

## ***Interactive comment on “An introduction to stable water isotopes in climate models: benefits of forward proxy modelling for paleoclimatology” by C. Sturm et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 29 July 2009

Review of the manuscript by Sturm et al. submitted to Climate of the Past:

I found this paper to be a highly useful review of the applicability of SWI-equipped climate models within palaeoclimatology, supplemented with some informative and thought-provoking sensitivity analyses. The manuscript is well written and presented at a level of detail that enables reading by non-specialists. Therefore, I consider it worthy beyond doubt of publication in Climate of the Past. I rate the contribution as grade 1, 1 and 2, respectively, for scientific significance, scientific quality and presentation quality.

Contrary to referee 1, I do not see any major problems with the experiments in spite of their shortcomings with respect to boundary conditions. The lack of realistic SST,

C498

ice-sheet, sea-ice and vegetation forcings is mentioned by the authors, and it should appear clear to the reader that the experiments are indeed sensitivity analyses, highlighting the effects of changing orbital forcing exclusively on temperature and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . As such, they are in my mind informative expressions of model behaviour, and the authors present some appropriate analyses of conceptual processes and uncertainties involved.

However, I was a bit confused about to what extent the two experiments were coupled. Was the same model used for Scandinavia as for the Northern Hemisphere? Were both experiments based on 5-year runs (stated somewhat in passing on p. 1716, l. 18)? This should be made clearer at the beginning of section 4. I would also have liked to see an additional figure illustrating the grid cells over Scandinavia and some examples of model outputs there.

Apart from these issues I have really only some technical comments: 1698, 10: govern their underlying hypotheses 1698, 13: Multi-proxy data obtained from climate archives can 1698, 14: with model outputs to produce physically 1698, 14-15: reconstructions with confidence intervals 1698, 16: mid-Holocene conditions 1698, 17: impact of changing climate 1698, 22: aspects of climate research 1698, 24: change in the future 1699, 3: of palaeoclimate research 1699, 7: in climate research 1699, 8: (GCMs) 1699, 9: GCMs 1699, 26: an example of 1699, 27-28: with measured isotopic data from climate archives 1700, 2: a historical 1700, 3: archives, highlighting some 1700, 7: GCMs 1700, 11: composition of precipitation 1701, 12: 0.7 (?) 1702, 6: the two methods 1702, 11: between the methods 1702, 14: peak today 1702, 19: are illustrated in 1703, 2: preferred palaeoclimate approach 1703, 3: post-depositional alteration 1703, 8: diatom silica or aquatic cellulose 1703, 12:  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  can therefore 1703, 16: (runoff, infiltration, evaporation) 1704, 24: palaeoclimate reconstructions 1705, 19: GCMs 1705, 21: time-step of a GCM 1705, 25: happen at much smaller 1706, 2: convection are fed 1706, 5: SWI tracers 1706, 7: SWI tracers in GCMs 1706, 13: fractionations need to 1706, 17: what a daunting 1706, 20: a good description 1706, 21:

C499

REF NOT IN LIST 1707, 16: entire amount of water 1707, 18: GCMs 1707, 22: allow the distinction 1707, 22: more appropriate 1707, 26: and Jouzel 1707, 27: GCMs 1707, 28: worth noting 1708, 4: GCMs 1708, 5: the GNIP (Rapley 1708, 8: ERA40, NCEP (EXPLAIN) 1708, 8: In these cases 1708, 16: climate models (RCMs) 1708, 18: An isotope-enabled 1708, 25: RCMs 1708, 26: modules exist 1709, 3: SWI within 1709, 4: GCMs for palaeoclimate studies 1709, 22: (e.g. RCMs) 1710: ERA40, ECMWF, 2003 (EXPLAIN) 1711, 24: The present-day simulation 1713, 6: temperatures tend 1713, 16: lifted uphill 1714, 4: greenhouse gases 1714, 7: worth noting 1714, 9: for the present 1714, 19: its west coast 1714, 19: oscillating patterns 1714, 24: which confirm the strict 1715, 13: result of these 1716, 4: study area as produced by the model 1717, 4: between the mid-Holocene and the present 1717, 5: The difference between 1717, 23: REF NOT IN LIST 1718, 8: indicator of temperature 1718, 12: embedded SWI diagnostics 1718, 18: palaeoclimatic methods 1718, 23-24: the  $\delta^{18}O$  of precipitation 1718, 27: a hydrological model 1719, 2: aquatic cellulose 1719, 4: enrichment of lake waters 1719, 4: of the growing season of ostracodes and aquatic plants 1719, 10: reach of empirical

---

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 5, 1697, 2009.