

Interactive comment on “On reconstruction of time series in climatology” by V. Privalsky and A. Gluhovsky

L. Seidel

leonzaj@gmail.com

Received and published: 25 November 2015

I have no doubts that the article should be published.

First, it shows that the standard for climatology way to restore time series based upon the cross-correlation coefficient and linear regression is mathematically wrong. This point cannot be disputed.

Second, a new method is suggested which seems to be mathematically correct and new in climatology. Third, the mathematically sound new method is applied to restore the time series of the total solar irradiance and is proven to be more efficient than the incorrect standard method.

The three negative reviews by referees are especially convincing in supporting my

C2525

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



positive conclusion. None of them disputes the statement regarding the inapplicability of the correlation approach to time series and all three create an impression that the referees are not very familiar either with theory of random processes or with time series analysis.

I recommend the article for publication.

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 11, 4701, 2015.

CPD

11, C2525–C2526, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2526

