Clim. Past Discuss., 11, C897–C899, 2015 www.clim-past-discuss.net/11/C897/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**CPD** 

11, C897–C899, 2015

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "The Eocene–Oligocene transition at ODP Site 1263, Atlantic Ocean: decreases in nannoplankton size and abundance and correlation with benthic foraminiferal assemblages" by M. Bordiga et al.

G. Villa (Referee)

giuliana.villa@unipr.it

Received and published: 30 June 2015

The paper entitled "The Eocene-Oligocene transition at ODP Site 1263, Atlantic Ocean: decreases in nannoplankton size and abundance and correlation with benthic foraminiferal assemblages" by M. Bordiga et al. addresses relevant scientific questions within the scope of CP.

The paper presents detailed quantitative analyses on calcareous nannofossils for Site 1263, together with low resolution data on Foraminifera.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Overall, the paper is well written and informative, with only minor problems in the figures and few scientific problems. The abstract is concise and representative of the main results and assumptions. Methodological description are fully described and documented such to be reproducible and traceable.

The authors provide a lot of detail to support their data and this is really useful for paleoceanographic interpretation of the Eocene –Oligocene transition and to compare it in other regions.

There are a few issues that need to be addressed before publication, but they are relatively minor, thus I recommend the publication with some small corrections/suggestions and some comments listed in the text and below.

- I suggest to use the Eocene –Oligocene transition (EOT) whenever it is not strictly referred to the E/O boundary. Many of the changes reported occur across the boundary, not exactly at the boundary.
- Biostratigraphy Line 292 ...Riesselman et al. (2007) placed Oi-1 on the basis of an increase in the benthic  $\delta$ 18 O records from  $\sim$ 1.5‰ (94.49 mcd, uppermost Eocene) to  $\sim$ 2.6‰ (93.14 mcd, lowermost Oligocene).

The Oi-1 according to Reisselman (2007) is instead between 93 and 89 mcd. Also in Peck et al. 2010 is placed between 94 and 93 (fig.6). In your Fig. 2 steps 1, 2 and Oi-1 are indicated as 3 separated events. Step 2 is reported at the same depth as Peck et al., 2010 and therefore Oi-1 should coincide with step2 (eg. Ladant et al. 2014 Paleoceanography). I cannot understand if it a graph error or if you consider Oi-1 as a third event. Please verify or discuss.

- Line 412 the dissolution index shows more intense dissolution from 87 mcd
- R. daviesii is here considered a large species, while it is a medium sized species (5-8 microns). This should be changed.
- R. daviesii is here reported as decreasing at the EOT, while other researches evi-

## **CPD**

11, C897–C899, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



denced a neat increase at the boundary, in particular in the Southern Ocean Sites and at Site 1090, which is quite close to 1263. In Fig S1-15 a specimen classified as Dictyococcites with signs of dissolution does not look like a Dictyococcites, and could be a slightly dissolved R. daviesii. If this is the case, R.daviesii could have been overlooked. The different result should be anyway commented.

- In the dataset B the presence of R. circus is indicated from about 98 mcd. The specimen illustrated in Fig S 1-20 looks like a R. circus. The graphs of dataset A (Fig. 3) of Ret sp.1 shows a very similar pattern of R.circus of data set B, except that it occurs 2 meters below, but it could be the effect of more resolution sampling. It is very likely that R.sp.1 is a R. circus and her it could be demonstrated that it has an older first appearance. Marino and Flores (2002), at Site 1090, report of a circular Reticulofenestra sp. before the FO of R.circus that they considered related to the taxon R. circus.
- line 494: PC1 is better mirrored more by the red line than that of all placoliths-bearing....
- Fig caption 3 add if these data are form dataset A only.
- Fig .5 there is not the graph of the total abundance (mentioned in Fig caption). The legend of black and white circles are inverted. TDP must be changed in TDP 17/12

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.clim-past-discuss.net/11/C897/2015/cpd-11-C897-2015-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 11, 1615, 2015.

## **CPD**

11, C897–C899, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

