at a time when a significant proportion of monthly rainfall is not lost via evapotranspiration, and autumn rainfall is flushed through to the cave system, prior to the peatland water-table rising to the surface. F2 occurs whenever there have been two consecutive months with surface temperatures below freezing, permitting a snow-melt

- <sup>5</sup> flush, as observed in stalagmite SU-96-7 by the presence of occasional fluorescent double laminae. The threshold for F2 was set to 0.4 °C, which generated the same number of flushes over the 1000 year simulations as observed as lamina doublets in stalagmite SU-96-7 (n = 43). For both flows (F1 and F2), a volume of water equivalent to 10% of the peat water store flows from the peat store to the epikarst store.
- <sup>10</sup> F1 and F2 are the sole water inflows to the epikarst while water outflows (F3) from the store every month, at a rate of ~1% of total water storage within the store. In our model, the principal hydrological control on stalagmite drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O is the relative size of the epikarst store (envisaged as a dissolutionally enlarged fracture or protocave; EPXSTOR) in relation to the proportion of water draining this store (through micro-fractures to other stalagmites or stores; F3). Where the storage volume is large
- in relation to the drainage rate,  $\delta^{18}$ O variability will be low and drip water supply will be continuous, whereas a high drainage rate relative to storage volume would result in high  $\delta^{18}$ O variability and discontinuous water supply. Knowing that stalagmites SU-96-7 and SU-03-2 both contain a continuous annual lamina sequence and variable  $\delta^{18}$ O,
- <sup>20</sup> we can constrain the storage volume to drainage ratio. Using data from a General Circulation Model (GCM) as the input series (see Sect. 4.2), we can demonstrate that this ratio has to be within the range 0.005 to 0.2. At a ratio of >0.2, the epikarst store occasionally drains, which would result in discontinuous growth and hiatuses, which are not observed in either SU-96-7 or SU-03-2. At a ratio of <0.005, all short term variability in  $\delta^{18}$ O is removed and only a long-term trend is visible, which is also not apparent in stalagmite SU-96-7 or SU-03-2. Using these constraints, we take a median value for F3 of 0.08 × EPXSTOR.

The  $\delta^{18}$ O composition of each store is determined by a mixing equation as a function of precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O and the isotopic composition of the store at the previous time-step.





The isotopic composition of water in the peat store is not adjusted to account for possible fractionation of peat water due to evapotranspiration since evaporation at this site is low in proportion to the total wetland water storage. Seepage waters from the epikarst are assumed to provide drip waters to stalagmites at UAT, and our model generates continuous drainage from the epikarst store (F3) as well as an overflow from the same 5 store (F4). The latter is not considered further here, as an overflow routing would likely lead to discontinuous water supply and therefore stalagmite deposition with hiatuses, which is not observed in stalagmites SU-96-7 or SU-03-2. Stalagmite dripwater  $\delta^{18}$ O is output as: (1) the equivalent to the EPXSTOR composition, which can be conceptualised as hydrological routing via basal flow from the peat and the epikarst store; 10 (2) mixtures of epikarst and precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O, the equivalent of including a preferential flow component through the shallow peat such as from peat cracks or peat erosion (both of which are observed in the overlying soil) and fractures within the limestone. Stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O is estimated as a function of epikarst  $\delta^{18}$ O and calcite fractionation (mean temperature over the previous 7-months). The most appropriate formulation of 15 this fractionation equation for speleothem deposition is the subject of research debate (see, for example, Tremaine et al., 2011; Farchild and Baker, 2012), here we use the

widely used equation of Kim and O'Neil (1997).
In its initial form, the model was developed by Bradley et al. (2010) using ModelMaker
(Walker and Crout, 1997) but as part of the changes in the model structure described here, the model (here termed KarstFor) has been coded in Fortran to reduce computation time and increase availability to the academic community. The model code is available in the Supplement.

#### 4.1 Model validation

<sup>25</sup> The model was validated by comparing measured stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O data from SU-03-2 and SU-96-7 with simulated series generated by KarstFor for the period 1960 to 2005 AD. Model input data comprised climate data (temperature, precipitation, potential evapotranspiration) taken from Proctor et al. (2000), and rainfall  $\delta^{18}$ O from the IAEA





station at Valentia, Ireland, 800 km to the SW of the site. The IAEA rainfall data series was incomplete, and for months where  $\delta^{18}$ O is missing (predominantly between 1965 and 1977 AD), data were infilled by calculating a  $\delta^{18}$ O value from the relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and precipitation amount for the whole data series. The infilled Valentia series was then adjusted to provide a local estimate of precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O using the correlation described by Fuller et al. (2008) from an analysis of 18 months of data. Given the potential uncertainty in the precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O input series using distant and incomplete IAEA data, global precipitation isotope fields were obtained from IsoGSM, which is a historical isotope simulation computed using a GCM nudged with atmospheric re-

- analysis data (Yoshimura et al. 2008). The GCM is an up-to-date version of the Scripps ECPC global spectral model, with a horizontal resolution of T62 and 17 vertical levels. By applying spectral nudging towards the meteorology captured by the NCEP/DOE Reanalyses, the spatial and temporal patterns of precipitation isotopes simulated by IsoGSM closely agree with observational data (the Global Network of Isotopes in Pre-
- cipitation GNIP database). Thus, IsoGSM can provide realistic isotope information from regions where in-situ observations are not available. Precipitation isotope data were extracted from the IsoGSM grids for Assynt (58° N, 355° E) and Valentia (51.93° N, 349.75° E), using bilinear interpolation. The data spanned the period 1979–2007 AD. A comparison between the IsoGSM time-series at the nearest IAEA monitoring station
- <sup>20</sup> (Valentia, Ireland) and NW Scotland, with our monthly precipitation series, is shown in Fig. 5. Figure 5a shows the good agreement between IsoGSM and IAEA GNIP data for Valentia, and Fig. 5b shows a similarly strong agreement between IsoGSM  $\delta^{18}$ O and our limited data for UAT (Fuller et al., 2008). This demonstrates that the use of IsoGSM data for NW Scotland is likely to be most representative of actual rainfall  $\delta^{18}$ O, rather than using the relatively distant Valentia period. as demonstrated by the contraction
- than using the relatively distant Valentia series, as demonstrated by the contrasting gradients in the  $\delta^{18}$ O Valentia vs. UAT using IsoGSM and adjusted IAEA GNIP data (Fig. 5c).

Four stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O series were generated (as shown in Fig. 4): (i) Stal\_1 was derived directly from the epikarst store  $\delta^{18}$ O; (ii) Stal\_2 was from an "overflow store" that





received inflow from the epikarst when the latter >2500 mm; (iii) Stal\_3 comprised 50 % epikarst  $\delta^{18}$ O, 25 % precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O, 25 % precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O in previous month; and (iv) Stal\_4 was 75 % epikarst, 25 % precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O. Calcite fractionation was described in each series as a function of mean monthly temperature over the previous seven months. Figure 6 presents 12-monthly mean output for Stal\_1, Stal\_2, Stal\_3, and Stal\_4 together with stalagmite SU-96-7 and SU-03-2, although as discussed earlier, overflow routing is not expected to be relevant to SU-96-7 and SU-03-2. Figure 6a uses IsoGSM as the precipitation isotope input series, and Fig. 6b uses the adjusted IAEA precipitation series. Comparison of model output between the two input series shows a good agreement, except over the period 1977 to 1981 AD when the GNIP based output modelled series was ~1‰ higher than the IsoGSM-based series. This occurs just after a heavily interpolated part of the GNIP based series: the IsoGSM series also has a closer fit to the two stalagmite series over this time period. Combined with the good agreement with our limited field data (Fig. 5b), we therefore consider the IsoGSM-based model to be more reliable and only consider this input series for model

<sup>15</sup> IsoGSM-based model to be more reliable and only consider this input series for model validation.

SU-96-7 was sampled at a relatively coarse temporal resolution (typically 2–3 year resolution, with a range of 1–10 years varying with growth rate) and SU-03-2 at approximately annual resolution. Given (i) the analytical uncertainty of isotope analyses

(±0.12‰ at 2 σ) and in the local precipitation δ<sup>18</sup>O series; (ii) chronology uncertainty when aligning fixed width (100 µm) isotope samples to variable growth rate (~20–200 µm); and (iii) the short validation period (<30 yr, with fewer data points for slow growing stalagmite SU-96-7), it is not possible to optimise the model using the stalagmite series. Figure 6a demonstrates that both SU-96-7 and SU-03-2 are reasonably represented by the 12-month mean of model outputs stal\_1, stal\_3 and stal\_4. SU-96-7 δ<sup>18</sup>O over the validation period (-4.92 ± 0.17‰) is statistically most similar (Student's t-test, no significant difference at 99% CI) to model output from stal\_1 (epikarst, 24 month averaging; modelled δ<sup>18</sup>O = -5.00 ± 0.22‰), although it is also similar to the stal\_4 model output (25% preferential flow; 24 month averaging; -5.02 ± 0.25).





SU-03-2  $\delta^{18}$ O over the validation period ( $-5.20 \pm 0.24$ ) is most similar to stal\_4 (25% preferential flow, 12 month averaged;  $-5.02 \pm 0.28\%$ , statistically similar at 99% CI, Student's t-test), although this is also similar to stal\_1 model output (epikarst, 12 month averaging,  $-5.00 \pm 0.23\%$ ). The greater variability of drip rate and inorganic geochemistry of drip waters supplying SU-03-2 suggests that stal\_4 is a reasonable modelling scenario for this stalagmite. Model output stal\_3 (50% preferential flow) is significantly isotopically heavier ( $-4.83 \pm 0.28$ ) than both stalagmite series, suggesting that this amount of preferential flow is unlikely. From these validation exercises, we infer that

model outputs stal\_1 and stal\_4 are most likely model representations of the range of

<sup>10</sup> karst hydrological variability experienced by these stalagmites.

#### 4.2 Millennial-length input data series

5

25

Given the ability to model stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O for  $10^3$  yr periods, it was then necessary to develop input climate data over longer time periods to enable 1000 year forward model simulations.

- <sup>15</sup> a. We generated random 1000 year monthly series for precipitation, temperature and  $\delta^{18}$ O of precipitation (Fig. 5). The precipitation and temperature series were both constrained to have a mean and variance identical to that of the modern instrumental data series of Proctor et al. (2000). Evapotranspiration was estimated from temperature by the Thornthwaite method (Thornthwaite, 1948), while precipitation and temperature series were generated independently to avoid introduction of autocorrelation into the series. Global precipitation isotope fields were obtained from IsoGSM, described previously, and random series were generated with the same mean and variance. This series is hereafter called *RANDOM*.
  - b. We generated adjusted random series by weighting both temperature and precipitation by the best available paleoclimate data. Temperature was adjusted using the Northern Hemisphere mean annual temperature of Mann et al. (2008), which





was applied equally to all months of the year of the temperature series. Figure 7a demonstrates that, as expected, this results in input series that typically have a mean temperature that is up to 0.5 °C cooler than RANDOM for much of the last 1000 years, with the increase in temperature over the last 100 years particularly apparent. The adjusted series has the same variance as the RANDOM series, but now contains the autocorrelation structure of the Mann et al. (2008) series. This adjusted temperature series was then used to recalculate potential evapotranspiration. An adjusted precipitation series was derived using the wNAO reconstruction of Trouet et al. (2009), with winter (December-March) precipitation increased by 2.27 % for every unit change in wNAO. The Trouet et al. (2009) reconstruction only covers the period from ~1050 AD and consequently no adjustment was possible for the first 48 years of the reconstruction and so the original randomised series was used for this period. Figure 7b demonstrates the small effect on mean monthly precipitation that this adjustment has. For  $\delta^{18}$ O of precipitation, the  $\delta^{18}$ O series was again generated using the mean and standard deviation of  $\delta^{18}$ O time series obtained from the nearest grid square using IsoGSM model output. Evapotranspiration was again estimated from temperature using the Thornthwaite method. These series are called MANN-TROUET. Given our relatively poor understanding of how precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O relates to climate dynamics in the region over the last 1000 years (Trouet et al., 2012), we do not adjust the  $\delta^{18}$ O input data for changes in temperature and precipitation.

5

10

15

20

25

c. A three-member ensemble of transient simulations of the last 2000 years was conducted using the CSIRO Mk3L climate system model version 1.2 (Phipps et al., 2011). This is a coupled atmosphere-land-sea ice-ocean GCM, with horizon-tal resolutions of 5.6 × 3.2° in the atmosphere and 2.8 × 1.6° in the ocean. The model was forced with changes in the Earth's orbital parameters, atmospheric greenhouse gases (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006), solar irradiance (Steinhilber et al., 2009) and volcanic emissions (Gao et al., 2008). Monthly temperature and precipitation data were extracted for the model gridpoint closest to the study



site. Temperature was adjusted by -0.7 °C to reflect the difference between the grid square mean and the local instrumental temperature series, and precipitation adjusted by x2.12 to reflect local instrumental precipitation (both using the instrumental series of Proctor et al., 2000) in a region where there is a large precipitation gradient due to orographic effects. Evapotranspiration was again estimated from temperature using the Thornthwaite method. Figure 7a demonstrates that the GCM series has greater temperature variability than the RANDOM and MANN-TROUET series and a similar autocorrelation to the MANN-TROUET series. However, while precipitation variability is similar to the RANDOM and MANN-TROUET series, there is now autocorrelation in the series as indicated in Fig. 7b. The precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O series was again generated using the mean and standard deviation of  $\delta^{18}$ O time series obtained from the nearest grid square using IsoGSM model output. These series are called GCM.

Model output comprises monthly water volume and isotopic composition in the peat and epikarst stores and stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O. The latter was calculated from modelled drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O following Kim and O'Neil (1997), with temperature-dependent fractionation applied to match the observed seasonal variability of cave air temperatures within the cave.

#### 4.3 Forward model output

5

10

15

- Pseudoproxy time series are presented in Figs. 8 and 9. Figure 8 presents rainfall input and model output from a 10-yr period. Months 9000 to 9120 represent a 10-yr period from 1750–1760 AD, and model output is shown for the water isotopic composition of the epikarst store, and the stalagmite isotope composition for stal\_1, stal\_3 and stal\_4 assuming a 2-yr sampling interval (representative of stalagmite SU-96-7).
- <sup>25</sup> Figure 8 demonstrates that the epikarst store removes the monthly variation in  $\delta^{18}$ O as observed in the input rainfall series (Fig. 5b), with annual recharge of the epikarst store. Isotopic variability of the epikarst store is <0.5‰ over the 10-yr period, with no



difference between the MANN\_TROUET, RANDOM or GCM input series. This variability mostly derives from the variability between model simulations rather than climate variability within an individual simulation. Modelled stalagmite isotopic composition demonstrates increasing variability with increasing proportions of preferential flow, as would be expected. Stal\_1 exhibits similar variability as the epikarst store, with variability increasing to ~1 ‰ for stal\_4 and 1.3 ‰ for stal\_3.

5

Figure 9 presents model output for the months 0 to 12000, covering the last 1000 years, as well as data from stalagmites SU-96-7 and SU-03-2. Stalagmite SU-96-7 and SU-03-2  $\delta^{18}O_c$  have both been sampled at 100-mum resolution equating to time intervals of between ~1 and ~10 years depending on growth rate. Consequently, Fig. 9

- Intervals of between ~1 and ~10 years depending on growth rate. Consequently, Fig. 9 compares the pseudoproxy series smoothed to 12 and 24 month moving averages to reflect the different stalagmite sampling resolution. Figure 9a compares stalagmite series with model output for stal\_1 (derived from drip water from the epikarst store) and smoothed with a 24-month moving average, which model validation suggested was
- <sup>15</sup> most appropriate for stalagmite SU-96-7. Figure 9b provides a comparison with model output stal\_4 (25% preferential flow) and 12-month smoothing, which validation suggested was more appropriate for stalagmite SU-03-2. Figure 9 shows that, with the exception of the first ~400 months of the simulation run, when the model was stabilising, pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O was relatively constant and with a variability of <0.5% for stal\_1 and <1.0% for stal\_4. No significant differences in mean pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O are
- observed between the MANN-TROUET series and the RANDOM series; therefore the effects of cooler surface temperatures through the Little Ice Age on the fractionation of calcite are of negligible importance.

Figure 9b, where drip water series stal\_4 comprises 75% routed through the peat and epikarst stores and 25% preferential flow, shows that the stalagmite SU-03-2 $\delta^{18}$ O falls within the range of pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O over the ~350 years of stalagmite growth. However, if the drip water is sourced only from the peat and epikarst stores with no preferential flow, as inferred for stalagmite SU-96-7, pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O variability is significantly less than that observed. In particular, isotopically heavy  $\delta^{18}$ O occurred





persistently between 1620 and 1880 AD in the SU-96-7 stalagmite series, falling well outside the range of all the pseudoproxy series. For this period, stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O is between 0.5 and 1.0% higher than the highest stalagmite and pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O in the last 100 yr and during the validation period. The early part of the stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O shift to higher values is coincident with a period of severe winters recorded as lamina doublets (Baker et al., 2002) as well as the change in stalagmite growth rate properties (Mariethoz et al., 2012).

Our forward modelling approach helps understand the potential sources of uncertainty in stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O at UAT, but a definitive interpretation of the stalagmite series remains elusive. One possible hypothesis is that there is no change in flow routing over the last 1000 years, such that flow routing never involves preferential flow, and therefore precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O over the period 1620–1880 AD would have to be isotopically heavier than the modern period. Such a hypothesis would be supported by the replication of higher  $\delta^{18}$ O values in both stalagmites, which is not clearly the case. An

- <sup>15</sup> isotopically heavier rainfall composition would be consistent with a pervasive negative NAO state within the Little Ice Age (Trouet et al., 2011; Graham et al., 2011). However, it would also have to be outside the range of that modelled by the IsoGSM modelling over the modern period. A >1% shift in mean precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O would be required, which would be of a similar order of magnitude to that observed and modelled in the
- <sup>20</sup> 8.2 ka event (LaGrande and Schmidt, 2005; Dominguez-Villar et al., 2009). Further independent proxy evidence, such as replicate speleothem series from a different cave in the region, or other independent proxies of  $\delta^{18}$ O in the region, as well as comparison with isotope enabled GCM output for the last 1000 years, would be required to test this hypothesis.
- <sup>25</sup> An alternative hypothesis is that the preferential flow model is more realistic (Fig. 9b), in which case the SU-96-7  $\delta^{18}$ O series can be explained without a change in precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O over time. However, this would require systematic change(s) in hydrological routing to explain the change in stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O to heavier isotopic composition. This could be due to changes in the nature of peat accumulation over time. Pollen evidence





indeed demonstrates changes between Calluna and Sphagnum dominated peat over time at the site (Charman et al., 2001); and changes in hydrological routing could also be achieved by increased preferential flow through cracking of the peat. This might lead to rapid infiltration of recent precipitation to water stores in the epikarst store and/or in karst fractures, and could permit recharge of isotopically heavier rainfall in summer, 5 or in low intensity precipitation events. Such waters might otherwise be stored in the peat or lost via evapotranspiration. Alternatively, cracking or erosion of the peat might increased the connectivity between surface water pools on the peat surface. Repeat analysis of waters sampled from four nearby pools on the peat surface between December 2003 and October 2004 revealed  $\delta^{18}$ O average compositions that ranged be-10 tween -6.28 and -6.70 % (n = 20), with the highest value measured of -3.0 % (Fuller, 2007). Surface peat pool waters are all isotopically heavier than the mean cave drip waters recorded over the same period  $(-7.14 \pm 0.14 \%)$ , n = 86, demonstrating the influence of evaporation on the surface water body. The heavy isotopic signal which

- occurs over the period 1620–1880 AD could therefore be a non-linear response to severe winters, with the cracking of the peat due to freezing, or freeze-thaw action on the shallow epikarst, enabling a periodic flush of isotopically enriched water. One would also expect a change in hydrogeochemical response at this time, and the period of more steady inter-annual growth rates, identified by non-flickering in the growth
- of SU-96-7 at ~1615 AD (Mariethoz et al., 2012), can be interpreted as a change in hydrological routing to the stalagmite providing further evidence that this mechanism is a likely explanation for heavier stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O at this time. It could also explain the lack of correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and other climate series over the last 300 yr as attempted by Baker et al. (2011). An independent proxy for precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O, such as
- <sup>25</sup> that extractable from peat *Sphagnum* (Daley et al., 2009), could help test our alternative hypotheses.



### 5 Conclusions

McDermott et al. (2011) demonstrated that  $\delta^{18}$ O for European Holocene speleothems, using multiple samples binned into 50-yr resolution slices, systematically decreases as a function of distance from Atlantic Ocean, which can be explained by a Rayleigh distil-

- <sup>5</sup> lation model of progressive rainout of easterly advected moisture-bearing air masses. They concluded that speleothem calcite  $\delta^{18}$ O values were not unduly affected by sitespecific or variable disequilibrium effects, at least on multi-decadal timescales. The UAT site forms part of that compilation, and here we investigate the  $\delta^{18}$ O of two stalagmites at this site, sampled at 1–10 yr resolution. At this temporal sampling resolution,
- <sup>10</sup> both stalagmites demonstrate only weak correlations with instrumental and recent climate parameters, and between-sample replication is poor. At such temporal resolution, evidently site- and sample-specific factors become increasingly important. To better understand the interplay between climatic and local hydrological effects of stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O at UAT, we have presented for the first time a lumped parameter model for the
- <sup>15</sup> generation of 1000 year hydrological simulations of groundwater storage and isotopic composition relevant to the generation of stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O pseudoproxy series. Using the KarstFor model, we have generated the first 1000 year pseudoproxy simulations of stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O for the UAT site. A good agreement between pseudoproxy mean  $\delta^{18}$ O and stalagmite mean  $\delta^{18}$ O over the modern validation period demonstrates that the
- <sup>20</sup> calcite was precipitated close to isotopic equilibrium. We demonstrate the importance of preferential flow in determining  $\delta^{18}$ O variability; however, with model optimisation not possible, we are not able to fit an absolute proportion of epikarst and preferential flow for each stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O series. However, our forward modelling approach allows the quantification of stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O variability that is related to flow routing. Comparison of pseudoproxy and stalagmite SU-96-7  $\delta^{18}$ O series demonstrates that stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O over the period 1620–1880 AD falls outside that of the model validation period and of the pseudoproxy series. Either recharge  $\delta^{18}$ O was isotopically heavier at this time than the modern period, or this difference reflects changes in karst and peat hydrology





over time, possibly due to peat cracking or erosion. Useful further research could include the use of isotope-enable GCM output for the last 1000 years to better constrain pseudoproxy  $\delta^{18}$ O variability; additional stalagmite records for the last 1000 years to better constrain climate versus karst and peat hydrological variability in  $\delta^{18}$ O; or analysis of  $\delta^{18}$ O proxies from overlying peat *Sphagnum*, to obtain an independent record of precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O.

## Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.clim-past-discuss.net/8/869/2012/cpd-8-869-2012-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge funding under the NERC Rapid programme to Baker and Fairchild, ARC and NCGRT support to Azcurra, and UNSW School of Civil and Environmental Engineering Visiting Scholar funding to Bradley. Support for the Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project dataset is provided by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (DOE INCITE) program, and Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate Program Office.

#### References

5

20

- Baker, A. and Bradley, C.: Modern stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O: Instrumental calibration and forward modelling, Global Planet. Change, 71, 201–206, 2010.
- Baker, A. and Brunsdon, C.: Non-linearities in drip water hydrology: an example from Stump Cross Caverns, Yorkshire, J. Hydrol., 277, 151–163, 2003.
- Baker, A., Smart, P. L., Edwards, R. L., and Richards, D. A.: Annual banding in a cave stalagmite, Nature, 364, 518–520, 1993.
- Baker, A., Proctor, C. J., and Barnes, W. L.: Stalagmite lamina doublets: a 1000 year proxy record of severe winters in northwest Scotland?, Int. J. Climatol., 22, 1339–1345, 2002.
- Baker, A., Fuller, L., Genty, D., Fairchild, I. J., Jex, C., and Smith, C. L.: Annually laminated stalagmites: a review, Int. J. Speleol., 37, 193–206, 2008.





**Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

8,869-907,2012

Millennial-length

forward models and

pseudoproxies of

stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O

A. Baker et al.

Discussion Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

- Baker, A., Asrat, A., Fairchild, I. J., Leng, M. J., Thomas, L. E., Widmann, W., Jex, C., Dong,
  B., Calsteren, P.V. and Bryant, C.: Decadal scale rainfall variability in Ethiopia recorded in an annually laminated, Holocene-age, stalagmite, Holocene, 20, 827–836, 2010.
- Baker, A., Wilson, R., Fairchild, I. J., Franke, J., Spötl, C., Mattey, D., Trouet, V., and Fuller, L.:
   High resolution δ<sup>18</sup>O and δ<sup>13</sup>C records from an annually laminated Scottish stalagmite and relationship with last millennium climate, Global Planet. Change, 79, 303–311, 2011.
   Boelter, D. H.: Hydraulic conductivity of peats, Soil Sci., 100, 227–231, 1965.
  - Bradley, C., Baker, A., Jex, C., and Leng, M. J.: Hydrological uncertainties in the modelling of cave drip-water  $\delta^{18}$ O and the implications for stalagmite palaeoclimate reconstructions, Quaternary Sci. Rev. 29, 2201-2214, 2010.
- Charman, D. J., Caseldine, C., Baker, A., Gearey, B., Hatton, J., and Proctor, C. J.: Paleohydrological records from peat profiles and speleothems in Sutherland, northwest Scotland, Quaternary Res., 55, 223–234, 2001.

10

15

20

25

Christiansen, B., Schmith, T. and Thejll, P.: A surrogate ensemble study of climate reconstruction methods: stochasticity and robustness, J. Climate, 22, 951–976, 2009.

- Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., and Sardeshmukh, P.D.: Feasibility of a 100 year reanalysis using only surface pressure data, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 87, 175–190, 2006
- Daley, T. J., Street-Perrott, F. A., Loader, N. J., Barber, K. E, Hughes, P. D. M., Fisher, E. H., and Marshall, J. D.: Terrestrial climate signal of the "8200 yr BP event" in the Labrador Sea region, Geology, 37, 831–834, 2009.
- Dominguez-Villar, D., Fairchild, I. J., Baker, A., Wang, X., Edwards, R. L., and Cheng, H.: Oxygen isotope precipitation anomaly in the North Atlantic region during the 8.2 ka event, Geology, 37, 1095–1098, 2009.

Fairchild, I. J. and Baker, A.: Speleothem Science: from process to past environments, Wiley Blackwell, ISBN: 978-1-4051-9620-8, p. 448, 2012.

Fairchild, I. J., Tuckwell, G. W., Baker, A., and Tooth, A. F.: Modelling of dripwater hydrology and hydrogeochemistry in a weakly karstified aquifer (Bath, UK): implications for climate change studies, J. Hydrol., 321, 213–231, 2006a.

Fairchild, I. J., Smith, C. L., Baker, A., Fuller, L., Spötl, C., Mattey, D., McDermott, F., and E.

- <sup>30</sup> I. M. F.: Modification and preservation of environmental signals in speleothems, Earth Sci. Rev., 75, 105–153, 2006b.
  - Fuller, L.: High resolution multiproxy geochemical Holocene climate records from 1000-year old Scottish stalagmites, unpublished PhD, University of Birmingham, 2007.

894

- Fuller, L., Baker, A., Fairchild, I. J., Sptl, C., Marca-Bell, A., Rowe, P., and Dennis, P. F.: Isotope hydrology of dripwaters in a Scottish cave and implications for stalagmite palaeoclimate research, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 1065–1074, doi:10.5194/hess-12-1065-2008, 2008.
   Gao, C., Robock, A., and Ammann, C.: Volcanic forcing of climate over the past 1500 years:
- <sup>5</sup> An improved ice-core based index for climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D23111, doi:10.1029/2008JD010239, 2008.
  - Genty, D. and Deflandre, G.: Drip flow variations under a stalactite of the Pere Noel cave (Belgium), Evidence of seasonal variations and air pressure constraints, J. Hydrol., 211, 208–232, 1998.
- <sup>10</sup> Graham, N. E., Ammann, C. M., Fleitmann, D., Cobb, K. M., and Luterbacher, J.: Support for global climate reorganisation during the "Medieval Climate Anomaly", Clim. Dynam., 37, 1217–1245, 2011.
  - Hurrell, J. W.: Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: regional temperatures and precipitation, Science, 269, 676–679, 1995.
- Jex, C. N., Baker, A., Fairchild, I. J., Eastwood, W. J., Leng, M. J., Sloane, H. J., Thomas, L., and Bekaroğlu, E.: Calibration of speleothem δ<sup>18</sup>O with instrumental climate records from Turkey, Global Planet. Change, 71, 207–217, 2010.
  - Kim, S. T. and O'Neil, J. R.: Equilibrium and nonequilibrium oxygen isotope effects in synthetic carbonates, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 61, 3461–3475, 1997.
- LeGrande, A. N. and Schmidt, G. A.: Sources of Holocene variability of oxygen isotopes in paleoclimate archives, Clim. Past, 5, 441–455, doi:10.5194/cp-5-441-2009, 2009.
  - MacFarling Meure, C., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., van Ommen, T., Smith, A., and Elkins, J.: Law Dome CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP, Geophys. Res. Let.t, 33, L14810, doi:10.1029/2006GL026152, 2006.
- <sup>25</sup> Mann, M. E. and Rutherford, S.: Climate reconstruction using "Pseduoproxies", Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1501, doi:10.1029/2001GL014554, 2002.
  - Mann, M. E., Rutherford, S., Wahl, E., and Ammann, C.: Testing the fidelity of methods used in proxy-based reconstructions of past climate, J. Climate, 18, 4097–4107, 2005.
- Mann, M. E., Zhang, Z. H., Hughes, M. K., Bradley, R. S., Miller, S. K., Rutherford, S., and Ni,
- <sup>30</sup> F. B.: Proxy based reconstructions of hemispheric and global surface temperature variations over the last two millennia, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 36, 13252–13257, 2008.





- Mariethoz, G., Kelly, B. F. J., and Baker, A.: Quantifying the value of laminated stalagmites for paleoclimate reconstructions, Geophys. Res. Lett., doi:10.1029/2012GL050986, in press, 2012.
- McDermott, F., Atkinson, T. C., Fairchild, I. J., Baldini, L. M., and Mattey, D. P.: A first evaluation
- of the spatial gradients in  $\delta^{18}$ O recorded by European Holocene speleothems, Global Planet. Change, 79, 275–287, 2011.
  - Moberg, A., Sonechkin, D. M., Holmgren, K., Datsenko, N. M., and Karlén, W.: Highly variable Northern Hemisphere temperatures reconstructed from low- and high-resolution proxy data, Nature, 433, 613–617, 2005.
- <sup>10</sup> Moberg, A., Mohammad, R., and Mauritsen, T.: Analysis of the Moberg et al. (2005) hemispheric temperature reconstruction, Clim. Dynam., 31, 957–971, 2008.
  - Phipps, S. J., Rotstayn, L. D., Gordon, H. B., Roberts, J. L., Hirst, A. C., and Budd, W. F.: The CSIRO Mk3L climate system model version 1.0 Part 1: Description and evaluation, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 483–509, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-483-2011, 2011.
- <sup>15</sup> Proctor, C. J., Baker, A., Barnes, W. L., and Gilmour, M. A.: A thousand year speleothem proxy record of North Atlantic climate from Scotland, Clim. Dynam., 16, 815–820, 2000.
  - Proctor, C. J., Baker, A., and Barnes, W. L.: A three thousand year record of North Atlantic climate, Clim. Dynam., 19, 449–454, 2002.

Rutherford, S. D., Mann, M. E., Ammann, C. M., and Wahl, E.: Comments on "A surrogate

- ensemble study of climate reconstruction methods: stochasticity and robustness", J. Climate, 23, 2832–2838, 2010.
  - Spötl, C. and Vennemann, T. W.: Continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometric analysis of carbonate minerals, Rapid Commun. Mass. Spectrom., 17, 1004–1006, 2003.
- Steinhilber, F., Beer, J., and Fröhlich, C.: Total solar irradiance during the Holocene, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L19704, doi:10.1029/2009GL040142, 2009.
  - Sturm, C., Zhang, Q., and Noone, D.: An introduction to stable water isotopes in climate models: benefits of forward proxy modelling for paleoclimatology, Clim. Past, 6, 115–129, doi:10.5194/cp-6-115-2010, 2010.

Thornthwaite, C. W.: An approach toward a rational classification of climate, Geogr. Rev., 38, 55–94, 1948.

30

Tooth, A. F. and Fairchild, I. J.: Soil and karst aquifer hydrological controls on the geochemical evolution of speleothem-forming drip waters, Crag Cave, southwest Ireland, J. Hydrol., 273, 51–68, 2003.





Conclusions References **Tables** Figures Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

8,869-907,2012

Millennial-length

forward models and

pseudoproxies of

stalagmite  $\delta^{18}$ O

A. Baker et al.

**Title Page** 

Abstract

Introduction

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

- Tremaine, D. M., Froelich, P. N., and Wang, Y.: Speleothem calcite farmed in situ: Modern calibration of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C palaeoclimate proxies I a continuously-monitored natural cave system, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75, 4929–4950, 2011.
- Trouet, V., Esper, J., Graham, N. E., Baker, A., Scourse, J. D., and Frank, D. C.: Persistent positive North Atlantic Oscillation mode dominated the Medieval Climate Anomaly, Science, 324, 78–80, 2009.
  - Trouet, V., Scourse, J. D., and Raible, C. C.: North Atlantic storminess and Atlantic Meridional Overturning Ciculation during the last Millennium: reconciling contradictory proxy records of NAO variability, Global Planet. Change, 84–85, 48–55, 2012.
- <sup>10</sup> Walker, A. and Crout, N.: ModelMaker, Cherwell Scientific Publishing Limited, Oxford, UK, 1997.
  - Yoshimura, K., Kanamitsu, M., Noone, D., and Oki, T.: Historical isotope simulation using Reanalysis atmospheric data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D19108, doi:1029.10/2008JD010074, 2008.

Table 1. KarstFor model reservoirs, fluxes and output series.

		0	8 869-9	07 2012
Water reservoirs			0,000 0	07,2012
Peatland Store (PTXSTOR)	Inflow is hydrologically-effective precipitation (precipitation minus evapotranspiration); outflows are evapotranspiration, F1 and F2. Initial water storage: 20 000 mm.	aper	Millennial-length forward models and	
Epikarst Store (EPXSTOR)	Receives inflow from PTXSTOR via F1 and F2, outflows are F3 and F4. The initial storage volume is 2000 mm.	Discu	pseudop stalagm	roxies of ite $\delta^{18}$ O
Overflow Store (OVSTOR)	Receives inflow from EPXSTOR via F4. The store has a defined capacity of 3000 mm (OVCAP)	Ission Pa	A. Bake	er et al.
	Water fluxes	aper	Title Page	
F1	Annual flow/flush from PTXSTOR to EPXSTOR that occurs when the following conditions are satisfied:	-	Abstract	Introduction
	(i) when $T < \text{thomas } T$ and (ii) monthly evapotranspiration (EVPT <sub>t</sub> ) < EPVT <sub>t-1</sub> ; and <evpt<sub>t-2 and &gt;EVPT<sub>t-3</sub>. The flow is estimated as a function of the store volume (i.e. F1 = EPXSTOR × 0.1).</evpt<sub>	Discus	Conclusions	References
F2	Occasional flow from PTXSTOR to EPXSTOR that occurs following two consecutive months where the air temperature is <0.4.	ssion	Tables	Figures
F3	Outflow from EPXSTOR that occurs every month (EPXSTOR $\times$ 0.08).	Pap		►L
F4	Overflow from EPXSTOR that occurs whenever EPXSTOR > $2500$ : F4 = EPXSTOR – $2500$ .	ēr		•
	Drip-water series		Back	Close
Drip <sup>18</sup> O	Equivalent to EPXSTOR <sup>18</sup> O	Disc	Eull Sord	
Drip1 <sup>18</sup> O	50 % EPXSTOR <sup>18</sup> O; 25 % Precip <sup>18</sup> O; 25 % Precip <sup>18</sup> O	SSD	Printer-friendly Version	
Drip2 <sup>18</sup> O	75 % EPXSTOR <sup>18</sup> O; 25 % Precip $_t^{18}$ O	ion		
Drip3 <sup>18</sup> O	Equivalent to OVERFLOW <sup>18</sup> O	Pa		
		per	Interactive Discussion	



Discussio





**Fig. 1.** UAT site description and 2003–2005 AD monitoring data summary. From top to base: site location; monthly precipitation, monthly soil moisture excess/deficit; UAT drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O; comparison of mean drip water  $\delta^{18}$ O and monthly precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O samples. From Fuller et al. (2008).









**Fig. 3.** Instrumental climate correlations with SU-03-2  $\delta^{18}$ O. (A) Correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and annual water excess. (B) Spatial correlation map between  $\delta^{18}$ O and mean annual sea level pressure. (C) Correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and mean annual sea level pressure at 60–65° N, 0– 10° W (D) correlation between  $\delta^{18}$ O and annual water excess (left) and mean annual sea level pressure at 60-65° N, 0-10° W, with 1 to 10 years of smoothing.



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 4.** Conceptualisation of the KarstFor model (left panel) with only baseflow from a homogenous peat store (right panel) with preferential flow through the peat and epikarst stores via peat cracks and bedrock fractures.





**Fig. 5.** (A) Comparison of IsoGSM precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O with IAEA GNIP precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O for Valentia, Ireland. (B) Comparison of IsoGSM precipitation for UAT against precipitation data reported by Fuller et al. (2008). (C) Comparison of IAEA GNIP  $\delta^{18}$ O at Valentia with  $\delta^{18}$ O precipitation at UAT predicted from IsoGSM (solid squares) and (open circles) the adjusted Valentia series.



**Discussion** Paper









**Fig. 7.** KarstFor input series for the last 1000 yr; temperature (top panel) and precipitation (bottom panel). Red: RANDOM, blue: MANN-TROUET and black: GCM. See text for more details.







**Fig. 8.** KarstFor  $\delta^{18}$ O output for a 10 year time slice at simulation months 9000–9120 (equivalent to 1750–1760 AD); (a) precipitation input series, (b) epikarst store, (c) stal\_1, (d) stal\_4 and (e) stal\_3. Red: RANDOM, blue: MANN-TROUET and black: GCM.









