

## ***Interactive comment on “Did high Neo-Tethys subduction rates contribute to early Cenozoic warming?” by G. Hoareau et al.***

**D.V. Kent (Referee)**

dvk.rutgers@gmail.com

Received and published: 15 August 2015

This paper attempts to test the proposition that demonstrably warmer climate in the early Cenozoic was due to greater output of CO<sub>2</sub> from a carbonate subduction factory in high gear leading up to Tethyan closure. The underlying assumption is that warm periods like the Early Eocene climate optimum require special explanations. Barring changes in CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing linked to varying global ocean floor production rates that drive GEOCARB models but for which there is scant evidence (e.g., Rowley 2002), subduction of Tethyan pelagic carbonates seemed to be an attractive and timely additional source of CO<sub>2</sub> until its shutdown with collision of India–Asia at around 50 Ma (Schrag 2002; Edmond & Huh 2003; Kent & Muttoni 2008). However, subsequent calculations taking into account more precise plate tectonic motions showed that there was not

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



[Interactive  
Comment](#)

enough decarbonation to make an appreciable contribution to the CO<sub>2</sub> budget, neither to the global warming in the Early Eocene nor by implication with the shutdown of the decarbonation factory to ensuing decrease of atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> and cooler climate (Kent & Muttoni 2013).

The present paper makes an independent estimate of the output of the Tethyan carbonate subduction factory and uses a coupled climate-carbon cycle model (GEOCLIM) to evaluate the impact of the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The authors conclude that Tethyan decarbonation was unlikely to have been consequential for early Cenozoic warming, in substantive agreement with the conclusions of Kent & Muttoni (2013). This would seem to leave early Cenozoic warmth unexplained. However, the problem may be the other way around: more or less steady CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing with slowly varying continental configurations and overall silicate weathering drawdown may be the norm to produce the predominant warm climate mode whereas low atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> that results in polar ice sheets, such as over the past ~30 Myr, is what needs explanation. Drastically reduced CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing is hardly a viable explanation (and thus a general problem for GEOCARB models) so it must be CO<sub>2</sub> sinks that vary greatly. Erosion and weathering associated with mountain building, as in the Himalayas, may be one way (Raymo & Ruddiman 1992). Another mechanism that was favored by Kent & Muttoni (2013) is emplacement of highly weatherable continental basalts into the warm and wet tropical belt where the Walker feedback brake is not strong on drawdown of CO<sub>2</sub>, which can thus descend to levels that allow ice sheets to develop.

Simply put, consideration of early Cenozoic warming could be placed in a broader context.

A few other suggestions from the foregoing:

#p.2868 l.23: "Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration may have only been able to reach significantly high values during the EECO (up to 25 770 ppm), but only if decarbonation efficiency was at its maximum at that time."

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

Decarbonation efficiency is extremely important in estimating CO<sub>2</sub> flux and warrants further discussion. Kent & Muttoni (2014) cite a recycling rate of up to 10% (typically lower) based on <sup>10</sup>Be data in arc volcanics in Central America (Tera et al., 1986). On the other hand, Hoareau et al. (this paper) cite decarbonation efficiencies of 60% and more following the modeling approach of Johnston et al. (2011), who unfortunately don't cite the Tera paper. The authors should make an attempt to sort this out.

### #5.2.3 Organic carbon sources.

Beck et al. (1995) is cited tangentially elsewhere in the manuscript but their work on burial and subsequent exhumation of organic-rich Tethyan sediments in the early stages of the India-Asia collision really needs to be front and center in the discussion of organic carbon sources.

#The Abstract ends on a rather desultory note and should at least hint to a way forward, for example, maybe variable CO<sub>2</sub> sinks are more important than sources in the long-term atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> balance and need to be modeled better!

---

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 11, 2847, 2015.

CPD

11, C1350–C1352, 2015

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

