

Abstract

Monsoon systems around the world are governed by the so-called moisture-advection feedback. Here we show that, in a minimal conceptual model, this feedback implies a critical threshold with respect to the atmospheric specific humidity q_o over the ocean adjacent to the monsoon region. If q_o falls short of this critical value q_o^C , monsoon rainfall over land cannot be sustained. Such a case could occur if evaporation from the ocean was reduced, e.g. due to low sea surface temperatures. Within the restrictions of the conceptual model, we estimate q_o^C from present-day reanalysis data for four major monsoon systems, and demonstrate how this concept can help understand abrupt variations in monsoon strength on orbital timescales as found in proxy records.

1 Introduction

Monsoon rainfall is the major prerequisite of agricultural productivity in many tropical and subtropical regions of the world, and its variability has been affecting the livelihoods of a large share of the world's population from ancient civilizations until today (e.g. Parthasarathy et al., 1988; Kumar et al., 2004; Auffhammer et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008; Rashid et al., 2011). Proxy records show evidence of abrupt and strong monsoon shifts during the last two glacial cycles (Burns et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005a, 2008) and the Holocene (Gupta et al., 2003; Hong et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005b; Rashid et al., 2011) in India, the Bay of Bengal, and East Asia. In many instances in the past, periods of strong monsoon rainfall thus appear to have alternated with periods of prolonged drought, with comparatively rapid transitions between the two.

Both spatial patterns and temporal evolution of continental monsoon rainfall are influenced by a number of physical processes (Hahn and Shukla, 1976; Webster et al., 1998; Krishnamurthy and Goswami, 2000; Clark et al., 2000; Kucharski et al., 2006; Goswami et al., 2006; Goswami and Xavier, 2005; Dash et al., 2005; Ramanathan

CPD

7, 1737–1765, 2011

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



et al., 2005; Wang, 2005; Yang et al., 2007) as well as characteristics of vegetation (Meehl, 1994; Claussen, 1997; Robock et al., 2003) and topography (Liu and Yin, 2002). Though these details are crucial for the specific behavior of different monsoon systems, and their significance will vary from region to region, there exist defining processes fundamental to any monsoon dynamics (e.g. Webster, 1987a,b). These are the advection of heat and moisture during the monsoon season and the associated rainfall and release of latent heat. While differential heating of land and ocean in spring is important for the initiation of the monsoon season, land surface temperatures drop substantially after the onset of heavy precipitation, diminishing the surface temperature gradient. The monsoon circulation over the continent is thereafter predominantly sustained by the release of latent heat and subsequent warming of the atmospheric column over land (Webster et al., 1998). Using a complex conceptual model, Zickfeld et al. (2005) found that a monsoon circulation that is sustained by the moisture-advection feedback can undergo abrupt changes in response to changes in the land surface albedo. Knopf et al. (2006) however showed that the threshold albedo in this model is very far away from modern conditions and is highly uncertain due to the dependence on various model parameters.

Here we apply a minimal conceptual model that comprises the heat and moisture budgets of an idealized monsoon circulation. It reflects the dominant role of the self-amplifying moisture-advection feedback during the monsoon season, which is supported by observations Levermann et al. (2009). We find that the model yields a threshold behaviour with respect to the atmospheric humidity over the ocean adjacent to the monsoon region. Below the threshold, the advection and release of latent heat is not sufficient to sustain monsoon rainfall over land. It is important to note that globally, rainfall associated with the intertropical convergence zone will naturally be sustained even without continental monsoon rainfall. Furthermore the seasonal reversal of cross-equatorial winds, driven by the seasonal change in hemispheric insolation, is not affected by our analysis. The question addressed here is which conditions are necessary in order to sustain a rainy season over land which goes beyond the zonal-mean

**Monsoon humidity
threshold**

J. Schewe et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

dynamics of the intertropical convergence zone. The basic dynamics captured in our model thus form a necessary condition for continental monsoon rainfall to exist.

We describe the conceptual model in Sect. 2 and analyze its implications in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, the critical threshold is estimated for four major monsoon regions. In Sect. 5, we apply the model to abrupt monsoon changes on orbital timescales. Section 6 concludes.

2 Conceptual model

We use the minimal conceptual model presented by Levermann et al. (2009) (see illustration in Fig. 1). It is based on the observation that, during the rainy season, the regional-scale moist static energy balance of the atmospheric column is dominated by latent heating due to precipitation, which is balanced by advective as well as radiative cooling. According to NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data (1948–2007; Kistler et al., 2001), this holds for all major monsoon regions (Levermann et al., 2009). The moist static energy balance can thus be approximated by

$$\mathcal{L} \cdot P - \epsilon C_p W \cdot \Delta T + R = 0, \quad (1)$$

where ΔT is the atmospheric temperature difference between land and ocean, and P is the mean precipitation over land (in $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). Latent heat of condensation is $\mathcal{L} = 2.6 \times 10^6 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ and volumetric heat capacity of air $C_p = 1.295 \text{ J m}^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}$. The ratio $\epsilon = H/L$ between vertical extent H of the lower troposphere and the horizontal scale L of the region of precipitation enters due to the balance of the horizontal advective heat transport and the vertical fluxes of net radiative forcing R and precipitation P . Note that this is a model of the monsoon season only, and includes no annual cycle. The above balance therefore neglects the contribution from sensible heating, which is important at the onset stage but relatively weak once heavy rainfall has started to cool the land surface (Fig. 2). Consequently, this model does not capture any interseasonal or interannual dynamics. Equations are only valid for landward winds, $W \geq 0$. That

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



means that situations in which no solution of the model with positive W can be found will be considered as parameter and forcing combinations for which no monsoon rainfall can be sustained.

Assuming dominance of ageostrophic flow in low latitudes, the landward mean wind W is taken to be proportional to the temperature difference between land and ocean (Petoukhov, 1982; Webster, 1987a; Brovkin et al., 1998):

$$W = \alpha \cdot \Delta T. \quad (2)$$

Reanalysis data confirm that this is a valid first-order approximation (Fig. 3). Neglecting the effect of evaporation over land (which will be discussed below) and associated soil moisture processes, precipitation has to be balanced by the net landward flow of moisture

$$\epsilon W \cdot (q_o - q_L) - P = 0, \quad (3)$$

where q_o and q_L are specific humidity over ocean and over land, respectively. Consistent with reanalysis data (Fig. 4) and theoretical considerations (Petoukhov, 1982; Petoukhov et al., 2000), continental rainfall is assumed to be proportional to the mean specific humidity within the atmospheric column:

$$P = \beta q_L. \quad (4)$$

Note that the proportionality constants α and β have explicit physical interpretations. α is essentially a function of the near-surface cross-isobar angle and thereby a function of surface roughness and static stability of the planetary boundary layer (PBL); β is governed by the characteristic turnover (recycling) time of liquid water in the atmosphere and thereby determined by static stability and vertical velocity in the PBL (Petoukhov et al., 2000). While Eqs. (1) to (4) are the basic relations necessary to capture the moisture-advection feedback, Eq. (4) can be made more realistic by considering an offsets in q_L , which will be discussed further below.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

3 Critical q_o threshold for monsoon existence

From Eqs. (1), (3) and (4) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}\beta q_o = \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon\rho W}\right) \epsilon C_p W \cdot \Delta T - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon\rho W}\right) \cdot R \quad (5)$$

This equation represents the heat budget of the conceptual monsoon circulation in terms of latent heat. The two terms on the r. h. s. represent the loss of heat from the land region by advection of warm air and by radiation, respectively (note that $R < 0$). Their sum must be balanced by latent heat as provided by the inflow of humid air from the ocean, namely $\mathcal{L}\beta q_o$. The term $(1 + \beta/\epsilon\rho W)$ incorporates the fact that the latent heat has to be transported from ocean to land by means of advection; the lower the advective velocity W , the higher the specific humidity q_o that is necessary to provide the required amount of latent heat to the atmospheric column over land.

Using Eq. (2) and the relations $w \equiv W\epsilon\rho/\beta$; $r \equiv R \cdot \epsilon\alpha\rho/(C_p\beta^2)$, and $l \equiv (\epsilon\alpha\rho^2\mathcal{L}q_o)/(C_p\beta)$, the non-dimensional form of Eq. (5) is obtained:

$$l = \left(1 + w^{-1}\right) \cdot w^2 - \left(1 + w^{-1}\right) \cdot r \quad (6)$$

where l is proportional to q_o . This is the governing equation of the conceptual model. Its solution is determined entirely by the only free parameter r . The physical part ($l \geq 0$, $w \geq 0$) of the solution of Eq. (6) is shown in Fig. 5 for the case $r = -0.05$, where the thick red line denotes a stable solution and the thin red line an unstable one. The advective (dashed line) and radiative (dotted line) terms are also plotted separately to show how the solution is obtained as the sum of these two contributions (to illustrate this, the figure is organized with the control parameter l on the y-axis). In the case $r \equiv 0$, only the advective part of the solution remains (i.e. the red line would collapse onto the dashed line). The y-axis in Fig. 5 can be interpreted as the demand in latent heating that results from the loss of heat from the land region due to radiation and advection.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



It turns out that no physical solution exists below a critical threshold l_c (horizontal line in Fig. 5), which corresponds to a critical value of specific humidity over the ocean, q_o^c . When q_o falls short of this value, the supply of moisture is not sufficient to maintain the monsoon circulation driven by the moisture-advection feedback. No conventional monsoon circulation can thus develop in a climate where $q_o < q_o^c$.

Equation (6) can also be expressed in terms of non-dimensional precipitation $\rho \equiv P/(q_o\beta)$, which is directly related to the wind through

$$\rho = w/(1 + w). \quad (7)$$

The solution in terms of ρ has a similar shape as in terms of w (Fig. 6), while dimensional precipitation P scales approximately linearly with l as long as l is sufficiently above the threshold l_c (Fig. 7). While we do not expect to find this quasi-linear relation perfectly reflected in observations, NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data show that seasonal mean precipitation and specific humidity over ocean are correlated to some extent (Fig. 8).

4 Estimation of the critical threshold q_o^c

The critical point $[l_c, w_c]$ (or $[q_o^c, w_c]$) will vary for different monsoon systems. It is determined by the non-dimensional radiation r via

$$-w_c^2(2w_c + 1) = r. \quad (8)$$

The critical l can then be computed from

$$l_c = 2w_c(w_c + 1)^2, \quad (9)$$

and the critical humidity threshold q_o^c via the definition of l . Within the limitations of this minimal conceptual model, we estimate q_o^c for four different monsoon regions. We use seasonal mean precipitation P , radiation R , land-ocean temperature difference ΔT , and specific humidity over ocean q_o from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis to compute time

series for $\alpha(t) = (LP + R) / (\epsilon C_p \Delta T^2)$, $\beta(t) = ((LP + R) \cdot \rho P) / ((LP + R) q_0 \rho - C_p \Delta T P)$, and $r(t) = R \cdot \epsilon \alpha(t) / (C_p \beta(t)^2)$, assuming applicability of the model and stationary statistics within the observational period (1948–2007). Because the observational period is subject to significant anthropogenic global warming, we remove a linear trend from all reanalysis data to get a closer approximation of a stationary climate. From $\alpha(t)$, $\beta(t)$ and $r(t)$, q_0^c can then be obtained for each year via Eqs. (8), (9) and the definition of l . Note that q_0^c is independent of ϵ , the only quantity that is not constrained by data. The resulting q_0^c distribution (Fig. 9, blue) is much lower than the observed distribution of q_0 (black) in the Bay of Bengal, West Africa and China, while in India the distributions are closer. The blue pin marks the q_0^c estimate that is obtained from the time-mean values $\overline{\alpha(t)}$, $\overline{\beta(t)}$ and $\overline{R(t)}$.

The spread in the distribution of q_0^c is due to substantial variability in $\alpha(t)$, $\beta(t)$ and $r(t)$ throughout the reanalysis period. The interannual variability in the dimensional net radiation R is about 15–20 % during the reanalysis period, depending on the region. On longer timescales, R can be expected to be rather stable because of the negative long-wave radiation feedback according to the Stefan-Boltzmann law. However, the factors α and β may also vary over time. Moreover, in reality the basic relations of Eqs. (2) and (4) are blurred by higher-order physical processes that are not represented in our idealized model, limiting our ability to determine α and β (cf. Figs. 3 and 4). Depending on the relative importance of actual variability and observational uncertainty, the distribution of q_0^c can be interpreted either (i) as a noisy estimate of a stationary critical threshold, or (ii) as a probability distribution of an interannually varying threshold.

In order to obtain more realistic estimates of q_0^c , we extend Eq. (4) by an offset q_L^0 that terrestrial humidity q_L needs to exceed before precipitation is initiated (as suggested by the correlation in Fig. 4):

$$P = \beta (q_L - q_L^0). \quad (10)$$

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



After replacing q_o by $(q_o - q_L^o)$ in the definitions of l and p , the non-dimensional Eqs. (6)–(9) remain unchanged.

As above, we estimate q_o^c for this refined version of the model, obtaining the parameter q_L^o from linear regression of the corresponding reanalysis data (Fig. 4). Note that, due to Eq. (10), q_o^c now also depends on ϵ . Since we have no direct observation of ϵ , we choose ϵ such that $\overline{\alpha(t)}$ matches the α that we observe as the slope of the linear regression between W and ΔT (Fig. 3). The results for q_o^c are shown in Fig. 9 (red), where again the pin marks the estimate from mean quantities. The consideration of the offset q_L^o generally yields a distribution of q_o^c which is narrower and closer to, while still clearly separate from, the present-day range of q_o . Only for the Indian region, the distribution of q_o^c overlaps with that of the observed q_o ; however, when considered pointwise, $q_o^c(t)$ is still lower than $q_o(t)$ for all years.

5 Application to past abrupt monsoon changes

Wang et al. (2008) presented a speleothem $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from central China that testifies to several large and persistent changes in the strength of the East Asian summer monsoon (EASM) during the penultimate glacial period. These changes are in phase with, but much more abrupt than, precession-dominated oscillations in Northern Hemisphere summer insolation (NHSI): While the latter follow a quasi-sinusoidal cycle, the form of the monsoon changes rather resembles that of a step-function, with variations around either a strong or a weak mean state, followed by a comparatively rapid transition into the other state (cf. Fig. 2b in Wang et al., 2008). This behaviour is especially apparent before about 160 kyr BP (Fig. 10, grey line) and suggests that non-linear processes inherent to the monsoon system might have amplified changes in external forcing. In particular, the abrupt transitions might have been triggered by the mean insolation crossing a certain threshold that separated two different states of the monsoon circulation.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Our conceptual monsoon model offers a simplified but robust mechanism to explain such sort of behaviour. It shows that the moisture-advection feedback implies a threshold q_0^c that separates two regimes: one where a conventional monsoon circulation can exist, and one where it cannot. We therefore speculate that orbital-timescale variations in NHSI and the associated surface temperature changes might have affected evaporation at the ocean surface such that average humidity over the ocean persistently crossed the threshold, thus critically altering the moisture supply for the adjacent monsoon region and triggering a transition between the two regimes. In the following we apply our model to demonstrate how such variations in q_0 could have led to monsoon variations consistent with those observed in the proxy record. In doing so, we assume that the values of α , β , ϵ , and q_L^0 estimated for modern climate from reanalysis data also hold for the penultimate glacial period; and that R was also comparable during that period to its modern value. In reality, R might have also varied in phase with NHSI, however this variation would have been damped by the stabilizing long-wave radiation feedback. Moreover, a variation of R along with NHSI would act to exacerbate the threshold effect, moving the threshold towards higher values when insolation, and thus the inferred q_0 , is low (cf. Fig. 11). Therefore, neglecting variations in R yields a conservative result with respect to the volatility of the system.

The solution of the conceptual model depends on the non-dimensional net radiation r . We choose $r = \overline{r(t)} - \sigma/2 = -106.5$, where σ denotes a standard deviation (Fig. 11). This corresponds to a critical threshold $q_0^c = 8.0 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, which is in the upper part of the estimated q_0^c distribution for the China region (cf. Fig. 9). We further assume q_0 to vary linearly with NHSI (Fig. 12). The linear relation is chosen such that the maximum q_0 is close to the range of present-day observations. Finally, we assume that when the threshold q_0^c is crossed from below (i.e., coming from a no-monsoon regime), it takes an additional increase Δ_q to trigger the transition into the monsoon regime (Fig. 11). The EASM precipitation thus resulting from the conceptual model is shown in Fig. 10 (red line). We set P to zero during periods when the model yields no physical solution, to illustrate the idea that no conventional monsoon circulation can exist during those

periods, and no rainfall associated with that circulation would occur. However we would expect sources of rainfall other than the large-scale monsoon circulation to play a role, too, so that actual rainfall would not completely cease during such periods. Note that neither the hysteresis width Δ_q nor the second degree of freedom in the relation $q_o \propto$ NHSI are constrained by data; instead they are chosen such that the result of the conceptual model matches the transition behaviour found in the proxy record, taking into account dating errors in the latter (grey bars in Fig. 10).

6 Discussion and conclusions

We have shown that, in a minimal conceptual model of large-scale monsoon circulation, a critical threshold q_o^C exists with respect to specific humidity over the ocean region upwind of the continental monsoon region. This threshold follows from the central role of the self-amplifying moisture-advection feedback, which governs the atmospheric MSE balance during the monsoon season. If q_o falls short of the threshold q_o^C , no conventional monsoon circulation can exist over land. The model neglects any processes that are not crucial to the moisture-advection feedback, in order to isolate the consequences of this feedback. The basic dynamics captured in the model therefore form a necessary condition for the existence of continental monsoon rainfall beyond what is accounted for by the zonal-mean dynamics of the intertropical convergence zone. Our results complement those of Levermann et al. (2009), who found a threshold with respect to the net radiation R . While q_o can generally be expected to be more volatile than R , the model allows for a superposition of changes in both quantities, with the one either damping or amplifying the effect of the other, depending on the direction of change.

As the model contains the physical feedback that causes the threshold behaviour, it can be used to produce meaningful first-order estimates of the threshold values. Within the framework of the minimal model, we have estimated the critical threshold q_o^C for four major monsoon regions, using seasonally averaged reanalyses of regional

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Monsoon humidity
threshold**

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



precipitation, net radiation, specific humidity, and temperature for the past sixty years. The resulting distribution of q_0^C can be interpreted either as a noisy estimate of a stationary critical threshold, or as a probability distribution of an interannually varying threshold. The degree to which either of these interpretations is valid depends chiefly on the relative importance of actual variability and observational uncertainty in the parameters α and β (see Eqs. 2 and 4), the assessment of which is beyond the scope of this study. However we have seen that the consideration of an offset in terrestrial specific humidity in Eq. (4) leads to a q_0^C distribution which is significantly narrower than with the basic version of the model, suggesting that at least some of the spread in q_0^C can be eliminated by making the model more realistic, and thus does not reflect actual year-to-year variability in q_0^C .

Consequently, relevant second-order physical processes would have to be included into the model in order to obtain more robust results for q_0^C . Probably one of the most important missing processes is evaporation over land (e.g. Eltahir, 1998). Its effect on the heat budget would be mainly to reduce sensible heat flux to the atmosphere, which we have already neglected (Eq. 1) because it is comparatively small during the rainy season; on the other hand, its effect on the moisture budget (Eq. 3) would be to stabilize the monsoon regime by recycling a part of the atmospheric humidity that is lost by precipitation. Therefore considering evaporation would tend to move the critical threshold towards lower values of q_0 .

While the estimation of q_0^C could profit from a refinement of the model, the aim of this study is to demonstrate how the simple concept that the model is based on can help understanding past monsoon variations. The non-linear solution structure of the model can lead to abrupt changes in the modelled monsoon rainfall in response to smooth changes in the control parameter, q_0 . Changes in q_0 could be brought about by various factors acting on different timescales. For instance, as wind speed over the oceans increases due to global warming (Young et al., 2011), evaporation e.g. in the Arabian Sea could be affected both directly and via the amount of upwelling of cold waters at the continental margins, and thereby alter the moisture supply for the Indian

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



summer monsoon. For the East Asian summer monsoon (EASM), we have shown that, assuming variations in q_o along orbital-timescale insolation changes, the model yields a series of abrupt monsoon transitions similar to that observed in a proxy record of the penultimate glacial period. While the additional assumption of a hysteresis is not crucial for the transition behaviour, it changes the timing of the individual transitions such that they are all consistent, within dating errors, with those found in the proxy record (physically, a hysteresis might be induced by inert climate components such as e.g. large-scale oceanic circulation or Himalayan glaciation, rather than by atmospheric processes). The idea of a threshold behaviour in monsoon circulations due to the defining mechanism of the moisture-advection feedback may thus be a useful first-order concept for understanding past large-scale monsoon changes. The conceptual model investigated here may also serve as a basic building block that can be made more realistic by the inclusion of other relevant processes and by a more detailed estimation of the model parameters. For a complete understanding of monsoon variations on multiple timescales, of course, more complex models will have to be invoked.

Appendix A

Methods

NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data has been obtained as monthly-mean time series (January 1948–December 2007), and regionally aggregated as indicated in Table 1. W is averaged vertically between 850 hPa and 1000 hPa; q_o between 600 hPa and 1000 hPa; q_L between 400 hPa and 1000 hPa; and ΔT over the entire atmospheric column, as represented in the reanalysis data.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the Heinrich Böll Foundation, the German National Academic Foundation, and the BMBF PROGRESS project (support code 03IS2191B). NCEP Reanalysis Derived data was provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web site at <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/>.

References

- Auffhammer, M., Ramanathan, V., and Vincent, J. R.: Integrated model shows that atmospheric brown clouds and greenhouse gases have reduced rice harvests in India, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 103, 19668–19672, 2006. 1738
- 5 Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Petoukhov, V., and Ganopolski, A.: On the stability of the atmosphere-vegetation system in the Sahara/Sahel region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 31613–31624, 1998. 1741
- Burns, S. J., Fleitmann, D., Matter, A., Kramers, J., and Al-Subbary, A. A.: Indian Ocean Climate and an Absolute Chronology over Dansgaard/Oeschger Events 9 to 13, *Science*, 10 301, 1365–1367, 2003. 1738
- Clark, C. O., Cole, J. E., and Webster, P. J.: Indian Ocean SST and Indian summer rainfall: Predictive relationships and their decadal variability, *J. Climate*, 13, 2503–2519, 2000. 1738
- Claussen, M.: Modeling bio-geophysical feedback in the African and Indian monsoon region, *Clim. Dynam.* 54, 247–257, 1997. 1739
- 15 Dash, S. K., Singh, G. P., Shekhar, M. S., and Vernekar, A. D.: Response of the Indian summer monsoon circulation and rainfall to seasonal snow depth anomaly over Eurasia, *Clim. Dynam.*, 24, 1–10, 2005. 1738
- Eltahir, E. A. B.: A soil moisture-rainfall feedback mechanism: 1. Theory and observations, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34, 765–776, 1998. 1748
- 20 Goswami, B. N. and Xavier, P. K.: ENSO control on the south Asian monsoon through the length of the rainy season, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L18717, doi:10.1029/2005GL023216, 2005. 1738
- Goswami, B. N., Madhusoodanan, M. S., Neema, C. P., and Sengupta, D.: A physical mechanism for North Atlantic SST influence on the Indian summer monsoon, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25 33, L02706, doi:10.1029/2005GL024803, 2006. 1738
- Gupta, A. K., Anderson, D. M., and Overpeck, J. T.: Abrupt changes in the Asian southwest monsoon during the Holocene and their links to the North Atlantic Ocean, *Nature*, 421, 354–357, 2003. 1738
- Hahn, D. G. and Shukla, J.: An apparent relationship between Eurasian snow cover and Indian monsoon rainfall, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 33, 2461–2462, 1976. 1738
- 30 Hong, Y., Hong, B., Lin, Q., Zhu, Y., Shibata, Y., Hirota, M., Uchida, M., Leng, X., Jiang, H., Xu, H., Wang, H., and Yi, L.: Correlation between Indian Ocean summer monsoon

**Monsoon humidity
threshold**

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and North Atlantic climate during the Holocene, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 211, 371–380, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00207-3, 2003. 1738

Kistler, R., Kalnay, E., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Kana-
mitsu, M., Kousky, V., den Dool, H. V., Jenne, R., and Fiorino, M.: The NCEP/NCAR 50-year
reanalysis, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 82, 247–267, 2001. 1740, 1755

Knopf, B., Flechsig, M., and Zickfeld, K.: Multi-parameter uncertainty analysis of a bifurcation
point, *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 13, 531–540, doi:10.5194/npg-13-531-2006, 2006. 1739

Krishnamurthy, V. and Goswami, B. N.: Indian monsoon-ENSO relationship on inter-decadal
timescale, *J. Climate*, 13, 579–595, 2000. 1738

Kucharski, F., Molteni, F., and Yoo, J. H.: SST forcing of decadal Indian monsoon rainfall vari-
ability, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L03709, doi:10.1029/2005GL025371, 2006. 1738

Kumar, K. K., Kumar, K. R., Ashrit, R. G., Deshpande, N. R., and Hansen, J. W.: Climate
impacts on Indian agriculture, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24, 1375–1393, doi:10.1002/joc.1081, 2004.
1738

Levermann, A., Schewe, J., Petoukhov, V., and Held, H.: Basic mechanism for abrupt monsoon
transitions, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 106, 20572–20577, 2009. 1739, 1740, 1747

Liu, X. and Yin, Z.: Sensitivity of East Asian monsoon climate to the uplift of the Tibetan Plateau,
Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol., 183, 223–245, 2002. 1739

Meehl, G. A.: Influence of the Land Surface in the Asian Summer Monsoon: External Condi-
tions versus Internal Feedbacks, *J. Climate*, 7, 1033–1049, 1994. 1739

Parthasarathy, B., Munot, A., and Kothawale, D.: Regression model for estimation of Indian
foodgrain production from summer monsoon rainfall, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 42, 167–182,
doi:10.1016/0168-1923(88)90075-5, 1988. 1738

Petoukhov, V., Ganopolski, A., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Eliseev, A., Kubatzki, C., and Rahm-
storf, S.: CLIMBER-2: a climate system model of intermediate complexity, Part I: model
description and performance for present climate, *Clim. Dynam.*, 16, 1, 2000. 1741

Petoukhov, V. K.: Two mechanisms of temperature oscillations in a thermodynamical model of
the troposphere-stratosphere system, *Izvestiya, Atmos. Ocean. Phys.*, 18, 126–137, 1982.
1741

Ramanathan, V., Chung, C., Kim, D., Bettge, T., Kiehl, J. T., Washington, W. M., Fu, Q., Sikka,
D. R., and Wild, M.: Atmospheric brown clouds: Impacts on South Asian climate and hydro-
logical cycle, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 102, 5326–5333, 2005. 1738

Rashid, H., England, E., Thompson, L., and Polyak, L.: Late Glacial to Holocene Indian Sum-

**Monsoon humidity
threshold**

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- mer Monsoon Variability Based upon Sediment Records Taken from the Bay of Bengal, *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*, 22, 215–228, doi:10.3319/TAO.2010.09.17.02(TibXS), 2011. 1738
- Robock, A., Mu, M., Vinnikov, K., and Robinson, D.: Land surface conditions over Eurasia and Indian summer monsoon rainfall, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4131, 2003. 1739
- 5 Wang, B.: *The Asian monsoon*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2005. 1739
- Wang, P., Clemens, S., Beaufort, L., Braconnot, P., Ganssen, G., Jian, Z., Kershaw, P., and Sarnthein, M.: Evolution and variability of the Asian monsoon system: state of the art and outstanding issues, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 24, 595–629, 2005a. 1738
- 10 Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., He, Y., Kong, X., An, Z., Wu, J., Kelly, M. J., Dykoski, C. A., and Li, X.: The Holocene Asian Monsoon: Links to Solar Changes and North Atlantic Climate, *Science*, 308, 854–857, 2005b. 1738
- Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Kong, X., Shao, X., Chen, S., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Wang, X., and An, Z.: Millennial- and orbital-scale changes in the East Asian monsoon over the past 224,000 years, *Nature*, 451, 1090–1093, 2008. 1738, 1745, 1763
- 15 Webster, P. J.: The Elementary Monsoon, in: *Monsoons*, edited by: Fein, J. S. and Stephens, P. L., John Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 3–32, 1987a. 1739, 1741
- Webster, P. J.: The Variable and Interactive Monsoon, in: *Monsoons*, edited by: Fein, J. S. and Stephens, P. L., John Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 269–330, 1987b. 1739
- Webster, P. J., Magaña, V. O., Palmer, T. N., Shukla, J., Tomas, R. A., Yanai, M., and Yasunari, T.: Monsoons: Processes, predictability, and the prospects for prediction, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 20 103, 14451–14510, 1998. 1738, 1739
- Yang, J., Liu, Q., Xie, S.-P., Liu, Z., and Wu, L.: Impact of the Indian Ocean SST basin mode on the Asian summer monsoon, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L02708, doi:10.1029/2006GL028571, 2007. 1739
- 25 Young, I. R., Zieger, S., and Babanin, A. V.: Global Trends in Wind Speed and Wave Height, *Science*, 332, 451–455, doi:10.1126/science.1197219, 2011. 1748
- Zhang, P., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Chen, F., Wang, Y., Yang, X., Liu, J., Tan, M., Wang, X., Liu, J., An, C., Dai, Z., Zhou, J., Zhang, D., Jia, J., and Johnson, K. R.: A Test of Climate, Sun, and Culture Relationships from an 1810-Year Chinese Cave Record, *Science*, 322, 30 940–942, 2008. 1738
- Zickfeld, K., Knopf, B., Petoukhov, V., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Is the Indian summer monsoon stable against global change?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L15707, doi:10.1029/2005GL022771, 2005. 1739

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Table 1. Regional definitions used for data analysis. Monthly-mean NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data has been averaged over the indicated regions and seasons; *Land* and *Ocean* indicate that only terrestrial or oceanic grid points have been considered, respectively; and $\Delta T = T_L - T_o$. The bottom row lists the values for the dimensionless parameter ϵ that have been used in the estimation of the critical threshold (see Sect. 4).

Quantity	INDIA	BAY OF BENGAL	W.AFRICA	CHINA (EASM)
P, R, q_L, T_L (<i>Land</i>)	70–90° E 5–30° N	80–100° E 15–30° N	15° W–10° E 2–14° N	100–120° E 20–32° N
q_o, T_o (<i>Ocean</i>)	65–78° E 5–30° N	80–100° E 10–20° N	35–15° W 2–14° N	105–115° E 15–25° N
W	65–78° E 5–30° N	80–100° E 15–30° N	15° W–10° E 2–9° N	100–120° E 20–32° N
Monsoon season	Jun–Aug	Jun–Aug	Jul–Sep	Jun–Aug
ϵ	4.5×10^{-3}	2.3×10^{-2}	2.0×10^{-1}	6.7×10^{-2}

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)





Fig. 1. Geometry of the conceptual model, illustrating wind W , precipitation P , net radiative flux R , and atmospheric specific humidity over land (q_L) and ocean (q_o)




Fig. 2. Seasonal heat flux contributions to the atmospheric column over four major continental monsoon regions in NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data (Kistler et al., 2001). Radiative heating of the land surface in spring enhances sensible heat flux from the ground (“Sensible”). During the rainy season, latent heat release dominates the heat budget (“Latent”). Radiative heat flux comprises all radiative fluxes in and out of the atmospheric column (“Radiative”). The excess heat is transported out of the continental monsoon region through large-scale advective and synoptic processes (“Convergence”). Error bars give the standard deviation from the reanalysis period (1948–2007). See Table 1 for the geographical definitions of the monsoon regions. The red and blue vertical lines emphasize the months of maximum sensible heat flux and latent heat flux, respectively.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.




Fig. 3. Wind W versus temperature difference between land and ocean region, ΔT , from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data, for the major monsoon regions of India, the Bay of Bengal, West Africa, and China (East Asia; see Table 1). The correlation coefficient r is indicated, as well as the slope α of a linear fit through the origin (black line).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 4. Precipitation P versus specific humidity over land, q_L , from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data. The black line shows the result of a linear regression, the correlation coefficient r is indicated, as well as the slope β (in $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and the offset in terrestrial humidity, q_L^0 (in g kg^{-1}).

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.




Fig. 5. Solution structure of the conceptual model as a function of the non-dimensional parameter l , which is proportional to specific humidity over the ocean, q_0 . For illustrative purposes, l is plotted on the y-axis. The latent heat demand (red line; bold part indicates the stable branch) results from heat loss due to net radiative flux (dotted) and advection of warm air out of the land region (dashed).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 6. As Fig. 5 (red line), but in terms of non-dimensional precipitation p , and organized with the control parameter l on the x-axis.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 7. As Fig. 6, but in terms of dimensional precipitation P . The shape of the solution is different than in terms of p because the relation between p and P depends on l . Units on the y-axis are arbitrary.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 8. Correlation between precipitation and specific humidity over the ocean from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data. Black lines show the result of a linear regression, the correlation coefficients are indicated.

Monsoon humidity threshold

J. Schewe et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 9. Estimate of critical specific humidity value over the ocean, q_o^c , from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data for the basic minimal model (blue) and including the effect of a minimum terrestrial humidity q_L^o required for the onset of precipitation (red). The black histogram shows the observed distribution of q_o . Pins mark the estimates obtained from time-mean parameter values.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 10. Grey: Speleothem $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from central China, used as EASM proxy for the penultimate glacial period, where more negative values indicate stronger rainfall (Wang et al., 2008). The record is smoothed with a 5-point running average, and dating errors ($\pm 2\sigma$) are shown for selected dates (grey horizontal bars). Red: Result of the conceptual model for EASM precipitation P in response to q_o variations driven by Northern Hemisphere (65°N) summer insolation, assuming a hysteresis of 0.5 g kg^{-1} width. For illustration, we set P to zero during periods when no monsoon circulation exists according to the model.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 11. Physical solution for EASM precipitation P from the conceptual model, for three different values of the non-dimensional parameter r : $\overline{r(t)}$ (dashed), $\overline{r(t)} + \sigma/2$ (dot-and-dash), and $\overline{r(t)} - \sigma/2$ (solid), where $r(t)$ is the estimate from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data, and σ denotes a standard deviation. Bold lines indicate the upper, stable branch. The value $\overline{r(t)} - \sigma/2 = -106.5$, which corresponds to a critical threshold $q_0^c = 8.0 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, is used for the comparison of the model with EASM proxy data. Vertical dashed lines mark q_0^c and $q_0^c + \Delta_q$, where we choose $\Delta_q = 0.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ as the width of an assumed hysteresis that is thought to appear when the threshold is crossed from either side (illustrated by arrows).

**Monsoon humidity
threshold**

J. Schewe et al.




Fig. 12. Time series of q_0 used for the application of the conceptual model. q_0 is assumed to vary linearly with Northern Hemisphere (65°N) summer insolation. The horizontal dashed line marks q_0^c .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

