

Interactive comment on “Change of the ice rheology with climatic transitions – implication on ice flow modelling and dating of the EPICA Dome C core” by G. Durand et al.

E. Wolff (Editor)

ewwo@bas.ac.uk

Received and published: 15 January 2007

The comments from the two reviewers are generally positive and suggest that it will be possible to prepare a paper that is acceptable for CP. I would ask the authors to consider and answer all the points made by the reviewers, and to be especially careful:

- a) to comment on the general point in paragraph 2 of the Azuma review, and not just the specific points;
- b) to pay special attention to correctly attributing and building on earlier work. Both reviewers seem to feel that there are some references missing to work that would inform this paper;

c) to have the paper checked over by a native English speaker, since it is true that there are some awkward constructions. As well as the title (see review by Thorsteinsson), I could note early on that (1) abstract: Concordia is a station and Dome C is a place, but Dome Concordia is an incorrect usage (unfortunately found rather frequently), (2) line 2 of introduction: hundreds of thousands; (3) line 3 of section 2.1: the drilling reached 3259.72 m (logger depth) not 3070 m. However I did not check carefully the rest of the text and the authors should do that please.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 2, 1187, 2006.

CPD

2, S784–S785, 2007

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper