

## ***Interactive comment on “The last deglaciation: timing the bipolar seesaw” by J. B. Pedro et al.***

**H. Fischer (Editor)**

hubertus.fischer@climate.unibe.ch

Received and published: 17 May 2011

Dear authors

Your manuscript has now been commented on by two reviewers. Both reviews agree that this is a very important study, most interesting data, and worth publishing in CP.

However, both reviewers also express some concern about the time series analysis. This does not mean that the SIZER method is questioned per se, but that at least the limitations and effects created by the method have to be discussed in detail.

The reviewers make valuable and constructive comments on how to address this and how to improve the manuscript. I would urge the authors to carefully incorporate these comments in a revised version. Moreover reviewer 1 also points out an error in one of the data sets used, which had initially been provided by him to an open data base.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



This has to be fixed.

I clearly ask the authors to submit a revised version to CP after which the final editorial decision will be made.

---

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 7, 397, 2011.

CPD

7, C522–C523, 2011

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C523

