

Interactive comment on “Winter temperatures in the second half of the XVI century in the central area of the Iberian Peninsula” by T. Bullón

T. Bullón

Received and published: 25 October 2008

I would like to take this opportunity to respond to two of the reviewers’ comments. Both indicate that more information should be provided on the interpretation of the qualitative data and the method for converting the qualitative values. They also question the statistical handling of data once the temperature anomalies are obtained. In response to these comments, the revised manuscript now provides more information in the first sections of the paper and simplifies the explanation in the last ones. Greater emphasis is also given to the qualitative commentary on the anomalies series, and the statistical analyses has been eliminated, because it was probably too detailed for the inherent imprecision in the selected reconstruction. The purpose of these analyses was to extract as much information as possible by determining the influence of thermal variations on environmental evolution. My interpretation of the reviewers’

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



observations is that this is not the moment to introduce precise results, so I have tentatively discarded them until I can provide more accurate information.

I appreciate both reviewers's comments, which are very impartial and constructive, because they have helped me to improve the original text. They have also given me an appreciation of the value of my research in a larger context that will undoubtedly affect my future studies. I would also like to thank the Copernicus publication production office for its quick and efficient help with the publication process.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 4, 697, 2008.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper