

Interactive comment on “Comparing transient, accelerated, and equilibrium simulations of the last 30 000 years with the GENIE-1 model” by D. J. Lunt et al.

N. Weber (Editor)

weber@knmi.nl

Received and published: 4 September 2006

Both referees propose to publish the manuscript only after major revision, although both are basically positive about its subject matter and the presented results. Their main concern is the applicability of those results to other models (the dependence of results on limitations of GENIE-1 and/or specific values of critical parameters), the maturity of results and the depth of the analysis. Both give extensive recommendations for improvement. It is my impression that the authors have done a lot to meet the criticism expressed by the referees (hereby losing some of the clarity of style of the previous version, but this can be remedied easily). As major revisions were asked for,

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

I want to consult the referees one more time in order to know how they assess the manuscript in its revised form.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 2, 267, 2006.

CPD

2, S332–S333, 2006

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S333

EGU