

***Interactive comment on* “On the verification of climate reconstructions” by G. Bürger and U. Cubasch**

V. Brovkin (Editor)

victor.brovkin@pik-potsdam.de

Received and published: 20 September 2006

Dear Dr. Bürger,

We have had your manuscript “On the verification of climate reconstructions” reviewed by 3 referees. Based on referee reports and following open discussion, I cannot accept your manuscript for publication in *Climate of the Past*. This decision is approved by the CP Editors-in-Chief. In our evaluation, we discarded the inappropriate tone of reviewer #2 and his/her scientifically irrelevant points. The main weight of our decision is based on opinions of reviewer #3 who is strongly negative about the paper, and referee #1 who considers the paper useful, but not publishable in its present form.

The review and comments during the open discussion found promise in your

manuscript, however, and indicate that a substantially revised manuscript might meet CP criteria. In particular, all reviewers complain about ambiguous terminology and lack of details which makes your paper difficult to understand. You should also comment on further improvement of the reconstruction methods since this is of major interest for the CP readers. If you resubmit the manuscript improved along those lines, then it will be treated as a new submission to be evaluated by a new set of referees.

Yours sincerely,

Victor Brovkin
Editor of Climate of the Past

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 2, 357, 2006.

CPD

2, S389–S390, 2006

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper