Clim. Past Discuss., 6, C283–C284, 2010 www.clim-past-discuss.net/6/C283/2010/
© Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



CPD

6, C283-C284, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Climate and carbon-cycle variability over the last millennium" by J. H. Jungclaus et al.

N. Krakauer (Referee)

nkrakauer@ccny.cuny.edu

Received and published: 8 June 2010

This manuscript describes experiments with a coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model that included an interactive carbon cycle, aimed at understanding changes in surface temperature and CO_2 concentration over the last millennium. The modeled temperature fluctuations are found to be qualitatively consistent with available records, while the modeled preindustrial CO_2 fluctuations and industrial CO_2 surge are too small.

The manuscript is generally clear and well-presented, although the description of the modeling details is rather abbreviated. The work reported is of interest to climate scientists, and I recommend publication. Some suggestions are:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



- 1. Information on the fossil fuel emissions assumed as forcing should be provided.
- 2. It would be useful to describe the model representation of sea and land snow and ice, and how important albedo changes are for the temperature response.
- 3. The discussion could be extended slightly to consider *why* the modeled preindustrial CO₂ fluctuations might be too small (e.g., not enough soil carbon in long-lived pools?).
- 4. Another good diagnostic for whether the modeled sensitivity to solar variability is reasonable would be to compare the modeled amplitude of the temperature response to the 11-year solar cycle with that derived from observations (cf. Tung et al., Constraining model transient climate response using independent observations of solar-cycle forcing and response, GRL, 2008).

Typographic comments:

- 1. p. 1027:18-19 "Regression slopes varied by ± 0.07 for the control and 0.06 ppm for the forced simulations." should the units be ppm/K?
- 2. p. 1043, figure caption: "the strongly forced and controlled experiments" should be something like "the strong-solar-variability and the control experiment".

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 6, 1009, 2010.

CPD

6, C283-C284, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

