Authors' response to reviewers "French summer droughts since 1326 AD: a reconstruction based on tree ring cellulose δ 18O" by I. Labuhn et al.

We thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments, which helped to improve this manuscript. We have taken their comments into account and made changes and additions to the manuscript accordingly. Notably, according to the recommendations of both reviewers, we have (1) extended the discussion about the quality of the reconstruction, including uncertainties associated with small sample size and offset correction; (2) combined the two site chronologies to a regional drought reconstruction; and (3) included comparisons with early instrumental records and other proxy data. Find below our answers to the reviewers' comments point by point.

Interactive comment on "French summer droughts since 1326 AD: a reconstruction based on tree ring cellulose δ 18O" by I. Labuhn et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 17 December 2015

Isotopes from oak tree-rings increasingly appear to be a viable source of high quality proxy climate information for the mid-latitudes. This manuscript adds to this body of information by extending two previously published records. In general I am in favour of publication but they are a few changes and additions I would like to see made first. The biggest flaw with this manuscript is the relatively small sample size prior to the late C19, this will inevitably limit confidence in the reconstruction and is probably responsible for the various data problems encountered by the authors (offsets and low inter-series correlations).

It is true that the reduction in sample size decreases the confidence in the reconstruction. In the revised ms, we are more careful with the climatic interpretations of this part of the chronology, and address more clearly the uncertainties associated with a small sample size (see comments 19, 20, and 21 below).

1. Since this paper was submitted a new European drought atlas, mainly based upon tree ring widths and density (I think), has just been published (Cook et al 2015). I feel that some discussion of this should now

be included and ideally a comparison with the published data over this region. For example I see no sign of the Cook et al (2015) fiftieth century mega-drought in either of these records.

A comparison of our reconstruction and the drought atlas is now added and discussed (Section 4.3; Figure 11).

The 15th century megadrought identified by Cook et al. (2015) occurs in north-central Europe (Southern Scandinavia, Germany, Poland), whereas the north and west of France show average moisture conditions during this time according to the drought atlas. Note also that the drought atlas uses only two tree-ring chronologies from France and the drought reconstruction we extracted for our study region from the atlas is based on interpolation.

2. Title says "a reconstruction" should read "two reconstructions", however you could (should) combine the two as the distances are not too great and produce one reconstruction. I will come back to this later.

We combined the chronologies to produce a regional reconstruction (see comment 16).

3. In the introduction you should cite and discuss Young et al (2015) Rinne et al (2013), both have used isotopes from oak to reconstruct precipitation not far away in the UK and are therefore highly relevant to your research.

These articles are now referred to in the introduction. In the revised manuscript, we also discuss the precipitation reconstruction of Rinne et al. (2013) in Section 4.3.

4. Page 5117 1st paragraph, should also discuss Loader at al. (2013). A strong common signal (e.g. EPS) and an accurate estimate of the population mean are not the same thing, but both are very important when reconstructing climate especially when using non-detrended proxy series. You probably need to do your level corrections because your sample depth is rather small and not due to any systematic offsets. Please discuss.

In the revised manuscript, we discuss the importance of a strong common signal and an accurate estimation of the population mean, referring to Loader et al. (2013).

5. Introduction. Some discussion of why d18o in oaks may reflect both temperature and precipitation is required.

P.5116 l.6-17 refer to relevant studies which explain the relationships between cellulose d18O and temperature/precipitation. A more detailed explanation of the links between cellulose d18O and drought and the combined influence of temperature and humidity is given in the beginning of our discussion. In the revised manuscript, this part of the discussion has been extended and further references were included (see comment 17).

6. Page 5118, second paragraph and Table 2. Are there longer climate records available with which to verify your proxy data? Why reconstruct SPEI (which I agree is better than PDSI) but I think SPI would be more meaningful as it is based upon a single climate parameter, you must have looked at this what is the correlation with SPI? Are there are regional records available in France equivalent to the UK England and Wales precipitation (EWP) and Central England temperature (CET) records as these might be very helpful in interpreting tour data.

We have tested different drought indices, and the correlations of cellulose d18O with the SPEI were consistently higher than with the SPI. The difference in correlation coefficients was between 0.09 and 0.17 depending on the site and the month(s) considered. Although the SPI can be considered a "simpler" variable, as it is only based on precipitation, the SPEI seems to be more representative of the drought conditions which influence cellulose d18O, as it includes both precipitation and evapotranspiration.

There are historical temperature and precipitation records from Paris (near our site FON), which go back to the 17th century. In the revised discussion, we compare our drought reconstruction with an SPEI calculated from the Paris temperature and precipitation data in Section 4.3 and Figure 11.

7. Page 5118, lines 18 and 19. Why only those two combinations of months? If SPEI it is like SPI you can choose a month and lag it with a decay effect over a number of previous months which often is very effective.

The SPEI can indeed be calculated including a varying number of previous months. We have tested the correlations with different combinations of months and present here April-September, which corresponds to the growing season, and June-August, which yielded the highest correlations of all combinations and was therefore chosen as a target for the reconstruction. We slightly modified the text in Sections 2.1 and 3.4 to explain this more clearly.

8. Can you test your data against GNIP d18o data? Also a comparison with mean summer atmospheric pressure (e.g. 850 hPa) may be interesting. If your data are strongly linked to d18o in precipitation mean summer atmospheric circulation is probably the closest meteorological link.

For FON, the nearest GNIP stations have only 3-4 years of overlap with the cellulose d18O chronology. Near ANG, two precipitation isotope monitoring stations exist (Genty et al., 2014), which are not part of the GNIP network, and which provide 11 years of overlap with cellulose d18O data. A comparison of this precipitation d18O data with the ANG chronology is discussed in Labuhn et al. (2014). They concluded that the inter-annual variability of cellulose d18O is dominated by factors influencing leaf water enrichment, while the source water isotopic signal seems to be smoothed by the mixing of water from different seasons in the soil.

At our sites, we found no significant correlation between cellulose d18O and atmospheric pressure. Even if the atmospheric circulation is one of the controls on local precipitation d18O, and could therefore be linked to the source water d18O, there is no direct influence of atmospheric pressure on the isotopic composition of cellulose.

9. Page 5119, line 16-17. Is this hypothesis supported by the dendro dating?

We added references on the history of Fontainebleau castle which indicate a local origin of the wood used for its construction. This is also supported by dendroprovenancing. We correlated tree ring width of the samples used in this study with different reference chronologies. Correlations are highest with a local reference chronology and decrease with increasing distance from the study site.

10. Page 5119, Line 18, nine is a reasonable sample depth but two (and anything below four or five) is too low to draw any serious inferences with.

We agree that a sample depth of 2 is too low to infer any climatic information. With the limited number of available samples from the historic buildings, it was not always possible to keep a higher sample depth. In the revised manuscript, we mark the periods of sample depth < 4 in Figures 6, 7 and 10. The discussion now addresses the issue of sample depth in more detail (see comment 19, 20, and 21).

11. Page 5120. Line 25. I agree that it is very important to use only latewood from oak.

This is common practice in dendroisotopic studies using oak trees.

12. Page 5122, line 21. This is a very sophisticated approach, but I think simply splitting the data into two equal parts and doing the same statistics may be an equally good (if not better) test, especially if the climate data has a trend in it. Any reason why 2/3 and 1/3 instead of 50/50?

In a reconstruction based on such a calibration, it is supposed that the relationship between the proxy and the target variable did not change over time, therefore the model should be independent in time, and we decided to randomly sample the calibration and verification data sets. 3/2 and 1/3 was chosen to increase the number of data points used in the calibration.

We tested splitting the data set in 2 equal parts instead, as suggested by the reviewer, and the resulting verification statistics fall in the range of values found in our iterations. In any case, the method used here will not change the reconstruction, as all data are included in the final model after verification.

13. Page 5123. You have a big spread in your data and I think quite a low N in each cohort I think (the sample depth of the cohorts should be clearly presented I can't see it). Low sample depth is probably the main reason for your offsets between cohorts I expect. In your case some level correction is probably necessary but the best solution would be to increase N to ≥10 trees and hold this constant throughout your whole reconstruction (including calibration period).

We agree with reviewer #1 that an increased and constant sample depth would be highly desirable, but unfortunately the limited number of samples available from the historic buildings did not always allow for that. We added a recommendation for future studies regarding sample depth in the conclusions and perspectives. Plots of sample depth for each cohort were added on Figure 4.

14. More explanation of your pooling strategy and offset correction is required. Figure 4 is quite confusing. It would be much better if the two graphs were on the same x axis scale so that the reader could make some visual comparison between the chronologies. What does the dotted line mean in the top graph? Please explain. The dotted line in the bottom graph is where one of the series was only analysed at low frequency, were these data included in the mean value? This is also a period where the correlation between the two series is very poor.

The two graphs in Figure 4 are now presented on the same x-axis. The dashed lines in the top graph represent average values for overlap periods. There are three cohorts which overlap here, so two average values were calculated from each cohort for the different overlap periods (one of which was plotted as a dashed line to better distinguish them). The dashed lines were replaced by solid lines for clarity.

The data of cohort TW which was measured every 5th year is included in the mean value of the ANG chronology. The correlation between cohort TW and the overlapping cohort GR is .63, the highest correlation found between cohorts.

15. Page 5124, section 3.3. You need to be careful with low filter correlations and must adjust the significance levels for autocorrelation, this is quite simple but necessary to determine significance.

We added the significance level for the correlations in Figure 7a, taking into account the reduced degrees of freedom due to autocorrelation in the smoothed series.

16. Page 5124, section 3.3. The relationship between the series is very good over the C20th when you have a reasonably high sample depth; I suspect the decline is due to a drop in N rather than any climatic effects. This would lead me to combine the two series to create a single regional reconstruction with a much greater sample depth; this should help to resolve the earlier part of both series with relatively low sample depth.

We added a regional drought reconstruction based on a combination of the two site chronologies. Consequently, a number of modifications were made in the manuscript: Correlations between the regional tree ring isotope series and average climate were added (Table 2, Section 3.4); a new model for reconstruction based on the combined chronology was built and validated (additions to Figures 8 and 9 and Table 3); the regional reconstruction is presented (Figure 10, Section 3.5) and discussed in comparison with other records (Figure 11, Section 4.3).

17. Page 5126, line 1. There should be more discussion, with references, of the links between d18o from oak trees and precipitation. I would avoid the word “drought” as this is not really possible to define with a summer proxy “dry summers” would be better.

A more detailed discussion of the links between precipitation and cellulose d18O, as well as other influencing factors, has been added in Section 4. “Drought was replaced by “dry summers”.

18. Page 5127, line 4. Explain normalisation, is this a z-score?

We replaced “normalisation” by “correction” and refer to Section 3.2 where the correction we applied is explained.

19. Page 5127, section 4.2, lines 26 and 27. I think both of these hypotheses are more unlikely than reduction of sample depth, the earlier high correlation may just be good fortune. If you sample depth were much higher and consistent and the results the same then I would give your two hypotheses more credence, see below.

20. With a pooled series, especially beyond instrumental data range, it is not easy to estimate how well the individual trees match and therefore how strong a common signal they contain: however series variability (or SD) is a good indications. Some trees simply do not respond as well as others to the same environmental conditions and this can occur for a variety of reasons. Generally in a mean or pooled

series high variability = good common signal and low = poor common signal, sample depth is also important here as low sample depth also usually leads high variability. So if you consider Figure 7: in the modern period you have a high N and a moderate SD, a good climate signal, and good common signal between sites, this is great and exactly what one would hope for, so good news for climate science. Then both your N and SD decline sharply, so not only do you have a much smaller sample but it also looks as if your trees are not responding in the same way, this could also mean that some of the timbers are from trees that respond poorly to climate (bad luck). With your data you cannot really say which hypothesis is correct, unless your N was held constant which it is not. As you SD increases so does your common signal between the two sites. So I would say that Figure 7 a and d explain one another fairly well the big difference being the modern part but the reason for reduced SD here is your high (adequate) sample depth. You could maybe do some more stats on the data in figure 4 to and look at the common signal between cohorts from both sites. It would be much better is the two panels in figure 4 were on the same scales and showed all the data from both sites to ease comparison. I do not think that such a major divergence in climate over the two regions is very likely. I would say that a decline below an optimal sample depth is probably the most likely explanation.

Reply to comments 19 and 20:

These comments of reviewer #1 are very important. It is true that the low sample depth during certain time periods may contribute to the disagreement between sites, and our hypotheses are difficult to test without either a constant sample depth or individual tree measurements of d18O. In future studies, it is advisable to take this into account and to question the long-held assumption that 4-5 trees are sufficient to obtain a representative climate signal, as well as the common practice of pooling to reduce time and cost of the analysis. This will facilitate interpretations and produce more robust climate reconstructions.

The sharp decline in inter-site correlation before 1800 could be linked to the decline in sample depth at this time. However, what supports our interpretation is the fact that the changes in correlation strength do not systematically occur with changes in sample depth or with the introduction of new cohorts. Furthermore, even though the SD is partly influenced by the number of trees, it increases and decreases simultaneously in both chronologies, indicating a possible climatic cause of this variation rather than a changing sample depth. Lastly, a comparison with grape harvest dates (indicator of summer temperature) from each sites is also in agreement with our interpretation.

Nevertheless, we agree that we need to be more careful with the climatic interpretation of the chronologies and extended Section 4.1 to discuss in more detail the quality of the reconstruction, including the impact of offset correction and changes in sample depth. We also added a paragraph in Section 4.2 to discuss these uncertainties as another explanation of the observed the (dis-)agreement between sites. We modified Figure 4 to put the two panels on the same time scale.

21. Figure 10. Some estimate of uncertainty should be added to these reconstructions, which should be considerably larger as your sample number drops. If you can improve these chronologies in the future to increase and stabilise the sample depth I think that these could represent two very strong precipitation records. With the data you have at present I think the best record would be derived by combining the two series. But this would have quite high uncertainty prior to about AD1800. Comparisons with any early instrumental data may help verification.

Our confidence interval is based on the differences between the measured and the reconstructed SPEI values during the calibration period (+-2 standard deviations of the differences). As we do not have individual tree d18O measurements during the period where instrumental data is available, we cannot quantify the increasing uncertainty when the sample number decreases. However, we marked the periods of sample depth < 4 on the reconstructions in Figure 10.

We have also included a comparison with early instrumental data from Paris, see comment 6.

Interactive comment on "French summer droughts since 1326 AD: a reconstruction based on tree ring cellulose δ 18O" by I. Labuhn et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 27 January 2016

General comments

The authors have used previously published and new d18O data of Oak latewood treering cellulose to reconstruct summer drought for 2 sites in France over six centuries. The sites are about 300 km apart and share much similarity in climate variability during the 20th century, but chronologies differ somewhat during earlier periods. Relatively wet and dry periods were identified and compared with grape harvest data. The analysis, correction, combination of data and calibration are all carefully done. The outcome of the study is a valuable contribution to understand better past hydroclimate variability. There are some limitations to the study which are partly inherent to reconstruction work, particularly when using historic material, but that should still be better addressed:

- d18O in tree-rings is statistically related to drought, as shown in the analysis, but nevertheless there are clearly more factors that are important. Source water d18O is dependent on large-scale hydrological processes and atmospheric circulation. Temperature is recognized as a major driver of this variation. As many studies have shown that the source water isotope signal is strongly reflected in the tree-rings, it seems a simplification to assume drought as the only factor. Because several climate factors act on d18O in combination, I would not expect that the d18O-drought relationship is stable over time, which makes it challenging to use the calibration function from the 20th in earlier centuries. Such questions need to be addressed in the manuscript.

It is true that the d18O of cellulose is determined by a number of different factors, and we do not assume that drought is the only one. The drought index SPEI was selected as a target variable for the reconstruction, because it yielded high correlations that were consistent between the two studied sites. This statistical relationship does not explain the link between the two variables, but the drought index seems to be a good representation of the atmospheric conditions that act on cellulose d18O, as it combines both temperature and precipitation. The d18O-drought relationship is probably not stable over time, but the same would be true for any meteorological variable that could be reconstructed, so this issue is not specific to drought reconstruction. Our records actually indicate that the relationships established for the 20th century might not have been the same in the past.

In the revised manuscript, a more detailed discussion of the various influences on cellulose d18O is added at the beginning of Section 4. We also discuss the temporal stability of the relationship between drought and cellulose d18O that has been established for the period of instrumental data, which is one of the uncertainties in the reconstruction.

- Due to isotope offsets, different cohorts of material needed to be corrected to be combined into a chronology. While I agree that this might be necessary, I find that the consequences of such adjustment has not been sufficiently analysed and discussed. What information is lost during offset correction? What does it mean for the drought reconstruction that low frequency is underestimated? How much is the correlation between the two chronologies changing (improving) when going from raw data to corrected data? This information could be useful as a general outcome because the combination of different records is still challenging and no established protocol available.

We have added a quantification of the correction by giving the correlation between series before and after the correction (Section 3.2). The correction greatly improves correlations, especially of the low-pass filtered data.

The consequences of the correction for the reconstruction are discussed in more detail in the revised manuscript (Section 4.1). The correction does not strongly affect the identification of dry and extremes (relative to the overall mean) of individual years. However, longer-term drought variability might be underestimated because part of the low-frequency variability in the cellulose d18O chronologies is lost in the offset correction.

- Two explanations are given to explain the divergent signal of the two sites in earlier phase. I think that methodological issue might be more important than indicated in the text. Maybe the authors overestimate the reliability of the reconstructions. Could the site conditions of historic material be different from recent ones? The offset correction affects this earlier phase and may interfere because it is different for the two sites. Would the combination of the records actually result in a more stable regional drought reconstruction?

We modified Sections 4.1 and 4.2 to discuss in more detail the quality of the reconstruction, including the impact sample depth and offset correction might have on the reliability of the reconstruction.

Furthermore, we combined the two site chronologies to a regional drought reconstruction, which is presented in Section 3.5 and Figure 10, and discussed in Section 4.3.

Specific comments

5115, l. 4 “algal booms” should be algal blooms

Corrected.

5115, l. 8 “In response to increased greenhouse gas concentrations, climate projections anticipate a marked increase in heat waves and droughts . . .” Not everywhere, needs to be more specific, otherwise the statement is wrong.

We now specify that the increase in droughts is projected in France.

5115, l. 19 no information on droughts “prior to 1950”. Meteo data go much further back, so there is information on droughts before that year

We changed the sentence to “prior to the instrumental period”.

5117, l. 5-12 References are missing. Discuss approaches in Gagen et al. and Hangartner et al.

The references were added in the introduction. The approaches proposed by Hangartner et al. (2012), which we also applied to our chronologies, are further explained in Section 2.3.

5119, l. 16 "The building wood likely originates from the neighboring forest". This seems important so please expand a bit on this. How likely is it that site conditions are similar to recent site considering the surrounding area?

l. 28 Same question for the Angouleme site. ("but a local origin of the wood can be assumed")

Reply to comments on 5119 l.16 and l.28: We added references about the history of Fontainebleau castle which indicate that wood of local origin has been used for its construction. For the buildings of Angouleme, the origin of the wood is not documented. However, dendroprovenancing supports the assumption of a local origin in both cases. We correlated tree ring width of the samples used in this study with different reference chronologies. Correlations are highest with local reference chronologies (around 0.50) and decrease with increasing distance from the study sites (ca. 0.20 with the a chronology 500 km away).

5123, l. 3 "The confidence interval around the reconstruction was determined based on the differences between the measured and the reconstructed SPEI values" Is this really constant over time?

The confidence interval is likely not constant over time. It depends also on sample depth, and due to a restricted number of timber samples it was not possible to keep the sample depth constant. We do not have individual tree measurements for the 20th century, where SPEI data is available, making it impossible to quantify the influence of sample depth. However, in the revised version we mark the periods of sample depth < 4 in Figure 6, 7 and 10.

5124, 3.3 Is the strong mismatch around 1700 related mainly to one cohort only (PE1 in Figure 4). Any issue with this cohort?

The time period around 1700 corresponds to the previously published part of FON (Etien et al., 2008, 2009) for which all trees had been pooled. For ANG, the period of mismatch consists largely of a single cohort. We therefore cannot say that the mismatch is related to one cohort. There were no issues with the crossdating of the cores for either site.

5124, 3.4 In climate analysis, SPEI is not sticking out as dominant climate factor, but T and P are also important. Did you try combing the records and correlate to averaged climate? This might result in a stronger and more stable relationship.

We combined the records of the FON and ANG sites and correlated them to averaged meteorological variables. The strength of these correlations are in the same order or magnitude as the correlations for each site. The results are added to the previous correlation analysis in table 2, and discussed in Section 3.4.

In Figure 7b, the low-frequency trends in the 2 records appear to be rather similar. It could be interesting to look at splines using higher cutoff than 10 years. A good match in the low-frequency would enhance the credibility of the reconstructions.

The low-frequency trends in the two chronologies are indeed similar. This already becomes evident in Figure 6, which presents different ways of stacking overlapping cohorts and the resulting chronologies. This figure shows that the applied correction seems adequate. While the raw data has opposing long-term trends leading to a divergence prior to 1700, the correction results in coherent low frequency variability between the two sites.

5126, first section: Are the cited papers really on drought reconstructions? I think not many studies really reconstructed drought from d18O. From the complexity of the d18O-source water signal, no simple relationship is expected, and that’s actually why not many studies have used it for that purpose.

We cited these articles because they found similar relationships between tree ring proxies and different meteorological variables as our study. We modified the text slightly to be more clear about the fact that the cited studies do not present drought reconstructions.

5126, 4.1. This section is a bit vague and not very quantitative. How does the applied correction method affect the results?

In the revised manuscript, we discuss in more detail the differences between corrected and uncorrected series, as well as the implications of the correction for the reconstruction. We also present a quantification of the effects of correction, both in terms of absolute d18O values and in terms of correlation between the two chronologies for high and low frequency variability (Section 3.2).

5127, 4.2 Possible errors in the reconstruction should be given more discussion

We added a paragraph to this section to discuss the uncertainties of the reconstruction in more detail, see reply to general comments above.

5129, 4.3 Comparison to the grape harvest index is interesting, but it would be useful to consider other published drought reconstructions for comparison

No other high-resolution hydroclimate reconstructions are available in our study area. We included a comparison with historical temperature and precipitation records from Paris and an SPEI calculated from these data in the discussion. As suggested by reviewer #1, we also compare our records to the recently published “Old World Drought Atlas” (Cook et al., 2015).

Other precipitation reconstructions from different places in Europe (e.g. Cooper et al., 2012; Rinne et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2013, 2005) have been considered, but they do not indicate clear common trends with the drought reconstruction presented here. A more detailed investigation of the differences between European drought/precipitation reconstructions and how they compare to present-day precipitation patterns is beyond the scope of this article, but would be an interesting topic for future studies.

References

Cook, E. R., Seager, R., Kushnir, Y., Briffa, K. R., Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Krusic, P. J., Tegel, W., van der Schrier, G., Andreu-Hayles, L., Baillie, M., Baittinger, C., Bleicher, N., Bonde, N., Brown, D., Carrer, M., Cooper, R., Cufar, K., Dittmar, C., Esper, J., Griggs, C., Gunnarson, B., Gu nther, B., Gutierrez, E., Haneca, K., Helama, S., Herzig, F., Heussner, K.-U., Hofmann, J., Janda, P., Kontic, R., Ko se, N., Kyncl, T., Levanic, T., Linderholm, H., Manning, S., Melvin, T. M., Miles, D., Neuwirth, B., Nicolussi, K., Nola, P., Panayotov, M., Popa, I., Rothe, A., Seftigen, K., Seim, A., Svarva, H., Svoboda, M., Thun, T., Timonen, M., Touchan, R., Trotsiuk, V., Trouet, V., Walder, F., Wazny, T., Wilson, R. and Zang, C.: Old World megadroughts and pluvials during the Common Era, Sci. Adv., 1(10), e1500561–e1500561, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500561, 2015.

Cooper, R. J., Melvin, T. M., Tyers, I., Wilson, R. J. S. and Briffa, K. R.: A tree-ring reconstruction of East Anglian (UK) hydroclimate variability over the last millennium, Clim. Dyn., 40(3-4), 1019–1039, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1328-x, 2012.

Etien, N., Daux, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Mestre, O., Stievenard, M., Guillemin, M. T., Boettger, T., Bréda, N., Haupt, M. and Perraud, P. P.: Summer maximum temperature in northern France over the past century: instrumental data versus multiple proxies (tree-ring isotopes, grape harvest dates and forest fires), Clim. Change, 94, 429–456, doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9516-8, 2009.

Etien, N., Daux, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stievenard, M., Bernard, V., Durost, S., Guillemin, M. T., Mestre, O. and Pierre, M.: A bi-proxy reconstruction of Fontainebleau (France) growing season temperature from A.D. 1596 to 2000, Clim. Past, 4, 1–16, doi:10.5194/cp-4-91-2008, 2008.

Genty, D., Labuhn, I., Hoffmann, G., Danis, P. A., Mestre, O., Bourges, F., Wainer, K., Massault, M., Regnier, E., Orengo, P., Falourd, S. and Minster, B.: Rainfall and cave water isotopic relationships in two South-France sites, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 131, 323–343, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.01.043, 2014.

Hangartner, S., Kress, A., Saurer, M., Frank, D. and Leuenberger, M.: Methods to merge overlapping tree-ring isotope series to generate multi-centennial chronologies, Chem. Geol., 294, 127–134, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.11.032, 2012.

Labuhn, I., Daux, V., Pierre, M., Stievenard, M., Girardclos, O., Féron, A., Genty, D., Masson-Delmotte, V. and Mestre, O.: Tree age, site and climate controls on tree ring cellulose δ18O: A case study on oak trees from south-western France, Dendrochronologia, 32(1), 78–89, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2013.11.001, 2014.

Loader, N. J., Young, G. H., McCarroll, D. and Wilson, R. J.: Quantifying uncertainty in isotope dendroclimatology, The Holocene, 23(9), 1221–1226, doi:10.1177/0959683613486945, 2013.

Rinne, K. T., Loader, N. J., Switsur, V. R. and Waterhouse, J. S.: 400-year May–August precipitation reconstruction for Southern England using oxygen isotopes in tree rings, Quat. Sci. Rev., 60, 13–25, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.10.048, 2013.

Wilson, R. J. S., Luckman, B. H. and Esper, J.: A 500 year dendroclimatic reconstruction of spring-summer precipitation from the lower Bavarian Forest region, Germany, Int. J. Climatol., 25, 360–611, 2005.

Wilson, R., Miles, D., Loader, N. J., Melvin, T., Cunningham, L., Cooper, R. and Briffa, K.: A millennial long March--July precipitation reconstruction for southern-central England, Clim. Dyn., 40(3-4), 997–1017, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1318-z, 2013.

Manuscript prepared for Clim. Past
with version 2014/07/29 7.12 Copernicus papers of the LaTeX class copernicus.cls.
Date: 15 March 2016

French summer droughts since 1326 ~~AD~~CE: A reconstruction based on tree ring cellulose $\delta^{18}O$

Inga Labuhn[1,2], Valérie Daux[1], Olivier Girardclos[3], Michel Stievenard[1], Monique Pierre[1], and Valérie Masson-Delmotte[1]

[1]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), laboratoire CEA/CNRS/UVSQ, Orme des Merisier, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[2]now at Department of Geology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 12, 223 62 Lund, Sweden
[3]Laboratoire Chrono-environnement, université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

Correspondence to: Inga Labuhn (inga.labuhn@geol.lu.se)

Abstract.

The reconstruction of droughts is essential for the understanding of past drought dynamics ~~,~~ and can help evaluate future drought scenarios in a changing climate. This article presents a reconstruction of summer droughts in France based on annually resolved, absolutely dated chronologies of oxygen isotope ratios ($\delta^{18}O$) in tree ring cellulose from *Quercus spp*. Samples were taken from living trees and timber wood from historic buildings at two sites: Fontainebleau (48°23'N, 2°40'E; 1326–2000 ~~AD~~CE) and Angoulême (45°44'N, 0°18'E; 1360–2004 ~~AD~~CE). Cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ from these sites proved to be a good proxy of summer climate, as the trees were sensitive to temperature and moisture availability. However, offsets in average $\delta^{18}O$ values between tree cohorts necessitated a correction before joining them to the final chronologies.

Using the corrected $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies, we developed models based on linear regression to reconstruct drought, expressed by the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI). The significant correlations between the SPEI and cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ ($r \approx -0.70$), as well as the verification of the models by independent data support the validity of these reconstructions. At both sites, recent decades are characterized by increasing drought. Fontainebleau displays dominantly wetter conditions during earlier centuries, whereas the current drought intensity is not unprecedented in the Angoulême record.

While the $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies at the two studied sites are highly correlated during the 19th and 20th ~~century~~centuries, there is a significant decrease in the correlation coefficient between ~~1550~~ 1600 and 1800 ~~AD~~CE, which indicates either a weaker climate sensitivity of the tree ring proxies during this period, or a more heterogeneous climate in the north and the south of France. Future studies of tree ring isotope networks might reveal if the seasonality and spatial patterns of past droughts

can explain this decoupling. A regional drought reconstruction based on a combination of the two sites shows good agreement with historical records of grape harvest dates in France, which provide another proxy of summer climate.

1 Introduction

Droughts can have severe impacts on ecosystems and on human activies (Büntgen et al., 2010; Seneviratne et al., 2012; Diaz and Trouet, 2014), as they influence water availability, groundwater recharge, algal booms blooms (Paerl et al., 2011), forest productivity (Allen et al., 2010), carbon sink saturation (Nabuurs et al., 2013), crop yields, forest fires, soil degradation, building subsidence and insurance costs (Corti et al., 2011, 2009), as well as human health (Haines et al., 2006; OBrien et al., 2014). In response to increased greenhouse gas concentrations, climate projections anticipate a marked increase in heat waves and droughts (Dai, 2013; Kovats et al., 2014). More intense and longer meteorological droughts have already been identified in southern Europe (Sousa et al., 2011; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2014) and southern France (Giuntoli et al., 2013) , and are projected in past decades. In response to increased greenhouse gas concentrations, climate models project a marked increase in heat waves and droughts in France for the 21st century (Vidal et al., 2012)(Vidal et al., 2012; Dai, 2013; Kovats et al., 201 augmenting the pressure on water resources and challenging the sustainability of the current agricultural system (Itier, 2008; Levrault et al., 2010).

Soil moisture feedbacks have shown to play an important role in recent European hot summers (Zampieri et al., 2009) and their seasonal predictability (Quesada et al., 2012). Vidal et al. (2010) have provided a homogenized reference framework for French droughts since the 1950s based on high resolution meteorological reanalyses. However, prior to 1950the instrumental period, little is known about the past intensity, return period and spatial extent of drought events, mostly based on historical sources (Garnier, 2011). The detection and attribution of recent hydroclimate changes and their link with the ongoing warming trend therefore remain an open issue. A reconstruction of the temporal and spatial extent of drought in the past would constitute a valuable basis for expanding the knowledge on past droughts using natural climate archives.

In semi-arid regions, tree ring width chronologies can provide records of past drought periods (e.g. Cook et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006; Esper et al., 2007; Stahle et al., 2007; Linares et al., 2012; Yadav, 2013). Drought, however, can also occur in high-rainfall areas and is a recurrent feature of the European climate (European Environment Agency, 2001). A great amount of high-resolution proxy data from Europe exists, but many tree-ring based reconstructions focus on high-altitude or high-latitude sites, where ring width and density show a strong sensitivity to temperature. Pan-European signature years in oak ring width series have been related to soil moisture anomalies (Kelly et al., 2002), but they only give access to extreme events.

Stable isotopes in tree ring cellulose have proven to be the more reliable proxy in areas where tree growth is not strongly dependent on climate, typically at low elevation, mid-latitude sites (e.g. Loader et al., 2008; Saurer et al., 2008; Young et al., 2012)~~, and can therefore~~ . They can help extend climate reconstructions into regions which are not yet well covered (Leavitt et al., 2010)~~. Several recent~~ , and also provide information about precipitation amount (Rinne et al., 2013; Young et al., 2015). Recent studies have revealed the sensitivity of ~~French oak~~ cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ to the combined effects of temperature and humidity, and therefore of drought (Raffalli-Delerce et al., 2004; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2005; Etien et al., 2008, 2009; Labuhn et al., 2014). This influence can be explained by the physical processes and mechanisms, such as the isotopic enrichment of leaf water, which relate the cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ signal to climate (Hill et al., 1995; Barbour and Farquhar, 2000; Barbour et al., 2004; Cernusak et al., 2005; Sternberg, 2009; Gessler et al., 2009, 2013).

A complete understanding of past climate variability must include the whole frequency spectrum from inter-annual to multi-centennial scales. A challenge of tree ring based climate reconstructions is the preservation of low-frequency variability in the proxy records. The construction of ring width chronologies necessitates the standardization of individual ring width series to correct non-climatic growth trends (Cook et al., 1990). However, standardization can also eliminate low-frequency climatic trends in the series. Esper et al. (2004) identified the standardization method applied to ring width and density data as the most important cause of differences in low-frequency trends between different hemispheric scale temperature reconstructions of the past millennium. If appropriate data and methods are used, multi-centennial climate variability can be preserved in ring width chronologies (Briffa et al., 2002; Esper et al., 2002), but large numbers of samples are required.

For isotope proxies, a strong common signal between trees at a site is usually found, ~~which means that fewer trees are necessary to obtain a robust climate signal (Leavitt, 2010; Daux et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2011)~~and often only a few trees are used to extract climate information (e.g. Leavitt, 2010; Daux et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2011). This is especially beneficial if the number of available samples is limited, e.g. when subfossil wood or ancient building material is used. However, Loader et al. (2013) demonstrated that despite a strong common signal, higher levels of replication than those typically adopted in isotope dendroclimatology would be needed for an accurate estimation of the population mean. Although stable isotope series have a greater potential to retain long-term climatic trends as they are usually not standardized, a problem can arise when combining different trees or tree cohorts into an isotope chronology, if replication is low and site-related factors lead to offsets in average isotope values between individual trees or sub-series. ~~However, an~~ Several recent studies propose methods to deal with offsets (Esper et al., 2010; Gagen et al., 2012; Hangartner et al., 2012; Naulier et al., 2015). An offset correction might induce not only a partial loss of low-frequency climate information, but also makes it difficult to relate differences in absolute isotope values between distant sites to climatic gradients, because the effects of these gradients can be superimposed by the influence of the local environment.

In this article, we present annually resolved chronologies of oxygen isotope ratios in latewood cellulose ($\delta^{18}O_c$) constructed from *Quercus spp.* living trees and timbers at two sites in France: (1) an extension of the previously published series from Fontainebleau (1596–2000) (Etien et al., 2008, 2009) back to 1326; and (2) an extension of the previously published series from Braconne forest near Angoulême (1860–2004) (Labuhn et al., 2014) back to 1360. The previous studies have demonstrated that $\delta^{18}O_c$ at these sites responds to summer temperature and moisture availability. Here, we present reconstructions of summer droughts for Angoulême and Fontainebleau based on the $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies, as well as a regional drought reconstruction combining these sites. Furthermore, we investigate the absolute and relative variability between individual trees and between tree cohorts from the same site, and address methodological issues related to the construction of long isotope chronologies from several cohorts. Then, we compare $\delta^{18}O_c$ between Fontainebleau and Angoulême at different time scales to evaluate the spatial coherence of the records and the temporal stability of their relationship. As meteorological data are only available for the 20th century, this comparison can give indications if the climatic forcing for northern and southwest France droughts which influences $\delta^{18}O_c$ is stable over time.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Study sites characteristics and climate

The two studied sites, Fontainebleau (FON; 48°23'N, 2°40'E) and Angoulême (ANG; 45°44'N, 0°18'E; Fig. 1) are about 300 km away from each other and lie at a similar low altitude: FON 144 m a.s.l., and ANG 110 m a.s.l. The soil at FON is about 1.5 m deep and the texture is dominated by sand mixed with loam and clay. ANG is located in a hilly karstic landscape with a cambisol layer of 0.3 to 0.6 m depth.

Monthly meteorological data (average temperature, maximum temperature, and precipitation, 1901–2009) were obtained from the CRU TS3.10 data set (Harris et al., 2013). Time series were extracted for the grid cells containing the study sites. Both sites are characterized by a temperate oceanic climate. The average annual temperature is 11.5 °C at FON and 11.9 °C at ANG, the average summer (JJA) temperature is 18.8 °C at both sites. Average annual precipitation sums range from 600 mm (FON) to 770 mm (ANG), while average summer precipitation at both sites is about 160 mm. FON has remarkably drier winters than ANG (140 mm and 210 mm respectively).

The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI; 1901–2001; Beguería et al., 2010; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010) for the site grid cells was obtained from http://sac.csic.es/spei/database.html at ~~a resolution of 3 (June–August) and 6 (April–September) months, to represent the summer and the growing season~~different temporal resolutions. The index calculation is based on CRU data. We selected this drought index for reconstruction because it yielded ~~the highest~~ consistently higher correlations with $\delta^{18}O_c$ compared to other drought indices. The SPEI includes potential evapotranspiration, and it might therefore be more representative of the influence on tree physiological processes than e.g. the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI; McKee et al., 1993), which is based on precipitation alone. Drought indices which incorporate soil moisture, like the self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI; Palmer, 1965; van der Schrier et al., 2006) or the Standardized Soil Wetness Index (SSWI; Vidal et al., 2010) should reflect the hydrological conditions which are relevant for tree growth. However, the soil water holding capacity is derived from coarse gridded data sets of soil properties, which might not be representative of the local conditionsat the sites. Furthermore, all the drought indices cited here , whether they include only precipitation, or also temperature and soil properties, identify coherent patterns of drought intensity and frequency at the study sites.

Both sites, FON and ANG, display very similar trends and interannual variability of temperature, precipitation and SPEI throughout the 20th century, and there is no marked climatic gradient between the sites (Fig. 2). If summer droughts influence the tree ring proxies, we can therefore expect similar variability of the proxy time series from both sites.

2.2 Tree samples

The previously published FON $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronology (1596–2000; Etien et al., 2008, 2009), constructed from living oak trees from Fontainebleau forest and oak timbers from Fontainebleau castle, is extended in this study using 27 oak timber cores from three buildings of Fontainebleau castle, which constitute different construction periods: Porte Dorée (PD), Chapelle (CH), Petites Ecuries 1 (PE1), and Petites Ecuries 2 (PE2). One core was taken per timber beam. The building wood likely originates from the neighboring forest (Etien et al., 2008)(Dan, 1642; Domet, 1873). Fourteen cores were selected for isotope analysis, resulting in a sample depth of two to nine trees.

The previously published ANG $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronology from Braconne forest (1860–2004; Labuhn et al., 2014) consists of two groups of living trees from different locations in the forest (1 km apart) with an average age difference of 210 years: Braconne "young trees" (ANG-B), and Braconne "old trees" (ANG-GR). The chronology is extended here using the older part of the ANG-GR samples (1626–1859) and samples from oak timbers in historic buildings in the city of Angoulême (ANG-TW), 15 km from the forest. A total of 36 cores was taken from three different buildings (one core per timber beam): Angoulême Maison du Comte (AMDC), Poullignac church (POUL), and La Rochefoucauld castle (LRF). According to the shape and length of the beams, a beam likely corresponds to one tree. The provenance of the timbers is not documented, but a local origin of the wood can be assumed. The possibility to crossdate timber cores and living trees demonstrates a common climatic influence on interannual ring width variability. A subset of cores was selected for isotope analyses to obtain a sample depth of four to six trees for each year with sufficient overlap between samples; only between 1556 and 1591 is the number of trees < 4.

The living trees at FON are *Quercus petraea*. At ANG, the species has not been determined in the field, but *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* are the dominant species in the forest. For all timber wood, the oak species is unknown. Although methods to determine the species based on wood anatomy exist (for a review see Feuillat et al., 1997), an unambiguous discrimination between *Q. petraea* and *Q. robur* is not possible (Schoch et al., 2004). To our knowledge, the oxygen isotopic composition of cellulose in the two oak species has never been compared, but specific differences in the $\delta^{18}O$, as already shown for $\delta^{13}C$ due to differences in water use efficiency (Ponton et al., 2001), cannot be ruled out. Even if there is no direct species effect, different species have different site preferences (Lévy et al., 1992), and the site hydrology influences the $\delta^{18}O$ (Labuhn et al., 2014). However, site effects can also influence the $\delta^{18}O$ of trees within a species. We therefore consider that the site-related uncertainty is more important that the uncertainty due to the ambiguity in species determination.

Tree ring width was measured under a binocular microscope using a LINTAB measuring table (Rinntech) with a precision of 0.01 mm, and cores were crossdated. The crossdating was verified visually and statistically with the program COFECHA (Holmes, 1983; Grissino-Mayer, 2001).

2.3 $\delta^{18}O$ measurements, pooling strategy and corrections

For isotope analysis, the selected cores were cut ring by ring with a scalpel and earlywood was separated from latewood. Only the latewood was analyzed. Corresponding rings of multiple cores taken from the same tree were pooled. The wood samples were homogenized and cellulose was extracted according to Green (1963) and Leavitt and Danzer (1993). The $\delta^{18}O$ of cellulose was measured using a thermal combustion elemental analyzer (Finnigan Thermo TC-EA) coupled with a Finnigan MAT252 mass spectrometer at LSCE in Gif-sur-Yvette, France. The measurements were corrected using the cellulose reference Whatman CC31. Each sample was measured at least twice. The analytical uncertainty was 0.20‰. $\delta^{18}O$ values are reported with reference to VSMOW (Coplen, 1994). A total of 1371 samples (787 ANG, 584 FON) was measured for this study, excluding replicates.

For the previously published FON $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronology, wood from all living tree and timber cores had been pooled for each year prior to analysis. In this study, the new FON samples were pooled by building, thereby grouping together trees of approximately the same age. Although the inter-tree variability of $\delta^{18}O_c$ at a site was generally low, a systematic offset had been observed between the old (ANG-GR) and the young (ANG-B) trees at Braconne forest, which is likely due to differences in the site hydrology (Labuhn et al., 2014). This observation raises concerns about a possible site-related increased variability in timber samples. To address this question, we performed an inter-tree comparison for the ANG timber wood by analyzing individual rings at a 5-year interval. For the remaining years, the rings of all timber cores were pooled prior to cellulose extraction.

A juvenile effect of increasing $\delta^{18}O_c$ during the first 20 years of a tree's life had been observed in the trees from site ANG-B (Labuhn et al., 2014). In the ANG data and the new FON data (1326–1595), the juvenile effect was taken into account by omitting the first 20 years of each tree core from the analysis. For the previously published series from FON (1596–2000), several trees had been pooled and a correction was not possible anymore. Furthermore, for these samples, only the calendar year of each ring was known but not their cambial age. It was therefore not possible to verify whether the trees had been cored to the pith, and we do not know whether the innermost rings of a core actually correspond to a period of juvenile growth.

Both the FON and ANG chronologies are composed of several sub-series (cohorts), which have been analyzed separately. The cohorts showed differences in the mean isotope values for their periods of overlap of up to 1‰ (see Sect. 3.2). As all trees have been exposed to the same climatic influences, these offsets are likely due to the local conditions (competition, microclimate, rooting depth, soil hydrology). We tested different methods to merge the overlapping series (following Hangartner et al., 2012) in order to investigate the effects of such offsets on the final chronology: (1) no correction was applied; (2) the mean $\delta^{18}O_c$ values of the older cohorts were adjusted to match the mean of the corresponding younger cohorts in their period of overlap; (3) all cohorts were normalized to have the same mean ($\mu = 0$) before merging them. In all cases, the averages of two cohorts were calculated weighting each cohort by the number of trees.

2.4 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the R software (R Development Core Team, 2015). The site chronologies were decomposed to high-pass and low-pass filtered data using a cubic smoothing spline with a 50% variance cutoff at a period of 10 years, which enables a comparison of the inter-annual and decadal variability between series. Running correlations between sites and running standard deviations (SD) for each site were calculated using a window of 51 years. Correlation coefficients were calculated between $\delta^{18}O_c$ and the meteorological variables at ~~a~~ monthly and seasonal time ~~scale~~scales, in order to investigate the climate response of the trees and to identify the climate parameters which can be reconstructed from the tree ring proxy.

A model for reconstruction of the drought index SPEI was developed based on a linear regression between the index and $\delta^{18}O_c$. The validity of the model was tested by dividing the SPEI data into a calibration (two-thirds of the values) and a verification data set (one-third of the values). This enables a quantitative comparison of the reconstructed drought index with independent data not used in the calibration. The subsets of data were selected randomly and the procedure was repeated 1000 times. To evaluate the skill of the model in estimating the SPEI, we calculated the correlation coefficient (r), the reduction of error statistic (RE), and the coefficient of efficiency (CE) (see Briffa et al., 1988) for each iteration.

After verification of the model performance using the split data set, a new model was calibrated using the entire period of the observed SPEI. Based on the final model, the drought index was reconstructed for the full length of the $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronology. The confidence interval around the reconstruction was determined based on the differences between the measured and the reconstructed SPEI values ($\pm$2 SD of the differences).

3 Results

This section presents the comparison of isotopic values between individual trees (3.1) and between cohorts (3.2), the comparison of the $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies at the two sites, FON and ANG (3.3), their correlations with meteorological variables (3.4), and lastly the drought ~~reconstruction for each site~~ reconstructions (3.5).

3.1 Inter-tree comparison for ANG timber samples

The difference in the average $\delta^{18}O_c$ values of ANG timber cores analyzed individually at a 5-year interval is up to 1.8‰ (Fig. 3). For single years, the range of $\delta^{18}O_c$ values is between 0.01‰ and 4.20‰, the average difference between the maximum and minimum values being 1.18‰. Despite the differences in average values, these series display a coherent year-to-year variability at the 5-year time step. The average correlation coefficient between cores is $r = 0.72$, the average Gleichläufigkeit (Schweingruber, 1988) is 76%, and the expressed population signal (EPS; Wigley et al., 1984) is 0.97.

3.2 Cohort offset correction for FON and ANG

At both FON and ANG, separately analyzed tree cohorts show offsets in the average $\delta^{18}O_c$ values of up to 1‰ during their overlap periods (Table 1; Fig. 4). The stacking method applied for the construction of the chronologies significantly influences their long-term trends (Fig. 5). For FON, the offset-corrected values before 1600 are on average $> 1‰$ higher than the uncorrected values. For ANG, the corrected values are lower on average than the raw values before 1640, and higher on average after this year.

The uncorrected chronologies of FON and ANG differ in long-term trends and $\delta^{18}O_c$ values diverge prior to 1620, although the decadal trends are largely synchronous. The corrected chronologies, on the contrary, show a good agreement for the centennial trends (Fig. 5). Correlation coefficients between the chronologies improved from 0.26 (raw) to ~ 0.50 (both corrections) at the inter-annual scale, and from 0.12 to ~ 0.47 for the low-pass filtered data. The subsequent analyses are based on the chronologies which are corrected by subtracting the mean from each cohort before merging them.

3.3 Comparison of $\delta^{18}O$ site chronologies

The $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies from FON and ANG are significantly correlated during the 20th century ($r = 0.71$ for high-pass and $r = 0.69$ for low-pass filtered data). The correlation shows a marked decrease between 1600 and 1800. These patterns are similar for the inter-annual variability and the decadally smoothed chronologies (Fig. 6; Fig. 7).

The standard deviation calculated for a 51-year moving window illustrates common patterns of changes in the magnitude of inter-annual variability, e.g. periods of low inter-annual variability around 1470, 1620 and 1800, and increased variability before 1400 and around 1700. In general, the variability is slightly lower at FON (mean SD = 0.52) that at ANG (mean SD = 0.60).

3.4 Correlations with meteorological variables

The strength and direction of the correlations of $\delta^{18}O_c$ with different meteorological variables are ~~generally~~ similar for FON and ANG (Table 2). $\delta^{18}O_c$ is positively correlated to temperature during the summer months, with stronger correlations for maximum temperature than for mean temperature. ~~The strongest correlations are obtained with maximum temperature averaged over June–August.~~ Negative correlations are found with precipitation and the drought index SPEI~~during the summer months. Again~~. Generally, correlations are improved when considering the integral of the summer months ~~.~~ rather than single months. The correlations between the mean chronology and meteorological variables averaged over the study region yielded comparable results to those for each site. The highest correlation between $\delta^{18}O_c$ and the SPEI are obtained using the SPEI with a 3-month resolution, which represents drought conditions during June–August. This variable was therefore chosen as the reconstruction target.

The relationship between $\delta^{18}O_c$ and the climate variables is relatively stable throughout the 20th century, as correlation coefficients (r) calculated for a 31-year moving window vary only slightly (standard deviation of r between 0.04 and 0.08 for different pairs of variables; not shown).

3.5 Drought reconstructions

~~For these reconstructions, we selected the SPEI drought index at a 3-month resolution, which integrates drought conditions during the summer months (June–August).~~ The correlation between SPEI and $\delta^{18}O_c$ is $r = -0.69$ ($p < 0.001$, $N = 100$) for FON~~and~~, $r = -0.68$ ($p < 0.001$, $N = 104$) for ANG, and$r = -0.62$ ($p < 0.001$, $N = 104$) for the mean chronology. The equations describing the linear regression line between the two variables are used to estimate the SPEI from $\delta^{18}O_c$ for each site (Fig. 8). The r, RE and CE statistics confirm the validity of the models (high r, positive RE and CE; see Briffa et al., 1988, Table 3). The squared correlations are $R^2 = 0.48$ for FON and $R^2 = 0.46$ for ANG, which means that almost 50% of the variability in the drought index is accounted for by the respective models. For the mean chronology $R^2 = 0.38$. The standard deviation of the differences between modeled and observed SPEI (0.72 for FON; 0.70 for ANG; 0.71 for the mean chronology) defines the confidence interval for the ~~respective~~ reconstructions (Fig. 9).

For ANG, the oldest part of the reconstruction is characterized by a prolonged period of relatively wet conditions (1360–1450), followed by a period of drier conditions until 1600 (Fig. 10). Another wet period until 1760 follows, with a short increase to relatively drier conditions around 1720. The most marked trend in the reconstruction is the shift to dry conditions from 1760 to 1850. The late 20th century is characterized by increasingly dry summers, but these SPEI values are not unprecedented in the record.

The reconstructed SPEI at FON indicates relatively wet conditions for large parts of the record prior to 1800, except two drier intervals in the 14^{th} century and in the earlier half of the 16^{th} century. Like for ANG, there is a marked step to drier conditions around 1800, and the late 20^{th} century is characterized by increasing drought.

4 Discussion

~~Oxygen isotope ratios in cellulose are related to drought conditions , as these influence the $\delta^{18}O$ of the source water~~ Dry summer conditions influence both the source water isotopic composition and the physiological processes in a tree~~. High temperature and low relative humidity increase the evaporation of leaf water , yielding higher~~ , which together determine the oxygen isotope ratios in tree ring cellulose (Roden et al., 2000; McCarroll and Loader, 2004). This leads to a correlation between $\delta^{18}O_c$ ~~values (e.g. Barbour et al., 2004; Sternberg, 2009; Gessler et al., 2013). Furthermore~~and the SPEI that can be interpreted as the trees' climate response, providing the basis for the drought reconstruction. The $\delta^{18}O$ of precipitation has numerous influences such as the water vapor source, air mass trajectories and the rainout history of the air mass, the condensation temperature and the precipitation amount (Darling et al., 2006). The isotopic composition of the source water is principally controlled by the $\delta^{18}O$ of local precipitation, although it can be modified by evaporation of water from the soil and the mixing of water from different seasons, which depends on water residence times in the soil (Tang and Feng, 2001; Brooks et al., 2010). During warm and dry summers, a higher condensation temperature of the rain water, as well as stronger evaporation from falling ~~drops~~ raindrops and from soil water increase the $\delta^{18}O$ of the source water (e.g. Gat, 1996). ~~This leads to a correlation with meteorological variables, which can be interpreted as the trees' climate response, and which provides the basis for the drought reconstruction.~~ The water taken up by the roots is transported to the leaves where it is enriched in the heavy isotope due to evaporation. The enrichment depends on the difference in vapor pressure of leaf air and ambient air, and is therefore controlled by relative humidity (e.g. Barbour et al., 2004; Sternberg, 2009; Gessler et al., 2013). Drought conditions therefore lead to and enrichment of ^{18O} in both the source water and the leaf water, yielding higher $\delta^{18}O_c$ values.

The relationships between ~~the tree ring proxies and climate~~ $\delta^{18}O_c$ and different meteorological variables observed at our sites match the results of previous studies in temperate regions regarding the strength and direction of the correlations (e.g. Szczepanek et al., 2006; Reynolds-Henne et al., 2007; Treydte et al., 2007; Loader et al., 2008; Saurer et al., 2008; Hilasvuori and Berninger, 2010; Haupt et al., 2011). The validity of the local drought reconstructions from Fontainebleau and Angoulême is supported by the high correlations between the drought index and $\delta^{18}O_c$, as well as by the verification of the models with independent data. However, the climatic influences on $\delta^{18}O_c$ are complex and although they appear to be constant during the 20th century (Sect. 3.4), they might have changed over time. In order to discuss the reliability of our reconstructions beyond the period of modern instrumental data, and to asses possible sources of uncertainty, we have to look at the variability between trees and between sites, as well as compare our reconstructions to other paleoclimate records.

4.1 Variability between trees and between cohorts

Local site conditions and influences on individual trees (e.g. soil hydrology, competition, microclimate) affect the oxygen isotopic ratios in cellulose. Despite the relative coherence in the inter-annual variability, the absolute differences in average $\delta^{18}O_c$ between trees and between tree cohorts at the studied sites can be more than 1‰. According to our model (Sect. 3.5, Fig. 8), changes of this magnitude in $\delta^{18}O_c$ would translate into a change in the reconstructed SPEI of 0.8, which is slightly larger than our defined confidence interval. ~~When~~ With such site-related offsets~~exist~~, it is not appropriate to compare absolute isotope values at distant sites, e.g. to infer climatic gradients. When averaging uncorrected series, there is a risk of introducing artificial shifts or trends in the final chronology that are not climatic. Applying ~~a normalization, however,~~ an offset correction seems necessary, and our approach is supported by the better agreement between sites after correction (Sect. 3.2). However, the correction also implies a partial loss of the low-frequency variability, as the variability which ~~exceed~~ exceeds the length of the cohorts may not be preserved. As a consequence, the longer-term drought variability could be underestimated, and periods of prolonged drought would be more difficult to identify than extreme events relative to an overall mean.

Further uncertainty arises from the sometimes low sample depth, and it was impossible to keep it constant due to limited number of available samples from historic buildings. The confidence interval around reconstructions should therefore not be constant over time, but the influence of reduced sample depth cannot be quantified without individual tree measurements of $\delta^{18}O_c$ during the calibration period. A solution to ~~this problem~~ these problems would be to increase the sample ~~size~~ depth and to measure trees individually, possibly at the expense of the temporal resolution, which would allow identifying outliers and calculating a confidence interval around the mean $\delta^{18}O_c$ value. ~~Several recent studies propose methods to deal with offsets (Esper et al., 2010; Gagen et al., 2012; Hangartner et al., 2012; Naulier et~~ However, it could be problematic to find appropriate samples for periods where no living tree material is available. This investigation demonstrates the importance of assessing $\delta^{18}O_c$ variations between individual trees, and of correcting offsets when combining different sets of trees, in order to carry out meaningful inter-site comparisons and reliable identifications of multi-centennial trends.

4.2 The coherence between site chronologies and its temporal stability

The $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies from FON and ANG reveal common patterns of variability on different time scales, but their relationship is not stable over time. High correlations between the two isotope chronologies, e.g. during the 19th and 20th century, indicate common forcing factors on $\delta^{18}O_c$ variability. The only possible forcing acting on this spatial scale is climate. The changes in correlation strength are likely not linked to the construction of the chronologies, as high correlations occur both with small and large numbers of sampled trees (e.g. during the 15th and 20th century), and a possible juvenile effect has been accounted for. The series variability (expressed by the running standard deviation, SD) changes simultaneously at both sites, and does not seem to be systematically linked to sample depth and/or switches from one cohort to another. We propose two hypotheses to explain the periods of decreased correlation between sites: (1) a changing climate response of the trees; and (2) changing climate patterns over France.

Proxies often show a non-linear response to climate (Schleser et al., 1999). The climate sensitivity of trees is known to change with time (e.g. Reynolds-Henne et al., 2007; Friedrichs et al., 2009; Dorado Liñán et al., 2011; Mérian et al., 2011; Voelker, 2011; Linares et al., 2012; Rozas and Olano, 2013; Candela-Galván et al., 2015), due to tree age, changes in the timing and length of the growing season or in the atmospheric CO_2 concentration. In addition, the response of trees to climate changes may depend on their local environment, e.g. soil conditions. The periods of low correlation between sites could be explained by a weaker sensitivity of the proxy to climate when some climate factors are below a certain threshold. For example, during relatively humid periods, $\delta^{18}O_c$ would not strongly depend on humidity and it would not exhibit large inter-annual variations. However, the amplitude of inter-annual variability at both sites (illustrated by the running SD; Fig. 7d) does not support this hypothesis, as SD and the site-to-site correlation do not show common patterns. The SD is certainly influenced by the number of trees, but even when the number of trees is constant (e.g. in ANG 1680–1880), large changes in the SD occur. If the climate response of the trees changes, this has implications for our climate reconstruction, which is based on the climate-proxy relationship during the 20th century, where instrumental measurements of meteorological variables are available.

The second explanation for the temporal instability of the correlations between the FON and ANG oxygen isotope chronologies could be a change in the regional homogeneity of the climate. The 20th century is characterized by coherent patterns in meteorological variables at FON and ANG (Fig. 2), and during this period we observe high correlation between the $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies. However, the climate in France might have been more heterogeneous in the past. Changes in seasonal aspects of climate or in the relationship between temperature and humidity can alter the way these variables act on $\delta^{18}O_c$ (Barbour et al., 2002; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2005; Reynolds-Henne et al., 2007). While temperature variations are likely to be coherent between the sites at a time scale which is relevant for the proxy, precipitation patterns are generally more variable in space. The season of the trees water supply (e.g. winter or growing season precipitation), depends on soil properties, root depth, and precipitation seasonality, and can vary over time and between sites (Bréda et al., 1995; Schulze et al., 1996; Hruska et al., 1999; Hanson et al., 2001).

Correlations with ring width show that FON trees are more sensitive to precipitation, and the site receives less winter precipitation than ANG. The periods of decoupling between the sites could be characterized by changes in winter precipitation, which would influence the drought signal at FON, whereas at ANG, where summer evaporative enrichment dominates the $\delta^{18}O_c$ signal (Labuhn et al., 2014), winter precipitation would not have a strong influence. According to our reconstruction, the period of low correlation coincides with a prolonged wet period at FON. Changes in the spatial and seasonal distribution of precipitation could therefore be responsible for the temporal instability of the relationship between the FON and ANG $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies.

4.3 ~~Comparison of the drought reconstructions with grape harvest dates~~

It cannot be ruled out, however, that the variable coherence between sites is at least partly linked to methodological issues which influence the quality of the reconstructions: the correction of cohort offsets, and the variable sample depth which is low during certain periods (Sect. 4.1). These possible uncertainties lead us to combine the two site chronologies to produce a regional drought reconstruction, as the distance between FON and ANG is not far and the sites show very coherent drought patterns in the 20th century (Fig. 2). The mean chronology yielded correlations with averaged climate comparable to those at each site (Table 2).

4.3 Comparison of the drought reconstructions with other records

Historical records of grape harvest dates (GHD) have been interpreted as proxies of summer temperature, and GHD records are available from the Burgundy (near FON) and Bordeaux (near ANG) wine regions (Daux et al., 2012). Tree ring $\delta^{18}O_c$ at ANG is significantly correlated with GHD from Bordeaux ~~($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$, $N = 272$). The correlation with a French composite GHD record is only moderate at the~~ at an inter-annual scale (~~$r = -0.27$~~$r = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$, ~~$N = 623$), but the long-term variability in both records displays common trends, e. g. an increase from 1500 to 1750 AD, followed by a decrease in the mid-18th and 19th century.~~ $N = 272$). Warm and dry summers therefore seem to lead to high $\delta^{18}O_c$ values and early grape harvest.

At FON, on the contrary, $\delta^{18}O_c$ and GHD from Burgundy are not well correlated ($r = -0.22$, $p < 0.001$, $N = 621$). It is possible that FON $\delta^{18}O_c$ is less dependent on temperature (weaker correlations than ANG) but more on precipitation (slightly higher correlations than ANG for summer and growing season averages). This is in line with our previous hypothesis (Sect. 4.2): trees at FON respond to droughts caused primarily by a precipitation deficit. For the 20th century, both high temperatures and low precipitation play a role in the causes of drought. During this period, the ANG and FON $\delta^{18}O_c$ are therefore correlated, and FON is also correlated with the Burgundy GHD ($r = -0.60$, $p < 0.001$, $N = 93$).

Yiou et al. (2012) have identified a shift in the North–South temperature gradient in France during the Little Ice Age (1500–1850) based on GHD records, which they relate to changes in the prevailing atmospheric circulation over the North Atlantic. Although this shift does not coincide with the decline in correlations between FON and ANG, their study illustrates that atmospheric circulation changes can have different impacts on the local climate on spatial scales which correspond to the distance between our sites.

The regional SPEI reconstruction based on the mean chronology from both sites might be the more reliable drought record due to the increased number of samples. The correlation of the regional reconstruction with the French composite GHD record is good at an interannual scale ($r = -0.37$, $p < 0.001$, $N = 623$). Other available drought records, an SPEI calculated using early instrumental records of temperature and precipitation from Paris (Slonosky, 2002; Rousseau, 2009) and a reconstruction of the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) extracted for the study region from the "Old World Drought Atlas" Cook et al. (2015), show a similar high frequency variability as our reconstruction. The correlation coefficients at the interannual scale are $r = 0.48$ ($p < 0.001$, $N = 303$) for the Paris SPEI and $r = 0.34$ ($p < 0.001$, $N = 679$) for the PDSI. However, these drought records differ considerably in long-term trends. Nevertheless, the low frequency variability is very coherent between the our reconstruction and the GHD record except for the earliest part prior to ca. 1450, displaying common trends, e.g. an increase from 1520 to 1750 CE, followed by a decrease in the mid-18th and 19th century (Fig. 11).

Further comparisons with precipitation reconstructions from different locations in Europe (Cooper et al., 2012; Rinne et al., 2013; a heterogeneity between sites. Different precipitation patterns can be expected at this spatial scale, and some reconstructions differ in seasonality (see Rinne et al., 2013, for a comparison of the reconstructions). Of these reconstructions, only the Southern England May–August precipitation reconstruction by Rinne et al. (2013) showed some clear common characteristics with the SPEI reconstruction presented here, e.g. drying trends from ca. 1770–1820 and in the late 20th century. A more detailed investigation of the differences between European drought and precipitation reconstructions and how they compare to the present-day spatial patterns in precipitation is worth investigating in future studies.

5 Conclusions and perspectives

This study has demonstrated that oxygen isotope ratios in tree ring cellulose can provide records of past summer droughts. The observed offsets in absolute $\delta^{18}O$ values between trees and between cohorts highlight the importance of isotope measurements on individual trees, which should be considered in future work in order to detect such characteristic in the isotope series. Using a higher and constant number of samples is recommended to avoid issues with offsets. If corrections need to be applied~~to account for these offsets, however, ,~~ , a part of the low-frequency variability in the chronology might be lost. Moreover, the effect of non-climatic factors on the average cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ values makes it challenging to use tree ring isotope networks to reconstruct spatial gradients in climate.

The chronologies from Fontainebleau and Angoulême presented here constitute the longest continuous cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ time series in France. Combined they provide a regional reconstruction of summer droughts covering more than six centuries, which is coherent with other proxies of summer climate. The trees display a very good agreement in their inter-annual variability, and highly significant correlations with ~~climate variables . This has enabled reconstructions of summer droughts covering more than six centuries.~~ meteorological variables during the 20th century. The changes in the coherence between the two sites ~~indicates~~ during earlier centuries indicate that response of the proxy to climate might be non-linear~~. However, the relationship between the reconstructed drought index and grape harvest dates indicates~~ , or that the spatial patterns of climate in France have changed~~, while the trees' climate response remained the same~~. A detailed comparison of other proxy records from France and Europe will be necessary to confirm this hypothesis. Together with model simulations, such comparisons will contribute to our understanding of spatial drought patterns in the past.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the Office National des Forêts (ONF) Centre Ouest and the ONF Fontainebleau for permission to take samples in the forests. For access to the historic buildings and permission to sample, they thank Mr Droguet, Mr José Gomez de Soto, Mme la duchesse de Matossan, the mairie de Poullignac, and the Archives départementales de la Charente/architectes des bâtiments de France. They also thank Vincent Bernard, Nathalie Bréda, François Geremia, Marie-Thérèse Guillemin and George Noël Lambert for technical assistance with sampling and dating, as well as two anonymous reviewers whose comments helped to improve the manuscript. This research was supported by the PALEOMEX-ISOMEX program of the CNRS-INSU, and the EU project ISONET (EVK2-CT-2002-00147).

References

Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. T., Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A., and Cobb, N.: A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests, Forest Ecology and Management, 259, 660–684, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001, 2010.

Barbour, M. M. and Farquhar, G. D.: Relative humidity- and ABA-induced variation in carbon and oxygen isotope ratios of cotton leaves, Plant, Cell & Environment, 23, 473–485, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00575.x, 2000.

Barbour, M. M., Walcroft, A. S., and Farquhar, G. D.: Seasonal variation in delta13C and delta18O of cellulose from growth rings of Pinus radiata, Plant, Cell & Environment, 25, 1483–1499, doi:10.1046/j.0016-8025.2002.00931.x, 2002.

Barbour, M. M., Roden, J. S., Farquhar, G. D., and Ehleringer, J. R.: Expressing leaf water and cellulose oxygen isotope ratios as enrichment above source water reveals evidence of a Péclet effect, Oecologia, 138, 426–35, doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1449-3, 2004.

Beguería, S., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., and Angulo-Martínez, M.: A Multiscalar Global Drought Dataset: The SPEIbase: A New Gridded Product for the Analysis of Drought Variability and Impacts, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91, 1351–1356, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS2988.1, 2010.

Bréda, N., Granier, A., Barataud, F., and Moyne, C.: Soil water dynamics in an oak stand. I. Soil moisture, water potentials and water uptake by roots, Plant and Soil, 172, 17–27, 1995.

Briffa, K. R., Jones, P. D., Pilcher, J. R., and Hughes, M. K.: Reconstructing summer temperatures in northern Fennoscandinavia back to AD 1700 using tree-ring data from Scots pine, Arctic and Alpine Research, 20, 385–394, doi:10.2307/1551336, 1988.

Briffa, K. R. K., Osborn, T. T. J., Schweingruber, F. H., Jones, P. D., Shiyatov, S. G., and Vaganov, E. A.: Tree-ring width and density data around the Northern Hemisphere: Part 2, spatio-temporal variability and associated climate patterns, The Holocene, 12, 759–789, doi:10.1191/0959683602hl587rp, 2002.

Brooks, R. J., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R., and McDonnell, J. J.: Ecohydrologic separation of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate, Nature Geoscience, 3, 100–104, doi:10.1038/ngeo722, 2010.

Büntgen, U., Trouet, V., Frank, D., Leuschner, H. H., Friedrichs, D., Luterbacher, J., and Esper, J.: Tree-ring indicators of German summer drought over the last millennium, Quaternary Science Reviews, 29, 1005–1016, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.01.003, 2010.

Candela-Galván, J. D., Büntgen, U., Ginzler, C., Grudd, H., Gutiérrez, E., Labuhn, I., and Camarero, J. J.: Drought-induced weakening of growth-temperature associations in Mediterranean high-elevation forests, Global and Planetary Change, 124, 95–106, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.011, 2015.

Cernusak, L. A., Farquhar, G. D., and Pate, J. S.: Environmental and physiological controls over oxygen and carbon isotope composition of Tasmanian blue gum, Eucalyptus globulus, Tree Physiology, 25, 129–146, doi:10.1093/treephys/25.2.129, 2005.

Cook, E. R., Briffa, K. R., Shiyatov, S., and Mazepa, V.: Tree-Ring Standardization and Growth-Trend Estimation, in: Methods of Dendrochronology – Applications in the Environmental Sciences, edited by Cook, E. R. and Kairiukstis, L. A., pp. 104–123, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.

Cook, E. R., Woodhouse, C. A., Eakin, C. M., Meko, D. M., and Stahle, D. W.: Long-term aridity changes in the western United States, Science, 306, 1015–1018, doi:10.1126/science.1102586, 2004.

Cook, E. R., Seager, R., Kushnir, Y., Briffa, K. R., Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Krusic, P. J., Tegel, W., van der Schrier, G., Andreu-Hayles, L., Baillie, M., Baittinger, C., Bleicher, N., Bonde, N., Brown, D., Carrer, M., Cooper, R., Cufar, K., Dittmar, C., Esper, J., Griggs, C., Gunnarson, B., Gu nther, B., Gutierrez, E., Haneca, K., Helama, S., Herzig, F., Heussner, K.-U., Hofmann, J., Janda, P., Kontic, R., Ko se, N., Kyncl, T., Levanic, T., Linderholm, H., Manning, S., Melvin, T. M., Miles, D., Neuwirth, B., Nicolussi, K., Nola, P., Panayotov, M., Popa, I., Rothe, A., Seftigen, K., Seim, A., Svarva, H., Svoboda, M., Thun, T., Timonen, M., Touchan, R., Trotsiuk, V., Trouet, V., Walder, F., Wazny, T., Wilson, R., and Zang, C.: Old World megadroughts and pluvials during the Common Era, Science Advances, 1, e1500 561–e1500 561, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500561, 2015.

Cooper, R. J., Melvin, T. M., Tyers, I., Wilson, R. J. S., and Briffa, K. R.: A tree-ring reconstruction of East Anglian (UK) hydroclimate variability over the last millennium, Climate Dynamics, 40, 1019–1039, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1328-x, 2012.

Coplen, T. B.: Reporting of stable hydrogen, carbon, and oxygen isotopic abundances, Pure and Applied Chemistry, 66, 273–276, doi:10.1351/pac199466020273, 1994.

Corti, T., Muccione, V., Köllner-Heck, P., Bresch, D., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Simulating past droughts and associated building damages in France, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 13, 1739–1747, doi:10.5194/hess-13-1739-2009, 2009.

Corti, T., Wüest, M., Bresch, D., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Drought-induced building damages from simulations at regional scale, Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 11, 3335–3342, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-3335-2011, 2011.

Dai, A.: Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models, Nature Climate Change, 3, 52–58, doi:10.1038/nclimate1633, 2013.

Dan, P.: Le trésor des merveilles de la maison royale de Fontainebleau, Paris, 1642.

Darling, G. W., Bath, A. H., Gibson, J. J., and Rozanski, K.: Isotopes in Water, in: Isotopes in Palaeoenvironmental Research, edited by Leng, M. J., pp. 1–66, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

Daux, V., Edouard, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stievenard, M., Hoffmann, G., Pierre, M., Mestre, O., Danis, P., and Guibal, F.: Can climate variations be inferred from tree-ring parameters and stable isotopes from Larix decidua? Juvenile effects, budmoth outbreaks, and divergence issue, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 309, 221–233, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.07.003, 2011.

Daux, V., Garcia de Cortazar-Atauri, I., Yiou, P., Chuine, I., Garnier, E., Le Roy Ladurie, E., Mestre, O., and Tardaguila, J.: An open-access database of grape harvest dates for climate research: data description and quality assessment, Climate of the Past, 8, 1403–1418, doi:10.5194/cp-8-1403-2012, 2012.

Diaz, H. and Trouet, V.: Some Perspectives on Societal Impacts of Past Climatic Changes, History Compass, 12, 160–177, doi:10.1111/hic3.12140, 2014.

Domet, P.: Histoire de la forêt de Fontainebleau, Hachette, Paris, 1873.

Dorado Liñán, I., Gutiérrez, E., Heinrich, I., Andreu-Hayles, L., Muntán, E., Campelo, F., and Helle, G.: Age effects and climate response in trees: a multi-proxy tree-ring test in old-growth life stages, European Journal of Forest Research, 131, 933–944, doi:10.1007/s10342-011-0566-5, 2011.

Esper, J., Cook, E. R., and Schweingruber, F. H.: Low-frequency signals in long tree-ring chronologies for reconstructing past temperature variability., Science, 295, 2250–2253, doi:10.1126/science.1066208, 2002.

Esper, J., Frank, D., and Wilson, R.: Climate reconstructions: Low-frequency ambition and high-frequency ratification, Eos, 85, 113–120, doi:10.1029/2004EO120002, 2004.

Esper, J., Frank, D., Büntgen, U., Verstege, A., Luterbacher, J., and Xoplaki, E.: Long-term drought severity variations in Morocco, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 1–5, 2007.

Esper, J., Frank, D. C., Battipaglia, G., Buntgen, U., Holert, C., Treydte, K., Siegwolf, R., and Saurer, M.: Low-frequency noise in delta C-13 and delta O-18 tree ring data: A case study of Pinus uncinata in the Spanish Pyrenees, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 24, 1–11, doi:10.1029/2010GB003772, 2010.

Etien, N., Daux, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stievenard, M., Bernard, V., Durost, S., Guillemin, M. T., Mestre, O., and Pierre, M.: A bi-proxy reconstruction of Fontainebleau (France) growing season temperature from A.D. 1596 to 2000, Climate of the Past, 4, 1–16, doi:10.5194/cp-4-91-2008, 2008.

Etien, N., Daux, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Mestre, O., Stievenard, M., Guillemin, M. T., Boettger, T., Bréda, N., Haupt, M., and Perraud, P. P.: Summer maximum temperature in northern France over the past century: instrumental data versus multiple proxies (tree-ring isotopes, grape harvest dates and forest fires), Climatic Change, 94, 429–456, doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9516-8, 2009.

European Environment Agency: Sustainable Water Use in Europe, Part 3: Extreme Hydrological Events: Floods and Droughts. Environmental issue report No 21, 2001.

Feuillat, F., Dupouey, J.-L., Sciama, D., and Keller, R.: A new attempt at discrimination between Quercus petraea and Quercus robur based on wood anatomy, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 27, 343–351, doi:10.1139/x96-174, 1997.

Friedrichs, D. A., Büntgen, U., Frank, D. C., Esper, J., Neuwirth, B., and Löffler, J.: Complex climate controls on 20th century oak growth in Central-West Germany., Tree Physiology, 29, 39–51, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpn003, 2009.

Gagen, M., McCarroll, D., Jalkanen, R., Loader, N., Robertson, I., and Young, G.: A rapid method for the production of robust millennial length stable isotope tree ring series for climate reconstruction, Global and Planetary Change, 82-83, 96–103, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.11.006, 2012.

Garnier, E.: Climat de la France : apport de l'histoire, in: Le climat à découvert. Outils et méthodes en recherche climatique, edited by Jeandel, C. and Mossery, R., pp. 111–113, CNRS Editions, 2011.

Gat, J. R.: Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in the hydrologic cycle, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 24, 225–262, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.24.1.225, 1996.

Gessler, A., Brandes, E., Buchmann, N., Helle, G., Rennenberg, H., and Barnard, R. L.: Tracing carbon and oxygen isotope signals from newly assimilated sugars in the leaves to the tree-ring archive., Plant, cell & environment, 32, 780–95, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01957.x, 2009.

Gessler, A., Brandes, E., Keitel, C., Boda, S., Kayler, Z. E., Granier, A., Barbour, M., Farquhar, G. D., and Treydte, K.: The oxygen isotope enrichment of leaf-exported assimilates - does it always reflect lamina leaf water enrichment?, The New Phytologist, 200, 144–157, doi:10.1111/nph.12359, 2013.

Giuntoli, I., Renard, B., Vidal, J.-P., and Bard, A.: Low flows in France and their relationship to large-scale climate indices, Journal of Hydrology, 482, 105–118, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.12.038, 2013.

Green, J. W.: Wood Cellulose, in: Methods of Carbohydrate Chemistry, edited by Whistler, R. L., pp. 9–21, Academic Press, New York, 1963.

Grissino-Mayer, H. D.: Evaluating crossdating accuracy: A manual and tutorial for the computer program COFECHA, Tree-Ring Research, 57, 205–221, 2001.

Haines, A., Kovats, R. S., Campbell-Lendrum, D., and Corvalan, C.: Climate change and human health: impacts, vulnerability and public health., Public health, 120, 585–96, doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2006.01.002, 2006.

Hangartner, S., Kress, A., Saurer, M., Frank, D., and Leuenberger, M.: Methods to merge overlapping tree-ring isotope series to generate multi-centennial chronologies, Chemical Geology, 294, 127–134, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.11.032, 2012.

Hanson, P. J., Todd, D. E., and Amthor, J. S.: A six-year study of sapling and large-tree growth and mortality responses to natural and induced variability in precipitation and throughfall, Tree Physiology, 21, 345–358, doi:10.1093/treephys/21.6.345, 2001.

Harris, I., Jones, P., Osborn, T., and Lister, D.: Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations – the CRU TS3.10 Dataset, International Journal of Climatology, 34, 623–642, doi:10.1002/joc.3711, 2013.

Haupt, M., Weigl, M., Grabner, M., and Boettger, T.: A 400-year reonstruction of July relative air humidity for the Vienna region (eastern Austria) based on carbon and oxygen stable isotope ratios in tree-ring latewood cellulose of oaks (Quercus petraea Matt. Liebl.), Climatic Change, 105, 243–262, doi:10.1007/s10584-010-9862-1, 2011.

Hilasvuori, E. and Berninger, F.: Dependence of tree ring stable isotope abundances and ring width on climate in Finnish oak., Tree Physiology, 30, 636–47, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpq019, 2010.

Hill, S. A., Waterhouse, J. S., Field, E. M., Switsur, V. R., and Ap Rees, T.: Rapid recycling of triose phosphates in oak stem tissue, Plant, Cell & Environment, 18, 931–936, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00603.x, 1995.

Holmes, R. L.: Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement, Tree-Ring Bulletin, 43, 69–78, 1983.

Hruska, J., Cermák, J., and Sustek, S.: Mapping tree root systems with ground-penetrating radar, Tree Physiology, 19, 125–130, doi:10.1093/treephys/19.2.125, 1999.

Itier, B.: Agriculture et sécheresse: le contexte et les enjeux (Agriculture and drought: context and challenges). In French, Innovations Agronomiques, 2, 1–8, 2008.

Kelly, P. M., Leuschner, H. H., Briffa, K. R., and Harris, I. C.: The climatic interpretation of pan-European signature years in oak ring-width series, The Holocene, 12, 689–694, doi:10.1191/0959683602hl582rp, 2002.

Kovats, R., Valentini, R., Bouwer, L., Georgopoulou, E., Jacob, D., Martin, E., Rounsevell, M., and Soussana, J.-F.: Europe, in: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Barros, V., Field, C., Dokken, D., Mastrandrea, M., Mach, K., Bilir, T., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K., Estrada, Y., Genova, R., Girma, B., Kissel, E., Levy, A., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P., and White, L., pp. 1267–1326, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA, 2014.

Labuhn, I., Daux, V., Pierre, M., Stievenard, M., Girardclos, O., Féron, A., Genty, D., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Mestre, O.: Tree age, site and climate controls on tree ring cellulose δ18O: A case study on oak trees from south-western France, Dendrochronologia, 32, 78–89, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2013.11.001, 2014.

Leavitt, S. W.: Tree-ring C-H-O isotope variability and sampling, The Science of the total environment, 408, 5244–53, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.057, 2010.

Leavitt, S. W. and Danzer, S. R.: Method for batch processing small wood samples to holocellulose for stable-carbon isotope analysis, Analytical Chemistry, 65, 87–89, doi:10.1021/ac00049a017, 1993.

Leavitt, S. W., Treydte, K., and Yu, L.: Environment in Time and Space: Opportunities from Tree-Ring Isotope Networks, in: Isoscapes, edited by West, J. B., Bowen, G. J., Dawson, T. E., and Tu, K. P., pp. 113–135, Springer, Dordrecht, doi:10.1007/978-90-481-3354-3, 2010.

Levrault, F., Brisson, N., Pieri, P., and Bosc, A.: Climate change in the South-West zone: the main agricultural and forest impacts, in: Climate Change, Agriculture and Forests in France: Simulations of the Impacts on the Mail Species. The Green Book of the CLIMATOR project (2007-2010), edited by Brisson, N. and Levrault, F., pp. 287–296, ADEME, 2010.

Lévy, G., Becker, M., and Duhamel, D.: A comparison of the ecology of pedunculate and sessile oaks: Radial growth in the centre and northwest of France, Forest Ecology and Management, 55, 51–63, doi:10.1016/0378-1127(92)90091-M, 1992.

Li, J., Gou, X., Cook, E. R., and Chen, F.: Tree-ring based drought reconstruction for the central Tien Shan area in northwest China, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, 1–5, doi:10.1029/2006GL025803, 2006.

Linares, J. C., Taïqui, L., Sangüesa-Barreda, G., Seco, J. I., and Camarero, J. J.: Age-related drought sensitivity of Atlas cedar (Cedrus atlantica) in the Moroccan Middle Atlas forests, Dendrochronologia, 31, 88–96, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2012.08.003, 2012.

Loader, N., Santillo, P., Woodman-Ralph, J., Rolfe, J., Hall, M., Gagen, M., Robertson, I., Wilson, R., Froyd, C., and McCarroll, D.: Multiple stable isotopes from oak trees in southwestern Scotland and the potential for stable isotope dendroclimatology in maritime climatic regions, Chemical Geology, 252, 62–71, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.01.006, 2008.

Loader, N. J., Young, G. H., McCarroll, D., and Wilson, R. J.: Quantifying uncertainty in isotope dendroclimatology, The Holocene, 23, 1221–1226, doi:10.1177/0959683613486945, 2013.

Masson-Delmotte, V., Raffalli-Delerce, G., Danis, P. A., Yiou, P., Stievenard, M., Guibal, F., Mestre, O., Bernard, V., Goosse, H., Hoffmann, G., and Jouzel, J.: Changes in European precipitation seasonality and in drought frequencies revealed by a four-century-long tree-ring isotopic record from Brittany, western France, Climate Dynamics, 24, 57–69, doi:10.1007/s00382-004-0458-1, 2005.

McCarroll, D. and Loader, N. J.: Stable isotopes in tree rings, Quaternary Science Reviews, 23, 771–801, 2004.

McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., and Kleist, J.: The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales, 1993.

Mérian, P., Bontemps, J.-D., Bergès, L., and Lebourgeois, F.: Spatial variation and temporal instability in climate-growth relationships of sessile oak (Quercus petraea [Matt.] Liebl.) under temperate conditions, Plant Ecology, 212, 1855–1871, doi:10.1007/s11258-011-9959-2, 2011.

Nabuurs, G.-J., Lindner, M., Verkerk, P. J., Gunia, K., Deda, P., Michalak, R., and Grassi, G.: First signs of carbon sink saturation in European forest biomass, Nature Climate Change, 3, 792–796, doi:10.1038/nclimate1853, 2013.

Naulier, M., Savard, M. M., Bégin, C., Gennaretti, F., Arseneault, D., Marion, J., Nicault, A., and Bégin, Y.: A millennial summer temperature reconstruction for northeastern Canada using oxygen isotopes in subfossil trees, Climate of the Past Discussions, 11, 521–553, doi:10.5194/cpd-11-521-2015, 2015.

OBrien, L. V., Berry, H. L., Coleman, C., and Hanigan, I. C.: Drought as a mental health exposure., Environmental research, 131, 181–7, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2014.03.014, 2014.

Paerl, H. W., Hall, N. S., and Calandrino, E. S.: Controlling harmful cyanobacterial blooms in a world experiencing anthropogenic and climatic-induced change., The Science of the total environment, 409, 1739–45, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.02.001, 2011.

Palmer, W. C.: Meteorological Drought. US Weather Bureau. Washington, D.C., 1965.

Ponton, S., Dupouey, J., Bréda, N., Feuillat, F., Bodénès, C., and Dreyer, E.: Carbon isotope discrimination and wood anatomy variations in mixed stands of Quercus robur and Quercus petraea, Plant, cell & environment, 24, 861–868, doi:10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00733.x, 2001.

Quesada, B., Vautard, R., Yiou, P., Hirschi, M., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Asymmetric European summer heat predictability from wet and dry southern winters and springs, Nature Climate Change, 2, 736–741, doi:10.1038/nclimate1536, 2012.

R Development Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. http://www.r-project.org, 2015.

Raffalli-Delerce, G., Masson-Delmotte, V., Dupouey, J. L., Stievenard, M., Bréda, N., Moisselin, J. M., and Munksgaard, B.: Reconstruction of summer droughts using tree-ring cellulose isotopes: a calibration study with living oaks from Brittany (western France), Tellus, 56B, 160–174, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2004.00086.x, 2004.

Reynolds-Henne, C. E., Siegwolf, R. T. W., Treydte, K. S., Esper, J., Henne, S., and Saurer, M.: Temporal stability of climate-isotope relationships in tree rings of oak and pine (Ticino, Switzerland), Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21, 1–12, doi:10.1029/2007GB002945, 2007.

Rinne, K., Loader, N., Switsur, V., and Waterhouse, J.: 400-year May–August precipitation reconstruction for Southern England using oxygen isotopes in tree rings, Quaternary Science Reviews, 60, 13–25, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.10.048, 2013.

Roden, J. S., Lin, G., and Ehleringer, J. R.: A mechanistic model for interpretation of hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in tree-ring cellulose, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 64, 21–35, 2000.

Rousseau, D.: Les températures mensuelles en région parisienne de 1676 à 2008 (Monthly temperature in the Paris region from 1676 to 2008). In French, La Météorologie, 67, 43–55, 2009.

Rozas, V. and Olano, J. M.: Environmental heterogeneity and neighbourhood interference modulate the individual response of Juniperus thurifera tree-ring growth to climate, Dendrochronologia, 31, 105–113, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2012.09.001, 2013.

Saurer, M., Cherubini, P., Reynolds-Henne, C. E., Treydte, K. S., Anderson, W. T., and Siegwolf, R. T. W.: An investigation of the common signal in tree ring stable isotope chronologies at temperate sites, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, G04 035, doi:10.1029/2008JG000689, 2008.

Schleser, G. G. H., Helle, G., Lucke, A., Vos, H., and Lücke, A.: Isotope signals as climate proxies: the role of transfer functions in the study of terrestrial archives, Quaternary Science Reviews, 18, 927–943, 1999.

Schoch, W., Heller, I., Schweingruber, F., and Kienast, F.: Wood anatomy of central European Species. Online version: www.woodanatomy.ch, 2004.

Schulze, E. D., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E., Jobbagy, E., Buchmann, N., Bauer, G., Canadell, J., Jackson, R. B., Loreti, J., Oesterheld, M., and Ehleringer, J. R.: Rooting depth, water availability, and vegetation cover along an aridity gradient in Patagonia, Oecologia, 108, 503–511, doi:10.1007/BF00333727, 1996.

Schweingruber, F. H.: Tree Rings: Basics and Applications of Dendrochronology, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988.

Seneviratne, S., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C., Kanae, S., Kossin, J., Luo, Y., Marengo, J., McInnes, K., Rahimi, M., Reichstein, M., Sorteberg, A., Vera, C., and Zhang, X.: Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment, in: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), edited by Field, C., Barros, V., Stocker, T., Qin, D., Dokken, D., Ebi, K., Mastrandrea, M., Mach, K., Plattner, G.-K., Allen, S., M. Tignor, and Midgley, P., pp. 109–230, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA, 2012.

Shi, C. M., Masson-Delmotte, V., Risi, C., Eglin, T., Stievenard, M., Pierre, M., Wang, X. C., Gao, J., Breon, F. M., Zhang, Q. B., and Daux, V.: Sampling strategy and climatic implications of tree-ring stable isotopes on the southeast Tibetan Plateau, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 301, 307–316, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.11.014, 2011.

Slonosky, V. C.: Wet winters, dry summers? Three centuries of precipitation data from Paris, Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 10–13, doi:10.1029/2001GL014302, 2002.

Sousa, P. M., Trigo, R. M., Aizpurua, P., Nieto, R., Gimeno, L., and Garcia-Herrera, R.: Trends and extremes of drought indices throughout the 20th century in the Mediterranean, Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 11, 33–51, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-33-2011, 2011.

Stahle, D. W., Fye, F. K., Cook, E. R., and Griffin, R. D.: Tree-ring reconstructed megadroughts over North America since AD 1300, Climatic Change, 83, 133–149, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9171-x, 2007.

Sternberg, L. d. S. L. O.: Oxygen stable isotope ratios of tree-ring cellulose: the next phase of understanding., The New Phytologist, 181, 553–62, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02661.x, 2009.

Szczepanek, M., Pazdur, A., Pawelczyk, S., Böttger, T., Haupt, M., Halas, S., Bednarz, Z., Krapiec, M., and Szychowska-Krapiec, E.: Hydrogen, carbon and oxygen isotopes in pine and oak tree rings from Southern Poland as climatic indicators in years 1900-2003, Geochronometria, 25, 67–76, 2006.

Tang, K. and Feng, X.: The effect of soil hydrology on the oxygen and hydrogen isotopic compositions of plants' source water, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 185, 355–367, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00385-X, 2001.

Treydte, K., Frank, D., Esper, J., Andreu, L., Bednarz, Z., Berninger, F., Boettger, T., D'Alessandro, C. M., Etien, N., Filot, M., Grabner, M., Guillemin, M. T., Gutierrez, E., Haupt, M., Helle, G., Hilasvuori, E., Jungner, H., Kalela-Brundin, M., Krapiec, M., Leuenberger, M., Loader, N. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Pazdur, A., Pawelczyk, S., Pierre, M., Planells, O., Pukiene, R., Reynolds-Henne, C. E., Rinne, K. T., Saracino, A., Saurer, M., Sonninen, E., Stievenard, M., Switsur, V. R., Szczepanek, M., Szychowska-Krapiec, E., Todaro, L., Waterhouse, J. S., Weigl, M., and Schleser, G. H.: Signal strength and climate calibration of a European tree-ring isotope network, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, L24 302, doi:10.1029/2007GL031106, 2007.

van der Schrier, G., Briffa, K. R., Jones, P. D., and Osborne, T. J.: Summer moisture variability across Europe, Journal of Climate, 19, 2818–2834, doi:10.1175/JCLI3734.1, 2006.

Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J. I., Angulo, M., and El Kenawy, A.: A new global 0.5 degree gridded dataset (1901–2006) of a multiscalar drought index: comparison with current drought index datasets based on the Palmer Drought Severity Index, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11, 1033–1043, doi:10.1175/2010JHM1224.1, 2010.

Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Lopez-Moreno, J.-I., Beguería, S., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Sanchez-Lorenzo, A., García-Ruiz, J. M., Azorin-Molina, C., Morán-Tejeda, E., Revuelto, J., Trigo, R., Coelho, F., and Espejo, F.: Evidence of increasing drought severity caused by temperature rise in southern Europe, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 044 001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/4/044001, 2014.

Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Habets, F., Soubeyroux, J.-M., Blanchard, M., and Baillon, M.: Multilevel and multiscale drought reanalysis over France with the Safran-Isba-Modcou hydrometeorological suite, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 459–478, doi:10.5194/hess-14-459-2010, 2010.

Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Kitova, N., Najac, J., and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: Evolution of spatio-temporal drought characteristics: validation, projections and effect of adaptation scenarios, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 2935–2955, doi:10.5194/hess-16-2935-2012, 2012.

Voelker, S. L.: Age-Dependent Changes in Environmental Influences on Tree Growth and Their Implications for Forest Responses to Climate Change, in: Size- and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and Function, edited by Meinzer, F. C., Lachenbruch, B., and Dawson, T. E., pp. 455–479, Springer, Dordrecht, 2011.

Wigley, T. M. L., Briffa, K. R., and Jones, P. D.: On the average value of correlated time series, with applications in dendroclimatology and hydrometeorology, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 23, 201–213, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1984)023<0201:OTAVOC>2.0.CO;2, 1984.

Wilson, R., Miles, D., Loader, N. J., Melvin, T., Cunningham, L., Cooper, R., and Briffa, K.: A millennial long March–July precipitation reconstruction for southern-central England, Climate Dynamics, 40, 997–1017, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1318-z, 2013.

Wilson, R. J. S., Luckman, B. H., and Esper, J.: A 500 year dendroclimatic reconstruction of spring-summer precipitation from the lower Bavarian Forest region, Germany, International Journal of Climatology, 25, 360–611, 2005.

Yadav, R.: Tree ring–based seven-century drought records for the Western Himalaya, India, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 4318–4325, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50265, 2013.

Yiou, P., García de Cortázar-Atauri, I., Chuine, I., Daux, V., Garnier, E., Viovy, N., van Leeuwen, C., Parker, a. K., and Boursiquot, J.-M.: Continental atmospheric circulation over Europe during the Little Ice Age inferred from grape harvest dates, Climate of the Past, 8, 577–588, doi:10.5194/cp-8-577-2012, 2012.

Young, G. H. F., Bale, R. J., Loader, N. J., Mccarroll, D., Nayling, N., and Vousden, N.: Central England temperature since AD 1850: the potential of stable carbon isotopes in British oak trees to reconstruct past summer temperatures, Journal of Quaternary Science, 27, 606–614, doi:10.1002/jqs.2554, 2012.

Young, G. H. F., Loader, N. J., McCarroll, D., Bale, R. J., Demmler, J. C., Miles, D., Nayling, N. T., Rinne, K. T., Robertson, I., Watts, C., and Whitney, M.: Oxygen stable isotope ratios from British oak tree-rings provide a strong and consistent record of past changes in summer rainfall, Climate Dynamics, 45, 3609–3622, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2559-4, 2015.



Figure 1. Map of France showing the locations of the tree ring chronologies from Fontainebleau (FON) and Angoulême (ANG). The color scale indicates the average summer (June–August) surface air temperature 1901–2009.



Figure 2. Time series of the drought index SPEI (negative values indicate dry conditions), precipitation and temperature, June–August (JJA) averages for Fontainebleau (FON) and Angoulême (ANG). Note that the axes for SPEI and precipitation are reversed, so that upward values reflect warm and dry years. The year of the exceptional drought 1976 is marked in red. See text for data sources.

Zampieri, M., D'Andrea, F., Vautard, R., Ciais, P., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., and Yiou, P.: Hot European Summers and the Role of Soil Moisture in the Propagation of Mediterranean Drought, Journal of Climate, 22, 4747–4758, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2568.1, 2009.



Figure 3. Inter-tree comparison. Bottom: $\delta^{18}O_c$ measured every 5$^{\text{th}}$ year for individual timber cores from different buildings in Angoulême (LRF, POUL, and AMDC). The orange line shows the $\delta^{18}O$ of living trees (GR). Middle: Time spans and average $\delta^{18}O$ values for the respective cores. The thick gray line is the mean $\delta^{18}O$ of all timber cores over the whole period. Top: Range of measured values for each year (maximum minus minimum value).



Figure 4. Cohort offsets. Top: The $\delta^{18}O_c$ series for different tree cohorts from Fontainebleau. Bottom: The $\delta^{18}O_c$ series for living tree cohorts (B and GR), and timber wood (TW) from Angoulême. Horizontal lines indicate the mean of each series for the period of overlap. TW samples have been measured every 5$^{\text{th}}$ year only in the period covered by the dotted line.



Figure 5. Cohort offset correction for cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies from Fontainebleau (FON) and Angoulême (ANG) (low-pass filtered data). Different methods were used in order to combine overlapping tree cohorts to a site chronology: (a) raw values; (b) correction ~~for~~ of the offset between cohorts (see Fig. 4) ~~,~~ by adjusting the mean of the older cohorts to the mean of the respective younger cohorts; (c) all cohorts were normalized by subtracting the mean of each cohort from the respective $\delta^{18}O$ values before combining them. In each case, an average weighted by the number of trees was calculated for overlap periods between cohorts.



Figure 6. Inter-annual variability in cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies from Fontainebleau (FON) and Angoulême (ANG), high-pass filtered data. The dotted lines mark the parts of the chronologies where the number of trees is < 4.



Figure 7. Comparison of cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ chronologies from Fontainebleau (FON) and Angoulême (ANG). (a) 51-year running correlations between FON and ANG, the horizontal lines mark the 0.01 significance level for the high-pass (solid line) and low-pass (dashed line) filtered data; (b) low-pass filtered data; (c) high-pass filtered data; (d) standard deviation (SD) of the high-pass filtered data calculated for 51-year running windows; (e) number of trees. The blue and red shaded areas mark the parts of the FON and ANG chronologies respectively, where the number of trees is < 4.



Figure 8. Linear regression between the drought index SPEI (June–August) and cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ for the mean chronology, FON ~~(left)~~ and ANG~~(right)~~. The equations describing the regression line provide the models for drought reconstruction.



Figure 9. Observed and reconstructed drought indices SPEI (June–August). ~~Negative values indicate dry conditions.~~ The shaded areas mark the confidence intervals of the reconstructions.



Figure 10. ~~Reconstruction~~ Reconstructions of ~~summer droughts at Fontainebleau (FON) Angoulême (ANG), expressed by~~ the drought index SPEI (June–August) ~~,~~ based on tree ring cellulose $\delta^{18}O$ for Fontainebleau (FON), Angoulême (ANG), and a composite reconstruction for the two sites (mean). The thick lines are the fitted 30-year cubic smoothing splines. The shaded areas mark the confidence intervals of the reconstructions~~.~~, and the dotted lines mark the parts of the chronologies where the number of trees is < 4.



Figure 11. Comparison ~~between grape harvest dates~~ of the regional drought reconstruction (~~GHD; from Daux et al. (2012)~~bottom panel) and ~~the tree-ring reconstructed drought index SPEI. Top~~other records: ~~Angoulême~~ A Palmer Drought Severity Index (~~ANG~~PDSI) ~~drought reconstruction vs. GHD~~ extracted for the study region from ~~Bordeaux. Bottom: Fontainebleau~~ the "Old World Drought Altas" (Cook et al., 2015), a Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (~~FON~~SPEI) ~~drought vs. GHD~~ calculated using the historical instrumental records from ~~Dijon~~ Paris (Slonosky, 2002; Rousseau, 2009), and ~~Beaune in Burgundy. The dashed line is~~ a composite ~~GHD~~ record ~~for France~~of French grape harvest dates (GHD; Daux et al., 2012).

Table 1. Offsets in average $\delta^{18}O_c$ values and correlations between tree cohorts for their periods of overlap. Italics indicate significant correlations ($p < 0.01$).

Site	**Cohorts**	**Offset [‰]**	**Correlation**	**Years of overlap**
FON	PD–CH	0.34	*0.38*	87
	CH–PE1	0.78	*0.50*	31
	PE1–PE2	0.96	*0.53*	66
	PE1–Etien et al. (2008)	0.36	0.19	24
	PE2–Etien et al. (2008)	0.95	0.22	24
ANG	B–GR	0.76	*0.60*	120
	GR–TW	1.05	*0.63*	41

Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between $\delta^{18}O_c$ and monthly meteorological variables, as well as ~~their~~ with summer (JJA) and growing season (AMJJAS) averages; 'mean' refers to the combined chronology of the FON and ANG sites. Correlations in italics/bold are significant at the 0.01/0.001 level. See text for data sources.

~~Month~~	Average temperature			Maximum temperature			Precipitation		
Month	FON	ANG	mean	FON	ANG	mean	FON	~~J~~ ANG	~~-0.19~~ mean
J	-0.04	0.12	~~-0.03~~ 0.08	-0.03	0.15	0.10	~~F~~ -0.19	~~-0.18~~ -0.09	~~-0.11~~ -0.15
F	0.03	0.15	0.14	0.06	0.17	0.16	~~M~~ -0.18	~~-0.13~~ -0.11	~~*-0.24*~~ -0.14
M	0.05	*0.23*	0.16	0.08	*0.27*	0.20	~~A~~ -0.13	~~0.03~~ *-0.24*	~~0.06~~ -0.21
A	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.19	~~M~~ 0.03	~~-0.25~~ 0.06	~~-0.25~~ 0.00
M	*0.26*	**0.32**	**0.31**	*0.28*	**0.34**	**0.32**	~~J~~ *-0.25*	~~**-0.48**~~ *-0.25*	~~**-0.47**~~ *-0.25*
J	0.18	**0.41**	**0.35**	*0.25*	**0.48**	**0.42**	~~J~~ **-0.48**	~~**-0.39**~~ **-0.47**	~~**-0.40**~~ **-0.57**
J	**0.53**	**0.55**	**0.58**	**0.57**	**0.60**	**0.61**	~~A~~ **-0.39**	~~*-0.30*~~ **-0.40**	~~-0.14~~ **-0.45**
A	**0.34**	**0.45**	**0.43**	**0.36**	**0.48**	**0.45**	~~S~~ *-0.30*	~~0.15~~ -0.14	~~0.12~~ -0.23
S	0.19	0.17	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.22	~~O~~ 0.15	~~-0.08~~ 0.12	~~0.09~~ 0.05
O	0.19	~~0.25~~ *0.25*	*0.23*	0.20	0.22	0.21	-0.08	0.09	-0.01
~~JJA~~ N	~~**-0.60**~~ -0.03	~~**-0.53**~~ 0.04	0.00	-0.03	0.03	-0.01	-0.03	0.04	0.08
D	-0.02	0.03	0.03	-0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.12	0.13
JJA	**0.49**	**0.62**	**0.61**	**0.54**	**0.70**	~~**-0.69**~~ **0.67**	~~**-0.68**~~ **-0.60** ~~AMJJAS~~	~~**-0.49**~~ **-0.53**	~~**-0.40**~~ **-0.63**
AMJJAS	**0.48**	**0.57**	**0.59**	**0.51**	**0.65**	~~**-0.62**~~ **0.63**	~~**-0.57**~~ **-0.49**	**-0.40**	**-0.54**

Table 3. Comparison of reconstructed and observed drought index SPEI: correlation coefficient (r), reduction of error statistic (RE) and coefficient of efficiency (CE). To calculate these statistics, the data were divided into randomly selected calibration (two-thirds) and verification (one-third) data sets. The given values are the averages and standard deviations of 1000 iterations of this validation.

Site	**Statistic**	**Average**	**Standard deviation**
FON	r	~~0.69~~ -0.69	0.07
~~FON~~	RE	0.39	0.10
	CE	0.36	0.11
ANG	r	~~0.68~~ -0.68	0.09
~~ANG~~	RE	0.45	0.14
	CE	0.42	0.15
mean	r	-0.62	0.08
	RE	0.37	0.11
	CE	0.34	0.13