

Interactive comment on “A multi-proxy perspective on millennium-long climate variability in the Southern Pyrenees” by M. Morellón et al.

L. Lopez-Merino

lolome@hotmail.es

Received and published: 26 October 2011

As the Referee #1, I consider that this paper is really interesting and provides a clear review of some data in the Southern Pyrenees during the last millennium, focusing on the Little Ice Age (LIA), using multi-proxy datasets. But, as Ref#1 points out, the manuscript is too much descriptive, repeating most of the described results in the discussion sections. A more analytical discussion of the data is necessary, as well as a critical review on the differences among the records and the accuracy of the different proxies. Not all the records and proxies respond to environmental change (climate- or human-induced) in the same way.

I also consider that including data from the northern slope of the Pyrenees will improve the research, as the mountain range does not know about countries, as well as the

C1691

potential impact of the paper, as a broad audience will be interested on it. In addition, it would be necessary to take into account the information provided by other archives, as peatlands, as they are also good archives of palaeoenvironmental change. In fact, the authors have not considered some peatland records because of the lack of a multi-proxy analysis or their exclusive focus on human activities (page 3056, lines 17-21). On one hand, although these papers may focus on human impact, the palynological data showed could be used in order to compare with data provided by Morellón et al. and understand if there is a regional pattern. For example, in page 188, Bal et al. (2011) say “There is no evidence of landscape changes during the Little Ice Age event because it is a complex period with important climatic oscillations that cannot be reflected in the mature forests”. It would be interesting to know why some pollen records were sensitive and other were not, if the resolution is important to detect recent changes or there are other reasons to be or not sensitive to the LIA in very close areas. On the other hand, if the objective of the Morellón et al. manuscript is to use only multi-proxy studies I do not understand the inclusion of the Portlligat record.

With regard to Portlligat sequence, I think that is too complicated to make a comparison with mountainous records. In fact, the palynological content in such record could have two origins: air-borne and water-borne. The water-borne palynomorphs come to the archive through episodic fresh water inputs to the bay from a typical Mediterranean temporary stream that flows into it from its NE shore (Serrano et al. 2011). Then, the palynological spectrum may be reflecting not only the vegetation around the place, but also the plant communities from higher altitudes. Disentangling the provenance or importance of the different bioclimatic belts to compare this assemblage with the mountainous lacustrine palynological information is necessary to establish any similitude. Additionally, there are some aspects I am concern with: i) in Serrano et al. (2011) the age-depth model is different and more precise than in Lopez-Saez et al. (2009). The use of the later model could affect the discussion of the data (at least of the chronology). ii) The authors comment that Type 18 has been used for the reconstruction of humidity (page 3061, lines 2-4), but the reference cited by them (van Geel,

C1692

1978) does not record this information. The only study using Type 18 as a humidity index is the work developed by Mighall et al. (2006) on two ombrotrophic bogs from NW Spain. Ombrotrophic bogs are very special ecosystems that receive all of their water and nutrients input from precipitation, rather than from streams or springs. For this reason, if Mighall et al. (2006) found that Type 18 can be an indicator of humidity variations it is probably because of the nature of the archives (i.e. the type of peat-land). But Portlligat is not an ombrotrophic system and has other water inputs, so I do not think Type 18 can be used as a direct indicator of humidity in such record. iii) If the LIA was a wetter phase in comparison with the MCA, it is difficult to understand why *Quercus suber* disappeared but *Quercus ilex-coccifera* type increased its percentages (Lopez-Saez et al. 2009), taking into account that *Quercus suber* needs more humid conditions than *Quercus ilex* spp. *ballota*, although less than *Quercus ilex* spp. *ilex*. But it is true that *Q. suber* is more sensitive to cold temperatures in winter than *Q. ilex* (Costa Tenorio et al. 2001). I think the authors should discuss this aspect if the Portlligat record is to be included in this review on mountainous sequences, because the three taxa could be living in this area.

In agreement with Ref#1, I consider that palynological data is the less reliable proxy for climate reconstructions, because during the last millennia the impact of the human activities has been significant. In fact, although Morellon et al. try to find similarities between the different sequences I see some problems. For example, in Lake Arreo *Pinus* percentages increase during the LIA, while in Estanya they decrease, and in Portlligat they show another pattern (but with the uncertainties of the age-depth model it is not possible to know if this is the real chronology for the LIA), as well as in Montcortes (Rull et al. 2011). In Basa de La Mora, *Pinus* values are not plotted, and I am sure that *Pinus* percentages have to be important because nowadays the surrounding vegetation in the area is composed by *Pinus uncinata* forests. In some of the study areas *Pinus sylvestris* would be the pine taxon, whereas in other areas it could be *Pinus uncinata*, or *Pinus nigra*, depending on location and altitude. If *Pinus* curves are to be used to infer climate conditions I would recommend to take into account the altitude, the species

C1693

ecological ranges and the possible changes in the tree-line due to the changes in temperature and humidity during the LIA.

With regard to La Basa de La Mora: I do not have access to Perez-Sanz (2009), and Moreno et al. (2011) is not published, so the reader does not have information about the chronological control in this record. Is it possible to plot the radiocarbon dates or other dating information? The rest of the sequences have already been published, so there is no problem to find the dating methods and age-depth models.

In page 3065, lines 5-7, Morellon et al. write “The occurrence of predominantly humid conditions during the LIA has been widely detected elsewhere in the Iberian Peninsula”. This is not completely right, as in some records in the NW part of Iberia dry conditions were assigned to the LIA, i.e. Ria de Muros (Lebreiro et al. 2006, Andrade et al. 2011), or Ria de Vigo (Alvarez et al., 2005). This could be because a reverse pattern in the Mediterranean and Atlantic regions of the Iberian Peninsula (also during the MCA). So, the previous sentence needs to be reformulated changing “Iberian Peninsula” to “Mediterranean Region” of the IP. The result of a very small area (Southern Pyrenees) should not be extrapolated to the rest of Iberia.

Page 3067, lines 24-28, Morellon et al. comment that thanks to the NPPs (Type 18?) it is possible to reconstruct an abrupt decrease of the humidity since 1750 AD, and this change was coeval with the decrease of several taxa. They include *Quercus suber* among those taxa, but its pattern is the opposite, as since ca. 1800 AD this taxon starts having higher values. Again, I don't think the use of the Type 18 as a humidity indicator in this record is correct, and if it is also necessary to better constrain the age-depth model.

The authors point out in page 3070 (1-3) that “(..) the reviewed sequences during the LIA suggest that colder temperatures and at least, more positive water balances and/or increased runoff dominated during periods of diminished solar activity, the so-called grand solar minima (..)”. But in Moncortes the conditions are more arid during

C1694

this minima (Diatoms C:P ratio), in Estanya the arid phases are not coincident with the reconstructed salinity and not all the solar minima are coincident with moments of higher humidity. In Basa de La Mora there is lower runoff during such minima, and in Capdella the higher moments of reconstructed precipitation are not coeval with these minima too. The uncertainties of the age-depth models, and the sampling resolution could be responsible for that. Other causes are also possible, but the authors need to discuss this topic and be consistent with the data they have.

Finally, I strongly believe that there is a lot of potential in this paper, but I miss more criticism on their own data and discussion and comparison with other nearby records studied by other research teams.

- Please unify: multiproxy or multi-proxy, tree rings or tree-rings. - Page 3054, lines 18 and 20: *Quercus ilex* instead of *Quercus rotundifolia* or *Quercus ilex-rotundifolia*. - Page 3054, line 21: *Genisteeae* and *Cistaceae* should not be in italics. - Page 3061, line 12 and Page 3062, line 3: *Pinus uncinata* Mill. or *Pinus uncinata* R.? I think it is *Pinus uncinata* Ram. Besides, you did not write such taxonomic information for the rest of the species in the whole manuscript. Be consistent.

References:

Alvarez MC, Flores JA, Sierro FJ, Diz P, Frances G, Pelejero C, Grimalt JO. 2005. Millennial surface water dynamics in the Ría de Vigo during the last 3000 years as revealed by coccoliths and molecular biomarkers. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 218, 1-13.

Andrade A, Rubio B, Rey D, Alvarez-Iglesias P, Bernabeu AM, Vilas F. 2011. Palaeoclimatic changes in the NW Iberian Peninsula during the last 3000 years inferred from diagenetic proxies in the Ría de Muros sedimentary record. *Climate Research* 48, 247-259.

Bal M-C, Pelach A, Perez-Obiol R, Julia R, Cunill R. 2011. Fire history and human

C1695

activities during the last 3300 cal yr BP in Spain's Central Pyrenees: The case of the Estany the Burg. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 300, 179-190.

Costa Tenorio M, Morla Juaristi C, Sainz Ollero H (eds). 2001. Los bosques ibéricos. Una interpretación geobotánica. Planeta, Barcelona.

Lebreiro S, Frances G, Abrantes F, Diz P, Bartels-Jonsdottir H, Stroynowski ZN, Gil I, Pena LD, Rodrigues T, Jones PD, Nombela MA, Alejo I, Briffa KR, Harris I, Grimalt JO. 2006. Climate change and coastal hydrographic response along the Atlantic Iberian margin (Tagus Prodelta and Muros Ría) during the last two millennia. *The Holocene* 16, 1003-1015.

Lopez-Saez JA, Lopez-Merino L, Mateo MA, Serrano O, Perez-DÁsaz S, Serrano L. 2009. Palaeoecological potential of the marine organic deposits of posidonia oceanica: a case study in the NE Iberian Peninsula. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 271, 215–224.

Mighall T, Martinez Cortizas A, Biester H, Turner SE. 2006. Proxy climate and vegetation changes during the last five millennia in NW Iberia: pollen and non-pollen palynomorph data from two ombrotrophic peat bogs in the North Western Iberian Peninsula. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 141, 203-223.

Moreno A, Perez A, Frigola J, Nieto-Moreno V, Rodrigo-Gamiz M, Gonzalez-Samperiz P, Morellon, M, MartÁsn-Puertas C, Corella JP, Belmonte A, Sancho C, Cacho I, Herrera G, Canals M, Jimenez-Espejo F, MartÁsnez-Ruiz F, Vegas T, Valero-Garces BL. The medieval climate anomaly in the Iberian Peninsula reconstructed from marine and lake records. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, in review, 2011.

Perez-Sanz A. 2009. Reconstrucción paleoambiental del ibón de la basa de la mora (pirineo central): Primeros resultados del análisis palinológico. Trabajo de fin de master, Master Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza (Spain).

Rull V, Gonzalez-Samperiz P, Corella J, Morellon M, Giralt S. 2011. Vegetation

C1696

changes in the Southern Pyrenean flank during the last millennium in relation to climate and human activities: the Montcortes lacustrine record. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, doi:10.1007/s10933-010-9444-2

Serrano O, Mateo MA, Duenas-Bohorquez A, Renom P, Lopez-Saez JA, Martinez Cortizas A. 2011. The *Posidonia oceanica* marine sedimentary record: a Holocene archive of heavy metal pollution. *Science of the Total Environment* 409, 4831-4840.

Van Geel B. 1978. A palaeoecological study of Holocene peat bog sections in Germany and The Netherlands, based on the analysis of pollen, spores and macro- and microscopic remains of fungi, algae, cormophytes and animals. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 25, 1-120.

Interactive comment on *Clim. Past Discuss.*, 7, 3049, 2011.